Published in final edited form as:

ACS Macro Lett. 2013 September 17; 2(9): 809–813. doi:10.1021/mz4003672.

PEG-Polypeptide Dual Brush Block Copolymers: Synthesis and Application in Nanoparticle Surface PEGylation

Yanfeng Zhang[†], Qian Yin[†], Hua Lu[†], Hongwei Xia[‡], Yao Lin^{‡,*}, and Jianjun Cheng^{†,*}

[†]Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana—Champaign, Urbana, IL 61801, USA

[‡]Polymer Program, Institute of Materials Science, University of Connecticut, Storrs, Connecticut 06269, USA

Abstract

Amphiphilic polypeptide-containing hybrid dual brush block copolymers with controlled molecular weights and narrow molecular weight distributions were synthesized in one pot via ring-opening metathesis polymerization of sequentially added norbornyl-PEG and *N*-(2-((trimethylsilyl)amino)ethyl)-5-norbornene-endo-2,3-dicarboximide (M1) followed by ring-opening polymerization of amino acid *N*-carboxyanhydrides. Polylactide nanoparticles coated with these amphiphilic dual brush block copolymers showed significantly improved stability in PBS solution compared to those coated with amphiphilic linear block copolymers such as PEG-polylactide and PEG-polypeptides.

Keywords

N-Carboxyanhydride; NCA; ROMP; ring-opening polymerization; self-assembly; nanoparticle; polypeptide; PEG; PEGylation; brush polymer

The synthesis and self-assembly of amphiphilic linear block copolymers with well-defined compositions and structures have been an important research topic in polymer science over the past several decades.¹ Numerous well-organized structures have been developed,² some of which have been widely adopted for drug delivery and controlled release applications.³ Block copolymers bearing more complex segments than linear polymers (e.g., dendritic,⁴ brush⁵ and cyclic polymers⁶), however, are not as actively reported due in part to their relatively challenging synthesis. Controlled synthesis of these complex block copolymers would significantly expand the library of polymeric materials and make it possible to explore their physicochemical properties for potential applications.

*Corresponding Author: jianjunc@illinois.edu; ylin@ims.uconn.edu.

Supporting Information.

Experimental details, including monomers synthesis and characterizations, polymerization and polymer characterizations. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

Brush-like polymers are useful materials in nanoscience, either as standalone materials or as building blocks for self-assembly.⁷ Brush block copolymers are particularly interesting materials because of their peculiar topological and large domain structures.⁸ Most brush polymers developed so far contain flexible brushes made of polyolefins, polyesters and polyethers.⁹ Brush block copolymers containing rigid polymer brushes would yield materials with unique properties, but such materials with controlled molecular weights (MWs) and narrow molecular-weight distributions (MWDs) remain synthetically challenging. Polypeptides have been frequently used as rigid building blocks in the design of homo- and hybrid copolymers for their unique, protein-like conformations (e.g., α -helix).¹⁰ Incorporating polypeptides with intrinsic secondary structures into the brush side chains may significantly expand the horizon of brush-like macromolecules by providing materials with unprecedented properties. We have previously reported the synthesis and self-assembly of a series of polypeptide-brush polymers in the past few years.¹¹ Here, we report the first synthesis of amphiphilic poly(ethylene glycol)(PEG)-polypeptide dual brush block copolymers with controlled MWs and narrow MWDs via one-pot ring-opening metathesis polymerization (ROMP) of norbornyl-PEG (NPEG) and inimer *N*-(2-((trimethylsilyl)amino)ethyl)-5-norbornene-endo-2,3-dicarboximide (M1), followed by trimethylsilyl amine (*N*-TMS) mediated ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of amino acid *N*-carboxyanhydrides (NCAs) (Scheme 1). We further demonstrated that these amphiphilic polypeptide-containing brush block copolymers were remarkable materials for coating polylactide (PLA) nanoparticles and preventing nanoparticles from aggregation in PBS solution for extended period of time.

