Published in final edited form as:

Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2013 September 1; 23(17): 5011–5013. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.06.032.

Structure Activity Relationship Study of benzo[d]thiazol-2(3H)one Based Receptor Ligands

Rohit Bhat^a, James A. Fishback^b, Rae R. Matsumoto^b, Jacques H. Poupaert^c, and Christopher R. McCurdy^{a,*}

^aDepartment of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Mississippi, University, MS 38677, USA

^bDepartment of Basic Pharmaceutical Sciences, West Virginia University, Morgantown, WV 26506, USA

^cUniversité Catholique de Louvain, 74 Avenue Emmanuel Mounier, B-1200 Brussels, Belgium

Abstract

Herein we report the SAR study which involved structural modifications to the linker length, aryl substitution and alkylamine ring size of the benzo[d]thiazol-2(3H)one based sigma receptor () ligands. Many compounds in this series displayed low nanomolar affinity for the receptor subtypes. In particular, **8a** showed high affinity ($-1 K_i = 4.5$ nM) for -1 receptors and moderately high selectivity (483 fold) over -2 receptors.

Keywords

Sigma receptors; Benzothiazolone; 96 well

Receptors represent a unique family of proteins that have been classified into two subtypes: -1 and -2 . These two subtypes are distinguished on the basis of protein size, tissue distribution and drug selectivity pattern.¹ The -1 receptor has been identified as a ligand regulated chaperone protein that modulates Ca^{2+} signaling at the interface of endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondria.² The -1 receptor has been implicated in the behavioral and motivational effects of psychostimulants^{3–8} as well as in the pathophysiology of depression,^{9,10} Alzheimer's disease^{11,12} and pain.^{13–15} The -2 receptor is widely expressed on many tumor cells and it is believed to play an important role in tumor cell proliferation.^{16,17} The -2 receptor has also been reported to be involved in the pathophysiology of depression,¹⁸ anxiety³ and drug abuse.^{19,20} Therefore, receptors are attractive targets for developing pharmaceutical agents directed towards the treatment of psychostimulant abuse, cancer, Alzheimer's disease, pain as well as diagnostic agents for cancer and nervous system imaging.^{21,22}

A derivatized 2(3H)-benzothiazolone (**1**, Fig. 1) was reported to have high affinity ($K_i = 0.56$ nM) for -1 receptor and over 1000 fold selectivity over -2 receptor in guinea-pig brain membranes.²³ When tested in the rat liver membrane binding assay, **1** demonstrated high

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author: Christopher R. McCurdy, Tel: +1 6629155882, cmccurdy@olemiss.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

affinity ($-1 K_i = 1.6 \pm 0.1$ nM) albeit lower preference for -1 receptor (Table 1). A fluorinated derivative (**2**, Fig. 1) was synthesized in our laboratory and was found to have high affinity ($K_i = 0.0025$ nM) for -1 receptor and high selectivity ($> 100,000$ fold) over -2 receptor in rat brain homogenates. Compound **2** was also tested in the rat liver membrane binding assay and was found to have a K_i of 0.96 ± 0.21 nM for -1 receptor and a K_i of 467 ± 60 nM for -2 receptor. This compound was converted into a ^{18}F PET imaging agent and is currently being studied in various species.²⁴ Both of the compounds (**1** & **2**) have a benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)one scaffold and several other structural similarities. This prompted us to investigate the SAR of 3,6-disubstituted benzo[*d*]thiazole-2(3*H*)one's for affinity and selectivity at receptors. The objectives of the study were to investigate the effect of -1) linker length between benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)-one and cycloalkylamine ring; 2) alkyl/acyl substitution on benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)-one; and 3) expansion or contraction of the cycloalkylamine ring on the receptor binding affinity and subtype preference of ligands. A library of benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)one analogues were synthesized and their receptor binding affinities were evaluated in rat liver membranes using a 96 well format high throughput methodology.²⁵ The -1 receptors were labeled with 5nM [^3H](+)-pentazocine. The -2 receptors were labeled with 5 nM [^3H]DTG in the presence of 300 nM (+)-pentazocine. Nonspecific binding was determined in the presence of 10 μM haloperidol.

Commercially available benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)one (**3**, Scheme 1) was treated with dibromoalkane followed by aminocycloalkanes under base catalyzed conditions to give analogue **5a-f** (Scheme 1). 6-acyl benzothiazolone intermediates (**6a&b**; Scheme 1) were synthesized by Friedel-Crafts acylation of benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)one followed by reduction (acyl to alkyl) which led to regiospecific 6-alkyl derivatives (**9a&b**; Scheme 1). The acyl/alkyl derivatives were then treated with dibromoalkane and further treated with aminocycloalkane under base catalyzed conditions to give the analogues (**8a-j** and **11a-g**; Scheme 1). Hydrochloride salts of the compounds were prepared for biological testing.

Benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)one analogues (**5a-d**; Table 1) were synthesized to investigate the effect of spacer length between benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(3*H*)one and azepane ring by varying it from three to six methylene units. All the derivatives displayed good affinity towards both receptor subtypes. The shorter chain analogues (**5a** & **5b**; Table 1) demonstrated high -1 affinity (K_i 4.1 nM and 3.2 nM respectively) and moderate selectivity (43 and 31 fold respectively) versus the -2 subtype. In order to study the importance of aryl substitution along with varying spacer lengths on receptor binding, several aryl substituted (propionyl/butyryl) analogues were synthesized with spacer length varying from two to six methylene units. Analogues with a propionyl/butyryl substitution at the aryl ring and a shorter chain length (**8a**, **8b** & **8g**; Table 1) exhibited higher affinity for -1 subtype. However, analogues with propionyl substitution had highest -1 preference as exhibited by compound **8a** ($-1 K_i = 4.5$ nM). Placing a propionyl group at the sixth position of benzothiazolone ring slightly improved the affinity and selectivity of the compounds for -1 subtype as compared to its non-substituted analogue. Generally, reduction of the aryl acyl moiety led to a slightly increased affinity at both the receptor subtypes (**11a-g**; Table 1). However, the increased affinity came at the expense of subtype selectivity. The best analogues (**11a** & **11b**; Table 1) of this series of compounds exhibited a lower selectivity for -1 subtype as compared to their corresponding analogues (**8a** & **8b**; Table 1) with benzylic ketone functionality. In order to elucidate the importance of ring size on receptor affinity and selectivity some analogues were synthesized in which the seven membered azepane ring was replaced with a five membered pyrrolidine or eight membered octylamine ring. When the ring size was increased (**5f**; Table 1) the compound still retained good affinity for -1 subtype ($K_i = 9.7$ nM) however it lost affinity at -2 subtype ($K_i = 716$ nM). Decreasing the ring size had a detrimental effect on the affinity of the compounds (**5e** & **8f**; Table 1) for both the receptor subtypes as well as their selectivity over -2 subtype. Some trends observed during the SAR

study – (1) increase in linker arm length (from $n = 2$ to $n = 5$), reflects marginal change in affinity at -1 subtype and significant increase in affinity for the -2 subtype; (2) Modification of aryl substitution from propyl (**1**) to propionyl (**8a**) results in a dramatic decrease in -2 affinity with a marginal change in -1 affinity; (3) Reduced affinity for both receptor subtypes when the azepane ring (**8a**) is replaced with a pyrrolidine ring as demonstrated by **5e** and **8f**.

In Summary, we have reported the synthesis and SAR study around the linker length, aromatic substitution and alkylamine ring size of some receptor ligands based on the benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(*3H*)one chemotype. Several of these compounds were shown to have low nanomolar affinity for receptors. The linker chain length between the two hydrophobic regions of the molecule and aryl substitution was important for deciding the subtype selectivity of the ligands whereas the alkylamine ring size was important for the receptor affinity. In this study, **8a** was identified as a compound with high affinity for -1 subtype and appreciable selectivity over -2 subtype.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from the National Institute of Drug Abuse (DA023205 and DA013978) and the National Institute of General Medicine (GM014932). This investigation was conducted in a facility constructed with support from research facilities improvement program number C06 RR-13503-01 from the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Quiron R, Bowen WD, Itzhak Y, Junien JL, Musacchio JM, Rothman RB, Su TP, Tam SW, Taylor DP. Trends Pharmacol Sci. 1992; 13:85. [PubMed: 1315463]
2. Hayashi T, Su TP. Cell. 2007; 131:596. [PubMed: 17981125]
3. Maurice T, Su TP. Pharmacol Ther. 2009; 124:195. [PubMed: 19619582]
4. Romieu P, Martin-Fardon R, Maurice T. Neuroreport. 2000; 11:2885. [PubMed: 11006959]
5. Takashi S, Miwa T, Hirikomi K. Neurosci Lett. 2000; 289:21. [PubMed: 10899399]
6. Matsumoto RR, McCracken KA, Pouw B, Zhang Y, Bowen WD. Neuropharmacol. 2002; 42:1043.
7. Liu Y, Chen GD, Lerner MR, Brackett DJ, Matsumoto RR. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2005; 314:77. [PubMed: 15831442]
8. Hayashi T, Justinova Z, Hayashi E, Cormaci G, Mori T, Tsai SY, Barnes C, Goldberg SR, Su TP. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2010; 332:1054. [PubMed: 19940104]
9. Urani A, Roman FJ, Phan V, Su TP, Maurice T. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2001; 298:1269. [PubMed: 11504830]
10. Lucas G, Rymar VV, Sadikot AF, Debonnel G. Int J Neuropsychopharmacol. 2008; 11:485. [PubMed: 18364064]
11. Jansen KL, Faull RL, Storey P, Leslie RA. Brain Res. 1993; 623:299. [PubMed: 8221112]
12. Mishina M, Ohyama M, Ishii K, Kitamura S, Kimura Y, Oda K, Kawamura K, Sasaki T, Kobayashi S, Katayama Y, Ishiwata K. Ann Nucl Med. 2008; 22:151. [PubMed: 18498028]
13. Mei J, Pasternak GW. J Pharmacol Exp Ther. 2002; 300:1070. [PubMed: 11861817]
14. De la Puente B, Nadal X, Portillo-Salido E, Sanchez-Arroyos R, Ovalle S, Palacios G, Muro A, Romero L, Enterena JM, Baeyens JM, Lopez-Garcia JA, Maldonado R, Zamanillo D, Vela JM. Pain. 2009; 145:294. [PubMed: 19505761]
15. González-Cano R, Merlos M, Baeyens JM, Cendán CM. Anesthesiology. 2013; 118:691. [PubMed: 23299362]

