Published in final edited form as:

J Sex Med. 2013 September ; 10(9): 2177–2189. doi:10.1111/jsm.12247.

Effects of expressive writing on sexual dysfunction, depression, and PTSD in women with a history of childhood sexual abuse: Results from a randomized clinical trial

Cindy M. Meston, Ph.D., Tierney A. Lorenz, M.A., and Kyle R. Stephenson, M.A.

University of Texas at Austin, Department of Psychology, Austin, TX

Abstract

Introduction—Women with a history of childhood sexual abuse (CSA) have high rates of depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, and sexual problems in adulthood.

Aim—We tested an expressive writing based intervention for its effects on psychopathology, sexual function, satisfaction and distress in women who have a history of CSA.

Main Outcome measures—Validated self-report measures of psychopathology and sexual function were conducted at post-treatment, 2 weeks, one month, and six months.

Methods—Seventy women with CSA histories completed five 30-minute sessions of expressive writing, either with a trauma focus or a sexual schema focus.

Results—Women in both writing interventions exhibited improved symptoms of depression and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD). Women who were instructed to write about the impact of the abuse on their sexual schema were significantly more likely to recover from sexual dysfunction.

Conclusions—Expressive writing may improve depressive and PTSD symptoms in women with CSA histories. Sexual schema-focused expressive writing in particular appears to improve sexual problems, especially for depressed women with CSA histories. Both treatments are accessible, cost-effective, and acceptable to patients.

It is not surprising that survivors of childhood sexual abuse (CSA) report significant problems in adulthood with intimate relationships, particularly sexual relationships¹¹. Women who report histories of CSA have significantly higher rates of sexual dysfunction than either community or clinical samples^{2,3}. Survivors of CSA also report significantly lower sexual satisfaction and higher sexual distress⁴. Beyond the impact on quality of life, sexual problems contribute to relationship distress¹ and have been implicated in the high divorce rates seen in CSA survivors⁵.

What *is* surprising is that, despite the prevalence of CSA (over 66,000 reports of CSA were substantiated in 2009⁶, with many more cases that go unreported⁷), there are few treatments for sexual dysfunction that have been empirically validated for adult survivors. Treatments for sexual problems that were developed in women *without* abuse histories have shown inconsistent results in women *with* abuse histories. For example, traditional sex therapy techniques such as sensate focus are overwhelming for many women with CSA histories⁸.

Please direct correspondence to: Cindy M. Meston, Ph.D., University of Texas at Austin, Department of Psychology, 108 E. Dean Keeton, Austin, TX 78712, Phone: (512) 232-4644, Fax: (512) 471-5395, meston@psy.utexas.edu.

¹Although both males and females may experience CSA, the vast majority of cases involve girls and as such the majority of research and clinical focus has been on female survivors of CSA.

Similarly, pharmacological treatments that improve sexual response in women without CSA histories, such as sildenafil, do not improve, and in some cases may even worsen, sexual problems in women with CSA histories⁹. This may be because for survivors of CSA, the sexual response can be a powerful reminder of the trauma.

It is often suggested that psychopathology such as depression or traumatic stress related to CSA should be resolved before addressing sexual problems. For example, from a prominent workbook for CSA-related therapy issues: “it is recommended that therapists address more general effects of sexual abuse, such as depression, anger, self-blame, self-destructive behaviors, and trust concerns, before doing work on sexual problems”¹⁰. Others have posited models in which psychopathology mediates the relationship between CSA survivorship and sexual problems^{11, 12}, which in turn implies resolution of psychopathology would lead to a resolution of sexual problems. Considering that women with CSA histories are 1.3 – 2.2 times more likely to report Major Depression or other mood disorders, and 2.1 – 2.6 times more likely to report Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) than women who have never been sexually abused¹³, this seems reasonable.

However, sexual problems are often present in CSA survivors even in the absence of symptoms of depression or traumatic stress^{14, 15}, and may persist even after resolution of psychopathology through successful treatment¹⁶. For example, Rieckert and Möller¹⁵ conducted a trial of group Rational-Emotive Behavior Therapy for 42 women with CSA histories. After 10 weekly sessions, the participants in the treatment group moved from the severe depression range of the Beck Depression Inventory to the normal range; this improvement was maintained at the 8-week follow-up. However, participants who received treatment did not differ from control participants on a validated measure of sexual function and satisfaction. Similarly, Classen et al.¹⁷ studied the effects of a trauma-focused group therapy protocol in 166 CSA survivors. Intent-to-treat analyses revealed that after 24 weekly sessions, participants in the treatment condition had significantly reduced PTSD severity relative to a waitlist control, but showed no difference in sexual concerns. From these results, it is clear that sexual problems are often distinct from psychopathology, and may require separate clinical focus.

To that end, there have been only three peer-reviewed reports on psychotherapy with adult survivors of CSA that have demonstrated improvements on a validated measure of sexual function. Hazzard, Rogers, and Angert¹⁸ found that for the 102 participants who completed a full year of weekly process-oriented group therapy sessions, there was a significant decrease in sexual avoidance and sexual dysfunction as per the Sexual Symptom Checklist; however, as this study did not include a control condition, it is difficult to know the specific efficacy of the treatment. Hébert and Bergeron¹⁹, on the other hand, did include a waitlist control in their trial of semi-structured group therapy for CSA survivors. A total of 41 women completed 15 – 17 weeks of 3-hour sessions that included discussion, relaxation techniques, and art therapy. Treatment completers reported significantly decreased sexual anxiety and discomfort about their sexuality as measured by the Multidimensional Sexual Self Concept Scale while participants in the control condition remained stable. Treatment effects were maintained at a 3-month followup. While promising, the treatment was not standardized and thus difficult to replicate. Finally, Brotto, Basson and Luria²⁰ conducted an uncontrolled trial of a manualized, 3 session psychoeducation intervention for sexual desire and arousal disorders in 15 women. In a post-hoc analysis, they found that the 8 women in the therapy group with a history of CSA improved significantly in measures of sexual function and sexual distress on the Female Sexual Distress Scale and the Female Sexual Function Index while the 7 women without such a history did not show any significant change. With so few participants and an exploratory post-hoc analysis, the authors cautioned that these results should be treated as preliminary. In short, while there is some evidence that

psychotherapy may improve sexual problems in women with CSA histories, there is little direct evidence.

