Published in final edited form as:

Exp Gerontol. 2009 ; 44(0): 467–471. doi:10.1016/j.exger.2009.02.013.

The nurse's load: early-life exposure to brood-rearing affects behavior and lifespan in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*)

Gro V. Amdam^{1,2,*}, Olav Rueppell³, M. Kim Fondrk¹, Robert E. Page Jr.¹, and C. Mindy Nelson⁴

¹School of Life Sciences, Arizona State University, Tempe, AZ 85287-4501, USA

²Dept. of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science, University of Life Sciences, N-1432 Aas, Norway

³Dept. of Biology, University of North Carolina at Greensboro, NC, 27403, USA

⁴Dept. of Entomology, University of California Davis, CA 95616, USA

Abstract

Long-lived honey bees (*Apis mellifera*) develop in fall. This pattern may be explained by reduced nurse loads. When the amount of brood in colonies declines as a function of adverse foraging conditions, adult bees build up surplus nutrient stores that include vitellogenin, a behavioral affector protein that also can increase lifespan. Although the seasonal reduction in exposure to nursing tasks predictably results in vitellogenin accumulation, the assumption that long-lived adults thereby develop is confounded by a concomitant decline in foraging effort. Foraging activity reduces lifespan, and is influenced by colony resource consumption, brood pheromones, availability of nectar and pollen, and weather. Here, we perform the first controlled experiment where the nursing environment of pre-foraging sister bees was set to vary, while their foraging environment later was set to be the same. We measure vitellogenin, age at foraging onset and lifespan. We establish that reduced brood-rearing increases vitellogenin levels, and delays foraging onset and death. Longevity is largely explained by the effect of nursing on the onset of foraging behavior, but is also influenced by the level of brood-rearing independent of behavioral change. Our findings are consistent with the roles of vitellogenin in regulation of honey bee behavior and lifespan.

1. Introduction

In temperate climates, honey bee colonies are characterized by a summer population of short-lived bees (summer bees) and a winter population of long-lived bees (winter-, or *diutinus* bees) (Maurizio, 1950; Mattila et al., 2001). After emerging as adults, summer bees first perform tasks in the nest such as nursing brood (Seeley, 1982). About 2–3 weeks later, they go through a distinct behavioral transition to begin foraging for nectar and pollen in the field. Nectar (carbohydrate) and pollen (protein) are resources for colony growth, reproduction and survival (Winston, 1987). Colonies rear some hundreds to a few thousand

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Correspondence to: Gro.Amdam@asu.edu; Tel. +480-727-0895; Fax: +480-965-6899.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

males, tens to hundreds of thousands of female workers, and zero to tens of queens (Page, 1980).

Workers are essentially sterile. They conduct all the nursing and foraging tasks and participate in reproductive swarms, each headed by a single queen (Winston, 1987). Colony brood-rearing, foraging and reproduction, however, decline in late summer and fall (Sekiguchi and Sakagami, 1966; Free and Racey, 1968; Sakagami and Fukuda, 1968; Winston, 1987). The queen and workers survive the unfavorable season inside the protected nest on stored resources, while remaining males are killed (Maeterlinck, 1901). This life-history results in a bimodal lifespan-distribution of worker cohorts, with a summer bee lifespan of 3–8 weeks, and a *diutinus* lifespan of up to 280 days (Maurizio, 1950; Amdam and Omholt, 2002).

Efforts to explain this bimodality have centered on effects of brood-rearing on adult physiology (Haydak, 1963; Fluri et al., 1977). It was suggested that, as exposure to nursing tasks declines, the young “nurse bees” can build up surplus nutrient stores that include vitellogenin (Amdam and Omholt, 2002; Omholt and Amdam, 2004). Vitellogenin is a conserved yolk precursor protein that has evolved a function in honey bee brood-rearing (Amdam et al., 2003). Although worker bees rarely lay eggs, they synthesize vitellogenin at elevated rates during early life. When the colony is active in brood-rearing, vitellogenin constituents are transferred by mouth to larvae, callow workers and the queen, and the turnover of the protein is at balance or exceeds the production rate of mature nurse bees (Amdam et al., 2003; Amdam and Page, 2005). Conversely, when brood-rearing ceases the vitellogenin titer can increase 5-to-10-fold, reaching concentrations of 100 µg/µl in hemolymph (blood) (Amdam et al., 2005). This physiology is characteristic of *diutinus* bees (Amdam and Omholt, 2002).