16. Vilner BJ, John CS, Bowen WD. *Cancer Res.* 1995; 55:408. [PubMed: 7812973]
17. Wheeler KT, Wang LM, Wallen CA, Childers SR, Cline JM, Keng PC, Mach RH. *Br J Cancer.* 2000; 82:1223. [PubMed: 10735510]
18. Volz HP, Stoll KD. *Pharmacopsychiatry.* 2004; 37:S214. [PubMed: 15547788]
19. Matsumoto RR, Liu Y, Lerner M, Howard EW, Brackett DJ. *Eur J Pharmacol.* 2003; 469:1. [PubMed: 12782179]
20. Maurice T, Martin-Fardon R, Romieu P, Matsumoto RR. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2002; 26:499. [PubMed: 12204195]
21. Collier TL, Waterhouse RN, Kassiou M. *Curr Pharm Design.* 2007; 13:15.
22. Mach RH, Wheeler KT. *Cent Nerv Syst Agents Med Chem.* 2009; 9:230. [PubMed: 20021357]
23. Yous S, Wallez V, Belloir M, Caignard DH, McCurdy CR, Poupaert JH. *Med Chem Res.* 2005; 14:158.
24. James ML, Shen B, Zavaleta CL, Nielsen CH, Mesangeau C, Vuppala PK, Chan C, Avery BA, Fishback JA, Matsumoto RR, Gambhir SS, McCurdy CR, Chin FT. *J Med Chem.* 2012; 55:8272. [PubMed: 22853801]
25. Fishback JA, Rosen A, Bhat R, McCurdy CR, Matsumoto RR. *J Pharm Biomed Anal.* 2012; 71:157. [PubMed: 22910107]

Fig. 1.
receptor ligands based on benzo[*d*]thiazol-2(*3H*)one scaffold.

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions

(a) dibromoalkanes, K_2CO_3 , DMF, 600C, 3h; (b) cyclic amines, K_2CO_3 , DMF, 600C, 2h; (c) $AlCl_3$ -DMF, acyl halides (or anhydrides), 80°C, 4–5h; (d) triethylsilane, trifluoroacetic acid, rt, 5h.

Table 1

Binding affinities (K_i) of benzo[d]thiazol-2-(3*H*)one compounds at receptor subtypes.

Comp. No.	k	n	m	-1 K_i (nM)	-2 K_i (nM)	K_i -2/ K_i -1
5a	-	2	2	4.1 ± 0.3	177 ± 26	43
5b	-	3	2	3.2 ± 0.02	101 ± 14	31
5c	-	4	2	7.0 ± 0.3	2.5 ± 0.3	0.4
5d	-	5	2	7.5 ± 0.6	2.4 ± 0.4	0.3
5e	-	1	0	578 ± 41	8264 ± 500	14
5f	-	1	3	9.7 ± 0.6	716 ± 30	74
8a	1	1	2	4.5 ± 0.2	2181 ± 127	484
8b	1	2	2	3.7 ± 0.3	305 ± 7.0	83
8c	1	3	2	10.3 ± 0.9	30.3 ± 2.0	3.0
8d	1	4	2	12.2 ± 1.1	8.3 ± 0.8	0.7
8e	1	5	2	10.4 ± 0.1	1.1 ± 0.1	0.1
8f	1	1	0	116 ± 15	4787 ± 101	41
8g	2	2	2	2.6 ± 0.4	104 ± 1.9	39
8h	2	3	2	4.8 ± 0.1	21.6 ± 4.2	4.5
8i	2	4	2	16.3 ± 0.6	5.7 ± 0.5	0.4
8j	2	5	2	10.8 ± 0.4	2.3 ± 0.3	0.2
11a	1	2	2	1.4 ± 0.1	17.2 ± 1.0	13
11b	1	3	2	6.1 ± 1.2	4.3 ± 0.3	0.7
11c	1	4	2	4.6 ± 0.4	1.6 ± 0.1	0.3
11d	1	5	2	6.3 ± 0.9	2.3 ± 0.2	0.4
11e	2	2	2	2.2 ± 0.4	15.3 ± 0.9	7.0
11f	2	3	2	1.9 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.3	2.4
11g	2	5	2	12 ± 0.7	4.1 ± 0.6	0.3
1	1	1	2	1.6 ± 0.1	270 ± 4.7	169