Published in final edited form as:

Physiol Behav. 2013 September 10; 121: . doi:10.1016/j.physbeh.2013.03.027.

Acute peripheral GLP-1 Receptor Agonism or Antagonism does not alter Energy Expenditure in Rats after Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass

Kathrin Abegg¹, Marc Schiesser², Thomas A. Lutz^{1,3}, and Marco Bueter^{2,3}

¹Institute of Veterinary Physiology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

²University Hospital Zurich, Department of Surgery, Division of Visceral and Transplantation Surgery, Zurich, Switzerland ³Zurich Center for Integrative Human Physiology, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland

1. Introduction

Obesity with its resulting comorbidities has become a major topic in global healthcare and disease prevention [1]. Currently, bariatric surgery is the treatment of choice for obese patients because weight loss maintenance and improvement or even resolution of comorbidities such as type 2 diabetes mellitus is achieved in many cases [2–5]. The Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (RYGB) is the most commonly performed bariatric procedure and can be considered the gold standard in bariatric surgery [6]. Several underlying physiological mechanisms have been identified that potentially contribute to weight loss after RYGB; these mechanisms include reduced hunger and increased satiation [7–9], changes in meal patterns [10], a reduced preference for high fat diet [11–13], alterations in sweet taste function [12–15], as well as absence of a compensatory decrease in energy expenditure [16, 17].

We and others previously reported that body weight loss in rats after RYGB is not associated with the decrease in energy expenditure that is observed with traditional weight loss strategies [16, 17]. This finding was interesting because maintenance of a lower body weight by dietary caloric restriction fails in many obese patients due to compensatory metabolic responses such as a decrease of energy expenditure [18]. The reasons for the absence of decreased energy expenditure after RYGB surgery in rats are unknown, but it has been hypothesized that the increased postprandial release of glucagon-like peptide-1 from the distal small intestine after RYGB may be involved [7, 8, 19]. From all gastrointestinal hormones affected by RYGB, GLP-1 has most consistently been reported to be elevated after RYGB in humans and rats and is thought to be at least partly responsible for the reduction in food intake and the early improvement of glucose tolerance after surgery [4, 5, 7, 8, 19, 20].

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence: Prof. Dr. med. vet. Thomas A. Lutz, Institute of Veterinary Physiology, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Winterthurerstrasse 260, CH 8057 Zürich, Telephone +41-44-635 88 08, Telefax +41-44-635 89 32, tomlutz@vetphys.uzh.ch.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Disclosure: The authors have no conflict of interest to disclose.

GLP-1's effects on food intake have been characterized in numerous studies (e.g., [21–25]), but its role in the control of energy expenditure is less well investigated. However, there are some studies that suggest an involvement of GLP-1 in energy expenditure. For example, Osaka et al showed a dose-dependent increase in oxygen consumption after intravenous GLP-1 administration [26]. Furthermore, mice lacking the GLP-1 degrading enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP IV) are resistant to high fat diet-induced obesity due to reduced food intake and increased energy expenditure [27].

In this project, we therefore wanted to assess a possible role of the endocrine system and in particular of the satiating gut hormone GLP-1 in energy expenditure after RYGB in rats. We hypothesized that acute peripheral modification of GLP-1 signaling may influence the changes of energy expenditure induced by RYGB.

2. Methods

2.1. Animals

Twenty-five adult male Wistar rats weighing 400 – 450 g preoperatively were allocated to either RYGB (n = 8) or sham-operation (n = 17). After a recovery period of 10 days, shamoperated animals were randomly divided into two groups: sham-operated rats with no dietary manipulation (n=9, ad libitum fed shams weighing 441 ± 16 g 10 days after surgery) and food-restricted shams whose postoperative weight was matched to the weight of RYGB rats (body weight-matched shams (BWm) weighing 433 ± 20 g 10 days after surgery). BWm shams received as much food daily as was necessary to maintain a similar body weight as the RYGB rats. Based on experiences from previous studies [16], rats were given approximately 14 g of standard chow in the beginning of food restriction period. This amount of food was offered at dark onset and readjusted every third day depending on the body weight development.

2.2. Housing

All animals were individually housed under an artificial 12 hour / 12 hour light-dark cycle (lights off at 1500) and at a room temperature of $21 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ unless otherwise stated. Water and standard chow were available ad libitum. All experiments were approved by the Veterinary Office of the Canton Zurich, Switzerland.

2.3. Surgery

Anesthesia was induced in a chamber filled with 5% isoflurane in oxygen (1 liter per min). After an adequate depth of anesthesia was achieved, rats were shaved from sternum to pelvis followed by disinfection with betadine scrub. Rats were then placed in supine position on a heating pad and positioned in a nose cone to maintain anesthesia (2–4% isoflurane in oxygen, 0.5 liter per min) for the duration of surgery. Operations were performed as previously described [28]. Briefly, a midline incision of approximately 4 cm starting just below the xyphoid process was performed. For the RYGB procedure, the small bowel was transected approximately 20 cm distal to the pylorus of the stomach creating a proximal and distal end of small bowel. The proximal end being still continuous with the remaining portion of the stomach constituted the biliopancreatic limb and was anastomosed to the ileum approximately 25–30 cm from the caecum creating the common channel. For formation of the gastric pouch, the stomach was transected approximately 5 mm below the gastro-esophageal junction creating a gastric pouch of a size of no more than 2–3% of original stomach size. The Roux-en-Y reconstruction was completed by connecting the distal end of the small bowel to the gastric pouch leading to formation of the alimentary limb. One single RYGB procedure lasted approximately 70 min. For sham operations, an anterior gastrotomy and a jejunotomy with subsequent closures were performed. One single

sham procedure lasted approximately 30 min. The abdominal wall and the skin were closed in layers after both operations.

2.4. Indirect calorimetry

Measurements were conducted in an open circuit calorimetry system (AccuScan Inc., USA) as previously described [29, 30]. Briefly, rats were individually housed in Plexiglas airtight metabolic cages (41×41×31 cm) on a layer of wood shavings under the same light and temperature conditions as described above. Water and standard powder chow (GLP3433, Provimi Kliba Ag, Switzerland) were available ad libitum, unless otherwise stated. Food and water intake were measured continuously. Physical activity was monitored by a 3-dimensional array of infrared light beams and sensors. Thus, the activity data provided represent a relative measure of locomotor activity of the rats (movement/hour). The activity data do not relate to an absolute measurement of distance moved or to a spatial position.

