Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2012 January 7; 10(1): 181–194. doi:10.1039/c1ob06554h.

Discovery of a potent and highly β 1 specific proteasome inhibitor from a focused library of urea-containing peptide vinyl sulfones and peptide epoxyketones†

Wouter A. van der Linden^a, Lianne I. Willems^a, Tamer B. Shabane^b, Nan Li^a, Mark Ruben^a, Bogdan I. Florea^a, Gijs A. van der Marel^a, Markus Kaiser^c, Alexei F. Kisselev^b, and Herman S. Overkleeft^a

^aGorlaeus Laboratories, Einsteinweg 55, 2300 CC, Leiden, The Netherlands.

h.s.overkleeft@chem.leidenuniv.nl; Fax: +31-71-5274307; Tel: +31-71-5274342

^bNorris Cotton Cancer Center, Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Dartmouth Medical School, Dartmouth College, Lebanon, NH 03756, USA. alexei.f.kisselev@dartmouth.edu

^cZMB/Faculty of Biology, Building S03 S02 A55, Universität Duisburg-Essen, Campus Essen, 45117 Essen, Germany. Markus.Kaiser@uni-due.de; Tel: +49-201-183-4980

Abstract

Syringolins, a class of natural products, potently and selectively inhibit the proteasome and show promising antitumour activity. To gain insight in the mode of action of syringolins, the ureido structural element present in syringolins is incorporated in oligopeptide vinyl sulfones and peptide epoxyketones yielding a focused library of potent new proteasome inhibitors. The distance of the ureido linkage with respect to the electrophilic trap strongly influences subunit selectivity within the proteasome. Compounds **13** and **15** are β 1 selective and their potency exceeds that of syringolin A. In contrast, **5** may well be the most potent β 1 selective compound active in living cells reported to date.

1 Introduction

Syringolins form a class of small molecule natural products that are secreted by strains of the plant pathogen *Pseudomonas syringae* pv. *syringae* (Pss) when these are invading a plant.¹ Syringolin A and B (Fig. 1) are of particular interest as these molecules act upon the plant proteasome to compromise plant defense mechanisms against invading pathogens.^{2,3} The eukaryotic 20S proteasome contains three catalytically active subunits, β 1, β 2 and β 5, which display caspase-like, trypsin-like and chymotrypsin-like activity, respectively.⁴ The plant 20S proteasome is homologous to the mammalian proteasome and syringolins are potent and selective inhibitors of the human 20S proteasome as well.⁵ Small molecule proteasome inhibitors show promising antitumour activity and bortezomib is used in the clinic against multiple myeloma, whereas several other proteasome inhibitors are in clinical trials.^{6–10} Biological evaluation of syringolins on tumour cells has shown inhibition of cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis.^{11,12} This sparked the interest of several organic chemists and lately, the total syntheses of several syringolins have been published.^{13–16}

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/c1ob06554h

With the total synthesis of syringolin A and B completed, the opportunity opened up to perform structure–activity relationships of syringolins and their analogues. Syringolins contain a 12-membered lactam core structure the nature of which differs among the members of this family of compounds. Syringolin A (SylA) has two (*E*)-configured double bonds resulting in a strained system, and syringolin B has only one ring unsaturation. The unsaturated amide in the ring system is responsible for covalently and irreversibly inactivating the catalytic Thr1 O of the 20S proteasome subunits *via* Michael addition.⁵ SylA inhibits 2 and 5 potently and 1 at higher concentration.¹⁷ The electrophilic lactam is quite rigid in structure and probably determines to a large extent the proteasome specificity. Attached to the lactam core structure is a peptoid fragment, which differs among the syringolins. SylA and SylB contain a valine-urea-valine moiety at their pseudo N-terminus. An ureido linkage induces chain reversal in a peptide, and for this reason has been installed in protease inhibitors.^{18–20} It is also found in several natural peptide-based compounds with antibiotic activity.^{21–23} However, the syringolins are the only examples of proteasome inhibitors that contain this moiety. Some research has already been conducted (Fig. 2) on the exocyclic part of syringolins, including a D-AA scan of the two valines, revealing that the naturally occurring configuration yields the most potent compounds.¹⁶ Addition of aliphatic¹⁵ or hydrophilic¹⁶ tails yielded inhibitors with increased potency with respect to SylA. Addition of a fluorophore was tolerated, yielding a proteasome probe.²⁴ Hybrids between SylA and glidobactin A were designed that appear to possess improved potency compared to SylA.^{17,25}

The proteasome subunit selectivity pattern of an inhibitor is important for its antitumour activity. Inhibition of 5 is essential, but coinhibition of 1 or 2 is usually needed to be cytotoxic in cell lines derived from various cancers.^{26–28} The structural elements in syringolins that are responsible for proteasome subunit selectivity are therefore useful in the design of new proteasome inhibitors. To determine the effect of the valine-urea-valine motif in the biological profile of syringolins we incorporated this moiety in a more conventional linear peptide based proteasome inhibitor design. In this design, we replaced the unsaturated lactam Michael acceptor with leucine vinyl sulfone, another Michael acceptor commonly used to arrive at potent proteasome inhibitors. A leucine epoxyketone is used as well since this warhead often yields more potent and selective inhibitors than the vinyl sulfone.²⁹

2 Results and discussion

The first set of target compounds for this study are displayed in Fig. 3. Direct attachment of the leucine-derived electrophilic trap to the valine-urea-valine moiety yields two tripeptide compounds (2 and 6). The carboxylic acid functionality is masked as a *tert*-butyl ester as its synthetic precursor (1 and 5). A benzylamide cap is also used (3 and 7), since aromaticity at the N-terminus of a short proteasome inhibitor could be beneficial for its potency.^{30,31} The decyl chain, improving syringolin A activity,¹⁵ is also used in this library (4 and 8). When the tripeptide structure is compared to SylA, the distance between the electrophile of the inhibitor and the urea group is shorter in the tripeptide than in SylA. Therefore, one more amino acid is incorporated between the urea and electrophile, yielding tetrapeptide inhibitors (9–16).

The synthesis of the tripeptide vinyl sulfones (VS) commenced with coupling the TFA-H-LeuVS³² to BocValOH to yield Boc-protected dipeptide 17 from which the Boc protecting group was removed to yield dipeptide 18 (Fig. 4). This dipeptide was reacted with valine *tert*-butyl ester isocyanate, valine benzylamide isocyanate or decyl isocyanate to yield three inhibitors 1, 3 and 4. Peptide 1 was deprotected with TFA to yield 2.

In an attempt to react epoxyketone (EK) TFA·H-Val-Leu-EK with valine *tert*-butyl ester isocyanate, no formation of compound **5** was detected. Rather, TFA·H-Val-Leu-EK cyclizes in this basic reaction medium. As an alternative approach to obtain compound **5**, the methyl ester in compound **19**¹⁵ was transformed to the hydrazide (**20**) which was then *in situ* transformed to the acyl azide and coupled to TFA·H-Leu-EK to arrive at **5**, which was subsequently deprotected to gain **6** (Fig. 4). Compounds **7** and **8** were synthesized by a modified approach. Reacting **21**³³ with valine benzylamide isocyanate or decyl isocyanate yielded **22** and **24**, which were then Boc-deprotected to yield hydrazides **23** and **25** which were transformed to the acyl azide *in situ* and coupled to TFA·H-Leu-EK to obtain **7** and **8**.

The synthesis of tetrapeptide vinyl sulfones and epoxyketones followed a general strategy (Fig. 5). Methyl ester **26**³⁴ was transformed to the corresponding hydrazide **27** by hydrazine hydrate in methanol. This compound was *in situ* transformed to its acyl azide and then coupled to TFA·H-Leu-VS or TFA·H-Leu-EK to arrive at **28** and **30**. **28** was deprotected with TFA in DCM to give **29**, which in the next step was reacted with the three isocyanates mentioned in the section above to yield tetrapeptides **9**, **11** and **12**. **9** was deprotected with TFA to yield **10**. The same strategy, employing **30**, led to **13–16**.

In a first assessment of inhibitor potency the sixteen compounds were subjected to a competition assay *versus* Bodipy-TMR-epoxomicin (MVB003).³⁵ First, extracts from HEK293T cells were incubated with a broad concentration range of inhibitor for one hour, after which remaining proteasome activity was labeled with MVB003. After SDS-PAGE separation of the proteome, the wet gel slabs were scanned on a Typhoon fluorescence scanner. Results are displayed in Fig. 6. Proteasome subunits were assigned based on earlier work.³⁵

One first obvious conclusion from this broad concentration scan is that the syringolin A inspired ureido peptide moiety, coupled to leucine epoxyketone or vinyl sulfone, yields active proteasome inhibitors. Generally, the inhibitors containing a vinyl sulfone are less potent than their epoxyketone counterparts, a phenomenon observed earlier.^{27,29} A second obvious trend is that tripeptide inhibitors show some selectivity for β 1. The most distinct selectivity for β 1 is displayed by **5** in HEK lysate (Fig. 6). The tetrapeptide inhibitors, on the other hand, appear to preferentially target β 5. Compounds containing the long aliphatic tail (**4**, **8**, **12**, **16**) are far less potent than their counterparts lacking this moiety. This is in sharp contrast to SylA, where the addition of this tail increased potency dramatically.¹⁵

To gain better insight in subunit selectivity of the most potent inhibitors, the competition assay was repeated in a more detailed concentration series (Fig. 7). Tripeptide vinyl sulfones **2–4** as well as **8** and **12** were omitted because the inhibitory effect of these compounds falls mostly outside the more diluted concentration range. In HEK lysate (Fig. 7), several library members indeed are subunit selective; **9** inhibits β 5 by more than 90% at about 1 μ M, at which concentration β 1 and β 2 appear untouched. Compound **13** fully inhibits β 5 at 100 nM, before inhibiting β 1 and β 2. Compound **5** is very selective for the β 1 subunit. Compared to NC001, a very selective tetrapeptide epoxyketone, compound **5** is only slightly less potent in HEK lysate.^{26,36} The benzyl amides in this library are generally less selective than their *tert*-butyl counterparts; **11** coinhibits β 2 before complete β 5 inhibition is reached. Benzyl amide **7** coinhibits β 5 much earlier than the selective *tert*-butyl ester **5**. The difference in selectivity between **13** and **15**, however, is less pronounced.

