Published in final edited form as:

J Aging Health. 2013 August ; 25(5): 839–862. doi:10.1177/0898264313491427.

Race Differences in Age-Trends of Autonomic Nervous System Functioning

Thomas E. Fuller-Rowell, PhD¹, David R. Williams, PhD³, Gayle D. Love, PhD¹, Paula S. McKinley, PhD², Richard P. Sloan, PhD², and Carol D. Ryff, PhD¹

¹University of Wisconsin, Madison

²Columbia University, New York

³Harvard University, Boston

Abstract

Objective—The objective of this study was to consider race differences in age-trends of autonomic nervous system functioning, using a national dataset with a broad age range.

Methods—Measures of baseline heart rate variability (HRV) and HRV reactivity were derived from electrocardiograph (ECG) recordings taken at rest and during cognitive stress tasks. Age-trends in HRV and HRV reactivity were compared among 204 African Americans and 833 Whites ages 34 to 83 years ($M=53.7$, $SD=11.4$), before and after controlling for socioeconomic status (SES).

Results—For HRV-reactivity, age-trends were steeper among African Americans and lower-SES participants than Whites and higher-SES participants. For baseline HRV, age-trends varied by SES but not race.

Discussion—Results relating to HRV-reactivity (but not baseline HRV) were consistent with hypotheses suggesting that African Americans are exposed to higher levels of stress and experience accelerated declines in health across the life span. The relevance of the findings to research on social stress and health disparities is discussed.

Keywords

Health Disparities; African American; Heart Rate Variability; Stress Reactivity; Autonomic Nervous System

Racial and socioeconomic disparities in health remain a pressing public health concern in the United States (Adler & Rehkopf, 2008; Adler & Stewart, 2010; DHHS, 2011; Williams, Mohammed, Leavell, & Collins, 2010; Williams & Sternthal, 2010). Greater exposure to social stress and less access to coping resources have been hypothesized to result in accelerated dysregulation of physiologic systems across the life span among disadvantaged, historically marginalized, or currently stigmatized groups (Colen, 2011; Kuzawa & Sweet, 2009; Meyer, Schwartz, & Frost, 2008; Slopen et al., 2010; Thoits, 2010; Walsemann, Geronimus, & Gee, 2008). To address the paucity of research on this topic, and the need for a more detailed understanding of specific physiological systems in relation to health

disparities, the current study considered age trends in heart rate variability (HRV) and HRV reactivity among African Americans and Whites.

Despite clear disparities in heart disease between African Americans and Whites in the United States (Brondolo, Love, Pencille, Schoenthaler, & Ogedegbe, 2011; Frieden, 2011; Williams & Leavell, 2012), racial differences in cardiac autonomic control, and how these regulatory profiles change with age, are not well understood. HRV is one important measure of autonomic regulation, for which an understanding of racial differences is in its infancy. The magnitude of HRV at rest—as measured by the root mean square of successive differences between heart beats (RMSSD)—is an indicator of parasympathetic influence or vagal modulation of the heart (De Meersman & Stein, 2007; Stein & Kleiger, 1999). Higher levels of HRV are associated with reduced risk of recurrent events in patients following myocardial infarction and with heart failure (Camm et al., 2004; Gerritsen et al., 2001; Kleiger, Miller, Bigger Jr., & Moss, 1987) and with a lower likelihood of incident heart disease in initially healthy participants in community studies (Liao et al., 1996; Liao, Carnethon, Evans, Cascio, & Heiss, 2002; Tsuji et al., 1994).

Another important measure of autonomic nervous system (ANS) functioning—for which race-differences are also not well understood—is HRV reactivity. Although extensive research has hypothesized that greater reactivity of particular cardiovascular responses, such as blood pressure, may be associated with worse health outcomes (Chida & Steptoe, 2010; Treiber et al., 2003), several recent perspectives on parasympathetic activity suggest that HRV reactivity in response to challenging tasks (vagal withdrawal) is indicative of better task performance and more adaptive emotion regulation strategies (Becker et al., 2012; Blair & Peters, 2003; Calkins, Graziano, & Keane, 2007; Calkins & Keane, 2004; Duschek, Muckenthaler, Werner, & Reyes del Paso, 2009). Furthermore, HRV reactivity is thought to reflect flexibility or plasticity of the ANS, and thus greater cardiovascular health (Blascovich & Mendes, 2010; Duschek et al., 2009; Porges, 2007; Rottenberg, Salomon, Gross, & Gotlib, 2005). Although research on the health impact of HRV reactivity is needed, a conceptualization of HRV reactivity as a biomarker of healthy ANS functioning is consistent with studies indicating declines in HRV reactivity with age and chronic stress exposure (El-Sheikh & Erath, 2011; Katz, 2007; Steptoe, Kunz-Ebrecht, Wright, & Feldman, 2005).

The Role of the Social Environment in Race Differences Over Time

Structural inequalities resulting from historical marginalization, such as neighborhood and school segregation, result in less access to resources and greater exposure to chronic and acute stresses among African Americans individuals relative to Whites (Fix & Struyk, 1993; Pager & Shepherd, 2008; Smedley, Stith, & Nelson, 2003; Sternthal, Slopen, & Williams, 2011). For example, segregation of neighborhoods has led to increased exposure to health risks (LaVeist & Wallace, 2000; Morello-Frosch & Jesdale, 2005), decreased availability of resources (Gordon et al., 2011; Powell, Slater, Mirtcheva, Bao, & Chaloupka, 2007), and limited social capital (Cornwell & Cornwell, 2008; Small, Jacobs, & Massengill, 2008) in communities with a higher proportion of African American residents. Furthermore, the continued presence of negative stereotypes and racism in society, in addition to creating and sustaining neighborhood segregation, result in daily stressors for African Americans, which are experienced across the life span (Clark, Anderson, Clark, & Williams, 1999; Fuller-Rowell, Doan, & Eccles, 2012; Steele, 2010; Sue, 2010).

A growing body of research suggests that the physical and social environments in which we live, and the everyday experiences associated with these environments—whether they be stressful or positive—accumulate over time and get “under the skin” to influence physical

health (Evans, Miller, Chen, & Seeman, in press; Friedman & Herd, 2010; Juster, McEwen, & Lupien, 2010; Miller, Chen, & Cole, 2009; Slopen et al., 2010). Thus, differential exposure to social and environmental stresses, alongside poorer access to social resources is likely to be an important contributor to well-documented Black-White disparities in mortality and heart disease (Brondolo et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2010). For example, race-related stresses experienced across the life span are thought to lead to accelerated health declines among African Americans, which has been referred to as the weathering hypothesis (Geronimus et al., 2010; Geronimus, Hicken, Keene, & Bound, 2006).

Race and Aging of the Autonomic Nervous System

At least five studies have considered differences in HRV at rest between African Americans and Whites. Of these, four have found that resting levels of HRV—as measured by RMSSD and other measures of vagal modulation—are higher among African Americans than Whites (Li et al., 2009; Liao et al., 1995; Sloan et al., 2008; Wang, Thayer, Treiber, & Snieder, 2005), and one study has also found lower levels among African Americans (Lampert, Ickovics, Horwitz, & Lee, 2005). These findings are puzzling in light of previously noted racial disparities in heart disease. With regard to HRV-reactivity, at least two studies have been conducted, but findings are inconsistent, with one reporting greater reactivity among African Americans (Arthur, Katkin, & Mezzacappa, 2004), and the other reporting no race differences (Li et al., 2009).