Energy expenditure was calculated for each 30 second sample according to Weir using the following equation: total energy expenditure (kcal/h) = $3.9 \times V(O_2)L/h + 1.1 \times V(CO_2)L/h$ [29, 30]. The respiratory quotient was defined as the quotient of CO_2 production and O_2 consumption.

2.5. Experimental design

Measurements of energy expenditure in all groups were conducted between postoperative week 5 and 11. Experiments were performed in a randomized, saline-controlled, crossover manner. About one week before treatment, all rats were placed for at least two days in the metabolic chambers for adaptation.

First, the potency of endogenous GLP-1 to alter energy expenditure after RYGB was tested with the GLP-1 receptor antagonist Exendin (9–39) (Ex-9); we allowed two days of wash-out between crossover days, i.e. between peptide and saline injection, respectively. Tests were performed similar to Williams et al [25]. As shown in Figure 1, rats were deprived of chow, but not water, 8 h prior to dark onset (0700 h). At 1100 h, 30 µg/kg Ex-9 or the saline vehicle (1 ml/kg) was injected subcutaneously and measurement of energy expenditure was started. One hour later chow was provided ad libitum again (1200 h, i.e. 3h prior to dark onset).

Second, the potency of exogenous GLP-1 to alter energy expenditure after RYGB was tested with the GLP-1 agonist Exendin-4 (Ex-4) with three days of wash-out between peptide and saline. As demonstrated in Figure 2, rats were deprived of chow, but not water, 6 h prior to dark onset (0900 h). At 1400 h, 5 µg/kg Ex-4 or the saline vehicle (1 ml/kg) was injected subcutaneously and measurement of energy expenditure was started. One hour later, i.e. at dark onset, chow was provided ad libitum again (1500 h). The experiments using Ex-4 were performed about 4 weeks after the experiments using Ex-9. Dose and time course of Ex-4 administration was chosen based on an unpublished pilot experiment that showed a significant decrease in chow intake ($p < 0.05$) of intact male Wistar rats ($n = 12$) up to 24h after injection.

2.6. Statistical analysis

A one-way ANOVA was performed to analyze average daily food intake as well as differences in body weight between groups. Following a significant F ratio, a Bonferroni post hoc test was used to determine differences between groups. Differences in energy expenditure, food intake, physical activity and respiratory quotients after Ex-9 and Ex-4 treatments were analyzed with a two-way, repeated measures (RM) group (between subjects)×treatment (within subjects) analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by

Bonferroni post-hoc tests for each treatment group when there was a significant group×treatment interaction. Significance was established at $P = 0.05$ for all statistical sets and data are reported as mean $\pm$ SEM.

3. Results

3.1. Body weight

Figure 3 shows the development of body weight for all three groups. Body weight was significantly lower in RYGB rats compared to the sham-operated ad libitum fed group from postoperative day 6 (sham ad lib: 441 ± 16 g vs. RYGB: 383 ± 16 g, $p=0.020$). In postoperative week 14, the difference in body weight was about 240 g (sham ad lib: 628 ± 34 g vs. RYGB: 389 ± 81 g, $p=0.012$). After a short period of post-surgical weight loss, shams ad libitum fed constantly gained weight for the rest of the study. In contrast, RYGB animals lost 20% of their preoperative weight by postoperative week 2 with a weight nadir of 360 ± 45 g. Until postoperative week 5, RYGB rats then regained a moderate amount of body weight followed by a weight plateau around 380 and 390 g throughout the rest of the observation period. Food restriction started ten days after surgery for sham-operated BWm rats ($n=8$). There was no significant difference in body weight between the RYGB group and the food restricted sham BWm rats at postoperative week 4 (sham BWm: 405 ± 13 g vs. RYGB: 379 ± 43 , $p=0.56$) and thereafter.

3.2. Average daily food intake

Figure 4 shows the average daily food intake for all three groups throughout the entire observation period from postoperative week 0 – 14. Daily food intake was consistently lower after RYGB (sham ad lib: 29.6 ± 0.9 g vs. RYGB: 25.5 ± 0.8 g, $p<0.01$). BWm shams required significantly less food than RYGB animals to maintain the same level of body weight (sham BWm: 14.4 ± 0.04 g vs. RYGB: 25.5 ± 0.8 g, $p<0.001$).

3.3. Effect of treatment with GLP-1 receptor antagonist Exendin (9–39)

3.3.1. Energy Expenditure—Repeated measures (RM) two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of surgical group for mean 24 hour energy expenditure ($p<0.001$); energy expenditure after RYGB was significantly higher compared to sham-operated BWm controls, but significantly lower in comparison to sham-operated ad libitum fed rats after both saline and Ex-9 treatment (Figure 5A). However, there was no difference in 24 hour energy expenditure when comparing the corresponding surgery groups that were treated with saline or Ex-9, respectively; RM two-way ANOVA showed no main effect of treatment for saline or Ex-9 treatment, respectively ($p=0.79$). There was also no significant group×treatment interaction ($p=0.72$).

During the first hour after Ex-9 and saline injection with no food available (1100 – 1200), RM two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of surgical group ($p<0.001$). Energy expenditure tended to be higher after RYGB in comparison to sham-operated BWm controls, but this did not attain statistical significance. However, energy expenditure after RYGB was significantly lower than after sham-operation and ad libitum food; this was observed after both saline and Ex-9 treatment, respectively (Figure 5B). Similar to the 24h values, Ex-9 had no effect on energy expenditure during the first hour after treatment in any surgery group, i.e. there was no main effect of treatment for saline or Ex-9, respectively ($p=0.88$). There was also no significant group×treatment interaction ($p=0.58$).

For the first two hours after food was made available ad libitum again (1200 – 1400), RM two-way ANOVA showed no main effect of surgical group ($p=0.32$) or treatment ($p=0.87$) for energy expenditure after Ex-9 and saline injection, respectively; there was also no

group×treatment interaction ($p=0.72$) (Figure 5C). Figure 5D shows the time course of 24 hour energy expenditure after Ex-9 and saline treatment for all three groups. The values of the RM two-way ANOVA for energy expenditure after Ex-9 treatment are given in Table 1.