One common theme in these few studies reporting improvements in sexual function in CSA survivors is a focus on the impact of CSA on women's thoughts, beliefs and feelings related to sexuality. In other words, these treatments attempted, directly or indirectly, to address negative sexual schema in women with CSA histories. Sexual schema are cognitive structures that give meaning and order to thoughts and feelings about oneself as sexual being and sexuality in general. Sexual schema help guide representations of memories of sexual experiences, plan sexual behavior, and interpret responses to sexual stimuli²¹. The cornerstones of schema are beliefs, which translate emotionally significant life events and social learning into organizing principles for information about the self, others, and the world²². These principles operate at a pre-conscious level, orienting attention towards or away from sexual stimuli, and at a conscious level, directing sexual attitudes and behaviors²³. Sexual beliefs, and the corresponding schema, may be positive (e.g., "I am a passionate woman") or negative ("I am an unloving woman"). Positive sexual schema regarding the self, or sexual self-schema (SSS) are associated with higher sexual satisfaction²⁴ while negative SSS are associated with greater sexual distress and dysfunction²⁵. Negative SSS may be a diathesis or vulnerability factor for sexual dysfunction²⁶.

Women with CSA histories have significantly more negative SSS, which likely contribute to sexual problems²⁷. At an unconscious level, women with CSA histories are less likely to associate sexual stimuli with positive emotions than women without CSA histories^{23, 28–30}. At a conscious level, women with CSA histories are more likely to endorse SSS that cast themselves as immoral or irresponsible³¹ and less likely to endorse romantic or passionate SSS^{27, 32, 33}. Low endorsement of positive SSS has been found to mediate negative affect during sex for CSA survivors independently of depression and anxiety symptoms²⁷.

One powerful yet simple way to impact schema is through writing. Constructing a written narrative about an emotional event helps to integrate that experience into existing schema, and highlights the meaningful aspects of the experience to help construct new schema³⁴. Writing about traumatic events has been shown to help individuals make meaning of these experiences, adopt less aversive appraisals of the event, and process the experience in a larger context³⁵. Such cognitive processing through writing may help to improve implicit attitudes towards the self, and re-organize self-schema³⁶.

Of particular promise is expressive writing, a structured writing paradigm in which people write for a specified amount of time, generally from 15 – 45 minutes, during which they are encouraged to express their deepest thoughts and feelings as freely as possible³⁴. The assigned writing topic is generally a stressful, emotional, or traumatic event³⁴, but writing about other topics such as body image³⁶, adjustment to college³⁷, and sexual orientation³⁸ have also been explored. Expressive writing has been demonstrated to improve psychological and physical health in a wide variety of settings and populations. Several meta-analyses have estimated effect sizes ranging from $d = .15$ ³⁹ to $d = .47$ ⁴⁰ for positive outcomes ranging from reduced medical care usage to post-traumatic growth.

Specifically, expressive writing has been shown to improve both depression and PTSD⁴¹. Improvements due to writing have been linked to increased cognitive processing, above and beyond expression of emotions⁴². Writing leads to increased use of insight and causation related words⁴³, which are associated with the construction of a cognitive structure⁴⁴. Writing about traumatic experiences has been shown to be as effective as cognitive therapy

in improving trauma-related beliefs, including beliefs about intimacy, in female survivors of interpersonal violence ⁴⁵.

To that end, expressive writing has been tested as a treatment for the psychological sequelae of intimate violence against women, although results have been mixed. One study investigating undergraduate women with a history of sexual assault found that trauma-focused writing was not superior to writing about trivial topics in improving PTSD symptoms ⁴⁶. However, as this was not a clinical population, symptoms of PTSD were low at baseline and thus had little room for further improvement. Another study of adult survivors of CSA found that expressive writing did not improve depressive symptoms ⁴⁷. The authors noted that while their paradigm involved sessions on consecutive days, meta-analyses have shown that time between sessions moderates the benefits of expressive writing ⁴⁰, and thus there may not have been sufficient time for participants to process the content of their writing between sessions. In contrast to these two studies, Koopman et al. ⁴⁸ studied a writing treatment spaced out over several weeks in a non-student sample of women with a history of intimate partner violence. There was a significant interaction of treatment and level of depression at intake, such that women who entered the study with a high level of depression gained the most benefit in the expressive writing condition, while women with low levels of depression or women in the control condition did not benefit. These results were promising and indicated that, for those women with significant psychological distress related to sexual abuse, expressive writing may confer a benefit. No study has, to date, investigated the impact of expressive writing on sexual problems in any population.

In the current study, we investigated a writing-based treatment for adult survivors of CSA. Given that writing has been shown to affect SSS, and that negative SSS are associated with sexual problems in women with a history of CSA, a writing intervention that specifically targets sexual schema may be particularly useful in addressing sexual difficulties in this population. To that end, we developed a treatment designed to direct participants' focus during writing to the impact that sexual abuse may have had on their sexual schema, particularly SSS. Although written and verbal disclosure appear to have similar benefits in terms of psychological and physical health outcomes ⁴⁹, writing uniquely offers privacy, which may make it more acceptable for trauma survivors. Moreover, writing as a treatment is simple to administer and cost effective ⁵⁰ as it requires minimal input from skilled personnel ⁵¹.