A wide range of studies have shown that HRV declines with age (Liao et al., 1995; Sloan et al., 2008; Steptoe et al., 2005). There is also evidence to suggest a similar age trend in HRV-reactivity, with older adults showing a smaller magnitude of challenged-induced change in HRV than younger adults (Steptoe et al., 2005). Only two studies that we are aware of considered race differences in age trends of HRV at rest (Choi et al., 2006; Sloan et al., 2008). However, neither study found evidence of accelerated health declines with age among African Americans. While these findings provide preliminary evidence against an accelerated decline hypothesis for HRV at rest, small sample size (Choi et al., 2006) and limited age-ranges (Sloan et al., 2008) render the findings inconclusive and underscore the need for additional research.

With respect to HRV-reactivity, to our knowledge no studies have considered race differences in age trends, despite the potential importance of HRV-reactivity as a stress-sensitive biomarker of cardiac autonomic control (Becker et al., 2012; Blair & Peters, 2003; Blascovich & Mendes, 2010; Duschek et al., 2009; El-Sheikh & Erath, 2011; Porges, 2007; Rottenberg et al., 2005). Indeed, because limited evidence supports African American vulnerability in resting HRV, HRV-reactivity may be particularly important in understanding possible racial differences in aspects of ANS functioning that may be linked to disease.

In sum, given existing support for the hypothesis that African Americans experience faster declines in health with age relative to Whites (Geronimus, 2001; Geronimus et al., 2010, 2006), and the need for a more detailed mechanistic understanding of racial disparities (Williams et al., 2010), the main focus of this study was to examine race differences in age trends of baseline HRV and HRV-reactivity, before and after controlling for SES. Thus, we hypothesized steeper age-related declines in HRV and HRV-reactivity among African Americans relative to Whites, and that some of these race differences would remain after accounting for SES. More specifically, with respect to HRV-reactivity, we expected that (1) the magnitude of reactivity to challenge tasks (vagal withdrawal) would be smaller with increasing age and (2) that this age-related reduction in HRV reactivity would be greater among African Americans than Whites.

Methods

Data and Sample

This study draws on data from Midlife in the United States (MIDUS), an ongoing national study of health and aging with baseline assessments conducted in 1995 and 1996 on individuals aged 25 to 74 (MIDUS I), and follow-up assessments conducted in 2004 and 2005 (MIDUS II). MIDUS II also included a city specific sample of African Americans from Milwaukee, Wisconsin. Following MIDUS II surveys, detailed biomarker assessments, and a stress-reactivity protocol, were conducted on a subsample of participants in a two-day visit to a General Clinical Research Center at one of three MIDUS study sites (UW, UCLA, Georgetown). The biomarker sample was comparable to the full MIDUS II sample on most sociodemographic factors and a range of health characteristics (see Love, Seeman, Weinstein, & Ryff, 2010 for a detailed sample description).

The initial sample for analyses focused on individuals who participated in the MIDUS II stress reactivity protocol and who self-identified as Black (N=212) or White (N=890). Of these participants, 1037 (94%) had valid HRV data. The final sample for analyses included 204 Black and 833 White participants with valid HRV data. Individuals of Hispanic origin were not included in either racial category, and were not analyzed separately due to small sample size. Sample descriptives are shown in Table 1.

Procedures

The stress reactivity protocol included an 11 minute resting baseline period, followed by a 6 minute stress task (mental arithmetic or Stroop task), a 6 minute rest period, and a second 6 minute stress task (mental arithmetic or Stroop task). The order of the stress tasks was counterbalanced and participants remained seated for the duration of the protocol. Each task was administered on a computer, with difficulty levels adjusted based on performance. A detailed description of the tasks is available at <http://www.midus.wisc.edu/midus2/>.

Outcome Measures

Analyses focus on a time-domain measure of HRV: the root mean square of successive differences (RMSSD)¹. This measure is a known indicator of the parasympathetic or vagal modulation of the heart, and can be reliably scored from five minute data intervals (De Meersman & Stein, 2007; European Society of Cardiology Task Force, 1996; Stein & Kleiger, 1999). Baseline levels of HRV were calculated by averaging RMSSD scores from two 5-minute data blocks taken at rest. Stress-task levels were calculated by averaging RMSSD scores from two 5 minute stress-task data blocks. Reactivity scores were then calculated by subtracting baseline levels from stress-task levels (Llabre, Spitzer, Saab, Ironson, & Schneiderman, 2007). Since HRV generally decreases in response to challenging cognitive tasks, mean reactivity scores are negative; hence, larger negative numbers indicate greater reactivity. In total, the analyses shown focus on two outcome measures (RMSSD and RMSSD reactivity)¹. RMSSD variables were log transformed to normalize the distributions and outliers were censored at 3 *SDs* from the mean.

Demographic and Control Measures

Age was coded as a continuous variable, measured in years, in order to test for linear age trends in HRV and HRV reactivity. Curvilinear age trends were also explored but were not found to be significant. Gender was coded as 0 for female and 1 for male. Race was coded

¹Identical analyses were also conducted using two other measures of vagal modulation (high frequency HRV, and SDRR). Findings for these measures were similar to those reported herein. Tables showing results for high frequency HVR and SDRR are available from the first author.

as 0 for White and 1 for Black. Marital status was coded as 1 for married or living with a partner and 0 if otherwise. BMI (kg/m^2) was calculated from body measurements taken by nursing staff during the study visit. Resting systolic blood pressure was scored by averaging together the two most similar of three readings taken in a seated position. The MIDUS II Biomarker project also included comprehensive information on participant medication use. Medications were categorized based on pharmaceutical classification codes, and four dummy variables were created based on their known excitatory (+) or inhibitory (–) influence on the sympathetic nervous system and parasympathetic nervous system (SNS+, SNS–, PNS+, PNS–). A separate dummy variable was also coded to indicate blood pressure medication use. Physical activity was measured from 18 items in the MIDUS II self-administered questionnaire assessing the frequency of vigorous, moderate, and light physical activity on a six point scale ranging from never (coded as 1) to several times a week (coded as 6). Three six-item sub-scales relating to each type of physical activity (vigorous, moderate, and light) were averaged and taken as a measure of overall activity level ($\alpha=.748$).

Four measures of SES were considered: education, income, parent education, and childhood poverty. Education was measured on a 12-point scale ranging from some grade school or less (1) to PhD, MD, JD or other professional degree (12). Total household income was calculated as the sum of all household income from wages, pensions, SSI, or other government assistance and was censored at \$300,000 to avoid outliers. Parent education was scored as the mean of participant's reports of their mother's and father's education level and was measured on the same scale described above². If education level was only reported for one parent, then that parent's education level was used. Childhood poverty was coded as 1 if the participant indicated that there was ever a period of six months or more during their childhood or adolescence when their family was on public assistance, and 0 if otherwise.

Analyses

A series of regression models was estimated for each continuous outcome variable. Model 1 assessed the main effects of race, gender, and age, controlling for marital status, BMI, systolic blood pressure, and medication use. Model 2 added the main effects of four SES indicators (education, income, parent education, childhood poverty). Model 3 added a race by age interaction to assess differences in age-trends between Blacks and Whites. A race by gender interaction was also considered in model 3. Model 4 added age by SES interaction terms to assess SES differences in age-trends, and the extent to which the effects of race were explained by SES.

