Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 November 13; 135(45): . doi:10.1021/ja403076u.

Enediolate-Dilithium Amide Mixed Aggregates in the Enantioselective Alkylation of Arylacetic Acids: Structural Studies and a Stereochemical Model

Yun Ma[‡], Craig E. Stivala[‡], Ashley M. Wright[‡], Trevor Hayton[‡], Jun Liang[‡], Ivan Keresztes[‡], Emil Lobkovsky[‡], David B. Collum[‡], and Armen Zakarian[‡]

David B. Collum: dbc6@cornell.edu; Armen Zakarian: zakarian@chem.ucsb.edu

[‡]Contribution from the Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106; Telephone: (805)-893-3717; Fax: (805)-893-4120

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853–1301, Telephone: (607)-255-4879; Fax: (850)-644-8281

Abstract

A combination of X-ray crystallography, ⁶Li, ¹⁵N, and ¹³C NMR spectroscopies, and density functional theory computations afford insight into the structures and reactivities of intervening aggregates underlying highly selective asymmetric alkylations of carboxylic acid dianions (enediolates) mediated by the dilithium salt of a C₂-symmetric chiral tetraamine. Crystallography shows a trilithiated *n*-butyllithium-dilithiated amide that has dimerized to a hexalithiated form. Spectroscopic studies implicate the non-dimerized trilithiated mixed aggregate. Reaction of the dilithiated amide with phenylacetic acid with excess *n*-butyllithium affords a tetralithio aggregate comprised of the two dianions in solution and the dimerized octalithio form in the solid state. Computational studies shed light on the details of the solution structures and afford a highly predictive stereochemical model.

Introduction

Despite remarkable progress in the field of catalytic asymmetric synthesis,¹ asymmetric alkylations of lithium enolates are predominantly based on covalent chiral auxiliaries even in the simplest functionalizations.^{2–5} Recently, we developed an alkylation of the dianions of aryl and heteroaryl acetic acids⁶ (enediolates)⁷ in which a dilithium amide derived from a C₂-symmetric chiral diamine^{8,9} imparts high enantioselectivity (eq 1).^{10,11} The enediolate-dilithiated amide complex is generated in situ, circumventing discrete steps to add and remove when covalently bound chiral auxiliaries are used. The reaction shows considerable generality for activated, unactivated, and functionalized electrophiles.

Correspondence to: David B. Collum, dbc6@cornell.edu; Armen Zakarian, zakarian@chem.ucsb.edu.

Supporting information. Spectroscopic, crystallographic, and computational data, and a complete list of authors for reference 33 (188 pages). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

(1)

Evidence from a few enantioselective organolithium reactions scrutinized through structural and mechanistic studies¹² has demonstrated that high stereocontrol can correlate with high structural control of the aggregates.¹³ Thus, we presumed that the enantioselectivity in eq 1 derives from a well-defined chiral aggregate, **2**, composed of the dilithium enediolate and the chiral dilithium amide.^{11,14} The optimized conditions suggested a 1:1 stoichiometry, although that assertion lacked direct support. Nevertheless, a detailed understanding of the enantioselectivity clearly requires knowledge of the underlying coordination chemistry.

We describe herein a combination of X-ray crystallography, 6Li , ^{13}C , and ^{15}N NMR spectroscopies, and density functional theory (DFT) computations that afford insight into the structures and reactivities of intervening aggregates **5-8**.¹⁵⁻¹⁷ These studies suggest a mechanistic model affording remarkable agreement between observed and computed enantioselectivities.

To help guide the reader, we note that the detailed organolithium chemistry delineated in the results section is summarized for the generalists at the start of the discussion section. This summary is followed by a discussion of the possible implications and predictive capabilities of the seemingly robust stereochemical model.

Results

The structures of mixed aggregates **5-8** were determined using a combination of X-ray crystallography, multinuclear NMR spectroscopies, and density functional theory (DFT)

computations as described below. In addition, COSY, TOCSY, HSQC, HMBC and ROESY spectroscopies provided support to both the aggregate assignments and spatial orientations. These are archived in supporting information.

***n*-BuLi-dilithiated amide mixed aggregate: X-ray crystal structure^{14,18}**

Addition of 4.0 equiv of *n*-BuLi (2.5 M) to a hexane solution of amine **3** at –25 °C affords a pale yellow solution. Subsequent crystallization from a hexane/pentane mixture yields [Li₃(**1**)(*n*Bu)]₂ (**5**) as a colorless microcrystalline solid in 46% yield. The composition of **5** was confirmed with an X-ray diffraction study, and its solid-state structure is shown in Figure 1. Complex **5** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group P2₁2₁2₁ as the pentane solvate **5**. Complex **5** displays overall C₂ symmetry and is assembled from two trilithio components each constituted from an *n*-BuLi and a doubly deprotonated diamine subunit.

The metrical parameters of each trilithiated form an *n*-Bu fragment coordinated to the three lithium cations as are similar to those found in alkyl lithium aggregates.⁷ Incorporation of *n*-BuLi into the structure of an organo- or amido-lithium reagent was reported previously.¹⁹ The most interesting feature of the solid-state structure of **5** is the binding mode of the amine ligand. Each piperidine-derived nitrogen atom is coordinated to a single lithium cation, whereas each amide nitrogen atom is coordinated to two lithium cations. More specifically, Li2 is chelated by three nitrogen atoms, N2, N3, and N4, thereby generating a five-membered ring and a six-membered ring. Additionally, Li2, N2, N3, and N4 are all roughly co-planar. This orientation places both phenyl groups in the amine backbones pseudo-equatorially. Li1 is coordinated by two nitrogen atoms (N1 and N2), thereby generating a five-membered ring. Finally, Li3 is only coordinated by one nitrogen atom (N3) in addition to the carbon atom (C1 and C1A) of the *n*-Bu fragment. The Li-N(amide) bond lengths are 1.979(5) Å (Li2-N2), 1.923(4) Å (Li2-N3), 1.936(4) Å (Li1-N2), 1.953(4) Å (Li3-N3), whereas the Li-N(amine) bond lengths are 2.089(4) Å (Li1-N1) and 2.167(4) Å (Li2-N4). Similar bond lengths are seen in the lithium salt of a related bidentate Koga base, *N*-neopentyl-1-phenyl-2-(1-piperidino)ethylamine.²⁰

***n*-BuLi-dilithiated amide mixed aggregate: solution structure**

The structural assignments used a tetra-¹⁵N-labelled analog, [¹⁵N₄]**3**, prepared using a modification of the original synthesis, as illustrated in Scheme 1. Metalation of [¹⁵N₄]**3** with two equiv of recrystallized [⁶Li] *n*-BuLi²¹ in THF at –78 °C was incomplete, affording lithium amide-*n*-BuLi (see below) and unreacted diamine **3**.²²⁻²⁴ Subsequent warming to –20 °C for 10 min caused complete consumption of **3**, affording dilithiated amide homoaggregate **4** as a complex mixture that was not investigated further.^{25,26}

Lithiation of [¹⁵N₄]**3** with 3.0 equiv of [⁶Li]BuLi²¹ in 6.1 M THF/hexane affords a dilithium amide-*n*-BuLi mixed aggregate displaying three ⁶Li resonances (1:1:1) along with resonances of residual *n*-BuLi dimer and tetramer²⁶ at elevated *n*-BuLi concentrations (Figures 2 and 3). The resonance count and intensities, most easily observed in the ¹⁵N broadband decoupled spectrum (Figure 2A), are consistent with those of trilithiated mixed aggregate **6** or the corresponding dimerized hexalithiated **5** observed crystallographically. (The trace impurities noted by asterisks were initially believed to be *n*-BuOLi-derived mixed aggregates, but addition of *n*-BuOH incrementally reveals a distinctly different mixed aggregate.) The connectivities in the trilithio subunit were assigned from the splitting patterns in the coupled spectra (indicated in red in Figure 2A and Figure 3A) with the aid of single-frequency decoupling²⁷ and [⁶Li, ¹⁵N]-HMQC spectroscopy^{28,29} (supporting information). Spectroscopic data are compiled in Table 1. The primary Li-N linkages of the lithium amide moieties showed characteristically large (3.6–5.8 Hz) coupling constants³⁰ whereas the dative Li-N linkages deriving from chelation by the piperidino moieties

displayed much smaller (1.9-2.2 Hz) coupling constants.³¹ The assignment as trilithiated **6** rather than hexalithio **5** stems from a ¹³C NMR spectrum showing that was tentatively assigned as a quintet corresponding to the Li-C-Li' and the heptet of the *n*-BuLi tetramer (Figure 4).^{26,32}

n-BuLi-dilithiated amide mixed aggregation: DFT computed structure

DFT calculations were performed with the Gaussian 09 package using Gaussview 5.0 and WebMO as a graphical user interface.³³ Geometry optimizations and frequency calculations were performed at the B3LYP level of theory using the 6-31G(d) and 6-31+G(d) Pople basis sets. Free energies were calculated from an MP2-derived single-point energy [6-31G(d) basis set] and a B3LYP-derived thermal correction [6-31G(d)] at 195 K (−78 °C) and 1 atm. (MP2 corrections seem to provide superior correlations of theory and experiment, especially for highly congested structures.)