We first prepared the hydrophilic poly(norbornene diimide) with pendant PEG polymer (denoted as PNE_{M/I} where M/I is the monomer/initiator ratio) by ROMP of NPEG using Grubbs' catalyst C1 in DCM, (Scheme 1). The WMs of PNE₂₀ and PNE₄₀ obtained through ROMP of NPEG at M/I ratios of 20 and 40 were 2.34×10^4 and 4.84×10^4 g/mol, agreeing well with the expected M_n of 2.60×10^4 and 5.20×10^4 g/mol, respectively (Table 1). The MWDs of both PNE₂₀ and PNE₄₀ were less than 1.2. The ¹H NMR analysis indicated that NPEG monomers were completely consumed in 3 h (Figure S2b).

We next attempted to synthesize polypeptide-containing dual brush block copolymers via a "grafting-from" strategy by growing polypeptide brushes from a ROMP backbone polymer derivatized with the initiation groups for the NCA polymerization. To facilitate controlled NCA polymerization and prevent inactivation of ROMP catalyst by free amine, the polymer backbone derived from ROMP were designed to have *N*-TMS amine groups for the subsequent controlled NCA polymerization.^{11e} *N*-(2-((Trimethylsilyl)amino)ethyl)-5-norbornene-endo-2,3-dicarboximide (M1), an inimer containing a ROMP polymerizable *endo*-norbornene functional group and an *N*-TMS amine group, was selected in this study (Scheme 1). M2 was used as a co-monomer to tune the density of *N*-TMS group along the polynorbornene backbone. Random block co-polynorbornene containing *N*-TMS groups, denoted as Px (x = 1, 2, and 3), were prepared by adding a mixture of M1 and M2 (M2:M1 = 3:1) to PNE at a (M1+M2)/PNE molar ratio ($[M_{tot}]/[I]$) of 80 and 160 (entries 3-5, Table 1 and Scheme 1). The resulting P1-P3 thus contain a hydrophilic PNE block and a hydrophobic random poly(M1/M2) block. As shown in Table 1, the synthesis of P1-P3 was well controlled with expected MWs and narrow MWDs (entries 3-5).

¹H NMR analysis showed that the TMS groups on M1 were well preserved in P1-P3 (Figure S2c), similar to what was reported previously.^{11e} P1-P3 were then used as initiators for polymerization of NCAs after DCM (solvent for ROMP) was removed under vacuum and replaced with anhydrous DMF. ROP of the (S)- α -benzyl-L-glutamate *N*-carboxyanhydride (Glu-NCA) initiated by P1-P3 proceeded in a similar fashion to those initiated by *N*-TMS amine-containing small molecules,^{11f,12} and yielded poly(α -(benzyl)-L-glutamate) (PBLG)-

containing hybrid dual brush block copolymers with controlled MWs and narrow MWDs (Table 2).^{11a} The dual brush block copolymers were denoted as Px-*g*-Glu_z, where “Px” corresponds to the P1-P3 in Table 1 and “z” is the Glu-NCA/M1 ratio. When P1 was used as the macro-initiator (containing ~20 *N*-TMS groups per chain) and the [Glu-NCA]/[*N*-TMS] ratio was controlled at 20, the M_n of the dual brush block copolymer P1-*g*-Glu₂₀ was 14.3×10^4 g/mol, agreeing well with the calculated M_n (15.7×10^4 g/mol) (entry 1, Table 2). GPC analysis revealed a monomodal peak for P1-*g*-Glu₂₀, the elution time of which shifted to the higher MW region compared to its precursor P1 (Figure 1b and 1c). The actual DP of the PBLG brush was determined to be ~19 by ¹H NMR analysis (Figure S3), which agreed well with the expected DP (20). Following the same methods, a series of dual brush block copolymers Px-*g*-Glu_z with controlled MWs were prepared (entries 2-5, Table 2). When the polymerization of (S)-*α*-carbobenzoxyl-L-lysine-*N*-carboxyanhydride (Lys-NCA) was mediated by P1 at a Lys-NCA/*N*-TMS ratio of 20, P1-*g*-Lys₂₀ with the expected MW was also obtained (entry 6, Table 2). The actual DP of PLys block was determined to be 20 by ¹H NMR analysis, which is in perfect agreement with the expected DP of PLys. All Px-*g*-Glu(or Lys)_z dual brush block copolymers reported in Table 2 have very narrow MWDs (< 1.1), substantiating that both ROMP and NCA ROP were highly controlled. We have previously reported a short ROMP polymer (11mer poly(M1)) grafted with 200mer PBLG (Glu₂₀₀) with excellent controlled MW and narrow MWD.^{11e} ROMP of functional norbornene monomers to give polymers with DP up to 400 has been reported.^{7e} Although dual brush block copolymers with very long backbones or very long brushes are not the focus of this study, dual brush copolymers with a wide range of backbone and brush lengths should be attainable.

