Published in final edited form as:

Sex Health. 2010 March ; 7(1): . doi:10.1071/SH09028.

Young adult sexual health: current and prior sexual behaviors among non-Hispanic white U.S. college students

Jenny A. Higgins, PhD, MPH^{1,5}, James Trussell, PhD², Nelwyn B. Moore, PhD³, and J. Kenneth Davidson Sr., PhD⁴

¹Columbia University, USA

²The Office of Population Research, Princeton University, USA

³Texas State University—San Marcos, USA

⁴University of Wisconsin—Eau Claire, USA

Abstract

Objective—Less is known about the sexual health of young adults compared to adolescents, despite 20-24 year olds' greater risk of unintended pregnancy and sexually transmitted infections. This paper provides information on college students' prior and current sexual practices, including oral sex, vaginal intercourse, anal intercourse, and masturbation.

Methods—We analyzed data from a cross-sectional sexuality survey of students from two university campuses in the USA, one Midwestern and one Southwestern (N=1504). The sample consisted of non-Hispanic white, never-married students who identified as heterosexual.

Results—Of 16 possible combinations of four sexual activities (solitary masturbation, oral sex, vaginal intercourse, and anal intercourse), only four contained more than 5% of respondents: masturbation, oral sex, and vaginal intercourse (37%); oral sex and vaginal intercourse only (20%); all four (14%); and none (8%). Twenty percent had ever engaged in anal intercourse. Women were significantly less likely than men to have ever masturbated (48% versus 92%). Analyses exhibited several sexual health challenges, including lack of verbal sexual consent, alcohol use proximal to sex, and lack of contraceptive use.

Conclusions—Although few young adults are substituting it for vaginal intercourse, anal intercourse is increasingly common, and safer sex efforts should encourage condom use during both sexual activities. Masturbation should be encouraged as an alternative to higher risk sexual practices and an essential aspect of sexual well-being. Finally, practitioners should continue to address specific threats to college students' sexual health, including alcohol use and nonverbal consent.

Keywords

Sexual health; sexual practices; young adults; college students; masturbation; anal intercourse; oral sex

⁵To whom correspondence should be addressed: Heilbrunn Department of Population and Family Health, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University, 60 Haven Avenue, New York, NY 10032; phone 212.304.5766; fax 212.305.7024; jh2527@columbia.edu November 2009.

Introduction & Objective

For decades, the sexual behaviors of young people have been of significant interest to researchers, policy makers, and—not least—the general public. Surveillance studies have most consistently tracked the prevalence of vaginal intercourse over time, especially among adolescents. Among U.S. high school students, the proportion reporting ever having had vaginal intercourse has decreased slightly in the past fifteen years, from 54% in 1991 to 48% in 2007 (1). Despite small recent declines among teenagers, vaginal intercourse appears to be a strongly normative sexual experience among people in their early 20s. Data from the 2002 National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), a nationally representative study of 15-44 year olds, indicate that 87% of 20-24 year olds have engaged in vaginal intercourse (2).

Increasingly, research has explored non-coital activities such as oral sex (3), and—most recently of all—anal intercourse. Young people may engage in these activities not only to experience pleasure but also to be sexually active while retaining their “technical virginity” (4, 5). Based on 2002 NSFG data, 55% of 15-19 year olds and 83% of 20-24 year olds have engaged in heterosexual oral sex (2). Although anal intercourse is still far less ubiquitous, its prevalence within the U.S. sexual repertoire seems to be increasing (6-9). The 1994 University of Chicago study found that only 8% of 18-24 year olds reported anal intercourse (10). By 2002, 11% of 15-19 year olds and 32% of 20-24 year olds reported the same (2). Studies among college students more specifically have encountered similar reports, with anal intercourse prevalence ranging from 16% (11) to 32% (12). Anal intercourse is associated with higher per-act probability of HIV transmission compared to vaginal intercourse (13), but evidence suggests that young people are less likely to use condoms during anal intercourse than vaginal intercourse (14, 15).

Solitary masturbation is another sexual activity common to young people, but masturbation has been comparatively unexplored in the literature—undoubtedly due to a focus on negative sexual outcomes such as sexually transmitted infections (STIs) and unintended pregnancy (16). Despite a few exceptions (17), even less has been written about masturbation among young women (18), who are especially likely to struggle with masturbation-related guilt (19, 20). This lack of research attention is unfortunate, given masturbation's potential association with greater self-efficacy, enjoyment, and self-care (21), or even its substitution for higher risk sexual practices (17). Based on the 1994 Chicago study, 29% of men aged 18-24 reported masturbating at least once a week, compared with 9% of women the same age (10). The Chicago investigators also found that this age group was more likely to feel guilty about masturbating than any other age group.

The studies cited above demonstrate a reasonably clear picture of the patterns and pervasiveness of these sexual activities individually: vaginal intercourse, oral sex, anal intercourse, and masturbation. However, despite a recent analysis that differentiated between coital and non-coital activities (22), we know comparatively little about the ways in which these four sexual activities are most commonly clustered or concentrated among young people. Understanding the most common combinations could provide a better overall discernment of young people's sexual scripts and well-being. It could also supply information on how to better package our sexual health promotion and risk-reduction efforts and messages.

In one of the few studies to explore the overlap between various sexual activities, Lindberg and colleagues used 2002 NSFG data to explore the relationships between vaginal intercourse and “non-coital behavior” (anal intercourse and oral sex) among 15-19 year olds (23). The investigators found large differences in the rates of oral sex and anal intercourse according to whether adolescents had engaged in vaginal intercourse. Not only were vaginal

intercourse and oral sex strongly associated with one another, but the likelihood of engaging in anal intercourse increased significantly with extended duration of time after first vaginal intercourse. The study found little evidence that adolescents were using oral sex or anal intercourse as a “substitution” for vaginal intercourse as a way to retain technical virginity (4).

