The Effect of Rituximab on Vaccine Responses in Patients with Immune Thrombocytopenia

Ishac Nazi¹, John G. Kelton¹, Mark Larché¹, Denis P. Snider², Nancy M. Heddle¹, Mark A. Crowther¹, Richard J. Cook³, Alan T. Tinmouth^{4,5,6}, Joy Mangel⁷, and Donald M. Arnold^{1,8}

¹Department of Medicine, Michael G. DeGroote School of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

²Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, Michael G. DeGroote School of Medicine, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON

³Department of Statistics and Actuarial Science, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON

⁴Department of Medicine, Ottawa Hospital and University of Ottawa, ON

⁵Scientist, University of Ottawa Centre for Transfusion, Ottawa Hospital Research Institute, ON

⁶Canadian Blood Services, Ottawa, ON

⁷Department of Medicine, Schulich School of Medicine, University of Western Ontario, London, ON

⁸Canadian Blood Services, Hamilton, ON

Abstract

B-cell depletion therapy may impair vaccine responses and increase infection risk in patients with immune thrombocytopenia (ITP). Capitalizing on a multicenter randomized placebo-controlled trial, we investigated the effects of rituximab on the antibody and cellular responses to *Streptococcus pneumoniae* polysaccharide vaccine and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) conjugate vaccine in ITP patients. Of 60 patients in the main trial, 24 patients received both vaccines 6 months after rituximab (n=17) or placebo (n=7). Among 20 evaluable patients, 3/14 (21%) in the rituximab group and 4/6 (67%) in the placebo group achieved a 4-fold increase in anti-pneumococcal antibodies ($p=0.12$). For anti-Hib antibodies, 4/14 (29%) and 5/6 (83%), respectively, achieved a 4-fold increase ($p<0.05$). Fewer patients in the rituximab group demonstrated functional Hib killing (2/14 [14%] versus 5/6 [83%], $p<0.05$). Three of 14

Correspondence: Donald M. Arnold MD, MSc, FRCP(C), HSC 3V-50, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8N 4K1, Tel: (905) 521-2100 X76305, Fax: (905) 521-4971, arnold@mcmaster.ca.

Authorships

Contributions: I.N. designed and performed the research, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript; J.G.K., M.L. and D.P.S. designed the research, analyzed the data and edited and approved the manuscript; N.H.M., M.A.C., and R.J.C. conceived the research, analyzed the data and edited and approved the manuscript; and D.M.A. conceived the research, designed the research, analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript. A.T. and J.M. collected the data and edited and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest disclosure: D.M.A. obtained research funding for investigator-initiated studies in ITP from Amgen, GlaxoSmithKline and Hoffman-LaRoche, and has been a member of advisory boards for Amgen and GSK. J.G.K. has received research funding from Roche and Amgen and served as an advisory board member and chair for Amgen and GlaxoSmithKline. M.L. is founder, shareholder, and consultant for Circassia Ltd, scientific founder and consultant for Adiga Life Sciences and a consultant for Sanofi. The remaining authors declare no competing financial interests.

rituximab-treated patients failed to respond to vaccines by any criteria. After vaccinations, pre-plasma cell blasts and interferon- γ secreting T-cells were reduced in rituximab-treated patients. We found that antibody responses were impaired for at least 6 months after rituximab. Cellular immunity was reduced in parallel with the depleted B-cell pool. These findings have implications for the timing of vaccinations and the mechanism of infection after rituximab in patients with ITP.

Introduction

Immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) is an autoimmune disorder characterized by anti-platelet autoantibodies, thrombocytopenia and bleeding. Approximately 80% of adult patients will develop a chronic form of ITP and many will require treatment; but oftentimes, the disease runs a benign course. B-cell depletion therapy with the monoclonal anti-CD20 antibody rituximab, is commonly used to induce remission in patients with ITP.^{1,2} In a systematic review, we reported that rituximab was associated with an initial overall response rate of approximately 60% and a median response duration of 10 months. Additional observational data suggest that long term remissions occur in approximately 20% of patients.^{3–5} Our review also identified a potential signal of harm with rituximab: Of 303 treated patients in published reports, 7 (2.3%) developed serious infections 4 of which were fatal.

Many patients with ITP including those who fail rituximab will ultimately require splenectomy, which improves platelet counts in 60 – 70% of patients.⁶ Splenectomy itself poses a risk of infection, mainly with encapsulated bacteria; thus, vaccination against *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) and *Neisseria meningitidis* are recommended for all patients before splenectomy.^{7,8} Immune responses to these vaccines may be impaired in patients who have previously been exposed to rituximab because of its effect on antibody-producing cells.^{9–17} This raises particular concerns for ITP patients who have received rituximab and who eventually require splenectomy since the protection from pre-splenectomy vaccines may be compromised.