Modification of the surface of nanoparticles (NPs) with PEG, termed “PEGylation, is a well-established approach to reduce the non-specific protein/tissue binding to NPs and to enhance NP stability under physiological condition.¹³ The PEG density on the NP surface plays a significant role in enhancing the stability of NPs.¹⁴ PEGylating NPs with hydrophobic surface can be achieved via precipitation of amphiphilic PEG-containing block copolymers, such as PEG-poly(lactide) (PEG-PLA), taking advantage of the hydrophobic interaction between NP and PLA. While this approach is simple and effective, several drawbacks are also noted.¹⁵ For instance, linear PEG may not provide enough surface coating and thus often cannot offer sufficient stealth effect and render completely inert surface. PEGylated NPs, especially those with low MW PEG, can still be subject to aggregation in biological media, non-specific protein binding, and undesirable recognition by reticuloendothelial system.¹⁶ Moreover, single block of hydrophobic polymer may not provide sufficient force to hold PEG, in particular high MW PEG, on the NP surface via its hydrophobic interaction with hydrophobic NP surface. Specially designed block copolymers, such as PLA-PEG-PLA, need to be used to provide more stable NP surface PEGylation by increasing hydrophobic interaction with NP surface.¹⁵ It has been reported that branched PEG¹⁷ and brush PEG¹⁸ can provide more surface stealth effect. To this end, an amphiphile could give rise to stronger hydrophobic interaction and better stealth effect than conventional linear PEG-PLA is of great value. We reason that Px-*g*-Glu_z, with its ordered packing of the rigid rod-like hydrophobic polypeptide domains¹⁹ and the bottle brush-like PEG,²⁰ may offer strong hydrophobic interaction and sufficient surface stealth simultaneously.

To examine the stabilization effect of Px-*g*-Glu_z on NPs, we prepared paclitaxel-poly(lactide) (Ptxl-PLA) conjugates through paclitaxel-initiated lactide polymerization at M/I (lactide/paclitaxel) ratio of 100 in the presence of (–diimine)ZnN(TMS)₂ (TMS = trimethylsilyl) as the catalyst.²¹ The resulting Ptxl-PLA has a M_n of 12.7×10^3 g/mol and MWD (M_w/M_n) of 1.03. It was then used to prepare NPs to evaluate various surface PEGylation methods.²² As expected, co-precipitation of Ptxl-PLA and mPEG5k (PEG) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solution could not provide sufficient surface PEGylation and therefore Ptxl-PLA NP

aggregation was observed almost instantaneously (Figure 2a). Ptxl-PLA/PEG-PLA co-precipitation formed stable NP in water, as reported previously.¹⁵ However, when a 1:1 mixture of mPEG₁₁₃-PLA₁₉₄ (PEG-PLA) and Ptxl-PLA was precipitated directly in PBS solution, effective NP surface PEGylation could not be achieved. NP size increased from 101 nm to 195 nm within 4 min based on dynamic light scattering (DLS) analysis. Large aggregates (~ 870 nm) were also observed (Figure 2b). As large aggregates would have substantially changed pharmacological profiles as compared to original NPs and the presence of large aggregates with micrometer diameter sizes would result in undesired death of animals based on our previous studies,²² the NPs prepared via co-precipitation of Ptxl-PLA/PEG-PLA in PBS solution cannot be used for in vivo systemic drug delivery. In contrary, P1-*g*-Glu₂₀ provided excellent coating and surface PEGylation to Ptxl-PLA NPs in PBS. When P1-*g*-Glu₂₀ and Ptxl-PLA (1:1, wt/wt) were co-precipitated directly in PBS solution, the obtained particle was 95.9 nm and its size remained unchanged for at least 24 h (Figure 2c and entry 11, Table S1). Other dual brush block copolymer reported in Table 2 could also stably coat Ptxl-PLA NP surface and prevent NPs from aggregation in PBS solution for at least 24 h (entry 12-22, Table S1). To determine whether the bottle brush structure is crucial to the observed NP stabilization effect, we synthesized a linear PEG-PBLG (mPEG₂₂₆-PBLG₂₁₅) with an M_n of 57.1×10^3 g/mol (M_w/M_n of 1.18) and a PEG weight percent (17%) similar to that of P1-*g*-Glu₂₀ (14%). PEG-PBLG was used for the surface coating of the Ptxl-PLA NPs via co-precipitation method. We found that the size of PEG-PBLG coated Ptxl-PLA NPs increased from 124 nm to 209 nm within just 4 min (Figure 2a). Very large aggregates (~7.5 μ m) were observed 24 h later (entry 8, Table S1), demonstrating that linear PEG-PBLG could not afford high density of PEG on the surface of NPs and provide excellent stealth effect.