Despite the comprehensiveness of this study, the analyses did not include masturbation, which can be an important aspect of overall sexual well-being. Furthermore, few comparable studies have been conducted among older youth. One in ten of the 15-19 year olds in Lindberg et al.'s study reported ever having had anal intercourse, but based on the literature cited above (6-9), the prevalence of anal intercourse—as well as vaginal intercourse and oral sex—are likely to increase as young people enter their 20s.

Indeed, most researchers and policy makers who monitor young people's sexual behavior have focused overwhelmingly on adolescents—but there are compelling reasons to concentrate on young adults. Although “teenage pregnancy” dominates the policy landscape, 20-24 year olds are significantly more likely to experience an unintended pregnancy than women aged 19 or younger (24). STI rates are twice as common among 20-24 year olds as 15-19 year olds (7% versus 3%) (2). Stage-of-life influences on sexuality differ decidedly among young adults compared to adolescents; young adulthood ushers in far less adult supervision, often with a living situation removed from one's parent(s), factors that contribute to new sexual openness and possibilities for exploration.

College students face unique challenges in their pursuit of sexual health and enjoyment. They are more likely than their nonstudent counterparts (as well as more likely than adolescents) to have multiple sexual partners and use drugs and alcohol proximal to sexual activity (12). Alcohol use during the college years is more common than during any other phase of life, and alcohol use tends to be strongly associated with multiple or casual sex partners (although it is inconsistently associated with condom use) (25, 26).

This paper presents results from a study of 1504 college students, exploring which sexual activities tend to be most commonly clustered together among individual students. One of our objectives was to explore the degree to which college students may be engaging in oral and anal sex *instead* of vaginal sex as a way of retaining their “technical virginity” (4). We also sought to provide a more detailed look at masturbation and several other current and previous sexual practices (e.g., contraceptive use, sex under the influence of alcohol or drugs, sex with new or casual acquaintances, and type of sexual consent) than those available in other studies of college students (26-28).

Design & Methods

Procedures

Data originate from an anonymous questionnaire administered to students in October and November of 1999 at two public universities—one located in Texas and one in Wisconsin. These data derive from a larger study of sexual behavior and attitudes among students at four universities (19), at two of which certain questions on sexual behavior were not asked, making their data unusable for this study. Human subjects protocols for the study were reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards (IRBs) of all four universities. The remaining procedures relate only to the two schools (Texas and Wisconsin) on which this paper is based.

In the data collection process, the principal investigators at Wisconsin (Davidson) and Texas (Moore) obtained cooperation from a wide array of professors at their respective

universities. During the class period devoted to the survey, students were informed by the investigators that they were conducting a study concerning the sexual attitudes and behaviors college students that had been approved by the IRB on their campus. Lower and upper division classes in general education, social sciences, business, and family studies were used. During the class period devoted to the survey, using a script, the primary investigators explained the survey procedures for the study, assuring potential respondents that their participation was voluntary and anonymous. They were then given a cover letter, certifying IRB approval, and a copy of the questionnaire. Students were instructed that if they did not wish to participate, they were to return the questionnaire uncompleted. After completion of questionnaire, which took approximately 45 minutes, it was deposited by the student into a ballot box at the front of the room before exiting. A research assistant monitored the return of the questionnaires. The response rate was 97.8 % at Texas and 99.4% at Wisconsin. No incentives of any kind were offered for participation.

The questionnaire consisted of both open and close-ended questions pertaining to demographic information, sexual history, contraceptive practices, STI history, sexual attitudes, sexual guilt, and sexual satisfaction. Some questions requested that students write in their numeric answer—for example, their age or number of sexual partners. Other questions asked students to circle the appropriate categorical response.

Sample

Altogether, 1971 students were surveyed, 897 at Texas and 1074 at Wisconsin. The sex ratio was a function of the classes available in which to conduct the survey, including psychology, sociology, and family studies courses, which have a disproportionate number of female students. Given our interest in premarital sexual activity in this analysis, the sample was limited to those students who had never been married (which excluded 166 married, divorced, separated, or widowed students, leaving 1074 women and 657 men). Students were asked to indicate their “current sexual orientation”, and those who answered *bisexual* or *gay/lesbian* (n=65, or 2% of the sample) were also excluded; due to the prohibitively few respondents from sexual minority populations, we decided to focus on those respondents who identified as heterosexual. Students older than age 23 (n=257) were also excluded, as were those who gave no response (n=2) or wrote “not applicable” (n=16) to the question about class standing (freshman, sophomore, junior, senior). (There was overlap between some of these cases—for example, if a respondent was 24 and circled “not applicable” under class standing, she or he would appear in two different exclusion categories.)

Compared to non-Hispanic Whites (n=1504), the sample contained exceedingly small numbers of Black (n=45), Hispanic (n=121), Asian (n=29), American Indian (n=5), or multiracial (n=10) students to conduct separate analyses by gender and race/ethnicity. Moreover, both Blacks and Hispanics report substantially different rates of oral sex and anal intercourse than non-Hispanic whites (25). Thus, the analyses were restricted to non-Hispanic whites only, 1,026 women and 478 men. These exclusion and inclusion criteria created a final sample size of 1504 students, with 922 from Wisconsin (626 women, 296 men), and 582 from Texas (400 women, 182 men).

Statistical Analyses

Given the strong and persistent effect of gender on sexual attitudes and practices, we stratified all analyses by gender in order to examine men's and women's results separately. Preliminary analyses indicated significant differences between the two school locations for some of the sexuality variables, so subsequent analyses were stratified by school location as well. Young men and women at the two universities were compared with respect to sexual behaviors using Chi-square tests, analysis of variance (ANOVA), and T-tests as appropriate.

