Published in final edited form as:

Nat Clim Chang. 2013 April 1; 3: 379–384. doi:10.1038/nclimate1732.

Response of snow-dependent hydrologic extremes to continued global warming

Noah S. Diffenbaugh^{1,*}, Martin Scherer¹, and Moetasim Ashfaq²

¹Department of Environmental Earth System Science and Woods Institute for the Environment, Stanford University, CA, USA

²Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA

Abstract

Snow accumulation is critical for water availability in the northern hemisphere ^{1,2}, raising concern that global warming could have important impacts on natural and human systems in snow-dependent regions ^{1,3}. Although regional hydrologic changes have been observed (e.g., ^{1,3–5}), the time of emergence of extreme changes in snow accumulation and melt remains a key unknown for assessing climate change impacts ^{3,6,7}. We find that the CMIP5 global climate model ensemble exhibits an imminent shift towards low snow years in the northern hemisphere, with areas of western North America, northeastern Europe, and the Greater Himalaya showing the strongest emergence during the near-term decades and at 2°C global warming. The occurrence of extremely low snow years becomes widespread by the late-21st century, as do the occurrence of extremely high early-season snowmelt and runoff (implying increasing flood risk), and extremely low late-season snowmelt and runoff (implying increasing water stress). Our results suggest that many snow-dependent regions of the northern hemisphere are likely to experience increasing stress from low snow years within the next three decades, and from extreme changes in snow-dominated water resources if global warming exceeds 2°C above the pre-industrial baseline.

Water resources in most land areas north of 30°N are dependent on natural water storage provided by snowpack ^{1,2}, with water accumulated in the solid phase during the cold season and released in the liquid phase during warm events and the warm season. Abnormally warm conditions increase the fraction of accumulated snow that melts and the fraction of precipitation that falls as rain, resulting in low seasonal snow accumulation, high cold-season surface runoff, and low warm-season surface runoff ³. Such events exert stress on a wide array of natural and human systems, including through increased pest pressure ⁸, wild fires ⁹ and die-off ¹⁰ in forest ecosystems; decreased water supply for agriculture, energy generation, human consumption, and riparian ecosystems ^{2,11,12}; and decreased snow availability for recreation ¹³. Given these vulnerabilities and the critical sensitivity of snow to temperature, global warming is expected to impact natural and human systems in snow-dependent regions ^{1,3,13}. However, because internal climate system variability strongly influences cold-season temperature and precipitation ^{14,15}, the pattern of emergence of robust changes in the extremes of snow accumulation and melt remains an important uncertainty for both adaptation and mitigation decisions ³.

*corresponding author: NSD, diffenbaugh@stanford.edu, 473 Via Ortega, Stanford, CA, 94305-4216, USA, 650-725-7510 (voice), 650-498-5099 (fax).

Author contributions

NSD designed the climate model analysis, performed the climate model analysis, and wrote the paper. MS designed the climate model analysis and performed the climate model analysis. MA designed the climate model analysis and wrote the paper.

We analyze snow-related variables from the CMIP5 RCP8.5 global climate model experiment ¹⁶ (see Methods). Of the IPCC Representative Concentration Pathways, RCP8.5 exhibits the highest levels of forcing and global warming at the end of the 21st century, with radiative forcing reaching $\sim 8.5 \text{ W/m}^2$, greenhouse gas concentrations exceeding 1370 ppm CO₂-e ¹⁷, and median global warming reaching 4.9 °C above the pre-industrial baseline ¹⁸.

Given the importance of April 1st snow water equivalent (SWE) ^{7,19,20}, we focus our snow accumulation analyses on the monthly-mean March SWE (see Methods). Although the CMIP5 ensemble captures the observed geographic distribution of snow occurrence (Fig. S1) ¹, the ensemble shows substantial biases in the simulated baseline March SWE, with all 9 of our illustrative regions exhibiting at least a half order of magnitude range in model agreement with the observational mean and/or interannual variability (Fig. 1) (see Methods for description of the observational snow data). However, in spite of the substantial disagreement with observations, there is substantial agreement in the simulated fraction of March SWE remaining during all three 21st century periods, with the ensemble mean exceeding 2 standard deviations of the ensemble variability in all 9 regions (excepting the western U.S. in 2070–2099 and central Asia in 2040–2069 and 2070–2099) (Fig. 1, S2, S3).