We followed a pedagogically interesting protocol by providing only the atomic connectivities—no detailed NMR or crystallographic data—to the coworker (JL) doing computations. Comparing the computed structures to the crystal structure revealed remarkable similarities, but we had computationally missed the orientation of the three-carbon propanediamine bridge and one of the five-membered chelates. With this additional information in hand, the computations were repeated (supporting information).

Three important variables are summarized in Figure 5 and described below.

(1) Piperidine chair-chair flip—Each piperidine rings can exist in two chair conformers, which differ by 2.0-6.0 kcal/mol (for all solvates).³⁴ The preferred conformers have lithiums positioned axially as drawn in Figure 5. This proves to be the overwhelming preference found crystallographically for N-alkylpiperidine-lithium complexes.^{35,36}

(2)

(2) Chelate Conformation—The five-membered chelate rings show two conformers orienting the phenyl in roughly the equatorial plane and substantially displaced from this plane. They are essentially of equal energy (± 0.2 kcal/mol).

(3) *n*-Butyl orientation—The *n*-butyl moiety always positions on the opposite face of the six-membered ring from the two THF ligands as drawn, regardless of starting geometry.

(4) Solvation—Serial solvation of solvent-free trilithiated fragment **6** shows a strong (8.3 kcal/mol) preference for the di-THF solvate over the monosolvate. Additional solvation was undetectable.³⁷

(5) Chelate orientation—Reversing the role of the two chelating piperidines (eq 3)—inverting the absolute configuration of the backbone of the structure—revealed a pronounced preference for diastereomer **6a** relative to **6b**.

(3)

(5) Dimerization—The association of **6** to give **5** proved to be very high energy owing largely to a loss of solvation energy. The crystal for X-ray determination of **5** was obtained from hydrocarbon solutions wherein solvation would not be an issue.

Enediolate-dilithiated amide mixed aggregate: X-ray crystal structure

Addition of 4.0 equiv of *n*-BuLi to a THF solution containing **3** and phenylacetic acid at -25°C yields a light yellow solution. Crystallization from hexanes, with a small amount of added THF, affords $[\text{Li}_4(\text{1})(\text{PhCH}=\text{CO}_2)(\text{THF})_2]_2(\text{7})$ as a light yellow powder in 48% yield. The composition of **7** was confirmed by X-ray diffraction (Figure 6). Although the data quality is poorer than that observed for **5**, the connectivity of the atoms in **7** is clearly defined. Complex **7** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ as the THF solvate **7**·THF. Complex **7** comprises two tetralithio subunits in the solid state—octalithio overall—with C_2 symmetry. It consists of two chiral dilithiated amides and two enediolates. Four of the eight lithium cations are ligated by THF molecules. The presence of the same dilithium amide core in both **5** and **7** suggests its central importance. Because the molecule is composed of two identical tetralithio subunits, the metrical parameters of only one half are discussed. As was observed for **5**, one lithium atom (Li2) is chelated by three nitrogen atoms from one tetra(amine) ligand: N2, N3, and N4, thereby generating a five membered ring and a six membered ring. One lithium atom (Li1) is coordinated by two nitrogen atoms (N1 and N2), generating a five membered ring. Additionally, two lithium atoms (Li3 and Li4) bridge the chiral amine moiety and the oxygen atoms of the enediolate ligands, $[\text{PhCH}=\text{CO}_2]^{2-}$. The Li-N(amide) and Li-N(amine) bond lengths in **7** are similar to those in **5**. Finally, the Li-Li distances in **7** range from 2.418 Å to 2.661 Å, in line with structurally related lithium aggregates.³⁸

The most interesting structural feature of **7** is the incorporation of the enediolate moiety, $[\text{PhCH}=\text{CO}_2]^{2-}$. The atoms within the enediolate ligand are all co-planar, suggesting strong conjugation. Additionally, each oxygen atom of the enediolate is coordinated to three lithium cations. For instance, one oxygen atom (O4) is coordinated to a triangular face formed by three lithium cations (Li1, Li2, Li4), whereas the other oxygen atom (O2) is ligated by Li3, Li4, and Li4A. The Li-O bond lengths range from 1.857 Å to 2.245 Å; however, the average Li-O bond length of 1.96 Å is standard.³⁹ Additionally, there are two independent C=C bonds that exhibit somewhat different C-C bond lengths (C48–C49 = 1.408(8) Å, C40–C41 = 1.356(15) Å). The C–O bond lengths of the two moieties are comparable [C48–O4 = 1.322(6) Å and C48–O3 = 1.283(7) Å versus C40–O1 = 1.312(7) and C40–O2 = 1.326(7) Å].

Enediolate-dilithiated amide mixed aggregate: solution structure

The structure of the centrally important mixed aggregate of dilithiated amide **3** with the dianionic enediolate of phenylacetic acid was studied using the protocols and spectroscopic methods described above. Figure 7 shows ^6Li NMR spectra recorded on a solution prepared

from a 1:4:1 mixture of [^{15}N]**3**, *n*-BuLi, and phenylacetic acid (**1**) in THF-pentane. Residual *n*-BuLi-derived mixed aggregate **6** is observed along with a new mixed aggregate corresponding to tetralithio mixed aggregate **8**. (The connectivities are highlighted in red in Figure 7.) Despite differential broadening, the four resonances integrate to 1:1:1:1, consistent with a 1:1 mixed aggregate constituted from the two dianions. The corresponding fully coupled and broadband decoupled ^{15}N NMR spectra are illustrated in Figure 8. Single-frequency decoupling and [^6Li , ^{15}N]-HMQC spectroscopy provided the connectivities and completed the assignments (Table 1). COSY, TOCSY, HSQC, HMBC and ROESY spectroscopies (supporting information) supported the spatial orientations observed computationally (below). Although tetralithio mixed aggregate **8** could have, in theory, dimerized into an octalithio form, neither the physical nor the computational models provided any support for such a severely congested aggregate.

Enediolate-dilithiated amide mixed aggregate: DFT computed structure

The structure of **8** was examined computationally.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Many of the structural details such as piperidino chair preferences and chelating side chain orientations were analogous to those outlined above (and provided in detail in supporting information), warranting no additional comment. We also observed no tendency of tetralithio **8** to form an octalithio (dimerized) form. The three significant variables are as follows:

(1) Solvation—The serial solvation of the core is exergonic to the tetrasolvation state (7.1 kcal/mol favored over the trisolvate). Additional solvation was undetected without significant structural disruptions.

(2) Enolate orientation—The enolate orients favoring **8a** as shown in eq 4, presumably owing to the steric demands of the disolvated lithium nucleus.

(4)

(3) Phenyl orientation—The orientation of the phenyl moiety on the enediolate fragment is the variable that we believe is at the heart of the enantioselectivity in eq 1. We observe a 7.7 kcal/mol preference for **8a** versus **8c** (eq 5).