Results

Descriptives

Table 1 displays a number of descriptive and socio-demographic characteristics of the sample. Respondents' mean age was 20.0 years. Three-quarters of respondents (77%) lived with both parents while growing up, 16% lived with their mother only, 11% lived with at least one stepparent, and 2% lived with their father only. The majority of students were reared in either mainline Protestant (38%) or Catholic (37%) households, with 8% reporting a Baptist background, 8% reporting no religious background, and 7% reporting a Fundamentalist background (less than 1% reported a non-Christian or Institutional Sect). About half of respondents (47%) reported that they were “about as religious” as others, while 38% said they were less religious and 15% more religious.

Prevalence and Combinations of Solitary Masturbation, Oral Sex, Vaginal Intercourse, and Anal Intercourse

Eighty-three percent reported ever experiencing oral sex, and 76% reported vaginal intercourse (see Table 2). One in five students (20%) reported engaging in anal intercourse since age 14—a figure with almost no variation across gender or school. Approximately two-thirds of students had ever masturbated while alone (62%), although significantly fewer women (48%) than men (91%) had done so.

We created a 16-category “sexual activity matrix” that grouped respondents by which combination of four sexual activities they had ever experienced—solitary masturbation, oral sex, vaginal intercourse, and anal intercourse. Notably, only four categories contained greater than 5% of respondents. These categories were masturbation, oral sex, and vaginal intercourse only (37%); oral sex and vaginal intercourse only (20%); all four activities (14%); and none of these four activities (8%).

Less common but still relatively prevalent categories included the following: oral sex, anal intercourse, and vaginal intercourse only (5%); masturbation only (5%); oral sex only (4%); and oral sex and anal intercourse only (5%). The vast majority of students who had engaged in anal intercourse had also had vaginal intercourse.

Although sexual activity groupings did not differ significantly by school, they did by gender—mainly due to women's lower prevalence of masturbation. More than 50% of men had engaged in vaginal intercourse, oral intercourse, and masturbation but not anal intercourse, while the same was true of only 28% of women. In turn, whereas approximately a quarter (27%) of women had only experienced oral sex and vaginal intercourse but not masturbation or anal intercourse, the same was true for only 4% of men. Men were slightly more likely to have experienced all four activities (18% of men versus 12% of women), whereas women were more likely to have experienced none of them (10% of women versus 2% of men).

Sexual behaviors among those who have already had intercourse

First vaginal intercourse—As Table 3 indicates, among those respondents who had experienced vaginal intercourse, a sizeable minority did not use contraception at first intercourse (25% total, but almost 40% of Texas men). Of those who did use contraception, condoms were the overwhelming method of choice: over 80% reported using condoms alone. Lack of contraceptive use at first vaginal intercourse may be explained in part by nonverbal consent and alcohol use. Although approximately half of students (48%) said they provided verbal consent for their first vaginal intercourse, a strikingly large proportion said they *implied* consent nonverbally, ranging from 35% (Wisconsin women) to 61% (Texas men). Intoxication at first vaginal intercourse was also common across gender and school

(22%). Those students who provided nonverbal consent and who were intoxicated at the time were significantly less likely to have used a contraceptive method during first vaginal intercourse (not shown).

Number of sexual partners and sexual frequency—Among those students who already had engaged in vaginal intercourse at least once, the average number of lifetime sexual partners ranged from 3.6 (Wisconsin women) to 6.4 (Texas men). Numbers were significantly higher for male students at both schools, and both Texas men and women reported significantly more partners than Wisconsin men and women, respectively. But the mean number of partners reported in the last year (1.8) was almost identical across school and gender. Respondents also reported frequent vaginal intercourse, with a mean ranging from 2.8 (Wisconsin women) to 3.4 (all other groups) sexual episodes in the last week.

Casual Sex, Alcohol Use—About a third (30%) of ever-sexually-active students reported ever having vaginal intercourse with someone they just met, with men significantly more likely to have done so. Of those who did report casual sex, approximately 80% said they had done so at least once under the influence of alcohol—a figure that was similar across gender and school.

Contraception—Among those respondents who had ever had intercourse, the large majority reported using contraception at their last sexual intercourse (80%), with women at both schools significantly more likely than men to do so.

However, a surprisingly large minority of students do *not* use contraception at every sexual episode. Twenty-two percent of the total sample answered “sometimes” or “almost always” to the question, “How often do you have intercourse without contraception?”, with men and women at Texas (37% and 27%, respectively) significantly more likely to do so than men and women at Wisconsin (24% and 14%).

Pregnancy and STIs—Given the large minority of students reporting that they “sometimes” or “almost always” have vaginal intercourse without using contraception (22%), the percent reporting involvement in a pregnancy was small but in keeping with national figures: 5% of Wisconsin women; 8% of Wisconsin men; 14% of Texas women; 7% of Texas men. Approximately 7% of women and 4% of men reported that they had ever been diagnosed with an STI.

Sexual Coercion and Violence—Women were significantly more likely than men to report ever having been fondled or had clothes removed against their will (17% of women versus 7% of men) and ever having had intercourse against their will on a date (22% of women versus 2% of men).

Solitary Masturbation

Table 4 presents findings on masturbation practices. Most students at both schools masturbate regularly and most started several years before initiating intercourse. Despite significant gender differences in the expected direction, women displayed a striking frequency of masturbation. Only one in two women (48%) had ever masturbated compared to 91% of men. Those who do masturbate started the practice within two years of young men's initiation (15.0 years of age versus men's 13.2 years) and now masturbate relatively frequently. Of those who had ever masturbated, approximately 88% of women and 98% of men had done so in the past year. In terms of a weekly composite, women had masturbated an average of two times per week compared to men's three times.