All models were estimated in Mplus using maximum likelihood estimation with robust standard errors (MLR estimator) in order to account for minor deviations from normality (Muthén & Muthén, 2010). Full information maximum likelihood estimation was used so that the sample size ($N=1037$) was consistent across models (Enders, 2010). Education, income, parent education, childhood poverty, and physical activity were the only variables that contained missing data (.2%, 2.4%, 3.1%, 1.1%, and 1.7% respectively). To simplify interpretation of model parameters, all continuous predictor variables were z-scored to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1 in the models shown (Cohen, Cohen, Aiken, & West, 2003).

²All education variables were considered as continuous variables on a 12 point scale in order to maintain the full distribution of original responses. Dichotomous and collapsed versions of the education variables were also explored but found to have less predictive power.

Results

Resting Heart Rate Variability

RMSSD—Model results for RMSSD are shown in Table 2. In line with previous research, findings from Model 1 indicated that, net of other variables in the model, older participants had lower levels of RMSSD than younger participants ($p < .001$). Specifically, for each 10 year increase in age, RMSSD levels were .15 *SD* units lower. Findings also indicated .45 *SD* units higher levels of RMSSD among Black participants than Whites ($p < .001$). Model 2 did not show direct effects of the SES variables (education, income, parental education, childhood poverty) on RMSSD. Model 3 added a race by age interaction but did not find differences in age trends between Blacks and Whites ($p = .435$). Model 3 also considered a race by gender interaction, which indicated that the higher levels of RMSSD among Blacks shown in Model 1 were primarily driven by differences between Black and White females ($p = .025$). The race by gender interaction is shown in Figure 1. Model 4 considered SES differences in the age trend by adding the SES by age interactions. The education by age interaction indicated steeper age trends among less educated participants ($p = .023$). No additional differences in the age-trend were found for the other SES variables.

Heart Rate Variability Reactivity

RMSSD Reactivity—Results for RMSSD reactivity are shown in Table 3. Findings from Model 1 indicated that, net of other variables in the model, the magnitude of the challenge-induced decline in RMSSD (herein referred to as RMSSD reactivity) was smaller for older participants than for younger participants ($p = .017$). For each 10 year increase in age, RMSSD reactivity was, on average, .08 *SD* units smaller. No difference in levels of RMSSD reactivity was found between Blacks and Whites ($p = .313$). Model 2 did not show direct effects of SES on RMSSD reactivity. Model 3 added a race by age interaction and showed that, as hypothesized, the age trend was significantly steeper for Blacks than for Whites ($p = .019$). These findings are shown in Figure 2. Model 3 also indicated that the race by gender interaction was not significant ($p = .720$). Model 4 added SES by age interactions. Findings from this model indicated that the age trend was significantly steeper for lower education groups ($p = .020$), and that after controlling for all four SES variables, the race difference in the age trend decreased by 45% and became non-significant ($p = .208$).

Discussion

It is increasingly recognized that reductions of group health disparities should be a central concern of governments at all levels (DHHS, 2011; Marmot, Friel, Bell, Houweling, & Taylor, 2008; WHO, 2008). An understanding of the psychological and biological mechanisms behind group differences in health, and their links to context and behavior, is thus important for developing actionable plans to reduce group disparities (Williams et al., 2010). In this study, we considered race differences in age trends in HRV and HRV reactivity—both of which are measures of autonomic nervous system functioning.

Overall, findings relating to HRV reactivity were largely consistent with our hypotheses. Analyses indicated that age trends were steeper among African Americans than Whites for RMSSD reactivity, suggesting faster declines in flexibility of the ANS among African Americans (Figure 2). These findings are in line with theoretical perspectives suggesting that social stress influences the health of minority groups in a pattern that suggests accelerated declines in health with age (Clark et al., 1999; Geronimus, 2001; Meyer et al., 2008). These findings are also consistent with research indicating that vagal modulation of the heart declines with age and chronic stress exposure (El-Sheikh & Erath, 2011; Katz, 2007; Liao et al., 1995; Lucini, Fede, Parati, & Pagani, 2005; Sloan et al., 1994, 2008;

Stephoe et al., 2005; Vrijkotte, Doornen, & Geus, 2000). Although the results indicated a steeper decline in HRV reactivity among African Americans, it should also be noted that, at younger ages, the magnitude of reactivity was greater among African Americans than Whites (Figure 2). This suggests that African Americans may have a more responsive ANS earlier in adulthood (Arthur et al., 2004). The reasons for these differences are not currently known, but seem worthy of attention in future research.

Analyses were also conducted to consider the influence of SES on age trends in HRV reactivity, and the extent to which SES accounted for race differences. Results from these analyses suggested that age trends in HRV reactivity were steeper for individuals with lower levels of education than their more educated counterparts. Furthermore, 45% of the race difference in age trends for RMSSD reactivity was explained by SES. This finding is in line with studies showing that SES explains a portion of racial health disparities (Kawachi, Daniels, & Robinson, 2005; Williams, 1999), and extends this work by showing that SES is a mediator of race differences in age trends of a specific, and neglected, biomarker of ANS functioning. Although the overall results relating to SES as a mediator were expected, the finding that education was the strongest mediator of the four SES variables considered was somewhat surprising given existing research suggesting that African Americans enjoy less health benefits from educational attainment than Whites (Shuey & Willson, 2008). In light of this research, we had expected that education may be a weaker mediator than other indicators of SES³. Such discrepancies in the literature—alongside the importance of distinguishing causal mechanisms for group disparities—suggests a need for further research considering the role of specific SES indicators in racial health disparities across a range of samples and health outcomes.

Although race differences in the age trend dropped below statistical significance after controlling for SES, it is also important to note that the majority (55%) of the initial race effect (shown in Figure 2) remained. These findings are consistent with the notion that, while SES differences are important contributors to racial disparities, race often matters above and beyond the effects of social class (Farmer & Ferraro, 2005; Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012; Fuller-Rowell & Doan, 2010; Williams, 1999). This is not surprising given pervasive negative stereotypes towards African Americans (Dovidio, Kawakami, Smoak, & Gaertner, 2008; Steele, 2010) and the resulting higher levels of discrimination experienced (Fuller-Rowell et al., 2012; Kessler, Mickelson, & Williams, 1999), as well as structural factors which limit access to resources and social capital in neighborhoods with a higher proportion of Black residents (Laveist, 1993; Williams & Collins, 2001). While SES is likely to explain some of the effects of discrimination on health, studies reveal that discrimination has a direct effect above and beyond SES (Krieger, 2012; Pascoe & Smart Richman, 2009; Williams & Mohammed, 2008).

With respect to baseline levels of HRV, a range of studies have linked HRV at rest to mortality and cardiovascular outcomes (see Thayer & Lane, 2007 for a review). However, the analyses presented herein, consistent with mixed findings from prior research, showed no difference in age trends between Blacks and Whites. Although preliminary, our results converge with findings from previous research (Sloan et al., 2008) to suggest that, unlike HRV reactivity, resting baseline levels of HRV may not be key to understanding racial disparities in health with aging.

