Published in final edited form as:

OMICS J Radiol. 2012 September ; 1(4): e113–. doi:10.4172/2167-7964.1000e113.

Advanced MR Imaging Technologies in Fetuses

Ye Li¹ and Xiaoliang Zhang^{1,2,3,*}

¹Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California San Francisco, San Francisco, CA, USA

²UC Berkeley/UCSF Joint Graduate Group in Bioengineering, Berkeley & San Francisco, CA, USA

³California Institute for Quantitative Biosciences (QB3), University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA

Abstract

Fetal Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) on clinical scanners has increasingly been realized as a powerful imaging tool and applied for studying the brain abnormalities and the potential of neurodevelopmental disabilities *in vivo*. The primarily used multi-echo fast imaging sequences reduce the motion artifacts with a tradeoff of image Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR) and resolution. In Radio Frequency (RF) hardware for MR signal excitation and reception, there are lack of dedicated RF coils for fetal imaging providing optimized performance in acquisition and safety. There is an urgent demand for novel hardware and fast imaging technology developments to overcome motion artifacts and improve sensitivity and safety. Recent studies have demonstrated that dedicated fetal RF transceiver arrays can improve the SNR, image coverage, and safety. In addition, emerging fast imaging technologies such as parallel imaging and compressed sensing would be advantageous in improving imaging speed and thus reducing motion artifacts in fetal imaging.

Editorial

Since 1990s when the T2-weighted ultrafast sequences were developed, fetal MRI on clinical scanners has increasingly been realized as a powerful imaging tool and applied for studying the brain abnormalities and the potential of neurodevelopmental disabilities *in vivo* [1–5]. Performing fetal MRI can provide additional information for diagnosed or suspected pathologies that are not apparent on ultrasound [6–25]. The limitations and challenges of current fetal MRI include RF safety caused mainly by RF deposition (Specific Absorption Rate, SAR), limited sensitivity, fetal motion and the small size of fetal brain structure. Due to the nature of slow acquisition in the conventional MR imaging, motion artifacts have been one of the major issues in MRI, particularly when imaging normally mobile fetuses. Over the years, tremendous research efforts have been made to lessen motion effects in conventional slow MR imaging acquisition [26–29]. In fetal imaging, because of the small structure, especially in the brain, the optimal resolution required to establish a diagnosis is usually lower than 1 mm generally. In addition, the Field Of View (FOV) of fetal MRI has to be large enough to cover not only the fetus but also the maternal body in order to avoid

© 2012 Li Y, et al.

*Corresponding author: Xiaoliang Zhang, Department of Radiology and Biomedical Imaging, University of California, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA, xiaoliang.zhang@ucsf.edu.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

fold-over artifacts. This FOV enlargement increases acquisition time up to 50% to remain the high resolution requirement. With the advent of the fast imaging techniques such as parallel imaging and compressed sensing, parallel excitation for image homogenization and SAR optimization, and novel and efficient RF hardware techniques, it is possible to develop new strategies for ultrafast fetal MR imaging with high spatial resolution, low SAR and reduced motion artifacts, and translate those advanced imaging technologies to clinical fetal imaging.

In RF hardware aspects of current fetal MRI, because of lack of dedicated RF coil arrays available for fetal imaging, commercial torso or cardiac phased arrays for adults are routinely used instead. Although those substitutes can provide reasonable quality of images, they are not optimized for SNR, safety, and imaging speed for fetal imaging due to the limited coil elements, coverage and filling factor. Current research [30,31] has demonstrated that dedicated fetal RF arrays with the use of parallel imaging reconstruction algorithms are capable of improving image quality and safety for fetal MRI. The results indicate fetal arrays can improve SNR and B_1 homogeneity by increasing the number of coil elements and the filling factor. The artifacts of parallel reconstructed images and g-factors are reduced dramatically with the use of the dedicated fetal RF arrays.