Guilt related to masturbation was prevalent, and significantly more so for Wisconsin students and for women than men at both schools. A sizeable fraction, especially Wisconsin women (47%) and men (33%), reported that they occasionally, frequently, or always experience guilt about masturbating.

Discussion

In this analysis of sexual activities and behaviors among non-Hispanic white, heterosexual college students at two large universities, we have added another layer of understanding to what we currently know about the sexual activities of young adults, especially compared to adolescents. Twice as many college students in this sample have engaged in anal intercourse compared to the adolescents in Lindberg et al.'s recent analysis (23)—20% versus 10%. Our one-in-five figure also represents a sizeable increase from the 8% of 18-24 year olds who reported anal intercourse in Laumann et al.'s 1994 nationally representative study of sexual behaviors (10). Although anal sex is still practiced by a minority of young adults, public health practitioners need to recognize that its practice appears to be increasing among youth, and safer sex promotion efforts should respond appropriately (6, 29). However, consistent with Lindberg et al.'s findings, very few college students (5%) in our sample appear to be engaging in oral sex or anal intercourse in place of vaginal intercourse. The overwhelming majority of respondents who have had anal intercourse have also had vaginal intercourse, and usually in conjunction with oral sex and—for men in particular—masturbation. And most students who have had vaginal intercourse have also had oral sex.

Out of sixteen possible combinations of four sexual activities (masturbation, oral sex, vaginal intercourse, and anal intercourse), only four categories contain more than 5% of the total sample. The most common combination for men is masturbation, oral sex, and vaginal intercourse; for women, oral sex and vaginal intercourse only. Fourteen percent of all students had taken part in all four activities, and eight percent had not yet taken part in any of them.

Our dataset also afforded us a closer look at the masturbation practices of college students. At first glance, a striking (albeit expected) gender imbalance marks these practices: 48% percent of women versus 91% of men reported having ever masturbated. These figures are nearly identical to Pinkerton et al.'s 2002 study of college students, in which 44% of women and 98% of men reported ever having masturbated (30). Significant evidence indicates that U.S. women engage in much less sexual self-exploration than men, and girls' earliest experiences of arousal are more likely to occur in heterosexual dating situations; consequently, young women are less likely than young men to learn how to arouse themselves and have not experienced the sensation of an orgasm (21, 31). However, from another angle, findings from this study indicate a less striking gender disparity: young women's mean age of first solo masturbation was only 1.5 years later than young men's, (15.0 years old for women, 13.5 for men), and both female and male respondents with any history of masturbation had masturbated frequently in the past year (88% of women, 98% of men).

Regular masturbation can be an important aspect of overall sexual well-being, given its associations with greater sexual enjoyment and self awareness, especially among women (17, 21). Future analyses should explore whether regular masturbation is also associated with more frequent safer sex practices, as preliminary research suggests (21). Public health practitioners would be wise to promote masturbation as an essential aspect of sexual health and safer sex. But challenges to this strategy certainly exist, given the guilt and stigma associated with the practice, especially for women. Although 42% of respondents in our sample reported “never” experiencing guilt while masturbating, over a third experience guilt

at least occasionally, and women are disproportionately affected by guilt. Laumann et al. found that 18-24 year olds were more likely than any older age group to feel guilty about masturbating (10). Thus, we agree with other scholars and practitioners who advocate for the reduction of social stigma around masturbation as an important step in the improvement of young people's mental and sexual health (17, 21, 32).

Our findings illustrate some of the major obstacles to optimal sexual health among college students, including alcohol use and nonverbal consent for vaginal intercourse. In terms of alcohol use, more than one in five respondents (22%) were under the influence of alcohol or drugs at the time of first sexual intercourse, and 81% of those who had ever vaginal intercourse with someone they just met said they were under the influence of alcohol or drugs at least once while doing so. In terms of consent, approximately one in two students (47%) indicated that their consent was “nonverbal” during their first vaginal intercourse. In other analyses with these data, we found that couples who did not verbally discuss whether to initiate intercourse were significantly less likely to have used contraception (33). Unfortunately, this survey provides us with no measures of consent during more recent sexual episodes. Nonetheless, we wonder if implied versus verbal consent in students' current sexual lives (which could be exacerbated when paired with alcohol use—over half of students reported becoming “very” or “moderately” intoxicated when they do drink) might explain at least some of the startlingly high prevalence of students who do not use contraceptives regularly, even though one would expect college students to be strongly motivated to avoid unintended pregnancy. The issue of consent and sexual ambiguity, especially when combined with alcohol use, could be an important focus in future studies of young adults' sexual health (34).

Limitations

The descriptive nature of this study and the large number of “outcome” variables prohibited multivariate analyses of each of the behaviors described (e.g., we could not share results from 16 separate regressions for each of the categories described in Table 2's sexual activity matrix). Thus, we did not have adequate space to explore the factors most strongly associated with various sexual behaviors, nor to describe the socio-demographic profile of those respondents most likely to have engaged in each of the behaviors. However, our intention here was to present a wide-angle sexual snapshot of these college students' sexual histories and behaviors, and to achieve a level of detail not available in previous large studies of college student (26-28), especially regarding practices such as anal sex, masturbation, nonverbal consent, etc.

Nor did we have space in this paper to extensively explore possible explanations for significant differences between the school locations. Several factors could have explained slight sexual differences between the two universities, including sexuality education history, social drinking norms on the respective campuses, different levels of religiosity between the student bodies, as well as regional differences in sexual attitudes and behaviors (10). Future studies could more deeply investigate the extent to which campuses comprise different sexual cultures that shape students' behaviors.