Vitellogenin can influence worker longevity in several ways. It is a nutrient-providing storage protein (Engels et al., 1990), and by zinc-binding it may affect immune cell integrity and stress resistance (Amdam et al. 2004; Seehuus et al., 2006). Amdam et al. (2004) established a correlative relationship between the hemolymph titers of zinc and vitellogenin in worker bees, and a causal association between the levels of zinc and hemocyte (immune cell) survival in a culture system. Seehuus et al. (2006) demonstrated that vitellogenin is preferentially carbonylated (oxidatively modified) after injection of the oxidative stress-inducing agent paraquat to workers, and that RNA interference (RNAi) mediated suppression of vitellogenin causes increased susceptibility to paraquat. Further RNAi knockdown experiments have shown that vitellogenin suppresses foraging onset by interplay with the systemic juvenile hormone (JH) (Nelson et al., 2007; Marco Antonio et al., 2008). Age at foraging onset is a predictor of worker lifespan (Rueppell et al., 2007; Rueppell et al., 2008). This is because the pre-foraging life-phase can vary greatly in length while the duration of the foraging life-phase typically is less variable, seldom extending beyond 7–10 days (Neukirch, 1982; Visscher and Dukas, 1997).

Foraging efforts are affected by colony resource consumption and pheromones from brood (demand) (Pankiw et al., 1998), as well as the amount of nectar and pollen in the field and weather (opportunity). These factors change seasonally, and thereby, foraging activity is constrained in fall. However, demands on foraging also are affected in colonies where brood-rearing is experimentally changed (Eischen et al., 1984). Therefore, although insights so far suggest that nursing can influence lifespan and that an explanation for this is that nurse loads affect vitellogenin levels, it is unclear if nursing has an independent effect or if longevity patterns are explained by the reduced demands on foraging. To address this question, we studied the life-history of sister workers that were subjected to different levels

of brood-rearing until 12 days old and, thereafter, initiated foraging in a common environment.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Brood-rearing phase and observation hive setup

Four pairs of colonies (=8 colonies total) were established in five-frame nucleus colonies, each with a frame (wax comb) of honey and about 645 cm² of pollen. Two nurse load treatments were prepared: 'High Brood' and 'No Brood'. High Brood received an average of 832 cm² of brood every four days (eggs from a queen that was caged for 3 days). No Brood was given empty comb. Brood was replaced three times over 12 days in the High Brood treatment for a total of 2495 cm² brood per colony. All queens were kept in excluder cages so only experimentally provided brood was present. About 500 newly emerged, number-tagged sister bees, 100 newly emerged paint-marked sister bees and 1200 unmarked medium-aged unrelated bees were used to build the worker population of each nucleus colony. Sisters were reared in common host colonies.

One pair of colonies (one High Brood and one No Brood) was set up on each of four consecutive days. On Day 12, 20–30 paint-marked bees were collected from two colony pairs for quantification of vitellogenin. Thereafter, each pair was placed in front of the entrance of a single observation hive, shaken into a swarm, and hived together on four frames (one with open brood, one with emerging brood, one with pollen and one with honey). A queen from a separate source was given to each combined colony, which was placed into the observation hive the following day.

2.2. Relative quantification of vitellogenin titer in pre-foragers

Drummond micropipettes were used to extract 1 µl hemolymph from each paint-marked bee. Hemolymph was dissolved in 50 µl TRIS-buffer (20 mM TRIS-HCL, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM EDTA, pH 7.5, 1 mM phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride, 5 mM benzamidin, 0.7 µM pepstatin, 8 µM chymostatin, 10 µM leupeptin and 0.8 µM aprotinin, Sigma-Aldrich). After randomization, samples were separated by 7.5% SDS-PAGE (Laemmli, 1970). Densitometrically semi-quantification followed the method of Lin et al., where vitellogenin is detected as one band of 180 kDa (Wheeler and Kawooya, 1990; Lin et al., 1999). Quantity One imaging software (Bio-Rad) was used for the analysis after staining with Commassie Brilliant Blue (Sigma-Aldrich). Gel-to-gel variation was controlled by background correction as before (Amdam et al., 2006).

2.3. Foraging phase

Beginning on Day 13, daily evening or early morning surveys (while the bees were not active in foraging) were conducted for all four observation hives; an observer slowly scanned each hive twice and recorded all numbered tags into a laptop running the voice recognition program of Microsoft Word. Beginning on Day 14, hive entrances were observed for two 40 min observation periods daily (during non-orientation flight periods) and all arriving tagged bees were recorded.

