Published in final edited form as:

J Biomech. 2008 July 19; 41(10): . doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.04.011.

FUNCTIONAL ANALYSIS OF FONTAN ENERGY DISSIPATION

Lakshmi P. Dasi¹, Kerem Pekkan², Hiroumi D. Katajima¹, and Ajit P. Yoganathan¹

¹Wallace H. Coulter School of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia

²Department of Biomedical and Mechanical Engineering, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Abstract

We formalize the hydrodynamic energy dissipation in the Total Cavopulmonary Connection (TCPC) using dimensional analysis and examine the effect of governing flow variables; namely, cardiac output, flow split, body surface area, Reynolds number, and certain geometric characteristics. A simplistic and clinically useful mathematical model of the dependence of energy dissipation on the governing variables is developed. *In vitro* energy loss data corresponding to six patients' anatomies validated the predicted dependency of each variable and was used to develop a predictive, semi-empirical energy dissipation model of the TCPC. It is shown that energy dissipation is a cubic function of pulmonary flow split in the physiological range. Furthermore, non-dimensional energy dissipation, which is a measure of resistance of the connection, is dependent on Reynolds number and geometrical factors alone. Non-dimensional energy dissipation decreases with Reynolds number as $Re^{-0.25}$ ($R^2 > 0.95$). In addition, for high Reynolds numbers, within physiological exercise limits, dissipation strongly correlates to minimum PA area as a power law decay with an exponent of $-5/4$ ($R^2 > 0.88$). This study presents a simple analytical form of energy dissipation rate in complex patient-specific TCPCs that accurately captures the effect of cardiac output, flow split, body surface area, Reynolds number, and Pulmonary artery size within physiological limits. Further studies with larger sample sizes are necessary for incorporating finer geometrical parameters such as vessel curvatures and offsets.

Keywords

Fontan; Powerloss; Energy dissipation; head loss; total cavopulmonary connection; vessel junction

INTRODUCTION

The Fontan procedure is a palliative cure for children born with a single functional ventricle (Fontan and Baudet, 1971). This surgical procedure involves the routing of venous blood from superior vena cava (SVC) and inferior vena cava (IVC) directly to the left and right lungs through an *in situ* surgically fabricated vascular connection. The present and most

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Address for correspondence and reprints: Ajit P. Yoganathan, Ph.D., The Wallace H. Coulter Distinguished Faculty Chair in Biomedical Engineering and Regents Professor, Associate Chair for Research, Wallace H. Coulter School of Biomedical Engineering, Georgia Institute of Technology and Emory University, Room 2119 U. A. Whitaker Building, 313 Ferst Drive, Atlanta, GA 30332-0535, TEL: 404-894-2849, FAX: 404-894-4243, ajit.yoganathan@bme.gatech.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

popular form of this complex connection is the Total Cavo Pulmonary Connection (TCPC) (Deleval, et al., 1988), which has a “+” shaped vascular topology. Since the entire circulation system of these patients is driven by the single ventricle pump, energy optimization is crucial for long lasting benefits to the patient (Senzaki, et al., 2002, Sundareswaran, et al., 2007).

To illustrate the importance of energy savings in the single ventricle physiology, previous studies have shown that certain geometric characteristics of the TCPC and the associated vessels, mainly the pulmonary arteries (PA), play an important role in determining the short term and long term patient outcomes (Hosein, et al., 2007, Pekkan, et al., 2004, Sung, et al., 1998, Walker, et al., 2000, Walker, et al., 2001). Factors such as PA stenosis degrade the flow efficiency and increase the risk factor for Fontan failure (Sung, et al., 1998). *In vitro* studies have highlighted the importance of curvature of the IVC, and its offset relative to the SVC in minimizing the energy dissipation at idealized TCPC junctions (Ensley, et al., 1999, Ryu, et al., 2001). Furthermore, most recent efforts aim to differentiate between the energetic efficiency of different types of TCPCs (Whitehead, et al., 2007).