Calculated transition structures and enantioselectivities

Aggregates **8a** and **8c** expose the *si* and *re* faces, respectively, of the enediolate to the sterically accessible exterior of the globular aggregate. All that remained was to examine the transition structures for the alkylation and predict the affiliated enantioselectivities. The calculations used methyl chloride. Transition structures **17a** and **17b** correspond to the alkylation of **8a** and **8c**, respectively (Figure 9). We explored cyclic transition structures bearing Li-Cl contacts and found they were only marginally viable.⁴³⁻⁴⁷ The 20 kcal/mol barriers (referenced to common ground state **8a**) do not trouble us; barriers of alkylations are routinely over estimated.⁴⁸ More importantly, the 6.4 kcal/mol preference for **17a** suggests a >>99.9% ee. Although this value exceeds the experimental value of 98% ee for allyl bromide,⁶ the model is impressively robust, especially given possible sources of erosion experimentally. Equilibration of the aggregates on the time scales of alkylation is by no means certain.

Discussion

Summary

The studies of the mixed aggregates derived from dilithiated amide **4** and the coordination chemistry underlying the enantioselective alkylation of carboxylic acid enediolates in eq 1⁶ reveals a remarkably coherent picture (Scheme 2). In the absence of coordinating ligand, dilithiated amide **4** and *n*-BuLi affords a crystalline mixed aggregate shown by X-ray crystallography to be an exceedingly complex hexalithiated form, **5**, comprising two *n*-BuLi-dilithiated amide trilitio subunits. Analogous metalation in THF solution affords trilitio form **6** as a single diastereomer, suggested by computations to be disolvate **6a** (vide supra).⁴⁹

Mixing diamine **3**, 4.0 equiv *n*-BuLi, and phenylacetic acid (**1**) affords octalithio mixed aggregate **8** comprising two dilithiated amides and two enediolate dianions in a C₂-symmetric dimerized form. Analogous mixtures in THF solution afford the corresponding tetralithio mixed aggregate **8** composed of a dilithiated amide and enediolate dianions and suggested by computations to be tetrasolvated (**8a**). Once again, the structural and stereocontrol are predicted to be very high. Computations of **8a** also indicate a strong (7.7 kcal/mol) preference for a single orientation of the enediolate relative to the dilithium amide fragment.

Stereochemical model: predictions

In essence, the dilithium amide fragment concurrently controls the orientation of the enediolate and blocks one of two enantiotopic enediolate faces, ensuring a highly enantioselective alkylation. Computed product-determining transition structures **17a** and **17b** (Figure 9) do not contain Cl-Li interactions. Importantly, the computations predict the correct facial selectivity and an enantioselectivity of >99% ee compared with the experimental values of up to 98% ee. This satisfying theory experiment correlation attests to a potentially robust stereochemical model. One should note, however, that the predicted selectivity based on reactant preference or transition structure preference will depend critically on whether the aggregates equilibrate on the time scale of the alkylation. Instantaneous alkylation could be construed as evidence of non-equilibrium kinetics. Given the enormous energetic bias, this would not measurably affect the observed selectivities.

Of course, many variables that had to be controlled to obtain the highly enantioselective alkylations. Ultimately, however, the orientation of the enediolate phenyl moiety appears to be the central variable (eq 5). The robustness of this model is easily tested. The data showed

a priori that the propionate enediolate is poorly selective (eq 6). Computations confirmed the inferior (1.3 kcal/mol) selectivity of the enediolate geometry (eq 7). The corresponding computed transition structures for isopropyl and cyclohexyl-substituted enolates are akin to the 4.2 and 5.2 kcal/mol facial preference of the phenyl-substituted enediolate. The cyclohexyl- and isopropyl-substituted enolates force a conformational flip of the piperidine (dotted line in **19b** and **20b**).

(6)

(7)

Conclusions

Only in a few instances has stereocontrol been correlated with the underlying organolithium aggregation.^{12,13} We suspect, however, that high stereocontrol is affiliated with high structural control.¹³ To borrow a familiar phrase, what you see is what you get (WYSIWYG). The structural studies described herein certainly are supportive. The emergent model appears to be highly predictive. Ongoing studies may reveal why certain electrophiles are poorly selective owing to specific steric interactions. At this time we do not know the relative rates of alkylations and aggregate exchanges.⁵⁰ We suspect, however, that highly reactive electrophiles—those most likely to react directly with the mixed aggregate without intervening deaggregations and other structural changes—are amenable to computational prediction. For sluggish electrophiles, intervening deaggregations could cause stereochemical leakage. Of course, alkylations are a small subset of the reactions of lithium enolates, so this story may be only in its infancy.

Experimental Section

Reagents and solvents

THF and hexanes were distilled from blue or purple solutions containing sodium benzophenone ketyl. The hexane contained 1% tetraglyme to dissolve the ketyl. *n*-BuLi was prepared and recrystallized as described previously.²¹ Solutions of *n*-BuLi were titrated using a literature method.⁵¹ Amine **3** was prepared as described previously.⁸ [¹⁵N₄]**1** was prepared as described below.

NMR spectroscopic analyses

All NMR tubes were prepared using stock solutions and sealed under partial vacuum. Standard ^6Li , ^{13}C , and ^{15}N NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 73.57, 125.79, and 50.66 MHz, respectively. The ^6Li , ^{13}C , and ^{15}N resonances are referenced to 0.30 M $[^6\text{Li}]\text{LiCl}/\text{methanol}$ at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (0.0 ppm), the CH_2O resonance of THF at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (67.57 ppm), and neat Me_2NEt at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (25.76 ppm), respectively.

Computations

DFT computations were optimized at B3LYP/6–31G(d) level³³ with single-point calculations at the MP2 level of theory.

Synthesis of $[^{15}\text{N}_4]\mathbf{3}$: general

Unless the reaction procedure states otherwise, all reactions requiring inert atmosphere were carried out with dry argon in oven or flame-dried glassware. THF and diethyl ether were distilled from sodium-benzophenone in a continuous still under an atmosphere of argon. Dichloromethane, diisopropylamine, pyridine, triethylamine, and chlorotrimethylsilane were distilled from calcium hydride in a continuous still under an atmosphere of argon. Chlorotriethylsilane (TESCl) and diisopropylethylamine (Hunig's base) were distilled from calcium hydride under an inert atmosphere of dry argon and stored over calcium hydride. Room temperature reactions were carried out between 22 and $24\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Analytical thin-layer chromatography was visualized using combinations of ultraviolet, anisaldehyde, ceric ammonium molybdate, potassium permanganate, and iodine staining. Flash chromatography was performed using 40–63 mm silica gel (Merck, Geduran, no. 11567-1) as the stationary phase. Proton magnetic resonance spectra were recorded at 400 MHz, 500 MHz, or 600 MHz. Carbon magnetic resonance spectra were recorded at 100 MHz, 125 MHz, or 150 MHz. All chemical shifts were reported in δ units relative to tetramethylsilane. High Resolution mass spectroscopic data were obtained at the Mass Spectrometry Laboratory at the University of California, Santa Barbara.

Benzamide- ^{15}N (**12**)⁵²

Sodium hydroxide (10 M in water, 16.7 mL, pre-cooled to $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$) was added to a solution of benzoyl chloride (12.55 mL, 0.108 mol) and ammonium- ^{15}N chloride (2.50 g, 45.88 mmol) in water (8.3 mL) and diethyl ether (12.5 mL) at $0\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. After 15 min, the solids were filtered, collected, and dried under high vacuum to deliver benzamide- ^{15}N (4.62 g, 37.83 mmol, 82%) which was used directly without further purification. ^1H -NMR (600 MHz; CDCl_3): 7.81–7.80 (m, 2H), 7.54–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.45 (m, 2H), 5.91 (m, 2H).

1-Benzoylpiperidine-¹⁵N (13)

1,5-Dibromopentane was added to a mixture of benzamide-¹⁵N (4.62 g, 37.83 mmol), tetrabutylammonium hydrogen sulfate (1.41 g, 4.16 mmol), potassium carbonate (7.37 g, 53.34 mmol), and sodium hydroxide (7.41 mol, 0.185 mol), in dry toluene (162 mL). The solution was heated at reflux for 18 hr. After cooling to room temperature (rt), the solids were filtered off and the residue was purified via flash chromatography (silica, 30% 70% ethyl acetate-hexanes) to deliver 1-benzoylpiperidine-¹⁵N (6.41 g, 33.69 mmol, 90%). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): 7.52-7.21 (m, 5H), 3.75-3.68 (m, 2H), 3.38-3.31 (m, 2H), 1.72-1.48 (m, 6H).