We designed a sub-study of a multicentered, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of non-splenectomized patients with ITP to determine the impact of rituximab on vaccine responses. All participants received the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine and the Hib conjugate vaccine 6 months after rituximab or placebo infusions. We measured antibody responses after vaccinations and correlated the results with the frequency of T- and B-cell subsets. We found significant impairments in the ability of rituximab-treated patients to mount a specific antibody response with some patients demonstrating altered T-cell function. The data may explain how rituximab may predispose to infection, and provide a rationale for appropriate timing of vaccinations in this patient group.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a prospective cohort study in a subgroup of patients who were enrolled in a multicentered, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of rituximab for non-splenectomized patients with ITP receiving standard treatment.⁴ Three of 7 centers in

Canada participated in this substudy. Six months after rituximab or placebo infusions, patients received both the 23-valent pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (Pneumovax-23®, Merck) and the Hib conjugate vaccine (ActHIB®, Aventis). The pneumococcal vaccine was administered by subcutaneous injection and contained a mixture of purified pneumococcal capsular polysaccharides from the 23 most common serotypes associated with invasive pneumococcal infection. The Hib vaccine was administered by intramuscular injection and contained the capsular polysaccharide polyribosyl-ribitol phosphate antigen, the major virulence factor, conjugated to tetanus toxoid. Blood samples were collected immediately before rituximab or placebo infusion, immediately before vaccinations (6 months after rituximab), and 1 week, 4 weeks, and 6 months after vaccinations. Serum samples were frozen and batched for antibody testing. Whole blood samples for B and T-cell quantification were shipped fresh to the central laboratory for immediate processing and testing.

Patients

Patients who were eligible for the main trial were adults with ITP who had a platelet count below $30 \times 10^9/L$ and required treatment.⁴ Exclusions were secondary causes of thrombocytopenia, splenectomy, previous rituximab treatment, significant cardiac or pulmonary disease, active infection or known allergies to vaccines. Patients provided separate consent to participate in the vaccine sub-study in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, which was approved by the research ethics board at each participating site.

Antibody response to vaccines

The antibody response to vaccines was measured using quantitative and functional methods. We measured anti-pneumococcal capsular polysaccharide antibodies (specific to *S. pneumoniae*) and anti-polyribosyl-ribitol phosphate antibodies (specific to Hib) by ELISA (The Binding Site, Birmingham, UK: VaccZyme Anti-PCP IgG Enzyme Immunoassay; and VaccZyme Human Anti-Hib Enzyme Immunoassay). IgG-specific anti-pneumococcal antibodies were directed against a mixture of the capsular polysaccharide serotypes 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6B, 7F, 8, 9N, 9V, 10A, 11A, 12F, 14, 15B, 17F, 18C, 19F, 19A, 20, 22F, 23F, and 33F, which are contained in the 23-valent vaccine. Interfering antibodies against the pneumococcal cellular antigen were absorbed in a pre-incubation step. Samples were added to pre-coated wells, incubated for 30 minutes and washed. Specific antibodies were detected using a colorimetric substrate detected at 450 nm.

A serum bactericidal assay was used to assess the functional effect of anti-Hib antibodies on bacterial growth.¹⁸ For the test, 2-fold serial dilutions of test sera were incubated with 10,000 bacterial cells per well of Hib (strain GB3292) with 10 μ L of Hanks buffer with Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (Life Technologies, Grand Island, N.Y.), 2% Fildes enrichment (BBL, Becton Dickinson and Co., Sparks, MD) and complement (sterile serum from 3- to 4-week-old baby rabbits Pel-Freez, Rogers, AR). Bactericidal activity was assessed using Alamarblue, a fluorescent metabolic indicator (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY). Bactericidal titers were reported as the reciprocal of the serum dilution capable of killing 50% of bacteria cells compared to complement controls.

An adequate response to either the pneumococcal vaccine or the Hib vaccine was defined according to previously established criteria, as a 4-fold increase in antibody concentration from baseline within the first month after vaccination.¹⁹ For Hib, a specific IgG titer of 1 µg/mL or higher was considered protective.²⁰ For the Hib bactericidal assay, a 4-fold increase in bacterial killing within the first month after vaccination was considered an adequate response.²¹

B-cell subsets and T-cell function in response to vaccines

Total B-cells, B-cell subsets and T-cells were quantified by flow cytometry and reported as proportions of CD19⁺ B-cells and CD3⁺ T-cells among CD45⁺ leukocytes in whole blood. Subsets of naïve B-cells (CD19⁺ CD27⁻), resting memory B-cells (CD19⁺ CD27⁺ CD38^{-/low}) and pre-plasma cell blasts (CD19^{low} CD27^{hi} CD38^{hi}) were determined by 3-colour flow cytometry, as a proportion of peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) isolated from whole blood by density gradient centrifugation. We calculated absolute pre-plasma cell blasts from the proportion of total CD19⁺ B-cells and total lymphocyte count.