3.3.2. Food intake, physical activity and Respiratory quotient (RQ)—Food intake was analyzed for the first two hours once food was made available ad libitum again (1200 – 1400). Here, RM two-way ANOVA showed a main effect of surgical group for mean food intake after Ex-9 and saline injection, respectively ($p<0.001$) (Figure 6A). While there was no main effect of treatment for mean food intake ($p=0.13$), RM two-way ANOVA revealed a significant group×treatment interaction for mean food intake after Ex-9 or saline injection ($p=0.004$), respectively, indicating that the Ex-9 effect differed significantly between the surgical groups. RYGB rats, but not sham-operated rats ate significantly more after Ex-9 than after saline (RYGB: saline 3.5 ± 0.4 g vs. Ex-9 4.9 ± 0.6 g, $p<0.01$); in fact, sham-operated rats ate 25% less after Ex-9 compared to saline, and RYGB rats ate 65% more after Ex-9 during the first two hours of food access (Figure 6B; sham: 73.7 ± 13.2 % vs. RYGB: 165.1 ± 25.3 %, $p<0.05$). Further, sham-operated BWm rats showed the highest food intake in this two hour period, which is clearly the result of being conditioned to feeding regime with long periods of fasting before a limited amount of food was offered at dark onset. Thus, it was not surprising that we did not observe an effect of Ex-9 treatment in this group of rats.

Repeated measures (RM) two-way ANOVA further revealed a main effect of surgical group for the physical activity during the first two hours after food return ($p<0.001$), with sham-operated BWm controls showing more movements per hour than sham-operated ad libitum fed or RYGB operated rats (Figure 6C). However, there was no main effect for treatment ($p=0.87$) and no significant group×treatment interaction ($p=0.72$). Finally, RM two-way ANOVA showed a significant main effect of surgical group for the respiratory quotient during the first two hours after food return ($p<0.05$), but there was no main effect for treatment and no group×treatment interaction (Figure 6D). Sham-operated BWm controls had significantly higher respiratory quotients than sham-operated ad libitum fed and RYGB operated rats, irrespective of Ex-9 or saline injection. The p values of the RM two-way ANOVA for food intake, physical activity and respiratory quotient after Ex-9 treatment are given in Table 1.

3.4. Effect of GLP-1 receptor agonist Exendin-4

3.4.1. Energy Expenditure—Repeated measures (RM) two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of surgical group for mean 24 hour energy expenditure ($p<0.001$) with energy expenditure after RYGB being significantly higher compared to sham-operated BWm controls, but significantly lower in comparison to sham-operated ad libitum fed rats after both saline and Ex-4 treatment (Figure 7A). However, there was no Ex-4 effect in any surgical group; RM two-way ANOVA showed no main effect of treatment for saline or Ex-4, respectively ($p=0.69$) and no significant group×treatment interaction ($p=0.83$).

Similar to the 24h values, RM two-way ANOVA revealed a main effect of surgical group on energy expenditure during the first hour after Ex-4 and saline when no food was available (1400 – 1500) ($p<0.001$). Energy expenditure tended to be higher after RYGB compared to sham-operated BWm controls after saline or Ex-4, respectively ($p>0.05$), but lower than after sham-operation and ad libitum food (Figure 7B).

Overall, we found a main effect of treatment during the first hour after Ex-4 and saline, respectively, when rats were fasted ($p<0.001$); Ex-4 reduced energy expenditure in all surgical groups of rats, but differences were only significant in sham-operated BWm rats (sham: saline: 2.5 ± 0.2 kcal/h vs. Ex-4: 2.4 ± 0.1 kcal/h, $p>0.05$; sham BWm: saline: $1.9 \pm$

0.2 kcal/h vs. Ex-4: 1.5 ± 0.03 kcal/h, $p < 0.01$; RYGB: saline: 2.1 ± 0.1 kcal/h vs. 1.9 ± 0.1 kcal/h, $p > 0.05$). There was no significant group $\times$ treatment interaction ($p = 0.17$).

Repeated measures (RM) two-way ANOVA further showed a main effect of surgical group ($p < 0.001$), but not treatment ($p = 0.16$), on energy expenditure during the dark phase after Ex-4 and saline injection (Figure 7C), respectively, but there was also no group $\times$ treatment interaction ($p = 0.48$). Similar to the complete 24 h period, energy expenditure was higher after RYGB than in sham-operated BWm controls during the dark phase, but significantly lower in comparison to sham-operated ad libitum fed rats after both saline and Ex-4 treatment. Figure 7D shows the time course of 24 hour energy expenditure after Ex-4 and saline treatment for all three surgical groups. The values of the two-way ANOVA for energy expenditure after Ex-4 treatment are given in Table 2.

3.4.2. Food intake, physical activity and Respiratory quotient (RQ)—Repeated measures (RM) two-way ANOVA showed a main effect of the surgical group for mean food intake during the dark phase following Ex-4 or saline injection, respectively ($p < 0.001$) (Figure 8A). There was also a main effect of treatment for mean food intake ($p < 0.001$) and a significant group $\times$ treatment interaction ($p < 0.001$). Sham-operated and RYGB rats reduced their food intake after Ex-4 administration (sham: saline: 25.3 ± 0.5 g vs. Ex-4: 20.8 ± 0.8 g, $p < 0.001$; RYGB: saline: 18.9 ± 2.2 g vs. Ex-4: 11.6 ± 1.2 g, $p = 0.004$). The Ex-4 induced reduction in dark phase eating was significantly stronger in RYGB than in sham-operated rats (Figure 8B [saline control = 100%]; sham: 82.3 ± 2.3 % vs. RYGB: 64.4 ± 5.9 %, $p = 0.008$).

