Published in final edited form as:

Sleep Med Clin. 2013 September 1; 8(3): 323–331. doi:10.1016/j.jsmc.2013.04.005.

The Role of Genes in the Insomnia Phenotype

Philip R. Gehrman, PhD, CBSM,

Department of Psychiatry, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, 3535 Market Street, Suite 670, Philadelphia PA 19104, 215-746-3578

Cory Pfeifferberger, PhD, and

Center for Sleep and Circadian Neurobiology, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania, Translational Research Laboratories, Suite 2100, 125 South 31st Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104-3403, (215) 746-4801

Enda Byrne, PhD

Queensland Brain Institute, Upland Road, University of Queensland, St. Lucia, QLD 4072, +61 7 3346 6300

Philip R. Gehrman: gehrman@exchange.upenn.edu; Cory Pfeifferberger: corypf@mail.med.upenn.edu; Enda Byrne: e.byrne3@uq.edu.au

Keywords

insomnia; genetics; GWAS; animal models

Current conceptualizations of insomnia are largely based on the 3 P's model (1) in its original or an adapted form. According to this model, the onset of acute insomnia is due to the interaction between one or more precipitating factors and premorbid predisposing factors. Predisposing factors can increase vulnerability to developing insomnia or, when low, may confer a degree of resiliency. There is no universally agreed upon set of predisposing factors, but virtually all presentations of the model suggest that genetic factors may play a role. Yet, there has been very little research in the genetic basis of insomnia. This is beginning to change, and investigations are starting to take advantage of the powerful tools that are part of the genomics revolution currently underway. The goal of this article is to summarize our current understanding of the role of genetics in the pathophysiology of insomnia.

What is the Insomnia Phenotype?

In order to study the genetics of insomnia, one must define the phenotype of interest. Insomnia research has long been plagued by the use of a wide range of phenotypic definitions of insomnia. Efforts have been made to create a more standardized assessment approach to create greater uniformity (2, 3) but substantial heterogeneity continues. At the most fundamental level, insomnia can be assessed with the single question, "Do you have trouble sleeping?" While this question has face validity, it is associated with a number of difficulties including inter-individual variation in beliefs about what constitutes 'trouble.'

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Philip R. Gehrman, gehrman@exchange.upenn.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Clinical (4, 5) and research (3) diagnostic systems require that the insomnia be associated with some degree of associated distress or impairment. In clinical settings this requirement is almost always met since an individual is not likely to seek treatment if there are no perceived negative consequences. In community samples there is a portion of the population who reports difficulty initiating or maintaining sleep, but who do not report associated consequences.(6) It is not known if those without impairment should be considered to be insomnia 'cases' for genetic studies, but it seems clear that neither are they true 'controls.' Current diagnostic systems divide insomnia into a number of specific subtypes including psychophysiologic, idiopathic, and paradoxical forms that are thought to reflect subtypes in the population; however, the upcoming revisions of the DSM and ICD have eliminated most of these distinctions due to the lack of supporting evidence.(7)

Objective measures of sleep can also be used to define the insomnia phenotype and have the advantage of reduced influence by self-report biases. The gold standard for the objective measurement of sleep is polysomnography (PSG), which involves the measurement of multiple physiologic signals (electroencephalographic, electromyographic, and electrooculographic) over the course of the night. PSG can provide highly detailed information on sleep architecture and the time course of sleep patterns. Sleep architecture variables appear to represent individual traits that are highly heritable, suggesting that PSG may be an optimal strategy for genetics studies of insomnia.(8) Two practical limitations of PSG are that it is time-consuming and expensive, hindering its applicability for the types of large-scale studies needed for many genetic approaches. More importantly, PSG studies have often failed to find objective evidence of disturbed sleep in individuals with subjective reports of insomnia.(9) This discrepancy may be due to inherent limitations in using standard visual methods for determining sleep and wake on PSG. An alternative approach is to utilize computer-based spectral analysis methods of the EEG signal to provide a finer-grained analysis of the microarchitecture of sleep. Compared to good sleepers, individuals with insomnia frequently demonstrate increased EEG activity in the beta frequency range during sleep.(10) Beta EEG is thought to be indicative of increased cortical processing, leading to the hypothesis that insomnia can be associated with a 'mixed' state of wakefulness and sleep that the individual perceives as wakefulness. This would explain the discrepancy between subjective and objective assessments of sleep found in many insomnia studies. Beta EEG power would be an ideal phenotype for genetic studies of insomnia, but spectral analysis methods can be cumbersome to implement on any large scale.

Are Insomnia Phenotypes Heritable?

The first step in studying the genetics of any trait is to establish that variability in its manifestation is attributable in part to genetic factors. Several approaches can be used to estimate the narrow-sense heritability of a trait (h^2) i.e. the proportion of variation in the trait that can be explained by additive genetic factors. The goal is to tease apart the relative contributions of genetic and non-genetic (environmental) factors. The two strategies most frequently used to establish heritability are twin and family studies.