Parallel MRI using an array of RF receivers or coils is a well accepted fast imaging method [32–35]. It can dramatically reduce the minimum MR data acquisition time by replacing phase-encoding steps with the encoding inherent in the sensitivity profiles of the coil array elements. Therefore, the total scan time of fetal MRI and effects of the T_2 decay of Single Shot Fast Spin Echo (SSFSE) sequence can be reduced by utilizing parallel MRI. Unlike the conventional fast MR imaging method, parallel imaging technique accelerates imaging acquisition without significantly sacrificing of signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Recent studies have demonstrated that fetal MRI images are diagnostically improved and the scan time has been reduced with the parallel imaging. Compressed Sensing (CS) is another emerging fast imaging technique which is firstly proposed and investigated in information and approximation theory [36–38]. CS aims to reconstruct MRI images from much fewer encoding steps by exploiting the sparsity of the images in appropriate transform domain [39–45]. Nowadays, CS combining with parallel imaging has been employed in many applications of adult MRI and pediatric MRI [46–51] in brain imaging, angiography, dynamic heart imaging and spectroscopic imaging. These results show potential to implement this technology to further improve imaging speed of fetal MRI.

Parallel excitation is advantageous and desired for fetal MRI due to reduced focal SAR hot spots, better transmit homogeneity and capability of performing fast selective excitation [52]. Current studies have shown that parallel excitation with multichannel RF transceiver arrays is a promising technique to reduce SAR, RF pulse length and B_1 inhomogeneity [53–61] despite the technical difficulties in designing the required multichannel transceiver arrays [62–66]. Research on RF array hardware [63,67–69] demonstrates that well designed flexible transceiver arrays using microstrip technology can achieve superior performance with improved element decoupling and filling factor for various subjects with different sizes. This transceiver array design technique should be beneficial to, and also help to implement parallel excitation for fetal imaging where the size and shape of maternal bodies often vary. In excitation methodology, sparse pulse excitation based on sparse k-space has been proposed and investigated to shorten the excitation pulse width, and thus accelerate the excitation and improve imaging safety. This technique is needed to be developed for fetal imaging.

In summary, MR imaging as a non-ionizing and non-invasive imaging tool has increasingly been applied in studying fetuses. Current fetal imaging techniques, however, are facing

technical challenges such as, the sensitivity to motion artifacts in the conventional slow MR imaging, safety issues, lack of dedicated RF hardware, and the requirements for high spatial resolution and large FOV. Therefore there is an urgent demand for developing novel and dedicated RF transmit/receive hardware and imaging acquisition/excitation strategies for fetal imaging using advanced RF array techniques and fast imaging technologies such as parallel acquisition, compressed sensing and parallel excitation to accelerate imaging speed, reduce SAR and motion artifacts, and translating the developed technology to clinical fetal imaging.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by NIH grants EB004453 and EB008699, and a QB3 Research Award.

References

1. Girard N, Raybaud C, Poncet M. In vivo MR study of brain maturation in normal fetuses. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 1995; 16:407–413. [PubMed: 7726092]
2. Kubik-Huch RA, Huisman TA, Wissner J, Gottstein-Aalame N, Debatin JF, et al. Ultrafast MR imaging of the fetus. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2000; 174:1599–1606. [PubMed: 10845491]
3. Tsuchiya K, Katase S, Seki T, Mizutani Y, Hachiya J. Short communication: MR imaging of fetal brain abnormalities using a HASTE sequence. *Br J Radiol.* 1996; 69:668–670. [PubMed: 8696706]
4. Levine D, Hatabu H, Gaa J, Atkinson MW, Edelman RR. Fetal anatomy revealed with fast MR sequences. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1996; 167:905–908. [PubMed: 8819380]
5. Hubbard AM, Harty MP, States LJ. A new tool for prenatal diagnosis: ultrafast fetal MRI. *Semin Perinatol.* 1999; 23:437–447. [PubMed: 10630540]
6. Dinh DH, Wright RM, Hanigan WC. The use of magnetic resonance imaging for the diagnosis of fetal intracranial anomalies. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 1990; 6:212–215. [PubMed: 2383875]
7. Pugash D, Brugger PC, Bettelheim D, Prayer D. Prenatal ultrasound and fetal MRI: the comparative value of each modality in prenatal diagnosis. *Eur J Radiol.* 2008; 68:214–226. [PubMed: 18790583]
8. Simon EM, Goldstein RB, Coakley FV, Filly RA, Broderick KC, et al. Fast MR imaging of fetal CNS anomalies in utero. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2000; 21:1688–1698. [PubMed: 11039352]
9. Kok RD, van den Berg PP, van den Bergh AJ, Nijland R, Heerschap A. Maturation of the human fetal brain as observed by 1H MR spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med.* 2002; 48:611–616. [PubMed: 12353277]
10. Twickler DM, Reichel T, McIntire DD, Magee KP, Ramus RM. Fetal central nervous system ventricle and cisterna magna measurements by magnetic resonance imaging. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002; 187:927–931. [PubMed: 12388979]
11. Reichel TF, Ramus RM, Caire JT, Hynan LS, Magee KP, et al. Fetal central nervous system biometry on MR imaging. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2003; 180:1155–1158. [PubMed: 12646474]
12. Coakley FV, Glenn OA, Qayyum A, Barkovich AJ, Goldstein R, et al. Fetal MRI: a developing technique for the developing patient. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2004; 182:243–252. [PubMed: 14684546]
13. Prayer D, Brugger PC, Prayer L. Fetal MRI: techniques and protocols. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2004; 34:685–693. [PubMed: 15316689]
14. Rousseau F, Glenn O, Iordanova B, Rodriguez-Carranza C, Vigneron D, et al. A novel approach to high resolution fetal brain MR imaging. *Med Image Comput Comput Assist Interv.* 2005; 8:548–555. [PubMed: 16685889]
15. Girard N, Gouny SC, Viola A, Le Fur Y, Viout P, et al. Assessment of normal fetal brain maturation in utero by proton magnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med.* 2006; 56:768–775. [PubMed: 16964617]
16. Glenn OA, Barkovich AJ. Magnetic resonance imaging of the fetal brain and spine: an increasingly important tool in prenatal diagnosis, part 1. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2006; 27:1604–1611. [PubMed: 16971596]