Any study of sexual behavior is subject to reporting bias, given both social stigma and recall issues. For example, people are likely under-report more taboo practices such as masturbation (35) and anal sex. Studies have also shown that respondents can misclassify earlier reports of their age at first intercourse (36, 37), and the issue of consent may also be prone to misclassification. But other studies have found very strong consistency of reports of early sexual experiences, especially among younger adults (38). Early sexual experiences such as first sexual intercourse are likely to be vivid events for many respondents (39, 40), and the time gap between first intercourse and the reporting of this event will be shorter in a

sample of college students than in a sample of older adults. Furthermore, information on sexual behaviors always depends on self-report, so the assessing sexual health practices necessitates the use of this type of data collection and its potential bias (41).

Given this study's use of a non-random sample of non-Hispanic white, heterosexual students at only two universities, results cannot be extrapolated to all U.S. college students, but rather to universities with similar demographic characteristics. We encourage future studies of young adults' sexuality to explore whether or not the sexual combinations most common in these data would emerge from more diverse, representative samples. That said, our findings are notably similar to more nationally representative college student samples. For example, in both our sample and in the National College Health Risk Behavior Survey (26, 28), among those respondents with at least some history of vaginal intercourse, exactly 80% reported using at least some form of contraception at last intercourse. In the American College Health Association's 2007 National College Health Assessment (27), women reported 1.8 partners in the last year and men reported 2.3; in our own study, these figures were 1.8 for women and 1.9 for men.

The analyses were also limited by the sexual information collected. Unlike the instrument used by Lindberg et al. (23), this survey instrument did not ask respondents to indicate the time period in which they began engaging in some sexual activities. Thus, it is impossible to document sexual trajectories—for example, the degree to which oral sex precedes vaginal intercourse, or how frequently anal intercourse occurs after versus before vaginal intercourse. Further, the oral sex variable did not distinguish between oral sex *received* or *given*, which could hide a gender disparity. Young women may be slightly but significantly more likely than men to have performed rather than received oral sex (42). The lifetime sexual partners variable did not specify the kinds of sex implicated with the exception of vaginal intercourse.

Despite its limitations, this study has expanded the public health field's understanding of young adult sexual health, adding an important layer to our existing knowledge of adolescent sexuality. This study has outlined both historical and current sexual behaviors and highlighted some of the challenges to—and potential advances in—optimal sexual health among young people more generally and college students in particular.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank The Kinsey Institute for Research in Sex, Gender, and Reproduction—and Thomas G. Albright in particular—for managing the dataset. Higgins thanks Margo Mullinax for her outstanding assistance during the final stages of manuscript preparation. Moore and Davidson express their appreciation to John C. Copeland, Kristin Wanish Salisbury, and Amanda E. Matzek, Research Assistants, University of Wisconsin—Eau Claire, for their many contributions related to data collection, codebook development, data coding, and data input for this research project. Financial support for the project was provided by the University Research and Creative Grants Program at the University of Wisconsin—Eau Claire and the Office of Sponsored Research at Texas State University—San Marcos. Three anonymous reviewers also offered invaluable feedback.

References

1. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. National Youth Risk Behavior Survey. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control 2007; 1991-2007. Trends in the prevalence of selected risk behaviors for all students.
2. Mosher WD, Chandra A, Jones J. Sexual Behavior and Selected Health Measures: Men and Women Ages 15-44 Years of Age. Advanced Data. 2004 Dec 10; 2004(No.362):1-56.
3. Brewster KL, Tillman KH. Who's doing it? Patterns and predictors of youths' oral sexual experiences. J Adolesc Health. 2008 Jan; 42(1):73-80. [PubMed: 18155033]

4. Bruckner H, Bearman P. After the promise: the STD consequences of adolescent virginity pledges. *J Adolesc Health*. 2005 Apr; 36(4):271–8. [PubMed: 15780782]
5. Sanders SA, Reinisch JM. Would you say you “had sex” if ...? *JAMA*. 1999 Jan; 281(3):275–7. [PubMed: 9918484]
6. Lescano CM, Houck CD, Brown LK, Doherty G, Diclemente RJ, Fernandez MI, et al. Correlates of Heterosexual Anal Intercourse Among At-Risk Adolescents and Young Adults. *Am J Public Health*. 2008 Nov 13.
7. Tian LH, Peterman TA, Tao G, Brooks LC, Metcalf C, Malotte CK, et al. Heterosexual anal sex activity in the year after an STD clinic visit. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008 Nov; 35(11):905–9. [PubMed: 18685549]
8. Leichter JS. Heterosexual anal sex: part of an expanding sexual repertoire? *Sex Transm Dis*. 2008 Nov; 35(11):910–1. [PubMed: 18813143]
9. Leichter JS, Chandra A, Liddon N, Fenton KA, Aral SO. Prevalence and correlates of heterosexual anal and oral sex in adolescents and adults in the United States. *J Infect Dis*. 2007 Dec 15; 196(12): 1852–9. [PubMed: 18190267]
10. Laumann, EO.; Gagnon, JH.; Michael, RT.; Michaels, S. The social organization of sexuality: sexual practices in the United States. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; 1994.
11. Reinisch JM, Hill CA, Sanders SA, Ziemba-Davis M. High-risk sexual behavior at a midwestern university: a confirmatory survey. *Fam Plann Perspect*. 1995 Mar-Apr; 27(2):79–82. [PubMed: 7796901]
12. Flannery D, Ellingson L, Votaw KS, Schaefer EA. Anal intercourse and sexual risk factors among college women, 1993–2000. *American Journal of Health Behavior*. 2003 May-Jun; 27(3):228–34. [PubMed: 12751619]
13. Varghese B, Maher JE, Peterman TA, Branson BM, Steketee RW. Reducing the risk of sexual HIV transmission: quantifying the per-act risk for HIV on the basis of choice of partner, sex act, and condom use. *Sex Transm Dis*. 2002 Jan; 29(1):38–43. [PubMed: 11773877]
14. Erickson PI, Bastani R, Maxwell AE, Marcus AC, Capell FJ, Yan KX. Prevalence of anal sex among heterosexuals in California and its relationship to other AIDS risk behaviors. *AIDS Educ Prev*. 1995 Dec; 7(6):477–93. [PubMed: 8924345]
15. Rotheram-Borus MJ, Marelich WD, Srinivasan S. HIV risk among homosexual, bisexual, and heterosexual male and female youths. *Arch Sex Behav*. 1999 Apr; 28(2):159–77. [PubMed: 10483508]
16. Fine M. Sexuality, schooling, and adolescent females: The missing discourse of desire. *Harvard Educ Rev*. 1988 Feb; 58(1):29–53.
17. Davidson JK, Moore NB. Masturbation and premarital sexual intercourse among college women: making choices for fulfillment. *Journal of Sex & Marital Therapy*. 1994; 20(3):178–99. [PubMed: 7996590]
18. Regnerus, M. Forbidden fruit: Sex & religion in the lives of American teenagers. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press; 2007.
19. Davidson JK, Moore NB, Earle JR, Davis R. Sexual attitudes and behavior at four universities: Do region, race, and/or religion matter? *Adolescence*. 2008; 43(170):189–220. [PubMed: 18689097]
20. Tolman DL. Doing desire: Adolescent girls' struggles for/with sexuality. *Gender & Society*. 1994; 8:324–42.
21. Hogarth H, Ingham R. Masturbation Among Young Women and Associations with Sexual Health: An Exploratory Study. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2009
22. Hensel DJ, Fortenberry JD, Orr DP. Variations in coital and noncoital sexual repertoire among adolescent women. *J Adolesc Health*. 2008 Feb; 42(2):170–6. [PubMed: 18207095]
23. Lindberg LD, Jones R, Santelli JS. Noncoital sexual activities among adolescents. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2008 Sep; 43(3):231–8. [PubMed: 18710677]
24. Finer LB, Henshaw SK. Disparities in rates of unintended pregnancy in the United States, 1994 and 2001. *Perspectives on Sexual and Reproductive Health*. 2006 Jun; 38(2):90–6. [PubMed: 16772190]
25. Cooper ML. Alcohol use and risky sexual behavior among college students and youth: evaluating the evidence. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol Suppl*. 2002 Mar; 14(1):101–17.