In family history studies, family members of individuals affected with the condition of interest are compared to family members of unaffected individuals. If genetic factors contribute to the condition, the family members of affected individuals will be more likely to also report the condition than those of unaffected individuals given that they have shared genes. The greater the degree of genetic similarity between individuals the more they should be alike on phenotypic measures. In an early family study of insomnia, Abe & Shimakawa (11) compared the sleep patterns of parents with their 3-year old children. Parents who reported sleeping poorly as children tended to have children with similar patterns. While

somewhat methodologically crude, this study demonstrates that the idea that insomnia may run in families is not new.

Hauri conducted one of the only studies of childhood-onset insomnia, which is characterized by early age of onset, a relative absence of clear precipitating factors and is thought to be more likely due to genetic causes.(12) Individuals whose insomnia originated in childhood reported a positive family history of sleep complaints at a higher rate (55%) than those with adult-onset (39%). In a study of patients with insomnia presenting to a sleep disorders clinic, 35% of those with insomnia reported one or more family members also experiencing some form of sleep disturbance, and there was a trend towards higher rates in the families of those with an earlier age of onset.(13) In a second clinic sample from this group, there was a positive family history of insomnia in 72.7% of individuals with primary insomnia, 43.4% of those with psychiatric insomnia, and 24.1% of controls.(14)

In a larger cohort study (15) there was almost no difference in a positive family history of insomnia in those categorized as good sleepers, having symptoms of insomnia, and meeting criteria for a full insomnia syndrome (32.7%, 36.7%, and 38.1%, respectively). Significant differences were only found when the good sleepers were separated into those with and without a personal history of insomnia and found that those without a personal history had a significantly lower rate of family history (29.0%) than those without a past history (48.9%). This highlights a difficulty of studying insomnia, a disorder whose clinical state can vary over time such that individuals who are good sleepers at the time of assessment may have a prior history of insomnia, making it unclear if they are truly controls.

The small body of family history studies of insomnia phenotypes suggests that there is familial aggregation. A limit of these types of studies is that family members share both genes and environment. Familial aggregation could be due to shared effects in either domain. Twin studies seek to disentangle these effects by comparing monozygotic (MZ) and dizygotic (DZ) twins raised together. The rationale for twin studies is that each twin pair are raised together, and thus the family specific environmental effects are assumed to not contribute to phenotypic differences between twins. MZ twins share 100% and DZ twins share ~50% of their genes so if there are higher rates of similarity in MZ compared to DZ twins it should be due to these differences in common genes. A number of twin studies have investigated the genetic and environmental etiology of insomnia phenotypes (summarized in Table 1). The first of these was conducted in 14 MZ and 14 DZ good sleeper twin pairs who completed one night of PSG.(16) The participants did not have insomnia, but the study is noteworthy in that there were significant dominant genetic effects for both sleep onset latency and several measures of time spent awake during the night. Partinen and colleagues (17) collected self-reported sleep data from a much larger sample of 2238 MZ and 4545 DZ adult twin pairs and found significant heritability for sleep length ($h^2=.44$) and sleep quality ($h^2=.44$). It may be that individuals with insomnia are simply those at the one end of the distribution of these traits in the population, in which case studying the genetics of sleep-wake traits in general may provide insight into the pathophysiology of insomnia.

The twin study with the broadest assessment of sleep and insomnia phenotypes was conducted with the Australian twin registry.(18) Their survey of 1792 MZ and 2101 DZ twin pairs included several questions related to sleep quality, disturbance, and overall patterns. Of most relevance for insomnia, additive genetic influences were found for sleep quality ($h^2=.32$), initial insomnia ($h^2=.32$), sleep latency ($h^2=.44$ for men and .32 for women), 'anxious insomnia' ($h^2=.36$), and 'depressed insomnia' ($h^2=.33$). In a subsequent report based on this twin registry,(19) genetic influences accounted for 12.1% of the variance in a composite sleep disturbance factor for females and 8.3% for males. In a study of twin pairs from the Vietnam Era Twin Registry,(20) heritability estimates were: trouble

falling asleep ($h^2=.28$), trouble staying asleep ($h^2=.42$), waking up several times per night ($h^2=.26$), waking up feeling tired and worn out ($h^2=.21$), and a composite sleep score ($h^2=.28$). A questionnaire item “How often do you have trouble falling asleep or staying asleep?” from the Washington State twin registry yielded a heritability of .64.(21) Lastly, a survey of twins and siblings found that the insomnia-related questions clustered on a single factor, which had a heritability of .20.(22)

