Published in final edited form as:

Coron Artery Dis. 2012 March ; 23(2): 105–112. doi:10.1097/MCA.0b013e32834f1b3c.

Incidence, prognosis, and factors associated with cardiac arrest in patients hospitalized with acute coronary syndromes (the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events Registry)

David D. McManus^{a,b}, Farhan Aslam^d, Parag Goyal^d, Robert J. Goldberg^b, Wei Huang^c, and Joel M. Gore^{a,b}

^aDivision of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts

^bDivision of Epidemiology, Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts

^cCenter for Outcomes Research, University of Massachusetts Medical School, Worcester, Massachusetts

^dNew York Presbyterian Hospital-Weill Cornell Medical Center, New York, USA

Abstract

Objectives—Contemporary data are lacking with respect to the incidence rates of, factors associated with, and impact of cardiac arrest from ventricular fibrillation or tachycardia (VF-CA) on hospital survival in patients admitted with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS). The objectives of this multinational study were to characterize trends in the magnitude of in-hospital VF-CA complicating an ACS and to describe its impact over time on hospital prognosis.

Methods—In 59 161 patients enrolled in the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events Study between 2000 and 2007, we determined the incidence, prognosis, and factors associated with VF-CA.

Results—Overall, 3618 patients (6.2%) developed VF-CA during their hospitalization for an ACS. Incidence rates of VF-CA declined over time. Patients who experienced VF-CA were on average older and had a greater burden of cardiovascular disease, yet were less likely to receive evidence-based cardiac therapies than patients in whom VF-CA did not occur. Hospital death rates were 55.3% and 1.5% in patients with and without VF-CA, respectively. There was a greater than 50% decline in the hospital death rates associated with VF-CA during the years under study.

© 2011 Wolters Kluwer Health | Lippincott Williams & Wilkins

Correspondence to Robert J. Goldberg, PhD, Division of Epidemiology, Department of Quantitative Health Sciences, University of Massachusetts Medical School, 55 Lake Avenue North, Worcester, MA 01655, USA, Tel: + (508) 856 3991; fax: + (508) 856 4596; Robert.Goldberg@umassmed.edu.

Contributors

GRACE Scientific Advisory Committee

Keith A.A. Fox, UK; Joel M. Gore, USA (GRACE Co-Chairs); Kim A. Eagle, USA; Philippe Gabriel Steg, France (GRACE Publication Committee Co-Chairs); Frederick A. Anderson Jr, USA (Director, GRACE Study Coordinating Center); additional Members of the GRACE Scientific Advisory Committee: Giancarlo Agnelli, Italy; Álvaro Avezum, Brazil; David Brieger, Australia; Andrzej Budaj, Poland; Marcus D. Flather, UK; Robert J. Goldberg, USA; Shaun G. Goodman, Canada; Christopher B. Granger, USA; Dietrich C. Gulba, Germany; Enrique P. Gurfinkel, Argentina; Brian M. Kennelly, USA; Werner Klein, Austria; José López-Sendón, Spain; Gilles Montalescot, France; Frans Van de Werf, Belgium.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

Patients with a VF-CA occurring after 48 h were at especially high risk for dying during hospitalization (82.8%).

Conclusion—Despite reductions in the magnitude of, and short-term mortality from, VF-CA, VF-CA continues to exert an adverse effect on survival among patients hospitalized with an ACS. Opportunities exist to improve the identification and treatment of ACS patients at risk for VF-CA to reduce the incidence of, and mortality from, this serious arrhythmic disturbance.

Keywords

acute coronary syndrome; cardiac arrest; mortality; ventricular fibrillation

Introduction

Cardiac arrest from ventricular fibrillation (VF-CA) is the leading cause of death in patients with coronary artery disease, and 450 000 deaths have been attributed to this arrhythmic disturbance annually in the United States [1]. Despite marked improvements in the monitoring and treatment of patients hospitalized with an acute coronary syndrome (ACS), this patient population remains at especially high risk for the development of serious ventricular arrhythmias and VF-CA [2-5]. Although the characteristics and treatment of patients hospitalized with an ACS have changed markedly during recent decades [2], contemporary data describing recent trends in the magnitude and impact of VF-CA in the setting of an ACS are lacking. Data are also needed with respect to factors associated with the development of VF-CA and impact of the timing of VF-CA on hospital survival [3]. Data from the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE) Study were utilized to describe these and additional endpoints in a large multinational sample of patients hospitalized with an ACS between 2000 and 2007 [4,5].

Methods

Details of the GRACE project and its data collection methods have been previously described [4,6,7]. In brief, this large multinational observational study was designed to reflect a representative patient population with ACS, irrespective of geographic region. A total of 113 hospitals located in 14 countries in North and South America, Europe, Australia, and New Zealand contributed data to this study.

Adult patients (> 18 years old) admitted with a presumptive diagnosis of ACS at participating hospitals were potentially eligible for study inclusion. Eligibility criteria included a clinical history of ACS accompanied by at least one of the following: electrocardiographic changes consistent with ACS, serial increases in biochemical markers of cardiac necrosis (CK-MB, creatine phosphokinase, or troponin), and documented coronary artery disease. Patients with noncardiovascular causes for their clinical presentation were excluded. Where required, study investigators received approval from their local hospital ethics or institutional review boards for the conduct of this study.

