Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 July 31; 135(30): 11222–11231. doi:10.1021/ja4041016.

Scope and Mechanism of the Pt-Catalyzed Enantioselective Diboration of Monosubstituted Alkenes

John R. Coombs, Fredrik Haeffner, Laura T. Kliman, and James P. Morken*

Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College, Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02467

Abstract

The Pt-catalyzed enantioselective diboration of terminal alkenes can be accomplished in an enantioselective fashion in the presence of chiral phosphonite ligands. Optimal procedures and the substrate scope of this transformation are fully investigated. Reaction progress kinetic analysis and natural abundance isotope effects suggest that the stereodefining step in the catalytic cycle is olefin migratory insertion into a Pt-B bond. DFT analysis, combined with other experimental data, suggest that the insertion reaction positions platinum at the internal carbon of the substrate. A stereochemical model for this reaction is advanced that is in line both with these features and with the crystal structure of a Pt-ligand complex.

1. Introduction

The catalytic enantioselective reactions of terminal alkenes offer singular opportunities for strategic chemical synthesis. Such reactions can facilitate both hydrocarbon chain-extension and functional group installation simultaneously, and in a stereoselective fashion. Given these features, it is unfortunate that few catalytic processes apply to terminal olefins in a highly enantioselective fashion. Indeed, other than substrate-specific reactions that only apply to electronically biased alkenes (i.e. styrenes, dienes, vinyl acetates, etc.) or to those that bear adjacent directing groups,¹ the range of asymmetric transformations that apply to simple aliphatic α -olefins is limited.² To address this shortcoming, we initiated studies on the catalytic enantioselective diboration of terminal alkene substrates.³ From the outset, it was anticipated that one could engage the diboration product in a range of transformations that apply to organoboronate intermediates⁴ and thereby expand the range of strategically useful enantioselective reactions that apply to 1-alkenes.

The Pt-catalyzed diboration of alkynes was first reported by Miyaura and subsequently studied by Marder and Smith.⁵ A general feature of these reactions is that they occur by oxidative addition of $B_2(pin)_2$ to Pt(0) leading to a bis(boryl)platinum intermediate that then reacts by olefin insertion and reductive elimination. Further study of these reactions led to the development of alkene⁶ and diene diborations^{6c,7} with the later reaction recently being accomplished in an enantioselective fashion.⁸ We recently reported the discovery of a Pt-catalyzed enantioselective diboration that applies to terminal olefins⁹ and a contemporaneous report by Hoveyda¹⁰ documented a similar class of reaction products obtained by catalytic and enantioselective copper-catalyzed double borylation of alkynes.

*Corresponding Author morken@bc.edu.

Supporting Information. Procedures, characterization and spectral data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>

Notes The authors declare no competing financial interest.

The enantioselective alkene diboration reaction was accomplished with a Pt(0) catalyst in the presence of a readily available taddol-derived phosphonite ligand.¹¹ While these initial studies revealed an effective transformation - indeed, useful enough to be adopted for asymmetric natural product syntheses (*vide infra*) - significant improvements regarding the scope and selectivity were clearly warranted. Moreover, a lack of mechanistic details about the inner working of this reaction hampered the design of improved processes. In this manuscript, we describe an improved catalyst and conditions for the enantioselective diboration and its application to a broad range of olefinic substrates. We also provide experimental insight that suggests olefin migratory insertion to give an internal C-Pt intermediate is the rate-limiting and stereocontrolling step of the reaction. This information is used to develop an understanding of the stereoselection in this transformation.

2. Results and Discussion

2.1 Identification of the Optimal Ligand

An optimization of ligand structures for the enantioselective diboration of 1-octene focused on a set of *meta*-substituted taddol-derived phosphonite and phosphoramidites.¹² A sample of ligands were examined in THF and toluene solvents and the selectivity was found to be relatively insensitive to the medium. As depicted in Table 1, 3,5-di-*iso*-propylphenyltaddol-PPh (**L4**) was found to be comparable to the previously reported ligand, 3,5-diethylphenyltaddol-PPh (**L3**) under the conditions of Table 1.¹³ A direct correlation between ligand size and enantioselectivity can also be observed, with the selectivity increasing as the size of the meta substituent is varied (H<Me<Et $\approx$ *i*-Pr). However, when the size of the ligand is enhanced past **L4**, enantioselectivities and yields diminish (i.e. selectivity is diminished with *t*-Bu substituted ligands). Subsequent experiments revealed that at lower catalyst loadings and lower ligand:metal ratio **L4** reproducibly provides high selectivity whereas selectivity with **L3** is lower and more variable (i.e. with 1 mol% Pt(dba)₃ and 1.2 mol% **L3** reaction with 1-octene occurs in 72% - 90% ee). Thus, **L4** was employed for the remainder of this study.

2.2 Identification of the Optimal Pt(0) source, Catalyst Composition, and Catalyst Activation

Amongst the complexes that apply to the Pt-catalyzed diboration, Pt(0) precursors are employed almost exclusively. In terms of practical utility, dibenzylideneacetone complexes are simple to prepare and have the ideal features of being thermally stable and insensitive to air and moisture. Notably, dibenzylideneacetone-platinum complexes are prepared in a single-step synthesis from dba and K₂PtCl₄ in an aqueous medium and without drybox techniques.¹⁴ The identity of the product that arises from this synthesis depends upon the conditions and the isolation procedure: simple washing of the solid precipitate with methanol gives Pt(dba)₃, while recrystallization from THF/methanol gives Pt₂(dba)₃.

Using 1-tetradecene as a probe substrate for the enantioselective diboration, a comparison of different Pt(0) precursors was undertaken (Figure 1). This survey showed that there is very little dependence of enantioselectivity on the nature of the precatalyst with dba complexes proving equally effective as Pt(nbe)₃. Analysis of reaction rates by calorimetry (Figure 1) also indicated that all three complexes provide equally reactive catalysts: at 1.0 M concentration, all three reactions are complete in 3 hours. Considering that Pt(dba)₃ is the most conveniently prepared complex of the three, it was selected for all further studies.