The parent compound, SylA, inhibits β 5 and β 2 in the low micromolar range and only inhibits β 1 at higher concentration (Fig. 7). In this respect, the activities of the tetrapeptide inhibitors of this library in general more resemble that of SylA than the activities of the tripeptides do. Interestingly, there are several compounds in this library that display more

potent proteasome inhibition than the parent compound, SylA. Compounds **13** and **15** are the most potent inhibitors in this study; compared to SylA in HEK lysate (Fig. 7), these two compounds inhibit α_5 at about 100-fold lower concentration. The most potent and selective compounds were also analysed for their inhibitory capacities against purified rabbit 26S proteasome with subunit specific fluorogenic peptides. To better compare these results with the competition assay gels from Fig. 7, the gel bands were quantified and plotted against inhibitor concentrations. From these plots, the IC_{50} values were calculated and the results are summarised in Table 1. The IC_{50} values found with the fluorogenic peptide assay are higher than those found in the competition assay, but one should be careful when comparing IC_{50} values obtained with two very different experimental setups. The subunit selectivity trends found with the fluorogenic peptide assay, however, in most cases agree with the results from the competition assay. In both settings, **5** is a selective α_1 proteasome inhibitor, while **15** is a selective α_5 inhibitor. In the fluorogenic substrate assay, compound **13** shows onset of inhibition of the α_1 and α_2 sites when α_5 is targeted, while in the competition assay this compound appears more selective. We have no explanation for this discrepancy yet. The differences could be caused by the presence of other proteasome forms in cell lysate (*i.e.* PA200 and PA28 activated proteasomes) or post-translational modifications that affect active site specificity and that are either species or tissue specific and may be lost during preparation of proteasomes from muscle.

Compounds **5**, **13** and **15** were evaluated on their proteasomal inhibition capacities in living HEK cells to assess cell permeability of these compounds and compared to literature subunit selective proteasome inhibitors NC001 and NC005-VS.^{26,36} Living HEK cells were incubated with the inhibitors for 4 h. after which remaining proteasomal activity was labeled by cell permeable probe MVB003. The results are summarised in Table 2. Interestingly, **5** shows fivefold higher potency in living HEK cells than NC001, while NC001 is slightly more potent in cell extract. This difference could be ascribed to better cell permeability of **5**, possibly due to its smaller size than NC001. Compound **5** is the most potent and selective α_1 inhibitor to date. Compounds **13** and **15** do inhibit the proteasome in living HEK cells and therefore are cell permeable, but their α_5 selectivity is much lower than observed in HEK extracts and NC005-VS is much more α_5 selective in cells than **13** and **15**.

Having evaluated a small library of proteasome inhibitors containing tri- and tetrapeptides with the valine-urea-valine motif, a dramatic shift in selectivity from α_1 to α_5 is observed when the ureido linkage is moved one place in the molecule. We chose a tetrapeptide vinyl sulfone scaffold in which the ureido-linkage is 'shifted' through the molecule to arrive at two more potential proteasome inhibitors with the urea after the first or second amino acid in the peptide instead of the third (Fig. 8). The Fmoc group in **34** and **32**³⁷ was removed by DBU.³⁸ Dipeptide **35** was coupled to Fmoc-Val-OH and again deprotected with DBU to arrive at tripeptide **37**. TFA-H-Leu-VS was converted to its isocyanate by phosgene and DiPEA in DCM¹⁵ and subsequently reacted with amine **33** which resulted in tetrapeptide **40**. Amine **33** was converted to the isocyanate by phosgene and sat. aq. $NaHCO_3$ ³⁹ and reacted with amine **18** which resulted in tetrapeptide **42**. Compounds **40** and **42** were subjected to the competition assay in HEK lysate *versus* MVB003 (Fig. 9). Only at high concentrations (100 μ M), these two compounds show limited proteasome inhibition. Apparently, the place of the ureido-linkage in the peptide inhibitor determines its activity and selectivity for proteasome subunits. The lack of activity could also be the result of 'inversed' amino acid side chain configuration caused by chain reversal due to the ureido linkage. Substitution for D-amino acids at P2-4 for **40** or P3 and P4 for **42** might restore activity of these scaffolds.

3 Conclusions

Incorporating the exocyclic valine-urea-valine motif, which is found in syringolin A, into a vinyl sulfone or epoxyketone oligopeptide results in a new set of potent proteasome inhibitors. In general, epoxyketone containing inhibitors are more potent than their vinyl sulfone counterparts, but this increase in potency is often accompanied by a decrease of subunit selectivity, a phenomenon observed earlier.^{27,29,36} The position of the ureido linkage with respect to the electrophilic trap has a profound effect on proteasome subunit selectivity. A short distance, as in compounds **1–4** and **5–8** results in preference for the α 1 subunit of the proteasome. Tripeptide epoxyketone **5** is a potent and very selective inhibitor of the α 1 subunit. In living cells, this compound is fivefold more potent than NC001 and is the most potent α 1 selective compound known to date. Further optimisation of this compound, for example by changing the P2 valine for a norleucine residue or changing the *tert*-butyl for a smaller methyl group, might make this molecule even more selective for α 1. When an extra amino acid is incorporated in between the ureido linkage and the warhead, as in inhibitors **9–12** and **13–16**, a preference for the α 5 subunit is observed, with tetrapeptide epoxyketones **13** and **15** being quite selective inhibitors of the α 5 site. When the ureido linkage is incorporated at different places in the peptide, two more compounds are obtained, **40** and **42**, but both are hardly active as a proteasome inhibitors. Tetrapeptide epoxyketones **13** and **15** are the most potent compounds in this library, more potent than the parent compound SylA. The subunit selectivity pattern of **15** shows similarities to that of SylA, but displays higher potency, indicating that the antitumour activity of this compound should be assessed. The activities of the tetrapeptide inhibitors in this study resemble those of compounds Z-L₃-EK and Z-L₃-VS in terms of selectivity. Z-L₃-EK and Z-L₃-VS have a slight preference for α 5 while the tetrapeptides in this study appear to possess more α 5 selectivity.²⁹ Translating the findings of this study back to the case of syringolin A meets difficulties. One could envision that the molecular basis of selectivity of **5**, **13** and **15** could be unraveled with the crystal structures of these compounds in complex with 20S proteasomes.^{5,40,41}

4 Experimental

4.1 Synthesis

All reagents were commercial grade and were used as received unless indicated otherwise. Toluene (Tol.) (purum), ethyl acetate (EtOAc) (puriss.), and light petroleum ether (PetEt) (puriss.) were obtained from Riedel-de Haën or Biosolve and were distilled prior to use. Dichloromethane (DCM), dimethylformamide (DMF), and dioxane were stored on 4Å molecular sieves. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from LiAlH₄ prior to use. Reactions were conducted under an argon atmosphere. Reactions were monitored by TLC analysis by using DC-fertigfolien (Schleicher & Schuell, F1500, LS254) with detection by UV absorption (254 nm), spraying with 20% H₂SO₄ in ethanol or (NH₄)₆Mo₇O₂₄C₄H₂O (25 g L⁻¹) and (NH₄)₄Ce(SO₄)₄C₂H₂O (10 g L⁻¹) in 10% sulfuric acid followed by charring at ~150 °C or by spraying with an aqueous solution of KMnO₄ (7%) and KOH (2%). Column chromatography was performed on Screening devices (0.040–0.063 nm). LC/MS analysis was performed on a LCQ AdvantageMax (Thermo Finnigan) equipped with an Gemini C18 column (Phenomenex). HRMS were recorded on a LTQ Orbitrap (Thermo Finnigan). ¹H- and ¹³C-APT-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker DPX-300 (300/75 MHz) or Bruker AV-400 (400/100 MHz) equipped with a pulsed field gradient accessory. Chemical shifts are given in ppm (δ) relative to tetramethylsilane as an internal standard. Coupling constants are given in Hz. All presented ¹³C-APT spectra are proton decoupled. TFA.H-Leu-EK2 and TFA.H-Leu-VS³² were obtained by literature procedures. NC001 and NC005-mvs were obtained as described.^{26,36}

General procedure formation of isocyanates A¹⁵—Amine or amine HCl salt (1 equiv.) was dissolved in DCM and DiPEA (2.2 equiv.) was added. This solution was slowly added to a solution of triphosgene (0.35 equiv.) or phosgene (1.1 equiv., 20 wt-% sln in Tol.) and the mixture was stirred for an additional 10 min after addition and used in the next step without any purification.