17. Glenn OA, Barkovich J. Magnetic resonance imaging of the fetal brain and spine: an increasingly important tool in prenatal diagnosis: part 2. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2006; 27:1807–1814. [PubMed: 17032846]
18. Levine D, Cavazos C, Kazan-Tannus JF, McKenzie CA, Dialani V, et al. Evaluation of real-time single-shot fast spin-echo MRI for visualization of the fetal midline corpus callosum and secondary palate. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2006; 187:1505–1511. [PubMed: 17114544]
19. Prayer D, Kasprian G, Krampfl E, Ulm B, Witzani L, et al. MRI of normal fetal brain development. *Eur J Radiol.* 2006; 57:199–216. [PubMed: 16413984]
20. Garel C. Fetal MRI: what is the future? *Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol.* 2008; 31:123–128. [PubMed: 18254129]
21. Limperopoulos C, Clouchoux C. Advancing fetal brain MRI: targets for the future. *Semin Perinatol.* 2009; 33:289–298. [PubMed: 19631089]
22. Pugash D, Krssak M, Kulemann V, Prayer D. Magnetic resonance spectroscopy of the fetal brain. *Prenat Diagn.* 2009; 29:434–441. [PubMed: 19301351]
23. Schneider MM, Berman JI, Baumer FM, Glass HC, Jeng S, et al. Normative apparent diffusion coefficient values in the developing fetal brain. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2009; 30:1799–1803. [PubMed: 19556350]
24. Tang PH, Bartha AI, Norton ME, Barkovich AJ, Sherr EH, et al. Agenesis of the corpus callosum: an MR imaging analysis of associated abnormalities in the fetus. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2009; 30:257–263. [PubMed: 18988682]
25. Glenn OA. MR imaging of the fetal brain. *Pediatr Radiol.* 2010; 40:68–81. [PubMed: 19937234]
26. Glover GH, Pauly JM. Projection reconstruction techniques for reduction of motion effects in MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* 1992; 28:275–289. [PubMed: 1461126]
27. Forbes KP, Pipe JG, Karis JP, Farthing V, Heiserman JE. Brain imaging in the unsedated pediatric patient: comparison of periodically rotated overlapping parallel lines with enhanced reconstruction and single-shot fast spin-echo sequences. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol.* 2003; 24:794–798. [PubMed: 12748073]
28. Zaitsev M, Dold C, Sakas G, Hennig J, Speck O. Magnetic resonance imaging of freely moving objects: prospective real-time motion correction using an external optical motion tracking system. *Neuroimage.* 2006; 31:1038–1050. [PubMed: 16600642]
29. Brown TT, Kuperman JM, Erhart M, White NS, Roddey JC, et al. Prospective motion correction of high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging data in children. *Neuroimage.* 2010; 53:139–145. [PubMed: 20542120]
30. Herlihy D, Larkman DJ, Allsop J, Rutherford M, Hajnal JV. A flexible highly configurable 16 channel array coil for fetal imaging. *Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med.* 2009; 17
31. Li Y, Pang Y, Vigneron D, Glenn O, Xu D, et al. Investigation of multichannel phased array performance for fetal MR imaging on 1.5T clinical MR system. *Quant Imaging Med Surg.* 2011; 1:24–30. [PubMed: 22408747]
32. Sodickson DK, Manning WJ. Simultaneous acquisition of spatial harmonics (SMASH): fast imaging with radiofrequency coil arrays. *Magn Reson Med.* 1997; 38:591–603. [PubMed: 9324327]
33. Pruessmann KP, Weiger M, Scheidegger MB, Boesiger P. SENSE: sensitivity encoding for fast MRI. *Magn Reson Med.* 1999; 42:952–962. [PubMed: 10542355]
34. Griswold MA, Jakob PM, Heidemann RM, Nittka M, Jellus V, et al. Generalized autocalibrating partially parallel acquisitions (GRAPPA). *Magn Reson Med.* 2002; 47:1202–1210. [PubMed: 12111967]
35. Wu B, Li Y, Wang C, Vigneron DB, Zhang X. Multi-Reception Strategy with Improved SNR for Multichannel MR Imaging. *PLoS One.* 2012; 7:e42237. [PubMed: 22879921]
36. Candes EJ, Romberg J, Tao T. Robust uncertainty principles: Exact signal reconstruction from highly incomplete frequency information. *IEEE Trans Inf Theory.* 2006; 52:489–509.
37. Candes EJ, Tao T. Near-optimal signal recovery from random projections: Universal encoding strategies? *IEEE Trans Inf Theory.* 2006; 52:5406–5425.
38. Lustig M, Donoho DL, Santos JM, Pauly JM. Compressed sensing. *IEEE Signal Process Mag.* 2008; 52:1289–1306.