The fact that the CMIP5 ensemble exhibits a robust response of regional snow accumulation to elevated greenhouse forcing motivates exploration of potential changes in snow-related hydrologic extremes. We begin by analyzing the percentage of years in which March SWE falls below the simulated 1976–2005 median value (“low years”) and minimum value (“extremely low years”) (Fig. 2). The occurrence of low years intensifies throughout most snow-dominated areas ¹ of the northern hemisphere during the 21st century, reaching greater than 80% over most of North America, western Eurasia and southeastern Eurasia during the 2070–2099 period. The areas of North America and Eurasia that exhibit peak occurrence of low snow years also exhibit peak occurrence of extremely low snow years, including greater than 20% during the 2040–2069 period and 50% during the 2070–2099 period. (The simulated change in extremely low years is very similar between March and the other cold-season months; Fig. S4.)

The mean changes in low snow years are greater than twice the inter-model and intra-model standard deviation over much of North America, western Eurasia, southeastern Eurasia and northeastern Eurasia beginning in the 2040–2069 period (Fig. 2, S7, S8). Further, the mean changes in extremely low years are greater than the inter-model standard deviation – and greater than twice the intra-model standard deviation – over much of North America, western Eurasia and southeastern Eurasia beginning in the 2040–2069 period (Fig. 2, S7, S9). In addition to the general pattern of increasing model agreement later in the RCP8.5 pathway, we find generally greater model agreement at common levels of warming (Fig. S6) than at common levels of forcing (Fig. 2), suggesting that some of the model differences within each of the RCP8.5 periods (Fig. 1, 2) are due to differences in global climate sensitivity.

Northeastern Eurasia and the high Arctic of North America exhibit both decreasing occurrence of low snow years (Fig. 2) and increasing occurrence of extremely high snow years (Fig. S5). The regional increases in high snow years result from increasing precipitation (Fig. 3) ²¹ in combination with cold-season temperatures that remain below freezing despite 21st-century warming ²². The increasing precipitation is consistent with the response of Arctic water vapor and cloud cover to atmospheric warming ^{21,22}, the response of the Arctic boundary layer inversion to decreasing Arctic sea ice ²², and the response of the dominant storm tracks to a decreased equator-to-pole temperature gradient ²³.

The increasing occurrence of low snow years that is identified over much of the northern hemisphere (Fig. 2) is associated with substantial changes in the seasonal distribution of total surface runoff (Fig. 3, S10, S11). For example, the occurrence of years with winter runoff above the simulated baseline winter maximum exceeds 20% in the 2040–2069 period (Fig. S11) and 40% in the 2070–2099 period over large areas of North America and Eurasia, with the ensemble mean occurrence exceeding the ensemble standard deviation over most areas of peak occurrence (Fig. 3). In addition, areas of extremely high winter runoff are associated with extremely low spring runoff, including greater than 30% of years below the spring baseline minimum over large areas of western North America and northwestern Eurasia in the 2070–2099 period (Fig. 3). Likewise, occurrence of extremely high spring runoff exceeds 20% in the 2040–2069 period (Fig. S11) and 30% in the 2070–2099 period over the Greater Himalaya²⁴ and high-latitude areas of North America and northeastern Eurasia (Fig. 3). The extremely high spring runoff over many of these areas is associated with extremely low summer runoff, including greater than 20% of years below the baseline summer minimum over areas of the Greater Himalaya and northeastern Eurasia during the 2070–2099 period. The ensemble mean occurrence of extremely low spring and summer runoff exceeds the ensemble standard deviation primarily in areas of peak occurrence (Fig. 3).

The changes in extreme seasonal total surface runoff appear to be more closely associated with changes in extreme seasonal snowmelt than changes in extreme seasonal precipitation, although extremely high spring precipitation does appear to contribute to the occurrence of extremely high spring total surface runoff over the Greater Himalaya (Fig. 3). Similarly, the occurrence of extremely high spring snowmelt and total surface runoff over northeastern Eurasia in the 2070–2099 period (Fig. 3) is likely due to the occurrence of extremely high March SWE (Fig. S5), which is in turn linked to the occurrence of extremely high winter precipitation (Fig. 3). High levels of model agreement are more widespread for extreme precipitation and snowmelt than for extreme total surface runoff (Fig. 3). (Comparisons between precipitation, snowmelt and total surface runoff should be treated with some caution as the CMIP5 dataset currently varies in ensemble size for these variables; Table S1.)