26. Douglas KA, Collins JL, Warren C, Kann L, Gold R, Clayton S, et al. Results from the 1995 National College Health Risk Behavior Survey. *J Am Coll Health*. 1997 Sep; 46(2):55–66. [PubMed: 9276349]
27. American College Health Association. [Accessed November, 19 2009] American College Health Association - National College Health Assessment (ACHA-NCHA) Web Summary. 2007. http://www.acha-ncha.org/data_highlights.html
28. The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. The National College Health Risk Behavior Survey (NCHRBBS). Atlanta, GA: National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion; 1997.
29. Saletan, W. [Accessed on December 16 2008] Ass Backwards. *Slate* [serial on the Internet]. Sep 20. 2005 <http://www.slate.com/id/2126643/>
30. Pinkerton SD, Bogart LM, Cecil H, Abramson PR. Factors Associated with Masturbation in a Collegiate Sample. *Journal of Psychology & Human Sexuality*. 2003; 14(2):103–21.
31. Hyde JS, Jaffee SR. Becoming a heterosexual adult: The experiences of young women. *Journal of Social Issues*. 2000; 56(2):283–96. Sum.
32. Moglia, RF.; Knowles, J. All About Sex: A Family Resource on Sex and Sexuality. New York, NY: Three Rivers Press; 1997.
33. Higgins JA, Trussell J, Davidson JK Jr, Moore NB. The Language of Love? Verbal versus Implied Consent at First Sexual Intercourse and Implications for Sexual Health. No date. under review.
34. Mitchell K, Wellings K. The role of ambiguity in sexual encounters between young people in England. *Cult Health Sex*. 2002 Oct-Dec;4(4):393–408.
35. Halpern C, Udry R, Suchindran C, Campbel B. Adolescent Males' Willingness to Report Masturbation. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2000; 37(4):327–32.
36. Upchurch DM, Lillard LA, Aneshensel CS, Fang Li N. Inconsistencies in reporting the occurrence and timing of first intercourse among adolescents. *Journal of Sex Research*. 2002 Aug; 39(3):197–206. [PubMed: 12476267]
37. Lauritsen JL, Swicegood CG. The consistency of self-reported initiation of sexual activity. *Family Planning Perspectives*. 1997 Sep-Oct;29(5):215–21. [PubMed: 9323498]
38. Dunne MP, Martin NG, Statham DJ, Pangan T, Madden PA, Heath AC. The consistency of recalled age at first sexual intercourse. *J Biosoc Sci*. 1997 Jan; 29(1):1–7. [PubMed: 9881115]
39. Kaestle CE, Halpern CT, Miller WC, Ford CA. Young age at first sexual intercourse and sexually transmitted infections in adolescents and young adults. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2005 Apr 15; 161(8): 774–80. [PubMed: 15800270]
40. Carpenter, LM. *Virginity lost: An intimate portrait of first sexual experiences*. New York: New York University; 2005.
41. Brener ND, Billy JO, Grady WR. Assessment of factors affecting the validity of self-reported health-risk behavior among adolescents: evidence from the scientific literature. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2003 Dec; 33(6):436–57. [PubMed: 14642706]
42. Child Trends. [Accessed on December 16, 2008] Oral Sex. 2005. (<http://www.childtrendsdatabank.org/indicators/95OralSex.cfm>)

Table 1
Descriptive Characteristics, College Students Aged 18-23 (N=1504)