Despite these and numerous other studies (Grigioni, et al., 2006, Venkatachari, et al., 2007), there exists no formal analysis of the TCPC energy dissipation problem from a fundamental fluid mechanics stand point. In all previous studies, energy dissipation has always been a measured *in vivo*, *in vitro*, or computed quantity and, to the best of our knowledge, none of them have attempted to describe the functional dependence with respect to cardiac output, body surface area, Reynolds number, or geometric factors. A fundamental understanding of how energy dissipation is related to these governing factors is crucial in order to develop a proper framework that will enable unbiased comparisons between TCPCs of different patients through proper indexing of measurements. In this study, we formalize the energy dissipation problem in the TCPC using dimensional analysis and examine the effect of governing variables namely cardiac output, flow split, body surface area, Reynolds number, and certain geometric characteristics. Doing so, we present a simple analytical form of energy dissipation rate in complex patient-specific TCPCs that accurately captures the effect of all governing variables within physiological limits of these variables.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Anatomically accurate *in vitro* models of 6 patient TCPCs were fabricated and the energy dissipation rate was experimentally determined for the steady flow conditions shown in Table 1. All patients were imaged either at the Children’s Hospital of Atlanta (CHOA), or Children’s Hospital of Philadelphia (CHOP). Figure 1 depicts the reconstructed TCPC geometries of these six patients labeled by their respective patient IDs in the Fontan database at Georgia Tech. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and all study protocols complied with the Institutional Review Boards of the participating hospitals and the Georgia Institute of Technology. The lowest cardiac outputs (Table 1) correspond to resting conditions evaluated from the respective PC MRI data, while higher cardiac outputs simulated exercise conditions.

Details of the experimental methodology to determine the hydrodynamic energy dissipation characteristics of anatomic TCPCs can be found in literature (de Zelicourt, et al., 2005a, de Zelicourt, et al., 2005b, Kitajima, 2007). Briefly TCPC blood volumes were reconstructed from anatomic MRI data and physical models manufactured using rapid-prototyping technology with a transparent resin. The physical models of the patient specific TCPCs with two-inlets (IVC and SVC) and two-outlets (pulmonary arteries) were connected to a steady flow loop for pressure drop measurements. The flow was driven by gravity head provide by a constant head tank. The tank maintained head using a steady flow pump which

continuously refilled the tank. The working fluid used was a mixture of water and glycerin to provide a Newtonian approximation of blood with a kinematic viscosity of $3.5 \times 10^{-6} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$. The flow conditions shown in Table 1 were achieved with the help of three gate valves (one to control the total cardiac output, another to split the total flow 60:40 ratio for IVC:SVC inflow, and the third valve to impose the desired pulmonary flow split. All flow rates were measured using calibrated rotameters while pressures at each of the vessels in the vicinity of the TCPC were measured using static differential pressure transducers.

RESULTS

In this section, we first outline the fluid mechanical formulation of energy dissipation in a two-inlet, two-outlet TCPC connection using dimensional analysis. The formulation leads to a simplistic analytical form of energy dissipation, with the incorporation of a few assumptions. The analytical form is compared against *in vitro* data to yield a semi-empirical model that captures the basic dependencies of each governing variable. The significance of these results is later discussed in the discussion section.

Dimensional Analysis

Let $\dot{\epsilon}$ (Watts) be the time averaged energy dissipation rate due to the viscous and form friction that blood flow encounters in the TCPC. The functional dependence of $\dot{\epsilon}$ in all its generality can be expressed as:

$$\dot{\epsilon} = F(Q, \alpha, \rho, \mu, BSA, S_i) \quad (1)$$

where Q is the cardiac output, α is the % flow split defined as the fraction of the cardiac output flowing to the left lung, ρ is the density of blood, μ is the blood viscosity, BSA is the patient body surface area, and S_i is a vector quantity that is a parametric representation of the TCPC geometry.

According to the Buckingham theorem (Buckingham, 1914), equation (1) can be reduced to an equivalent relationship between dimensionless groups. Applying the theorem, it can be shown that equation (1) in its dimensionless form reduces to:

$$\frac{\dot{\epsilon}}{\rho \frac{Q^3}{BSA^2}} = f(\alpha, Re, S_i') \quad (2)$$

where Re is the Reynolds number and S_i' is a dimensionless parametric representation of the TCPC geometry.