The model biases (Fig. 1) create a number of important caveats. First, it is important to emphasize that the GCMs do not resolve the complex topography of the snow-dominated mountain regions. Indeed, the western U.S., central Asia, and Greater Himalaya show the greatest variation in model agreement with observations. All three of these regions are both topographically complex (which increases model temperature and precipitation biases through disagreement between the model topography and the real topography) and located in the mid-latitudes (which increases the likelihood that model biases place the simulated cold-season temperature on the incorrect side of the melt-freeze threshold). The western U.S. and Greater Himalaya both exhibit intra-regional heterogeneity in the sign of trends in accumulated solid water over recent decades^{6,7}, raising particular caution about the ability of GCMs that do not capture the regional topographic complexity to accurately simulate the response of snow-related extremes. However, while the limitations caused by low model resolution should not be under-emphasized, it is notable that the majority of CMIP5 GCMs exhibit higher-than-observed mean and variability of March SWE over the western U.S. and Greater Himalaya during the baseline period (Fig. 1), neither of which would be expected to bias those models toward accelerated increases in extremely low snow accumulation. In contrast, the majority of CMIP5 GCMs exhibit lower-than-observed mean and variability of March SWE over central Asia (Fig. 1), suggesting that the simulated regional reductions in snow accumulation could be artificially enhanced by the model biases. Using bias-corrected and spatially down-scaled temperature and precipitation fields from the GCMs as inputs to a

hydrologic model would likely improve agreement between simulated and observed SWE ²⁵.

Uncertainties associated with precipitation also create important caveats. In particular, as long as temperatures remain sufficiently cold to support solid water, changes in precipitation should dominate the snow response to global warming. The occurrence of extremely high winter precipitation increases over large areas of the high latitudes of North America and Eurasia beginning in the 2040–2069 period, with the ensemble mean exceeding the ensemble standard deviation over most of those areas (Fig. 3, S11). However, only northeastern Eurasia and areas of the high Arctic of central North America exhibit increasing occurrence of extremely high cold-season SWE (Fig. S5), suggesting that increases in cold-season temperature overwhelm robust increases in extremely high winter precipitation to prevent increases in extremely high snow years over much of the high latitudes. Likewise, the western U.S. – where temperature is presently much closer to the liquid/solid threshold – exhibits increases in extremely low cold-season SWE (Fig. S4), despite increases in extremely high winter precipitation (Fig. 3, S11). The ensemble mean occurrences of low snow years and high precipitation years exceed the ensemble standard deviation over most areas of the western U.S. (Fig. 3, S4, S11), again suggesting that increasing cold-season temperatures overwhelm robust increases in the occurrence of extremely high cold-season precipitation. However, unrealistically smooth model topography over the western U.S. could artificially accentuate the influence of warming by artificially raising the simulated surface temperature of high elevation areas, again highlighting the importance of bias correction, spatial down-scaling and hydrologic modeling ²⁵. (Further, when considering our measures of climate model agreement, it is important to note that the different models are not entirely independent ²⁶.)

These caveats notwithstanding, the emergence of frequent snow-related hydrologic extremes could have important impacts, particularly given current reservoir capacity and population growth ¹. For example, the water systems of the western U.S. are heavily dependent on natural storage by mountain snowpack during the cold season and gradual release by snowmelt during the warm season ³. Increasing occurrence of extremely low snow years (Fig. 2) and a shift towards extremely high winter runoff and extremely low spring runoff (Fig. 3) would increase the need for both flood control and liquid storage capacity, and decrease the availability of water for high-value agricultural systems during the dry warm-season months (when irrigation demand is highest). Early spring snowmelt has also been associated with increased occurrence of wildfires ⁹, increased vulnerability of riparian ecosystems ¹², enhanced pest pressure ⁸ and species extinctions ²⁷ in the western U.S., and similar impacts have been identified in Europe ^{2,11,13}. Further, >50% of the world's population lives downstream of the Greater Himalaya region ¹, with snowmelt providing >40% of pre- and early-monsoon discharge in the Greater Himalaya catchments, and >65% and >30% of annual discharge in the Indus and Tsangpo/Brahmaputra catchments (respectively) ²⁴. Increasing occurrence of extremely low snow years (Fig. 2) and a shift towards extremely high winter/spring runoff and extremely low summer runoff (Fig. 3) would therefore increase flood risk during the winter/spring, and decrease availability of freshwater during the summer.