A human interferon- γ (IFN- γ) ELISPOT assay was used to measure the frequency of tetanus toxoid-specific T-cells (Mabtech, Cincinnati, OH). PBMC were isolated from whole blood by density gradient centrifugation. B-cells were depleted from PBMC samples by automated positive magnetic selection with anti-CD19 nanobeads (RoboSep and EasySep CD19 positive selection kit StemCell Technologies, Vancouver, Canada) to normalize the number of antigen presenting cells in rituximab and placebo samples. PBMC, depleted of B-cells, were added to wells (5×10^5 /well) containing the recall antigen tetanus toxoid in RPMI media supplemented with 10% human serum, 100 IU/mL penicillin, 100 µg/mL streptomycin and 2 nM L-glutamine (Invitrogen, Burlington, Canada). Plates were incubated at 37°C, in a humidified environment containing 5% CO₂ for 48–64 hours prior to washing with PBS. Captured IFN- γ was detected with an enzyme-conjugated antibody and positive spots were developed with TMB substrate, enumerated (Bioreader 5000 ELISPOT reader; Bio-Sys GmbH, Karben, Germany) and expressed as spots per 5×10^5 PBMC.

Statistical Analysis

Descriptive statistics of continuous measurements included means, standard deviations, standard errors, medians and lower and upper quartiles. Comparisons of means between rituximab and placebo groups were performed using a two-sample t-test. The proportion of patients who achieved an adequate vaccine response (defined as a 4-fold increase in antibody titer or 4-fold increase in bactericidal activity) was compared between rituximab and placebo groups using Fisher's two-sided exact test and reported as the difference in proportions with 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Tests were considered statistically significant at the 5% level.

Results

Patient Demographics

Of the 60 patients (from 7 centers) enrolled in the main trial, 24 patients (from 3 centers) participated in this vaccine sub-study. Seventeen patients had received rituximab and 7

patients had received placebo (Table 1). Median age was 40 years, and 17/24 (71%) were females. At the time of vaccinations, median platelet count was $115 \times 10^9/L$ in the rituximab group and $42 \times 10^9/L$ in the placebo group. Three patients in the rituximab group had received other ITP treatments during the 4 weeks before vaccinations (intravenous immune globulin, n=1; corticosteroids, n=1; and danazol, n=1); and 1 patient in the placebo group had received danazol before vaccinations (Table 1).

Antibody response to vaccines

Antibody responses were assessed in 14 patients in the rituximab group, and 6 patients in the placebo group (4 patients were not evaluable because of missing baseline samples). Within 1 month of receiving the pneumococcal and Hib vaccines, 3/14 (21%) patients who had received rituximab 6 months earlier achieved a 4-fold increase in specific anti-pneumococcal antibody titers compared with 4/6 (67%) patients in the placebo group ($p=0.12$; difference in proportions=46%, 95% CI 2% – 89%). For anti-Hib, 4/14 (29%) patients in the rituximab group and 5/6 (83%) patients in the placebo group achieved a 4-fold increase in antibody titers ($p<0.05$; difference in proportions=54%, 95% CI 17% – 93%) (Figure 1).

Hib bactericidal activity was reduced for rituximab-treated patients compared with placebo especially in the first week after vaccination (Figure 2A). Two of 14 (14%) patients in the rituximab group achieved a 4-fold increase in bactericidal activity from baseline values compared with 5/6 (83%) patients in the placebo group ($p<0.05$; difference in proportions=69%, 95% CI 34% – 100%) (Figure 2B). Two patients in the rituximab group who had achieved a 4-fold increase in anti-Hib antibody titer by ELISA and who had antibody concentrations above the threshold that is considered protective (1 $\mu g/ml$) did not demonstrate bactericidal activity. In contrast, all patients in the placebo group who achieved a 4-fold increase in anti-Hib titers also demonstrated a significant increase in bactericidal activity. Results of antibody responses to pneumococcal and Hib vaccines did not change when the 4 patients who had received ITP therapy within 4 weeks before vaccination were removed from the analysis.

B-cell mobilization after vaccines

Enumeration of B- and T-cells was performed in all patients (rituximab, n=17; placebo, n=7). Peripheral blood CD19⁺ B-cells were rapidly depleted by rituximab and remained depleted 12 months after treatment; whereas CD3⁺ T-cell levels were unaffected (data not shown). Naïve B-cells (CD19⁺CD27⁻) were slightly reduced 1 month after rituximab and recovered to baseline levels by 1 year (Figure 3A). In contrast, resting memory B-cells (CD19⁺CD27⁺CD38^{-/low}) were significantly lower than baseline values after rituximab treatment and remained 80% depleted 1 year later ($p<0.05$) (Figure 3B). In rituximab-treated patients, pre-plasma cell blasts (CD19⁻CD27⁺CD38^{hi}) were at near-normal levels 6 months after the infusion (Figure 3C); however a high degree of between-patient variability was observed. Absolute numbers of pre-plasma cell blasts were evaluable in a subset of patients: 4 of 5 patients in the placebo group demonstrated a sharp burst 1 week after vaccination as expected;^{22,23} whereas, 1 of 4 evaluable patients in the rituximab group demonstrated a rise in pre-plasma cell blasts immediately after vaccination (Figure 4).