2.4. Statistical analysis

Vitellogenin quantities were analyzed using ANOVA. Age at foraging onset and survivorship were examined with Cox Proportional Hazard regression analysis using observation hive as stratifying variable. A bee's age at foraging onset was her age when first observed to arrive at the hive entrance during a non-orientation flight time. A bee's total lifespan was the number of days alive (last day alive=last day observed). A multiple

regression model was used to separate the effect of ‘nurse load’ from ‘observation hive’ and ‘age at foraging onset’.

3. Results

3.1. The effect of brood-rearing on the vitellogenin level

By measuring the relative vitellogenin titer of 78 bees, we verified a physiological effect of the High Brood and No Brood treatments before the 12-day-old bees were placed into common colonies. As expected (Amdam et al., 2005), the reduced exposure to nursing tasks (No Brood treatment) significantly increased the hemolymph vitellogenin concentration of the bees (ANOVA: $F_{1,75}=31.0$, $P<0.000001$). On average, the 12-day-old No Brood workers had about twice the vitellogenin amount of the same-aged High Brood bees (Figure 1). When the level of brood-rearing was controlled for, the nucleus colonies per se did not affect the vitellogenin titer (ANOVA: $F_{3,75}=1.9$, $P=0.16$).

3.2. Foraging onset and longevity

We next recorded the foraging onset of 1708 bees across the four observation hives. We established that in these common environments, the preceding No Brood treatment caused workers to initiate foraging significantly later in life compared to the High Brood treatment (Cox Proportional Hazard: Hazard ratio=1.27 days (95% C.I.=1.16–1.4 days); Likelihood Ratio Test=24.2, d.f.=1, $p<0.0000009$; Cox Proportional Hazard Assumption met, $\rho=0.008$, n.s.; Figure 2). Mean age of foraging onset ranged from 22.2–25.8 days old (range for the observation hive means) for bees from the No Brood treatment and 21.3–24.1 days old for bees from the High Brood treatment.

Thereafter, we determined the lifespan of the same 1708 workers. We found that the No Brood treatment significantly increased worker lifespan (Cox Proportional Hazard: Hazard ratio=1.26 days (95% C.I.=1.15–1.39 days); Likelihood Ratio Test=23.0, d.f.=1, $p<0.000002$; Cox Proportional Hazard Assumption met, $\rho=-0.029$, n.s.). The mean longevity ranged from 33.0–38.4 days old (range for the four observation hive means) for bees from the No Brood treatment and 32.1–37.1 days old for bees from the High Brood treatment (Figure 3).

Across the four observation hives, age at foraging onset and lifespan were significantly correlated (Pearson Correlation, $r=0.63$, $P<0.001$, $N=1708$). This association was established for honey bees before (Rueppell et al., 2007; Rueppell et al., 2008), and is expected since the pre-foraging life-phase is a major variance component of total longevity (Neukirch, 1982; Visscher and Dukas, 1997). When taking this relationship into account by controlling for age at foraging onset in our analysis of lifespan, we found that the No Brood and High Brood treatments also affected longevity directly, i.e., independent from the treatment effect on worker foraging behavior (Multiple Regression: observation hive $F=87.4$, $P<0.0000000001$; age at foraging onset, $F=1105.1$, $P<0.0000000001$; nurse load, $F=7.618$, $P<0.006$).

4. Discussion

We show that the life history of worker honey bees is influenced by brood-rearing. This question has been studied before (e.g. Haydak, 1963; Eischen et al., 1984; Le Conte et al. 2001), but our experiment controlled for several confounding factors for the first time. By using newly-emerged sister bees from common host colonies, we controlled for genotype and larval-to-adult rearing environment. Subsequently, after 12 days of exposure to different levels of brood-rearing (No Brood vs. High Brood), we controlled for foraging environment by placing sister bees back together in observation hives where they experienced the same

demands and opportunities for foraging. Our four replicate pairings of colonies, furthermore, add strength to the results. The treatment groups were similarly affected among the observation hives, although the demographic trajectories of the separate sets of worker cohorts were not identical (Figure 2 and 3).