A number of studies have been conducted by Gregory and colleagues examining sleep problems in youth. Total scores on a 4-item ‘sleep problem’ scale showed modest evidence of additive genetic influence ($h^2=.18$ for boys and .20 for girls).(23) A second study of 8-year old twin pairs involved both the childrens’ self-ratings of their sleep and their parents’ ratings of how well they perceived that their children slept.(24) Parental ratings are commonly used to account for that fact that children may not have developed good skills for observing their own sleep patterns, but a drawback of this approach is that the parents are not observing all aspects of their child’s sleep. Estimates of additive genetic influences on the sleep onset delay subscale were very different for parental ($h^2=.79$) compared to child ($h^2=.17$) ratings. Estimates for the night wakings subscale were more comparable, with estimates of .32 and .27 for parental and child reports, respectively. A ‘dyssomnia’ scale was computed based on 10 items from the parental rating scale that showed evidence of substantial heritability ($h^2=.71$).(25)

In summary, family and twin studies demonstrate that insomnia phenotypes tend to aggregate in families, with a greater degree of genetic similarity correlating with greater phenotypic similarity. With few exceptions, heritability estimates in adults were consistently in the range of .25 to .45, regardless of the exact question or phenotype used. In children, parental estimates of ‘sleep problems’ demonstrate substantially greater heritability, with estimates across studies ranging from .60 to .80. It should be noted that mild sleep problems may be more likely to go unnoticed by parents so that their ratings capture mostly the more severe cases that likely have stronger genetic underpinnings than when the full spectrum of severity is considered together. Thus insomnia, broadly defined, is moderately heritable when rated by the individual, with approximately 1/3 of the variance in symptoms attributable to genetic factors.

Genes related to insomnia

Now that it is established that insomnia phenotypes are partially due to genetic factors, the next question is which genes are involved. One approach to identifying specific genes related to insomnia is to select candidate genes based on *a priori* knowledge about the mechanisms underlying regulation of sleep and wake. A reasonable starting point is genes involved in the generation of circadian rhythms as there is strong interplay between circadian and sleep mechanisms. These so-called clock genes have been well characterized, as have the transcriptional-translational feedback loops through which these genes produce circadian rhythms.(26) A number of studies have examined the relationships among sleep-wake characteristics and clock genes, which may be of relevance for insomnia.

In one study, Laposky and colleagues(27) created mice carrying a null allele for a core circadian clock gene: *BMAL1/Mop3*. These mice demonstrated alterations in sleep-wake characteristics including greater sleep fragmentation, reduced duration of sleep bouts, and altered total sleep time. In a human study, Viola and colleagues(28) focused on the *PER3* gene and compared individuals homozygous for either the short (*PER3^{4/4}*) or long (*PER3^{5/5}*) alleles. The group with the long allele, compared to those with the short allele, had shorter sleep latency and spent a greater proportion of the night in slow-wave sleep. Several studies have examined the relationships between clock genes and sleep-wake characteristics in

patients with mood disorders. For example, Serretti and colleagues(29) found an association between the 3111T/C *CLOCK* gene polymorphisms and insomnia symptoms in patients with major depression. In a larger cohort study in Finland, Utge and colleagues(30) examined the associations between 113 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) across 18 clock genes and sleep disturbance in individuals with depression and controls. They found that the *TIMELESS* gene was associated with early morning awakenings in the depressed group, but that this effect was different for men and women.

In addition to the clock genes, a number of studies have examined genes related to the various neurotransmitter systems involved in sleep-wake regulation.

Serotonin

The serotonin transporter polymorphic region (5HTTLPR) gene has been extensively studied in psychiatric genetics. The short allele is associated with reduced efficiency of transcription and has been shown to confer risk for a number of psychiatric disorders. In one pharmacogenetic study of patients with major depression it was found that the short allele was associated with an increased likelihood of developing new or worsening insomnia in response to fluoxetine treatment.(31) Brummett and colleagues examined the relationship between sleep quality and the serotonin transporter gene in caregivers of an individual with dementia. They found a significant gene x environment interaction with caregiving such that caregivers with the short allele were more likely to report poor sleep quality than those with the long allele, but there was no relationship for noncaregivers.(32) The availability of serotonin in the brain is influenced by monoamine oxidase A (MAO-A), and two studies have found relationships between MAO-A polymorphisms and insomnia phenotypes.(33,34)

GABA

Sedative hypnotic medications almost universally act through the inhibitory GABA system. Buhr and colleagues (35) reported a case study of a patient with a missense mutation of the γ 3 subunit of the GABA_A receptor. The patient had insomnia, as did several members of his family, suggesting that this mutation may have affected their sleep. *Drosophila* with the mutant GABA_A receptor *Rdl^{A302S}*, which is associated with increased channel current, exhibited decreased sleep latency.(36)

Adenosine

Adenosine is thought to play a role in the regulation of sleep homeostasis, so genes affecting adenosine activity could influence sleep/wake dynamics and hence insomnia. Individuals with the G/A allele of the adenosine deaminase (ADA) gene had fewer awakenings at night, spent more time in slow wave sleep, and had higher delta power than those with the G/G allele.(37) Gass and colleagues (38) focused on 117 SNPs from 13 genes related to adenosine transporters, receptors, and metabolism enzymes in cases with depression and controls. Polymorphisms in the *SLC29A3* gene, which is related to adenosine metabolism, were associated with early morning awakenings only in women.