T-cell responses after vaccinations

Functional T-cell responses were assessed in 17 patients in the rituximab group and 6 patients in the placebo group (one patient on placebo could not be analyzed due to missing samples). Following vaccination, the mean number of IFN- γ producing T-cells was reduced in patients who had received rituximab compared with placebo at 1 week (38 cells versus 93 cells per 5×10^5 total cells) and 1 month (14 cells versus 43 cells per 5×10^5 total cells) (Figure 5).

Vaccine failures after rituximab

Three of 14 evaluable patients in the rituximab group failed to respond to both vaccines by all of the following established criteria: 1) They did not achieve a 4-fold increase in specific antibodies against *S. pneumoniae* and Hib; 2) the concentration of anti-Hib antibodies was below 'protective' levels after vaccinations; and 3) they did not demonstrate an increase in bactericidal activity against Hib. No vaccine failures were observed among the 6 evaluable patients on placebo.

Discussion

Rituximab results in a platelet count response in approximately 60% of ITP patients³ and 20% of patients will achieve long term remissions.⁵ The increasing use of rituximab in ITP, an autoimmune disorder that often runs a benign course, calls for an urgent need to better understand the potential harms in this patient population. Most concerning is the possibility of prolonged alterations in normal immunity, which may predispose to infection or impair vaccine responsiveness. This is the first analysis of the impact of rituximab on vaccine responses in patients with ITP. We found that specific antibody responses to both the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine (representing a T-cell independent response) and the Hib conjugate vaccine (representing a T-cell dependent response) were significantly impaired for at least 6 month after rituximab treatment. We also demonstrated a reduction in bacterial killing using a functional assay, which was often more pronounced than specific IgG levels. The dissociation between quantitative and functional immunity has been previously reported after Hib and *N. meningitidis* immunizations^{24–26} and may be attributable to differences in antibody avidity²⁶ or the presence of other isotypes, such as IgM. Immunophenotypic analysis indicated that, while pre-plasma cell blasts were relatively resistant to depletion by rituximab since they express weak or no CD20, the "burst" in pre-plasma cell blasts that is expected after vaccination^{22;23} was frequently lost.

Infection, although rare, is a serious concern with rituximab in patients with ITP³ and other autoimmune diseases.^{27,28} Rituximab has been associated with a risk of reactivation of latent viruses, especially active or occult hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection.^{29;30} In a study of 394 lymphoma patients with isolated hepatitis B core (HBc) antibody, the frequency of hepatitis B reactivation was 3 times higher in patients who received rituximab compared with standard chemotherapy (2.7% vs. 0.8%).³¹ HBV reactivation is characterized by rapid viral replication due to a loss of immune surveillance, and a loss of CD4⁺ T-helper and CD8⁺ cytotoxic T-cell activity.³² Rituximab has also been associated with rare cases of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy a lethal neurological condition caused by reactivation of

latent JC virus,^{33;34} which prompted a safety warning by the FDA. Our data may offer some insight into the mechanism of viral reactivation: We documented a significant impairment in T-cell function, which was likely the result of a reduced pool of antigen presenting B-cells.³⁵ An indirect effect of rituximab on T-cells is consistent with other data showing an improvement of T-cell dysregulation after treatment.^{36–38}

A significant proportion of adults with chronic ITP will eventually undergo splenectomy to control their disease^{39;40}. Splenectomy itself is associated with a risk of infection that is approximately 2.6-fold higher than non-splenectomized ITP patients in the first 90 days after splenectomy, and 1.4-fold higher beyond 1 year.⁴¹ In a pooled analysis of splenectomized ITP patients (n=484; median follow up for the entire cohort, 6.9 years), 2.1% developed invasive infection, and 1.2% died.⁴² Encapsulated bacteria have been implicated in overwhelming post-splenectomy infections,⁴⁰ thus routine vaccination against *S. pneumoniae*, *H. influenzae* and *N. meningitidis* is recommended before splenectomy.^{7;8} In longitudinal studies, vaccination generally resulted in a protective antibody response in most patients;⁴³ however, antibody levels are not routinely measured in practice. Splenectomized patients who have received rituximab may be at an even greater risk of infection because the protection afforded by vaccines may be compromised.