	Total	WISCONSIN		TEXAS	
		Women (N=625) Mean	Men (N=296) Mean	Women (N=400) Mean	Men (N=183) Mean
I. Age Characteristics					
1. Age	20.0	19.9	20.5	19.9	20.1
3. Age at time of first date	14.7	14.9	14.5	14.8	14.2
2. Age at time of first vaginal intercourse experience	16.8	17.0	17.1	16.5	16.7
		%	%	%	%
II. Class Standing					
		¥£	¥£	£	£
Freshman	30.2	32.7	22.3	30.2	34.4
Sophomore	20.1	15.3	15.5	26.8	29.0
Junior	26.1	24.0	25.0	30.5	30.1
Senior	23.1	28.0	37.2	12.5	6.6
III. Current Dating Status					
		¥£	¥£	£	£
Not dating	22.8	25.2	29.2	14.9	21.8
Casual Dating	29.1	24.6	26.1	34.0	39.1
Exclusive Dating	29.6	31.2	28.2	30.5	24.6
Engaged, pre-engaged, cohabiting	18.4	19.1	28.2	20.7	14.5
IV. When Respondent Drinks, How Drunk Usually Get					
		¥£	¥	¥£	¥
Very intoxicated	8.0	5.2	15.5	5.1	11.6
Moderately intoxicated	49.5	48.6	51.2	47.3	54.3
A little intoxicated	31.1	35.6	21.6	35.2	24.3
Not intoxicated at all	11.1	10.5	11.7	12.4	9.8
V. Whom Did Respondent Live With While Growing Up					
Mother	16.2	13.7	15.6	20.1	17.5
Father	1.7	1.4	1.0	1.8	3.3

	<i>Total</i>	WISCONSIN		TEXAS	
		Women (N=625) <i>Mean</i>	Men (N=296) <i>Mean</i>	Women (N=400) <i>Mean</i>	Men (N=183) <i>Mean</i>
<i>Mother and father</i>	77.1	80.4	80.3	71.2	73.8
<i>Stepparents</i>	5.0	4.5	3.1	7.0	5.5
VI. Perceived Adequacy of Sexuality Education					
Adequacy of sex education in school before college		£	£	£	£
<i>Inadequate</i>	19.1	14.8	15.2	25.3	26.7
<i>Adequate</i>	71.3	78.1	74.7	63.6	58.1
<i>Neither</i>	9.7	7.1	10.0	11.1	15.1
Perceived adequacy of sex education from parents					
<i>Inadequate</i>	30.2	29.9	32.4	29.3	29.8
<i>Adequate</i>	56.2	58.6	51.4	58.1	51.9
<i>Neither</i>	13.5	11.5	16.2	12.6	18.2
VIII. Self Assessed Level of Self Esteem					
		¥	¥	¥	¥
<i>Excellent or very good</i>	46.8	41.4	56.9	43.1	57.4
<i>Good</i>	35.4	37.7	31.9	37.8	27.9
<i>Fair, poor, or very poor</i>	17.8	20.9	11.2	19.0	14.8
IX. Religion					
Religious denomination reared in		£	£	£	£
<i>Mainline Protestant</i>	38.3	41.1	41.2	33.3	35.0
<i>Baptist</i>	8.4	1.1	0.3	21.5	17.5
<i>Catholic</i>	36.8	46.8	43.0	24.0	20.9
<i>Institutional Sect</i>	0.9	0.5	0.3	2.0	1.1
<i>Fundamentalist</i>	6.9	3.7	5.8	9.3	14.1
<i>Non-Christian</i>	0.9	0.5	0.7	2.0	0.0
<i>None</i>	7.8	6.3	8.6	7.8	11.3

	<i>Total</i>	WISCONSIN				TEXAS			
		Women (N=625)		Men (N=296)		Women (N=400)		Men (N=183)	
		<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>	<i>Mean</i>	<i>SD</i>
Religious compared to others									
<i>Less religious</i>	38.1	34.6		44.7		34.2		47.8	
<i>About as religious</i>	47.0	49.4		39.3		52.0		40.0	
<i>More religious</i>	15.0	16.1		15.9		13.8		12.2	
Current level of religious commitment									
<i>Not devout</i>	30.1	29.1		39.8		24.4		30.4	
<i>Moderately devout</i>	55.7	57.0		47.3		59.2		56.9	
<i>Devout</i>	14.2	13.9		12.9		16.4		12.7	

§ Significant (p<.05) gender differences within school (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Wisconsin men).

£ Significant (p<.05) school differences within gender (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Texas women).

Table 2
Sexual Behaviors of Respondents Who Reported Ever Having Vaginal Intercourse, by Gender and School, College Students Aged 18-23 (N=1145)

I. Ever Experienced Individual Sexual Activity	Total %	WISCONSIN		TEXAS	
		Women (N=625) %	Men (N=296) %	Women (N=400) %	Men (N=183) %
1. ever masturbated while alone	61.7	48.1 [‡]	91.6 [‡]	47.9 [‡]	90.1 [‡]
2. ever experienced oral/genital sex	83.4	76.6 ^{‡£}	85.0 [‡]	86.2 [£]	91.0
3. ever experienced vaginal-penile intercourse	76.1	72.0 [£]	75.3 [£]	79.4 [£]	84.2 [£]
4. ever engaged in anal intercourse since age 14	19.8	19.0	18.1	21.3	21.3
II. Sexual Activity Matrix					
1. masturbation only	5.3	5.4	9.4	1.6	6.3
2. oral sex only	4.2	6.1	0.3	5.3	1.7
3. vaginal intercourse only	1.2	1.9	0.3	1.3	0.0
4. <i>anal intercourse only</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
5. oral and vaginal only	19.7	25.9	4.2	28.6	4.6
6. oral and anal only	4.7	2.7	11.5	2.9	4.0
7. <i>oral and masturbation only</i>	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
8. <i>vaginal and anal only</i>	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.0
9. vaginal and masturbation only	0.6	0.8	1.0	0.3	0.0
10. <i>anal and masturbation only</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. oral, anal, vaginal only	4.9	6.7	0.7	7.2	0.6
12. oral, vaginal, masturbation only	37.1	27.1	53.1	30.2	59.4
13. vaginal, anal, masturbation only	0.1	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0
14. oral, anal, masturbation only	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.0
15. all four sexual activities	14.3	12.1	16.0	13.3	21.1
16. none of the sexual activities	7.5	10.9	2.1	8.8	2.3
<i>Total</i>	<i>100.0</i>	<i>100.0</i>	<i>100.0</i>	<i>100.0</i>	<i>100.0</i>

[‡] Significant ($p < .05$) gender differences within school (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Wisconsin men).