Patients were identified through the use of active and passive disease surveillances at participating study sites. Patient medical records were reviewed for pertinent information in a retrospective manner by trained study coordinators using standardized case report forms. Demographic characteristics, medical history, presenting symptoms, biochemical and electrocardiographic findings, treatment practices, and hospital outcome data were collected. Standardized definitions of all patient-related variables, clinical diagnoses, and hospital complications and outcomes were used. A GRACE risk score was calculated based on an eight-variable model previously developed and validated [6,8,9].

All ACS cases were assigned to one of the following categories using standardized definitions: ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI), non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction, or unstable angina [10,11]. The STEMI category included patients with at least one positive cardiac biochemical marker of necrosis (including troponin measurements) and new or presumed new ST-segment elevation of 1 mm or more seen in any location, or new left bundle branch block on the index or subsequent ECG. Patients were considered to have a non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction if they had at least one positive cardiac biochemical marker of necrosis without new ST-segment elevation seen on the index or subsequent ECG. Unstable angina was considered to be present when serum biochemical markers indicative of myocardial necrosis were within the normal range. Complete definitions of these variables and other factors can be found on the GRACE Website at www.outcomes.org/grace.

CA from VF or tachycardia was defined as any episode of ventricular tachycardia or VF requiring resuscitation (external cardiac massage or direct current cardioversion or both). Early VF-CA was defined as that occurring on presentation or within the first 48 h of hospitalization, whereas late VF-CA occurred after this time; these cutpoints have been previously utilized in the published literature [3]. Patients with more than one episode of VF-CA were categorized on the basis of their initial episode.

Data analysis

Differences in the characteristics and hospital outcomes of patients who developed VF-CA compared with those who did not were compared using χ^2 -tests or Fisher's exact test of statistical significance for discrete variables. Continuous variables were analyzed using t -tests and the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. Differences in the characteristics and hospital outcomes of patients who developed early VF-CA compared with those who developed this arrhythmia after 48 h of hospitalization were compared in a similar manner.

Variables considered for inclusion in our regression models were baseline demographic characteristics (age, sex), medical history (angina, myocardial infarction, heart failure, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass graft surgery, diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia), and hospital presentation characteristics (blood pressure, pulse, Killip class, ST-segment deviation, initial cardiac markers, initial serum creatinine). Our hospital endpoints included adverse cardiac events, including recurrent ischemic/anginal symptoms, heart failure, noncardiac adverse events (renal failure, stroke, major bleeding), and survival status at the time of hospital discharge.

Results

A total of 59 261 patients were enrolled in this Coronary Disease Registry between 2000 and 2007 and constituted the sample of this study. The mean age of study participants was 65.7 years, 67.3% were men, 29.9% had a history of prior myocardial infarction, and 36.7% presented with a STEMI.

Incidence rates of cardiac arrest

Between 2000 and 2007, a total of 3618 patients (6.2%) developed VF-CA during their index hospitalization for an ACS. The incidence rates of VF-CA declined during the years under study, albeit in an inconsistent manner (Fig. 1). The greatest decline in VF-CA occurred between 2000 (6.8%) and 2002 (5.7%).

Baseline characteristics of study sample

Patients who developed VF-CA were on average older and were more likely to have higher average GRACE risk and Killip class scores, a higher maximum troponin level, a lower ejection fraction, a longer hospital stay, a history of heart failure, and presented with a STEMI at the time of hospital admission in comparison with patients who did not develop VF-CA during their acute hospitalization (Table 1).

On the other hand, ACS patients who did not develop VF-CA had a higher body mass index, higher blood pressure, and lower initial heart rate on presentation, and were more likely to have a history of smoking, hypertension, dyslipidemia, or prior coronary artery disease than ACS patients who experienced a VF-CA. Patients in whom VF-CA did not occur were also more likely to have previously undergone a percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass graft surgery. The proportion of patients with implantable cardioverter-defibrillators did not differ between the respective comparison groups.

Outpatient and hospital treatment practices

Patients who experienced a VF-CA were significantly less likely to report outpatient use of aspirin, β -blockers, statins, and angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors than those who did not experience a VF-CA (Table 2). Patients who developed VF-CA during hospitalization were slightly more likely to be prescribed amiodarone as an outpatient than were patients who did not develop this ventricular arrhythmia.

Patients with VF-CA were also less likely to have received evidence-based cardiac treatments during their hospitalization, including aspirin, β -blockers, statins, and ACE inhibitors than patients without this arrhythmic complication (Table 2). Utilization of percutaneous coronary intervention did not differ between our two primary comparison groups whereas the in-hospital prescription of thrombolytics, amiodarone, and inotropes was more common among patients with VF-CA. Patients with VF-CA were more likely to have undergone coronary artery bypass surgery and intra-aortic balloon pump placement during their hospital stay as compared with those who did not develop VF-CA.