The effect of the catalyst loading and the ligand to metal ratio is depicted in Table 2. Relative to initially described conditions (entry 1), the reaction could be run at higher substrate concentration (1.0 M versus 0.1 M) and the catalyst loading could be decreased from 3 mol% to 0.5 mol % without a significant effect on enantioselectivity (entries 2 and

3); however, the yield is diminished at 0.2 mol % catalyst loading. A close analysis of ligand:metal ratio shows that a 1:1 ratio is also effective (entry 7), albeit at the price of decreased yield relative to higher ligand loading (entry 5). When the ligand:metal ratio is less than 1:1, enantioselectivity also begins to suffer (entries 8 and 9), likely from competitive diboration by a phosphonite-free Pt complex. Considering that 1 mol% of a 1.2:1 phosphonite:Pt complex provided reproducibly high yield and selectivity (entry 5), this catalyst loading/composition was adopted for subsequent studies. Importantly, employing a 1.2:1 ligand:metal ratio ensures an optimum outcome even if the ligand batch is slightly oxidized during the course of its synthesis (up to 4 mol% ligand oxidation is common).

Experiments by Pringle reveal that addition of phosphites to $\text{Pt}(\text{nbe})_3$ results in rapid displacement of the norbornene ligand (<1 h at rt).¹⁵ In contrast, we have found that addition of either (*R,R*)-**L3** or (*R,R*)-**L4** to $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ does not lead to a substantial change in the ^{31}P NMR after 1 hour at room temperature. To understand the chemistry that allows $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ to provide a catalyst with equal activity to $\text{Pt}(\text{nbe})_3$ in alkene diborations, the chemistry of the activation step was studied. In the procedure employed above for catalytic alkene diboration, a catalyst “activation step” precedes addition of substrate and involves treating 1 mol% of $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ with 1.2 mol% of the phosphonite ligand in the presence of 1.05 equivalents of $\text{B}_2(\text{pin})_2$ at 80 °C for 20-30 minutes. Without precomplexation at this elevated temperature, lower yields (due to olefin isomerization and hydroboration byproducts) and reduced levels of enantioselectivity are observed. As depicted in Scheme 1, when a similar “activation” reaction was analyzed by ^1H NMR in d_8 -THF, diboration of dba was found to occur in >95% conversion. This reactivity is in line with the Pt-phosphine catalyzed conjugate borylation of activated alkenes reported by Marder.¹⁶ Notably, according to studies by a number of investigators¹⁷ on the binding of alkenes to related d^{10} Pd complexes, one would anticipate that the conjugate borylation product **1** would bind less effectively to $\text{Pt}(0)$ than does dba such that the conjugate borylation of dba may provide a mechanism for removing the coordinating enone from the metal complex. Analysis of the catalyst activation reaction by ^{31}P NMR shows formation of a new phosphorous-containing structure with broad resonances and coupling to platinum: $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (THF) 200.0 ppm ($^1J_{\text{P-Pt}}=1980$ Hz); **L4** = 158.1 ppm (s). Broadening of the phosphorous resonance is consistent with the ligand bound to a platinum boryl complex.¹⁸ Addition of 1-tetradecene to this mixture at room temperature results in disappearance of the resonance at 200 ppm and formation of a new Pt-boryl complex with broadened resonances in the ^{31}P NMR: $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (THF) 152.3 ppm ($^1J_{\text{P-Pt}}=2715$ Hz).

2.3.1 Substrate Scope: Procedures and Oxidation Efficiency

Purified taddol-derived phosphonite ligands are stable in air for extended time periods. For example, when purified solid **L4** was exposed to air for >1 month, <3% decomposition was detected by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy. Note that **L4** is prone to more rapid oxidation when dissolved in solution (**L4** was 12% oxidized after being dissolved in ether for 1 hour). Similarly, $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ and $\text{B}_2(\text{pin})_2$ are also stable to air and moisture. Accordingly, the following dry-box-free diboration/oxidation procedure was employed to study the substrate scope in the following sections: in the open atmosphere, 1 mol% $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$, 1.2 mol% **L4**, and 1.05 equiv. $\text{B}_2(\text{pin})_2$ were weighed into a vial that was then sealed with a septum cap and purged with N_2 . Tetrahydrofuran was added by syringe, the vial sealed, placed behind a blast shield,¹⁹ and heated to 80 °C for 30 minutes. The vial was then cooled to room temperature and charged with the alkene by syringe, purged once more with N_2 and then stirred at 60 °C for 3 hours. Oxidative workup (generally treatment with NaOH and H_2O_2) then delivered the derived 1,2-diol.

Analysis of diboration reactions is most conveniently accomplished by subjecting the intermediate 1,2-bis(boronate) intermediate to *in situ* oxidation to the derived 1,2-diol. The efficiency of this oxidation step was examined to determine how well the yield of the 1,2-diol reflects to the overall efficiency of diboration reactions. As depicted in Scheme 2 (eq. 1), when isolated, purified 1,2-bis(boronate) **2** is subjected to oxidation with excess H₂O₂ in the presence of 3.0 M NaOH, the derived 1,2-diol **3** is obtained in 87% yield. The isolated yield for this transformation is higher than that obtained for the single-pot diboration/oxidation (82% yield, Table 3 compound **4**), but is not quantitative. This outcome suggests that the yield for the diboration step is somewhat higher than that for the two step diboration/oxidation sequence. Indeed, when the intermediate 1,2-bis(boronate) **2** derived from the diboration of 1-tetradecene was isolated and purified by column chromatography, it was obtained in 95% yield (Scheme 2, eq. 2). Thus, some loss in yield can be attributed to the oxidative workup sequence. However, considering the ease of isolation and characterization of the derived 1,2-diols, the two step procedure was employed to survey the reaction scope.