In conclusion, a series of amphiphilic PEG-polypeptide dual brush block copolymers with controlled molecular weights and narrow molecular weights distributions were synthesized via a one-pot reaction of ring-opening metathesis polymerization and ring-opening polymerization of amino acid *N*-carboxyanhydrides. This novel polymerization technique allows easy access to hybrid bottlebrush-like polymeric materials with some unprecedented properties and functions, such as offering excellent surface PEGylation for the formulation of polymeric NPs for drug delivery applications.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

J.C. acknowledges the support from the NSF (CHE-1153122) and the NIH Director's New Innovator Award (1DP2OD007246). Y.L. acknowledges the support of NSF (DMR-1150742).

REFERENCES

1. Zhou JF, Wang L, Chen T, Wang W. *Prog. Chem.* 2005; 17:1102.
2. a Robb MJ, Connal LA, Lee BF, Lynd NA, Hawker C. J. *Polym. Chem.* 2012; 3:1618. b Al-Badri ZM, Maddikeri RR, Zha YP, Thaker HD, Dobriyal P, Shunmugam R, Russell TP, Tew GN. *Nat. Commun.* 2011; 2: c Bates FS, Hillmyer MA, Lodge TP, Bates CM, Delaney KT, Fredrickson GH. *Science.* 2012; 336:434. [PubMed: 22539713] d Cui HG, Chen ZY, Zhong S, Wooley KL, Pochan DJ. *Science.* 2007; 317:647. [PubMed: 17673657]
3. a Yu YS, Eisenberg A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:8383. b Wang HB, Wang HH, Urban VS, Littrell KC, Thiagarajan P, Yu LP. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000; 122:6855. c Hickey RJ, Haynes AS, Kikkawa JM, Park SJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:1517. [PubMed: 21208004] d Elsbahy M, Wooley KL. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2012; 41:2545. [PubMed: 22334259]