Apart from the lack of support for race differences in age trends of resting HRV, our analyses did show a main effect of race on RMSSD. Specifically, consistent with the

³To address the possibility that the effect of education on age-trends in parasympathetic functioning might depend on race, we also considered the three way interaction between race, education, and age, but did not find it to be significant.

majority of previous research (Li et al., 2009; Liao et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2005), Blacks were found to have higher levels of HRV than Whites. Given that levels of cardiovascular disease are known to be higher among African Americans relative to Whites, and that higher levels of HRV are associated with better health outcomes, these findings add to the unresolved paradox in the literature (higher levels of HRV among African Americans alongside higher levels of cardiovascular disease). One promising direction for future research will be to explore psychosocial factors that may explain this paradox. For example, mental health, social competence, mindfulness, and emotion regulation have all been linked to HRV (Appelhans & Luecken, 2006; Blair & Peters, 2003; Porges, 2007; Satyapriya, Nagendra, Nagarathna, & Padmalatha, 2009) and it is possible that these abilities may be differentially cultivated by members of a historically oppressed and currently stigmatized group. Specifically, research has shown that, as compared to Whites, African Americans have higher levels of psychological well-being (Keyes, 2009; Ryff, Keyes, & Hughes, 2003), higher levels of self-esteem and mastery (Williams et al., 2012), and lower rates of common mental disorders (Miranda, McGuire, Williams, & Wang, 2008).

Significant race by gender interactions further revealed that race differences in baseline HRV were more pronounced for females than for males. Specifically, while Black females had substantially higher levels of HRV than White females, the difference between Black and White males was less pronounced (Figure 1). Although prior research has found higher levels of HRV among females than males (Ryan, Goldberger, Pincus, Mietus, & Lipsitz, 1994; Sloan et al., 2008), the reasons for this gender difference, and the reasons that race differences are particularly present among females, are open questions for future research.

Limitations and Future Directions

The current investigation is unique in its consideration of HRV reactivity as an important marker of autonomic regulation that may contribute to an understanding of racial disparities. Although this study has significant methodological strengths (e.g., large sample size and broad age range), some limitations are important to note. Firstly, since the data were cross-sectional and collected in a single wave of the MIDUS study, it is critical to build on this research with evidence from longitudinal, cohort sequential, or repeated cross section surveys to rule out possible cohort or period influences on what we are interpreting as aging processes (Duncan, Duncan, & Strycker, 2006; Nesselroade & Baltes, 1979; Yang & Land, 2006). For example, to be sure that age-related declines in HRV reactivity are, in fact, greater in African American adults, as compared to Whites, it will be necessary to follow the same respondents over time. Given ongoing efforts to carry the MIDUS longitudinal study forward, these analyses will hopefully become possible with planned follow-ups of biological components of the study.

A further limitation relates to the sampling design. Although the Milwaukee sample allowed for the recruitment of a large number of African American respondents into the MIDUS study, the fact that Black respondents were largely from a city-specific sample rather than a national sample limits the generalizability of the findings. Replication with additional samples is therefore an important next step.

Conclusion

Consistent with the notion that currently stigmatized and historically oppressed groups are exposed to higher levels of social stress, the findings of this study suggest that HRV reactivity declines faster with age among African Americans than Whites. Future research will be necessary to determine the extent to which HRV reactivity is linked to prospective health outcomes and contextual stresses differentially experienced by historically oppressed and currently stigmatized groups.

Acknowledgments

Support for this research was provided by the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation Health & Society Scholars program. Data collection for the MIDUS longitudinal follow-up was supported by the National Institute on Aging (P01-AG020166). The original MIDUS study was supported by the John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation Research Network on Successful Midlife Development. We thank the staff of the Clinical Research Centers at the UW-Madison, UCLA, and Georgetown for their support in conducting this study. Supported by the following Grants M01-RR023942 (Georgetown), M01-RR00865 (UCLA) from the General Clinical Research Centers Program, and 1UL1RR025011 (UW) from the Clinical and Translational Science Award (CTSA) program of the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health. The authors also extend gratitude to Wendy Berry Mendes for insightful comments on earlier versions of this paper, and Barry Radler for conscientious dedication that made this project possible.

References

- Adler NE, Rehkopf DH. U.S. disparities in health: descriptions, causes, and mechanisms. *Annual Review of Public Health*. 2008; 29:235–252.10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090852
- Adler NE, Stewart J. Health disparities across the lifespan: meaning, methods, and mechanisms. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2010; 1186:5–23.10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.05337.x [PubMed: 20201865]
- Appelhans BM, Luecken LJ. Heart rate variability as an index of regulated emotional responding. *Review of General Psychology*. 2006; 10(3):229–240.10.1037/1089-2680.10.3.229
- Arthur C, Katkin E, Mezzacappa E. Cardiovascular reactivity to mental arithmetic and cold pressor in African Americans, Caribbean Americans, and White Americans. *Annals of Behavioral Medicine*. 2004; 27(1):31–37.10.1207/s15324796abm2701_5 [PubMed: 14979861]
- Becker DR, Carrère S, Siler C, Jones S, Bowie B, Cooke C. Autonomic Regulation on the Stroop Predicts Reading Achievement in School Age Children. *Mind, Brain, and Education*. 2012; 6(1):10–18.10.1111/j.1751-228X.2011.01130.x
- Blair C, Peters R. Physiological and Neurocognitive Correlates of Adaptive Behavior in Preschool Among Children in Head Start. *Developmental Neuropsychology*. 2003; 24(1):479–497.10.1207/S15326942DN2401_04 [PubMed: 12850755]
- Blascovich, J.; Mendes, WB. Social psychophysiology and embodiment. In: Fiske, ST.; Gilbert, DT.; Lindzey, G., editors. *Handbook of Social Psychology*. 5. Wiley; 2010. p. 197-227.
- Brondolo E, Love EE, Pencille M, Schoenthaler A, Ogedegbe G. Racism and Hypertension: A Review of the Empirical Evidence and Implications for Clinical Practice. *American Journal of Hypertension*. 2011; 24(5):518–529.10.1038/ajh.2011.9 [PubMed: 21331054]
- Calkins SD, Graziano PA, Keane SP. Cardiac vagal regulation differentiates among children at risk for behavior problems. *Biological psychology*. 2007; 74(2):144–153.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.09.005 [PubMed: 17055141]
- Calkins SD, Keane SP. Cardiac vagal regulation across the preschool period: Stability, continuity, and implications for childhood adjustment. *Developmental Psychobiology*. 2004; 45(3):101–112.10.1002/dev.20020 [PubMed: 15505799]
- Camm AJ, Pratt CM, Schwartz PJ, Al-Khalidi HR, Spyt MJ, Holroyde MJ, Brum JMG. Mortality in Patients After a Recent Myocardial Infarction A Randomized, Placebo-Controlled Trial of Azimilide Using Heart Rate Variability for Risk Stratification. *Circulation*. 2004; 109(8):990–996.10.1161/01.CIR.0000117090.01718.2A [PubMed: 14967728]
- Chida Y, Steptoe A. Greater Cardiovascular Responses to Laboratory Mental Stress Are Associated With Poor Subsequent Cardiovascular Risk Status A Meta-Analysis of Prospective Evidence. *Hypertension*. 2010; 55(4):1026–1032.10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.109.146621 [PubMed: 20194301]
- Choi J-B, Hong S, Nelesen R, Bardwell WA, Natarajan L, Schubert C, Dimsdale JE. Age and Ethnicity Differences in Short-Term Heart-Rate Variability. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2006; 68(3):421–426.10.1097/01.psy.0000221378.09239.6a [PubMed: 16738074]
- Clark R, Anderson NB, Clark VR, Williams DR. Racism as a stressor for African Americans: A biopsychosocial model. *American Psychologist*. 1999; 54(10):805–816.10.1037/0003-066X.54.10.805 [PubMed: 10540593]