Hypocretin/orexin

There has been an increased interest in the role that hypocretins/orexins play in sleep regulation. Prober and colleagues(39) created zebrafish that overexpressed hypocretin that led to a phenotype characterized by hyperarousal and reduced ability to initiate and maintain sleep.

Taken together, these candidate gene studies provide preliminary evidence that genes affecting both circadian mechanisms and neurotransmitters known to be involved in sleep/

wake regulation may have some bearing on insomnia phenotypes; however, much more work needs to be done in this area.

A limitation of candidate genes approaches is that one must know which genes to examine, but the mechanisms underlying insomnia and sleep/wake regulation are not fully known. An alternate strategy is to perform a hypothesis-free search through the use of gene discovery strategies such as linkage and genome wide association studies (GWAS). The first gene discovery study of sleep-related phenotypes examined a subset of the Framingham Heart Study Offspring Cohort.(40) The phenotypes of interest were usual bedtime and sleep duration. Linkage analysis failed to find any associations with log odds (LOD)>3 (a standard criterion for significance), but five peaks with LOD>2 were found, including a linkage between usual bedtime and *CSNK2A2*, a gene known to be a component of the circadian clock. In a population based test, usual bedtime was associated with the SNP rs324981, located in the gene *NPSR1*, which encodes the neuropeptide S receptor.

Allebrandt and colleagues pooled data from a number of cohorts to conduct a GWAS of self-reported sleep duration.(41) With a discovery sample of 4,251 individuals and replication sample of 5,949 they identified an associated intronic variant in the *ABCC9* gene, which is related to K_{ATP} channels. What is particularly interesting about this study is that, rather than stopping after the GWAS they then took this finding into a model system by interfering with this gene in *Drosophila* neurons using RNA interference. This resulted in flies that did not sleep for the first 3 hours of the night, validating the importance of this gene for sleep regulation.

The only other GWAS of insomnia phenotypes that has been conducted to date included 10,038 individuals in Korea.(42) Cases with insomnia and controls were defined based on responses to a series of questions about their sleep patterns. A GWAS found associations between case/control status on the *ROR1* gene, which modulates synapse formation, although this association did not reach genome-wide significance.

Animal models provide opportunities for methodological approaches not possible in humans such as experimental breeding. Wu and colleagues (43) conducted a forward genetic screen in *Drosophila* of ~3000 lines to identify short-sleeping mutants. Short-sleeping flies tended to sleep in shorter bouts compared to longer-sleeping flies, suggesting that they may have had difficulty with sleep maintenance, a possible insomnia phenotype. Interestingly, the short-sleeping flies also exhibited reduced arousal thresholds and were more easily awoken. It is not known whether these flies were short-sleepers because of impaired sleep ability (i.e. insomnia) or reduced sleep need, but the reduced arousal threshold of these mutants suggests some degree of overlap with insomnia. The sleep changes were associated with a novel allele of the dopamine transporter gene.

Seugnet and colleagues selectively bred flies with shorter sleep durations and were able to produce flies they referred to as *insomnia-like* (*ins-l*) whose total sleep time was only 60 minutes per day.(44) The flies had difficulties both with initiating and maintaining sleep, increased waking activity levels, and impairments in learning on an avoidance task and in motor coordination. The authors propose that this animal model captures both the nighttime and daytime characteristics of insomnia. Gene profiling identified 1350 genes that were differentially expressed in the *ins-l* flies compared to wild-type flies, many of which fell into categories related to metabolism, neuronal activity, behavior and sensory perception.

This collection of studies is noteworthy in the degree to which they represent some of the various research strategies that can be used for discovery of genes that may relate to insomnia. It should be emphasized that relatively few studies have been conducted, several

of which involved phenotypes of only marginal significance for insomnia. Clearly, a great deal of work needs to be done.

Future Directions

The research described here indicates that insomnia phenotypes are heritable, with approximately 30–40% of the variability in insomnia related to genetic factors. In terms of the search for specific genes that relate to the pathophysiology of insomnia, the sleep field is 10–20 years behind the work that has been accomplished for mood disorders and schizophrenia. Furthermore, compared to the attention received by mood disorders and schizophrenia, there are very few investigators pursuing the genetics of insomnia, so progress is likely to be slow for the foreseeable future. Nevertheless, here we lay out a research agenda for some of the next steps that are needed.