Repeated measures (RM) two-way ANOVA further revealed no significant differences in physical activity and respiratory quotient between the three groups during the dark phase after Ex-4 and saline injection, respectively (Figure 8C and D). However, there was a main effect of treatment during the first hour after Ex-4 and saline, respectively, when rats were fasted ($p < 0.01$); Ex-4 reduced activity in all surgical groups of rats, but differences were only significant in sham-operated BWm rats (sham: saline: 86.78 ± 18.6 movements/h vs. Ex-4: 54.0 ± 6.0 movements/h, $p > 0.05$; sham BWm: saline: 171.8 ± 34.5 movements/h vs. Ex-4: 64.4 ± 10.4 movements/h, $p < 0.05$; RYGB: saline: 125.5 ± 32.4 movements/h vs. 61.1 ± 11.4 movements/h, $p > 0.05$). The values of the RM two-way ANOVA for food intake, physical activity and respiratory quotient after Ex-4 treatment are given in Table 2.

4. Discussion

We demonstrated that acute subcutaneous administration of a low dose of the GLP-1 antagonist Exendin (9–39) (Ex-9, 30 μ g/kg) or the GLP-1 agonist Exendin-4 (Ex-4, 5 μ g/kg) did not alter energy expenditure in RYGB or sham-operated rats. Overall energy expenditure was lower in RYGB compared to sham-operated ad libitum fed rats, but energy expenditure was significantly higher in RYGB rats compared to their body weight matched counterparts. Against our hypothesis, we found that the administration of Ex-9 or Ex-4, respectively, at doses that affected eating had no measurable effect on energy expenditure in either group of animals.

Consistent with this study, we and others had previously reported that body weight loss in rats after RYGB was not associated with the decrease in energy expenditure that is usually observed with traditional weight loss strategies [16, 17, 31, 32]. Such compensatory metabolic responses with a decrease in the resting metabolic rate are believed to be the main reason why maintenance of a lower body weight only by caloric restriction fails in the majority of obese patients [18]. The lack of this compensatory decrease in energy

expenditure is therefore an important and promising finding that requires further investigation in order to detect the underlying mechanisms.

We and others had reported that the RYGB procedure leads to an increased postprandial release of GLP-1 in humans and rats. GLP-1's effects on food intake have been characterized in numerous studies (e.g., [21, 23–25, 33]), and elevated GLP-1 is thought to be at least partly responsible for the reduction in food intake after RYGB surgery. Several studies point to an additional role of GLP-1 in the regulation of energy balance by directly influencing energy expenditure. For example, Osaka et al. [26] showed a dose-dependent increase in oxygen consumption after intravenous GLP-1 administration; this effect was thought to be mediated by the lower brainstem and to require the integrity of the sympathoadrenal system. In addition, Lockie et al. [34] reported an increase in brown adipose tissue (BAT) thermogenesis after acute central injection of GLP-1, which was associated with increased activity of sympathetic fibers that innervate BAT. Furthermore, mice lacking the GLP-1 degrading enzyme dipeptidyl peptidase IV (DPP IV) are resistant to high fat diet-induced obesity because of reduced food intake and increased energy expenditure [27]. However, the latter results have to be interpreted with caution because DPP IV not only degrades GLP-1, but is also involved in the metabolism of many other peptides including PYY (which is activated to PYY3-36), oxyntomodulin (OXM) and glucagon-like peptide-2 (GLP-2). Like GLP-1, postprandial levels of these hormones are increased after RYGB surgery [8, 19, 35–37], and several studies show an involvement of PYY [38] and OXM [39, 40] in the control of energy expenditure.

In the present study, we found no evidence that acute modulation of GLP-1 signaling affects the changes in energy expenditure seen after RYGB, even though we saw the expected effects of Ex-9 (increase) and Ex-4 (decrease) on eating. In fact, we provide evidence that at least under our experimental conditions enhanced GLP-1 signaling contributes to the eating inhibitory effect of RYGB, because Ex-9 increased eating only in RYGB but not in sham-operated rats. We also showed that Ex-4 decreased eating more in RYGB than in sham-operated rats. Hence, despite higher baseline and postprandial GLP-1 levels [19, 41, 42], RYGB rats exhibit no desensitization to the effect of exogenous GLP-1 or its agonists, respectively, which is in consistence with previous reports [43].

Considering our findings that do not support a role of GLP-1, we have to presume that other mechanisms need to be considered in order to explain the absence of a compensatory decrease in energy expenditure after RYGB surgery in rats. One possible mechanism consists of a higher energy requirement due to the significant hypertrophic changes of the small intestine after RYGB surgery [16, 37]. In fact, increased GLP-2 levels after RYGB may be responsible for mucosal hypertrophy, and this may help to limit malabsorptive consequences of the RYGB surgery [37]. GLP-2 has well-characterized, positive effects on epithelial proliferation particularly in the small intestine [44] leading to an increased resorptive surface by enhanced crypt cell proliferation and reduced apoptosis of enterocytes [45, 46]. Because the gastrointestinal tract is a metabolically very active organ [47], its hypertrophy is likely to contribute to the increase in energy expenditure after RYGB especially in response to a meal.

Differences in energy expenditure between RYGB and control rats might also be related to alterations in postprandial (or diet-induced) thermogenesis [16], even though they seem to be GLP-1 independent. Diet-induced thermogenesis is mediated in part by BAT [48, 49], and it has recently been found that BAT in adult humans correlated negatively with BMI, fasting glucose levels [50] and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease [51]. However, using 18F-FDG PET/CT imaging for measurement of the metabolic activity of brown adipose tissue in RYGB and sham-operated rats, Hankir et al. were unable to demonstrate an increase in BAT

activity, suggesting that other mechanisms are involved to explain the increased energy expenditure after RYGB [52]. Furthermore, there was no difference in the UCP-1 mRNA content of BAT between the two groups [52].