The finding that reduced brood-rearing increases vitellogenin titers, and delays foraging onset and worker death, is consistent with previous results. It was shown before that vitellogenin titers increase when brood is removed from colonies (Amdam et al., 2005), and, when vitellogenin titers are reduced by gene silencing, workers forage preciously and live shorter lives (Nelson et al., 2007; Marco Antonio et al., 2008). The magnitude of the treatment effects in our study, although seemingly small, also is in accordance with previous analyses. Vitellogenin gene silencing by RNAi reduces vitellogenin protein levels 2–5 fold and lowers the age at foraging onset and longevity with 1.43 days and 1.29 days, respectively. Correspondingly, our No Brood group, which experienced a 2-fold increase in vitellogenin levels, postponed foraging onset with 1.16–1.4 days and lived 1.15–1.39 days longer than the High Brood group.

Exposure to brood and brood pheromones can have many effects on the social behavior and endocrine physiology of mature worker bees, including changes in the titer of JH (Le Conte et al. 2001). JH is a life-shortening hormone in *Drosophila melanogaster* that in honey bees is suppressed by high vitellogenin levels. Yet, when released from repression, JH feeds back to inhibit vitellogenin synthesis, and this mutually suppressive relationship between vitellogenin and JH appears to be a central integrator of honey bee social behavior (reviewed by Amdam and Omholt 2003).

Our study confirms a well-established correlation between age at foraging onset and lifespan, but also documents a negative effect of nursing on longevity that is independent of foraging behavior. The finding that brood-rearing shortens life by effects on foraging onset and longevity that are partly separate, is consistent with the negative influence of nursing on the vitellogenin titer of the workers (Figure 1). Vitellogenin suppresses JH and onset of foraging behavior, but also, by increasing the level of oxidative stress resistance vitellogenin may extend life independent of worker behavior (Seehuus et al., 2006; Nelson et al., 2007). Thus, although we cannot fully exclude that these relationships are caused by unknown common factors, we conclude that our results support the hypothesis that vitellogenin has central functions in the regulation of honey bee physiology and behavior.

Acknowledgments

We thank A. Csöndes and J. Hileman for assistance. Support to GVA and OR was provided by U.S. National Science Foundation (0615502), to GVA by the Norwegian Research Council (175413, 180504, 185306), and the PEW Foundation. REP was supported by the National Institute of Aging (NIA P01 AG22500).

References

- Amdam GV, Omholt SW. The regulatory anatomy of honeybee lifespan. *J Theor Biol.* 2002; 216:209–228. [PubMed: 12079372]
- Amdam GV, Norberg K, Hagen A, Omholt SW. Social exploitation of vitellogenin. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2003; 100:1799–1802. [PubMed: 12566563]
- Amdam GV, Simões ZLP, Hagen A, Norberg K, Schröder K, Mikkelsen O, Kirkwood TBL, Omholt SW. Hormonal control of the yolk precursor vitellogenin regulates immune function and longevity in honeybees. *Exp Gerontol.* 2004; 39:767–773. [PubMed: 15130671]
- Amdam GV, Page RE. Intergenerational transfers may have decoupled physiological and chronological age in a eusocial insect. *Aging Res Rev.* 2005; 4:398–408.