The impact of rituximab on the immune response to vaccination has been examined in patients with malignancy and rheumatic disease. In patients with lymphoma previously treated with high dose chemotherapy, rituximab was associated with an inadequate antibody response to pneumococcal vaccine but a preserved response to tetanus toxoid and Hib vaccine.⁴⁴ The addition of rituximab to chemotherapy in 11 patients with hematological malignancies resulted in a failure to respond to the H1N1 vaccine,¹⁴ and low rates of seroconversion were observed in 14 patients with lymphoid malignancies; however, responses were not significantly different from control patients who did not receive rituximab.⁴⁵ In one study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis (n=14), rituximab was not associated with an impaired antibody response to 2 of 3 influenza virus antigens even during profound B-cell depletion;¹⁵ whereas in another study (n=17) a poor response to the influenza vaccine was observed.¹⁰ Cellular immune responses to influenza vaccination was preserved in rituximab-treated patients with rheumatoid arthritis while humoral immunity was severely impaired.⁴⁶ In another report, both humoral and cellular responses were found to be compromised.⁴⁷ In summary, previous studies have focused on patients with underlying hematological malignancies who had received rituximab in addition to myelosuppressive chemotherapy^{14;17;44;45} or patients with rheumatoid arthritis^{10;11;15} in the context of biologic agents and other immunosuppressant medications. In general, these studies suggested that antibody responses were compromised. Ours is the first study to assess the impact of rituximab on immune responses in ITP controlling for disease stage and timing of rituximab exposure.

Strengths of this study were the controlled design, which took advantage of a prospective randomized trial, the use of complementary immunological methods and carefully controlled experiments. Small sample size was a limitation. This was due in part to the need for fresh samples for cell-based assays which were sometimes compromised by transit delays, and non-participation of some of the primary study centers due to resource constraints. The lack

of an established correlation between antibody titers and protective immunity^{24;26} limits the inferences of our findings on infection avoidance. Although no adverse events were observed in our study, a longer follow-up period would be required to determine the true risk of infection in patients who did not respond to vaccines.

In light of our findings, we provide the following recommendations: 1) For patients with ITP, vaccines for *S. pneumoniae*, *H. influenzae* and *N. meningitidis* should be administered before rituximab, in anticipation that some patients will go on to require splenectomy; 2) Patients should be counseled on the potential risk of vaccine unresponsiveness after rituximab; and 3) As with other patient groups,⁴⁸ ITP patients should be screened for active or occult HBV infection before receiving rituximab, given the alterations in cellular immunity and the risk of viral reactivation. Other investigators have made similar recommendations about vaccinations before rituximab in ITP⁴⁹ and rheumatic disease.⁵⁰

In summary, rituximab was associated with an impaired antibody response to pneumococcal and Hib vaccines during the period of B-cell depletion. Antibody levels against Hib did not indicate functional bactericidal activity for all patients. B-cell depletion resulted in a loss of the ‘burst’ in pre-plasma cells that is expected after vaccination, which may explain why specific antibody production was reduced. The overall reduction in antigen presenting cells may result in impaired T-cell function. Our findings provide insight into the mechanisms of humoral and cellular immune suppression caused by rituximab in patients with ITP.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Canadian Institutes for Health Research (CIHR Operating Grant #89897) and by Canadian Blood Services. The main trial was an investigator-initiated study led by D. Arnold from McMaster University and funded by Hoffmann-LaRoche (ClinicalTrials.gov #NCT00372892). The company had no role in the design, conduct or reporting of this study.

We are indebted to Rumi Clare, Diana Moffatt, Jane Moore, James Smith and Cheryl Kipling for their expert technical work on the assays. We thank Julie Carruthers for coordinating the multicentered study and Yang Liu for statistical assistance. We thank Dr. Romero-Steiner for providing the *H. influenzae* type B strain for our experiments. This study would not have been possible without the dedication of Lianna Butler, Ruth Cameron, Laura Meraw and Elizabeth Chatelain, site research coordinators; and the patients who participated in this study.

Reference List

1. Neunert C, Lim W, Crowther M, et al. The American Society of Hematology 2011 evidence-based practice guideline for immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 2011; (117):4190–4207. [PubMed: 21325604]
2. Cooper N, Arnold DM. The effect of rituximab on humoral and cell mediated immunity and infection in the treatment of autoimmune diseases. *Br J Haematol*. 2010; (49):3–13.
3. Arnold DM, Dentali F, Crowther MA, et al. Systematic review: efficacy and safety of rituximab for adults with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007; (146):25–33. [PubMed: 17200219]
4. Arnold DM, Heddle NM, Carruthers J, et al. A pilot randomized trial of adjuvant rituximab or placebo for nonsplenectomized patients with immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 2012; (119):1356–1362.
5. Patel VL, Mahevas M, Lee SY, et al. Outcomes 5 years after response to rituximab therapy in children and adults with immune thrombocytopenia. *Blood*. 2012; (119):5989–5995. [PubMed: 22566601]