1. There is a need for more consistent phenotyping of insomnia in genetic studies of humans. As described earlier in this review, the existing studies have primarily used a wide range of home-made sleep questions rather than validated measures. Most of these questions did not include assessments of daytime impairment due to poor sleep, which is necessary for determining whether some may meet diagnostic criteria for an insomnia disorder.^(4,5) Thus, much of the literature to date is more related to insomnia symptoms rather than to insomnia itself. It may be that the genetic architecture of insomnia disorder is such that it is not merely one end of the distribution of scores on these symptom-related traits and requires validated case and control definitions to determine underlying genes. Efforts to create a more standardized assessment of insomnia (2) should facilitate greater homogeneity across studies in the future.
2. Additional GWAS are needed to identify genetic variants that contribute to insomnia phenotypes. The advantage of this approach is that it requires no prior hypothesis about which genes are likely to influence the trait, and is instead considered to be hypothesis-generating. This may lead to the discovery of novel pathways and mechanisms involved not just in insomnia phenotypes but in sleep/wake regulation in general. GWAS is predicated on the common-variant hypothesis, which states that disease is related to genetic variants (alleles) that are relatively common in the population, each of which explains a small proportion of the variance. While this approach has been fruitful in identifying risk genes for a wide range of conditions,⁽⁴⁵⁾ the past decade of GWAS research has highlighted the critical need for replication since many significant findings from one study are not confirmed in subsequent investigations.
3. An alternative to the common-variant view is the rare-variant hypothesis that states that genetic variants that are rare in the population (<1% Minor Allele Frequency) are more likely to have large effects and explain the majority of variation in risk to disease in the population. The extreme of the rare variant hypothesis is Mendelian mutations in which a single variant is sufficient to produce disease, such as the case in Huntington's disease. A number of tools have emerged in the past few years to facilitate the search for rare variants. Efforts such as the 1,000 genomes project (www.1000genomes.org) have created databases of normative genetic variation against which the results of individual studies can be compared. Next generation sequencing technologies such as exome and even whole genome sequencing are now much more practical due to the rapid decline in costs for these methods. To our knowledge there have been no studies using these approaches in the search for insomnia-related genes.

4. Although studies have begun to identify genes that are associated with insomnia, the molecular underpinnings of this disease remain unclear for three primary reasons. First, insomnia is a broad disease composed of both primary (direct) and secondary (ie. stress, diet, etc.) causes, ranging from environment, to single gene polymorphisms, to the combinatorial result of 10s if not 100s of genetic polymorphisms. Second, human studies are messy, often relying on subjective rather than objective data, making it difficult to correlate phenotype with genotype. Third, human studies are limited to single gene polymorphisms that cause insomnia but no other behavioral or developmental disorders. Finally, the best studies in humans often localize a disease to a chromosomal region that includes 100s of genes, how best to shave this number down to one or at most a handful of genes?

A simple answer to these problems is one that has been successfully offered to unravel many of medicine's seemingly intractable questions, such as how do we develop from a single cell into a complex organism?, how do our bodies maintain a 24h rhythm even in the absence of external cues?, and how do our cells regulate gene expression? The solution time and again has been to employ functional genetics in powerful model systems.

For a model system to be useful it must meet several criteria that make it superior to direct genetic studies in humans. Practically, it must be cheap, have a short lifespan, a short generation time, and moderate to high fecundity. It must also be useful as a genetic system, with a fully sequenced genome and tools available to target disruption of specific genes. Finally, it must be capable of reproducing the human behavior or disease state, in this case an inability to initiate or maintain quality sleep. To this end several model systems have been developed to study the genetics of sleep that can easily be used to better our understanding of the mechanisms underlying insomnia.

Mice are the most obvious choice as an insomnia model system. They are mammals with a nervous system that resembles our own, ~90% genome conservation, and REM and NREM sleep states as determined by EEG. They also have a powerful genetic tool kit that allows researchers to target disruption or overexpression of specific genes, and to do so in defined subsets of the brain during discrete temporal windows such as in the adult or only after sleep deprivation. These tools have been used in the study of narcolepsy by creating mice with altered orexin signaling,(46) as well as by identifying a novel narcolepsy-like gene, the glutamate receptor binding protein *homer1*.(47) Insomnia studies have lagged, but recent work by Meijer and colleagues (48) have demonstrated that disinhibition of the calcium channel *Cacna1a* results in reduced sleep likely by disrupting adenosine signaling.

Although mice offer a powerful genetic system they do have drawbacks, most notably a relatively long generation time that can translate into a gap of years between an experimental idea and meaningful data. To streamline the process two models with high fecundity and short generation times have been developed to study the genetics of sleep: the fruit fly *Drosophila melanogaster* and the nematode *C. elegans*. Like mice, both systems offer powerful genetic toolkits that permit researchers to disrupt or overexpress genes in subsets of the nervous system in defined temporal windows. These tools have been used most successfully in *Drosophila* in which Sehgal and colleagues (49) first demonstrated that altering cAMP signaling could lead to insomnia-like reductions in sleep. Since that time 10s of genes have been identified and characterized with similar phenotypes, with more likely in the future.

With the development of these powerful and fast genetic model systems for sleep in general, it seems only logical to employ them to screen human insomnia genetic studies for bona fide hits, and to further characterize the mechanism behind insomnia. This must be an important component of future research on the genetics of insomnia. As this summary of the extant

research demonstrates, our understanding of the role of genes in the insomnia phenotype is extremely limited. On a more positive side, there are a number of molecular genetic tools available that were not in existence even a few years ago. The time is ripe for research on the genetics of insomnia that may finally shed light on the mechanisms of this common sleep disorder.