1-Benzylpiperidine-¹⁵N (14)

A solution of 1-benzylpiperidine-¹⁵N (6.41 g, 33.69 mmol) in diethyl ether (50 mL total with rinses) was added to a suspension of lithium aluminum hydride (5.11 g, 0.135 mol) in diethyl ether (122 mL) at 0 °C. After the addition of 1-benzylpiperidine-¹⁵N was complete, the solution was refluxed for 18 hr. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C, and water (5.10 mL) was added carefully. After 5 min 3 M NaOH (5.10 mL) was added and the reaction was stirred for an additional 5 min. Water (15.30 mL) was added, and the reaction was stirred for 5 min at 0 °C before warming to rt. After 3 hr the solids were filtered and rinsed with diethyl ether. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver 1-benzylpiperidine-¹⁵N (5.94 g, 33.69 mmol, 100%), which was used directly without further purification. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz; CDCl₃): 7.32-7.21 (m, 5H), 3.49-3.47 (m, 2H), 2.41-2.32 (m, 4H), 1.60-1.52 (m, 4H), 1.45-1.38 (m, 2H).

Piperidine-HCl-¹⁵N (15)

Following a literature protocol^{53a} hydrogen gas was bubbled through a solution of 1-benzylpiperidine-¹⁵N (2.97 g, 16.85 mmol) and palladium on carbon (0.297 g) in methanol (112 mL) and dichloromethane (56 mL), which generates HCl in situ under the reaction conditions.^{53b} After 15 min the hydrogen needle was removed from the solution, and the reaction was allowed to stir under a hydrogen atmosphere for 24 hr. The solution was filtered through a celite pad. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver piperidine-¹⁵N (1.45 g, 11.83 mmol, 70%) as its hydrochloride salt, which was used directly without further purification. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz; D₂O): 3.27-3.09 (m, 4H), 1.90-1.74 (m, 4H), 1.74-1.62 (m, 2H).

9 Phthalimide-¹⁵N (9).b⁵⁴

Ammonium ¹⁵N chloride (2.50 g, 45.88 mmol) and sodium hydroxide were combined in a flask and connected via cannula to a separate flask containing phthalic anhydride (6.80 g, 45.88 mmol) in methanol (113 mL) cooled to −10 °C. The flask containing ammonium-¹⁵N chloride and sodium hydroxide was heated with a propane torch, and the resulting gas was bubbled through the mentholic solution of phthalic anhydride. After gas evolution ceased the solution was stirred for an additional hour. The methanol was distilled at atmospheric pressure. The crude residue was heated to 230 °C for 10-15 min. After cooling, phthalimide-¹⁵N (6.80 g, 45.88 mmol, 100%) was obtained and used directly without further purification. ¹H-NMR (500 MHz; DMSO-D₆): 11.33 (d, *J* = 93.9 Hz, 1H), 7.85-7.82 (m, 4H).

N,N'-Trimethylenediphthalimide-¹⁵N (10)⁵⁵

Phthalimide-¹⁵N (6.80 g, 45.88 mmol) was added to a suspension of sodium hydride (3.30 g, 82.58 mmol) in dimethylformamide (91.8 mL) at rt. After 15 min 1,3-diiodopropane (2.63 mL, 22.94 mmol) was added and the reaction stirred at rt for 15 min and then heated in a sealed flask at 100 °C for 3 hr. The reaction was cooled to rt and diluted with water and ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 300 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with water (2 x 300 mL) and brine, dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and dichloromethane, and silica gel was added. The solution was evaporated to dryness. The residue, absorbed onto the silica gel was purified via flash chromatography (silica, 10%–30% ethyl acetate – dichloromethane) to give the desired product (4.35 g, 12.93 mmol, 56%). ¹H-NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃): 7.87-7.82 (m, 4H), 7.74-7.69 (m, 4H), 3.79-3.75 (m, 4H), 2.14-2.07 (m, 2H).

1,3-Diaminopropane-¹⁵N (11)

Potassium hydroxide (5.81 g, 0.104 mol) was added to a suspension of N,N'-trimethylenediphthalimide-¹⁵N (4.35 g, 12.93 mmol) in water (18 mL) and heated at 70 °C for 18 hr at, which point the reaction became clear and homogeneous. The reaction was distilled into a flask containing 2.15 mL of 12.1 M hydrochloric acid. After distillation to dryness, water (45 mL) was added to the reaction flask and again distilled to dryness. This process was repeated twice with water (45 mL) and once with methanol (45 mL). The combined distillates were concentrated under reduced pressure to deliver 1,3-

diaminopropane- ^{15}N as its hydrochloride salt (1.78 g, 11.94 mmol, 92%), which was used without further purification. ^1H -NMR (600 MHz; CD_3OD): 3.05 (m, 4H), 2.05 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz; CD_3OD): 36.4, 25.1. ^{15}N NMR (60.5 MHz, CD_3OD): 31.8. LRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_3\text{H}_{13}^{15}\text{N}_2$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]$ 77.09, found 76.98.

(1S)-1-(1-Phenyl)-2-(1-piperidinyl)ethanol- ^{15}N (16)

Sodium hydroxide (0.437 g, 11.83 mmol) was added to a solution of piperidine- ^{15}N (1.45 g, 11.83 mmol) and (*S*)-styrene oxide (1.29 mL, 11.26 mmol) in ethanol (28.2 mL) and heated at 120 °C for 4h. After cooling to rt, the reaction was diluted with water and ethyl acetate. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 x 75 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The residue (2.29 g, 11.08 mmol, 94%) was used directly without further purification. ^1H -NMR (400 MHz; CDCl_3): 7.41-7.15 (m, 5H), 4.73-4.69 (m, 1H), 3.70-3.57 (m, 1H), 2.76-2.64 (m, 2H), 2.60-2.52 (m, 1H), 2.53-2.45 (m, 1H), 2.43-2.32 (m, 2H), 1.74-1.41 (m, 6H).

Tetraamine- ^{15}N (3)

Methanesulfonyl chloride (2.10 mL, 26.58 mmol) was added to a solution of (1*S*)-1-(1-phenyl)-2-(1-piperidinyl)ethanol- ^{15}N (4.57 g, 22.15 mmol) and triethylamine (9.30 mL, 66.45 mmol) in diethyl ether (74 mL) at 0 °C. After 30 min the solution was warmed to rt and stirred for an additional hour. Then, triethylamine (12.4 mL, 88.6 mmol), 1,3-diaminopropane- ^{15}N hydrochloride (1.65 g, 11.08 mmol), and water (12.8 mL) were added to the reaction, and the solution was allowed to stir for 2 days at rt. The reaction was diluted with water and diethyl ether. The aqueous layer was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried with sodium sulfate, and concentrated, and the residue was purified via flash chromatography (silica, 5% 10% 20% triethylamine-ethyl acetate). The product was then recrystallized from isopropanol:water (1.1:1) to deliver the purified tetraamine- ^{15}N (2.10 g, 4.64 mmol, 42%) as a white solid and 1.25 g (2.76 mmol, 25%) of tetraamine- ^{15}N 3 as an oil. ^1H -NMR (600 MHz; CDCl_3): 7.34 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (dd, J = 11.0, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 2.52-2.22 (m, 18H), 1.66 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 1.57-1.49 (m, 8H), 1.41 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (150 MHz; CDCl_3): 143.3, 128.2, 127.3, 126.9, 66.6, 60.2, 54.6, 46.3, 30.5, 26.1, 24.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for $\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{45}^{15}\text{N}_4$ $[\text{M}+\text{H}]$ 453.3518, found 453.3526.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

AZ thanks the National Institutes of Health (NIGMS GM077379), Eli Lilly, and Amgen for direct support of this work. DBC thanks the National Institutes of Health (NIGMS GM39764 and NIGMS GM 077167). We also thank Dr. Guang Wu for assistance with X-ray crystallography.