General procedure formation of isocyanates B³⁹—HCl·H-ValNHBN⁴² was dissolved in 1 : 1 DCM : sat. aq. NaHCO₃ at 0 °C. The layers were allowed to separate and phosgene (1.2 equiv., 20 wt-% in Tol.) was added to the DCM layer and stirring was continued for 10 min after which the mixture was extracted with DCM (3×). The organic layers were combined and dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The resulting crude isocyanate was used without further purification.

General procedure for azide coupling—Hydrazide or hydrazide HCl (1 equiv.) was dissolved in DMF : EA 3 : 1 and cooled to –30 °C. *t*BuONO (1.1 equiv.) and HCl (4 M in dioxane, 2.8 equiv.) were added and the resulting mixture stirred for 3 h. TFA·H-Leu-EK or TFA·H-Leu-VS (1.1 equiv.) in DMF was added followed by DiPEA (5 equiv.) and the mixture was allowed to warm to RT overnight. The mixture was diluted with EA and washed with H₂O (3×). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated before flash column chromatography.

Boc-Val-Leu-VS (17)—Boc-Val-OH (359 mg, 1.65 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was dissolved in EA. HBTU (683 mg, 1.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), DiPEA 0.87 mL, 5.25 mmol, 3.5 equiv.) and TFA LeuVS (1.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) were added and the mixture stirred for 4 h. The mixture was washed with 1 M HCl (3×), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (3×) and brine before being dried over Na₂SO₄. The residue was purified by column chromatography (30% EA : PE → 60% EA : PE) to yield the title compound (587 mg, 1.5 mmol, quant.). ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.88 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.1, *J*₂ = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 6.52 (d, *J* = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.87–4.62 (m, 1H), 3.86 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.3, *J*₂ = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (s, 3H), 2.15–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.56 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 9H), 0.96–0.81 (m, 12H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 171.63, 155.89, 147.63, 129.16, 79.80, 60.25, 47.65, 42.62, 42.48, 30.17, 28.15, 24.50, 22.63, 21.65, 19.27, 17.85.

TFA·H-Val-Leu-VS (18)—Boc-Val-Leu-VS (17) was stirred in 1 : 1 DCM : TFA for 30 min before coevaporation with toluene (3×) yielded the title compound, which was immediately used in the next reaction without further purification.

***t*BuO-Val-urea-Val-Leu-VS (1)**—A solution of TFA·H-Val-Leu-VS (18, 305 μmol, 1 equiv.) and DiPEA (111 μl, 671 μmol, 2.2 equiv.) in DCM was added to the isocyanate of valine *tert*-butyl ester (obtained from HCl·H-Val-OtBu (64 mg, 305 μmol, 1 equiv.) employing general procedure A). The remaining solution was stirred for 1 h and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in EA and washed with 1 M HCl (2×), sat. aq. NaHCO₃ and brine before being dried over Na₂SO₄. Column chromatography (40% EA : PE → 70% EA : PE) yielded the title compound (119 mg, 243 μmol, 80%). LCMS: *R*_f 10.28 min (linear gradient 10 → 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): (ppm) 7.42 (d, *J* = 7.98 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.06, *J*₂ = 5.76 Hz, 1H), 6.70 (d, *J* = 15.16 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (d, *J* = 7.99 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (d, *J* = 8.97 Hz, 1H), 4.76–4.67 (m, 1H), 4.24 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.82, *J*₂ = 5.29 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (t, *J* = 8.40, 1H), 2.93 (s, 3H), 2.13–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.46–1.38 (m, 2H), 0.97–0.84 (m, 18H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): (ppm) 219.35, 172.97, 172.34, 158.28, 147.04, 129.88, 81.59, 60.16, 58.69, 48.02, 42.66, 42.53, 31.37, 30.76, 28.04, 24.60, 22.56, 22.08, 19.47, 18.99, 18.56, 17.98. HRMS calcd. for [C₂₃H₄₄N₃O₆S₁]⁺ 490.29453, found 490.29445.

HO-Val-urea-Val-Leu-VS (2)—1 was stirred in 1 ml TFA for 45 min before being coevaporated to yield the title compound in quantitative yield. LCMS: R_t 6.19 min (linear gradient 10–90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (400 MHz, CD_3OD): (ppm) 6.78 (dd, $J_1 = 15.17$, $J_2 = 5.29$ Hz, 1H), 6.63 (dd, $J_1 = 15.18$, $J_2 = 1.34$ Hz, 1H), 4.73–4.64 (m, 1H), 4.19 (d, $J = 4.72$ Hz, 1H), 3.99 (d, $J = 6.60$ Hz, 1H), 2.95 (s, 3H), 2.21–2.09 (m, 1H), 2.08–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.62 (m, 1H), 1.60–1.50 (m, 1H), 1.49–1.38 (m, 1H), 1.03–0.84 (m, 18H). $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CD_3OD): (ppm) 176.02, 174.72, 160.55, 148.57, 130.92, 60.94, 59.47, 49.14, 43.35, 42.83, 32.18, 32.05, 25.86, 23.37, 21.94, 19.96, 19.75, 18.30, 17.94. HRMS calcd. for $[\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_3\text{O}_6\text{S}_1]^+$ 434.23193, found 434.23186.

Benzylamide-Val-urea-Val-Leu-VS (3)—Vinyl sulfone **18** (225 μM , 1 equiv.) and DiPEA (41 μl , 241 μmol , 1.1 equiv.) were dissolved in DCM and this mixture was added to the isocyanate of valine benzylamide (obtained from $\text{HCl}\cdot\text{H-Val-NHBn}^{42}$ (104 mg, 338 μmol , 1.5 equiv.) employing general procedure B). The remaining solution was stirred overnight and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in DCM and washed with H_2O (2 $\times$) and dried over Na_2SO_4 . Column chromatography (DCM–10% MeOH : DCM) yielded the title compound (43 mg, 81 μmol , 36%). LCMS: R_t 7.53 min (linear gradient 10–90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ 1/1): (ppm) 7.36–7.21 (m, 5H), 6.81 (dd, $J_1 = 15.13$, $J_2 = 5.17$ Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dd, $J_1 = 15.12$, $J_2 = 1.49$ Hz, 1H), 4.75–4.65 (m, 1H), 4.41 (q, $J = 14.94$, 2H), 4.02 (d, $J = 6.69$ Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, $J = 6.64$ Hz, 1H), 2.96 (s, 3H), 2.06 (m, 2H), 1.74–1.61 (m, 1H), 1.59–1.37 (m, 2H), 1.02–0.84 (m, 18H). $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, $\text{CDCl}_3/\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$): (ppm) 172.64, 147.33, 128.73, 128.00, 127.02, 126.78, 59.24, 58.95, 47.35, 42.71, 41.92, 41.84, 30.66, 30.25, 24.22, 22.08, 20.90, 18.70, 18.60, 17.14, 17.09. HRMS calcd. for $[\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{43}\text{N}_4\text{O}_5\text{S}_1]^+$ 523.29487, found 523.29478.

Decyl-urea-Val-Leu-VS (4)—Vinyl sulfone **18** (266 μM , 1 equiv.) and DiPEA (100 μl , 585 μmol , 2.2 equiv.) were dissolved in DCM and this solution was added to 1-isocyanatodecane (obtained from decyl amine (58 μl , 293 μmol , 1.1 equiv.) employing general procedure A). The remaining solution was stirred for 1 h and washed with 1 M HCl (3 $\times$) and sat. aq. NaHCO_3 and the solution was dried over Na_2SO_4 . Column chromatography (40% EA : PE–80% EA : PE) yielded the title compound (85 mg, 179 μmol , 67%). $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CDCl_3): (ppm) 8.28 (d, $J = 7.54$ Hz, 1H), 6.88 (dd, $J_1 = 15.10$, $J_2 = 6.04$ Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, $J = 14.98$ Hz, 1H), 6.55–6.43 (m, 1H), 5.91–5.83 (m, 1H), 4.77–4.64 (m, 1H), 4.12–4.04 (m, 1H), 3.24–3.09 (m, 1H), 3.05–2.95 (m, 1H), 2.93 (s, 1H), 2.02–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.72–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.54–1.35 (m, 5H), 1.33–1.21 (m, 16H), 1.02–0.81 (m, 12H). $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CDCl_3): (ppm) 173.49, 158.70, 147.46, 129.73, 60.05, 47.94, 42.73, 42.69, 40.42, 31.87, 31.35, 30.47, 29.64, 29.60, 29.52, 29.32, 27.06, 24.66, 22.75, 22.64, 22.25, 19.52, 18.82, 14.06. HRMS calcd. for $[\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{48}\text{N}_3\text{O}_4\text{S}_1]^+$ 474.33600, found 474.33595.

tBuO-Val-urea-Val-NHNH₂ (20)—Methyl ester **19** 15 (234 mg, 708 μmol , 1 equiv.) was dissolved in MeOH. Hydrazine hydrate (1 ml, 21 mmol, 30 equiv.) was added and the mixture refluxed overnight. Coevaporation with toluene (4 $\times$) yielded the title compound which was used without further purification. $^1\text{H NMR}$ (400 MHz, CD_3OD): 6.41 (dd, $J_1 = 12.3$, $J_2 = 9.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.17–4.00 (m, 1H), 3.99–3.84 (m, 1H), 2.06 (dt, $J_1 = 12.1$, $J_2 = 6.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.02–1.89 (m, 1H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.01–0.85 (m, 12H). $^{13}\text{C NMR}$ (100 MHz, CD_3OD): 173.87, 173.48, 160.37, 82.45, 60.25, 60.18, 59.27, 59.19, 32.58, 32.11, 28.32, 28.21, 19.67, 19.59, 18.53, 18.05.

tBuO-Val-urea-Val-Leu-EK₂ (5)—Prepared by the general procedure for azide coupling employing **20** (33 mg, 100 μmol , 1 equiv.) and TFA-H-Leu-EK (31 mg, 110 μmol , 1.1

equiv.). Purification by column chromatography (DCM : 1% MeOH : DCM, 2×) yielded the title compound (22 mg, 47 μmol, 47%). LCMS: R_t 8.93 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): (ppm) 6.49 (d, J = 7.55 Hz, 1H), 5.51 (d, J = 8.52 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (d, J = 8.82 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (ddd, J_1 = 10.60, J_2 = 7.72, J_3 = 3.23 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (dd, J_1 = 8.78, J_2 = 4.62 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (dd, J_1 = 8.56, J_2 = 7.07 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (d, J = 4.98 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (d, J = 4.97 Hz, 1H), 2.15–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.76–1.59 (m, 2H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.58–1.51 (m, 1H), 0.95–0.82 (m, 18H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): (ppm) 208.44, 172.72, 172.24, 157.72, 81.63, 59.27, 59.11, 58.47, 52.48, 50.41, 39.97, 31.49, 31.18, 29.71, 28.08, 25.13, 23.36, 21.33, 19.27, 18.98, 18.10, 17.65, 16.78. HRMS calcd. for $[\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{44}\text{N}_3\text{O}_6]^+$ 470.32246, found 470.32233.

