Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Res. 2013 March 15; 73(6): 1876–1882. doi:10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-1870.

Genetic variation in Transforming Growth Factor beta 1 and mammographic density in Singapore Chinese women

Eunjung Lee¹, David Van den Berg¹, Chris Hsu¹, Giske Ursin^{1,2,3}, Woon-Puay Koh^{4,5}, Jian-Min Yuan⁶, Daniel O. Stram¹, Mimi C. Yu⁷, and Anna H. Wu¹

¹Department of Preventive Medicine, Keck School of Medicine, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California

²Cancer Registry of Norway, Oslo, Norway

³Department of Nutrition, Institute of Basic Medical Sciences, University of Oslo, Norway

⁴Duke-NUS Graduate Medical School, National University of Singapore, Singapore

⁵Saw Swee Hock School of Public Health, National University of Singapore, Singapore

⁶Division of Cancer Control and Population Sciences, University of Pittsburgh Cancer Institute; and Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Abstract

Transforming growth factor-beta (TGF- β) plays a critical role in normal mammary development and morphogenesis. Decreased TGF- β signaling has been associated with increased mammographic density. Percent mammographic density (PMD) adjusted for age and body mass index (BMI) is a strong risk factor and predictor of breast cancer risk. PMD is highly heritable, but few genetic determinants have been identified. We investigated the association between genetic variation in *TGFB1* and PMD using a cross-sectional study of 2,038 women who were members of the population-based Singapore Chinese Health Study cohort. We assessed PMD using a computer-assisted method. We used linear regression to examine the association between 9 tagging SNPs of *TGFB1* and PMD and their interaction with parity, adjusting for age, BMI, and dialect group. We calculated 'P-values adjusted for correlated tests' (P_{ACT}) to account for multiple testing. The strongest association was observed for rs2241716. Adjusted PMD was higher by 1.5% per minor allele ($P_{ACT}=0.04$). When stratifying by parity, this association was limited to nulliparous women. For nulliparous women, adjusted PMD was higher by 8.6% per minor allele ($P_{ACT}=0.003$; P for interaction with parity=0.002). Three additional *TGFB1* tagging SNPs, which were in linkage disequilibrium with rs2241716, were statistically significantly associated with adjusted PMD ($P_{ACT}<0.05$) for nulliparous women. However, none of these three SNPs showed statistically significant association after adjusting for rs2241716. Our data support that *TGFB1* genetic variation may be an important genetic determinant of mammographic density measure that predicts breast cancer risk, particularly in nulliparous women.

Keywords

TGFB1; polymorphism; mammographic density; Chinese

Corresponding Author: Anna H. Wu, 1441 Eastlake Ave., Norris Cancer Center Room 4443, Los Angeles, CA 90089, USA. Tel: +1 323 865 0484, Fax: +1 323 865 0139, annawu@usc.edu.

⁷Retired

The authors disclose no potential conflicts of interest.

Introduction

Percent mammographic density (PMD), the percentage of white-appearing tissue in the breast in radiographic films, is a measure of the amount of epithelium and stroma relative to the amount of fat tissue in the breast. PMD, adjusted for age and body mass index (BMI), is one of the strongest known predictors of breast cancer risk (1). Women with greater than 75% PMD have a 4-5 times higher risk of breast cancer than women of the same age and BMI with little or no density (<5%) (1). Data from twin and family studies suggest that genetic factors explain 30-60% of the variation in PMD, when adjusted for age, BMI, and other covariates (2-4). However, few genetic determinants of PMD have been identified, and the few existing studies were mainly conducted in European whites. A recent genome-wide association study (GWAS) of age and BMI-adjusted PMD among 4,877 women of European descent identified one locus (*ZNF365*-rs10995190) that was significantly associated with PMD, but this association explained only 0.5% of the variance in PMD (5). Results from a study of twins and their sisters identified several breast cancer susceptibility loci, including *LSP1*, that are associated with adjusted PMD (6). Subsequently, a large international collaboration (DENSENP consortium) of PMD in 16,895 Caucasian women found that two breast cancer susceptibility variants in the genes *LSP1* and *RAD51L1*, are associated with age- and BMI-adjusted PMD (7), but these 2 SNPs after adjustment explained only 2% of inter-individual variation in PMD(7).