References and Footnotes

1. (a) Ojima, I. *Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis*. New York: Wiley; 2010. (b) Corey, EJ.; Kürti, L. *Enantioselective Chemical Synthesis*. Direct Book Publishing, LLC; New York: 2010.
2. For discussion of the challenges of ligand-catalyzed organolithium reactions and an attempt to accumulate a comprehensive bibliography, see: Ramirez A, Sun X, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:10326. [PubMed: 16881665]
3. (a) Paull DH, Wolfer J, Grebinski JW, Weatherwax A, Lectka T. *Chimia*. 2007; 61:240.(b) Kawabata T, Fuji K. *Topics in Stereochemistry*. 2003; 23:175.(c) Kawabata T. *Rev Heteroatom Chem*. 2000; 22:33.
4. A comprehensive survey of scaled procedures used by Pfizer over two decades shows that 68% of all C-C bond formations are carbanion based and 44% of these involve enolates: Dugger RW, Ragan JA, Ripin DHB. *Org Process Res Dev*. 2005; 9:253.
5. (a) Green, JR. *Science of Synthesis*. Vol. 8a. Georg Thieme Verlag; New York: 2005. p. 427-486. (b) Schetter B, Mahrwald R. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2006; 45:7506.(c) Arya P, Qin H. *Tetrahedron*. 2000; 56:917.(d) Caine, D. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Trost, BM.; Fleming, I., editors. Vol. 1. Pergamon; New York: 1989. p. 1(e) Martin, SF. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Trost, BM.; Fleming, I., editors. Vol. 1. Pergamon; New York: 1989. p. 475(f) Plaquevent, JC.; Cahard, D.; Guillen, F.; Green, JR. *Science of Synthesis*. Vol. 26. Georg Thieme Verlag; New York: 2005. p. 463-511.(g) Katritzky, Alan R.; Taylor, Richard JK., editors. *Comprehensive Organic Functional Group Transformations II*. Elsevier; Oxford, UK: 1995. p. 834-835.(h) Cativiela C, Diaz-de-Villegas MD. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2007; 18:569.
6. Stivala CE, Zakarian A. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:11936. [PubMed: 21744818]
7. (a) Dang TT, Kelzhanova NK, Abilov ZA, Turmukhanova MZh, Langer P. *Synlett*. 2012; 23:1283. (b) Johnson CR, Bade TR. *Synthesis*. 1982; 4:284.(c) Miyashita M, Yamaguchi R, Yoshikoshi A. *Chem Lett*. 1982:1505.(d) Miyashita M, Yamaguchi R, Yoshikoshi A. *J Org Chem*. 1984; 49:2857. (e) Mulzer J, De Lasalle P, Chucholowski A, Blaschek U, Bruentrup G, Jibril I, Huttner G. *Tetrahedron*. 1984; 40:2211.(f) Belletire JL, Spletzer EG, Pinhas AR. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1984; 25:5969.(g) Yoshikoshi A, Miyashita M. *Acc Chem Res*. 1985; 18:284.(h) Larson GL, Cruz de Maldonado V, Berrios RR. *Synth Commun*. 1986; 16:1347.(i) Belletire JL, Fry DF. *J Org Chem*. 1987; 52:2549.(j) Gil S, Parra M, Rodriguez P. *ARKIVOC*. 2009; 172(k) Bellassoued M, Dubois JE, Bertounesque E. *Bull Soc Chim Belges*. 1988; 97:263.Shtelman AV, Becker JY. *Tetrahedron*. 2011; 67:1135.Thompson CM, Green DLC. *Tetrahedron*. 1991; 47:4223.
8. (a) Yamashita Y, Odashima K, Koga K. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1999; 40:2803.(b) Yamashita Y, Emura Y, Odashima K, Koga K. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2000; 41:209.
9. For other applications of diamine 3 in asymmetric synthesis, see: Swingle NM, Reddy KV, Rossiter BE. *Tetrahedron*. 1994; 50:4455.Matthew Frizzlea J, Nania RR, Martinelli MJ, Moniza GA. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2011; 52:5653.Fehr C, Galindo J, Farris I, Cuenca A. *Helv Chim Acta*. 2004; 87:1737.
10. (a) Kizirian JC. *Chem Rev*. 2008; 108:140. [PubMed: 18081351] (b) Wu G, Huang M. *Chem Rev*. 2006; 106:2596. [PubMed: 16836294] (c) Farina V, Reeves JT, Senanayake CH, Song JJ. *Chem Rev*. 2006; 106:2734. [PubMed: 16836298] (d) Rathman TL, Bailey WF. *Org Process Res Dev*. 2009; 13:144.(e) Clayden, J. *Organolithiums: Selectivity for Synthesis*. Pergamon; New York: 2002.
11. For leading references and discussions of mixed aggregation effects and their applications in synthesis, see: Seebach D. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 1988; 1624; 27Tchoubar B, Loupy A. *Salt Effects in Organic and Organometallic Chemistry*. VCH: New York1992Chapters 4, 5, and 7Briggs TF, Winemiller MD, Xiang B, Collum DB. *J Org Chem*. 2001; 66:6291. [PubMed: 11559177] Caubère P. *Chem Rev*. 1993; 93:2317.Gossage RA, Jastrzebski JTBH, van Koten G. 2005; 44:1448.Seebach D. *Proceedings of the Robert A Welch Foundation Conferences on*