6. Kojouri K, Vesely SK, Terrell DR, George JN. Splenectomy for adult patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura: a systematic review to assess long-term platelet count responses, prediction of response, and surgical complications. *Blood*. 2004; (104):2623–2634. [PubMed: 15217831]
7. National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI). Canadian immunization guide. 7. Ottawa, ON: Public Health Agency of Canada; 2006. Available from: <http://origin.phac-aspc.gc.ca/publicat/cig-gci/index-eng.php>
8. Davies JM, Lewis MP, Wimperis J, et al. Review of guidelines for the prevention and treatment of infection in patients with an absent or dysfunctional spleen: prepared on behalf of the British Committee for Standards in Haematology by a working party of the Haemato-Oncology task force. *Br J Haematol*. 2011; (155):308–317. [PubMed: 21988145]
9. de Lavallade H, Garland P, Sekine T, et al. Repeated vaccination is required to optimize seroprotection against H1N1 in the immunocompromised host. *Haematologica*. 2011; (96):307–314. [PubMed: 20971824]
10. Eisenberg RA, Jawad AF, Boyer J, et al. Rituximab-treated patients have a poor response to influenza vaccination. *J Clin Immunol*. 2013; (33):388–396. [PubMed: 23064976]
11. Gabay C, Bel M, Combescure C, et al. Impact of synthetic and biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs on antibody responses to the AS03-adjuvanted pandemic influenza vaccine: a prospective, open-label, parallel-cohort, single-center study. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2011; (63):1486–1496. [PubMed: 21384334]
12. Hottinger AF, George AC, Bel M, et al. A prospective study of the factors shaping antibody responses to the AS03-adjuvanted influenza A/H1N1 vaccine in cancer outpatients. *Oncologist*. 2012; (17):436–445. [PubMed: 22357731]
13. Issa NC, Marty FM, Gagne LS, et al. Seroprotective titers against 2009 H1N1 influenza A virus after vaccination in allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation recipients. *Biol Blood Marrow Transplant*. 2011; (17):434–438. [PubMed: 20950701]
14. Mackay HJ, McGee J, Villa D, et al. Evaluation of pandemic H1N1 (2009) influenza vaccine in adults with solid tumor and hematological malignancies on active systemic treatment. *J Clin Virol*. 2011; (50):212–216. [PubMed: 21168361]
15. Oren S, Mandelboim M, Braun-Moscovici Y, et al. Vaccination against influenza in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: the effect of rituximab on the humoral response. *Ann Rheum Dis*. 2008; (67):937–941. [PubMed: 17981914]
16. Pescovitz MD, Torgerson TR, Ochs HD, et al. Effect of rituximab on human in vivo antibody immune responses. *J Allergy Clin Immunol*. 2011; (128):1295–1302. [PubMed: 21908031]
17. Yri OE, Torfoss D, Hungnes O, et al. Rituximab blocks protective serologic response to influenza A (H1N1) 2009 vaccination in lymphoma patients during or within 6 months after treatment. *Blood*. 2011; (118):6769–6771. [PubMed: 22058114]
18. Romero-Steiner S, Spear W, Brown N, et al. Measurement of serum bactericidal activity specific for *Haemophilus influenzae* type b by using a chromogenic and fluorescent metabolic indicator. *Clin Diagn Lab Immunol*. 2004; (11):89–93. [PubMed: 14715550]
19. Paris K, Sorensen RU. Assessment and clinical interpretation of polysaccharide antibody responses. *Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol*. 2007; (99):462–464. [PubMed: 18051217]
20. Kayhty H, Peltola H, Karanko V, Makela PH. The protective level of serum antibodies to the capsular polysaccharide of *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. *J Infect Dis*. 1983; (147):1100. [PubMed: 6602191]
21. Baggett HC, Hennessy TW, Bulkow L, et al. Immunologic response to *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) conjugate vaccine and risk factors for carriage among Hib carriers and noncarriers in Southwestern Alaska. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2006; (13):620–626. [PubMed: 16760318]
22. Bernasconi NL, Traggiai E, Lanzavecchia A. Maintenance of serological memory by polyclonal activation of human memory B cells. *Science*. 2002; (298):2199–2202.
23. Fernandes JR, Wasserman S, Snider DP. Stimulation of anti-polio and anti-HSV IgA pre-plasma cell response in blood following parenteral immunization with tetanus-diphtheria vaccine. *Vaccine*. 2010; (28):1493–1498. [PubMed: 20003921]