2.3.2 Substrate Scope: Aliphatic Alkenes

To learn about the scope of enantioselective diborations with ligand **L4**, a number of aliphatic alkenes were examined and the data is collected in Table 3. In general, high enantioselectivities were observed regardless of the size of the substituent adjacent to the olefin: 1-octene, vinylcyclohexane and *t*-butylethylene furnish the derived 1,2-diols **3**, **6**, and **7** in 96:4, 96:4 and 93:7 enantiomeric ratios, respectively. The size of the olefin substituent does have an impact on reactivity, with very large substituents appearing to diminish reactivity: while 1-octene and vinyl cyclohexane react efficiently with 1 mol% catalyst, *t*-butylethylene required 3 mol% Pt catalyst to deliver a product yield of 53%. Substrates bearing a variety of functional groups were also surveyed. While requiring elevated catalyst loading, TBDPS-protected allyl alcohol was transformed to **10** efficiently but in slightly diminished enantioselectivity. Oxygenation at the homoallylic position appeared inconsequential and high yields and selectivity for **11** were observed. Potentially reactive and coordinating functional groups were also surveyed: carbonyls are known to participate in some diboration reactions²⁰ but were not affected by the diboration of adjacent alkenes (product **12**). Similarly, olefinic substrates bearing ester and amide functional groups were tolerated during the construction of **13** and **14**.

In terms of olefin oxidation transformations, the diboration/oxidation is complementary to osmium-catalyzed dihydroxylation. The osmium-catalyzed reaction is accelerated with more electron-rich substrates such that more substituted alkenes generally react faster than less substituted substrates. In contrast, Pt-catalyzed diboration is highly sensitive to steric effects such that added substitution on the alkene leads to marked diminution in reaction rate. This feature can be used to advantage with polyolefin substrates. For example, the 1,1-disubstituted alkene in **15** is selectively oxidized (5:1 regioselectivity) under conditions for Sharpless' asymmetric dihydroxylation to provide a moderate yield of the derived 1,2-diol (Scheme 3, eq. 4).²¹ In contrast, Pt-catalyzed diboration/oxidation leads to selective and high yield conversion to 1,2-diol **16** wherein the less substituted alkene is oxidized without detectable transformation of the 1,1-disubstituted olefin (eq. 3).

2.3.3 Substrate Scope: Aromatic Alkenes

In many transition-metal-catalyzed processes, π -benzyl stabilization can alter the regiochemistry of olefin migratory insertion reactions for aromatic relative to aliphatic alkene substrates.²² If such a turnover applied to Pt-catalyzed diboration, it would be expected to dramatically alter the stereochemical outcome of diborations of styrenes relative to aliphatic alkenes. To examine whether such a divergent reaction manifold operates in

diborations, a variety of substituted styrenes were examined (Table 4). Under the optimized conditions, *o*-, *m*-, and *p*-substituted styrenes undergo effective diboration, affording moderate to good yields and enantioselectivities. While electron-rich styrenes provided high enantiopurity (product **22**), electron-deficient styrenes required longer reaction times and a higher catalyst loading, and their reactions occurred with slightly diminished enantiocontrol (products **23** and **24**). Collectively, however, the consistently high levels of enantioselectivity and identical sense of facial selectivity suggests that both aliphatic and aromatic alkene substrates react by similar insertion modes.

2.3.4 Substrate Scope: Chiral Substrates

The impact of substrate chirality is critical to applications in asymmetric synthesis. As depicted in Table 5, diboration of alkenes containing γ -hydrocarbon stereocenters resulted in highly diastereoselective reactions, regardless of the enantiomer of ligand employed (product **25** and **26**). Catalyst control is also the primary stereochemical influence when a methylated hydrocarbon stereocenter is situated adjacent to the reacting alkene (compounds **27** and **28**). However, there is a small level of double diastereodifferentiation such that a mismatched pairing provided a 12:1 dr of **27**, whereas the matched case provides **28** with 20:1 dr. Substrates bearing oxygenated γ -stereocenters exhibited much more pronounced differences between matched and mismatched stereoisomers (products **29** and **31**). However, the influence of oxygenated stereocenters is diminished as the stereocenter is more remote from the alkene such that the stereochemistry in products **30** and **32** is largely controlled by the catalyst. Compounds **33** and **34** depict examples reported in total synthesis efforts from the laboratories of Shindo²³ and Minnaard,²⁴ respectively, that indicate that high levels of stereoinduction can be obtained with other oxygenated chiral substrates.

2.3.5 Substrate Scope: Unreactive Substrates

A list of unreactive substrates is provided in Figure 2. Addition of a single additional alkene substituent is sufficient to prevent alkene diboration, even with increased heating and catalyst concentration. Importantly, this effect occurred for both *cis* and *trans* alkenes and those that are strained or aromatic. Interestingly, terminal alkynes do not undergo diboration and the presence of an alkyne is sufficient to poison the reaction of terminal alkenes. This effect operates whether the alkyne is tethered to the alkene (**41**) or simply present in solution (i.e. a 1:1 mixture of 1-tetradecyne and 1-tetradecene yielded no diboration of either substrate). It is reasonable to conclude that the more γ -acidic alkyne may bind tightly to the catalyst thereby preventing either oxidative addition of $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ or alkene binding. Lastly, it should be noted that the presence of an unprotected alcohol caused the reaction to take a different course with the alkene undergoing hydroboration.