- Cohen, J.; Cohen, P.; Aiken, L.S.; West, S.G. Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences. 3. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc; 2003.
- Colen CG. Addressing Racial Disparities in Health Using Life Course Perspectives. Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race. 2011; 8(01):79–94.10.1017/S1742058X11000075
- Cornwell EY, Cornwell B. Access to Expertise as a Form of Social Capital: An Examination of Race- and Class-Based Disparities in Network Ties to Experts. Sociological Perspectives. 2008; 51(4): 853–876.10.1525/sop.2008.51.4.853
- De Meersman RE, Stein PK. Vagal modulation and aging. Biological Psychology. 2007; 74(2):165–173.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.04.008 [PubMed: 17045727]
- DHHS. HHS Action Plan to Reduce Racial and Ethnic Health Disparities - National Partnership for Action. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2011. Retrieved from <http://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/npa/templates/content.aspx?lvl=1&lvlid=33&ID=285>
- Dovidio, JF.; Kawakami, K.; Smoak, N.; Gaertner, S.L. The nature of contemporary racial prejudice: Insight from implicit and explicit measures of attitudes. In: Petty, RE.; Fazio, RH.; Briñol, P., editors. Attitudes: Insights from the new implicit measures. New York, NY, US: Psychology Press; 2008. p. 165-192.
- Duncan, TE.; Duncan, SC.; Strycker, LA. An Introduction to Latent Variable Growth Curve Modeling: Concepts, Issues, And Applications. Psychology Press; 2006.
- Duschek S, Muckenthaler M, Werner N, Reyes del Paso GA. Relationships between features of autonomic cardiovascular control and cognitive performance. Biological Psychology. 2009; 81(2): 110–117.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2009.03.003 [PubMed: 19428975]
- El-Sheikh M, Erath SA. Family conflict, autonomic nervous system functioning, and child adaptation: State of the science and future directions. Development and Psychopathology. 2011; 23(02):703–721.10.1017/S0954579411000034 [PubMed: 23786705]
- Enders, CK. Applied Missing Data Analysis. New York: The Guilford Press; 2010.
- European Society of Cardiology Task Force. Heart Rate Variability: Standards of Measurement, Physiological Interpretation, and Clinical Use. Circulation. 1996; 93(5):1043–1065.10.1161/01.CIR.93.5.1043 [PubMed: 8598068]
- Evans, GW.; Miller, G.; Chen, E.; Seeman, T. How poverty gets under the skin: A life course perspective. Maholmes, V.; King, R., editors. New York: Oxford University Press; (in press)
- Farmer MM, Ferraro KF. Are racial disparities in health conditional on socioeconomic status? Social Science & Medicine. 2005; 60(1):191–204.10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.04.026 [PubMed: 15482878]
- Fix, M.; Struyk, RJ. Clear and Convincing Evidence: Measurement of Discrimination in America (Natural Field Experiments No. 0049). The Field Experiments Website. 1993. Retrieved from <http://econpapers.repec.org/paper/febnatura/0049.htm>
- Frieden TR. Forward: CDC Health Disparities and Inequalities Report - United States, 2011. Morbidity and mortality weekly report Surveillance summaries (Washington, DC: 2002). 2011; 60(Suppl):1–2.
- Friedman EM, Herd P. Income, Education, and Inflammation: Differential Associations in a National Probability Sample (The MIDUS Study). Psychosomatic Medicine. 2010; 72(3):290–300.10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181cfe4c2 [PubMed: 20100883]
- Fuller-Rowell TE, Doan SN. The social costs of academic success across ethnic groups. Child Development. 2010; 81(6):1696–1713.10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01504.x [PubMed: 21077858]
- Fuller-Rowell TE, Doan SN, Eccles JS. Differential effects of perceived discrimination on the diurnal cortisol rhythm of African Americans and Whites. Psychoneuroendocrinology. 2012; 37(1):107–118.10.1016/j.psyneuen.2011.05.011 [PubMed: 21689889]
- Geronimus AT. Understanding and eliminating racial inequalities in women's health in the United States: the role of the weathering conceptual framework. Journal of the American Medical Women's Association (1972). 2001; 56(4):133–136. 149–150.
- Geronimus AT, Hicken M, Keene D, Bound J. “Weathering” and age patterns of allostatic load scores among blacks and whites in the United States. American Journal of Public Health. 2006; 96(5): 826–833.10.2105/AJPH.2004.060749 [PubMed: 16380565]

- Geronimus AT, Hicken MT, Pearson JA, Seashols SJ, Brown KL, Cruz TD. Do US Black Women Experience Stress-Related Accelerated Biological Aging?: A Novel Theory and First Population-Based Test of Black-White Differences in Telomere Length. *Human Nature* (Hawthorne, NY). 2010; 21(1):19–38.10.1007/s12110-010-9078-0
- Gerritsen J, Dekker JM, TenVoorde BJ, Kostense PJ, Heine RJ, Bouter LM, Stehouwer CD. Impaired autonomic function is associated with increased mortality, especially in subjects with diabetes, hypertension, or a history of cardiovascular disease: the Hoorn Study. *Diabetes care*. 2001; 24(10):1793–1798. [PubMed: 11574444]
- Gordon C, Purciel-Hill M, Ghai NR, Kaufman L, Graham R, Van Wye G. Measuring food deserts in New York City's low-income neighborhoods. *Health & Place*. 2011; 17(2):696–700.10.1016/j.healthplace.2010.12.012 [PubMed: 21256070]
- Juster R-P, McEwen BS, Lupien SJ. Allostatic load biomarkers of chronic stress and impact on health and cognition. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Reviews*. 2010; 35(1):2–16.10.1016/j.neubiorev.2009.10.002 [PubMed: 19822172]
- Katz LF. Domestic Violence and Vagal Reactivity to Peer Provocation. *Biological psychology*. 2007; 74(2):154–164.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.10.010 [PubMed: 17118516]
- Kawachi I, Daniels N, Robinson DE. Health Disparities By Race And Class: Why Both Matter. *Health Affairs*. 2005; 24(2):343–352.10.1377/hlthaff.24.2.343 [PubMed: 15757918]
- Kessler RC, Mickelson KD, Williams DR. The prevalence, distribution, and mental health correlates of perceived discrimination in the United States. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 1999; 40(3):208–230. [PubMed: 10513145]
- Keyes CLM. The Black–White Paradox in Health: Flourishing in the Face of Social Inequality and Discrimination. *Journal of Personality*. 2009; 77(6):1677–1706.10.1111/j.1467-6494.2009.00597.x [PubMed: 19796064]
- Kleiger RE, Miller JP, Bigger JT Jr, Moss AJ. Decreased heart rate variability and its association with increased mortality after acute myocardial infarction. *The American Journal of Cardiology*. 1987; 59(4):256–262.10.1016/0002-9149(87)90795-8 [PubMed: 3812275]
- Krieger N. Methods for the Scientific Study of Discrimination and Health: An Ecosocial Approach. *American Journal of Public Health*. 2012; 102(5):936–944.10.2105/AJPH.2011.300544 [PubMed: 22420803]
- Kuzawa CW, Sweet E. Epigenetics and the embodiment of race: Developmental origins of US racial disparities in cardiovascular health. *American Journal of Human Biology*. 2009; 21(1):2–15.10.1002/ajhb.20822 [PubMed: 18925573]
- Lampert R, Ickovics J, Horwitz R, Lee F. Depressed autonomic nervous system function in African Americans and individuals of lower social class: A potential mechanism of race- and class-related disparities in health outcomes. *American Heart Journal*. 2005; 150(1):153–160.10.1016/j.ahj.2004.08.008 [PubMed: 16084163]
- Laveist TA. Segregation, poverty, and empowerment: health consequences for African Americans. *The Milbank quarterly*. 1993; 71(1):41–64. [PubMed: 8450822]
- LaVeist TA, Wallace JM Jr. Health risk and inequitable distribution of liquor stores in African American neighborhood. *Social science & medicine* (1982). 2000; 51(4):613–617. [PubMed: 10868674]
- Li Z, Snieder H, Su S, Ding X, Thayer JF, Treiber FA, Wang X. A longitudinal study in youth of heart rate variability at rest and in response to stress. *International Journal of Psychophysiology*. 2009; 73(3):212–217.10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2009.03.002 [PubMed: 19285108]
- Liao D, Barnes RW, Chambless LE, Simpson RJ Jr, Sorlie P, Heiss G. The ARIC Investigators. Age, race, and sex differences in autonomic cardiac function measured by spectral analysis of heart rate variability—The ARIC study. *The American Journal of Cardiology*. 1995; 76(12):906–912.10.1016/S0002-9149(99)80260-4 [PubMed: 7484830]
- Liao D, Carnethon M, Evans GW, Cascio WE, Heiss G. Lower Heart Rate Variability Is Associated With the Development of Coronary Heart Disease in Individuals With Diabetes The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study. *Diabetes*. 2002; 51(12):3524–3531.10.2337/diabetes.51.12.3524 [PubMed: 12453910]