24. Maslanka SE, Tappero JW, Plikaytis BD, et al. Age-dependent *Neisseria meningitidis* serogroup C class-specific antibody concentrations and bactericidal titers in sera from young children from Montana immunized with a licensed polysaccharide vaccine. *Infect Immun*. 1998; (66):2453–2459. [PubMed: 9596702]
25. Nix EB, Hawdon N, Gravelle S, et al. Risk of invasive *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (Hib) disease in adults with secondary immunodeficiency in the post-Hib vaccine era. *Clin Vaccine Immunol*. 2012; (19):766–771. [PubMed: 22398246]
26. Amir J, Liang X, Granoff DM. Variability in the functional activity of vaccine-induced antibody to *Haemophilus influenzae* type b. *Pediatr Res*. 1990; (27):358–364. [PubMed: 2342828]
27. Cohen SB, Emery P, Greenwald MW, et al. Rituximab for rheumatoid arthritis refractory to anti-tumor necrosis factor therapy: Results of a multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase III trial evaluating primary efficacy and safety at twenty-four weeks. *Arthritis Rheum*. 2006; (54):2793–2806. [PubMed: 16947627]
28. Edwards JC, Szczepanski L, Szechinski J, et al. Efficacy of B-cell-targeted therapy with rituximab in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *N Engl J Med*. 2004; (350):2572–2581. [PubMed: 15201414]
29. Cho CH, Hwang WL, Cheng SB, Lee TY, Teng CL. Hepatitis B reactivation induced by Rituximab maintenance therapy for lymphoma. *Ann Hematol*. 2011; (90):111–112. [PubMed: 20407894]
30. Lee IC, Huang YH, Chu CJ, et al. Hepatitis B virus reactivation after 23 months of rituximab-based chemotherapy in an HBsAg-negative, anti-HBs-positive patient with follicular lymphoma. *J Chin Med Assoc*. 2010; (73):156–160. [PubMed: 20231001]
31. Targhetta C, Cabras MG, Mamusa AM, Mascia G, Angelucci E. Hepatitis B virus-related liver disease in isolated anti-hepatitis B-core positive lymphoma patients receiving chemo- or chemo-immune therapy. *Haematologica*. 2008; (93):951–952. [PubMed: 18515881]
32. Xunrong L, Yan AW, Liang R, Lau GK. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) reactivation after cytotoxic or immunosuppressive therapy--pathogenesis and management. *Rev Med Virol*. 2001; (11):287–299. [PubMed: 11590667]
33. Carson KR, Focosi D, Major EO, et al. Monoclonal antibody-associated progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy in patients treated with rituximab, natalizumab, and efalizumab: a Review from the Research on Adverse Drug Events and Reports (RADAR) Project. *Lancet Oncol*. 2009; (10):816–824. [PubMed: 19647202]
34. Carson KR, Evens AM, Richey EA, et al. Progressive multifocal leucoencephalopathy after rituximab therapy in HIV-negative patients: a report of 57 cases from the Research on Adverse Drug Events and Reports project. *Blood*. 2009; (113):4834–4840. [PubMed: 19264918]
35. Whitmire JK, Asano MS, Kaech SM, et al. Requirement of B cells for generating CD4+ T cell memory. *J Immunol*. 2009; (182):1868–1876. [PubMed: 19201839]
36. Cooper N, Stasi R, Cunningham-Rundles S, et al. Platelet-associated antibodies, cellular immunity and FCGR3a genotype influence the response to rituximab in immune thrombocytopenia. *Br J Haematol*. 2012; (158):539–547. [PubMed: 22775462]
37. Stasi R, Del PG, Stipa E, et al. Response to B-cell depleting therapy with rituximab reverts the abnormalities of T-cell subsets in patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Blood*. 2007; (110):2924–2930. [PubMed: 17548576]
38. Stasi R, Cooper N, Del PG, et al. Analysis of regulatory T-cell changes in patients with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura receiving B cell-depleting therapy with rituximab. *Blood*. 2008; (112):1147–1150.
39. Portielje JE, Westendorp RG, Kluin-Nelemans HC, Brand A. Morbidity and mortality in adults with idiopathic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Blood*. 2001; (97):2549–2554. [PubMed: 11313240]
40. Schwartz J, Leber MD, Gillis S, et al. Long term follow-up after splenectomy performed for immune thrombocytopenic purpura (ITP). *Am J Hematol*. 2003; (72):94–98.
41. Thomsen RW, Schoonen WM, Farkas DK, et al. Risk for hospital contact with infection in patients with splenectomy: a population-based cohort study. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009; (151):546–555. [PubMed: 19841456]
42. Bisharat N, Omari H, Lavi I, Raz R. Risk of infection and death among post-splenectomy patients. *J Infect*. 2001; (43):182–186. [PubMed: 11798256]