Hospital complications

Patients who experienced a VF-CA were more likely to have developed recurrent cardiac ischemia, cardiogenic shock, atrial fibrillation/flutter, heart failure, renal failure, stroke, and major bleeding during their hospitalization than patients who did not develop this arrhythmic complication (Table 3). A multiple logistic regression analysis was carried out to examine the association of VF-CA with each of these in-hospital complications while controlling for a variety of factors known to affect the development of these endpoints in patients hospitalized with an ACS. The odds of developing recurrent cardiac ischemia, cardiogenic shock, heart failure, stroke, renal failure, and major bleeding remained substantially higher among patients with VF-CA after adjustment for several potentially confounding factors (Table 3).

In-hospital mortality

As expected, the in-hospital case-fatality rate (55.3%) and multivariable adjusted odds of dying during hospitalization for an ACS were markedly higher among patients with an ACS complicated by VF-CA than it was among patients who did not develop VF-CA (odds ratio: 75.5, 95% confidence interval: 66.2–86.0). Death rates among patients with in-hospital VF-CA were significantly higher than would have been expected (8.4%) on the basis of their GRACE risk score (175), whereas in-hospital death rates among participants without VF-CA (1.5%) were similar to those predicted on the basis of their GRACE risk score (1.9%, 130). During the period that in-hospital survival improved only slightly among patients

without VF-CA, in-hospital survival improved markedly among patients with VF-CA (from 39.2% in 2000 to 58.9% in 2007, *P* for trend was less than 0.001, Fig. 2) [8].

Impact of timing of cardiac arrest on hospital prognosis

To explore the impact of timing of VF-CA on short-term prognosis, a separate analysis was carried out using data from 2167 patients for whom timing of VF-CA was recorded (60% of patients with VF-CA, Table 4). Patients with late VF-CA ($n = 527$) were on average older and more likely to have a history of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors than patients who suffered a VF-CA early during their hospitalization ($n = 1640$). On the other hand, patients with late VF-CA were less likely to currently smoke cigarettes and had a slightly lower average GRACE risk score as well as body mass index.

Patients with late VF-CA experienced, on average, a longer hospital stay and were more likely to have developed clinically significant in-hospital complications including recurrent angina, myocardial infarction, heart failure, cardiogenic shock, atrial fibrillation, renal failure, and major bleeding episodes than patients who developed VF-CA early during their acute hospital admission (Table 5). A significantly greater proportion of patients with late VF-CA died during hospitalization compared with patients who experienced VF-CA during the first 48 h of hospitalization (83 vs. 39%, $P < 0.001$).

Discussion

The results of this large, multinational study demonstrate that VF-CA is an infrequent, but often fatal, complication of an ACS. The incidence of, and mortality from, VF-CA declined over the period under study, likely due to increased monitoring and better treatment of patients with an ACS as well as VF-CA. Opportunities exist, however, for the enhanced prevention of VF-CA and its complications.

Incidence of cardiac arrest

Over a relatively recent study period, VF-CA developed in approximately one in every 14 patients hospitalized with an ACS in our Multinational Coronary Disease Registry. The incidence rates of VF-CA observed in our study were significantly lower than those reported in a community-based study of individuals hospitalized with AMI (acute myocardial infarction) between 1975 and 1984, in which 21% of patients developed VF-CA [9]. Reasons for the differences between our study and this prior study may include the more contemporary nature of this investigation, higher utilization of chronic and in-hospital therapies known to reduce the odds of VF-CA, a greater proportion of patients with early VF-CA, and inclusion of patients with less severe forms of ACS in our study. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that a more recent analysis examining the incidence rates of VF in this population of central Massachusetts residents hospitalized with AMI reported declining rates of VF between 1995 and 2005, reaching levels (4.2%) more consistent with our observations (6.2%) and those of the National Registry of Myocardial Infarction-2 (4.8%) [9,12-14].

Consistent with the published literature, clinical characteristics associated with poorer baseline cardiovascular health, larger infarct size, and worse disease-specific clinical status were associated with the development of VF-CA in this investigation [13]. Interestingly, however, a history of several traditional cardiovascular risk factors, including hypertension, smoking, diabetes, and dyslipidemia, was not associated with an increased risk for VF-CA. These findings suggest that ACS severity places patients at a higher risk for developing VF-CA, irrespective of the presence of established cardiovascular risk factors.

Outpatient and hospital treatment practices

Outpatient and in-hospital use of effective cardiac medications known to reduce the risk of ventricular arrhythmias and improve prognosis from ACS, including aspirin, statins, ACE inhibitors, and β -blockers, were less frequently utilized in ACS patients with VF-CA in comparison with patients who did not develop VF-CA. Use of thrombolytic medications was higher in the VF-CA group, perhaps due to the fact that these agents are often administered as part of advanced cardiac life support efforts [15]. Chronic use of amiodarone was paradoxically higher among patients who experienced VF-CA compared with patients who did not experience VF-CA. Use of amiodarone to suppress nonsustained ventricular arrhythmias, or use of amiodarone to maintain sinus rhythm among patients with atrial fibrillation, a disease associated with a poor prognosis after an ACS, may partially explain this observation [16].

Caution in interpreting our findings is warranted; however, as the underutilization of evidence-based therapies among hospitalized patients with VF-CA may have been partially due to the fact that patients with VF-CA were hemodynamically unstable or had experienced complications that serve as contraindications to ACS treatments. In-hospital contraindications, however, neither explain outpatient prescription patterns nor do they discount the fact that an opportunity exists for enhanced primary prevention of VF-CA through application of evidence-based ACS treatments, such as β -blockers, among patients hospitalized with an ACS based on the results of our large descriptive study.