- Chemistry and Biochemistry. Wiley New York 1984:93. Luderer MR, Bailey WF, Luderer MR, Fair JD, Dancer RJ, Sommer MB. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2009; 20:981. Reich HJ. *J Org Chem*. 2012; 77:5471. [PubMed: 22594379]
12. (a) Briggs TF, Winemiller MD, Collum DB, Parsons RL Jr, Davulcu AK, Harris GD, Fortunak JD, Confalone PN. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2004; 126:5427. [PubMed: 15113214] (b) Dinca E, Hartmann P, Smrcek J, Dix I, Jones PG, Jahn U. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2012:4461. (c) Casy BM, Flowers RA. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:11492. [PubMed: 21721524] (d) Lecachey B, Duguet N, Oulyadi H, Fressigné C, Harrison-Marchand A, Yamamoto Y, Tomioka K, Maddaluno J. *Org Lett*. 2009; 11:1907. [PubMed: 19358566]
 13. (a) Gessner VH, Koller SG, Strohmman C, Hogan AM, O'shea DF. *Chem —Eur J*. 2011; 17:2996. [PubMed: 21294193] (b) Ruiz M, Ojea V, Quintela JM. *Tetrahedron: Asymm*. 2002; 13:1863. (c) Hilmersson G, Davidsson O. *J Organomet Chem*. 1995; 489:175. Boche G. *Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl*. 1989; 28:277. (d) Hilmersson G, Ahlberg P, Davidsson O. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1996; 118:3539. (e) Gallagher DJ, Kerrick ST, Beak P. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1992; 114:5872. (f) Byrne LT, Engelhardt LM, Jacobsen GE, Leung WP, Papasergio RI, Raston CL, Skelton BW, Twiss P, White AH. *J Chem Soc Dalton Trans*. 1989:105. (g) Gais HJ, Dingerdissen U, Krüger C, Angermund K. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1987; 109:3775. (h) Zaegel F, Gallucci JC, Meunier P, Gautheron B, Sivik MR, Paquette LA. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1994; 116:6466. (i) Cramer CJ, Denmark SE, Miller PC, Dorow RL, Swiss KA, Wilson SR. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1994; 116:2437. (j) Williard PG, Tata JR, Schlessinger RH, Adams AD, Iwanowicz EJ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1988; 110:7901. (k) Kahne D, Gut S, DePue R, Mohamadi F, Wanat RA, Collum DB, Clardy J, Van Duyne G. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1984; 106:4865. (l) Wanat RA, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1985; 107:2078. Galiano-Roth AS, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1988; 110:3546. (m) Seebach D, Bauer W, Hansen J, Laube T, Schweizer WB, Dunitz JD. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun*. 1984:853. (n) Enders D, Bachstädter G, Kremer KAM, Marsch M, Harms K, Boche G. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 1988; 27:1522. (o) Williard PG, Liu QY. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1993; 115:3380. (p) Marsch M, Harms K, Zschage O, Hoppe D, Boche G. *Angew Chem, Int Ed Engl*. 1991; 30:321. (q) Ahlbrecht H, Boche G, Harms K, Marsch M, Sommer H. *Chem Ber*. 1990; 123:1853. (r) Hoppe I, Marsch M, Harms K, Boche G, Hoppe D. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 1996; 34:2158. (s) Gawley RE, Zhang P. *J Org Chem*. 1996; 61:8103. [PubMed: 11667797] (t) Hilmersson G, Arvidsson PI, Davidsson Ö, Håkansson M. *Organometallics*. 1997; 16:3352. (u) Glaser R, Hadad CM, Wiberg KB, Streitwieser A. *J Org Chem*. 1991; 56:6625. (v) Glaser R, Streitwieser A. *J Org Chem*. 1991; 56:6612. (w) Fraser RR, Chuaqui-Offermans N, Houk KN, Rondan NG. *J Organomet Chem*. 1981; 206:131. (x) Houk KN, Strozier RW, Rondan NG, Fraser RR, Chuaqui-Offermans N. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1980; 102:1426.
 14. For studies of reactivities of alkyl lithium-lithium amide mixed aggregates, see: Arvidsson AI, Davidsson Ö, Hilmersson G. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 1999; 10:527. Eleveld MB, Hogeveen H. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1984; 25:5187. Corruble A, Valnot JY, Maddaluno J, Duhamel P. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 1997; 8:1519. Corruble A, Valnot JY, Maddaluno J, Prigent Y, Davoust D, Duhamel P. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1997; 119:10042. Williard PG, Sun C. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1997; 119:11693. Arvidsson PI, Ahlberg P, Hilmersson G. *Chem Eur J*. 1999; 5:1348. Johansson A, Pettersson A, Davidsson Ö. *J Organomet Chem*. 2000; 608:153. Lecachey B, Fressigné C, Oulyadi H, Harrison-Marchand A, Maddaluno J. *Chem Commun*. 2011; 47:9915.
 15. Spectroscopic studies of lithium salts of dianions: Li D, Keresztes I, Hopson R, Williard PG. *Acc Chem Res*. 2009; 42:270. [PubMed: 19105594] Gareyev R, Ciula JC, Streitwieser A. *J Org Chem*. 1996; 61:4589. [PubMed: 11667384] Gruver JM, West SP, Collum DB, Sarpong R. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:13212. [PubMed: 20822143] Brand H, Capriotti JA, Arnold J. *Inorg Chem*. 1994; 33:4334. Günther H. *J Braz Chem Soc*. 1999; 10:241. Li D, Keresztes I, Hopson R, Williard PG. *Acc Chem Res*. 2009; 42:270. [PubMed: 19105594] Jacobson MA, Keresztes I, Williard PG. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005; 127:4965. [PubMed: 15796563] Cohen Y, Roelofs NH, Reinhardt G, Scott LT, Rabinovitz M. *J Org Chem*. 1987; 52:4207. Matsuo T, Mizue T, Sekiguchi A. *Chem Lett*. 2000:896.
 16. For representative examples of X-ray crystal structures of dianions, see: Selinka C, Stalke DZ. *Naturforsch B: Chem Sci*. 2003; 58:291. Konrad TM, Grunwald KR, Belaj F, Mosch-Zanetti NC. *Inorg Chem*. 2009; 48:369. [PubMed: 19061386] Williard PG, Jacobson MA. *Org Lett*. 2000; 2:2753. [PubMed: 10964357] Brooks JJ, Rhine W, Stucky GD. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1972; 94:7346. Sekiguchi A, Ebata K, Kabuto C, Sakurai H. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1991; 113:7081. Sekiguchi

- A, Ichinohe M, Kabuto C, Sakurai H. *Organometallics*. 1995; 14:1092. Wilhelm D, Dietrich H, Clark T, Mahdi W, Kos AJ, Schleyer PvR. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1984; 106:7279. Henderson KW, Dorigo AE, MacEwan GJ, Williard PG. *Tetrahedron*. 2011; 67:10291. Brask JK, Chivers T, Yap GPA. *Inorg Chem*. 1999; 38:5588. [PubMed: 11671287] Wilhelm D, Clark T, Schleyer PvR, Dietrich H, Mahdi W. *J Organomet Chem*. 1985; 280:C6. Lappert MF, Raston CL, Skelton BW, White AH. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun*. 1982:14.
17. We cannot find crystallographic studies of dilithium salts of enediolates; however, several probes of their physical properties have been reported: Kaneti J. *Bulg Chem Commun*. 1995; 28:458. Renaud P, Fox MA. *J Org Chem*. 1988; 53:3745. Aurell M, Gil S, Mestres R, Parra M, Tortajada A. *Tetrahedron*. 1994; 50:5109. Bongini A, Orena M, Sandri S. *J Chem Soc Chem Commun*. 1986:50. Sini G, Bellassoued M, Brodie N. *Tetrahedron*. 2000; 56:1207. Domingo LR, Gil S, Mestres R, Picher MT. *Tetrahedron*. 1996; 52:11105.
 18. After surveying a subset of the community, we have chosen to refer to $(\text{LiX})_n$ and $(\text{LiX})_m(\text{LiX}')_n$ as a “homoaggregate” and “heteroaggregate,” respectively, and reserve the term “mixed aggregate” for $(\text{LiX})_m(\text{LiY})_n$.
 19. Leading references to $\text{RLi}/\text{R}_2\text{NLi}$ mixed aggregates: Balamraju Y, Sharp CD, Gammill W, Manuel N, Pratt LM. *Tetrahedron*. 1999; 54:7357. Arvidsson PI, Hilmersson G, Davidsson O. *Chem Eur J*. 1999; 5:2348. Paté F, Duguet N, Oulyadi H, Harrison-Marchand A, Fressigné C, Valnot JV, Lasne MC, Maddaluno J. *J Org Chem*. 2007; 72:6982. [PubMed: 17683145] Pratt LM, Le LT, Truong TN. *J Org Chem*. 2005; 70:8298. [PubMed: 16209570] Johansson A, Pettersson A, Davidsson O. *J Organomet Chem*. 2000; 608:153. Arvidsson PI, Hilmersson G, Davidsson O. *Helv Chim Acta*. 2002; 85:3814.
 20. Sato D, Kawasaki H, Shimada I, Arata Y, Okamura K, Date T, Koga K. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1992; 114:761.
 21. (a) Kottke T, Stalke D. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl*. 1993; 32:580. (b) Rennels RA, Maliakal AJ, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1998; 120:421.
 22. Dilithium amides showing structural analogies with 4 and their mixed aggregates have been studied computationally: Pratt LM, Mu R, Carter C, Woodford B. *Tetrahedron*. 2007; 63:1331.
 23. Addition of *n*-BuOH to a solution of **6** affords a mixed aggregate that is isostructural to **6**, but is *not* observable under normal conditions.
 24. (a) Gregory K, Schleyer PvR, Snaith R. *Adv Inorg Chem*. 1991; 37:47. (b) Mulvey RE. *Chem Soc Rev*. 1991; 20:167. (c) Collum DB. *Acc Chem Res*. 1993; 26:227. (d) Lucht BL, Collum DB. *Acc Chem Res*. 1999; 32:1035.
 25. Crystallographic studies of dilithium amides: Daniele S, Drost C, Gehrhus B, Hawkins SM, Hitchcock PB, Lappert MF, Merle PG, Bott SG. *J Chem Soc Dalton Trans*. 2001:3179. Hellmann KW, Galka CH, Gade LH, Steiner A, Wright DS, Kottke T, Stalke D. *Chem Commun*. 1998:549. Galka CH, Trösch DJM, Rudenauer J, Gade LH, Scowen I, McPartlin M. *Inorg Chem*. 2000; 39:4615. Drost C, Hitchcock PB, Lappert MF. *J Chem Soc Dalton Trans*. 1996:3595. Gauvina RM, Kyritsakas N, Fischerb J, Kress J. *Chem Commun*. 2000:965. Westerhausen M, Kneifel AN, Mayer PZ. *Anorg Allg Chem*. 2006; 632:634. Martínez PH, Hultsch KC, Hampel F. *Chem Commun*. 2006:2221.
 26. (a) Seebach D, Hässig R, Gabriel J. *Helv Chim Acta*. 1983; 66:308. (b) McGarrity JF, Ogle CA. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1984; 107:1805. (c) Sun X, Winemiller MD, Xiang B, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2001; 123:8039. [PubMed: 11506560] (d) Keresztes I, Williard PG. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2000; 122:10228. and references cited therein.
 27. Gilchrist JH, Harrison AT, Fuller DJ, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1990; 112:4069.
 28. Xiang B, Winemiller MD, Briggs TF, Fuller DJ, Collum DB. *Magn Reson Chem*. 2001; 39:137.
 29. ^6Li is spin one. For a review of ^6Li NMR spectroscopy, see: Günther HJ. *Braz Chem*. 1999; 10:241.
 30. For theoretical investigations of ^6Li - ^{15}N coupling constants, see: Parisel O, Fressigné C, Maddaluno J, Giessner-Prettre C. *J Org Chem*. 2003; 68:1290. [PubMed: 12585867] Koizumi T, Morihashi K, Kikuchi O. *Bull Chem Soc Jpn*. 1996; 69:305.
 31. (a) Lucht BL, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1996; 118:3529. (b) Waldmüller D, Kotsatos BJ, Nichols MA, Williard PG. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1997; 119:5479. (c) Sato D, Kawasaki H, Shimada I, Arata Y, Okamura K, Date T, Koga K. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1992; 114:761. (d) Reich HJ, Goldenberg