4. a Wurm F, Frey H. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2011; 36:1.b Zhou ZY, D'Emanuele A, Lennon K, Attwood D. *Macromolecules*. 2009; 42:7936.c Xie C, Ju ZH, Zhang C, Yang YL, He JP. *Macromolecules*. 2013; 46:1437.d Urbani CN, Bell CA, Lonsdale D, Whittaker MR, Monteiro MJ. *Macromolecules*. 2008; 41:76.e Klok HA, Rodriguez-Hernandez J. *Macromolecules*. 2002; 35:8718.f Gillies ER, Jonsson TB, Frechet JMJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:11936. [PubMed: 15382929] g Wong AD, DeWit MA, Gillies ER. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 2012; 64:1031.h Wang Y, Grayson SM. *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.* 2012; 64:852.
5. a Miyake GM, Weitekamp RA, Piunova VA, Grubbs RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:14249. [PubMed: 22891697] b Du JZ, Chen DP, Wang YC, Xiao CS, Lu YJ, Wang J, Zhang GZ. *Biomacromolecules*. 2006; 7:1898. [PubMed: 16768412] c Berezkin AV, Guseva DV, Kudryavtsev YV. *Macromolecules*. 2012; 45:8910.d Cheng ZP, Zhu XL, Fu GD, Kang ET, Neoh KG. *Macromolecules*. 2005; 38:7187.e Stepanyan R, Subbotin A, ten Brinke G. *Macromolecules*. 2002; 35:5640.
6. a Baba E, Honda S, Yamamoto T, Tezuka Y. *Polym Chem-Uk*. 2012; 3:1903.b Zhu YQ, Gido SP, Latrou H, Hadjichristidis N, Mays JW. *Macromolecules*. 2003; 36:148.c Touris A, Hadjichristidis N. *Macromolecules*. 2011; 44:1969.d Pitet LM, Hillmyer MA. *Macromolecules*. 2009; 42:3674.e Poelma JE, Ono K, Miyajima D, Aida T, Satoh K, Hawker CJ. *ACS Nano*. 2012; 6:10845. [PubMed: 23194415]
7. a Li CM, Gunari N, Fischer K, Janshoff A, Schmidt M. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* 2004; 43:1101.b Djalali R, Li SY, Schmidt M. *Macromolecules*. 2002; 35:4282.c Mullner M, Yuan JY, Weiss S, Walther A, Fortsch M, Drechsler M, Muller AHE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:16587. [PubMed: 21028813] d Yuan JY, Xu YY, Walther A, Bolisetty S, Schumacher M, Schmalz H, Ballauff M, Muller AHE. *Nat. Mater.* 2008; 7:718. [PubMed: 18641655] e Johnson JA, Lu YY, Burts AO, Lim YH, Finn MG, Koberstein JT, Turro NJ, Tirrell DA, Grubbs RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:559. [PubMed: 21142161] f Zhang MF, Muller AHE. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.* 2005; 43:3461.g Ruhe J, Ballauff M, Biesalski M, Dziezok P, Grohn F, Johannsmann D, Houbenov N, Hugenberg N, Konradi R, Minko S, Motornov M, Netz RR, Schmidt M, Seidel C, Stamm M, Stephan T, Usov D, Zhang HN. *Polyelectrolytes with Defined Molecular Architecture I*. 2004; 165:79.h Yuan WZ, Zhang JC, Wei JR. *Prog. Chem.* 2011; 23:760.i Lee HI, Pietrasik J, Sheiko SS, Matyjaszewski K. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2010; 35:24.j Neiser MW, Okuda J, Schmidt M. *Macromolecules*. 2003; 36:5437.k Rzaev J. *Acs Macro Lett.* 2012; 1:1146.
8. a Xia Y, Olsen BD, Kornfield JA, Grubbs RH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:18525. [PubMed: 19947607] b Rzaev J. *Macromolecules*. 2009; 42:2135.c Sun GR, Cho SH, Clark C, Verkhoturov SV, Eller MJ, Li A, Pavia-Jimenez A, Schweikert EA, Thackeray JW, Trefonas P, Wooley KL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013; 135:4203. [PubMed: 23480169] d Bolton J, Bailey TS, Rzaev J. *Nano Lett.* 2011; 11:998. [PubMed: 21280666] e Zhang XJ, Zhong ZL, Zhuo RX. *J. Controlled Release*. 2011; 152:E118.f Chang Y, Kwon YC, Lee SC, Kim C. *Macromolecules*. 2000; 33:4496.
9. a Li L, Zheng SX. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Phys.* 2008; 46:2296.b Vivek AV, Dhamodharan R. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.* 2007; 45:3818.c Cerit N, Cakir N, Dag A, Sirkecioglu O, Durmaz H, Hizal G, Tunca U. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.* 2011; 49:2850.d Chen JK, Hsieh CY, Huang CF, Li PM. *J. Colloid. Interf. Sci.* 2009; 338:428.e Ishizu K, Takano S, Ochi K. *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.* 2007; 104:3994.f Shi Y, Zhu W, Chen YM. *Macromolecules*. 2013; 46:2391.g Teuchert C, Michel C, Hansen F, Park DY, Beckham HW, Wenz G. *Macromolecules*. 2013; 46:2.h Li CH, Ge ZS, Fang J, Liu SY. *Macromolecules*. 2009; 42:2916.i Kang EH, Lee IH, Choi TL. *Acs Macro Lett.* 2012; 1:1098.j Han DH, Tong X, Zhao Y. *Macromolecules*. 2011; 44:5531.k Tang HY, Li YC, Lahasky SH, Sheiko SS, Zhang DH. *Macromolecules*. 2011; 44:1491.l Li Z, Ma J, Lee NS, Wooley KL. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:1228. [PubMed: 21204539] m Le D, Montembault V, Soutif JC, Rutnakornpituk M, Fontaine L. *Macromolecules*. 2010; 43:5611.n Liu Y, Chen P, Li ZB. *Macromol. Rapid Commun.* 2012; 33:287. [PubMed: 22139826]
10. a Blasco E, del Barrio J, Sanchez-Somolinos C, Pinol M, Oriol L. *Polym. Chem.* 2013; 4:2246.b Shi ZH, Lu HJ, Chen ZC, Cheng RS, Chen DZ. *Polymer*. 2012; 53:359.c Yun JP, Faust R, Szilagyi LS, Keki S, Zsuga M. *Macromolecules*. 2003; 36:1717.d Chang YK, Kim CH. *Abstr. Pap. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2001; 221:U411.e Zhu LY, Toug XF, Li MZ, Wang E. *J. Phys. Chem. B*. 2001; 105:2461.f Chang YY, Kim C. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.* 2001; 39:918.
11. a Wang J, Lu H, Ren Y, Zhang YF, Morton M, Cheng JJ, Lin Y. *Macromolecules*. 2011; 44:8699.b Zhang YF, Lu H, Lin Y, Cheng JJ. *Macromolecules*. 2011; 44:6641. [PubMed: 22049249] c Lu H,