The purpose of the present study was twofold. First, we aimed to test a treatment known to improve depression and PTSD (trauma-focused writing) for its effects on sexual problems in CSA survivors. Second, we compared the effects of this active comparator to a novel focus for expressive writing: sexual schema. We had the following hypotheses:

1. Women with CSA histories engaging in both trauma-focused and sexual schema-focused expressive writing would exhibit improved levels of depression and PTSD.
2. Both expressive writing interventions would improve sexual dysfunction in women with CSA histories, but sexual-schema focused expressive writing would improve sexual dysfunction of CSA survivors to a greater extent than would trauma-focused writing.

Method

Participants

Recruitment—We recruited participants via newspaper advertisements and posts on community websites advertising a treatment study for women who had experienced CSA. Interested women called the lab, where they were given more information about the study

and screened for inclusion and exclusion criteria (see below). Following determination of eligibility for the study, participants were scheduled for an initial intake with an assessor. All study procedures were approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Texas at Austin from 2004–2013, and registered on ClinicalTrials.gov (identifier NCT01803802).

Inclusion and exclusion criteria—Women entering the trial had to report at least one involuntary sexual experience, defined as “unwanted oral, anal, or vaginal intercourse, penetration of the vagina or anus using objects or digits, or genital touching or fondling,” before age 16 and no less than 2 years prior to enrollment. To appropriately measure sexual functioning and distress, participants were required to either be currently sexually active or be cohabiting in a potentially sexual relationship. Additionally, they had to report sexual dysfunction, distress, or low sexual satisfaction. The lower age limit was 18; there was no upper age limit.

Women were excluded if they had experienced a traumatic event in the previous three months, been a victim of sexual abuse in the past two years, or had been diagnosed with a psychotic disorder in the previous six months. Other psychiatric conditions were permissible so long as participant did not report significant suicidal or homicidal intent at intake. Participants could not be currently receiving psychotherapy for sexual or abuse-related concerns; however, participants could be receiving psychoactive medications if they had been stabilized on those medications for at least three months. Participants were excluded if they reported use of illicit drugs but were not excluded for alcohol use. Women in currently abusive relationships were also excluded.

Sample characteristics—The final sample used in analyses included 91 women with a history of CSA (see Figure 1). About half of the participants (59%) had been abused by a family member, and the majority (92%) had at least one penetrative experience. The majority of participants were Caucasian (64%), married or in a committed relationship (71%), and had completed at least some college education (78%). Full demographic characteristics are presented in Table 1, and more information on retention is available at <http://bit.ly/wKzXT8>. A detailed analysis of predictors of dropout is available elsewhere⁵².

Procedure

Assessment sessions—Participants completed five 2-hour assessment sessions: pre-treatment, post-treatment, 2 weeks follow-up, one month follow-up, and six months follow-up. Participants met with the same assessor at each assessment session; there were 3 female assessors. At the pre-treatment session, participants were oriented to study procedures and given information sufficient to provide informed consent. They then completed clinical interviews and questionnaires on sexual dysfunction, depression and PTSD (see Measures section below). Post-treatment sessions included the same assessments as well as questions regarding perceptions of the treatment. Participants were compensated \$70 for completing each assessment session; they were not compensated financially for attending treatment sessions.

Treatment sessions—There were 4 study therapists, all women with master’s degrees in psychology. Following their pre-treatment assessment session, participants were scheduled to meet with a study therapist. During their first treatment session, the therapist offered a brief rationale appropriate to their condition. Each participant was informed that no one would read her writing until the study was completed. The therapist then administered a brief check-in form that asked the participant about their suicidal ideation, trauma-related distress, and use of coping resources since their last visit to the lab. After addressing any

issues from the check-in form, therapists oriented the participant to the writing task for that day, reading the prompt with the participant. Participants typed their essays on a computer into a word document identified by their unique code and session number.²

To ensure privacy, participants were left alone to write for the 30 minutes, and they were instructed to save and close their writing before the therapist returned to the room. Furthermore, participants were given the option of deleting their writing before saving and closing it (so that the therapist would not know if they had saved text or not), or removing their data from analyses after their participation; no participant chose this option.

Following the writing assignment, the therapist briefly evaluated the participant for significantly increased psychological distress related to their writing or other signs of increased risk of harm to self or others. Safety plans were created as needed, including discussions of means of support and coping. Women were allowed to leave following this risk assessment or to spend the remainder of the hour talking with the therapist about their writing. A very small minority (approximately 5%) of women consistently chose not to stay following the risk assessment. Therapists were not permitted to conduct any other therapeutic technique (e.g., cognitive restructuring).

Each additional session followed the same format, with a check-in before and after the 30-minute writing period. Treatment was paced such that participants were scheduled for no more than 2 sessions per week and never on consecutive days. Most women chose to meet weekly.

At the end of the fifth treatment session, participants were scheduled for a post-treatment follow-up with the same assessor who completed their intake. Following completion of the study, all essays were examined by research assistants for content relevant to the treatment prompts (including ensuring that women in the trauma condition wrote about their index sexual trauma); however, no essay was judged to be significantly off-prompt^{28, 53}.

Conditions—In keeping with ethical and methodological guidelines suggested for randomized clinical trials of psychotherapeutic interventions⁵⁴³, we compared our experimental treatment (sexual schema focused expressive writing) to a known active treatment (trauma-focused expressive writing).

Sexual Schema-focused condition: The schema condition prompts were developed to focus participants' attention to the impact of their sexual abuse experiences on their thoughts, feelings and beliefs about sexuality (see <http://bit.ly/wKzXT8> for full text of all prompts). The first session prompt encouraged women to write about how their sexual abuse may have affected their beliefs about themselves, sexual partners, or sexuality in general. The second session prompt expanded on the first, asking women to consider the evidence for and against their beliefs about sex and their sexuality. The third and fourth session prompts asked women to consider their reasons for maintaining their sexual beliefs, and what would have to change in their lives to change their beliefs. The final session prompt encouraged women to write about their goals for their future sexual life and to focus on their progress and strength.