Simplification and Assumptions

The following steps outline how the above dimensional analysis result (equation (2)) can be used to develop an analytical model of energy dissipation:

1. Total power loss can be expressed as the sum of power lost by the flow headed to the left lung, $\dot{\epsilon}_L$, and that lost by flow headed to the right lung, $\dot{\epsilon}_R$, as follows:

$$\dot{\epsilon} = \dot{\epsilon}_L + \dot{\epsilon}_R \quad (3)$$

2. Applying dimensional analysis on the flow within the TCPC headed to the left lung gives:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_L}{\rho \frac{\alpha^3 Q^3}{BSA^2}} = f(Re, S'_{iL}) \quad (4)$$

3. Applying dimensional analysis similarly to the flow towards the right lung gives:

$$\frac{\varepsilon_R}{\rho \frac{(1-\alpha)^3 Q^3}{BSA^2}} = f(Re, S'_{iR}) \quad (5)$$

4. Substituting equations (4) and (5) into (3) gives:

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho \frac{Q^3}{BSA^2}} = \alpha^3 f(Re, S'_{iL}) + (1 - \alpha)^3 f(Re, S'_{iR}) \quad (6)$$

5. Assume that the function $f(\cdot)$ can be decomposed by separation of variables such that:

$$f(Re, S'_i) = \psi(Re) \xi(S'_i) \quad (7)$$

6. Substituting (7) into (6) gives:

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{\rho \frac{Q^3}{BSA^2}} = \psi(Re) \left[\alpha^3 \xi(S'_{iL}) + (1 - \alpha)^3 \xi(S'_{iR}) \right] \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) is the analytical representation of energy dissipation in the TCPC with unknown functions $\psi(Re)$ and $\xi(S'_i)$ that are empirically determined (next section) from the *in vitro* data.

Empirical data

The analytical expression for energy dissipation within the TCPC shows that the dimensionless energy dissipation is dependent on flow split, Reynolds number and geometric shape factors. In particular, assumption (1) directly implies that the dependence of energy dissipation with respect to flow split is a cubic function. Specifically, if one were to define imaginary (i.e., non physiological) energy dissipation states at $\alpha=0$ and $\alpha=100$ as P_L and P_R , respectively, then energy dissipation at any arbitrary α is given by the interpolation:

$$\varepsilon = P_L \alpha^3 + P_R (1 - \alpha)^3 \quad (9)$$

Figure 2 shows the measured energy dissipation plotted as a function of flow split for each cardiac output as noted in Table 1. For each flow condition, a least square best fit is performed and has also been plotted along with the raw data (Figure 2). The R^2 values for all the best fit plots were greater than 0.98.

In order to examine Reynolds number dependence, (i.e., Re only) P_L and P_R , calculated as intercepts of the best fit curve (equation (9)) at $\alpha=0,100$, respectively, were plotted as a function of Reynolds number. Each P_L or P_R vs. Re curve, corresponding to a patient and flow split condition, was multiplied by a constant, such that all the curves plotted collapsed to an imaginary power law function with minimum square error. This process allows for the quantification of power law behavior, if any. Figure 3 shows this data with the arbitrary

scaled P_L and P_R vs Reynolds number on a log-log plot. A clear power law behavior with an exponent of 2.75 emerged for Reynolds number greater than 1000. Since, from equation (2), energy dissipation already scales with flow rate as Q^3 , this implies that (Re) is actually $Re^{-0.25}$. The significance of this result is discussed in the next section.

Modeling the function $\xi(S_i')$ first requires the definition of the parametric variable S . Our previous work has identified several geometric characteristics that can be used to describe any patient specific TCPC (KrishnankuttyRema, et al., 2008). It was shown that minimum PA cross-sectional sizes strongly differentiated between different types of TCPCs; therefore, with a sample size of six, we examine only the PA size factor using the minimum PA areas calculated in our previous work (KrishnankuttyRema, et al., 2008). Figure 4 shows the variation of P_L and P_R for exercise conditions normalized by the factor $Q^3 / BSA^2 * Re^{-0.25}$ with respect to normalized PA sizes, i.e., normalized minimum left PA area or right PA area, respectively. This data showed the strongest correlation between P_L and P_R and the bottleneck regions defined on the PAs. The data closely followed a power law ($R^2 > 0.92$, $p < 0.05$) with an exponent of -1.25 .