- Wang J, Bai YG, Lang JW, Liu SY, Lin Y, Cheng JJ. *Nat. Commun.* 2011; 2d Lu H, Cheng JJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:12562. [PubMed: 18763770] e Lu H, Wang J, Lin Y, Cheng JJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:13582. [PubMed: 19725499] f Lu H, Cheng JJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:14114. [PubMed: 17963385]
12. Lemmouchi Y, Perry MC, Amass AJ, Chakraborty K, Schacht E. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.* 2007; 45:3975.
13. Stokes KK, Hammond PT. *Abstr. Pap. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 227:U358.
14. a Han CC, Yao YH, Dong X. *Abstr. Pap. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 232:88.b Yun JP, Faust R, Szilagyi LS, Keki S, Zsuga M. *J. Macromol. Sci. Pure.* 2004; A41:613.
15. Tong R, Yala LD, Fan TM, Cheng JJ. *Biomaterials.* 2010; 31:3043. [PubMed: 20122727]
16. Jokerst JV, Lobovkina T, Zare RN, Gambhir SS. *Nanomedicine.* 2011; 6:715. [PubMed: 21718180]
17. Prencipe G, Tabakman SM, Welsher K, Liu Z, Goodwin AP, Zhang L, Henry J, Dai HJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:4783. [PubMed: 19173646]
18. Lutz JF. *J. Polym. Sci. Pol. Chem.* 2008; 46:3459.
19. a Holowka EP, Pochan DJ, Deming TJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:12423. [PubMed: 16131225] b Bellomo EG, Wyrsta MD, Pakstis L, Pochan DJ, Deming TJ. *Nat. Mater.* 2004; 3:244. [PubMed: 15034560]
20. a Gillich T, Acikgoz C, Isa L, Schluter AD, Spencer ND, Textor M. *ACS Nano.* 2013; 7:316. [PubMed: 23214719] b Imbesi PM, Gohad NV, Eller MJ, Orihuela B, Rittschof D, Schweikert EA, Mount AS, Wooley KL. *ACS Nano.* 2012; 6:1503. [PubMed: 22276525] c Knop K, Hoogenboom R, Fischer D, Schubert US. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* 2010; 49:6288.d Obermeier B, Wurm F, Mangold C, Frey H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* 2011; 50:7988.e Kainthan RK, Brooks DE. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2008; 19:2231.f Pang Y, Liu JY, Wu JL, Li GL, Wang RB, Su Y, He P, Zhu XY, Yan DY, Zhu BS. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2010; 21:2093.g Hussain H, Mya KY, He CB. *Langmuir.* 2012; 28:12690.h Chen CC, Borden MA. *Biomaterials.* 2011; 32:6579. [PubMed: 21683439] i Du JZ, Tang LY, Song WJ, Shi Y, Wang J. *Biomacromolecules.* 2009; 10:2169. [PubMed: 19722555]
21. a Tong R, Cheng JJ. *Angew. Chem. Int. Edit.* 2008; 47:4830.b Tong R, Cheng JJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:4744. [PubMed: 19281160] c Tong R, Cheng JJ. *Bioconjugate Chem.* 2010; 21:111.d Tong R, Cheng JJ. *Chemical Science.* 2012; 3:2234.
22. a Farokhzad OC, Cheng J, Teply BA, Sherifi I, Jon S, Kantoff PW, Richie JP, Langer R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2006; 103:6315. [PubMed: 16606824] b Cheng J, Teply BA, Sherifi I, Sung J, Luther G, Gu FX, Levy-Nissenbaum E, Radovic-Moreno AF, Langer R, Farokhzad OC. *Biomaterials.* 2007; 28:869. [PubMed: 17055572]