- WS, Gudmundsson BO, Sanders AW, Kulicke KJ, Simon K, Guzei IA. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2001; 123:8067. [PubMed: 11506563] (e) Johansson A, Davidsson O. 2001; 7:3461.
32. In the C-Li-C subunit of hexalithio aggregate **5** the two carbons are chemically equivalent but magnetically inequivalent (couple differentially to ^6Li). Doubling of the ^6Li satellites in the ^6Li spectrum of **5** would have confirmed the C-Li-C connectivity, but no such doubling was observed. The absence of evidence, however, does not connote evidence of absence.
 33. Frisch, MJ., et al. Gaussian Version 3 09; revision A.1. Gaussian, Inc; Wallingford, CT: 2009.
 34. Review of piperidine conformational analysis: Blackburne ID, Katritzky AR, Takeuchi Y. *Acc Chem Res.* 1975; 8:300.
 35. Of 22 *N*-alkylpiperidine complexes characterized crystallographically, all but one shows axial disposition of lithium.^{ref 35a} For representative examples, see: Nichols MA, Sobinsky RM, Hunter AD, Zeller M. *J Chem Crystallogr.* 2007; 37:433. Strohmman C, Schildbach D, Auer D. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:7968. [PubMed: 15926793] Aoki K, Tomioka K, Noguchi H, Koga K. *Tetrahedron.* 1997; 53:13641. Sato D, Kawasaki H, Shimada I, Arata Y, Okamura K, Date T, Koga K. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1992; 114:761. Colquhoun VP, Strohmman C. *Dalton Trans.* 2012; 41:1897. [PubMed: 22169997]
 36. Zhou MS, Huang SP, Weng LH, Sun WH, Liu DS. *J Organomet Chem.* 2003; 665:237.
 37. The computations use the Gaussian standard state of 1.0 atm. If the THF concentration is corrected to neat THF (approximately 12 M), each solvation step benefits from approximately 2.0 kcal/mol of additional stabilization at -78 °C (195 K). Pratt LM, Merry S, Nguyen SC, Quan P, Thanh BT. *Tetrahedron.* 2006; 62:10821.
 38. Wijkens P, van Koten EM, Janssen MD, Jastrzebski TBH, Spek AL, van Koten G. *Angew Chem Int Ed Engl.* 1995; 34:219.
 39. (a) Seebach D, Amstutz R, Laube T, Schweizer WB, Dunitz JD. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1985; 107:5403. (b) Williard, PG. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Vol. 1. Pergamon; New York: 1991. p. 1(c) Setzer WN, Schleyer PvR. *Adv Organomet Chem.* 1985; 24:353.
 40. Lithium enolates and their mixed aggregates have been examined computationally: Abboto A, Streitwieser A, Schleyer PvR. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1997; 119:11255. Weiss H, Yakimansky AV, Müller AHE. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1996; 118:8897. Pratt LM, Khan IM. *J Comput Chem.* 1995; 16:1067. Dybal J, Kiz J. *Collect Czech Chem Commun.* 1994; 59:1699. Rosi M, Sgamellotti A, Floriani C. *J Mol Struct (THEOCHEM).* 1998; 431:33. Pratt LM, Streitwieser A. *J Org Chem.* 2003; 68:3830. Pratt LM, Nguyen NV, Ramachandran B. *J Org Chem.* 2005; 70:4279. [PubMed: 15903301] Streitwieser A, Reyes JR, Singhapricha T, Vu S, Shah K. *J Org Chem.* 2010; 75:3821. [PubMed: 20465266] Pugh JK, Streitwieser A. *J Org Chem.* 2001; 66:1334. [PubMed: 11312964] Kwan EE, Evans DA. *Org Lett.* 2010; 12:5124. [PubMed: 20886873] Streitwieser A. *J Org Chem.* 2009; 74:4433. [PubMed: 19518150]
 41. Lithium enolate-lithium amide mixed aggregates have been examined computationally: Pratt, Lm; Newman, A.; Cyr, JS.; Johnson, H.; Miles, B.; Lattier, A.; Austin, E.; Henderson, S.; Hershey, B.; Lin, M.; Balamraju, Y.; Sammonds, L.; Cheramie, J.; Karnes, J.; Hymel, E.; Woodford, B.; Carter, C. *J Org Chem.* 2003; 68:6387. [PubMed: 12895075] Henderson KW, Dorigo AE, Liu QY, Williard PG, Schleyer PvR, Bernstein PR. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1996; 118:1339. Romesberg FE, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1994; 116:9187. Pratt LM, Streitwieser AJ. *Org Chem.* 2003; 68:2830. Romesberg FE, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1994; 116:2166.
 42. Spectroscopic studies of lithium enolate-lithium amide mixed aggregates: Kim YJ, Streitwieser A. *Org Lett.* 2002; 4:573. [PubMed: 11843594] Sun CZ, Williard PG. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000; 122:7829. Williard PG, Hintze MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1990; 112:8602. Williard PG, Hintze MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1987; 109:5539.
 43. Calculations of the alkylation of a lithium enolate with MeBr display the absence of Li-Br contacts in exo transition structures: Ikuta Y, Tomoda S. *Org Lett.* 2004; 6:189. [PubMed: 14723525] By contrast, enolate alkylations with methylchloride show computational evidence of a cyclic transition structure analogous to **17a** and **17b**: Streitwieser A, Jayasree EG. *J Org Chem.* 2007; 72:1785. [PubMed: 17266378] Streitwieser A, Jayasree EG, Hasanayn F, Leung SSH. *J Org Chem.* 2008; 73:9426. [PubMed: 18973381]