2.4 Mechanistic Analysis

To provide a general understanding of the outcome of Pt-catalyzed enantioselective alkene diboration reactions and to provide directions for reaction modification when the need arises, a study of the reaction mechanism was undertaken. Our analysis makes the assumption that, like other Pt-catalyzed diborations, the catalytic cycle includes the following steps: insertion of a Pt(0) complex into the B-B bond of $B_2(\text{pin})_2$,^{5, 25} olefin insertion into a Pt-B bond, and reductive elimination to furnish the product.²⁶

2.4.1 Reaction Progress Kinetic Analysis

To learn how the reaction rate responds to changes in reaction conditions, experiments involving the diboration of 1-tetradecene were analyzed. The reaction rates were monitored by calorimetry and followed over the entire course of the reaction.²⁷ Under standard initial reaction conditions ([substrate] = 1 M, $[B_2(\text{pin})_2]$ = 1.05 M, 1 mol% $Pt(\text{dba})_3$, 1.2 mol% **L4**)

the diboration of 1-tetradecene was complete in 3 h with a heat flow/time profile as depicted above in Figure 1. Reaction rate data from the calorimeter was corroborated by GC analysis versus an internal standard. When the data in Figure 1 is integrated (see plot of reaction rate versus substrate concentration; Figure 3A) it becomes apparent that, while not quite zero order, the rate does not change substantially over the first ~60% of the reaction. Also depicted in Figure 3A, when the initial concentrations of both $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ and 1-tetradecene are increased to 1.5 M, the reaction rates were largely unaffected and, critically, the rate of this reaction, when at 1 M substrate concentration (i.e. at 33% conversion), is nearly identical to the rate of the reaction initiated at 1 M substrate concentration. This feature suggests that catalyst decomposition and product inhibition are not significant complicating features to this process.

As depicted in Figure 3B, doubling the catalyst loading leads to a two-fold increase in the reaction rate indicating first-order dependence of the rate on catalyst concentration. Heat flow versus time profiles for reactions that employ excess alkene and excess $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ are depicted in Figures 3C and 3D. From the data in Figure 3C, it appears that the reaction rate exhibits slight positive order dependence on $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ concentration. Increased alkene concentration (Figure 3D) appears to be inconsequential to the reaction rate at early stages of the reaction. At later stages of the reaction, the heat flow is enhanced with excess alkene and the reaction provides higher overall heat output. This feature may be an artifact of exothermic isomerization of the terminal alkene to internal alkenes, a process that begins to occur as $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ is consumed (in the absence of $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ isomerization of 1-tetradecene to 2-tetradecene occurs in >95% conversion). When the initial alkene concentration is reduced to 0.7 M, the overall heat output is 70% of the stoichiometric experiment while the initial rate is comparable.

Collectively, the kinetic data suggests that over most of the reaction course, alkene diboration catalyzed by $Pt(\text{dba})_3$ and **L4** is close to zero-order in both substrates. This feature of the reaction suggests that the most significant step kinetically is likely an elementary transformation that, in some manner, involves a Pt complex that is already loaded with $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ and the alkene. While a number of mechanisms would give rise to these observations, a scenario that is consistent with reported computational and experimental studies is depicted in Scheme 4. In this proposal, oxidative addition of $B_2(\text{pin})_2$ to an $L_nPt(0)$ complex (**A** → **B**) is fast and followed by alkene association to give **C**. With this sequence of steps, the reaction would exhibit near zero-order dependence on substrate concentration if the turnover-limiting step was either **C** → **D** (i.e. reversible alkene binding strongly favors the olefin complex and migratory insertion is rate-limiting) or **D** → **A** (reversible migratory insertion precedes rate limiting reductive elimination). An equally tenable hypothesis would arise from a cycle where olefin coordination precedes oxidative addition.

2.4.2 Natural Abundance ^{13}C Kinetic Isotope Effects

We have been unable to gain useful information about catalytic intermediates by NMR spectroscopy. As an alternative approach to determine whether migratory insertion might be rate-limiting and stereochemistry-determining or whether reductive elimination controls the product configuration, ^{13}C kinetic isotope effects for the alkene substrate were examined. These experiments were conducted using methods developed by Singleton for natural abundance KIE analysis.²⁸ With allylbenzene as a probe substrate, two diborations were conducted on 1.5 gram scale using standard conditions and stopped at 81 ± 3 and 83 ± 3 % conversion. After recovery of starting material, ^{13}C NMR analysis with a 125 second relaxation delay was conducted and the integrations relative to C-4 found to be as depicted in Scheme 5. While the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ KIEs for the allylic carbon and aromatic carbons are

negligible, a substantial isotope effect is observed at both olefinic carbons. This observation appears most consistent with olefin migratory insertion being the first irreversible step in the cycle and suggests that addition of a platinum-boryl across the π -system is the stereochemistry-determining step of the reaction. Were migratory insertion reversible²⁹ with the reductive elimination controlling the rate (and therefore stereochemistry) of the reaction, a sizable KIE at only one carbon would be expected. It merits mention that the magnitude of the $^{12}\text{C}/^{13}\text{C}$ KIEs are comparable to those measured by Landis for Ziegler-Natta polymerization of 1-hexene.³⁰ In the Landis study, it was argued that olefin migratory insertion into a Zr-alkyl is the turnover-limiting step.

2.4.3.1 On the Regioselectivity of Olefin Insertion: Experimental

The studies above suggest that olefin insertion is likely the stereocontrolling step of alkene diborations. Development of a stereochemical model for this reaction will therefore depend upon understanding the regioselectivity of this step. While an olefin insertion that positions Pt at the internal carbon (**E** **F**, Scheme 6) would appear to be more hindered than the alternative pathway (**G** **H**), it explains two features: first, this insertion mode positions the prochiral carbon of the alkene much closer to the chiral ligand and can more easily explain the very high enantioselectivity for the diboration process; second, if **G** **H** were the favored insertion mode for aliphatic alkenes, then one might expect significantly different selectivity with aromatic alkenes where π -benzyl stabilization in **F** might increase the extent of reaction through this alternate mode.