It is well accepted that transforming growth factor- β (TGF- β) functions as a tumor suppressor during early tumor development, but at later stages, it promotes tumor progression and metastasis (8, 9). TGF- β also plays a critical role in normal mammary development and morphogenesis with growth inhibitory properties (10, 11). Consistent with the anti-proliferative role of TGF- β in normal mammary tissue, decreased TGF- β signaling was shown to be associated with increased breast density in a microarray-based analysis of normal breast tissue of breast cancer patients (12).

Among the three isoforms of TGF- β (TGF- β 1, TGF- β 2, TGF- β 3), TGF- β 1 is most frequently unregulated in tumor cells (13). Two potentially functional SNPs of the gene encoding TGF- β 1 (*TGFB1*), have been described and extensively studied in relation to breast cancer risk: a non-synonymous polymorphism L10P (Leu10Pro substitution; rs1800470, formerly known as rs1982073) and a promoter polymorphism C-509T (rs1800469). These two SNPs are in strong linkage disequilibrium (LD) in European whites (14) and in Chinese (15). Minor allele of rs1800470 (L10P) was shown to increase TGF- β 1 secretion in vitro (14). In human association studies, minor alleles of L10P and C-509T were associated with higher serum concentration of TGF- β 1 (16, 17).

Data from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium (BCAC) have shown that P-allele of rs1800470 (L10P) was statistically significantly associated with a 4% increased breast cancer risk (18). When stratifying by tumor stage, this association was observed for advanced (stage II or above) breast cancer but not for stage I breast cancer (18). In an earlier report from the BCAC, where a limited number of DCIS cases (n=328) were included, there was some indication that P-allele is inversely associated with risk of ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) tumors (19). The OR associated with L10P among Asians was similar to that among Caucasians (18, 20). *TGFB1* C-509T (rs1800469) was not significantly associated with breast cancer risk in a 2010 meta-analysis including 10,197 cases and 13,382 controls (21), but there was significant between-study heterogeneity. GWAS of breast cancer have genotyped several (i.e. 3 to 6) tagging SNPs in the *TGFB1* region, with ~30%-~50% tagging coverage (22-28). *TGFB1* SNPs were not among the top-hits in these GWAS, including one breast cancer GWAS conducted in an Asian population (24). However,

GWAS is thought to have missed true susceptibility loci due to limited statistical power in the initial scan (28, 29).

Few studies have investigated the association between *TGFB1* SNPs and PMD. The DENSE consortium analysis of Caucasian women investigated the association between *TGFB1* L10P and adjusted PMD, but did not find a significant association (7). In the GWAS of PMD, which was a meta-analysis of five unpublished GWAS of PMD conducted in European whites, *TGFB1* SNPs were not reported to be associated with adjusted PMD (5); tagging coverage of *TGFB1* region was lower than 40% for the majority of participating studies (5), whereas the coverage was over 80% in our study (see below). In the current study, we investigated the association between genetic variation in *TGFB1* and age- and BMI-adjusted PMD in healthy Singapore Chinese women. Parity, in particular, induces substantial proliferation, differentiation, and subsequent involution of breast tissue cells (30). Since the effect of a genetic factor on PMD may differ between nulliparous versus parous women, we hypothesized a priori to test interaction by parity. To our knowledge, *TGFB1* genetic variation has not been investigated in relation to PMD in Asians.

Methods

Study Subjects

Details of the Mammography Subcohort who were women enrolled in both the Singapore Chinese Health Study (SCHS) and the Singapore Breast Screening Project (SBSP), have been described previously (31, 32). In brief, the SCHS includes 35,298 Chinese women and 27,959 men, ages 45-74 years, who were enrolled during 1993-1998. Subjects were residents of government housing estates; during the enrollment period 86% of the Singapore population resided in such housing facilities. During 1994 to 1997, Singaporean women ages 50-64 years were invited for a screening mammography as part of the SBSP (33). We identified 3,777 women common to the SBSP and SCHS databases through a computer linkage, and successfully retrieved mammograms of 3,702 women (98%). After excluding 6 women who had missing information on key variables and 1 woman who was later found not to be a Singapore resident, 3,695 women were included in the cross-sectional analysis of the Mammography Subcohort (31, 34, 35).