DISCUSSION

This study is the first comprehensive attempt to understand the functional relationships between hemodynamic energy dissipation that occurs within the TCPC and governing variables; namely, cardiac output, flow split, BSA, and certain geometric factors, such as minimum PA size. Dimensional analysis yielded that energy dissipation is directly

proportional to the dynamic (kinetic) power scale given by $\rho \frac{Q^3}{BSA^2}$. This factor is similar to the total kinetic power derived previously (Milnor, et al., 1966). However, equation (2) shows that the proportionality to the power scale is dependent on the flow split, Reynolds number, as well as geometry as expected.

Flow split dependence

Assumption (1), which decomposed the TCPC into two separate two-inlet, one-outlet (i.e., mixing Tee junctions) connections, yielded that the variation with flow split must be of the form given in equation (9). In reality, this assumption is counter intuitive as there exists a strongly non-linear coupling between the flow heading to the left lung and the right lung inside the TCPC. Studies have shown that the flow collision between the vena caval streams causes an unsteady mixing zone at the center of the “+” shaped connection. Nevertheless, the excellent fit of the cubic variation on the measured energy dissipation from the *in vitro* data over physiological ranges of flow split (i.e., 30–70%) shows that the non-linear interaction may be weak in the sense that the power losses may be dominated by the losses occurring due to the sudden changes in vessel sizes (vena cava to PAs). The behavior captured the flow split dependence well for various cardiac outputs, as well as those with severe stenosis. For instance, see Figure 2 for the case of CHOP013, which is a case of severe left PA stenosis (Figure 1).

Note that assumption (1) may very well break down as flow split approaches 0% or 100% and the function may depart from the cubic behavior. This study is unable to examine the validity of the cubic behavior over the full range because excessive energy dissipation occurs at extreme flow splits, which our constant tank facility was unable to overcome. Nevertheless, equation 9 shows the potential significance of non-physiological conditions that may govern the physics over physiological ranges.

Reynolds number dependence

From Figure 3, it is clear that as Reynolds number increases with patient exercise, the non-linear increase in energy dissipation approaches a power law behavior with an exponent of 2.75. However, note that in this figure energy dissipation has not been normalized with the dynamic power scale, which scales with cardiac output as Q^3 . This implies that the pure Reynolds number dependence of the non-dimensional energy dissipation, (Re) , scales as $Re^{-0.25}$, i.e., the non-dimensional energy dissipation actually decreases weakly with Reynolds number. This compares well with the behavior of internal friction factor (Blasius, 1911), which is also known to reduce with Reynolds number under turbulent conditions as $Re^{-0.25}$ for moderate Reynolds numbers but not fully developed turbulent conditions. The behavior also corroborates well with the decreasing non-dimensional energy dissipation studied in a recent computational investigation of TCPC resistance of extra cardiacs and intra atrial TCPCs (Whitehead, et al., 2007).

Geometry Dependence

From this study, only the effect of minimum PA sizes on the energy dissipation function could be established. Figure 4 shows that as the minimum cross-sectional areas of the PA decrease, energy dissipation increases non-linearly in a power law manner. The power law exponent was empirically determined to be -1.25 . In order to determine the dependence with other parameters such as vessel orientations, angles, curvatures, etc., further studies need to be conducted with large sample sizes.

SIGNIFICANCE

Despite the small sample size, the study establishes an important analytical form of energy dissipation in the TCPC that has profound significance in all clinical studies involving the comparison of TCPC energy dissipation. The analytical form allows the proper definition of TCPC resistance and thus a means for unbiased comparisons. It has been shown that the TCPC energy dissipation for physiological hemodynamic conditions can be expressed as:

$$\varepsilon = \rho \frac{Q^3}{BSA^2} \left[C_{left} \left(\frac{A_{lpa}}{BSA} \right)^{-1.25} \alpha^3 + C_{right} \left(\frac{A_{rpa}}{BSA} \right)^{-1.25} (1 - \alpha)^3 \right] Re^{-0.25} \quad (10)$$