Our study has several limitations. First, we decided to study acute effects of GLP-1 receptor modulation on energy expenditure after RYGB surgery without investigating chronic effects. This experimental approach was based on previous results demonstrating that our indirect calorimetry system allowed us to specifically detect short-term changes in energy expenditure [29, 30]. However, there is some evidence that the acute administration of GLP-1 agonists decreases energy expenditure, potentially as a direct consequence of the reduction in food intake (53). Consistently, Ex-4 treatment led to a decrease in fasting energy expenditure in our study, which was associated with reduced physical activity probably due to reduced food-seeking behavior. Because the acute effects of a single-dose injection may be very different from the effects of chronic alterations in hormone signaling as observed after RYGB surgery, it would be important to see whether chronic GLP-1 receptor agonism or antagonism does have an effect on energy expenditure after RYGB or not, e.g. by using subcutaneous mini-pump systems. It would also be interesting to compare the effects of peripheral and central GLP-1 receptor modulation after RYGB surgery. Second, we only performed single dose studies. Since the mechanisms underlying the control of energy expenditure and food intake, respectively, by GLP-1 may not be identical, the absence of an acute effect of the respective compounds on energy expenditure could still be due to an ineffective dose, even though we observed the expected effects on food intake. However, the decrease in fasting energy expenditure after Ex-4 injection suggests that the acute administration of a higher dose is unlikely to yield results that would support our hypothesis, i.e. an effect consistent with the idea that elevated GLP-1 post RYGB contribute to the increase in energy expenditure. Third, endogenous GLP-1 levels were not routinely measured in our RYGB rat model. However, we have previously demonstrated increased postprandial GLP-1 levels in this model on several occasions [14, 43].

In summary, our data suggest that acute modulation of GLP-1 signaling is not directly involved in the altered energy expenditure after RYGB surgery in rats. The underlying mechanisms leading to the changes in energy expenditure in RYGB rats therefore remain unclear. It seems likely that altered energy expenditure is caused by the combined effects of several different factors rather than by just one specific gut hormone.

Acknowledgments

Thomas A Lutz was supported by the Swiss National Research Foundation (SNF 31003A_138246). Marco Bueter and Thomas A Lutz further receive funding from the National Institute of Health (NIH RO1 DK092608-01) and from the Zurich Center for Integrative Human Physiology (ZIHP).

Reference List

1. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. World Health Organ Tech. Rep. Ser. 2000; 894:i253.
2. Adams TD, Davidson LE, Litwin SE, Kolotkin RL, Lamonte MJ, Pendleton RC, Strong MB, Vinik R, Wanner NA, Hopkins PN, Gress RE, Walker JM, Cloward TV, Nuttall RT, Hammoud A, Greenwood JL, Crosby RD, McKinlay R, Simper SC, Smith SC, Hunt SC. Health benefits of gastric bypass surgery after 6 years. *JAMA*. 2012; 308:1122–1131. [PubMed: 22990271]
3. Carlsson LM, Peltonen M, Ahlin S, Anveden A, Bouchard C, Carlsson B, Jacobson P, Lonroth H, Maglio C, Naslund I, Pirazzi C, Romeo S, Sjolholm K, Sjostrom E, Wedel H, Svensson PA, Sjostrom L. Bariatric surgery and prevention of type 2 diabetes in Swedish obese subjects. *N. J. Med*. 2012; 367:695–704.

4. Mingrone G, Panunzi S, De GA, Guidone C, Iaconelli A, Leccesi L, Nanni G, Pomp A, Castagneto M, Ghirlanda G, Rubino F. Bariatric surgery versus conventional medical therapy for type 2 diabetes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2012; 366:1577–1585. [PubMed: 22449317]
5. Schauer PR, Kashyap SR, Wolski K, Brethauer SA, Kirwan JP, Pothier CE, Thomas S, Abood B, Nissen SE, Bhatt DL. Bariatric surgery versus intensive medical therapy in obese patients with diabetes. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 2012; 366:1567–1576. [PubMed: 22449319]
6. Buchwald H, Oien DM. Metabolic/bariatric surgery Worldwide 2008. *Obes. Surg.* 2009; 19:1605–1611. [PubMed: 19885707]
7. Korner J, Bessler M, Cirilo LJ, Conwell IM, Daud A, Restuccia NL, Wardlaw SL. Effects of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery on fasting and postprandial concentrations of plasma ghrelin, peptide YY, and insulin. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2005; 90:359–365. [PubMed: 15483088]
8. le Roux CW, Aylwin SJ, Batterham RL, Borg CM, Coyle F, Prasad V, Shurey S, Ghatei MA, Patel AG, Bloom SR. Gut hormone profiles following bariatric surgery favor an anorectic state, facilitate weight loss, and improve metabolic parameters. *Ann. Surg.* 2006; 243:108–114. [PubMed: 16371744]
9. le Roux CW, Welbourn R, Werling M, Osborne A, Kokkinos A, Laurenus A, Lonroth H, Fandriks L, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR, Olbers T. Gut hormones as mediators of appetite and weight loss after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Ann. Surg.* 2007; 246:780–785. [PubMed: 17968169]
10. Laurenus A, Larsson I, Bueter M, Melanson KJ, Bosaeus I, Forslund HB, Lonroth H, Fandriks L, Olbers T. Changes in eating behaviour and meal pattern following Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond).* 2012; 36:348–355. [PubMed: 22124454]
11. le Roux CW, Bueter M, Theis N, Werling M, Ashrafian H, Lowenstein C, Athanasiou T, Bloom SR, Spector AC, Olbers T, Lutz TA. Gastric bypass reduces fat intake and preference. *Am. J. Physiol Regul. Integr. Comp Physiol.* 2011; 301:R1057–R1066. [PubMed: 21734019]
12. Shin AC, Zheng H, Pistell PJ, Berthoud HR. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery changes food reward in rats. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond).* 2011; 35:642–651. [PubMed: 20805826]
13. Zheng H, Shin AC, Lenard NR, Townsend RL, Patterson LM, Sigalet DL, Berthoud HR. Meal patterns, satiety, and food choice in a rat model of Roux-en-Y gastric bypass surgery. *Am. J. Physiol Regul. Integr. Comp Physiol.* 2009; 297:R1273–R1282. [PubMed: 19726714]
14. Bueter M, Miras AD, Chichger H, Fenske W, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR, Unwin RJ, Lutz TA, Spector AC, le Roux CW. Alterations of sucrose preference after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Physiol. Behav.* 2011; 104:709–721. [PubMed: 21827777]
15. Hajnal A, Kovacs P, Ahmed T, Meirelles K, Lynch CJ, Cooney RN. Gastric bypass surgery alters behavioral and neural taste functions for sweet taste in obese rats. *Am. J. Physiol Gastrointest. Liver Physiol.* 2010; 299:G967–G979. [PubMed: 20634436]
16. Bueter M, Lowenstein C, Olbers T, Wang M, Cluny NL, Bloom SR, Sharkey KA, Lutz TA, le Roux CW. Gastric bypass increases energy expenditure in rats. *Gastroenterology.* 2010; 138:1845–1853. [PubMed: 19931268]
17. Stylopoulos N, Hoppin AG, Kaplan LM. Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass Enhances Energy Expenditure and Extends Lifespan in Diet-induced Obese Rats. *Obesity. (Silver. Spring).* 2009; 17:1839–1847. [PubMed: 19556976]
18. Wadden TA, Foster GD, Letizia KA, Mullen JL. Long-term effects of dieting on resting metabolic rate in obese outpatients. *JAMA.* 1990; 264:707–711. [PubMed: 2374273]
19. Shin AC, Zheng H, Townsend RL, Sigalet DL, Berthoud HR. Meal-Induced Hormone Responses in a Rat Model of Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass Surgery. *Endocrinology.* 2010; 151:1588–1597. [PubMed: 20179262]
20. Rubino F, Gagner M, Gentileschi P, Kini S, Fukuyama S, Feng J, Diamond E. The early effect of the Roux-en-Y gastric bypass on hormones involved in body weight regulation and glucose metabolism. *Ann. Surg.* 2004; 240:236–242. [PubMed: 15273546]
21. Abbott CR, Monteiro M, Small CJ, Sajedi A, Smith KL, Parkinson JR, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR. The inhibitory effects of peripheral administration of peptide YY(3–36) and glucagon-like peptide-1 on food intake are attenuated by ablation of the vagal-brainstem-hypothalamic pathway. *Brain Res.* 2005; 1044:127–131. [PubMed: 15862798]