Hospital complications

Patients who experienced a VF-CA during hospitalization for an ACS were at an increased risk not only for developing recurrent cardiac ischemia, cardiogenic shock, and heart failure during their index hospitalization, but also for developing renal failure and major bleeding episodes. Both major bleeding and renal dysfunction complicating AMI have been associated with increased mortality, perhaps through the observed association with malignant ventricular arrhythmias and VF-CA [17,18]. These findings, as well as those from other studies, suggest that close attention should be directed to monitoring for, and appropriately treating, kidney injury, electrolyte abnormalities, and anemia in addition to traditional hemodynamic perturbations (e.g. tachycardia and hypotension) in hospitalized patients with an ACS.

The incidence rates of VF-CA declined in our hospitalized patient population between 2000 and 2007, concomitant with the greater and timelier application of effective ACS treatments in this population [19-22]. Although our study design precludes an assumption of causality, early intervention with evidence-based cardiac medications and coronary revascularization in patients with myocardial injury has been shown to limit infarct size and reduce the risk of ventricular arrhythmias [23]; inasmuch, the increased use of these therapies may have exerted a beneficial effect on the occurrence of VF-CA in our study population over time [20-24]. In addition, increased cardiac monitoring and prophylactic treatment of ventricular arrhythmias with antiarrhythmic medications may have reduced the incidence rates of VF-CA observed in this study [25,26].

Hospital mortality in patients with cardiac arrest

Previously reported in-hospital case-fatality rates for VF-CA complicating ACS vary widely, ranging from 24 to 78% [9,12-14,27]. The observed case-fatality rate among hospitalized patients with VF-CA complicating ACS in our study (55%) is slightly higher than that previously reported in a large clinical investigation that included nearly 41 000 patients who were treated with thrombolytic therapy [26]. Use of more restrictive inclusion criteria in the context of a randomized controlled trial, as well as varying case definitions,

partially accounted for differences in these findings, a theory supported by the fact that the hospital mortality rates observed in our study are much lower than those reported in two observational studies involving patients with AMI (78 and 71%) [9,13].

Although in-hospital death rates remained significantly elevated in patients experiencing VF-CA during the most recent years under study, improvements in hospital survival among patients with VF-CA were observed between 2000 and 2007. Our observation that mortality rates declined by 51% during this period is notable in light of a 50% decline in death rates reported between 1993 and 2005 in a separate study of patients with VF complicating their hospitalization for AMI [14]. The findings of this study likely reflect the enhanced treatment of patients hospitalized with an ACS as well as improved, and timelier, treatment of VF-CA over time in our cohort [22,28].

Impact of timing of cardiac arrest on short-term prognosis

Consistent with an emerging literature, VF-CA occurring within 48 h of hospitalization for an ACS was associated with a more favorable prognosis than VF-CA occurring later during hospitalization, despite adjustment for potential confounders of prognostic importance [3,13,27,29]. As patients with early and late VF-CA differed with respect to a number of factors known to affect in-hospital survival, we hypothesize that the etiology of, and prognosis after, VF-CA may differ between these groups. Our findings suggest that whereas transitory electrical instability due to myocardial ischemia, coronary reperfusion, electrolyte abnormalities, neurohormonal activation, and sympathetic nervous system upregulation may play a large role in the development of early VF-CA, extension of myocardial injury, ventricular scar formation, generation of sustained and refractory ventricular arrhythmias, sustained hemodynamic instability, and acute kidney injury may contribute to a larger extent in the development and impact of late VF-CA, thus placing patients with late VF-CA at a greater risk for dying [30-36]. CA occurring later during a patient's hospital stay may also reflect a failure of hemodynamic stabilization and/or coronary reperfusion, so-called 'secondary' CA. This hypothesis is supported by the very high mortality rate among patients with late VF-CA (83%). These results suggest that clinicians should continue their current aggressive coronary revascularization efforts in patients with an ACS in an effort to limit infarct extension and prevent pump failure, as well as be diligent in monitoring and treating patients who develop VF-CA at a later time during their hospitalization for an ACS.

Study strengths and limitations

The strengths of this study include its multinational design, large sample of patients hospitalized with independently validated ACS, and its relatively contemporary and changing perspective into the clinical epidemiology of ACS and VF-CA. As a nonrandomized observational study, however, GRACE is subject to inherent limitations, including missing or incomplete information, and potential confounding by drug indication or other unmeasured covariates. Patients who died before reaching participating study hospitals were excluded; left ventricular ejection fraction was measured in less than one half of study patients; data on nonsustained ventricular tachycardia were not collected; use of antiarrhythmic drugs, such as lidocaine, was not recorded; and timing of VF-CA development in relation to the receipt of coronary reperfusion or other cardiac therapies was not collected.