²If uncomfortable using a computer, participants were given the option of writing by hand and putting their writing into sealed envelopes. Seven participants chose this option.

³Non-inferiority designs, in which an experimental treatment is compared against an active comparator, have been recommended by the Food and Drug Administration and the American Psychological Association for trials in which the safety, cost and availability of the treatments are negligibly different.

Trauma-Focused condition: The trauma condition was adapted from the standard expressive writing paradigm for this population. The first treatment session prompt encouraged participants to write their deepest thoughts and feelings about a trauma that has affected them, considering how their trauma impacted safety, trust, power and control, esteem and intimacy (beliefs commonly impacted following sexual violence^{45, 55}). Sessions two through four were focused on considering maladaptive beliefs related to the traumatic experience. The final session prompt directed women to consolidate what they learned during the previous sessions, and to outline goals for the future.

Measures

Sexual Functioning Interview: Sexual function was assessed with a structured clinical interview following the criteria for Female Sexual Dysfunction (FSD) presented in the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual Fourth Edition, Text Revised (DSM-IV-TR⁵⁶). This interview assessed symptoms of Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder (HSDD), Sexual Aversion Disorder (SAD), Female Sexual Arousal Disorder, (FSAD) Female Orgasm Disorder (FOD), Vaginismus and Dyspareunia. Each item included an assessment of presence or absence of each of the symptoms associated with the dysfunction (e.g. “Do you have a persistent or recurrent lack of sexual thoughts, fantasies, daydreams or desire for sexual activity?”), as well as distress related to the symptom, time of onset (lifelong or acquired) and situations in which the symptom was experienced (situational or generalized). This interview has been validated and used in previous studies to diagnose FSD^{57–59}. Participants were considered “recovered” when they no longer met criteria for this disorder.

Psychopathology measures

Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS): Symptoms of PTSD within the last month were assessed with the CAPS-1⁶⁰. We asked about the most severe trauma experienced (as identified by the Trauma History Questionnaire). As recommended in the CAPS manual, we considered symptoms as present if the participant scored at least 1 for frequency (i.e., once or twice in the past month) and at least 2 for intensity (i.e., moderate intensity). A total severity score of 45 or more is considered indicative of clinically relevant PTSD⁶¹. Assessors were trained on the CAPS with the standardized training video developed by the Department of Veterans Affairs⁶², and had their assessments reviewed by an experienced psychometrician. If participants did not report at least one symptom in each cluster (re-experiencing, hypervigilance, and avoidance), they were classified as “subclinical”.

Structured Clinical Interview for the DSM-IV-TR (SCID-I): Current depression and history of major depressive episodes were assessed with the mood disorders module of the SCID-I⁶³. Assessors were trained according to the training sequence recommended by developers of the SCID (<http://bit.ly/XoR9G3>), and had their assessments periodically reviewed by the same psychometrician. Participants were classified as “subclinical” if they met criterion A (depressed mood or anhedonia) but not criterion B (at least five additional symptoms of depression).

Beck Depression Inventory – II (BDI-II): Symptoms of depression experienced in the past two weeks were assessed with the BDI –II⁶⁴, a widely-used and extensively validated 21-item questionnaire. Scores on the BDI-II can reliably distinguish psychiatric patients from non-depressed controls as well as patients with dysthymia from patients with major depression⁶⁵. Scores from 0–13 indicate no to minimal depression; 14–19 indicate mild depression; 20–28 indicate moderate depression; and 29–63 indicate severe depression⁶⁵.

Other measures

Demographics: A brief demographics questionnaire assessed age, race and ethnicity, sexual orientation and identity, level of education and family income, and type and duration of current romantic relationship.

Analytic methods

Dichotomous variables (diagnosis of sexual dysfunction)—To model our dichotomous outcome data, we used Cox proportional hazards regressions. The Cox proportional hazards regression tested the incidence of recovery from a sexual dysfunction diagnosis, time until recovery, and whether incidence and time of recovery differed significantly between experimental conditions.

Continuous variables (symptoms of depression and PTSD)—Given the data non-independence of residuals inherent in longitudinal data, we performed analyses on our continuous outcome variables using multilevel Growth Curve Modeling (GCM), available in the hierarchical linear modeling (HLM) computer program (HLMwin v. 6.08⁶⁶). GCM allowed us to test A) whether variables changed over time on average within a sample, B) the shape of the average growth rate, C) whether there was inter-individual variation in the shape, strength, or direction of growth rates, and D) whether experimental condition accounted for significant variance in growth rates. We tested for both quadratic and cubic rates of change to capture a number of potential patterns, including improvement from pre-treatment to post-treatment with subsequent relapse (single, quadratic curve) and improvement from pre-treatment to post-treatment with maintenance over follow-up (two-curved, cubic function). For more information about the construction of our models, see <http://bit.ly/wKzXT8>.

Results

Recovery from sexual dysfunction

We first examined the rate of recovery from clinical diagnoses of sexual dysfunction (HSDD, FSAD, and/or FOD; see Table 2). Thirty-six participants met criteria for HSDD at intake and, over the course of the study, 15 of these participants experienced recovery (no longer met criteria for HSDD as determined by a study clinician). Condition was a significant predictor of time until recovery ($z=2.11$, $p<.05$; $R^2 = .13$). Participants in the Schema condition tended to recover more quickly than participants in the Trauma condition (see Figure 2).

Forty-seven participants met criteria for FSAD at intake and 21 of these participants women recovered at some point in the study. Condition was a significant predictor of time until recovery ($z=2.00$, $p<.05$; $R^2 = .09$). Again, participants in the Schema condition tended to recover more quickly than participants in the Trauma condition (see Figure 3). Fifty-six participants met criteria for FOD at intake and, of these, 25 women recovered at some point in the study. Condition was not a significant predictor of time until recovery ($z=-.56$, ns).