References

1. Spielman A, Caruso L, Glovinsky P. A behavioral perspective on insomnia treatment. *Psychiatr Clin North Am.* 1987; 10:541–553. [PubMed: 3332317]
2. Buysse DJ, Ancoli-Israel S, Edinger JD, Lichstein KL, Morin CM. Recommendations for a standard research assessment of insomnia. *Sleep.* 2006 Sep 1; 29(9):1155–1173. [PubMed: 17040003]
3. Edinger JD, Bonnet MH, Bootzin RR, Doghramji K, Dorsey CM, Espie CA, et al. Derivation of research diagnostic criteria for insomnia: report of an American Academy of Sleep Medicine Work Group. *Sleep.* 2004 Dec 15; 27(8):1567–1596. [PubMed: 15683149]
4. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association; 2000. text revision
5. American Academy of Sleep Medicine. International Classification of Sleep Disorders. 2. 2005.
6. Fichten CS, Creti L, Amsel R, Brender W, Weinstein N, Libman E. Poor sleepers who do not complain of insomnia: myths and realities about psychological and lifestyle characteristics of older good and poor sleepers. *J Behav Med.* 1995 Apr; 18(2):189–223. [PubMed: 7563046]
7. Reynolds CF 3rd, Redline S. DSM-V Sleep-Wake Disorders Workgroup and Advisors. The DSM-v sleep-wake disorders nosology: an update and an invitation to the sleep community. *J Clin Sleep Med.* 2010 Feb 15; 6(1):9–10. [PubMed: 20191931]
8. Ambrosius U, Lietzenmaier S, Wehrle R, Wichniak A, Kalus S, Winkelmann J, et al. Heritability of sleep electroencephalogram. *Biol Psychiatry.* 2008 Aug 15; 64(4):344–348. [PubMed: 18405882]
9. Perlis M, Smith M, Andrews P, Orff H, Giles D. Beta/gamma EEG activity in patients with primary and secondary insomnia and good sleeper controls. *Sleep.* 2001; 24:110–117. [PubMed: 11204046]
10. Perlis M, Merica H, Smith M, Giles D. Beta EEG activity and insomnia. *Sleep Medicine Reviews.* 2001; 5:365–376.
11. Abe K, Shimakawa M. Genetic-constitutional factor and childhood insomnia. *Psychiatr Neurol (Basel).* 1966; 152(6):363–369. [PubMed: 5958255]
12. Hauri P, Olmstead E. Childhood-onset insomnia. *Sleep.* 1980; 3(1):59–65. [PubMed: 7466126]
13. Bastien CH, Morin CM. Familial incidence of insomnia. *J Sleep Res.* 2000 Mar; 9(1):49–54. [PubMed: 10733689]
14. Dauvilliers Y, Morin C, Cervena K, Carlander B, Touchon J, Besset A, et al. Family studies in insomnia. *J Psychosom Res.* 2005 Mar; 58(3):271–278. [PubMed: 15865952]
15. Beaulieu-Bonneau S, LeBlanc M, Merette C, Dauvilliers Y, Morin CM. Family history of insomnia in a population-based sample. *Sleep.* 2007 Dec 1; 30(12):1739–1745. [PubMed: 18246983]
16. Webb WB, Campbell SS. Relationships in sleep characteristics of identical and fraternal twins. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 1983 Oct; 40(10):1093–1095. [PubMed: 6684906]
17. Partinen M, Kaprio J, Koskenvuo M, Putkonen P, Langinvainio H. Genetic and environmental determination of human sleep. *Sleep.* 1983; 6(3):179–185. [PubMed: 6684786]
18. Heath A, Kendler K, Eaves L, Martin N. Evidence for genetic influences on sleep disturbance and sleep pattern in twins. *Sleep.* 1990; 13:318–335. [PubMed: 2267475]
19. Heath A, Eaves L, Kirk K, Martin N. Effects of lifestyle, personality, symptoms of anxiety and depression, and genetic predisposition on subjective sleep disturbance and sleep pattern. *Twin Research.* 1998; 1:176–188. [PubMed: 10100809]
20. McCarren M, Goldberg J, Ramakrishnan V, Fabsitz R. Insomnia in Vietnam era veteran twins: influence of genes and combat experience. *Sleep.* 1994 Aug; 17(5):456–461. [PubMed: 7991958]