Conclusion

In this large multinational study, we have demonstrated that VF-CA is a relatively uncommon but frequently fatal complication in patients hospitalized with an ACS. Encouragingly, we observed declining incidence and case-fatality rates of VF-CA in our patient population, likely reflecting enhanced monitoring and treatment efforts over a recent

8-year period. Nevertheless, VF-CA remains associated with renal, cardiovascular, and hematologic complications as well as reduced in-hospital survival. Continued primary and secondary prevention efforts remain warranted in all patients hospitalized with an ACS, particularly in several high-risk groups that were identified in this investigation.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the physicians and nurses participating in GRACE study. Further information about the project, along with the complete list of participants, can be found at www.outcomes.org/grace. The authors are also grateful to Sophie Rushton-Smith, PhD, who provided editorial support and was funded by sanofi-aventis. This study was supported by an unrestricted educational Grant from sanofi-aventis to the Center for Outcomes Research, University of Massachusetts Medical School. Sanofi-aventis had no involvement in the collection, analysis, and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and in the decision to submit the manuscript for publication. Requests for access to GRACE data should be addressed to Fred Anderson, PhD, Director, Center for Outcomes Research, University of Massachusetts Medical School at fred.anderson@umassmed.edu.

Grant Support: This study was supported by an unrestricted educational Grant from sanofi-aventis to the Center for Outcomes Research, University of Massachusetts Medical School. Drs. McManus and Goldberg were also supported by Grant 1U01 HL105268.

References

1. Kong MH, Fonarow GC, Peterson ED, Curtis AB, Hernandez AF, Sanders GD, et al. Systematic review of the incidence of sudden cardiac death in the United States. *J Am Col Cardiol*. 2011; 57:794–801.
2. Floyd KC, Yarzebski J, Spencer FA, Lessard D, Dalen JE, Alpert JS, et al. A 30-year perspective (1975–2005) into the changing landscape of patients hospitalized with initial acute myocardial infarction: Worcester Heart Attack Study. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2009; 2:88–95. [PubMed: 20031820]
3. Behar S, Kishon Y, Reicher-Reiss H, Zion M, Kaplinsky E, Abinader E, et al. Prognosis of early versus late ventricular fibrillation complicating acute myocardial infarction. *Int J Cardiol*. 1994; 45:191–198. [PubMed: 7960264]
4. Fox KA, Steg PG, Eagle KA, Goodman SG, Anderson FA Jr, Granger CB, et al. Decline in rates of death and heart failure in acute coronary syndromes, 1999–2006. *JAMA*. 2007; 297:1892–1900. [PubMed: 17473299]
5. Fox KA, Eagle KA, Gore JM, Steg PG, Anderson FA. The global registry of acute coronary events, 1999 to 2009–GRACE. *Heart*. 2010; 96:1095–1101. [PubMed: 20511625]
6. Pieper KS, Gore JM, FitzGerald G, Granger CB, Goldberg RJ, Steg G, et al. Validity of a risk-prediction tool for hospital mortality: the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events. *Am Heart J*. 2009; 157:1097–1105. [PubMed: 19464422]
7. Steg PG, Goldberg RJ, Gore JM, Fox KA, Eagle KA, Flather MD, et al. Baseline characteristics, management practices, and in-hospital outcomes of patients hospitalized with acute coronary syndromes in the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events (GRACE). *Am J Cardiol*. 2002; 90:358–363. [PubMed: 12161222]
8. McManus DD, Gore J, Yarzebski J, Spencer F, Lessard D, Goldberg RJ. Recent trends in the incidence, treatment, and outcomes of patients with STEMI and NSTEMI. *Am J Med*. 2011; 124:40–47. [PubMed: 21187184]
9. Goldberg RJ, Gore JM, Haffajee CI, Alpert JS, Dalen JE. Outcome after cardiac arrest during acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 1987; 59:251–255. [PubMed: 3812274]
10. Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Hand M, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Committee to Revise the 1999 Guidelines for the Management of Patients with Acute Myocardial Infarction). *Circulation*. 2004; 110:e82–e292. [PubMed: 15339869]
11. Braunwald E, Antman EM, Beasley JW, Califf RM, Cheitlin MD, Hochman JS, et al. ACC/AHA 2002 guideline update for the management of patients with unstable angina and non-ST-segment