An experiment consistent with the conjecture that olefin insertion positions Pt at internal position is depicted in Scheme 7. When vinyl cyclopropane **43** was subjected to conditions for catalytic enantioselective diboration, ring-opened bis(boronate) **44** was obtained as the exclusive reaction product. As shown in the inset, a reasonable pathway for production of **44** involves borylplatination of the alkene in a manner that gives the internal C-Pt bond (**I** **J**, Scheme 7 inset); subsequent rupture of the adjacent cyclopropane (**J** **K**) and reductive elimination would deliver **44**. An analogous ring opening was observed by Miyaura in the diboration of methylene cyclopropanes and was attributed to rupture of an η^3 -cyclopropyl organoplatinum complex.³¹ Cyclopropane ring opening pathways involving similar intermediates have also been previously reported by Lautens in the palladium-catalyzed hydrostannation,³² and by Beletskaya using rhodium-catalyzed hydrosilation.³³ Importantly, the proposed internal insertion mode is not without precedent in group 10 metal-catalyzed reactions.³⁴

2.4.3.1 On the Regioselectivity of Olefin Insertion: Computational

Further evidence suggesting that olefin migratory insertion in the $(\text{alkene})\text{Pt}[\text{B}(\text{pin})]_2$ complex occurs to give an internal C-Pt bond was gained by DFT calculations on a simplified system. As depicted in Scheme 7, the reactions of four isomeric bis(boryl)Pt•propene complexes (**45-48**, Figure 4A) were examined using DFT.³⁵ Complexes **45-48** employ an ethylene glycol-derived methyl phosphonite as a model for ligand **L8** and vary by the nature of the alkene coordination: complexes **45** and **48** are rotational isomers with the alkene binding through the *Re* face, whereas isomers **46** and **47** contain the alkene bound through its *Si* face. Of the four complexes, there was no strong preference for any alkene orientation with all four being within 1.3 kcal/mol of each other. Optimization of transition state geometries of each olefin complex revealed pathways where olefin insertion occurs concomitantly with rotation of the boryl ligand; the rotation allows the pinacol oxygen to begin donating electrons to platinum such that a coordinatively saturated Pt complexes is the immediate product of the insertion step in each case (**49-52**). These features are analogous to those calculated by Morokuma for the insertion of ethylene.³⁶ As depicted in Figure 4A, it was found that the two pathways where Pt

undergoes bond formation to the internal alkene carbon (**45**–**49** and **46**–**50**) are lower energy paths than those where Pt bonds to the terminal carbon (**47**–**51** and **48**–**52**). The two lowest energy paths for each regioisomer are depicted in Figure 4B along with transition state geometries in Figure 4C. While the origin of the regiochemical preference isn't clear, it is tenable that a larger coefficient of the alkene HOMO resides on the terminal carbon and overlap between this orbital and the empty p-orbital on boron is relevant during the insertion. Calculations suggest that related interactions operate in palladium-catalyzed alkyne silastannation, a reaction that also occurs by a migratory insertion that provides the internal C-Pd arrangement.^{16a,37}

2.5 A Model For Stereoselectivity in Alkene Diborations with Pt(dba)₃ and L4

With compelling data indicating that olefin migratory insertion is stereochemistry-determining and provides the internal C-Pt bond, efforts were directed to advance a stereochemical model for this diboration reaction. These studies have been guided by the crystal structure analysis of a *trans*-Cl₂Pt[(*R,R*)-**L2**]₂. This compound was obtained by treatment of PtCl₂ with two equivalents of (*R,R*)-**L2** in CDCl₃ at room temperature for 18 hours followed by warming to 40 °C for 2 hours. ³¹P NMR analysis showed complete conversion of the ligand (δ = 156.8 ppm) to a new complex (δ = 105.2 ppm). Slow crystallization from CDCl₃ provided crystals suitable for x-ray analysis, an ORTEP representation of which is depicted in Figure 5. The unit cell contains two molecules of the complex in nearly identical conformations and, within each molecule of complex, both ligands adopt a similar conformation. Figures 5B and 5C depict “front” and “top” views of the complex with the substituents from one phosphorous ligand removed. From these views it appears that the platinum atom sits directly on top of one of the taddol aromatic rings.

Should the conformation of the ligand that is represented in Figure 5 persist during the course of the catalytic cycle it is tenable that subsequent to insertion of Pt into the B-B bond of B₂(pin)₂, alkene coordination may occur in a manner depicted in Figure 6. This orientation is consistent with the hypothesis that insertion provides the internal C-Pt bond, and if interactions with the taddol aryl ring are minimized, it would directly lead to the observed product enantiomer. Additional studies that probe the nuances of these interactions are clearly warranted and will be described in due course.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have described the optimization of reaction conditions and the scope of the platinum-catalyzed enantioselective diboration of terminal alkenes. Mechanistic experiments indicate that this reaction occurs by stereochemistry-determining olefin insertion that furnishes an internal C-Pt bond.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The NIH (GM-59471) is acknowledged for financial support; AllyChem is acknowledged for a donation of B₂(pin)₂.