HO-Val-urea-Val-Leu-EK (6)—5 (13 mg, 28 μmol) was stirred with 2 mL TFA for 1 h before being coevaporated with toluene (3×) to yield the title compound quantitatively. LCMS: R_t 9.05 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD): 4.56 (dd, J_1 = 10.6, J_2 = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 4.06 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (dq, J_1 = 13.6, J_2 = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.11–1.90 (m, 1H), 1.84–1.22 (m, 3H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.16–0.78 (m, 18H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_3OD): 209.58, 176.05, 174.89, 160.41, 60.09, 59.98, 59.39, 53.06, 51.79, 40.25, 32.45, 32.03, 26.25, 23.75, 21.47, 19.79, 19.71, 18.24, 17.86, 17.04. HRMS calcd. for $[\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{36}\text{N}_3\text{O}_6]^+$ 414.25986 found 414.25989.

Benzylamide-Val-urea-Val-NHNHBoc (22)—A solution of H-Val-NHNHBoc³³ (260 mg, 1.12 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) and DiPEA (203 μl, 1.23 mmol, 1.2 equiv.) in DCM was added to a solution of valine benzylamide isocyanate (prepared from HCl·H-Val-NHBn (229 mg, 943 μmol, 1 equiv.) using general procedure B) in DCM. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h. The mixture was washed with 1 M HCl (2×) and brine and dried over MgSO_4 . Column chromatography (DCM : 5% MeOH : DCM) yielded the title compound (230 mg, 496 μmol, 53%). LCMS: R_t 7.08 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min), (ESI-MS (m/z): 464.00 ($\text{M} + \text{H}^+$)). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD , CDCl_3): 7.89 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.18 (m, 5H), 4.43–4.29 (m, 2H), 4.02 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.19–1.91 (m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.00–0.83 (m, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_3OD , CDCl_3): 172.70, 158.11, 137.59, 127.78, 126.82, 126.52, 58.93, 57.01, 42.46, 30.72, 30.39, 27.16, 18.37, 18.27, 16.97.

Benzylamide-Val-urea-Val-NHNH₃Cl (23)—22 (250 mg, 600 μmol) was stirred with 4 mL 4 M HCl in dioxane for 1 h before coevaporation with toluene (3×), yielding the title compound which was used without purification. LCMS: R_t 4.87 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min), (ESI-MS (m/z): 364.00 ($\text{M} - \text{Cl}^-$)). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO): 9.26 (t, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 8.31–7.77 (m, 5H), 7.31 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.98–4.74 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.54 (m, 2H), 1.98–1.45 (m, 12H).

Benzylamide-Val-urea-Val-Leu-EK (7)—Prepared by the general procedure for azide coupling employing **23** (39 mg, 100 μmol, 1 equiv.) and TFA·HLeu-EK (31 mg, 110 μmol, 1.1 equiv.). Purification by column chromatography (DCM : 3% MeOH : DCM) yielded the title compound (10 mg, 20 μmol, 20%). LCMS: R_t 8.41 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ^1H -NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 : CD_3OD): 7.43–7.18 (m, 5H), 4.54 (dd, J_1 = 10.6, J_2 = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J_1 = 36.1, J_2 = 14.9 Hz, 2H), 4.04 (dd, J_1 = 6.7, J_2 = 4.9 Hz, 2H), 3.29 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.91 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.09–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.64 (m, 1H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.57–1.21 (m, 1H), 0.99–0.85 (m, 18H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 , CD_3OD): 208.39, 173.42, 173.29, 158.71, 138.24, 128.21, 127.28, 126.93,

59.28, 58.85, 58.71, 51.96, 50.41, 42.84, 39.06, 31.08, 31.05, 24.94, 22.69, 20.49, 18.74, 18.71, 17.30, 16.05. HRMS calcd. for $[C_{27}H_{43}N_4O_5]^+$ 503.32280, found 503.32271.

Decyl-urea-Val-NHNHBoc (24)—A solution of H-Val-NHNHBoc³³ (237 mg, 1.02 mmol, 1 equiv.) and DiPEA (185 μ l, 1.12 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in DCM was added to a solution of decyl isocyanate (prepared from decylamine (213 μ l, 1.07 mmol, 1.05 equiv.) using general procedure A) in DCM. The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h. The mixture was washed with 1 M HCl (2 $\times$) and brine and dried over MgSO₄. Column chromatography (PE 40% acetone : PE) yielded the title compound (250 mg, 600 μ mol, 60%). LCMS: R_t 10.23 min (linear gradient 10–90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min), (ESI-MS (m/z): 415.00 ($M + H$)⁺). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD, CDCl₃): 6.03 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (t, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (t, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (s, 1H), 3.22–2.99 (m, 2H), 2.09–2.00 (m, 1H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.34–1.20 (m, 16H), 0.98 (dd, J_1 = 14.5, J_2 = 6.8 Hz, 6H), 0.88 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD, CDCl₃): 172.75, 158.61, 57.04, 39.53, 31.38, 30.71, 29.71, 29.61, 29.10, 29.06, 28.89, 28.80, 27.43, 26.40, 22.12, 18.52, 17.27, 13.36.

Decyl-urea-Val-NHNH₃Cl (25)—**24** (250 mg, 600 μ mol) was stirred with 4 ml 4 M HCl in dioxane for 1 h before coevaporation with toluene (3 $\times$) yielded the title compound which was used without purification. LCMS: R_t 7.39 min (linear gradient 10–90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min), (ESI-MS (m/z): 315.00 ($M - Cl$)⁺). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD, CDCl₃): 4.06 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.28–3.01 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.05 (m, 1H), 1.56–1.44 (m, 2H), 1.41–1.14 (m, 14H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H).

Decyl-urea-Val-Leu-EK (8)—Prepared by the general procedure for azide coupling employing **25** (53 mg, 151 μ mol, 1 equiv.) and TFA-H-Leu-EK (47 mg, 166 μ mol, 1.1 equiv.). Purification by column chromatography (DCM 3% MeOH : DCM and 10% EA : Tol. 30% EA : Tol.) yielded the title compound (24 mg, 53 μ mol, 35%). LCMS: R_t 11.18 min (linear gradient 10–90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 6.84 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.03 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 4.71–4.43 (m, 1H), 4.10 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.25–3.00 (m, 2H), 2.89 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.10–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.73–1.36 (m, 3H), 1.40–1.16 (m, 16H), 0.97–0.80 (m, 15H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 208.37, 173.26, 158.22, 59.27, 59.15, 52.41, 50.56, 40.75, 40.57, 39.63, 31.88, 31.40, 30.28, 30.20, 29.68, 29.57, 29.40, 29.34, 29.31, 26.95, 25.16, 23.33, 22.66, 21.29, 19.19, 18.23, 16.78, 14.07. HRMS calcd. for $[C_{25}H_{48}N_3O_4]^+$ 454.36393, found 454.36392.

Boc-Val-Leu-NHNH₂ (27)—Methyl ester **26**³⁴ was dissolved in 50 ml MeOH and hydrazine hydrate (9.7 ml, 200 mmol, 20 equiv.) was added and the mixture refluxed for 2 h. The reaction mixture was coevaporated with toluene. (5 $\times$) and the residue used without further purification. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): 4.43 (dd, J = 9.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (dq, J = 13.6, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.91–1.49 (m, 3H), 1.48 (s, 9H), 1.10–0.79 (m, 12H).

Boc-Val-Leu₂-VS (28)—Prepared from **27** (344 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv.) and TFA-H-Leu-VS (336 mg, 1.1 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) using the general procedure for azide coupling. Column chromatography (Tol. 80% EA : Tol.) yielded the title compound (362 mg, 719 μ mol, 72%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.39–7.22 (m, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J_1 = 15.1, J_2 = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 6.61 (d, J = 15.1 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 4.85–4.69 (m, 1H), 4.57–4.42 (m, 1H), 3.92 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (s, 3H), 2.14 (dq, J_1 = 13.5, J_2 = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 1.76–1.39 (m, 6H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 0.97–0.91 (m, 18H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 172.02, 171.57, 156.30, 147.44, 129.36, 80.22, 60.69, 52.16, 47.72, 42.76, 42.71, 40.41, 30.09, 28.25, 24.87, 24.61, 22.83, 22.58, 21.91, 19.23, 17.94.