Given the potential impacts across the northern hemisphere, our results highlight the likelihood of intensifying hydrologic stress in snow-dependent regions, beginning in the near-term decades when global warming is likely to remain within 2°C of the pre-industrial baseline ¹⁸.

Methods

Models and Analysis

We analyze global climate model output from the CMIP5 RCP8.5 experiment¹⁶ (Table S1). We analyze changes from the 1976–2005 baseline in the 2010–2039, 2040–2069, and 2070–2099 periods of RCP8.5, and as a function of global warming in each individual realization. Following ref²⁸, we first interpolate each model to a common 1-degree geographical grid.

We analyze the CMIP5 *snw* variable, which is defined as the surface snow amount in units of kg m^{-2} . As April 1st snow water equivalent (SWE) is a representative metric of the net snow accumulated during the northern hemisphere winter snow season^{7,19,20}, we focus our analyses on March *snw*, which reflects the mean monthly March SWE. (Our analyses yield similar SWE results for the other cold-season months; Fig. S4, S5.)

We compare the simulated SWE values with observational SWE values from the National Snow and Ice Data Center's global monthly EASE-grid SWE data²⁹. The observational snow data are derived from microwave soundings and provided on a 25-km equal area grid. We compare the simulated and observational mean and interannual variability for each model realization over 9 northern-hemisphere regions³⁰ (Fig. 1; Table S2). We first calculate the area-weighted mean for March of each year in the 1979–2005 period of each model realization and of the observational dataset, and then calculate the regional mean and interannual standard deviation of each timeseries. In addition, we compare the fractional change in regional accumulated March SWE among the different models in the three periods of RCP8.5.

We also analyze the occurrence of the simulated baseline median and minimum accumulated March SWE at each grid point. We first calculate the simulated median and minimum March values at each grid point during the 1976–2005 period of each realization. We then calculate the number of years with March values less than the respective realization median and minimum baseline values at each grid point during the 2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099 periods of each realization, and for the 30 years centered on 2°C, 3°C, and 4°C of global warming above the pre-industrial. We then calculate the mean of the exceedences across the realizations in each period.

We also analyze the precipitation, snowmelt runoff, and total surface runoff during the northern hemisphere winter (December-January-February; DJF), spring (March-April-May; MAM), and summer (June-July-August; JJA) seasons. For each of the seasons, we calculate the maximum (minimum) simulated baseline value at each grid point in each realization as the highest (lowest) seasonal value that occurred during the 1976–2005 period. We then calculate the number of seasons with simulated values greater (less) than the respective realization maximum (minimum) baseline value at each grid point during the 2010–2039, 2040–2069 and 2070–2099 periods of each realization. We then calculate the mean of the exceedences across the realizations.

Statistical Robustness

We calculate five measures of statistical robustness. To quantify the signal-to-noise ratio between the CMIP5 realizations, we follow the IPCC²¹ and calculate the ratio between the mean of the model simulated values in the future period and the standard deviation of the model simulated values in the future period, for all of the available realizations (Table S1) (“Measure 1”). To test the influence of non-uniform ensemble size on the ensemble signal-to-noise ratio, we repeat Measure 1 using only one realization from each model (Table S1) (“Measure 2”). To test the influence of intra-model variability on the ensemble signal-to-

noise ratio, we repeat Measure 1 using only the realizations from the individual model that has the largest ensemble size (CSIRO-Mk3-6-0; 10 realizations; Table S1) (“Measure 3”).

To test whether the distribution of threshold exceedences in the future period is statistically distinguishable from the distribution resulting from baseline internal climate system variability, we calculate the ratio between the single-model mean occurrence in the future period and the single-model standard deviation of occurrence in the baseline period, using only the realizations from the individual model that has the largest ensemble size (CSIRO-Mk3-6-0). We calculate the single-model standard deviation in the baseline period by first calculating the mean of the 1976–2005 threshold values in the 10 CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 simulations, then calculating the standard deviation of the distribution of exceedences of that mean threshold across the 10 baseline realizations. We calculate the threshold occurrence in the future period of each CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 realization as in Measure 3 (using the respective historical threshold value from each CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 realization; “Measure 4”), and using the mean of the historical threshold values from the 10 CSIRO-Mk3-6-0 realizations (“Measure 5”).