- Liao D, Evans GW, Chambless LE, Barnes RW, Sorlie P, Simpson RJ Jr, Heiss G. Population-based study of heart rate variability and prevalent myocardial infarction: The atherosclerosis risk in communities study. *Journal of Electrocardiology*. 1996; 29(3):189–198.10.1016/S0022-0736(96)80082-3 [PubMed: 8854330]
- Llabre MM, Spitzer SB, Saab PG, Ironson GH, Schneiderman N. The Reliability and Specificity of Delta Versus Residualized Change as Measures of Cardiovascular Reactivity to Behavioral Challenges. *Psychophysiology*. 2007; 28(6):701–711.10.1111/j.1469-8986.1991.tb01017.x [PubMed: 1816598]
- Love GD, Seeman TE, Weinstein M, Ryff CD. Bioindicators in the MIDUS National Study: Protocol, Measures, Sample, and Comparative Context. *Journal of Aging and Health*. 2010; 22(8):1059–1080.10.1177/0898264310374355 [PubMed: 20876364]
- Lucini D, Fede GD, Parati G, Pagani M. Impact of Chronic Psychosocial Stress on Autonomic Cardiovascular Regulation in Otherwise Healthy Subjects. *Hypertension*. 2005; 46(5):1201–1206.10.1161/01.HYP.0000185147.32385.4b [PubMed: 16203875]
- Marmot M, Friel S, Bell R, Houweling TA, Taylor S. Closing the gap in a generation: Health equity through action on the social determinants of health. *The Lancet*. 2008; 372(9650):1661–1669.10.1016/S0140-6736(08)61690-6
- Meyer IH, Schwartz S, Frost DM. Social patterning of stress and coping: Does disadvantaged social statuses confer more stress and fewer coping resources? *Social Science & Medicine*. 2008; 67(3):368–379.10.1016/j.socscimed.2008.03.012 [PubMed: 18433961]
- Miller G, Chen E, Cole SW. Health Psychology: Developing Biologically Plausible Models Linking the Social World and Physical Health. *Annual Review of Psychology*. 2009; 60(1):501–524.10.1146/annurev.psych.60.110707.163551
- Miranda J, McGuire TG, Williams DR, Wang P. Mental health in the context of health disparities. *The American journal of psychiatry*. 2008; 165(9):1102–1108.10.1176/appi.ajp.2008.08030333 [PubMed: 18765491]
- Morello-Frosch R, Jesdale BM. Separate and Unequal: Residential Segregation and Estimated Cancer Risks Associated with Ambient Air Toxics in U.S. Metropolitan Areas. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2005; 114(3):386–393.10.1289/ehp.8500 [PubMed: 16507462]
- Muthén, LK.; Muthén, BO. *Mplus User's Guide*, Sixth Edition. Los Angeles, CA: Muthén & Muthén; 2010.
- Nesselroade, JR.; Baltes, PB. *Longitudinal research in the study of behavior and development*. New York: Academic Press; 1979.
- Pager D, Shepherd H. The Sociology of Discrimination: Racial Discrimination in Employment, Housing, Credit, and Consumer Markets. *Annual review of sociology*. 2008; 34:181–209.
- Pascoe EA, Smart Richman L. Perceived discrimination and health: A meta-analytic review. *Psychological Bulletin*. 2009; 135(4):531–554.10.1037/a0016059 [PubMed: 19586161]
- Porges SW. The polyvagal perspective. *Biological Psychology*. 2007; 74(2):116–143.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2006.06.009 [PubMed: 17049418]
- Powell LM, Slater S, Mirtcheva D, Bao Y, Chaloupka FJ. Food store availability and neighborhood characteristics in the United States. *Preventive medicine*. 2007; 44(3):189–195.10.1016/j.ypmed.2006.08.008 [PubMed: 16997358]
- Rottenberg J, Salomon K, Gross JJ, Gotlib IH. Vagal withdrawal to a sad film predicts subsequent recovery from depression. *Psychophysiology*. 2005; 42(3):277–281.10.1111/j.1469-8986.2005.00289.x [PubMed: 15943681]
- Ryan SM, Goldberger AL, Pincus SM, Mietus J, Lipsitz LA. Gender- and age-related differences in heart rate dynamics: Are women more complex than men? *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 1994; 24(7):1700–1707.10.1016/0735-1097(94)90177-5 [PubMed: 7963118]
- Ryff CD, Keyes CLM, Hughes DL. Status Inequalities, Perceived Discrimination, and Eudaimonic Well-Being: Do the Challenges of Minority Life Hone Purpose and Growth? *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2003; 44(3):275–291.10.2307/1519779 [PubMed: 14582308]
- Satyapriya M, Nagendra HR, Nagarathna R, Padmalatha V. Effect of integrated yoga on stress and heart rate variability in pregnant women. *International Journal of Gynecology & Obstetrics*. 2009; 104(3):218–222.10.1016/j.ijgo.2008.11.013 [PubMed: 19110245]