- elevation myocardial infarction—summary article: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association task force on practice guidelines (Committee on the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina). *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2002; 40:1366–1374. [PubMed: 12383588]
12. Chiriboga D, Yarzebski J, Goldberg RJ, Gore JM, Alpert JS. Temporal trends (1975 through 1990) in the incidence and case-fatality rates of primary ventricular fibrillation complicating acute myocardial infarction. A communitywide perspective. *Circulation*. 1994; 89:998–1003. [PubMed: 7880217]
 13. Ornato JP, Peberdy MA, Tadler SC, Strobos NC. Factors associated with the occurrence of cardiac arrest during hospitalization for acute myocardial infarction in the second National Registry of Myocardial Infarction in the US. *Resuscitation*. 2001; 48:117–123. [PubMed: 11426473]
 14. Goldberg RJ, Yarzebski J, Spencer FA, Zevallos JC, Lessard D, Gore JM. Thirty-year trends (1975–2005) in the magnitude, patient characteristics, and hospital outcomes of patients with acute myocardial infarction complicated by ventricular fibrillation. *Am J Cardiol*. 2008; 102:1595–1601. [PubMed: 19064011]
 15. Neumar RW, Otto CW, Link MS, Kronick SL, Shuster M, Callaway CW, et al. Adult advanced cardiovascular life support: 2010 American Heart Association Guidelines for Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care. *Circulation*. 2010; 122(18 Suppl 3):S729–S767. [PubMed: 20956224]
 16. Saczynski JS, McManus D, Zhou Z, Spencer F, Yarzebski J, Lessard D, et al. Trends in atrial fibrillation complicating acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2009; 104:169–174. [PubMed: 19576341]
 17. Santolucito PA, Tighe DA, McManus DD, Yarzebski J, Lessard D, Gore JM, et al. Management and outcomes of renal disease and acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Med*. 2010; 123:847–855. [PubMed: 20800154]
 18. Dauerman HL, Lessard D, Yarzebski J, Gore JM, Goldberg RJ. Bleeding complications in patients with anemia and acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2005; 96:1379–1383. [PubMed: 16275182]
 19. Spencer FA, Montalescot G, Fox KA, Goodman SG, Granger CB, Goldberg RJ, et al. Delay to reperfusion in patients with acute myocardial infarction presenting to acute care hospitals: an international perspective. *Eur Heart J*. 2010; 31:1328–1336. [PubMed: 20231154]
 20. Nguyen HL, Goldberg RJ, Gore JM, Fox KA, Eagle KA, Gurfinkel EP, et al. Age and sex differences, and changing trends, in the use of evidence-based therapies in acute coronary syndromes: perspectives from a multinational registry. *Coron Artery Dis*. 2010; 21:336–344. [PubMed: 20661139]
 21. Fox KA, Poole-Wilson PA, Henderson RA, Clayton TC, Chamberlain DA, Shaw TR, et al. Interventional versus conservative treatment for patients with unstable angina or non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction: the British Heart Foundation RITA 3 randomised trial. *Randomized Intervention Trial of unstable Angina*. *Lancet*. 2002; 360:743–751. [PubMed: 12241831]
 22. Eagle KA, Nallamothu BK, Mehta RH, Granger CB, Steg PG, Van de Werf F, et al. Trends in acute reperfusion therapy for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction from 1999 to 2006: we are getting better but we have got a long way to go. *Eur Heart J*. 2008; 29:609–617. [PubMed: 18310671]
 23. Pride YB, Appelbaum E, Lord EE, Sloan S, Cannon CP, Sabatine MS, et al. Relation between myocardial infarct size and ventricular tachyarrhythmia among patients with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction following fibrinolytic therapy for ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 2009; 104:475–479. [PubMed: 19660597]
 24. Mehta RH, Sadiq I, Goldberg RJ, Gore JM, Avezum A, Spencer F, et al. Effectiveness of primary percutaneous coronary intervention compared with that of thrombolytic therapy in elderly patients with acute myocardial infarction. *Am Heart J*. 2004; 147:253–259. [PubMed: 14760322]
 25. Sim I, McDonald KM, Lavori PW, Norbutas CM, Hlatky MA. Quantitative overview of randomized trials of amiodarone to prevent sudden cardiac death. *Circulation*. 1997; 96:2823–2829. [PubMed: 9386144]
 26. Wyman MG, Wyman RM, Cannom DS, Criley JM. Prevention of primary ventricular fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction with prophylactic lidocaine. *Am J Cardiol*. 2004; 94:545–551. [PubMed: 15342281]

27. Newby KH, Thompson T, Stebbins A, Topol EJ, Califf RM, Natale A, The GUSTO Investigators. Sustained ventricular arrhythmias in patients receiving thrombolytic therapy: incidence and outcomes. *Circulation*. 1998; 98:2567–2573. [PubMed: 9843464]
28. Hazinski MF, Nolan JP, Billi JE, Bottiger BW, Bossaert L, De Caen AR, et al. Part 1: executive summary: 2010 International Consensus on Cardiopulmonary Resuscitation and Emergency Cardiovascular Care Science With Treatment Recommendations. *Circulation*. 2010; 122(16 Suppl 2):S250–S275. [PubMed: 20956249]
29. Jensen GV, Torp-Pedersen C, Kober L, Steensgaard-Hansen F, Rasmussen YH, Berning J, et al. Prognosis of late versus early ventricular fibrillation in acute myocardial infarction. *Am J Cardiol*. 1990; 66:10–15. [PubMed: 2360523]
30. Bigger JT Jr, Dresdale FJ, Heissenbuttel RH, Weld FM, Wit AL. Ventricular arrhythmias in ischemic heart disease: mechanism, prevalence, significance, and management. *Prog Cardiovasc Dis*. 1977; 19:255–300. [PubMed: 318758]
31. Schwartz PJ, Billman GE, Stone HL. Autonomic mechanisms in ventricular fibrillation induced by myocardial ischemia during exercise in dogs with healed myocardial infarction. An experimental preparation for sudden cardiac death. *Circulation*. 1984; 69:790–800. [PubMed: 6697463]
32. Bigger JT Jr, Fleiss JL, Kleiger R, Miller JP, Rolnitzky LM. The relationships among ventricular arrhythmias, left ventricular dysfunction, and mortality in the 2 years after myocardial infarction. *Circulation*. 1984; 69:250–258. [PubMed: 6690098]
33. Myerburg RJ, Interian A Jr, Mitrani RM, Kessler KM, Castellanos A. Frequency of sudden cardiac death and profiles of risk. *Am J Cardiol*. 1997; 80:10F–19F.
34. Myerburg RJ, Kessler KM, Castellanos A. Pathophysiology of sudden cardiac death. *Pacing Clin Electrophysiol*. 1991; 14(5 Pt 2):935–943. [PubMed: 1712464]
35. Myerburg RJ, Kessler KM, Castellanos A. Sudden cardiac death. Structure, function, and time-dependence of risk. *Circulation*. 1992; 85(1 Suppl):I2–I10. [PubMed: 1728501]
36. Vaitkus PT, Kindwall KE, Marchlinski FE, Miller JM, Buxton AE, Josephson ME. Differences in electrophysiological substrate in patients with coronary artery disease and cardiac arrest or ventricular tachycardia. Insights from endocardial mapping and signal-averaged electrocardiography. *Circulation*. 1991; 84:672–678. [PubMed: 1860211]