Boc-Val-Leu₂-EK (30)—Prepared from **27** (344 mg, 1 mmol, 1 equiv.) and TFA-H-Leu-EK (314 mg, 1.1 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) using the general procedure for azide coupling. Column chromatography (20% EA : Tol. 60% EA : Tol.) yielded the title compound (437 mg, 903 μ mol, 90%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.95 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.70–4.45 (m, 2H), 4.05 (t, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.45 (d, *J* = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (d, *J* = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.09–1.94 (m, 1H), 1.75–1.30 (m, 6H), 1.51 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 9H), 0.98–0.82 (m, 18H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 208.57, 172.02, 155.86, 79.18, 59.67, 59.13, 52.28, 51.33, 50.09, 41.00, 39.16, 30.99, 28.32, 24.83, 24.39, 23.21, 22.75, 22.32, 19.02, 18.38, 16.66.

tBuO-Val-urea-Val-Leu₂-VS (9)—28 (50 mg, 100 μ mol, 1 equiv.) was treated with 1 : 1 TFA : DCM for 30 min, coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$). The residue was dissolved in DCM and DiPEA (40 μ mol, 244 μ mol, 2.4 equiv.) was added and this mixture was added to a solution of valine *tert*-butyl ester isocyanate (prepared from HCl-H-Val-OtBu (23 mg, 110 μ mol, 1.1 equiv.) using general procedure A). The resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min before being washed with 1 M HCl and sat. aq. NaHCO₃ and dried over MgSO₄. Column chromatography (DCM 1% MeOH : DCM) afforded the title compound (42 mg, 70 μ mol, 70%). LCMS: *R*_t 8.80 min (linear gradient 10 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD 1/1): (ppm) 6.81 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.13, *J*₂ = 4.91 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.14, *J*₂ = 1.36 Hz, 1H), 4.74–4.63 (m, 1H), 4.39 (t, *J* = 7.37, 1H), 4.04 (d, *J* = 5.13 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (d, *J* = 5.78 Hz, 1H), 2.97 (s, 3H), 2.16–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.57 (m, 6H), 1.47 (s, 1H), 1.04–0.88 (m, 24H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃/CD₃OD): (ppm) 173.00, 172.27, 171.43, 158.72, 146.50, 128.55, 80.62, 59.40, 58.16, 51.38, 47.07, 41.18, 41.06, 39.34, 29.89, 29.78, 26.57, 23.94, 23.84, 21.59, 21.55, 20.09, 19.95, 17.97, 17.80, 16.43, 16.37. HRMS calcd. for [C₂₉H₅₅N₄O₇S]⁺ 603.37860, found 603.37866.

HO-Val-urea-Val-Leu₂-VS (10)—9 (21 mg, 35 μ mol) was stirred with 2 ml TFA for 1 h before being coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$) to yield the title compound quantitatively. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD, CDCl₃): (ppm) 7.94 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.82 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.1, *J*₂ = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.1, *J*₂ = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.78–4.64 (m, 1H), 4.45–4.31 (m, 1H), 4.22 (d, *J* = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (s, 3H), 2.29–2.02 (m, 2H), 1.76–1.36 (m, 6H), 1.10–0.80 (m, 24H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): 175.91, 175.12, 174.46, 160.92, 148.35, 130.84, 61.26, 59.51, 53.42, 49.16, 43.26, 42.83, 41.44, 32.06, 31.83, 25.96, 25.84, 23.42, 21.91, 21.84, 19.85, 19.74, 18.34, 18.08. HRMS calcd. for [C₂₅H₄₆N₄O₇S]⁺ 547.31600, found 547.31610.

Benzylamide-Val-urea-Val-Leu₂-VS (11)—28 (51 mg, 101 μ mol, 1 equiv.) was treated with 1 : 1 TFA : DCM for 30 min, co-evaporated with toluene (3 $\times$). The residue was dissolved in DCM and DiPEA (37 μ l, 222 μ mol, 2.2 equiv.) was added and this mixture was added to a solution of valine benzylamide isocyanate (prepared from HCl-H-Val-NHBn (35 mg, 144 μ mol, 1.4 equiv.) using general procedure B). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight. Upon quenching with H₂O the title compound precipitated as a white solid (15 mg, 23 μ mol, 23%). LCMS: *R*_t 7.88 min (linear gradient 10 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃:CD₃OD): 7.37–7.15 (m, 5H), 6.83 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.1, *J*₂ = 5.3 Hz, 6H), 6.59 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.1, *J*₂ = 1.2 Hz, 6H), 4.58–4.24 (m, 4H), 4.08 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.4, *J*₂ = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (s, 3H), 2.20–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.54–1.13 (m, 2H), 1.19–0.59 (m, 24H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, CD₃OD): 173.14, 172.46, 172.09, 158.43, 147.20, 137.61, 128.53, 127.92, 126.89, 126.84, 126.65, 59.16, 58.76, 51.60, 47.28, 42.51, 41.78, 41.68, 39.81, 30.97, 30.57, 24.29, 24.06, 22.07, 21.97, 21.01, 20.83, 18.53, 18.47, 17.40, 17.32. HRMS calcd. for [C₃₂H₅₄N₅O₆S]⁺ 636.37893, found 636.37909.

Decyl-urea-Val-Leu₂-VS (12)—28 (50 mg, 100 μ mol, 1 equiv.) was treated with 1 : 1 TFA : DCM for 30 min, coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$). The residue was dissolved in DCM and DiPEA (36 mmol, 220 μ mol, 2.2 equiv.) was added and this mixture was added to a solution of decyl isocyanate (prepared from decylamine (24 mg, 160 μ mol, 1.6 equiv.) using general procedure A). The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h before being washed with 1 M HCl (3 $\times$) and H₂O (3 $\times$) and dried over Na₂SO₄. Column chromatography (DCM : 2% MeOH : DCM) afforded the title compound (25 mg, 43 μ mol, 43%). LCMS: R_t 10.91 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): (ppm) 6.82 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.2, *J*₂ = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (dd, *J*₁ = 15.2, *J*₂ = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.74–4.66 (m, 1H), 4.42 (dd, *J*₁ = 9.6, *J*₂ = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 3.97 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 3.23–3.06 (m, 2H), 3.00 (s, 3H), 2.16–2.02 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.57 (m, 5H), 1.54–1.43 (m, 3H), 1.39–1.27 (m, 14H), 1.08–0.87 (m, 21H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): 175.43, 174.52, 161.13, 148.39, 130.83, 61.47, 53.45, 43.22, 42.81, 41.27, 41.06, 33.07, 31.87, 31.30, 30.76, 30.70, 30.52, 30.46, 28.02, 26.01, 25.86, 23.74, 23.47, 23.42, 21.90, 21.69, 19.85, 18.30, 14.45. HRMS calcd. for [C₃₀H₅₉N₄O₅S]⁺ 587.42007, found 587.42017.

tBuO-Val-urea-Val-Leu₂-EK (13)—30 (41 mg, 85 μ mol, 1 equiv.) was treated with 1 : 1 TFA : DCM for 30 min, coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$). The residue was dissolved in DCM and DiPEA (31 μ l, 187 μ mol, 2.2 equiv.) was added and this mixture was added to a solution of valine *tert*-butyl ester isocyanate (prepared from HCl·H-Val-OtBu (27 mg, 128 μ mol, 1.6 equiv.) using general procedure A). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was washed with 1 M HCl (3 $\times$), H₂O (3 $\times$) and dried over Na₂SO₄. Column chromatography (DCM : 2% MeOH : DCM) yielded the title compound (14 mg, 24 μ mol, 28%). LCMS: R_t 9.84 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): (ppm) 8.02 (s, 1H), 6.49 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.19 (s, 1H), 4.74–4.60 (m, 1H), 4.53 (dd, *J*₁ = 14.7, *J*₂ = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (dd, *J*₁ = 9.2, *J*₂ = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 4.25 (t, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.41 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.09–1.95 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.30 (m, 7H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 1H), 1.01–0.74 (m, 24H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): (ppm) 173.37, 172.83, 171.92, 157.73, 81.41, 59.13, 58.85, 58.11, 52.56, 52.01, 50.32, 40.96, 39.12, 32.42, 31.96, 28.11, 24.92, 24.46, 23.37, 23.09, 22.17, 21.09, 19.25, 18.99, 18.74, 18.07, 16.89. HRMS calcd. for [C₃₀H₅₅N₄O₇]⁺ 583.40653 found 583.40668.

HO-Val-urea-Val-Leu₂-EK (14)—13 (11 mg, 19 μ mol) was stirred with 1 ml TFA for 1 h and coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$) to yield the title compound quantitatively. ¹H-NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): 4.54 (dd, *J*₁ = 10.7, *J*₂ = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.7, *J*₂ = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.20 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 4.05 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (d, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.24–2.12 (m, 1H), 2.10–1.97 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.26 (m, 6H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 1.18–0.58 (m, 24H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD): 171.60, 61.96, 57.29, 56.33, 50.10, 49.65, 48.90, 39.10, 37.12, 29.42, 29.06, 23.26, 22.75, 20.82, 20.41, 19.27, 18.45, 16.90, 16.77, 15.26, 14.91, 14.10. HRMS calcd. for [C₂₆H₄₇N₄O₇]⁺ 527.34393 found 527.34387.