- Amdam GV, Norberg K, Omholt SW, Kryger P, Lourenco AP, Bitondi MMG, Simões ZLP. Higher vitellogenin concentrations in honey bee workers may be an adaptation to life in temperate climates. *Insectes Soc.* 2005; 52:316–319.
- Amdam GV, Norberg K, Page RE, Erber J, Scheiner R. Downregulation of vitellogenin gene activity increases the gustatory responsiveness of honey bee workers (*Apis mellifera*). *Behav Brain Res.* 2006; 169:201–205. [PubMed: 16466813]
- Eischen FA, Rothenbuhler WC, Kulincevic JM. Some effects of nursing on nurse bees. *J Apic Res.* 1984; 23:90–93.
- Engels, W.; Kaatz, H.; Zillikens, A.; Simões, ZLP.; Truve, A.; Braun, RP.; Dittrich, F. Honey bee reproduction: vitellogenin and caste-specific regulation of fertility. In: Hoshi, M.; Yamashita, O., editors. *Advances in Invertebrate Reproduction*. Elsevier Science Publishers B. V; Amsterdam: 1990. p. 495-502.
- Fluri P, Wille H, Gerig L, Lüscher M. Juvenile hormone, vitellogenin and haemocyte composition in winter worker honeybees (*Apis mellifera*). *Experientia.* 1977; 33:1240–1241.
- Free JB, Racey PA. The effect of the size of honeybee colonies on food consumption, brood rearing and the longevity of the bees during winter. *Ent exp & appl.* 1968; 11:241–249.
- Haydak MH. Age of nurse bees and brood rearing. *Minnesota Agric Exp Sta Sci J Ser.* 1963; 5122:101–103.
- Laemmli UK. Cleavage of Structural Proteins During Assembly of Head of Bacteriophage-T4. *Nature.* 1970; 227:680. [PubMed: 5432063]
- Le Conte Y, Mohammedi A, Robinson GE. Primer effects of a brood pheromone on honeybee behavioural development. *Proc R Soc Lond B.* 2001; 268:163–168.
- Lin H, Winston ML, Haunerland NH, Slessor KN. Influence of age and population size on ovarian development, and of trophallaxis on ovarian development and vitellogenin titres of queenless worker honey bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Can Entomol.* 1999; 131:695–706.
- Maeterlinck, M. *The Life of the Bee*. Dodd, Mead and Company; New York: 1901.
- Marco Antonio DS, Guidugli-Lazzarini KR, Nascimento AM, Simões ZLP, Hartfelder K. RNAi-mediated silencing of *vitellogenin* gene function turns honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) workers into extremely precocious foragers. *Naturwissenschaften.* 2008; 95:953–961. [PubMed: 18545981]
- Mattila HR, Harris J, Otis GW. Timing of production of winter bees in honey bee (*Apis mellifera*) colonies. *Insectes Soc.* 2001; 48:88–93.
- Maurizio A. The influence of pollen feeding and brood rearing on the length of life and physiological condition of the honeybee preliminary report. *Bee World.* 1950; 31:9–12.
- Nelson CM, Ihle K, Amdam GV, Fondrk MK, Page RE. The gene *vitellogenin* has multiple coordinating effects on social organization. *PLoS Biol.* 2007; 5:673–677.
- Neukirch A. Dependence of the life span of the honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) upon flight performance and energy consumption. *J Comp Physiol.* 1982; 146:35–40.
- Omholt SW, Amdam GV. Epigenic regulation of aging in honeybee workers. *Sci Aging Knowl Environ.* 2004; 26:pe28.
- Page RE. Protandrous reproduction in honey bees. *Environ Entomol.* 1980; 10:359–362.
- Pankiw T, Page RE, Fondrk MK. Brood pheromone stimulates pollen foraging in honey bees (*Apis mellifera*). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol.* 1998; 44:193–198.
- Rueppell O, Bachelier C, Fondrk MK, Page RE Jr. Regulation of life history determines lifespan of worker honey bees (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Exp Gerontol.* 2007; 42:1020–32. [PubMed: 17689041]
- Rueppell O, Linford R, Gardner P, Coleman J, Fine K. Aging and demographic plasticity in response to experimental age structures in honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.). *Behav Ecol Sociobiol.* 2008; 62:1621–1631. [PubMed: 18663386]
- Sakagami SF, Fukuda H. Life tables for worker honeybees. *Res Popul Ecol.* 1968; 10:127–139.
- Seehuus SC, Norberg K, Gimsa U, Krekling T, Amdam GV. Reproductive protein protects sterile honey bee workers from oxidative stress. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2006; 103:962–967. [PubMed: 16418279]
- Seeley TD. Adaptive significance of the age polyethism schedule in honeybee colonies. *Behav Ecol Sociobiol.* 1982; 11:287–293.

- Sekiguchi K, Sakagami SF. Structure of Foraging Population and Related Problems in the Honeybee, with Considerations on Division of labour in Bee Colonies. Hakkaido National Agric Exp Stat Report No. 1966; 69:1–58.
- Visscher PK, Dukas R. Survivorship of foraging honey bees. *Insectes Soc.* 1997; 44:1–5.
- Wheeler DE, Kawooya JK. Purification and characterization of honey bee vitellogenin. *Arch Insect Biochem Physiol.* 1990; 14:253–267. [PubMed: 2134180]
- Winston, ML. *The Biology of the Honey Bee*. Harvard University Press; Cambridge MA: 1987. p. 296

Fig. 1. Vitellogenin protein level (relative quantity, RQ) in worker bees from two randomly chosen colony pairs. In both replicates, the No Brood treatment resulted in increased vitellogenin titers compared to the High brood treatment. Bars are means with standard errors.

Fig. 2.

Foraging onset shown as the cumulative proportion of bees that has not yet foraged. Reduced brood-rearing (No Brood) resulted in delayed foraging onset. The panels show data from all tagged workers that were observed to forage at least twice.

Fig. 3.

Worker longevity shown as the cumulative proportion of marked bees that is still alive. Reduced brood-rearing (No Brood) extended the lifespan of workers. The panels show data from all tagged workers that were observed alive during the study.