Fig. 1.
Trends in the incidence rates of cardiac arrest (Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events).

Fig. 2.
Trends in hospital survival rates from cardiac arrest (Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events).

Table 1
Baseline characteristics of GRACE participants with and without cardiac arrest

Variable ^a	Cardiac arrest present (+) (n = 3618)	Cardiac arrest absent (–) (n = 55149)	P value
Age (years)	68.8 (13.6)	65.5 (13.2)	<0.001
Male	2340 (65%)	37 067 (68%)	0.002
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	26.9 (5.3)	27.7 (5.4)	<0.001
Systolic BP (mmHg)	124.7 (37.0)	142.4 (29.0)	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	73.2 (23.0)	80.4 (17.6)	<0.001
Pulse (bpm)	84.5 (27.4)	79.1 (20.8)	<0.001
GRACE risk score	175.2 (44.8)	129.5 (35.6)	<0.001
Killip class III and Killip class IV	696 (20%)	2073 (3.8%)	<0.001
Length of stay (days)	8.2 (10.2)	7.2 (7.3)	<0.001
Medical history			
Smoking	1917 (54%)	31 695 (58%)	<0.001
Diabetes	942 (26%)	13 785 (25%)	0.11
Hypertension	2113 (59%)	34 241 (62%)	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	1338 (38%)	27039 (49%)	<0.001
Angina	1550 (44%)	28161 (53%)	<0.001
AF	4110 (7.5%)	3562 (9.3%)	<0.001
MI	941 (26%)	16 551 (30%)	<0.001
PCI	368 (10%)	10 091 (18%)	<0.001
CABG	325 (9.1%)	6893 (13%)	<0.001
Heart failure	508 (14%)	5348 (9.8%)	<0.001
ICD	15 (0.7%)	257 (0.8%)	0.78
AMI type			
ST-elevation MI	2256 (62%)	19 317 (35%)	<0.001
Non-ST-elevation MI	849 (23%)	18 880 (34%)	<0.001
Unstable angina	513 (14%)	16 952 (31%)	<0.001
Laboratory			
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.4 (1.1)	1.2 (0.8)	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	184.4 (54.6)	190.7 (49.4)	<0.001
Troponin ^b	45.6 (99.3)	21.6 (63.6)	<0.001
Baseline EF (%) ^c	41.2 (15.7)	50.8 (14.3)	<0.001
LBBB	251 (6.9%)	2576 (4.5%)	<0.001

AF, atrial fibrillation; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; BP, blood pressure; CA, cardiac arrest; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CAD, coronary artery disease; EF, ejection fraction; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LBBB, left bundle branch block; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, Percutaneous coronary intervention; VF, ventricular fibrillation.

^aMean (± standard deviation), laboratory values obtained from admission.

^bMaximum value within first 24 h.

^cEjection fraction was measured in 43% of patients with VF-CA and 48% of patients without VF-CA.

Table 2
Outpatient and in-hospital treatments in GRACE participants stratified by development of in-hospital cardiac arrest

Treatment ^a	Cardiac arrest present (+) (n = 3618)	Cardiac arrest absent (-) (n = 55149)	P value
Chronic			
Aspirin	1044 (29%)	22 354 (40.6)	<0.001
Beta-blocker	877 (24.4%)	18 369 (33.5%)	<0.001
ACE inhibitor	890 (24.9%)	15 573 (28.4%)	<0.001
Statin	657 (1 7.5%)	15 685 (28.6%)	<0.001
Omega-3 FA	9 (0.4%)	217 (0.6%)	0.21
Amiodarone	85 (2.4%)	997 (1.8%)	0.02
In-hospital			
Aspirin	3049 (85%)	51 806 (94%)	<0.001
Beta-blocker	2370 (66%)	47 032 (86%)	<0.001
ACE inhibitor	2080 (58%)	36 452 (67%)	<0.001
Statin	1 794 (50%)	38 841 (71%)	<0.001
Amiodarone ^b	681 (19.1%)	2027 (3.7%)	<0.001
Inotrope ^b	1247 (34.9%)	1600 (3.0%)	<0.001
Procedures			
PCI	1395 (38.8%)	21 559 (39.4%)	0.51
Thrombolytics	796 (22.2%)	6822 (12.5%)	<0.001
CABG	225 (6.3%)	2766 (5.1%)	0.002
IABP	505 (14.3%)	1064 (2.0%)	<0.001

ACE, angiotensin converting enzyme; CABG, coronary artery bypass surgery; FA, fatty acid; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; IABP, intra-aortic balloon pump; PCI, percutaneous intervention.