REFERENCES

- (1). Review: Hoveyda AH, Evans DA, Fu GC. *Chem. Rev.* 1993; 93:1307.
- (2) (a). Representative enantioselective reactions of aliphatic monosubstituted alkenes (>80% ee): Uozumi Y, Hayashi TJ. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:9887. Becker D, Sharpless KB. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1996; 35:448. El-Qisairi A, Hamed O, Henry PM. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998; 63:2790. Lo MC, Fu GC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:10270. Negishi E, Tan Z, Liang B, Novak T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 2004; 101:5782. [PubMed: 15073327] Colladon M, Scarso A, Sgarbossa P, Michelin RA, Strukul G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:14006. [PubMed: 17061865] Sawada Y, Matsumoto K, Katsuki T. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2007; 46:4559. Zhang Z, Lee SD, Widenhoefer RA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:5372. [PubMed: 19326908] Noonan GM, Fuentes JA, Cobley CJ, Clarke ML. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012; 51:2477.
- (3) (a). Morken JB, Miller SP, Morken JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:8702. [PubMed: 12862446] Miller SP, Morgan JB, Nepveux FJ, Morken JP. *Org. Lett.* 2004; 6:131. [PubMed: 14703368] Trudeau S, Morgan JB, Shrestha M, Morken JP. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:9538. [PubMed: 16268630] Kliman LT, Mlynarski SN, Morken JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:13210. [PubMed: 19702329] Review: Sugimoto M, Ohmura T. *Boronic Acids* (2nd Ed). 2011; Vol. 1:171. Burks HE, Morken JP. *Chem. Commun.* 2007:4717.
- (4) (a). Reviews: Brown HS, Singaram B. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1988; 21:287. Ramachandran PV, Brown HC. *Organoboranes for Synthesis*. ACS Symposium Series 783. 2001 American Chemical Society Washington, DC Matteson DS. *Stereodirected Synthesis with Organoboranes*. 1995 Springer New York Amination: Brown HC, Midland MM, Levy AB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973; 95:2394. Brown HC, Kim KW, Cole TE, Singaram B. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1986; 108:6761. Knight FI, Brown JM, Lazzari D, Ricci A, Blacker AJ. *Tetrahedron*. 1997; 53:11411. Fernandez E, Maeda K, Hooper MW, Brown JM. *Chem. Eur. J.* 2000; 6:1840. [PubMed: 10845644] Oxidation: Zweifel G, Brown HC. *Org. React.* 1963; 13:1. Brown HC, Snyder C, Subba Rao BC, Zweifel G. *Tetrahedron*. 1986; 42:5505. Kabalka GW, Wadgaonkar PP, Shoup TM. *Organometallics*. 1990; 9:1316. Carbon Insertion: Suzuki A, Miyaura N, Abiko S, Itoh M, Brown HC, Sinclair JA, Midland MM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1973; 95:3080. Leung T, Zweifel G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1974; 96:5620. Yamada K, Miyaura N, Itoh M, Suzuki A. *Synthesis*. 1977:679. Hara S, Dojo H, Kato T, Suzuki A. *Chemistry Lett.* 1983:1125. Brown HC, Imai T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1983; 105:6285. Matteson DS, Majumdar D. *Organometallics*. 1983; 2:1529. Sadhu K, M. Matteson DS. *Organometallics*. 1985; 4:1687. Brown HC, Singh SM. *Organometallics*. 1986; 5:994. Brown HC, Singh SM. *Organometallics*. 1986; 5:998. Brown HC, Singh SM, Rangaishenvi MV. *J. Org. Chem.* 1986; 51:3150. Chen AC, Ren L, Crudden CM. *Chem. Commun.* 1999:611. Ren L, Crudden CM. *Chem. Commun.* 2000:721. O'Donnell MJ, Drew MD, Cooper JT, Delgado F, Zhou C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:9348. [PubMed: 12167010] Aggarwal VK, Fang GY, Schmidt AT. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:1642. [PubMed: 15700990] Aggarwal VK. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:14632. [PubMed: 17985887] Coldham I, Patel JJ, Raimbault S, Whittaker DTE, Adams H, Fang GY, Aggarwal VK. *Org. Lett.* 2008; 10:141. [PubMed: 18069845]
- (5) (a). Ishiyama T, Matsuda N, Miyaura N, Suzuki A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993; 115:11018. (b) Iverson CN, Smith MR. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995; 117:4403. (c) Lesley G, Nguyen P, Taylor NJ, Marder TB. *Organometallics*. 1996; 15:5137.
- (6) (a). For Pt-catalyzed non-asymmetric alkene diborations: Baker RT, Nguyen P, Marder TB, Westcott SA. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 1995; 34:1336. Iverson CN, Smith MR. *Organometallics*. 1997; 16:2757. Ishiyama M, Miyaura N. *Chem. Commun.* 1997:689. Marder TB, Norman NC, Rice CR. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998; 39:155. Mann G, John KD, Baker RT. *Org. Lett.* 2000; 2:2105. [PubMed: 10891241] Lillo V, Mata J, Ramírez J, Peris E, Fernández E. *Organometallics*. 2006; 25:5829. For catalytic, non-enantioselective alkene diborations with other metals: Ref 6a, Dai C, Robins EG, Scott AJ, Clegg W, Yufit DS, Howard JAK, Marder TB. *Chem. Commun.* 1998:1983. Nguyen P, Coapes RB, Woodward AD, Taylor NJ, Burke JM, Howard JAK, Marder TBJ. *Organomet. Chem.* 2002; 652:77. Ramírez J, Corberán R, Sanaú M, Peris E, Fernández E. *Chem. Commun.* 2005:3056. Corberán R, Ramírez J, Poyatos M, Perisa E, Fernández E. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2006; 17:1759. Lillo V, Mas-Marzá E, Segarra AM, Carbó JJ, Bo C, Peris E, Fernández E. *Chem. Commun.* 2007:3380. Lillo V, Fructos MR, Ramírez J, Díaz

Requejo MM, Pérez PJ, Fernández E. Chem. Eur. J. 2007; 13:2614. [PubMed: 17183598]
 Ramírez J, Mercedes S, Fernández E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008; 47:5194. For metal-free
 diborations of isolated alkenes: Bonet A, Pubill-Ulldemolins C, Bo C, Gulyás H, Fernández E.
 Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:7158.