Change in depression and PTSD

We also tested whether depression and PTSD changed on average over time. For depression, we found significant linear and quadratic growth, indicating depression improved from pre-treatment to post-treatment, but that there was a slight worsening of symptoms over follow-up. Treatment condition did not significantly predict post-treatment scores, or rates of change.

For PTSD symptoms, we found significant linear, quadratic, and cubic change and (see Figure 4). This pattern suggests that, on average, PTSD symptoms decreased from pre-treatment to post-treatment, and that this improvement was maintained over follow-up. Again, treatment condition did not significantly predict scores or rates of change.

Discussion

Findings from the present study suggest that expressive writing was effective in reducing symptoms of depression, PTSD, and sexual dysfunction in women with a history of CSA. Writing focused on sexual schema was associated with a higher likelihood of, and faster time to, recovery from HSDD and FSAD, but not FOD. Each of these findings is discussed separately below.

In contrast to the findings of Batten et al.⁴⁷, we found that five sessions of writing for 30 minutes was associated with improvements in depression in adult survivors of CSA. There are several possible explanations for this contrast. First, we spaced out sessions of writing over the course of several weeks, as opposed to daily sessions as in the protocol of Batten et al.⁴⁷. It is likely that participants need time to process and incorporate changes in their belief systems into their daily lives, a fact which is reflected in the finding that longer time between writing sessions is associated with greater improvements⁴⁰. We also conducted a longer-term follow-up (6 months) than did Batten et al. (12 weeks); many of the improvements observed in the current study appeared or were significantly maintained during the later follow-up assessments. Finally, the participants in the Batten et al. study were not treatment seeking, and thus may have lacked motivation for change.

As in several other trials⁴¹, we found that expressive writing was associated with reduced PTSD symptoms. Novel to this study, we saw this improvement continue well into a long-term follow-up with a CSA population. Several studies that documented no effect of expressive writing on PTSD used undergraduate student samples, not clinical populations⁶⁷. PTSD has been termed a “disorder of recovery” because the difference between normative and pathological responses to trauma lay not in the symptoms (e.g., hypervigilance) but the lack of resolution of those symptoms⁶⁸. By definition, PTSD is resistant to spontaneous improvement. On average, participants in our sample presented with sub-clinical PTSD. However, for the most part, these women had been experiencing significant levels of symptoms since childhood, and it is notable that such resistant symptoms were reduced to the non-clinical range and remained in remission at a 6 month follow-up.

It is possible that the key to reducing resistant symptoms lay in the unique combination of disclosure and privacy afforded by the expressive writing paradigm. Women in the present study were given absolute choice as to their level of disclosure to the study clinicians, which many reported made it easier to engage more fully in the treatment. Indeed, one study of expressive writing in which trauma survivors were required to read their writing to others in a group setting showed a *worsening* of PTSD symptoms⁶⁹. Moreover, writing allowed women to have control over the pace and intensity of the treatment, a feature that is especially important to CSA survivors.

It is especially interesting that the sexual schema condition, which had an ostensibly more specialized focus, produced similar decreases in both depression and PTSD as did the trauma condition. This suggests that the impact of expressive writing on maladaptive schema generalized to schema associated with depression and PTSD, despite the constraint to focus on *sexual* schema. In other words, focusing on sexual schema may have allowed women to both process sexual issues *and* learn skills (e.g., challenging maladaptive cognitions) that then transferred to more general psychopathology. It is also possible that for

this population, depression and PTSD are integrally linked to sexual schema. Focusing on sexuality, thus, may have led to changes in psychopathology. While it has been suggested that putting constraints on the topic or directing a focus for expressive writing may distract participants from expressing themselves freely³⁴, certain constraints such as writing about the same trauma during each writing session rather than different traumas each time have been shown to improve long-term gains⁷⁰. Certainly in our study, writing about sexual schema did not reduce the general mental health benefits that were also seen in the traditional trauma-focused writing, but also conferred additional benefits in improving sexual function. It would be helpful to compare specific prompts to free writing in future studies.

While both writing groups improved in sexual function, greater gains were seen in the sexual schema condition. Because their sexual trauma happened so early in life, survivors of CSA must not only resolve the traumatic memory itself, but the contingent effect on the rest of their sexual development. It is likely that women in the sexual schema condition were better able to focus on the whole of their sexual identity and belief system, *including* how the trauma impacted them, than those in the trauma condition who considered *only* how the trauma impacted them. Also, our findings suggest that changes in sexual well being were not entirely dependent on changes in depression and PTSD, as both treatment groups showed similar changes in psychopathology but differential changes in sexual function,

To our knowledge, only one other psychological treatment has been shown to improve sexual function in women with a history of CSA²⁰. In the case of Brotto et al., post-hoc follow-ups revealed that women with a history of CSA benefitted more from a mindfulness-based educational group than women without such histories. Given this trend, it is possible that expressive writing may also have a lesser effect on sexual dysfunction in women without a history of childhood sexual abuse than that seen in the present study. However, as effects of expressive writing are stronger in physical than psychological health outcomes³⁹, it is possible that effects on sexual function in a population without psychological distress would be comparable.

The present study had limitations that, if addressed in future studies, may lead to an even greater understanding of the generalizability and mechanisms of action of the expressive writing treatments. We did not study men with CSA histories; however, as meta-analyses on expressive writing paradigms suggest that the beneficial effects on health are greater for men than for women⁴⁰, we would expect the effects of the treatments to be even larger for male CSA survivors. For ethical reasons, the recruitment materials for the present study explicitly stated this was a treatment study for survivors of CSA. There is some evidence that the nature of sexual problems differ for women who have experienced abusive situations but do not identify themselves as having been abused versus those who identify as survivors⁴. As such, we do not know if the present findings would extend to a population that does not identify as abused. Similarly, the findings presented here may not apply to a different population that that studied, which was on average white, college-educated, and partnered. The present study used a therapist-guided approach, in which patients had the option of exploring what they wrote with an empathic but objective observer; it would be useful to see if writing with no therapist contact would confer the same results. If so, it would be simple to integrate expressive writing instructions into education provided to CSA survivors by primary care facilities – that is, the most common settings in which women's sexual health concerns are first addressed⁷¹

Expressive writing is a treatment that is very easy to conduct, easily accessible, generally acceptable and intuitive to most patients, and cost-effective as it requires minimal trained personnel to administer. The present study suggests that it may also confer unique benefits

to women with a history of CSA: namely, it appears to improve sexual function in this population.