21. Watson NF, Goldberg J, Arguelles L, Buchwald D. Genetic and environmental influences on insomnia, daytime sleepiness, and obesity in twins. *Sleep*. 2006 May 1; 29(5):645–649. [PubMed: 16774154]
22. Boomsma DI, van Someren EJ, Beem AL, de Geus EJ, Willemsen G. Sleep during a regular week night: a twin-sibling study. *Twin Res Hum Genet*. 2008 Oct; 11(5):538–545. [PubMed: 18828737]
23. Gregory AM, Eley TC, O'Connor TG, Plomin R. Etiologies of associations between childhood sleep and behavioral problems in a large twin sample. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry*. 2004 Jun; 43(6):744–751. [PubMed: 15167091]
24. Gregory AM, Rijdsdijk FV, Eley TC. A twin-study of sleep difficulties in school-aged children. *Child Dev*. 2006 Nov-Dec; 77(6):1668–1679. [PubMed: 17107453]
25. Gregory AM. A genetic decomposition of the association between parasomnias and dyssomnias in 8-year-old twins. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med*. 2008 Apr; 162(4):299–304. [PubMed: 18391137]
26. Lowrey PL, Takahashi JS. Mammalian circadian biology: elucidating genome-wide levels of temporal organization. *Annu Rev Genomics Hum Genet*. 2004; 5:407–441. [PubMed: 15485355]
27. Laposky A, Easton A, Dugovic C, Walisser J, Bradfield C, Turek F. Deletion of the mammalian circadian clock gene *BMAL1/Mop3* alters baseline sleep architecture and the response to sleep deprivation. *Sleep*. 2005 Apr 1; 28(4):395–409. [PubMed: 16171284]
28. Viola AU, Archer SN, James LM, Groeger JA, Lo JC, Skene DJ, et al. *PER3* polymorphism predicts sleep structure and waking performance. *Curr Biol*. 2007 Apr 3; 17(7):613–618. [PubMed: 17346965]
29. Serretti A, Benedetti F, Mandelli L, Lorenzi C, Pirovano A, Colombo C, et al. Genetic dissection of psychopathological symptoms: insomnia in mood disorders and *CLOCK* gene polymorphism. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet*. 2003 Aug 15; 121B(1):35–38. [PubMed: 12898572]
30. Utge SJ, Soronen P, Loukola A, Kronholm E, Ollila HM, Pirkola S, et al. Systematic analysis of circadian genes in a population-based sample reveals association of *TIMELESS* with depression and sleep disturbance. *PLoS One*. 2010 Feb 18; 5(2):e9259. [PubMed: 20174623]
31. Perlis RH, Mischoulon D, Smoller JW, Wan YJ, Lamon-Fava S, Lin KM, et al. Serotonin transporter polymorphisms and adverse effects with fluoxetine treatment. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2003 Nov 1; 54(9):879–883. [PubMed: 14573314]
32. Brummett BH, Krystal AD, Ashley-Koch A, Kuhn CM, Zuchner S, Siegler IC, et al. Sleep quality varies as a function of 5-HTTLPR genotype and stress. *Psychosom Med*. 2007 Sep-Oct; 69(7):621–624. [PubMed: 17766685]
33. Brummett BH, Krystal AD, Siegler IC, Kuhn C, Surwit RS, Zuchner S, et al. Associations of a regulatory polymorphism of monoamine oxidase-A gene promoter (*MAOA-uVNTR*) with symptoms of depression and sleep quality. *Psychosom Med*. 2007 Jun; 69(5):396–401. [PubMed: 17585061]
34. Craig D, Hart DJ, Passmore AP. Genetically increased risk of sleep disruption in Alzheimer's disease. *Sleep*. 2006 Aug 1; 29(8):1003–1007. [PubMed: 16944667]
35. Buhr A, Bianchi MT, Baur R, Courtet P, Pignay V, Boulenger JP, et al. Functional characterization of the new human GABA(A) receptor mutation beta3(R192H). *Hum Genet*. 2002 Aug; 111(2):154–160. [PubMed: 12189488]
36. Agosto J, Choi JC, Parisky KM, Stilwell G, Rosbash M, Griffith LC. Modulation of GABAA receptor desensitization uncouples sleep onset and maintenance in *Drosophila*. *Nat Neurosci*. 2008 Mar; 11(3):354–359. [PubMed: 18223647]
37. Retey JV, Adam M, Honegger E, Khatami R, Luhmann UF, Jung HH, et al. A functional genetic variation of adenosine deaminase affects the duration and intensity of deep sleep in humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2005 Oct 25; 102(43):15676–15681. [PubMed: 16221767]
38. Gass N, Ollila HM, Utge S, Partonen T, Kronholm E, Pirkola S, et al. Contribution of adenosine related genes to the risk of depression with disturbed sleep. *J Affect Disord*. 2010 Oct; 126(1–2):134–139. [PubMed: 20392501]
39. Prober DA, Rihel J, Onah AA, Sung RJ, Schier AF. Hypocretin/orexin overexpression induces an insomnia-like phenotype in zebrafish. *J Neurosci*. 2006 Dec 20; 26(51):13400–13410. [PubMed: 17182791]