[£] Significant ($p < .05$) school differences within gender (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Texas women).

Table 3
Sexual Behaviors among Those Who Have already Had Vaginal Intercourse, by Gender and School, College Students Aged 18-23 (N=1145)

	Total	WISCONSIN		TEXAS	
		Women (N=625)	Men (N=296)	Women (N=400)	Men (N=183)
I. First Vaginal Intercourse					
1. Contraceptive used during first vaginal intercourse (%)	75.9	83.0¥£	72.9¥£	75.3¥£	60.8¥£
2. OF THOSE: Which method used (N=869) (%)		¥	¥£		£
Condom	80.0	78.9	69.7	86.3	86.9
Oral contraception	5.2	3.6	12.3	3.1	4.8
Condom and oral contraception	13.1	15.1	16.8	10.1	6.0
Other	1.7	2.5	1.3	0.4	2.4
3. Under the influence of alcohol or drugs (%)	22.0	18.6¥	26.6¥	22.4	24.2
4. First sexual intercourse voluntary? (%)		¥£	¥	£	
Yes, implied consent	46.5	34.6	52.0	52.5	60.8
Yes, verbal consent	48.3	58.3	45.7	42.7	34.6
No, against will	2.3	4.2	0.0	1.9	0.7
Don't remember	2.9	2.9	0.0	2.8	3.9
II. Frequency of Sex and Number of Partners					
1. Weekly average of intercourse episodes, past year	3.2	2.8¥£	3.4¥	3.4£	3.4
2. Mean number of lifetime partners	4.6	3.6¥£	4.4¥£	5.1¥£	6.4¥£
3. Mean number of partners in the past year	1.8	1.7£	1.8£	1.9£	2.1£
III. Casual Sex and Alcohol Use					
1. Ever had vaginal intercourse with someone just met (%)	30.3	24.2¥	36.6¥	27.2¥	45.0¥
2. OF THOSE (194 women, 147 men): Ever under the influence of alcohol when engaging in vaginal intercourse with someone just met (%)	80.9	83.6	81.0	79.8	77.9
VI. Current Contraception & Condom use					
1. Used contraception at last vaginal intercourse (%)	79.8	89.0¥£	73.9¥	75.6¥£	69.8¥

	<i>Total</i>	WISCONSIN		TEXAS	
		Women (N=625)	Men (N=296)	Women (N=400)	Men (N=183)
2. How often engage in vaginal intercourse without contraception (%)					
<i>Almost always</i>	10.0	4.6	11.7	13.4	16.0
<i>Sometimes</i>	12.3	9.0	12.1	13.1	20.7
<i>Rarely</i>	24.2	21.8	32.4	20.7	26.7
<i>Never</i>	53.5	64.6	43.7	52.8	36.7
3. Ever provided a condom for a sex partner (%)	61.9	65.3 [£]		57.0 [£]	
V. Pregnancy and STDs					
1. Ever been pregnant/gotten someone pregnant (%)	8.2	4.8 [£]	8.0	13.8 ^{££}	6.9 [£]
2. Ever been diagnosed with an STI (%)	6.5	7.0	4.2	8.5	4.0
VI. Sexual Coercion and Assault					
1. Ever fondled or had clothes removed against will (%)	14.1	15.6 [£]	5.4 ^{££}	19.5 [£]	10.9 ^{££}
2. Ever had vaginal intercourse against will on a date (%)	15.4	19.7 [£]	3.2 [£]	24.8 [£]	1.3 [£]

[£] Significant ($p < .05$) gender differences within school (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Wisconsin men).

^{££} Significant ($p < .05$) school differences within gender (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Texas women).

Table 4
Solitary Masturbation Characteristics and Behaviors by Gender and School, College Students Aged 18-23 (N=1504)

	<i>Total</i>	WISCONSIN		TEXAS	
		Women (N=625)	Men (N=296)	Women (N=400)	Men (N=183)
I. Ever masturbated while alone (%)	61.7	48.1 [¥]	91.6 [¥]	47.9 [¥]	90.1 [¥]
II. Characteristics and behaviors among those who have masturbated (N=923)					
1. Age first masturbated while alone	14.3	15.0 [¥]	13.6 [¥]	15.0 [¥]	13.2 [¥]
2. Masturbated alone in past year (%)	92.6	86.6 [¥]	98.1 [¥]	89.5 [¥]	98.1 [¥]
4. How often feel guilty about masturbating (%)		¥£	££	£	£
<i>Never</i>	41.5	30.2	40.7	48.0	55.7
<i>Seldom</i>	22.8	22.8	25.9	21.2	19.6
<i>Occasionally</i>	23.2	28.8	24.0	20.1	15.2
<i>Frequently, very frequently, or always</i>	12.5	18.2	9.4	10.7	9.5

[¥] Significant (p<.05) gender differences within school (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Wisconsin men).

[£] Significant (p<.05) school differences within gender (e.g., Wisconsin women versus Texas women).