39. Lustig M, Donoho D, Pauly JM. Sparse MRI: The application of compressed sensing for rapid MR imaging. *Magn Reson Med*. 2007; 58:1182–1195. [PubMed: 17969013]
40. Gamper U, Boesiger P, Kozerke S. Compressed sensing in dynamic MRI. *Magn Reson Med*. 2008; 59:365–373. [PubMed: 18228595]
41. Hu S, Lustig M, Chen AP, Crane J, Kerr A, et al. Compressed sensing for resolution enhancement of hyperpolarized ¹³C flyback 3D-MRSI. *J Magn Reson*. 2008; 192:258–264. [PubMed: 18367420]
42. Romberg J. Imaging via compressive sampling. *IEEE Signal Process Mag*. 2008; 25:14–20.
43. Chang CH, Ji J. Compressed sensing MRI with multi-channel data using multi-core processors. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc*. 2009; 2009:2684–2687. [PubMed: 19964591]
44. Kim YC, Narayanan SS, Nayak KS. Accelerated three-dimensional upper airway MRI using compressed sensing. *Magn Reson Med*. 2009; 61:1434–1440. [PubMed: 19353675]
45. Jung H, Sung K, Nayak KS, Kim EY, Ye JC. k-t FOCUSS: a general compressed sensing framework for high resolution dynamic MRI. *Magn Reson Med*. 2009; 61:103–116. [PubMed: 19097216]
46. Liang D, Liu B, Wang J, Ying L. Accelerating SENSE using compressed sensing. *Magn Reson Med*. 2009; 62:1574–1584. [PubMed: 19785017]
47. Weller DS, Polimeni JR, Grady L, Wald LL, Adalsteinsson E, et al. Denoising sparse images from GRAPPA using the nullspace method. *Magn Reson Med*. 2011
48. Wu B, Millane RP, Watts R, Bones PJ. Prior estimate-based compressed sensing in parallel MRI. *Magn Reson Med*. 2011; 65:83–95. [PubMed: 21031492]
49. Feng L, Xu J, Kim D, Axel L, Sodickson DK, et al. Combination of Compressed Sensing, Parallel Imaging and Partial Fourier for Highly-Accelerated 3D First-Pass Cardiac Perfusion MRI. *Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med*. 2011; 19
50. Larson PE, Hu S, Lustig M, Kerr AB, Nelson SJ, et al. Fast dynamic 3D MR spectroscopic imaging with compressed sensing and multiband excitation pulses for hyperpolarized ¹³C studies. *Magn Reson Med*. 2011; 65:610–619. [PubMed: 20939089]
51. Vasanawala SS, Murphy MJ, Alley MT, Lai P, Keutzer K, et al. Practical parallel imaging compressed sensing MRI: Summary of two years of experience in accelerating body MRI of pediatric patients. *IEEE International Symposium on Biomedical Imaging: From Nano to Macro*. 2011:1039–1043.
52. Filippi, CG.; Johnson, A.; Nickerson, JP.; Sussman, B.; Gonyea, J., et al. Fetal Imaging with Multitransmit MR at 3.0T: Preliminary Findings; Proceedings 18th Scientific Meeting, International Society for Magnetic Resonance in Medicine 2023; 2010.
53. Katscher U, Börnert P, Leussler C, van den Brink JS. Transmit SENSE. *Magn Reson Med*. 2003; 49:144–150. [PubMed: 12509830]
54. Zhu Y. Parallel excitation with an array of transmit coils. *Magn Reson Med*. 2004; 51:775–784. [PubMed: 15065251]
55. Grissom W, Yip CY, Zhang Z, Stenger VA, Fessler JA, et al. Spatial domain method for the design of RF pulses in multicoil parallel excitation. *Magn Reson Med*. 2006; 56:620–629. [PubMed: 16894579]
56. Katscher U, Börnert P. Parallel RF transmission in MRI. *NMR Biomed*. 2006; 19:393–400. [PubMed: 16705630]
57. Seifert F, Wübbeler G, Junge S, Ittermann B, Rinneberg H. Patient safety concept for multichannel transmit coils. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2007; 26:1315–1321. [PubMed: 17969165]
58. Liu Y, Feng K, McDougall MP, Wright SM, Ji J. Reducing SAR in parallel excitation using variable-density spirals: a simulation-based study. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2008; 26:1122–1132. [PubMed: 18440750]
59. Setsompop K, Alagappan V, Gagoski B, Witzel T, Polimeni J, et al. Slice-selective RF pulses for in vivo B1+ inhomogeneity mitigation at 7 tesla using parallel RF excitation with a 16-element coil. *Magn Reson Med*. 2008; 60:1422–1432. [PubMed: 19025908]
60. Wu X, Akgun C, Vaughan JT, Andersen P, Strupp J, et al. Adapted RF pulse design for SAR reduction in parallel excitation with experimental verification at 9.4 T. *J Magn Reson*. 2010; 205:161–170. [PubMed: 20556882]