22. Chelikani PK, Haver AC, Reidelberger RD. Intravenous infusion of peptide YY(3–36) potently inhibits food intake in rats. *Endocrinology*. 2005; 146:879–888. [PubMed: 15539554]
23. Kinzig KP, D'Alessio DA, Seeley RJ. The diverse roles of specific GLP-1 receptors in the control of food intake and the response to visceral illness. *J. Neurosci*. 2002; 22:10470–10476. [PubMed: 12451146]
24. Schick RR, Zimmermann JP, vom WT, Schusdziarra V. Peptides that regulate food intake: glucagon-like peptide 1-(7–36) amide acts at lateral and medial hypothalamic sites to suppress feeding in rats. *Am J. Physiol Regul. Integr. Comp Physiol*. 2003; 284:R1427–R1435. [PubMed: 12776726]
25. Williams DL, Baskin DG, Schwartz MW. Evidence that intestinal glucagon-like peptide-1 plays a physiological role in satiety. *Endocrinology*. 2009; 150:1680–1687. [PubMed: 19074583]
26. Osaka T, Endo M, Yamakawa M, Inoue S. Energy expenditure by intravenous administration of glucagon-like peptide-1 mediated by the lower brainstem and sympathoadrenal system. *Peptides*. 2005; 26:1623–1631. [PubMed: 16112402]
27. Conarello SL, Li Z, Ronan J, Roy RS, Zhu L, Jiang G, Liu F, Woods J, Zycband E, Moller DE, Thornberry NA, Zhang BB. Mice lacking dipeptidyl peptidase IV are protected against obesity and insulin resistance. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 2003; 100:6825–6830. [PubMed: 12748388]
28. Bueter M, Abegg K, Seyfried F, Lutz TA, le Roux CW. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass operation in rats. *J. Vis. Exp*. 2012:e3940. [PubMed: 22710348]
29. Wielinga PY, Alder B, Lutz TA. The acute effect of amylin and salmon calcitonin on energy expenditure. *Physiol Behav*. 2007; 91:212–217. [PubMed: 17428511]
30. Wielinga PY, Lowenstein C, Muff S, Munz M, Woods SC, Lutz TA. Central amylin acts as an adiposity signal to control body weight and energy expenditure. *Physiol Behav*. 2010; 101:45–52. [PubMed: 20416330]
31. Nestoridi E, Kvas S, Kucharczyk J, Stylopoulos N. Resting energy expenditure and energetic cost of feeding are augmented after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass in obese mice. *Endocrinology*. 2012; 153:2234–2244. [PubMed: 22416083]
32. Saeidi N, Nestoridi E, Kucharczyk J, Uygun MK, Yarmush ML, Stylopoulos N. Sleeve gastrectomy and Roux-en-Y gastric bypass exhibit differential effects on food preferences, nutrient absorption and energy expenditure in obese rats. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond)*. 2012; 36:1396–1402. [PubMed: 23044855]
33. Chelikani PK, Haver AC, Reidelberger RD. Intravenous infusion of glucagon-like peptide-1 potently inhibits food intake, sham feeding, and gastric emptying in rats. *Am. J. Physiol Regul. Integr. Comp Physiol*. 2005; 288:R1695–R1706. [PubMed: 15718384]
34. Lockie SH, Heppner KM, Chaudhary N, Chabenne JR, Morgan DA, Veyrat-Durebex C, Ananthakrishnan G, Rohner-Jeanrenaud F, Drucker DJ, Dimarchi R, Rahmouni K, Oldfield BJ, Tschop MH, Perez-Tilve D. Direct Control of Brown Adipose Tissue Thermogenesis by Central Nervous System Glucagon-Like Peptide-1 Receptor Signaling. *Diabetes*. 2012; 61:2753–2762. [PubMed: 22933116]
35. Ashrafian H, le Roux CW. Metabolic surgery and gut hormones - a review of bariatric entero-humoral modulation. *Physiol Behav*. 2009; 97:620–631. [PubMed: 19303889]
36. LaFerrere B, Swerdlow N, Bawa B, Arias S, Bose M, Olivan B, Teixeira J, McGinty J, Rother KI. Rise of oxyntomodulin in response to oral glucose after gastric bypass surgery in patients with type 2 diabetes. *J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab*. 2010; 95:4072–4076. [PubMed: 20501690]
37. le Roux CW, Borg C, Wallis K, Vincent RP, Bueter M, Goodlad R, Ghatei MA, Patel A, Bloom SR, Aylwin SJ. Gut hypertrophy after gastric bypass is associated with increased glucagon-like peptide 2 and intestinal crypt cell proliferation. *Ann. Surg*. 2010; 252:50–56. [PubMed: 20562614]
38. Adams SH, Lei C, Jodka CM, Nikoulina SE, Hoyt JA, Gedulin B, Mack CM, Kendall ES. PYY[3–36] administration decreases the respiratory quotient and reduces adiposity in diet-induced obese mice. *J. Nutr*. 2006; 136:195–201. [PubMed: 16365082]
39. Dakin CL, Small CJ, Park AJ, Seth A, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR. Repeated ICV administration of oxyntomodulin causes a greater reduction in body weight gain than in pair-fed rats. *Am. J. Physiol Endocrinol. Metab*. 2002; 283:E1173–E1177. [PubMed: 12388165]