44. For computed cyclic transition structures for enolate alkylations, see: Ando K, Morokuma K. *Theor Chem Acc.* 2011; 130:323. Streitwieser A. *J Mol Model.* 2006; 12:673. [PubMed: 16341718]
45. For examples showing that halogen leaving groups require no metal assistance during the alkylation of lithium-based nucleophiles, see: Zuend SJ, Ramirez A, Lobkovsky E, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2006; 128:5939. [PubMed: 16637662] Ikuta Y, Tomoda S. *Org Lett.* 2004; 6:189. [PubMed: 14723525] For a general discussion of lithium-assisted departure of the leaving group, see: Reich HJ, Sanders AW, Fiedler AT, Bevan MJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:13386. [PubMed: 12418882]
46. Theoretical studies of S_N2 reactions involving lithium-containing species: (i) No solvent: Ren Y, Gai JG, Xiong Y, Lee KH, Chu SY. *J Phys Chem A.* 2007; 111:6615. [PubMed: 17388388] Streitwieser A, Jayasree EG, Leung SSH, Choy GSC. *J Org Chem.* 2005; 70:8486. [PubMed: 16209596] Pomelli CS, Bianucci AM, Crotti P, Favero L. *J Org Chem.* 2004; 69:150. [PubMed: 14703391] Ren Y, Chu SY. *J Comput Chem.* 2004; 25:461. [PubMed: 14735566] Xiong Y, Zhu H, Ren Y. *J Mol Struct.* 2003; 279:664–665. Leung SSH, Streitwieser A. *J Comput Chem.* 1998; 19:1325. (ii) Dielectric solvation models. Streitwieser A, Jayasree EG, Hasanayn F, Leung SSH. *J Org Chem.* 2008; 73:9426. [PubMed: 18973381] Ren Y, Li M, Wong NB, Chu SY. *J Mol Model.* 2006; 12:182. [PubMed: 16258740] Ren Y, Chu SY. *J Phys Chem A.* 2004; 108:7079. Zhu H, Ren Y, Ren J. *J Mol Struct.* 2004; 686:65. (iii) Microsolvation models. Ando K. *J Org Chem.* 2006; 71:1837. [PubMed: 16496968] Ando K. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2005; 127:3964. [PubMed: 15771533]
47. For leading references to theoretical studies of O-lithiated species, see: Khartabi HK, Gros PC, Fort Y, Ruiz-Lopez MF. *J Org Chem.* 2006; 73:9393. Streitwieser A. *J Mol Model.* 2006; 12:673. [PubMed: 16341718] Pratt LM, Nguyen SC, Thanh BT. *J Org Chem.* 2008; 73:6086. [PubMed: 18646860] Pratt LM, Kwon OH, Chi T. *Tetrahedron.* 2008; 64:5314.
48. (a) Gupta L, Ramirez A, Collum DB. *J Org Chem.* 2010; 74:8392. [PubMed: 21077695] (b) See also reference 25b and 45a.
49. By definition, any organolithium equilibrium containing two or more species is inadequately represented by a single x-ray crystal structure. On two occasions, for example, we have characterized species crystallographically that were undetectable in solution. Xu F, Reamer RA, Tillyer R, Cummins JM, Grabowski EJJ, Reider PJ, Collum DB, Huffman JC. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2000; 122:11212. See reference 13k.
50. For examples of reactions that are fast relative to the rates of aggregate-aggregate exchanges see: McGarrity JF, Ogle CA. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1985; 107:1810. Jones AC, Sanders AW, Bevan MJ, Reich HJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:3492. [PubMed: 17341084] Thompson A, Corley EG, Huntington MF, Grabowski EJJ, Remenar JF, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1998; 120:2028. Jones AC, Sanders AW, Sikorski WH, Jansen KL, Reich HJ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008; 130:6060. [PubMed: 18419118] Reich HJ. *J Org Chem.* 2012; 77:5471. [PubMed: 22594379] Hoepker AC, Gupta L, Ma Y, Faggini MF, Collum DB. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2011; 133:7135. [PubMed: 21500823]
51. Kofron WG, Baclawski LM. *J Org Chem.* 1976; 41:1879.
52. Aubrecht KB, Lucht BL, Collum DB. *Organometallics.* 1999; 18:2981.
53. Wang X, Dong Y, Sun J, Xu X, Li R, Hu YJ. *Org Chem.* 2005; 70:1897. (b) Prati L, Rossi M. *Appl Catal B.* 1999; 23:135.
54. (a) Kozminski W, Philipsborn WJ. *Magn Reson A.* 1995; 116:262. (b) Samejima K, Takeda Y, Kawase M, Okada M, Kyogoku Y. *Chem Pharm Bull.* 1984; 32:3428. [PubMed: 6525647]
55. Scherer G, Limbach HH. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1994; 116:1230.

Figure 1.
ORTEP of hexalithio *n*-BuLi-dilithiated amide mixed aggregate **5**.

Figure 2. ^6Li NMR spectra of 0.10 M $[^6\text{Li}, ^{15}\text{N}]\mathbf{6}$ prepared from $[^{15}\text{N}_4]\mathbf{3}$ with 4.0 equiv $n\text{-BuLi}$ in 6.10 M THF-pentane recorded at -90°C after aging at -78°C for 2.0 hr: (A) fully coupled; (B) broadband ^{15}N decoupled. *Unknown impurities that appear sporadically.

Figure 3. ^{15}N NMR spectra of 0.10 M $[\text{}^6\text{Li}, \text{}^{15}\text{N}]\mathbf{6}$ prepared from $[\text{}^{15}\text{N}_4]\mathbf{3}$ with 4.0 equiv *n*-BuLi in 6.10 M THF-pentane recorded at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ after aging at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ overnight: (A) fully coupled; (B) broadband ^{15}N decoupled. *Unknown impurities that appear sporadically.

Figure 4. ^{13}C NMR spectra of 0.10 M $[\text{}^6\text{Li}, \text{}^{15}\text{N}]\mathbf{6}$ prepared from $[\text{}^{15}\text{N}_4]\mathbf{3}$ with 4.0 equiv *n*-BuLi in 6.10 M THF-pentane recorded at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ after aging at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ overnight. (^{13}C resonance of the *n*-BuLi dimer is not shown but appears as a 1:2:3:2:1 quintet at 12.6 ppm.)

Figure 5.
DFT computed structure of **6** as disolvate (**6a**) showing critical structural variables.

Figure 6.
ORTEP of hexalithio enediolate-dilithiated amide mixed aggregate **7**.

Figure 7. ^6Li NMR spectra of 0.10 M $[\text{}^6\text{Li}, \text{}^{15}\text{N}]\mathbf{8}$ and residual $[\text{}^6\text{Li}, \text{}^{15}\text{N}]\mathbf{6}$ prepared from $[\text{}^{15}\text{N}_4]\mathbf{3}$ with 4.0 equiv *n*-BuLi in 6.1 M THF-pentane recorded at -90°C after aging at -78°C for 2.0 hr: (A) fully ^{15}N coupled; (B) ^{15}N broadband decoupled. *Unassigned resonances.

Figure 8. ^{15}N NMR spectra of 0.10 M $[^6\text{Li}, ^{15}\text{N}]\mathbf{8}$ and residual $[^6\text{Li}, ^{15}\text{N}]\mathbf{6}$ prepared from $[^{15}\text{N}_4]\mathbf{3}$, 4.0 equiv *n*-BuLi, and phenylacetic acid in 0.10 M THF-pentane recorded at -90°C after aging at -78°C for 2.0 hr: (A) fully ^{15}N coupled; (B) ^6Li broadband decoupled.

Figure 9. Calculated transition structures **17a** and **17b** showing selectivity for alkylation from the *si* and *re* enolate faces, respectively.

scheme 1.

scheme 2.

Table 1

^6Li and ^{15}N NMR spectroscopic data for mixed aggregates **6** and **8**.

	(ppm)	mult	J (Hz)	(ppm)	mult	J (Hz)
Li_1	1.63	dd	$\text{Li}_1\text{-N}_1 = 2.1$	1.24	dd	$\text{Li}_1\text{-N}_1 = 2.2$
Li_2	2.65	ddd	$\text{Li}_1\text{-N}_2 = 4.3$	2.20	ddd	$\text{Li}_1\text{-N}_2 = 4.3$
Li_3	2.37	d	$\text{Li}_2\text{-N}_2 = 4.9$	2.06	d	$\text{Li}_2\text{-N}_2 = 4.8$
Li_4	---	---	$\text{Li}_2\text{-N}_3 = 4.3$	-0.26	s	$\text{Li}_2\text{-N}_3 = 3.6$
			$\text{Li}_2\text{-N}_4 = 2.1$			$\text{Li}_2\text{-N}_4 = 1.9$
			$\text{Li}_3\text{-N}_3 = 5.8$			$\text{Li}_3\text{-N}_3 = 5.6$
N_1	44.4	t		44.7	t	
N_2	45.4	tt		41.3	tt	
N_3	54.2	tt		45.8	tt	
N_4	46.4	t		46.4	t	