40. Gottlieb DJ, O'Connor GT, Wilk JB. Genome-wide association of sleep and circadian phenotypes. *BMC Med Genet.* 2007 Sep 19;8(Suppl 1):S9. [PubMed: 17903308]
41. Allebrandt KV, Amin N, Muller-Myhsok B, Esko T, Teder-Laving M, Azevedo RV, et al. A K(ATP) channel gene effect on sleep duration: from genome-wide association studies to function in *Drosophila*. *Mol Psychiatry.* 2013 Jan; 18(1):122–132. [PubMed: 22105623]
42. Ban HJ, Kim SC, Seo J, Kang HB, Choi JK. Genetic and metabolic characterization of insomnia. *PLoS One.* 2011 Apr 6;6(4):e18455. [PubMed: 21494683]
43. Wu MN, Koh K, Yue Z, Joiner WJ, Sehgal A. A genetic screen for sleep and circadian mutants reveals mechanisms underlying regulation of sleep in *Drosophila*. *Sleep.* 2008 Apr 1; 31(4):465–472. [PubMed: 18457233]
44. Seugnet L, Suzuki Y, Thimgan M, Donlea J, Gimbel SI, Gottschalk L, et al. Identifying sleep regulatory genes using a *Drosophila* model of insomnia. *J Neurosci.* 2009 Jun 3; 29(22):7148–7157. [PubMed: 19494137]
45. McCarthy MI, Hirschhorn JN. Genome-wide association studies: potential next steps on a genetic journey. *Hum Mol Genet.* 2008 Oct 15; 17(R2):R156–65. [PubMed: 18852205]
46. Chemelli RM, Willie JT, Sinton CM, Elmquist JK, Scammell T, Lee C, et al. Narcolepsy in orexin knockout mice: molecular genetics of sleep regulation. *Cell.* 1999 Aug 20; 98(4):437–451. [PubMed: 10481909]
47. Naidoo N, Ferber M, Galante RJ, McShane B, Hu JH, Zimmerman J, et al. Role of Homer proteins in the maintenance of sleep-wake states. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7(4):e35174. [PubMed: 22532843]
48. De Boer T, van Diepen HC, Ferrari MD, Van den Maagdenberg AM, Meijer JH. Reduced Sleep and Low Adenosinergic Sensitivity in *Cacna1a* R192Q Mutant Mice. *Sleep.* 2013 Jan 1; 36(1): 127–136. [PubMed: 23288979]
49. Hendricks JC, Williams JA, Panckeri K, Kirk D, Tello M, Yin JC, et al. A non-circadian role for cAMP signaling and CREB activity in *Drosophila* rest homeostasis. *Nat Neurosci.* 2001 Nov; 4(11):1108–1115. [PubMed: 11687816]

- With the development of genetic model systems for sleep it seems logical to employ them to screen human insomnia genetic studies for bona fide hits, and to further characterize the mechanism behind insomnia.
- This must be an important component of future research on the genetics of insomnia.
- Our understanding of the role of genes in the insomnia phenotype is extremely limited.
- There are a number of molecular genetic tools available that were not in existence even a few years ago.
- The time is ripe for research on the genetics of insomnia that may finally shed light on the mechanisms of this common sleep disorder.

Table 1

Twin studies of insomnia phenotypes

Authors	Sample	Phenotypes	Heritability
Webb & Campbell 1983	14 MZ, 14 DZ Young adults	Sleep latency Wake time	N/A
Partinen et al 1983	2238 MZ, 4545 DZ Adults	Sleep length Sleep quality	$h^2 = .44$ $h^2 = .44$
Heath et al 1990	1792 MZ, 2101 DZ Adults	Sleep quality Initial insomnia Sleep latency Anxious insomnia Depressed insomnia	$h^2 = .32$ $h^2 = .32$ $h^2 = .44$, .32 $h^2 = .36$ $h^2 = .33$
Heath et al 1998	1792 MZ, 2101 DZ Adults	Composite score	12.1% of variance in , 8.3% in
McCarren et al 1994	1605 MZ, 1200 DZ Male veterans	Trouble falling asleep Trouble staying asleep Waking up several times Waking up tired Composite score	$h^2 = .28$ $h^2 = .42$ $h^2 = .26$ $h^2 = .21$ $h^2 = .28$
De Castro 2002	86 MZ, 129 DZ Adult 'good sleepers'	Sleep duration Number of wakeups	$h^2 = .30$ $h^2 = .21$
Watson et al 2006	1042 MZ, 828 DZ Young adults	Insomnia	$h^2 = .64$
Boomsma et al 2008	548 twins, 265 siblings Adults	Insomnia factor	$h^2 = .20$
Gregory et al 2004	2162 MZ, 4229 DZ Age 3–7 years	Sleep problems scale	$h^2 = .18$, .20
Gregory et al 2006	100 MZ, 200 DZ Age 8	Sleep onset delay Night wakings	$h^2 = .17$ for child report, .79 for parental report $h^2 = .27$ for child report, .32 for parental report
Gregory 2008	100 MZ, 200 DZ Age 8	Dyssomnia scale	$h^2 = .71$
Gregory et al 2006	192 MZ, 384 DZ Age 8	Sleep problems score	$h^2 = .61$