^aMean (±standard deviation).

^bWithin the first 24 h of hospitalization.

Table 3
Hospital complications according to the presence of cardiac arrest

Complication^a	Cardiac arrest present (+) (n = 3618) (%)	Cardiac arrest absent (–) (n = 55149) (%)	Adjusted^b odds of complication
Recurrent ischemic symptoms (angina)	1090 (31)	12 412 (23)	1.7 (1.5–1.8)
Heart failure	1431 (40)	6326 (12)	2.9 (2.7–3.2)
Cardiogenic shock	1373 (38)	969 (1.8)	16.8 (15–18.8)
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	662 (19)	3826 (7.0)	2.0 (1.8–2.3)
Renal failure	641 (18)	1642 (3.0)	4.6 (4.0–5.2)
Stroke	97 (2.7)	327 (0.6)	2.9 (2.2–3.9)
Major bleeding	232 (6.6)	1122 (2.1)	2.3 (1.9–2.7)

^aUnless otherwise indicated, new-onset complications.

^bAdjusted for eight independent variables used to calculate the Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events risk score, history of angina, myocardial infarction, heart failure, percutaneous coronary intervention, coronary artery bypass surgery, diabetes, hypertension, and hyperlipidemia.

Table 4
Characteristics of GRACE participants³ experiencing ^a cardiac arrest within as compared with after 48 h of hospitalization

Variable ^b	Early cardiac arrest (n = 1640)	Late cardiac arrest (n = 527)	P value
Age (years)	66.7 (13.9)	74.1 (11.3)	<0.001
Male	1114 (68%)	340 (65%)	0.18
Body mass index (kg/m ²)	27.1 (5.0)	26.5 (4.9)	0.03
Systolic BP (mmHg)	122.7 (36.7)	129.6 (31.0)	<0.001
Diastolic BP (mmHg)	72.9 (22.6)	74.3 (20.6)	0.87
GRACE risk score	177 (46.8)	171 (37.8)	0.04
Killip class III and IV HF	322 (20%)	90 (17%)	<0.001
Length of stay (days)	6.7 (8.8)	12.4 (12.2)	<0.001
Medical history			
Smoking	894 (55%)	250 (48%)	0.004
Diabetes	380 (23%)	178 (34%)	<0.001
Hypertension	941 (58%)	359 (69%)	<0.001
Dyslipidemia	624 (38%)	235 (45%)	0.008
Angina	555 (34%)	254 (48%)	<0.001
AF	—	—	—
MI	359 (22%)	179 (34%)	<0.001
PCI	188 (12%)	63 (12%)	0.79
CABG	130 (8.0%)	76 (15%)	<0.001
Heart failure	150 (9.3%)	122 (23%)	<0.001
ICD	9 (0.6%)	6 (1.2%)	0.22
AMI type			
ST-elevation MI	1069 (65%)	283 (54%)	<0.001
Non-ST-elevation MI	353 (22%)	176 (33%)	—
Unstable angina	218 (13%)	68 (13%)	—
Laboratory			
Creatinine (mg/dl)	1.4 (1.0)	1.5 (1.1)	<0.001
Total cholesterol (mg/dl)	180.0 (51.7)	175.7 (57.0)	0.11
Troponin ^c	45.4 (95.9)	46.2 (109.4)	0.07
Baseline EF (%)	42.6 (15.9)	38.7 (15.0)	<0.001
LBBB	89 (5.4%)	45 (8.5%)	0.01

AF, atrial fibrillation; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; BP, blood pressure; CA, cardiac arrest; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; CAD, coronary artery disease; EF, ejection fraction; GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events; HF, heart failure; ICD, implantable cardioverter defibrillator; LBBB, left bundle branch block; MI, myocardial infarction; PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; VF, ventricular fibrillation.

^a information on timing of cardiac arrest available on 2167 GRACE participants (60% of participants with VF-CA).

^b Mean (± standard deviation), laboratory values obtained from admission.

^c Maximum value within first 24 h.

Table 5
In-hospital complications among GRACE participants stratified by early (within 48 h) versus late (after 48 h) cardiac arrest

Variable	Early cardiac arrest (<i>n</i> = 1640) (%)	Late cardiac arrest (<i>n</i> = 527) (%)	<i>P</i> value
Recurrent ischemic symptoms (angina)	449 (28)	286 (55)	<0.001
Heart failure	463 (29)	298 (57)	<0.001
Cardiogenic shock	493 (30)	258 (50)	<0.001
Atrial fibrillation/flutter	232 (14)	145 (28)	<0.001
Renal failure	173 (11)	185 (36)	<0.001
Stroke	37 (2.3)	18 (3.5)	0.13
Major bleeding	61 (4.0)	55 (9.5)	<0.001
Death	644 (39)	434 (83)	<0.001

GRACE, Global Registry of Acute Coronary Events.