Benzylamide-Val-urea-Val-Leu₂-EK (15)—30 (50 mg, 101 μ mol, 1 equiv.) was treated with 1 : 1 TFA : DCM for 30 min, coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$). The residue was dissolved in DCM and DiPEA (37 μ l, 222 μ mol, 2.2 equiv.) was added and this mixture was added to a solution of valine benzylamide isocyanate (prepared from HCl·H-Val-NHBn (34 mg, 144 μ mol, 1.4 equiv.) using general procedure B). The resulting mixture was stirred for 3 h. The reaction mixture was washed with H₂O (3 $\times$) and dried over Na₂SO₄. Column chromatography (DCM : 4% MeOH : DCM) followed by crystallisation (DCM : PE) yielded the title compound (25 mg, 40 μ mol, 40%). LCMS: R_t 8.51 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₃OD): 7.35–7.18 (m, 5H), 6.57 (dd, *J*₁ = 8.9, *J*₂ = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 4.58–4.49 (m, 2H), 4.44 (d, *J* = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (d, *J*

= 14.9 Hz, 1H), 4.18–4.04 (m, 2H), 3.25 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.89 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.05–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.77–1.24 (m, 6H), 1.48 (s, 3H), 0.99–0.83 (m, 24H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_3OD , CDCl_3): 207.71, 172.54, 172.42, 172.22, 157.96, 137.61, 127.50, 126.59, 126.20, 58.39, 58.15, 58.08, 51.24, 50.56, 49.71, 42.09, 39.95, 38.11, 30.74, 30.69, 24.17, 23.68, 22.01, 21.45, 20.82, 19.69, 18.06, 16.87, 16.79, 15.34. HRMS calcd. for $[\text{C}_{33}\text{H}_{54}\text{N}_5\text{O}_6]^+$ 616.40686 found 616.40698.

Decyl-urea-Val-Leu₂-EK (16)—30 (49 mg, 101 μmol , 1 equiv.) was treated with 1 : 1 TFA : DCM for 30 min, coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$). The residue was dissolved in DCM and DiPEA (37 μl , 222 μmol , 2.2 equiv.) was added and this mixture was added to a solution of decyl isocyanate (prepared from decylamine (24 μl , 121 μmol , 1.2 equiv.) using general procedure A). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was washed with 1 M HCl (3 $\times$), H_2O (3 $\times$) and dried over Na_2SO_4 . Column chromatography (DCM : 2% MeOH : DCM yielded the title compound (16 mg, 29 μmol , 29%). LCMS: R_t 11.30 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD , CDCl_3): (ppm) 4.55–4.51 (m, 1H), 4.43 (dd, J_1 = 8.9, J_2 = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.20–2.99 (m, 2H), 2.90 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.11–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.77–1.32 (m, 6H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.39–1.10 (m, 16H), 1.05–0.78 (m, 21H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 , CD_3OD): 208.04, 172.86, 172.33, 158.66, 58.57, 58.53, 51.76, 50.85, 49.99, 40.15, 39.50, 38.69, 31.34, 30.60, 29.65, 29.60, 29.04, 29.00, 28.84, 28.75, 26.34, 24.55, 24.04, 22.54, 22.07, 22.00, 21.11, 20.28, 18.50, 17.02, 15.97, 13.25. HRMS calcd. for $[\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{47}\text{N}_4\text{O}_7\text{S}]^+$ 547.31600 found 547.31610.

H-Val-Leu-OtBu (33)—FmocValLeuOtBu³⁷ (956 mg, 1.88 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF and treated with EtSH (1.4 ml, 18.8 mmol, 10 equiv.) and DBU (28 μl , 188 μmol , 0.1 equiv.) for 2 h and coevaporated with toluene. Column chromatography (EA : PE : MeOH 50 : 50 : 0 : 100 : 0 : 0 : 95 : 0 : 5) yielded the title compound (524 mg, 1.83 mmol, 97%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.71 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.57–4.42 (m, 1H), 3.27 (d, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.35–2.19 (m, 1H), 2.05 (s, 2H), 1.80–1.49 (m, 3H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 0.99 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.97–0.89 (m, 6H), 0.85 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): 173.83, 171.91, 81.09, 59.76, 50.58, 41.23, 30.57, 27.57, 24.56, 22.48, 21.51, 19.23, 15.87.

Fmoc-Leu₂-OtBu (34)—Leucine *tert*-butyl ester (382 mg, 2.04 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in DCM and Fmoc-Leu-OH (757 mg, 2.14 mmol, 1.05 equiv.), HBTU (890 mg, 2.35 mmol, 1.15 equiv.) and DiPEA (759 μl , 4.59 mmol, 2.25 equiv.) were added and the mixture was stirred for 2 h before being concentrated. The residue was taken up in EA and washed with 1 M HCl (2 $\times$), sat. aq. NaHCO_3 (4 $\times$) and brine before drying over MgSO_4 . Column chromatography (EA : Tol. 0 : 16%) afforded the title compound (1.09 g, 2.04 mmol, quant.). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.70 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.40–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.99 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 4.49 (dd, J_1 = 13.8, J_2 = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.43–4.33 (m, 2H), 4.32–4.24 (m, 1H), 4.20–4.13 (m, 1H), 1.80–1.46 (m, 6H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 0.98–0.83 (m, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): 172.11, 171.62, 156.05, 143.65, 143.50, 140.97, 127.38, 126.79, 124.89, 119.64, 81.33, 66.80, 53.11, 51.27, 46.84, 41.53, 41.18, 27.69, 24.60, 24.38, 22.77, 22.44, 21.88.

H-Leu₂-OtBu (35)—Fmoc-Leu₂-OtBu 34 (1.09 g, 2.04 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF and EtSH (1.5 ml, 20 mmol, 10 equiv.) and DBU (30 μl , 200 μmol , 0.1 equiv.) were added and the mixture was stirred for 1.5 h. before being co-evaporated with toluene. Column chromatography (EA : PE : MeOH 50 : 50 : 0 : 100 : 0 : 0 : 95 : 0 : 5) yielded the title compound (586 mg, 1.95 mmol, 93%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.71 (d, J =

8.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (dt, $J_1 = 8.7$, $J_2 = 5.2$ Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, $J_1 = 9.6$, $J_2 = 4.2$ Hz, 1H), 1.87 (s, 2H), 1.81–1.29 (m, 6H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 1.07–0.81 (m, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): 175.06, 171.77, 80.92, 53.03, 50.39, 43.69, 41.17, 27.46, 24.42, 24.28, 22.93, 22.39, 21.53, 20.95.

Fmoc-Val-Leu₂-OtBu (36)—H-Leu-Leu-OtBu **35** (586 mg, 1.95 mmol, 93%), Fmoc-Val-OH (695 mg, 2.05 mmol, 1.05 equiv.), HBTU (850 mg, 2.24 mmol, 1.15 equiv.) and DiPEA (725 μl , 4.39 mmol, 2.25 equiv.) were dissolved in DCM and stirred for 1.5 h. before being washed with 1 M HCl (2 $\times$), sat. aq. NaHCO_3 (4 $\times$) and dried over MgSO_4 . Column chromatography (EA : Tol. 0 : 30%) yielded the title compound (1.15 g, 1.85 mmol, 95%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.73 (d, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 2H), 7.64–7.52 (m, 2H), 7.37 (dd, $J_1 = 9.5$, $J_2 = 5.1$ Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.15 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.96 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.11 (d, $J = 9.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.66 (dd, $J_1 = 15.1$, $J_2 = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.53 (dd, $J_1 = 13.5$, $J_2 = 8.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.44 (dd, $J_1 = 10.1$, $J_2 = 7.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.30–4.22 (m, 1H), 4.19 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.12 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.15–1.99 (m, 1H), 1.77–1.46 (m, 6H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 0.94 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 6H), 0.86 (dd, $J_1 = 10.1$, $J_2 = 6.2$ Hz, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): 171.88, 171.45, 171.35, 156.47, 143.82, 141.20, 127.60, 126.99, 125.21, 125.13, 119.86, 81.70, 67.07, 60.31, 51.58, 51.29, 47.09, 41.53, 41.31, 31.46, 27.91, 24.74, 24.60, 22.83, 22.52, 21.96, 19.05, 18.21.