where C_{left} and C_{right} are flow, Reynolds number, and PA size independent resistance coefficients that are pure functions of other geometric factors such as curvatures, angles, and orientations of the vessels; and A_{lpa} and A_{rpa} are minimum PA cross sectional areas. Based on equation (10), the energy dissipation of the entire pulmonary circuit is given by the equation:

$$E_{pulmonary} = \rho \frac{Q^3}{BSA^2} \left[C_{left} \left(\frac{A_{lpa}}{BSA} \right)^{-1.25} \alpha^3 + C_{right} \left(\frac{A_{rpa}}{BSA} \right)^{-1.25} (1 - \alpha)^3 \right] Re^{-0.25} + Q^2 \left[\alpha^2 L_L + (1 - \alpha)^2 L_R \right] \quad (11)$$

where $E_{pulmonary}$ is the energy dissipation of the entire pulmonary circuit and L_L and L_R are the resistances of the left and right lung, respectively. Equation (11) also defines the operating flow split, α , governed by the path of least resistance as:

$$\left. \frac{dE_{pulmonary}}{d\alpha} \right|_{\alpha=\alpha_o} = 0 \quad (12)$$

CONCLUSIONS

Dimensional analysis of energy dissipation in the TCPC has been performed and a simplistic, semi-empirical model developed that captures the dependence of governing variables such as cardiac output, flow split, Reynolds number, and minimum PA size under exercise conditions.

Acknowledgments

The authors gratefully acknowledge the funding from National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute (HL67622).

REFERENCES

1. Blasius H. The similarity law in friction processes. *Physikalische Zeitschrift*. 1911; 12:1175–1177.
2. Buckingham E. On physically similar systems, illustrations of the use of dimensional equations. *Physical Review*. 1914; 4(4):345–376.
3. de Zelicourt D, Pekkan K, Kitajima H, Frakes D, Yoganathan AP. Single-step stereolithography of complex anatomical models for optical flow measurements. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering-Transactions of the Asme*. 2005a; 127(1):204–207.
4. de Zelicourt DA, Pekkan K, Wills L, Kanter K, Forbess J, Sharma S, Fogel M, Yoganathan AP. In vitro flow analysis of a patient-specific intraatrial total cavopulmonary connection. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2005b; 79(6):2094–2102. [PubMed: 15919316]
5. Deleval MR, Kilner P, Gewillig M, Bull C. Total cavopulmonary connection - a logical alternative to atriopulmonary connection for complex fontan operations - experimental studies and early clinical-experience. *Journal of Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery*. 1988; 96(5):682–695. [PubMed: 3184963]
6. Ensley AE, Lynch P, Chatzimavroudis GP, Lucas C, Sharma S, Yoganathan AP. Toward designing the optimal total cavopulmonary connection: An in vitro study. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 1999; 68(4):1384–1390. [PubMed: 10543511]
7. Fontan F, Baudet E. Surgical repair of tricuspid atresia. *Thorax*. 1971; 26(3):240. -&. [PubMed: 5089489]
8. Grigioni M, D'Avenio G, Amodeo A, Di Donato RM. Power dissipation associated with surgical operations' hemodynamics: Critical issues and application to the total cavopulmonary connection. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 2006; 39(9):1583–1594. [PubMed: 16737703]
9. Hosein RBM, Clarke AJB, McGuirk SP, Griselli M, Stumper O, De Giovanni JV, Barron DJ, Brawn WJ. Factors influencing early and late outcome following the fontan procedure in the current era. The 'two commandments'? 2007:344–353.
10. Kitajima, HD. In vitro fluid dynamics of stereolithographic single ventricle congenital heart defects from in vivo magnetic resonance imaging. Atlanta, GA: Georgia Institute of Technology; 2007.
11. Krishnankutty Rema R, Dasi LP, Pekkan K, Sundareswaran K, Fogel M, Sharma S, Kanter K, Spray T, Yoganathan AP. Quantitative analysis of extracardiac versus intraatrial fontan anatomic geometries. *Annals of Thoracic Surgery*. 2008; 85(3):810–817. [PubMed: 18291147]
12. Milnor WR, Bergel DH, Bargaine Jd. Hydraulic power associated with pulmonary blood flow and its relation to heart rate. *Circulation Research*. 1966; 19(3):467. -&. [PubMed: 5925148]
13. Pekkan K, de Zelicourt D, Kitajima H, Forbess JM, Kanter KR, Parks JW, Sharma S, Fogel MA, Yoganathan AP. Functional left pulmonary artery stenosis in total cavopulmonary connection (tcpc): Assessing improvements in lung perfusion and cardiac workload with computer-aided angioplasty. *Circulation*. 2004; 110(17):737–737.
14. Ryu K, Healy TM, Ensley AE, Sharma S, Lucas C, Yoganathan AP. Importance of accurate geometry in the study of the total cavopulmonary connection: Computational simulations and in vitro experiments. *Annals of Biomedical Engineering*. 2001; 29(10):844–853. [PubMed: 11764315]
15. Senzaki H, Masutani S, Kobayashi J, Kobayashi T, Sasaki N, Asano H, Kyo S, Yokote Y, Ishizawa A. Ventricular afterload and ventricular work in fontan circulation - comparison with normal two-