43. Konradsen HB, Rasmussen C, Ejstrup P, Hansen JB. Antibody levels against *Streptococcus pneumoniae* and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b in a population of splenectomized individuals with varying vaccination status. *Epidemiol Infect.* 1997; (119):167–174. [PubMed: 9363015]
44. Horwitz SM, Negrin RS, Blume KG, et al. Rituximab as adjuvant to high-dose therapy and autologous hematopoietic cell transplantation for aggressive non-Hodgkin lymphoma. *Blood.* 2004; (103):777–783. [PubMed: 12907446]
45. Villa D, Gubbay J, Sutherland DR, et al. Evaluation of 2009 pandemic H1N1 influenza vaccination in adults with lymphoid malignancies receiving chemotherapy or following autologous stem cell transplant. *Leuk Lymphoma.* 2013
46. Arad U, Tzadok S, Amir S, et al. The cellular immune response to influenza vaccination is preserved in rheumatoid arthritis patients treated with rituximab. *Vaccine.* 2011; (29):1643–1648. [PubMed: 21211590]
47. Rehnberg M, Brisslert M, Amu S, et al. Vaccination response to protein and carbohydrate antigens in patients with rheumatoid arthritis after rituximab treatment. *Arthritis Res Ther.* 2010; (12):R111. [PubMed: 20529331]
48. Artz AS, Somerfield MR, Feld JJ, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology provisional clinical opinion: chronic hepatitis B virus infection screening in patients receiving cytotoxic chemotherapy for treatment of malignant diseases. *J Clin Oncol.* 2010; (28):3199–3202. [PubMed: 20516452]
49. Cooper N, Bussell JB. The long-term impact of rituximab for childhood immune thrombocytopenia. *Curr Rheumatol Rep.* 2010; (12):94–100. [PubMed: 20425017]
50. van Assen S, Elkayam O, Agmon-Levin N, et al. Vaccination in adult patients with auto-immune inflammatory rheumatic diseases: a systematic literature review for the European League Against Rheumatism evidence-based recommendations for vaccination in adult patients with auto-immune inflammatory rheumatic diseases. *Autoimmun Rev.* 2011; (10):341–352.

Key Points

1. After treatment with rituximab, immunological responses to both polysaccharide and conjugated vaccines are impaired in patients with ITP.
2. Splenectomized patients who have received rituximab may be at increased risk of infection because of compromised immune responses to vaccines.

Figure 1. Antibody response to vaccinations

IgG specific antibodies to *S. pneumoniae* antibodies (**A**) and *H. influenzae* type b (Hib) (**B**) detected in the first month after the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine and the conjugate Hib vaccine. Patients had immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) and had been treated with rituximab (n=14) or placebo (n=6) 6 months before vaccinations. Vaccine responders were defined as patients who achieved at least a 4-fold increase in antibody titer compared with baseline values.

Figure 2. Serum bactericidal activity against *H. influenzae* type b

A) Titer (reciprocal of the serum dilution capable of killing 50% of bacterial cells compared to complement controls) of serum bactericidal activity against *H. influenzae* type b (Hib) post vaccination in ITP patients treated with rituximab (n=14) or placebo (n=6) (* $p<0.05$; bars represent standard error of the mean). **B)** Vaccine responders, defined as patients who achieved a 4-fold increase in functional Hib bacteria cell killing in the first month after vaccination.

Figure 3. Effect of rituximab on peripheral blood B-cell subsets

(A) Naïve B-cells ($CD19^+CD27^-$); (B) resting memory B-cells ($CD19^+CD27^+CD38^{low}$); and (C) pre-plasma cell blasts ($CD19^-CD27^+CD38^{hi}$) expressed as percentage of total $CD19^+$ cells (* $p < 0.05$; bars represent standard error of the mean). Rituximab (n=17) or placebo (n=7) was administered at time 0; *S. pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae* type B vaccines were administered on week 24.

Figure 4. Pre-plasma cell blasts before and after vaccinations

Absolute cell counts of peripheral blood pre-plasma cell blasts in patients with immune thrombocytopenia who received rituximab (n=4) or placebo (n=5) 6 months earlier. All patients received vaccinations with *S. pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae* type b vaccines.

Figure 5. Effect of rituximab on T-cell function after vaccinations

IFN- γ secreting cells were determined by ELISPOT at 0, 1, 4, and 24 weeks after vaccination with *S. pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae* type B vaccines in patients with immune thrombocytopenia who received rituximab (n=17) or placebo (n=6) 6 months earlier (* $p < 0.05$; bars represent standard error of the mean).

Table 1

Baseline characteristics of patients with immune thrombocytopenia (ITP) at the time of vaccinations with *S. pneumoniae* and *H. influenzae* type b vaccines. Patients had received rituximab or placebo 6 months earlier as part of a randomized clinical trial.

	Rituximab (n=17)	Placebo (n=7)
Age (years) median (Q ₁ , Q ₃)	40 (37, 66)	40 (35, 63)
Females (N, %)	12 (71)	5 (71)
Platelet count ($\times 10^9/L$)		
median (Q ₁ , Q ₃)	115 (26, 221)	42 (30, 208)
ITP treatments (N, %) (during 4 weeks before vaccination)		
Intravenous immune globulin	1	0
Prednisone	1	0
Danazol	1	1

Q₁ and Q₃ are the first and third quartiles