- Shuey KM, Willson AE. Cumulative Disadvantage and Black-White Disparities in Life-Course Health Trajectories. *Research on Aging*. 2008; 30(2):200–225.10.1177/0164027507311151
- Sloan RP, Huang M-H, McCreath H, Sidney S, Liu K, Dale Williams O, Seeman T. Cardiac autonomic control and the effects of age, race, and sex: The CARDIA study. *Autonomic Neuroscience*. 2008; 139(1–2):78–85.10.1016/j.autneu.2008.01.006 [PubMed: 18304893]
- Sloan RP, Shapiro PA, Bagiella E, Boni SM, Paik M, Bigger JT Jr, Gorman JM. Effect of mental stress throughout the day on cardiac autonomic control. *Biological Psychology*. 1994; 37(2):89–99.10.1016/0301-0511(94)90024-8 [PubMed: 8003592]
- Slopen N, Lewis TT, Gruenewald TL, Mujahid MS, Ryff CD, Albert MA, Williams DR. Early Life Adversity and Inflammation in African Americans and Whites in the Midlife in the United States Survey. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2010; 72(7):694–701.10.1097/PSY.0b013e3181e9c16f [PubMed: 20595419]
- Small ML, Jacobs EM, Massengill RP. Why Organizational Ties Matter for Neighborhood Effects: Resource Access through Childcare Centers. *Social Forces*. 2008; 87(1):387–414.10.1353/sof.0.0079
- Smedley, BD.; Stith, AY.; Nelson, AR., editors. Unequal treatment: Confronting racial and ethnic disparities in health care. Vol. xvi. Washington, DC, US: National Academies Press; 2003.
- Steele, CM. Whistling Vivaldi: How Stereotypes Affect Us and What We Can Do. W. W. Norton & Company; 2010.
- Stein PK, Kleiger RE. Insights from the study of heart rate variability. *Annual review of medicine*. 1999; 50:249–261.10.1146/annurev.med.50.1.249
- Steptoe A, Kunz-Ebrecht SR, Wright C, Feldman PJ. Socioeconomic position and cardiovascular and neuroendocrine responses following cognitive challenge in old age. *Biological Psychology*. 2005; 69(2):149–166.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2004.07.008 [PubMed: 15804543]
- Sternthal MJ, Slopen N, Williams DR. Racial Disparities in Health: How Much Does Stress Really Matter. *Du Bois Review: Social Science Research on Race*. 2011; 8(01):95–113.10.1017/S1742058X11000087
- Sue, DW. Microaggressions in Everyday Life: Race, Gender, and Sexual Orientation. John Wiley & Sons Inc; 2010.
- Thayer JF, Lane RD. The role of vagal function in the risk for cardiovascular disease and mortality. *Biological Psychology*. 2007; 74(2):224–242.10.1016/j.biopsycho.2005.11.013 [PubMed: 17182165]
- Thoits PA. Stress and Health Major Findings and Policy Implications. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2010; 51(1 suppl):S41–S53.10.1177/0022146510383499 [PubMed: 20943582]
- Treiber FA, Kamarck T, Schneiderman N, Sheffield D, Kapuku G, Taylor T. Cardiovascular Reactivity and Development of Preclinical and Clinical Disease States. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. 2003; 65(1):46–62. [PubMed: 12554815]
- Tsuji H, Venditti FJ, Manders ES, Evans JC, Larson MG, Feldman CL, Levy D. Reduced heart rate variability and mortality risk in an elderly cohort. The Framingham Heart Study. *Circulation*. 1994; 90(2):878–883.10.1161/01.CIR.90.2.878 [PubMed: 8044959]
- Vrijkotte TGM, Doornen LJP, de van Geus EJC. Effects of Work Stress on Ambulatory Blood Pressure, Heart Rate, and Heart Rate Variability. *Hypertension*. 2000; 35(4):880–886.10.1161/01.HYP.35.4.880 [PubMed: 10775555]
- Walsemann KM, Geronimus AT, Gee GC. Accumulating Disadvantage Over the Life Course Evidence From a Longitudinal Study Investigating the Relationship Between Educational Advantage in Youth and Health in Middle Age. *Research on Aging*. 2008; 30(2):169–199.10.1177/0164027507311149
- Wang X, Thayer JF, Treiber F, Snieder H. Ethnic Differences and Heritability of Heart Rate Variability in African- and European American Youth. *The American Journal of Cardiology*. 2005; 96(8):1166–1172.10.1016/j.amjcard.2005.06.050 [PubMed: 16214458]
- WHO. Closing the Gap in a Generation: Health Equity through Action on the Social Determinants of Health. Geneva: World Health Organization, Commission on Social Determinants of Health Final Report; 2008.

- Williams DR. Race, Socioeconomic Status, and Health The Added Effects of Racism and Discrimination. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 1999; 896(1):173–188.10.1111/j.1749-6632.1999.tb08114.x [PubMed: 10681897]
- Williams DR, Collins C. Racial residential segregation: a fundamental cause of racial disparities in health. *Public Health Reports (Washington, DC: 1974)*. 2001; 116(5):404–416.
- Williams DR, Haile R, Mohammed SA, Herman A, Sonnega J, Jackson JS, Stein DJ. Perceived discrimination and psychological well-being in the USA and South Africa. *Ethnicity & Health*. 2012; 17(1-2):111–133.10.1080/13557858.2012.654770 [PubMed: 22339224]
- Williams DR, Leavell J. The social context of cardiovascular disease: challenges and opportunities for the Jackson Heart Study. *Ethnicity & disease*. 2012; 22(3 Suppl 1):S1. [PubMed: 23156834]
- Williams DR, Mohammed SA. Discrimination and racial disparities in health: Evidence and needed research. *Journal of Behavioral Medicine*. 2008; 32(1):20–47.10.1007/s10865-008-9185-0 [PubMed: 19030981]
- Williams DR, Mohammed SA, Leavell J, Collins C. Race, socioeconomic status, and health: complexities, ongoing challenges, and research opportunities. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*. 2010; 1186:69–101.10.1111/j.1749-6632.2009.05339.x [PubMed: 20201869]
- Williams DR, Sternthal M. Understanding Racial-ethnic Disparities in Health Sociological Contributions. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*. 2010; 51(1 suppl):S15–S27.10.1177/0022146510383838 [PubMed: 20943580]
- Yang Y, Land KC. A Mixed Models Approach to the Age-Period-Cohort Analysis of Repeated Cross-Section Surveys, with an Application to Data on Trends in Verbal Test Scores. *Sociological Methodology*. 2006; 36(1):75–97.10.1111/j.1467-9531.2006.00175.x

Figure 1. Fitted interaction plot showing gender differences in baseline heart rate variability for Blacks and Whites. Note. Error bars represent plus and minus 1.96 standard errors of each point estimate.

Figure 2.

Fitted interaction plot showing race differences in age trends of HRV reactivity. Note. This plot is based on parameter estimates from Model 3, shown in Table 3. Larger negative numbers indicate greater vagal withdrawal in response to stress tasks (greater reactivity). The age range on the plot is from 31 to 77 ($M=54$).