H-Val-Leu₂-OtBu (37)—Fmoc-Val-Leu-Leu-OtBu **36** (1.15 g, 1.85 mmol, 1 equiv.) was dissolved in THF and treated with EtSH (1.37 ml, 18.5 mmol, 1 equiv.) and DBU (28 μl , 185 μmol , 0.1 equiv.) for 1.5 h before being concentrated and purified on column chromatography (EA : PE : MeOH 50 : 50 : 0 : 100 : 0 : 0 : 95 : 0 : 5) to yield the title compound (672 mg, 1.68 mmol, 91%) ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3): 7.85 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 3H), 7.40 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 3H), 4.74–4.58 (m, 3H), 4.40 (dd, $J = 13.9$, 7.9 Hz, 3H), 3.23 (d, $J = 4.1$ Hz, 3H), 2.36–2.18 (m, 3H), 1.74–1.49 (m, 6H), 1.46 (s, 9H), 0.98 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H), 0.95–0.87 (m, 12H), 0.84 (d, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): 174.15, 171.75, 171.41, 80.93, 59.87, 51.25, 50.67, 41.00, 40.87, 30.63, 27.60, 24.46, 24.33, 22.64, 22.31, 21.86, 21.83, 19.31, 15.94.

tBuO-Leu-Val-urea-Val-Leu-VS (42)—BocValLeuVS **17** (64 mg, 164 μmol , 1 equiv.) was stirred in 1 : 1 TFA : DCM for 30 min. before being coevaporated with toluene (3 $\times$). The residue was dissolved in DCM and treated with the isocyanate of Val-Leu-OtBu **41** (obtained from H-Val-Leu-OtBu **33** (54 mg, 188 μmol , 1.15 equiv.) employing general procedure B) and DiPEA (60 μl , 361 μmol , 2.2 equiv.). The mixture was stirred for 2 h before being washed with 1 M HCl (2 $\times$) and dried over MgSO_4 . Column chromatography (MeOH : DCM 1 : 3%) yielded the title compound (54 mg, 90 μmol , 54%). LCMS: R_t 9.02 min (linear gradient 10 : 90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_3OD): 6.81 (dd, $J_1 = 15.2$, $J_2 = 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, $J = 15.2$ Hz, 1H), 6.57–6.39 (m, 1H), 4.83–4.66 (m, 1H), 4.36 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.15 (t, $J = 6.5$ Hz, 1H), 4.05 (t, $J = 6.4$ Hz, 1H), 2.98 (s, 3H), 2.04 (dq, $J = 13.0$, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.71 (td, $J_1 = 13.3$, $J_2 = 6.6$ Hz, 2H), 1.67–1.53 (m, 4H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.15–0.82 (m, 24H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_3OD): 174.66, 174.59, 173.20, 148.49, 130.97, 82.56, 60.91, 60.84, 60.00, 52.90, 49.12, 43.34, 42.84, 41.66, 32.63, 32.30, 28.27, 25.91, 25.83, 23.37, 23.29, 22.10, 22.06, 20.00, 18.54, 18.49. HRMS: calcd. for $[\text{C}_{29}\text{H}_{55}\text{N}_4\text{O}_7\text{S}]^+$ 603.37860 found 603.37881

tBuO-Leu₂Val-urea-Leu-VS (40)—TFA·H-Leu-VS **38** (220 μmol , 1 equiv.) was transformed to the isocyanate **39** employing general procedure A. H-Val-Leu-Leu-OtBu **37** (97 mg, 242 μmol , 1.1 equiv.) and DiPEA (80 μl , 484 μmol , 2.2 equiv.) were dissolved in DCM and added to the isocyanate solution and the resulting mixture was stirred for 2 h, washed with 1 M HCl (2 $\times$) and dried over MgSO_4 . Column chromatography (MeOH : DCM

0–3%) yielded the title compound (113 mg, 183 μ mol, 83%). LCMS: R_t 9.40 min (linear gradient 10–90% ACN + 0.1% TFA, 15 min). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl_3 , CD_3OD): 7.93 (t, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 6.84 (dd, $J_1 = 15.1$, $J_2 = 5.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.53 (d, $J = 15.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.31 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.02 (d, $J = 8.8$ Hz, 1H), 4.55–4.42 (m, 2H), 4.35 (dd, $J_1 = 14.5$, $J_2 = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 4.13–4.03 (m, 1H), 2.98 (s, 3H), 2.09–1.95 (m, 1H), 1.85–1.50 (m, 9H), 1.47 (s, 9H), 1.10–0.77 (m, 24H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3 , CD_3OD): 172.55, 172.15, 171.43, 157.68, 148.96, 127.92, 81.13, 51.14, 50.99, 48.16, 42.58, 41.69, 40.28, 40.11, 30.76, 26.96, 24.12, 23.96, 21.90, 20.96, 20.74, 18.39, 16.92. HRMS: calcd. for $[\text{C}_{30}\text{H}_{57}\text{N}_4\text{O}_7\text{S}]^+$ 617.39425, found 617.39466.

4.2 Competition experiments *in vitro*

HEK293T cells were cultured on DMEM supplemented with 10% Fetal Calf Serum (FCS), 10 units ml^{-1} penicillin and 10 $\mu\text{g ml}^{-1}$ streptomycin in a 7% CO_2 humidified incubator at 37 °C. Cells were harvested, washed 2 $\times$ with PBS and permeated in digitonin lysis buffer (4 $\times$ pellet volume, 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 250 mM sucrose, 5 mM MgCl_2 , 5 mM DTT, 0.025% digitonin) for 15 min on ice and centrifuged at 16,100 rcf. for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant containing the cytosolic fraction was collected and the protein content was determined by Bradford assay (Biorad). 15 μg (HEK293T) total protein per experiment was exposed to the inhibitors (10 $\times$ solution in DMSO) for 1 h. at 37 °C prior to incubation with MVB003 (500 nM) for 1 h. at 37 °C. Reaction mixtures were boiled with Laemmli's buffer containing β -mercaptoethanol for 3 min before being resolved on 12.5% SDS-PAGE. In-gel detection of fluorescently labeled proteins was performed in the wet gel slabs directly on the Typhoon Variable Mode Imager (Amersham Biosciences) using the Cy3/Tamra settings (ex 532, em 560).

4.3 Competition experiments *in vivo*

1×10^6 HEK cells were seeded and grown overnight. 100 $\times$ stock solution of inhibitor was added to 1 ml medium and the cells were incubated for 4 h at 37 °C. MVB003 (100 $\times$ stock solution in DMSO, 5 μM end concentration) was added and incubated for 2 h at 37 °C. Cells were harvested, washed 2 $\times$ with PBS and permeated in digitonin lysis buffer (4 $\times$ pellet volume, 50 mM Tris pH 7.5, 250 mM sucrose, 5 mM MgCl_2 , 5 mM DTT, 0.025% digitonin) for 15 min on ice and centrifuged at 16,100 rcf. for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant containing the cytosolic fraction was collected and the protein content was determined by Bradford assay (Biorad). 10 μg protein (dilution with lysis buffer) was boiled in Laemmli's sample buffer and resolved as described above. Coomassie Brilliant Blue staining of the gel verified protein loading.

4.4 Fluorogenic substrate assay

26S proteasomes were purified from rabbit muscle as described.²⁷ To determine inhibition of purified proteasomes, they were incubated with inhibitors for 30 min at 37 °C followed by assay of activity with fluorogenic substrates Suc-LLVY-amc (chymotrypsin-like site), Ac-RLR-amc (trypsin-like site), and Ac-nLPnLD-amc (caspase-like site).⁴³

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (NWO) and the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (NGI) by the U.S. National Cancer Institute (5RO1CA124634 and ARRA Supplement to this Award). Thanks to Hans van der Elst for HRMS analysis, Kees Erkelens and Fons Lefeber for assistance with NMR.

References

1. Wäspi U, Blanc D, Winkler T, Rüedi P, Dudler R. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 1998; 11:727–733.
2. Kolodziejek I, Misas-Villamil JC, Kaschani F, Clerc J, Gu C, Krahn D, Niessen S, Verdoes M, Willems LI, Overkleeft HS, Kaiser M, van der Hoorn RA. *Plant Physiol.* 2011; 155:477–489. [PubMed: 21045122]
3. Schellenberg B, Ramel C, Dudler R. *Mol. Plant-Microbe Interact.* 2010; 23:1287–93. [PubMed: 20831408]
4. Dick TP, Nussbaum AK, Deeg M, Heinemeyer W, Groll M, Schirle M, Keilholz W, Stevanovi S, Wolf DH, Huber R, Rammensee H-G, Schild H. *J. Biol. Chem.* 1998; 273:25637–25646. [PubMed: 9748229]
5. Groll M, Schellenberg B, Bachmann AS, Archer CR, Huber R, Powell TK, Lindow S, Kaiser M, Dudler R. *Nature.* 2008; 452:755–758. [PubMed: 18401409]
6. O'Connor OA, Stewart AK, Vallone M, Molineaux CJ, Kunkel LA, Gerecitano JF, Orlowski RZ. *Clin. Cancer Res.* 2009; 15:7085–7091. [PubMed: 19903785]
7. Piva R, Ruggeri B, Williams M, Costa G, Tamagno I, Ferrero D, Gai V, Coscia M, Peola S, Massaia M, Pezzoni G, Allievi C, Pescalli N, Cassin M, di Giovine S, Nicoli P, de Feudis P, Strepponi I, Roato I, Ferracini R, Bussolati B, Camussi G, Jones-Bolin S, Hunter K, Zhao H, Neri A, Palumbo A, Berkers C, Ovaa H, Bernareggi A, Inghirami G. *Blood.* 2008; 111:2765–2775. [PubMed: 18057228]
8. Chauhan D, Catley L, Li G, Podar K, Hideshima T, Velankar M, Mitsiades C, Mitsiades N, Yasui H, Letai A, Ovaa H, Berkers C, Nicholson B, Chao T-H, Neuteboom ST, Richardson P, Palladino MA, Anderson KC. *Cancer Cell.* 2005; 8:407–419. [PubMed: 16286248]
9. Zhou H-J, Aujay MA, Bennett MK, Dajee M, Demo SD, Fang Y, Ho MN, Jiang J, Kirk CJ, Laidig GJ, Lewis ER, Lu Y, Muchamuel T, Parlati F, Ring E, Shenk KD, Shields J, Shwonek PJ, Stanton T, Sun CM, Sylvain C, Woo TM, Yang J. *J. Med. Chem.* 2009; 52:3028–3038. [PubMed: 19348473]
10. Kupperman E, Lee EC, Cao YY, Bannerman B, Fitzgerald M, Berger A, Yu J, Yang Y, Hales P, Bruzzese F, Liu J, Blank J, Garcia K, Tsu C, Dick L, Fleming P, Yu L, Manfredi M, Rolfe M, Bolen J. *Cancer Res.* 2010; 70:1970–1980. [PubMed: 20160034]
11. Archer CR, Koomoa D-LT, Mitsunaga EM, Clerc J, Shimizu M, Kaiser M, Schellenberg B, Dudler R, Bachmann AS. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2010; 80:170–178. [PubMed: 20362557]
12. Coleman CS, Rocetes JP, Park DJ, Wallick CJ, Warn-Cramer BJ, Michel K, Dudler R, Bachmann AS. *Cell Proliferation.* 2006; 39:599–609. [PubMed: 17109642]
13. Dai C, Stephenson CRJ. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:3453–3455. [PubMed: 20597471]
14. Pirrung MC, Biswas G, Ibarra-Rivera TR. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:2402–2405. [PubMed: 20426399]
15. Clerc J, Groll M, Illich DJ, Bachmann AS, Huber R, Schellenberg B, Dudler R, Kaiser M. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 2009; 106:6507–6512. [PubMed: 19359491]
16. Clerc J, Schellenberg B, Groll M, Bachmann AS, Huber R, Dudler R, Kaiser M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2010:3991–4003.
17. Clerc J, Li N, Krahn D, Groll M, Bachmann AS, Florea BI, Overkleeft HS, Kaiser M. *Chem. Commun.* 2011; 47:385–387.
18. Myers AC, Kowalski JA, Lipton MA. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004; 14:5219–5222. [PubMed: 15380231]
19. Barth B, Myers A, Lipton M. *J. Pept. Res.* 2005; 65:352–354. [PubMed: 15787965]
20. Sanders ML, Donkor IO. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2006; 16:1965–1968. [PubMed: 16412635]
21. Winn M, Goss RJM, Kimura K-I, Bugg TDH. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2010; 27:279–304. [PubMed: 20111805]
22. Walther T, Renner S, Waldmann H, Arndt H-D. *ChemBioChem.* 2009; 10:1153–1162. [PubMed: 19360807]
23. Namikoshi M, Rinehart K. *J. Ind. Microbiol.* 1996; 17:373–384.
24. Clerc J, Florea BI, Kraus M, Groll M, Huber R, Bachmann AS, Dudler R, Driessen C, Overkleeft HS, Kaiser M. *ChemBioChem.* 2009; 10:2638–2643. [PubMed: 19746508]