For all 5 measures, we first subtract the fractional occurrence in the baseline period from the fractional occurrence in the future period. By definition, for the baseline period, the fractional occurrence of years below the baseline median is 0.5, while the fractional occurrence of years below the baseline minimum (above the baseline maximum) is 0.0.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank three anonymous reviewers for insightful and constructive comments. Support for data storage and analysis was provided by the Center for Computational Earth and Environmental Sciences (CEES) in the School of Earth Sciences at Stanford University. We acknowledge the World Climate Research Programme’s Working Group on Coupled Modelling, which is responsible for CMIP, and we thank the climate modeling groups (listed in Table S1 of this paper) for producing and making available their model output. For CMIP the U.S. Department of Energy’s Program for Climate Model Diagnosis and Intercomparison provides coordinating support and led development of software infrastructure in partnership with the Global Organization for Earth System Science Portals. We thank the National Snow and Ice Data Center for providing the snow water equivalent data. Our work was supported by NSF award 0955283 and NIH award 1R01AI090159-01 to NSD, and ORNL LDRD 32112413 and DOE award ERKP777 to MA.

References

1. Barnett TP, Adam JC, Lettenmaier DP. Potential impacts of a warming climate on water availability in snow-dominated regions. *Nature*. 2005; 438:303–309. 10.1038/nature04141. [PubMed: 16292301]
2. Viviroli D, Durr HH, Messerli B, Meybeck M, Weingartner R. Mountains of the world, water towers for humanity: Typology, mapping, and global significance. *Water Resour Res*. 2007; 43 10.1029/2006wr005653.
3. Stewart IT. Changes in snowpack and snowmelt runoff for key mountain regions. *Hydrol Process*. 2009; 23:78–94. 10.1002/hyp.7128.
4. Barnett TP, et al. Human-induced changes in the hydrology of the western United States. *Science*. 2008; 319:1080–1083. [PubMed: 18239088]
5. Choi G, Robinson DA, Kang S. Changing Northern Hemisphere Snow Seasons. *J Clim*. 2010; 23:5305–5310. 10.1175/2010jcli3644.1.
6. Gardelle J, Berthier E, Arnaud Y. Slight mass gain of Karakoram glaciers in the early twenty-first century. *Nature Geoscience*. 2012 10.1038/ngeo1450.

7. Mote PW, Hamlet AF, Clark MP, Lettenmaier DP. Declining mountain snowpack in western north America. *Bull Amer Meteorol Soc.* 2005; 86:39.
8. Kurz WA, et al. Mountain pine beetle and forest carbon feedback to climate change. *Nature.* 2008; 452:987–990. 10.1038/nature06777. [PubMed: 18432244]
9. Westerling AL, Hidalgo HG, Cayan DR, Swetnam TW. Warming and earlier spring increase western US forest wildfire activity. *Science.* 2006; 313:940–943. [PubMed: 16825536]
10. Kelly AE, Goulden ML. Rapid shifts in plant distribution with recent climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America.* 2008; 105:11823–11826. 10.1073/pnas.0802891105. [PubMed: 18697941]
11. Gornall J, et al. Implications of climate change for agricultural productivity in the early twenty-first century. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences.* 2010; 365:2973–2989. 10.1098/rstb.2010.0158.
12. Rood SB, et al. Declining summer flows of Rocky Mountain rivers: Changing seasonal hydrology and probable impacts on floodplain forests. *J Hydrol.* 2008; 349:397–410. 10.1016/j.jhydrol.2007.11.012.
13. Schroter D, et al. Ecosystem service supply and vulnerability to global change in Europe. *Science.* 2005; 310:1333–1337. 10.1126/science.1115233. [PubMed: 16254151]
14. Cayan DR. Interannual climate variability and snowpack in the western United States. *J Clim.* 1996; 9:928–948. 10.1175/1520-0442(1996)009<0928:icvasi>2.0.co;2.
15. Scherrer SC, Appenzeller C. Swiss Alpine snow pack variability: major patterns and links to local climate and large-scale flow. *Clim Res.* 2006; 32:187–199. 10.3354/cr032187.
16. Taylor KE, Stouffer RJ, Meehl GA. An overview of CMIP5 and the experiment design. *Bull Amer Meteorol Soc.* 2012; 93:485–498.
17. Moss RH, et al. The next generation of scenarios for climate change research and assessment. *Nature.* 2010; 463:747–756. [PubMed: 20148028]
18. Rogelj, J.; Meinshausen, M.; Knutti, R. Global warming under old and new scenarios using IPCC climate sensitivity range estimates. *Nature Clim Change.* 2012. advance online publication, doi:<http://www.nature.com/nclimate/journal/vaop/ncurrent/abs/nclimate1385.html> - supplementary-information
19. Kapnick S, Hall A. Causes of recent changes in western North American snowpack. *Clim Dyn.* 2011 10.1007/s00382-011-1089-y.
20. Bohr GS, Aguado E. Use of April 1 SWE measurements as estimates of peak seasonal snowpack and total cold-season precipitation. *Water Resour Res.* 2001; 37:51–60. 10.1029/2000wr900256.
21. Meehl, GA., et al. Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. In: Solomon, S., et al., editors. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press; 2007.
22. Deser C, Tomas R, Alexander M, Lawrence D. The seasonal atmospheric response to projected Arctic sea ice loss in the late twenty-first century. *J Clim.* 2010; 23:333–351. 10.1175/2009jcli3053.1.
23. Yin JH. A consistent poleward shift of the storm tracks in simulations of 21st century climate. *Geophys Res Lett.* 2005; 32:L18701. 10.1029/2005GL023684.
24. Bookhagen B, Burbank DW. Toward a complete Himalayan hydrological budget: spatiotemporal distribution of snowmelt and rainfall and their impact on river discharge. *Journal of Geophysical Research-Earth Surface.* 2010; 115:F03019. 10.1029/2009JF001426.
25. Ashfaq M, Bowling LC, Cherkauer K, Pal JS, Diffenbaugh NS. Influence of climate model biases and daily-scale temperature and precipitation events on hydrological impacts assessment: a case study of the United States. *Journal of Geophysical Research.* 2010; 115:D14116. 10.1029/2009JD012965.
26. Masson D, Knutti R. Climate model genealogy. *Geophys Res Lett.* 2011; 38:L08703. 10.1029/2011gl046864.
27. Boggs CL, Inouye DW. A single climate driver has direct and indirect effects on insect population dynamics. *Ecol Lett.* 2012; 15:502–508. 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01766.x. [PubMed: 22414183]