Table 1

Descriptive statistics

	Overall (N=1037)		Black (N=204)		White (N=833)	
	Mean (%)	SD	Mean (%)	SD	Mean (%)	SD
Race (Black)	(19.7)	-	-	-	-	-
Gender (Male)	(42.8)	-	(32.8)	-	(45.3)	-
Age (years)	53.8	11.4	50.9	10.6	54.5	11.6
Marital Status (Married)	(69.0)	-	(42.2)	-	(75.5)	-
BMI	29.8	6.7	33.0	8.5	29.0	5.9
SBP (mmHg)	130.5	17.1	132.1	18.7	130.1	16.7
Med1 (Blood Pressure)	(35.2)	-	(45.6)	-	(32.7)	-
Med2 (SNS-)	(4.0)	-	(3.0)	-	(4.0)	-
Med3 (SNS+)	(11.0)	-	(11.0)	-	(10.0)	-
Med4 (PNS-)	(22.0)	-	(21.0)	-	(22.0)	-
Med5 (PNS+)	(14.0)	-	(14.0)	-	(14.0)	-
Physical Activity	3.75	1.10	3.77	1.27	3.75	1.05
Income (dollars)	71,300	59,400	39,700	35,000	79,200	61,600
Parent Educ.	5.07	2.46	3.96	2.98	5.31	2.43
Childhood Poverty	(9.4)	-	(28.1)	-	(4.9)	-
RMSSD (log msec)	2.92	0.63	3.17	0.66	2.86	0.61
HF-HRV (log msec ²)	4.90	1.29	5.43	1.35	4.77	1.24
RMSSD reactivity	-0.16	0.29	-0.19	0.30	-0.15	0.29
HF reactivity	-0.38	0.62	-0.49	0.66	-0.36	0.61

Note. BMI = body mass index, SBP = systolic blood pressure, Med1 = blood pressure medication, Med2= medication with sympathetic nervous system (SNS) inhibitory influence, Med3=medication with SNS excitatory influence, Med4= medication with parasympathetic nervous system (PNS) inhibitory influence, Med5= medication with PNS excitatory influence. Reactivity scores were calculated by subtracting baseline levels from stress task levels; negative reactivity scores thus indicate decreases in HRV in response to stress tasks.

Table 2

Model parameter estimates showing the effects of age, race, and SES on baseline HRV (as measured by RMSSD)

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	<i>B</i>	(<i>SE</i>)	<i>B</i>	(<i>SE</i>)	<i>B</i>	(<i>SE</i>)	<i>B</i>	(<i>SE</i>)
Intercept	2.904	(0.042) ***	2.904	(0.044) ***	2.882	(0.044) ***	2.873	(0.044) ***
Med1 (BP)	-0.040	(0.052)	-0.040	(0.051)	-0.043	(0.051)	-0.044	(0.051)
Med2 (SNS-)	-0.364	(0.107) ***	-0.369	(0.106) ***	-0.380	(0.106) ***	-0.396	(0.107) ***
Med3 (SNS+)	-0.159	(0.066) *	-0.157	(0.066) *	-0.155	(0.066) *	-0.155	(0.065) *
Med4 (PNS-)	-0.119	(0.050) *	-0.118	(0.050) *	-0.119	(0.050) *	-0.111	(0.050) *
Med5 (PNS+)	0.244	(0.069) ***	0.243	(0.069) ***	0.247	(0.069) ***	0.248	(0.069) ***
Physical Activity	0.030	(0.019)	0.031	(0.019)	0.027	(0.019)	0.029	(0.019)
BMI	-0.012	(0.022)	-0.012	(0.022)	-0.018	(0.022)	-0.018	(0.022)
SBP	-0.006	(0.021)	-0.005	(0.021)	-0.007	(0.021)	-0.007	(0.021)
Marital Status	-0.003	(0.043)	0.012	(0.045)	0.018	(0.045)	0.020	(0.045)
Gender	-0.007	(0.038)	-0.011	(0.038)	0.032	(0.041)	0.027	(0.041)
Race	0.283	(0.053) ***	0.297	(0.059) ***	0.387	(0.073) ***	0.377	(0.073) ***
Age	-0.110	(0.021) ***	-0.115	(0.022) ***	-0.125	(0.023) ***	-0.130	(0.024) ***
Education			0.005	(0.022)	-0.001	(0.021)	0.000	(0.021)
Income			-0.025	(0.020)	-0.027	(0.020)	-0.028	(0.020)
Parent Educ.			-0.003	(0.022)	-0.002	(0.022)	-0.005	(0.022)
Child Poverty			-0.112	(0.070)	-0.112	(0.069)	-0.116	(0.067) +
Race * Gender					-0.228	(0.102) *	-0.222	(0.102) *
Race * Age					0.044	(0.056)	0.059	(0.058)
Educ. * Age							0.046	(0.020) *
Inc. * Age							-0.018	(0.022)
Par. Ed. * Age							-0.036	(0.022)
Child Pov. * Age							-0.036	(0.061)

Note. N=1037 for all models.

 $p<.001$,
**
 $p<.01$,
*
 $p<.05$.

Table 3

Model parameter estimates showing the effects of age, race, and SES on HRV reactivity to cognitive challenge tasks (as measured by changes in RMSSD)

	Model 1		Model 2		Model 3		Model 4	
	B	(SE)	B	(SE)	B	(SE)	B	(SE)
Intercept	-0.157	(0.020)***	-0.154	(0.020)***	-0.152	(0.021)***	-0.154	(0.021)***
Med1 (BP)	-0.038	(0.025)	-0.038	(0.025)	-0.043	(0.025)	-0.043	(0.025)
Med2 (SNS-)	0.093	(0.058)	0.097	(0.058)	0.100	(0.058)	0.103	(0.057)
Med3 (SNS+)	-0.035	(0.030)	-0.035	(0.031)	-0.037	(0.030)	-0.039	(0.030)
Med4 (PNS-)	0.026	(0.023)	0.025	(0.023)	0.022	(0.023)	0.018	(0.023)
Med5 (PNS+)	0.076	(0.034)*	0.075	(0.034)*	0.078	(0.034)*	0.078	(0.034)*
Physical Activity	0.002	(0.010)	0.001	(0.010)	-0.001	(0.010)	-0.001	(0.010)
BMI	-0.008	(0.010)	-0.008	(0.010)	-0.006	(0.010)	-0.005	(0.010)
SBP	0.003	(0.011)	0.003	(0.011)	0.003	(0.011)	0.002	(0.010)
Marital Status	0.007	(0.020)	0.001	(0.021)	0.001	(0.021)	0.002	(0.021)
Gender	-0.003	(0.019)	-0.002	(0.019)	-0.002	(0.021)	0.002	(0.021)
Race	-0.025	(0.025)	-0.027	(0.027)	-0.025	(0.030)	-0.014	(0.030)
Age	0.026	(0.011)*	0.028	(0.011)*	0.018	(0.012)	0.016	(0.012)
Education			-0.008	(0.010)	-0.009	(0.010)	-0.007	(0.010)
Income			0.010	(0.009)	0.008	(0.009)	0.007	(0.009)
Parent Educ.			0.003	(0.011)	0.004	(0.011)	0.003	(0.011)
Child Poverty			0.016	(0.035)	0.029	(0.034)	0.037	(0.034)
Race * Gender					0.019	(0.053)	0.011	(0.053)
Race * Age					0.062	(0.026)*	0.034	(0.027)
Educ. * Age							-0.025	(0.011)*
Inc. * Age							-0.014	(0.010)
Par. Ed. * Age							0.014	(0.011)
Child Pov. * Age							0.048	(0.036)

Note. N=1037 for all models.

 $p<.001$,
**
 $p<.01$,
*
 $p<.05$.