25. Anshu A, Thomas S, Agarwal P, Ibarra-Rivera TR, Pirrung MC, Schnthal AH. *Biochem. Pharmacol.* 2011; 82:600–609. [PubMed: 21736873]
26. Britton M, Lucas MM, Downey SL, Screen M, Pletnev AA, Verdoes M, Tokhunts RA, Amir O, Goddard AL, Pelphrey PM, Wright DL, Overkleeft HS, Kisselev AF. *Chem. Biol.* 2009; 16:1278–1289. [PubMed: 20064438]
27. Screen M, Britton M, Downey SL, Verdoes M, Voges MJ, Blom AEM, Geurink PP, Risseuw MDP, Florea BI, van der Linden WA, Pletnev AA, Overkleeft HS, Kisselev AF. *J. Biol. Chem.* 2010; 285:40125–40134. [PubMed: 20937826]
28. Mirabella AC, Pletnev AA, Downey SL, Florea BI, Shabaneh TB, Britton M, Verdoes M, Filippov DV, Overkleeft HS, Kisselev AF. *Chem. Biol.* 2011; 18:608–618. [PubMed: 21609842]
29. Verdoes M, Florea BI, van der Linden WA, Renou D, van den Nieuwendijk AMCH, van der Marel GA, Overkleeft HS. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2007; 5:1416–1426. [PubMed: 17464411]
30. Elofsson M, Splittgerber U, Myung J, Mohan R, Crews CM. *Chem. Biol.* 1999; 6:811–822. [PubMed: 10574782]
31. Bogyo M, Shin S, McMaster JS, Ploegh HL. *Chem. Biol.* 1998; 5:307–320. [PubMed: 9653549]
32. Bogyo M, McMaster JS, Gaczynska M, Tortorella D, Goldberg AL, Ploegh H. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* 1997; 94:6629–6634. [PubMed: 9192616]
33. Wünsch E, Drees F. *Chem. Ber.* 1966; 99:110–120. [PubMed: 5904117]
34. Nitecki DE, Halpern B, Westley JW. *J. Org. Chem.* 1968; 33:864–866. [PubMed: 21894993]
35. Florea BI, Verdoes M, Li N, van der Linden WA, Geurink PP, van den Elst H, Hofmann T, de Ru A, van Veelen PA, Tanaka K, Sasaki K, Murata S, den Dulk H, Brouwer J, Ossendorp FA, Kisselev AF, Overkleeft HS. *Chem. Biol.* 2010; 17:795–801. [PubMed: 20797608]
36. Verdoes M, Willems LI, van der Linden WA, Duivenvoorden BA, van der Marel GA, Florea BI, Kisselev AF, Overkleeft HS. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2010; 8:2719–2727. [PubMed: 20449511]
37. Eisenbrand G, Lauck-Birkel S, Tang WC. *Synthesis.* 1996; 10:1246–1258.
38. Sheppeck JE, Kar H, Hong H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000; 41:5329–5333.
39. Nowick JS, Holmes DL, Noronha G, Smith EM, Nguyen TM, Huang S-L. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996; 61:3929–3934. [PubMed: 11667260]
40. Groll M, Berkers CR, Ploegh HL, Ovaa H. *Structure.* 2006; 14:451–456. [PubMed: 16531229]
41. Borissenko L, Groll M. *Chem. Rev.* 2007; 107:687–717. [PubMed: 17316053]
42. Russo F, Wängsell F, Sävmärker J, Jacobsson M, Larhed M. *Tetrahedron.* 2009; 65:10047–10059.
43. Geurink PP, Liu N, Spaans MP, Downey SL, van den Nieuwendijk AMCH, van der Marel GA, Kisselev AF, Florea BI, Overkleeft HS. *J. Med. Chem.* 2010; 53:2319–2323. [PubMed: 20131905]

Fig. 1.
Structure of syringolin A and B.

Fig. 2.
Literature SylA modifications.

Fig. 3.
Panel of synthesized potential proteasome inhibitors.

Fig. 4.
 Synthesis of tripeptide vinyl sulfones and epoxyketones.

Fig. 5.
Synthesis of tetrapeptide vinyl sulfones and epoxyketones.

Fig. 6.

Competition assay in HEK293T lysate. Lysates (15 μg) were incubated with indicated end concentration of inhibitor for 1 h at 37 °C. Residual proteasome activity was labeled by MVB003 (0.5 μM end concentration) for 1 h at 37 °C). Upper band 2 ([]), middle band 1 ([]), lower band 5 ().

Fig. 7. Competition assay in HEK293T lysate. Lysates (15 μ g) were incubated with indicated end concentration of inhibitor for 1 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. Residual proteasome activity was labeled by MVB003 (0.5 μ M end concentration) for 1 h at 37 $^{\circ}$ C. N.D. not determined. Upper band 2 (), middle band 1 (), lower band 5 ().

Fig. 8.

Synthesis of two potential tetrapeptide proteasome inhibitors with ureido-linkage after P1 or P2.

Fig. 9.

Competition assay in HEK lysate (15 μg protein). Lysates were incubated with indicated end concentration of inhibitor for 1 h at 37 °C. Residual proteasome activity was labeled by MVB003 (0.5 μM end concentration) for 1 h at 37 °C. Upper band 2 (), middle band 1 (), lower band 5 ().

Table 1

Apparent IC₅₀ (μM) values calculated from semi log plots of residual proteasome activity against inhibitor concentration. Either band intensities from each lane of the competition assay gels in Fig. 7 were quantified and used as input, or 26S proteasomes, purified from rabbit muscles, were incubated with different concentrations of inhibitors for 30 min at 37 °C followed by measuring remaining activity with fluorogenic peptides (Suc-LLVY-AMC, 5, Ac-LPnLD-AMC, 1, Ac-RLR-AMC, 2)

	<u>Quantified competition assay gels</u>			<u>Fluorogenic peptide assay</u>		
	5	1	2	5	1	2
Compound	IC ₅₀ (μM)			IC ₅₀ (μM)		
5	~50	0.46	>50	>30		>30
6	>50	1.4	>50			
7	2.9	0.52	>50	>10	1.2	>10
9	0.23	>50	>50	1.0	>30	>30
10	4.2	>50	>50			
11	0.17	>50	2.1	0.64	18	10
13	0.016	1.3	0.69	0.3	>0.3	>0.3
14	0.48	2.8	5.9			
15	0.008	2.4	0.28	0.013	0.24	>0.3
16	0.5	>50	>50			
SylA	1.3	25	6.6			
NC001	>50	0.33	>50			

Table 2

Apparent IC₅₀ (μM) values calculated from semi log plots of residual proteasome activity against inhibitor concentration. Band intensities from each lane of competition assay gels in living cells (Supplementary Information S2) were quantified and used as input. N.I. no inhibition

Quantified competition assay gels			
	5	1	2
Compound	IC ₅₀ (μM)		
5	6.7	0.065	>10
NC001	>10	0.34	>10
13	<0.05 ^a	0.31	0.23
15	<0.05 ^a	0.16	0.063
NC001	0.058	N.I.	N.I.

^a About 80% inhibition of activity observed at 50 nM.