Acknowledgments

This research was supported by Grant Number 1 RO1 HD051676 from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD) to Cindy M. Meston. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NICHD.

References

1. Leonard LM, Follette VM. Sexual functioning in women reporting a history of child sexual abuse: Review of the empirical literature and clinical implications. *Annu Rev Sex Res.* 2002; 13:346–88. [PubMed: 12836736]
2. Kinzl JF, Traweger C, Biebl W. Sexual dysfunctions: Relationship to childhood sexual abuse and early family experiences in a nonclinical sample. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1995; 19:785–92. [PubMed: 7583734]
3. Sarwer DB, Durlak JA. Childhood sexual abuse as a predictor of adult female sexual dysfunction: A study of couples seeking sex therapy. *Child Abuse Negl.* 1996; 20:963–72. [PubMed: 8902293]
4. Rellini AH, Meston CM. Sexual function and satisfaction in adults based on the definition of child sexual abuse. *J Sex Med.* 2007; 4:1312–21. [PubMed: 17727351]
5. Nelson EC, Heath AC, Madden PAF, et al. Association between self-reported childhood sexual abuse and adverse psychosocial outcomes. *Arch Gen Psychiatry.* 2002; 59:139–45. [PubMed: 11825135]
6. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Child Maltreatment 2009.* Washington, D.C: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Administration for Children and Families, Administration on Children, Youth and Families, Children's Bureau; 2010. p. vii-x.
7. Beck JJ, Bekker MD, van Driel MF, et al. Prevalence of sexual abuse among patients seeking general urological care. *J Sex Med.* 2011; 8:2733–38. [PubMed: 21810180]
8. Maltz W. Sexual healing from sexual abuse. *Siecus Report.* 2000; 29:17–23.
9. Berman LA, Berman JR, Bruck D, Pawar RV, Goldstein I. Pharmacotherapy or psychotherapy?: Effective treatment for FSD related to unresolved childhood sexual abuse. *J Sex Marital Ther.* 2001; 27:421–25. [PubMed: 11554202]
10. Maltz W. Treating the sexual intimacy concerns of sexual abuse survivors. *Sexual and Relationship Therapy.* 2002; 17:321–27.
11. Harrop-Griffith J, Katon W, Walker E, Holm L, Russo J, Hickok L. The association between chronic pelvic pain, psychiatric diagnoses, and childhood sexual abuse. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1988; 71:589–94. [PubMed: 2965326]
12. Noll JG, Trickett PK, Putnam FW. A prospective investigation of the impact of childhood sexual abuse on the development of sexuality. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2003; 71:575–86. [PubMed: 12795580]
13. Maniglio R. The impact of child sexual abuse on health: A systematic review of reviews. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2009; 29:647–57. [PubMed: 19733950]
14. Davis JL, Petretic-Jackson PA, Ting L. Intimacy dysfunction and trauma symptomatology: Long-term correlates of different types of child abuse. *J Trauma Stress.* 2001; 14:63–79.
15. Rieckert J, Möller AT. Rational-emotive behavior therapy in the treatment of adult victims of childhood sexual abuse. *Journal of Rational-Emotive & Cognitive-Behavior Therapy.* 2000; 18:87–101.
16. Follette VM. Marital therapy for sexual abuse survivors. *New Dir Ment Health Serv.* 1991; 1991:61–71. [PubMed: 1956364]
17. Classen CC, Palesh OG, Cavanaugh CE, et al. A comparison of trauma-focused and present-focused group therapy for survivors of childhood sexual abuse: A randomized controlled trial. *Psychological Trauma: Theory, Research, Practice, and Policy.* 2011; 3:84–93.