- ventricle circulation and single-ventricle circulation with blalock-taussig shunts. *Circulation*. 2002; 105(24):2885–2892. [PubMed: 12070118]
16. Sundareswaran KS, Pekkan K, Dasi LP, Kitajima HD, Whitehead K, Fogel MA, Yoganathan AP. Significant impact of the total cavopulmonary connection resistance on cardiac output and exercise performance in single ventricles. *Circulation*. 2007; 116:479. (16_MeetingAbstracts).
 17. Sung HW, Hsu TL, Hsu CH, Hsu JC. Pulmonary artery hemodynamics with varying degrees of valvular stenosis: An in vitro study. *Journal of Biomechanics*. 1998; 31(12):1153–1161. [PubMed: 9882048]
 18. Venkatachari AK, Halliburton SS, Setser RM, White RD, Chatzimavroudis GP. Noninvasive quantification of fluid mechanical energy losses in the total cavopulmonary connection with magnetic resonance phase velocity mapping. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 2007; 25(1):101–109. [PubMed: 17222721]
 19. Walker PG, Howe TT, Davies RL, Fisher J, Watterson KG. Distribution of hepatic venous blood in the total cavo-pulmonary connection: An in vitro study. *European Journal of Cardio-Thoracic Surgery*. 2000; 17(6):658–665. [PubMed: 10856856]
 20. Walker PG, Oweis GF, Watterson KG. Distribution of hepatic venous blood in the total cavo pulmonary connection: An in vitro study into the effects of connection geometry. *Journal of Biomechanical Engineering-Transactions of the Asme*. 2001; 123(6):558–564.
 21. Whitehead KK, Pekkan K, Doddasomayajula R, Kitajima HD, Sundareswaran KS, Paridon SM, Yoganathan AP, Fogel MA. Computational model of exercise effects on fontan hemodynamics demonstrates favorable energetics in extracardiac fontans when compared to lateral tunnel. *Circulation*. 2007; 116(16):481.

Figure 1.
Anatomic reconstructions of six patients used in *in vitro* energy dissipation measurements.

Figure 2.

In vitro energy dissipation measurements for the six patient specific TCPCs at varying flow rates ranging from resting cardiac output to exercise condition. Also plotted is the least square fit of equation 8. R^2 values of all least square fits were > 0.98 .

Figure 3.

Arbitrarily scaled energy dissipation intercepts, P_L and P_R , plotted as a function of Reynolds number. Note the power law behavior under exercise conditions.

Figure 4. PL and PR normalized to dynamic power and indexed for Reynolds number variation under maximum exercise condition plotted as a function of minimum PA size. Note the power law dependence.

Table 1

Flow conditions used in the evaluation of power loss

Patient	Flow Conditions (L/min)
CHOA007	2, 3 and 4
CHOA008	2, 3 and 4
CHOP013	2, 3 and 4
CHOA009	2, 3 and 4
CHOA011	4, 5, 6 and 7
CHOP034	4, 5.2, 6.4 and 7.6