Scheme 1.

Figure 1. GPC traces (light scattering signal) of PNE₂₀ (a), P1 (b), and P1-g-Glu₂₀ (c).

Figure 2.

(a) Stability of Ptxl-LA NPs in PBS (1×) after coated with mPEG5k (PEG), mPEG5k-PLA (PEG-PLA), PEG-PBLG, or P1-*g*-Glu₂₀; (b) DLS spectra of Ptxl-LA/PEG-PLA NP in PBS buffer after 0 and 4 min; (c) DLS spectra of Ptxl-LA/P1-*g*-Glu₂₀ NP in PBS buffer after 0 and 60 min.

Table 1

PNE-Poly(norbornene diimide) prepared by ROMP.

entry	polymer	initiator	monomer	[M] _{tot} /[I]	conv (%) ^b	dn/dc (mL/g) ^c	$M_n (M_n^*) (\times 10^{-4})^d$	MWD ^d
1	PNE ₂₀	–	NPEG	20	>98	0.032	2.34 (2.60)	1.19
2	PNE ₄₀	–	NPEG	40	>98	0.032	4.84 (5.20)	1.20
3	P1	PNE ₂₀	M1+M2 ^a	80	>98	0.056	6.21 (6.94)	1.12
4	P2	PNE ₂₀	M1+M2 ^a	160	>98	0.060	9.85 (11.08)	1.21
5	P3	PNE ₄₀	M1+M2 ^a	160	>98	0.052	11.24 (13.68)	1.17

^aRandom copolymerization, M2/M1 molar ratio was fixed at 3/1^bThe conversion of monomer was determined by ¹H NMR in CDCl₃^cThe dn/dc values were calculated offline by using the internal calibration system processed by the ASTRA V software^dThe MW obtained by GPC (the expected MW).

Table 2

Synthesis of PEG-polypeptide dual brush block copolymers

entry	polymer	micro-initiator	monomer [M]/[I]	conv (%) ^a	dn/dc (mL/g) ^b	M _n (M _n [*]) (× 10 ⁻⁴) ^c	MWD ^c	
1	P1- <i>g</i> -Glu ₂₀	P1	Glu-NCA	20	>95	0.080	14.3 (15.7)	1.05
2	P1- <i>g</i> -Glu ₄₀	P1	Glu-NCA	40	>95	0.086	20.6 (24.4)	1.04
3	P2- <i>g</i> -Glu ₂₀	P2	Glu-NCA	20	>95	0.083	25.0 (28.5)	1.08
4	P2- <i>g</i> -Glu ₄₀	P2	Glu-NCA	40	>95	0.088	38.7 (46.0)	1.09
5	P3- <i>g</i> -Glu ₂₀	P3	Glu-NCA	20	>95	0.081	26.4 (31.1)	1.06
6	P1- <i>g</i> -Lys ₂₀	P1	Lys-NCA	20	>95	0.082	13.3 (16.9)	1.09

^aThe conversion of monomer was determined by FT-IR^bThe dn/dc values were calculated offline by using the internal calibration system processed by the ASTRA V software^cThe MW obtained by GPC (the expected MW).