28. Diffenbaugh NS, Scherer M. Observational and model evidence of global emergence of permanent, unprecedented heat in the 20th and 21st centuries. *Clim Change*. 2011 10.1007/s10584-011-0112-y.
29. Armstrong, RL.; Brodzik, MJ.; Knowles, K.; Savoie, M. Global monthly EASE-Grid snow water equivalent climatology. National Snow and Ice Data Center; Boulder, CO, USA: Digital Media; 2007.
30. Giorgi F, Bi X. Updated regional precipitation and temperature changes for the 21st century from ensembles of recent AOGCM simulations. *Geophys Res Lett*. 2005; 32:L21715. 21710.21029/22005GL024288.

2070-2099

Figure 1. Comparison of model realizations

Each circle shows an individual realization (56 realizations from 26 models; Table S1), plotted against that realization's agreement with the observational mean and interannual variability of March snow water equivalent (SWE) in the 1979–2005 period. Colors show the fraction of 1976–2005 March SWE remaining in the 2070–2099 period of RCP 8.5. The agreement in the fractional change in March SWE between the 56 realizations is measured by the mean and standard deviation of the 2070–2099 values (reported in the bottom right of each panel). (See Table S2 for regional boundaries.)

Figure 2. Emergence of low and extremely low snow years in the 21st century

Percentage of years with accumulated March SWE below the simulated 1976–2005 median (top) or minimum (bottom) in three periods of RCP 8.5 (56 realizations from 26 models; Table S1). Following ref ²¹, stippling indicates areas where the magnitude of the multi-model ensemble mean occurrence divided by the multi-model standard deviation of occurrence exceeds 1.0 (black symbols) or 2.0 (white symbols). Grey denotes areas where at least half of the realizations have a median (top) or minimum (bottom) March SWE of zero in the 1976–2005 period.

Figure 3. Emergence of extreme precipitation, melt and runoff years in the 21st century
 Percentage of years in the 2070–2099 period of the RCP8.5 simulations in which seasonal precipitation (69 realizations from 31 models; Table S1), snowmelt runoff (34 realizations from 17 models), or total surface runoff (56 realizations from 27 models) falls below the simulated 1976–2005 seasonal minimum or above the simulated 1976–2005 seasonal maximum. Following ref ²¹, stippling indicates areas where the magnitude of the multi-model ensemble mean occurrence divided by the multi-model standard deviation of occurrence exceeds 1.0 (black symbols) or 2.0 (white symbols).