- (7) (a). Ishiyama T, Yamamoto M, Miyaura N. Chem. Commun. 1996:2073.(b) Clegg W, Thorsten J, Marder TB, Norman NC, Orpen AG, Peakman TM, Quayle MJ, Rice CR, Scott AJ. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1998:1.(c) Morgan JB, Morken JP. Org. Lett. 2003; 5:2573. [PubMed: 12841784] (d) Ely RJ, Morken JP. Org. Lett. 2010; 12:4348. [PubMed: 20828186]
- (8) (a). Burks HE, Kliman LT, Morken JP. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:9134. [PubMed: 19505078] (b) Hong K, Morken JP. J. Org. Chem. 2011; 76:9102. [PubMed: 21932816] (c) Schuster CH, Li B, Morken JP. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011; 50:7906.
- (9) (a). Kliman LT, Mlynarski SN, Morken JP. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:13210. [PubMed: 19702329] (b)(a) Kliman LT, Mlynarski SN, Ferris GE, Morken JP. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012; 51:521.
- (10). Lee Y, Jang H, Hoveyda AH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:18234. [PubMed: 19968273]
- (11). For a review of these ligands in asymmetric catalysis: Lam HW. Synthesis. 2011; 13:2011.
- (12). Seebach D, Beck AK, Heckel A. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001; 40:92.
- (13). Note that ligands **L2**, **L3**, **L4** and Pt(dba)₃ are commercially available from Strem Chemicals.
- (14) (a). Lewis LN, Krafft TA, Huffman JC. Inorg. Chem. 1992; 31:3555.(b) Maitlis PM, Moseley K. Chem. Commun. 1971:982.(c) Moseley K, Maitlis PM. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1974:169.
- (15). Copley CJ, Pringle PG. Inorg. Chim. Acta. 1997; 265:107.
- (16) (a). Lawson YG, Lesley MJG, Marder TB, Norman NC, Rice CR. Chem. Commun. 1997:2051. (b) Bell NJ, Cox AJ, Cameron NR, Evans JSO, Marder TB, Duin MA, Elsevier CJ, Bauscherel X, Tulloch AAD, Tooze RP. Chem. Commun. 2004:1854.
- (17) (a). Amatore C, Jutland A, Khalil F, M'Barki MA, Mottier L. Organometallics. 1993; 12:3168.(b) Amatore C, Broeker G, Jutand A, Khalil F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997; 119:5176.(c) Amatore C, Jutland A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1998; 178-180:511.(d) Stahl SS, Thorman JL, de Silva N, Guzei IA, Clark RW. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002; 125:12. [PubMed: 12515487] (e) Amatore C, Carre E, Jutland A, Medjour Y. Organometallics. 2002; 21:4540.(f) Popp BV, Thorman JL, Morales CM, Landis CR, Stahl SS. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004; 126:14832. [PubMed: 15535709] (g) Fairlamb IJS, Kapdi AR, Lee AF. Org. Lett. 2004; 6:4435. [PubMed: 15548044] (h) Mace Y, Kapdi AR, Fairlamb JS, Jutand A. Organometallics. 2006; 25:1795.(i) Fairlamb IJS. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008; 6:3645. [PubMed: 18843391] (j) Buchner MR, Bechlars B, Wahl B, Ruhland K. Organometallics. 2013; 32:1643.
- (18) (a). It has been noted by others that coupling between ³¹P and the boron quadrupole in metal boryl complexes leads to significant broadening of signals. Ref 5a, 5b, Sagawa T, Asano Y, Ozawa F. Organometallics. 2002; 21:5879. Braunschweig H, Leech R, Rais D, Radacki K, Uttinger K. Organometallics. 2008; 27:418.
- (19). While we have not experienced any explosions, this experiment involves heating a closed system and appropriate safety measures are recommended.
- (20) (a). Laitar DS, Tsui EY, Sadighi JP. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:11036. [PubMed: 16925416] (b) Zhao H, Dang L, Marder TB, Lin Z. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:5586. [PubMed: 18373345] (c) McIntosh ML, Moore CM, Clark TB. Org. Lett. 2010; 12:1996. [PubMed: 20392113]
- (21). Xu D, Crispino GA, Sharpless KB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992; 114:7570.
- (22) (a). Becker Y, Stille JK. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978; 100:845.(b) Edwards DR, Hleba YB, Lata CJ, Calhoun LA, Crudden CM. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007; 46:7799.(c) Urkalan KB, Sigman MS. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009; 48:3146.(d) Werner EW, Urkalan KB, Sigman MS. Org. Lett. 2010; 12:2848. [PubMed: 20481606] (e) Hölscher M, Franciò G, Leitner W. Organometallics. 2004; 23:5606.
- (23). Matsumoto K, Koyachi K, Shindo M. Tetrahedron. 2013; 69:1043.
- (24). Geerdink D, ter Horst B, Lepore M, Mori L, Puzo G, Hirsch AKH, Gilleron M, de Libero G, Minnaard AJ. Chem. Sci. 2013; 4:709.

- (25) (a). Ishiyama T, Matsuda N, Murata M, Ozawa F, Suzuki A, Miyaura N. *Organometallics*. 1996; 15:713.(b) Clegg W, Lawlor FJ, Marder TB, Nguyen P, Norman NC, Orpen AG, Quayle MJ, Rice CR, Robins EG, Scott AJ, Souza FES, Stringer G, Whittell GR. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1998; 550:183.
- (26) (a). Iverson CN, Smith MR III. *Organometallics*. 1996; 15:5155.(b) Thomas RL, Souza FES, Marder TB. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton*. 2001:1650.
- (27). For a review of reaction progress kinetic analysis, see: Blackmond DG. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2005; 44:4302.
- (28) (a). Singleton DA, Thomas AA. *J Am. Chem. Soc.* 1995; 117:9357.(b) Frantz DE, Singleton DA, Snyder JP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:3383.
- (29) (a). For η^5 -boryl eliminations: Miyaura Z, Suzuki A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1981; 213:C53. Marciniak B, Pietraszuk C. *Organometallics*. 1997; 16:4320. Lam KC, Lin Z, Marder TB. *Organometallics*. 2007; 26:3149.
- (30) (a). Landis CR, Rosaeen KA, Uddin J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:12062. [PubMed: 12371823] For related studies: Vo LK, Singleton DA. *Org. Lett.* 2004; 6:2469. [PubMed: 15228306]
- (31). Ishiyama T, Momota S, Miyaura N. *Synlett*. 1999:1790.
- (32). Lautens M, Meyer C, Lorenz A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:10676.
- (33). Bessmertnykh AG, Blinov KA, Grishin YK, Donskaya NA, Tveritinova EV, Yurieva NM, Beletskaya IP. *J. Org. Chem.* 1997; 62:6069.
- (34) (a). Coapes RB, Souza FES, Thomas RL, Hall JJ, Marder TB. *Chem. Commun.* 2003:614.(b) Hada M, Tanaka Y, Ito M, Murakami M, Amii H, Ito Y, Nakatsuji H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:8754.
- (35). See supporting information for computational details.
- (36). Cui Q, Musaev DG, Morokuma K. *Organometallics*. 1997; 16:1355.
- (37) (a). Hada M, Tanaka Y, Ito M, Murakami M, Amii H, Ito Y, Nakatsuji H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:8754. For related studies, see: Ohmura T, Oshima K, Taniguchi H, Sugimoto M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:12194. [PubMed: 20701274] Sagawa T, Ohtsuki K, Ishiyama T, Ozawa F. *Organometallics*. 2005; 24:1670. Ozawa F, Kamite J. *Organometallics*. 1998; 17:5630. Sagawa T, Sakamoto Y, Tanaka R, Katayama H, Ozawa F. *Organometallics*. 2003; 22:4433.