18. Hazzard A, Rogers JH, Angert L. Factors affecting group therapy outcome for adult sexual abuse survivors. *Int J Group Psychother.* 1993; 43:453–68. [PubMed: 8244597]
19. Hébert M, Bergeron M. Efficacy of a group intervention for adult women survivors of sexual abuse. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse.* 2007; 16:37–61. [PubMed: 18032245]
20. Brotto L, Basson R, Luria M. A mindfulness based group psychoeducational intervention targeting sexual arousal disorder in women. *J Sex Med.* 2008; 5:1646–59. [PubMed: 18507718]
21. Andersen BL, Cyranowski JM. Women's sexual self-schema. *J Pers Soc Psychol.* 1994; 67:1079–100.
22. Catlin G, Epstein S. Unforgettable experiences: The relation of life events to basic beliefs about self and world. *Social Cognition.* 1992; 10:189–209.
23. Rellini AH. Review of the empirical evidence for a theoretical model to understand the sexual problems of women with a history of CSA. *J Sex Med.* 2008; 5:31–46. [PubMed: 18069994]
24. Impett EA, Tolman DL. Late adolescent girls' sexual experiences and sexual satisfaction. *Journal of Adolescent Research.* 2006; 21:628–46.
25. Gates E, Galask R. Psychological and sexual functioning in women with vulvar vestibulitis. *Journal of Psychosomatic Obstetrics & Gynecology.* 2001; 22:221–28. [PubMed: 11840576]
26. Cyranowski JM, Aarestad SL, Andersen BL. The role of sexual self-schema in a diathesis-stress model of sexual dysfunction. *Applied and Preventive Psychology.* 1999; 8:217–28. [PubMed: 19587834]
27. Meston CM, Rellini AH, Heiman JR. Women's history of sexual abuse, their sexuality, and sexual self-schemas. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2006; 74:229–36. [PubMed: 16649867]
28. Lorenz T, Meston CM. Associations among childhood sexual abuse, language use, and adult sexual functioning and satisfaction. *Child Abuse Negl.* 2012; 36:190–99. [PubMed: 22387124]
29. Rellini AH, Meston CM. Sexual desire and linguistic analysis: a comparison of sexually-abused and non-abused women. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2007; 36:67–77. [PubMed: 17136590]
30. Rellini AH, David A, Meston CM. Implicit and explicit cognitive sexual processes in survivors of childhood sexual abuse. *J Sex Med.* 2011; 8:3098–107. [PubMed: 21679307]
31. Niehaus AF, Jackson J, Davies S. Sexual self-schemas of female child sexual abuse survivors: Relationships with risky sexual behavior and sexual assault in adolescence. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2010; 39:1359–74. [PubMed: 20229148]
32. Reissing E, Binik Y, Khalife S, Cohen D, Amsel R. Etiological correlates of vaginismus: Sexual and physical abuse, sexual knowledge, sexual self-schema, and relationship adjustment. *J Sex Marital Ther.* 2003; 29:47–59. [PubMed: 12519667]
33. Lemieux SR, Byers ES. The sexual well-being of women who have experienced child sexual abuse. *Psychology of Women Quarterly.* 2008; 32:126–44.
34. Pennebaker, JW.; Chung, CK. Expressive writing: Connections to physical and mental health. In: Friedman, HS., editor. *Oxford handbook of health psychology.* New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2011.
35. Park CL, Blumberg CJ. Disclosing trauma through writing: Testing the meaning-making hypothesis. *Cognitive Therapy and Research.* 2002; 26:597–616.
36. O'Connor DB, Hurling R, Hendrickx H, et al. Effects of written emotional disclosure on implicit self esteem and body image. *British Journal of Health Psychology.* 2011; 16:488–501. [PubMed: 21722272]
37. Cameron LD, Nicholls G. Expression of stressful experiences through writing: Effects of a self-regulation manipulation for pessimists and optimists. *Health Psychol.* 1998; 17:84–92. [PubMed: 9459075]
38. Lewis RJ, Derlega VJ, Clarke EG, Kuang JC, Jacobs AM, McElligott MD. An expressive writing intervention to cope with lesbian-related stress: The moderating effects of openness about sexual orientation. *Psychology of Women Quarterly.* 2005; 29:149–57.
39. Frattaroli J. Experimental disclosure and its moderators: A meta-analysis. *Psychol Bull.* 2006; 132:823–65. [PubMed: 17073523]
40. Smyth JM. Written emotional expression: Effect sizes, outcome types, and moderating variables. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 1998; 66:174–84. [PubMed: 9489272]

41. Sloan DM, Marx BP. A closer examination of the structured written disclosure procedure. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2004; 72:165–75. [PubMed: 15065952]
42. Ullrich PM, Lutgendorf SK. Journaling about stressful events: Effects of cognitive processing and emotional expression. *nn Behav Med.* 2002; 24:244–50.
43. Klein K, Boals A. Expressive writing can increase working memory capacity. *J Exp Psychol Gen.* 2001; 130:520–33. [PubMed: 11561925]
44. Graybeal A, Sexton JD, Pennebaker JW. The role of story-making in disclosure writing: The psychometrics of narrative. *Psychology and Health.* 2002; 17:571–81.
45. Resick PA, Galovski TE, Uhlmansiek MOB, Scher CD, Clum GA, Young-Xu Y. A randomized clinical trial to dismantle components of cognitive processing therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder in female victims of interpersonal violence. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2008; 76:243–58. [PubMed: 18377121]
46. Kearns MC, Edwards KM, Calhoun KS, Gidycz CA. Disclosure of sexual victimization: The effects of Pennebaker's emotional disclosure paradigm on physical and psychological distress. *Journal of Trauma & Dissociation.* 2010; 11:193–209. [PubMed: 20373206]
47. Batten SV, Follette VM, Rasmussen Hall ML, Palm KM. Physical and psychological effects of written disclosure among sexual abuse survivors. *Behavior Therapy.* 2003; 33:107–22.
48. Koopman C, Ismailji T, Holmes D, Classen CC, Palesh O, Wales T. The effects of expressive writing on pain, depression and posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in survivors of intimate partner violence. *Journal of Health Psychology.* 2005; 10:211–21. [PubMed: 15723891]
49. Esterling BA, Antoni MH, Fletcher MA, Margulies S, Schneiderman N. Emotional disclosure through writing or speaking modulates latent Epstein-Barr virus antibody titers. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 1994; 62:130–40. [PubMed: 8034815]
50. Pennebaker JW. Theories, therapies, and taxpayers: On the complexities of the expressive writing paradigm. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice.* 2004; 11:138–42.
51. Lange A, Rietdijk D, Hudcovicova M, Van De Ven JP, Schrieken B, Emmelkamp PMG. Interapy: A controlled randomized trial of the standardized treatment of posttraumatic stress through the internet. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2003; 71:901–09. [PubMed: 14516238]
52. Harte CB, Hamilton LD, Meston CM. The effects of an expressive writing intervention for adult women survivors of childhood sexual abuse: Comparisons between completers and dropouts. *Journal of Child Sexual Abuse.* in press.
53. Lorenz T, Pulverman C, Meston CM. Sudden gains during patient-directed expressive writing treatment predicts depression reduction in women with history of childhood sexual abuse. *Cognitive Therapy and Research.* in press.
54. La Vaque T, Rossiter T. The ethical use of placebo controls in clinical research: The Declaration of Helsinki. *Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback.* 2001; 26:23–37. [PubMed: 11387859]
55. Resick, PA.; Schnicke, MK. Cognitive processing therapy for rape victims: A treatment manual. Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications; 1993.
56. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4. Washington, DC: Author; 2000. Revised
57. Meston CM, Rellini AH, McCall K. The sensitivity of continuous laboratory measures of physiological and subjective sexual arousal for diagnosing women with Sexual Arousal Disorder. *J Sex Med.* 2010; 7:938–50. [PubMed: 20487501]
58. Meston CM, Rellini AH, Telch MJ. Short-and long-term effects of Ginkgo biloba extract on sexual dysfunction in women. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2008; 37:530–47. [PubMed: 18274887]
59. Seal BN, Meston CM. The impact of body awareness on sexual arousal in women with sexual dysfunction. *J Sex Med.* 2007; 4:990–1000. [PubMed: 17627744]
60. Blake D, Weathers F, Nagy L, et al. A clinician rating scale for assessing current and lifetime PTSD: The CAPS-1. *Behavior Therapist.* 1990; 13:187–88.
61. Weathers FW, Ruscio AM, Keane TM. Psychometric properties of nine scoring rules for the Clinician-Administered Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Scale. *Psychological Assessment.* 1999; 11:124–33.
62. Department of Veterans Affairs. Development DoVAHSRa. Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) for DSM-IV. Washington, DC: Department of Veterans Affairs; 2001.