61. Ma C, Xu D, King KF, Liang ZP. Joint design of spoke trajectories and RF pulses for parallel excitation. *Magn Reson Med*. 2011; 65:973–985. [PubMed: 21413061]
62. Adriany G, Van de Moortele PF, Wiesinger F, Moeller S, Strupp JP, et al. Transmit and receive transmission line arrays for 7 Tesla parallel imaging. *Magn Reson Med*. 2005; 53:434–445. [PubMed: 15678527]
63. Zhang X, Ugurbil K, Chen W. Microstrip RF surface coil design for extremely high-field MRI and spectroscopy. *Magn Reson Med*. 2001; 46:443–450. [PubMed: 11550234]
64. Zhang X, Ugurbil K, Chen W. A microstrip transmission line volume coil for human head MR imaging at 4T. *J Magn Reson*. 2003; 161:242–251. [PubMed: 12713976]
65. Boskamp EB, Lee RF. Whole body LPSA transceive array with optimized transmit homogeneity. *Proc Intl Soc Mag Reson Med*. 2002; 10:903.
66. Zhang X, Ugurbil K, Sainati R, Chen W. An inverted-microstrip resonator for human head proton MR imaging at 7 tesla. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng*. 2005; 52:495–504. [PubMed: 15759580]
67. Wu B, Zhang X, Wang C, Li Y, Pang Y, et al. Flexible transceiver array for ultrahigh field human MR imaging. *Magn Reson Med*. 2012
68. Zhang X, Zhu XH, Chen W. Higher-order harmonic transmission-line RF coil design for MR applications. *Magn Reson Med*. 2005; 53:1234–1239. [PubMed: 15844152]
69. Wu B, Wang C, Lu J, Pang Y, Nelson SJ, et al. Multi-channel microstrip transceiver arrays using harmonics for high field MR imaging in humans. *IEEE Trans Med Imaging*. 2012; 31:183–191. [PubMed: 21878410]