40. Wynne K, Park AJ, Small CJ, Patterson M, Ellis SM, Murphy KG, Wren AM, Frost GS, Meeran K, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR. Subcutaneous oxyntomodulin reduces body weight in overweight and obese subjects: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. *Diabetes*. 2005; 54:2390–2395. [PubMed: 16046306]
41. Liu Y, Zhou Y, Wang Y, Geng D, Liu J. Roux-en-Y gastric bypass-induced improvement of glucose tolerance and insulin resistance in type 2 diabetic rats are mediated by glucagon-like peptide-1. *Obes. Surg.* 2011; 21:1424–1431. [PubMed: 21479766]
42. Taqi E, Wallace LE, de HE, Chelikani PK, Zheng H, Berthoud HR, Holst JJ, Sigalet DL. The influence of nutrients, biliary-pancreatic secretions, and systemic trophic hormones on intestinal adaptation in a Roux-en-Y bypass model. *J. Pediatr. Surg.* 2010; 45:987–995. [PubMed: 20438940]
43. Fenske WK, Bueter M, Miras AD, Ghatei MA, Bloom SR, le Roux CW. Exogenous peptide YY3–36 and Exendin-4 further decrease food intake, whereas octreotide increases food intake in rats after Roux-en-Y gastric bypass. *Int. J. Obes. (Lond)*. 2012; 36:379–384. [PubMed: 21694700]
44. Drucker DJ. Glucagon-like peptides: regulators of cell proliferation, differentiation, and apoptosis. *Mol. Endocrinol.* 2003; 17:161–171. [PubMed: 12554744]
45. Tsai CH, Hill M, Drucker DJ. Biological determinants of intestinotrophic properties of GLP-2 in vivo. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1997; 272:G662–G668. [PubMed: 9124589]
46. Tsai CH, Hill M, Asa SL, Brubaker PL, Drucker DJ. Intestinal growth-promoting properties of glucagon-like peptide-2 in mice. *Am. J. Physiol.* 1997; 273:E77–E84. [PubMed: 9252482]
47. Cant JP, McBride BW, Croom WJ Jr. The regulation of intestinal metabolism and its impact on whole animal energetics. *J. Anim. Sci.* 1996; 74:2541–2553. [PubMed: 8904723]
48. Cannon B, Nedergaard J. Brown adipose tissue: function and physiological significance. *Physiol. Rev.* 2004; 84:277–359. [PubMed: 14715917]
49. Rothwell NJ, Stock MJ. A role for brown adipose tissue in diet-induced thermogenesis. *Obes. Res.* 1997; 5:650–656. [PubMed: 9449154]
50. Lee P, Greenfield JR, Ho KK, Fulham MJ. A critical appraisal of the prevalence and metabolic significance of brown adipose tissue in adult humans. *Am. J. Physiol. Endocrinol. Metab.* 2010; 299:E601–E606. [PubMed: 20606075]
51. Yilmaz Y, Ones T, Purnak T, Ozguven S, Kurt R, Atug O, Turoglu HT, Imeryuz N. Association between the presence of brown adipose tissue and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease in adult humans. *Aliment. Pharmacol. Ther.* 2011; 34:318–323. [PubMed: 21631560]
52. Hankir M, Bueter M, Gsell W, Seyfried F, Khalil M, Smith KL, Bloom SR, Bell JD, le Roux CW. Increased energy expenditure in gastric bypass rats is not caused by activated brown adipose tissue. *Obes. Facts.* 2012; 5:349–358. [PubMed: 22722324]
53. Baraboi ED, Smith P, Ferguson AV, Richard D. Lesions of area postrema and subfornical organ alter exendin-4-induced brain activation without preventing the hypophagic effect of the GLP-1 receptor agonist. *Am. J. Physiol. Regul. Integr. Comp. Physiol.* 2010; 298:R1098–R1110. [PubMed: 20106992]

Highlights

- Compensatory fall in energy expenditure after weight loss is attenuated by RYGB.
- Acute injection of GLP-1 antagonist Exendin-9 increases eating only in RYGB rats.
- Enhanced release of GLP-1 may contribute to inhibitory effect of RYGB on eating.
- Acute administration of GLP-1 agonist Exendin-4 decreases eating more in RYGB rats.
- Acute modulation of GLP-1 signaling does not alter energy expenditure in RYGB rats.

Figure 1.

Schematic illustration of the experimental protocol to analyze the treatment effect of GLP-1 receptor antagonist Exendin (9–39). Rats were deprived of food 8 h prior to dark onset (0700 h). At 1100 h, 30 µg/kg Ex-9 or the saline vehicle (1 ml/kg) was injected subcutaneously. One hour later chow was provided ad libitum again (1200 h).

Figure 2.

Schematic illustration of the experimental protocol to analyze the treatment effect of GLP-1 receptor antagonist Exendin-4. Rats were deprived of food 6 h prior to dark onset (0900 h). At 1400 h, 5 $\mu\text{g/kg}$ Ex-4 or the saline vehicle (1 ml/kg) was injected subcutaneously. One hour later, chow was provided ad libitum again (1500 h).

Figure 3.