63. First, M.; Spitzer, R.; Gibbon, M.; Williams, J. Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV-TR axis I disorders, research version, patient edition (SCID-I/P). New York: Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute; 2002.
64. Beck, AT.; Steer, RA.; Brown, GK. Manual for the BDI-II. San Antonio, TX: Psychological Corporation; 1996.
65. Beck AT, Steer RA, Carbin MG. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory: Twenty-five years of evaluation. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 1988; 8:77–100.
66. Raudenbush, SW.; Bryk, AS.; Cheong, YF.; Congdon, RT. HLM 6: Hierarchical linear and nonlinear modeling. 6.08. Chicago, IL: Scientific Software International; 2004.
67. Sloan DM, Marx BP, Greenberg EM. A test of written emotional disclosure as an intervention for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behav Res Ther.* 2011; 49:299–304. [PubMed: 21367400]
68. Shalev, A. PTSD: A disorder of recovery. In: Kirmayer, L.; Lemelson, R.; Barad, M., editors. *Understanding Trauma: Biological, Psychological and Cultural Perspectives*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press; 2007. p. 207-23.
69. Gidron Y, Peri T, Connolly JF, Shalev AY. Written emotional disclosure in posttraumatic stress disorder: Is it beneficial for the patient? *J Nerv Ment Dis.* 1996; 184:505–07. [PubMed: 8752081]
70. Sloan DM, Marx BP, Epstein EM. Further examination of the exposure model underlying the efficacy of written emotional disclosure. *J Consult Clin Psychol.* 2005; 73:549–54. [PubMed: 15982152]
71. Mercer CH, Fenton KA, Johnson AM, et al. Sexual function problems and help seeking behaviour in Britain: National probability sample survey. *BMJ.* 2003; 327:426. [PubMed: 12933730]

Figure 1.
Participant flowchart.

Figure 2.
Proportion of participants (by condition) meeting criteria for Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder (HSDD) at intake *not* recovered.

Figure 3.
Proportion of participants (by condition) meeting criteria for Female Sexual Arousal Disorder (FSAD) at intake *not* recovered.

Figure 4.
Change in depression symptoms (BDI) and Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder symptoms (CAPS) over time.

Table 1

Participant characteristics in final sample

Categorical Variables	n	%
Education		
Less than high school/GED	3	3.3
Completed high school/GED	13	14.3
Some college/college degree	59	64.8
Advanced degree	12	13.2
Data missing	4	4.4
Relationship Status		
Single, not dating	12	13.2
Single, dating	10	10.9
In a committed relationship	38	41.8
Married	26	28.6
Data missing	5	5.5
Ethnicity		
Caucasian	58	63.7
Hispanic/Latina	21	23.1
African-American/Black	6	6.6
Asian-American	4	4.4
Other	7	7.7
Data Missing	4	4.4
Current Diagnoses		
Depression		
Yes	15	16.5
Subclinical	3	3.3
No	66	72.5
Data Missing	1	1.1
PTSD		
Yes	19	20.9
Subclinical	17	18.7
No	47	51.6
Data Missing	2	2.2
Abuser Relationship		
Family	54	59.3
Non-family	31	34.1
Data missing	6	6.6
Use of psychotropic medications		
No medication reported	65	71.4
Antidepressant(s) only	7	7.7
Antidepressant(s) and other psychoactive medications	12	13.2
Other psychoactive medication (e.g., sleep aids)	7	7.7

Categorical Variables	n	%
Continuous Variables	<i>M</i>	SD
Sexual Orientation	2.52	1.854
Age	33.7	10.294

Note: The sexual orientation scale is scored such that 0 indicates exclusive heterosexual attraction and behavior and 6 indicates exclusive homosexual attraction and behavior. This mean indicates most of the sample indicated predominantly heterosexual attraction but at least some homosexual experience or interest. Approximately 32% reported a 0, or exclusively heterosexual attraction and experience, while 2% reported a 6, or exclusively homosexual attraction and experience.

Table 2

Number of participants meeting criteria for sexual dysfunction across time points

	Pre-treatment	Post-treatment	2 week followup	One month followup	Six month followup	Total recovered
Hypoactive Sexual Desire Disorder						
Schema	18	11	9	8	8	56%
Trauma	18	15	14	14	14	22%
Female Sexual Arousal Disorder						
Schema	24	18	11	11	11	54%
Trauma	23	20	20	17	17	26%
Female Orgasmic Disorder						
Schema	29	22	21	20	20	31%
Trauma	27	20	15	15	15	44%