Figure 1. Reaction rates with $\text{Pt}(\text{nbe})_3$, $\text{Pt}_2(\text{dba})_3$, and $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$. Reactions employed 1 mol% platinum, 1.2 mol% (*R,R*)-**L4** and 1.2 equiv. $\text{B}_2(\text{pin})_2$. Initial [tetradecene] = 1.0 M.

Figure 2.
Unreactive Diboration Substrates.

Figure 3.

Reaction progress kinetic analysis of the catalytic enantioselective diboration of 1-tetradecene. (a) Reaction rate versus substrate concentrations. [Alkene] = [B₂(pin)₂] for both 1.0 M (red) and 1.5 M (blue) reactions, with (R,R)-L4: Pt(dba)₃ = 1.2: 1.0 (b) Absolute reaction rate versus [alkene], employing 1.2 mol% (R,R)-L4 and 1.0 mol% Pt(dba)₃ (red), and 2.4 mol% (R,R)-L4 and 2.0 mol % Pt(dba)₃ (blue). (c) Heat flow versus time of normal diboration reaction conditions (red) and with excess B₂(pin)₂ (blue). (d) Heat flow versus time of normal diboration reaction conditions (red) and with excess alkene (blue).

Figure 4.

A. Relative energetics of migratory insertion involving bis(boryl)platinumpropene complexes. B. Energy profile for the migratory insertion of **45** and **48** to give **49** and **52**. C. Calculated transition state structures for conversions to **45** **49** and **48** **52**. All energies are in kcal/mol and are relative to **48**.

Figure 5.

A. ORTEP of $trans\text{-Cl}_2\text{Pt}[(R,R)\text{-L2}]_2$ with 50% probability ellipsoids. B. "Front" view of complex with substituents from one phosphorous ligand removed. C. Top view of complex with substituents from one phosphorous ligand and the dioxolane removed for clarity.

Figure 6.
Hypothesis for the stereochemical outcome in enantioselective diborations.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.

Scheme 5.

Scheme 6.

Scheme 7.

Table 1Ligand optimization for diboration of 1-octene.^a

entry	ligand	R	R ¹	solvent	yield (%) ^b	er ^c
1	L1	H	Ph	tol	24	80:20
2	L2	Me	Ph	THF	84	95:5
3	L2	Me	Ph	tol	81	92:8
4	L3	Et	Ph	tol	83	96:4
5	L4	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	THF	82	97:3
6	L4	<i>i</i> -Pr	Ph	tol	84	97:3
7	L5	<i>t</i> -Bu	Ph	tol	74	90:10
8	L6	OMe	Ph	tol	69	90:10
9	L7	H	NMe ₂	tol	68	84:16
10	L8	H	NEt ₂	tol	35	70:30
11	L9	Me	NMe ₂	THF	73	89:11
12	L9	Me	NMe ₂	tol	77	90:10
13	L10	Me	N(CH ₂) ₄	tol	76	91:9
14	L11	<i>t</i> -Bu	NMe ₂	THF	34	88:12
15	L11	<i>t</i> -Bu	NMe ₂	tol	40	78:22

^aReactions were conducted at 0.1 M substrate concentration for 12 hours as described in the text.^bYield refers to isolated yield of the purified reaction product.^cEnantiomeric ratio determined on the derived acetonide by chromatography with a chiral stationary phase.

Table 2Impact of Catalyst Loading and Ligand:Metal Ratio on the Diboration of 1-Octene.^a

entry	Pt(dba) ₃ (mol %)	(R,R)-L4 (mol %)	[octene] (M)	time (h)	yield (%)	er
1	3.0	6.0	0.1	3	89	97:3
2	0.5	1.0	1.0	11	88	96:4
3	0.2	0.4	1.0	28	60	96:4
4	3.0	3.6	0.1	1	88	98:2
5	1.0	1.2	1.0	3	82	97:3
6	3.0	3.0	0.1	3	75	86:14
7	1.0	1.0	1.0	3	66	94:6
8	1.0	0.75	1.0	3	39	80:20
9	1.0	0.5	1.0	3	30	64:36

^aYield refers to isolated yield of the purified reaction product. Enantiomeric ratio determined on the derived acetone by chromatography with a chiral stationary phase.

Table 3

Diboration of Aliphatic 1-Alkenes with $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ and $(R,R)\text{-L4}$.^a

^a Conditions: [alkene] = 1.0 M, reaction time = 3 h. Yield refers to isolated yield of the purified reaction product. Enantiomeric ratio determined on the derived acetonide by chromatography with a chiral stationary phase.

^b 3.0% $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ and 3.6% $(R,R)\text{-L4}$ was employed for 12 hours.

^c Oxidation with H_2O_2 at pH = 7.

Table 4

Diboration of Styrenes with $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ and $(R,R)\text{-L4}$.^a

^aReactions conducted at [alkene] = 1.0 M for 3 hours. Yield refers to isolated yield of the purified reaction product. Enantiomeric ratio determined on the derived acetone by chromatography with a chiral stationary phase..

^b3.0% $\text{Pt}(\text{dba})_3$ and 3.6% $(R,R)\text{-L4}$ was employed with reaction at 60 °C for 12 hours.

Table 5

Diastereoselective Diboration of Chiral Substrates.^a

^aUnless otherwise stated, reactions were conducted at 1.0 M substrate concentration for 3 hours as described in the text. Yield refers to isolated yield of the purified reaction product. Diastereomer ratio (dr) determined by ¹H NMR analysis.

^b3.0% Pt(dba)₃ and 3.6% (R, R)- or (S, S)-L4 was employed with reaction at 60 °C for 12 hours.