Body weight change for the sham-operated rats ad libitum fed (white circles, $n=9$), sham-operated BWm rats (grey circles, $n=8$) and for RYGB rats (black circles, $n=8$). E Body weight was significantly lower in RYGB rats compared to the sham-operated ad libitum fed group from postoperative day 6 as indicated ($p < 0.001$). Energy expenditure measurements were performed between postoperative week 5 and 11 as indicated. Data are shown as mean values $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 4.

Average daily food intake over 14 weeks for sham-operated ad libitum fed rats (n=9, white column), for sham-operated BWm rats (n=8, grey column) and for RYGB rats (n=8, black column). Data are shown as mean values $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 5.

A Mean 24h Energy Expenditure **B** Average Energy Expenditure during the first hour after Exendin (9–39) (30 µg/kg) and saline s.c. administration without food available **C** Average Energy Expenditure during the first 2h after food return after Exendin (9–39) (30 µg/kg) and saline s.c. administration **D** Time course of 24h Energy Expenditure after Exendin (9–39) (30 µg/kg) and saline s.c. administration. In **A**, **B** and **C** sham-operated rats ad libitum fed are shown by white columns, sham-operated BWm rats by grey columns and RYGB rats by black columns. In **D** a similar colour code is used with squares representing Exendin (9–39) and circles representing saline treated rats. As two-way ANOVA revealed a significant F ratio, a Bonferroni post hoc test was used to determine differences between groups (** = $p < 0.01$, *** = $p < 0.001$). Data are shown as mean values $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 6.

A Average spontaneous food intake during the first 2h when food was available **B** Percentage change in food intake after Ex-9 treatment compared to saline treatment **C** Average physical activity during the first 2h after food return **D** Average Respiratory Quotient during the first 2h after food return for sham-operated rats ad libitum fed (white columns), sham-operated BWm rats (grey columns) and RYGB rats (black columns). As two-way ANOVA revealed a significant F ratio, a Bonferroni post hoc test was used to determine differences between groups (*** = $p < 0.001$, ** = $p < 0.01$, * = $p < 0.05$). Data are shown as mean values $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 7.

A Mean 24h Energy Expenditure **B** Average Energy Expenditure during the first hour after Ex-4 and saline injection, respectively (1400–1500) **C** Average Energy Expenditure during the dark phase **D** Time course of 24h Energy Expenditure after Exendin-4 (5 µg/kg) and saline s.c. administration. In **A**, **B** and **C** sham-operated rats ad libitum fed are shown by white columns, sham-operated BWm rats by grey columns and RYGB rats by black columns. In **D** a similar colour code is used with squares representing Exendin-4 and circles representing saline treated rats. As two-way ANOVA revealed a significant F ratio, a Bonferroni post hoc test was used to determine differences between groups (*** = $p < 0.001$, ** = $p < 0.01$, * = $p < 0.05$). Data are shown as mean values $\pm$ SEM.

Figure 8.

A Average spontaneous food intake during the dark phase **B** Percentage change in food intake after Ex-4 treatment compared to saline treatment **C** Average physical activity during the dark phase **D** Average Respiratory Quotient during the dark phase after Exendin-4 (5 µg/kg) and saline s.c. administration for sham-operated rats ad libitum fed (white columns), sham-operated BWm rats (grey columns) and RYGB rats (black columns). As twoway ANOVA revealed a significant F ratio, a Bonferroni post hoc test was used to determine differences between groups (** = $p < 0.01$, *** = $p < 0.001$). Data are shown as mean values $\pm$ SEM.

Table 1

RM two-way ANOVA values for energy expenditure, food intake, physical activity and respiratory quotient during 24h or the first 3 hours and after Ex-9 or saline treatment, respectively, as a function of surgical group treatment.

	Surgical group	Treatment group	Interaction
24 h Energy Expenditure	F(2,22)=32.8, p<0.001	F(1,22)=0.7, p=0.79	F(2,22)=0.34, p=0.72
1 h Energy Expenditure (1100 – 1200, no food)	F(2,22)=11.74, p<0.001	F(1,22)=0.02, p=0.88	F(2,22)=0.34, p=0.58
2 h Energy Expenditure (1200 – 1400, with food)	F(2,22)=1.21, p=0.32	F(1,22)=0.03, p=0.87	F(2,22)=0.34, p=0.72
2h Food intake (1200 – 1400)	F(2,21)=457.3, p<0.001	F(1,21)=2.47, p=0.13	F(2,21)=7.46, p=0.004
2h Physical activity (1200 – 1400, with food)	F(2,21)=36.8, p<0.001	F(1,21)=0.03, p=0.87	F(2,21)=0.34, p=0.72
2h Respiratory Quotient (1200 – 1400, with food)	F(2,21)=5.3, p=0.013	F(1,21)=0.15, p=0.71	F(2,21)=1.35, p=0.28

Table 2

RM two-way ANOVA values for 24 hour energy expenditure as well as for energy expenditure, food intake, physical activity and respiratory quotient during the dark phase after Ex-4 and saline treatment, respectively, as a function of surgical group treatment.

	Surgical group	Treatment group	Interaction
24 h Energy Expenditure	F(2,22)=17.8, p<0.001	F(1,21)=0.15, p=0.69	F(2,22)=0.19, p=0.82
1 h Energy Expenditure (1400 – 1500, no food)	F(2,22)=9.8, p<0.001	F(1,22)=15.6, p<0.001	F(2,22)=1.93, p=0.17
Energy Expenditure (dark phase, with food)	F(2,22)=22.02, p<0.001	F(1,22)=2.1, p=0.16	F(2,22)=0.75, p=0.48
Food intake (dark phase)	F(2,21)=29.70, p<0.001	F(1,21)=52.73, p<0.001	F(2,21)=16.92, p<0.001
Physical activity (dark phase, with food)	F(2,22)=1.24, p=0.31	F(1,22)=7.66, p=0.011	F(2,22)=1.16, p=0.33
1 h Physical activity (1400–1500, no food)	F(2,22)=2.84, p=0.08	F(1,22)=12.80, p<0.01	F(2,22)=1.31, p=0.29
Respiratory Quotient (dark phase, with food)	F(2,22)=0.37, p=0.695	F(1,22)=4.88, p=0.038	F(2,22)=1.05, p=0.37