Data Collection

At entry to the SCHS, a trained interviewer conducted a face-to-face interview in the subject's home using a structured questionnaire that asked about demographic and anthropometric factors, menstrual and reproductive history (women only), medical history, family history of cancer, use of tobacco, and other dietary and lifestyle factors. We included only women without any history of cancer since lifestyle habits may change as a result of cancer diagnosis, and the presence of tumor and/or cancer treatment may additionally influence PMD.

As part of the SBSP, participants completed a brief questionnaire that asked about demographic and body size characteristics and reproductive history. Since factors such as age, BMI, parity, and menopausal status are established to be strongly associated with PMD, we used the data collected at the same time the mammogram was performed, as part of the SBSP, for these analyses (31, 32).

PMD was assessed by one of the authors (GU) as previously described (31) using a highly reproducible computer-assisted method (31, 35-37). In brief, subjects' mammograms were scanned using a Cobrascan 812T scanner (Radiographic Digital Imaging Inc., Compton, California) and Adobe Photoshop software with the plug-in program Scanwizard 3.0.9. Two research assistants trained by GU outlined the entire breast using an outlining tool on the

computer screen, and the computer counted the total number of pixels, which represents the total breast area. The average of the two assistants' readings was used. The density readings were done by GU: The reader draws a region of interest that excludes the pectoralis muscle and other artifacts. The software counts the number of pixels within the region of interest, which represents the area with absolute density. GU read the mammograms by random batches of 50. Each batch included subjects of all age groups. The PMD, or the mammographic percent density, is the absolute density (dense area) divided by the total breast area. Non-dense area was calculated by subtracting dense area from total breast area. The reproducibility on PMD assessment based on 237 pairs of duplicated mammograms was high ($r=0.97$, $P < 0.0001$ for percent density; $r=0.97$, $P < 0.0001$ for absolute density, $r=0.99$, $P < 0.0001$ for total breast area) (32).

The Institutional Review Boards at the National University of Singapore, the National Cancer Center, Singapore, the University of Southern California and the University of Minnesota had approved this study.

Blood Specimen Collection and DNA Extraction

In April 1994, we began collecting blood specimens from all consenting subjects from a 3% random sample of SCHS enrollees. Red cells, plasma, serum, and buffy coat were extracted from blood. Subjects who refused to donate blood were asked to donate buccal cells using a mouthwash protocol. Eligibility for this biospecimen subcohort was extended to all surviving cohort participants starting in January 2000. By April 2005, samples were obtained from 32,535 subjects (~60% participation). Of the 3,695 women evaluated for PMD, DNA samples were available on 2,164 women (1,848 blood, 316 buccal). Compared to the excluded members of the subcohort (i.e., those without DNA samples), study subjects were more educated and more likely to be Cantonese, but otherwise similar to other subcohort members in factors such as age, BMI, parity, menopausal status, soy intake, and total caloric intake (32). All extracted components from blood/mouthwash specimens were stored in -80°C freezers until analysis.

Tagging SNP Selection and Genotyping

We selected tagging SNPs in the *TGFBI*, from 20kb upstream of 5' untranslated region (UTR) to 10kb downstream of 3' UTR. We tagged all common SNPs (minor allele frequency $\geq 5\%$) found among non-Hispanic white or Chinese populations, with $r^2 \geq 0.80$. This selection was done using the Snagger (38) software and a custom database of the Hapmap CEU data (release 24) (39) merged with unique SNPs in the Affymetrix 500K panel as well as the Hapmap CHB data release 24.

Genotyping of 2,164 samples was performed using the Illumina Golden Gate Assay (Illumina, Inc., San Diego, CA) at the University of Southern California Epigenome Core Facility, as a part of 384 SNPs in multiple candidate gene pathways. We excluded 126 samples with a genotyping success rate (call rate) less than 85%; the remaining 2,038 samples were included in the current analyses. Genotyping concordance based on the 42 random duplicate samples was $>99.9\%$. In the *TGFBI* region, we genotyped 11 SNPs. Of these, we excluded 2 SNPs that had $\text{MAF} < 0.001$. All remaining 9 SNPs did not depart significantly from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) ($P \geq 0.01$).

Statistical Analysis

We used linear regression to examine the association between *TGFBI* genotype and PMD, adjusting for age at mammogram, BMI (kg/m^2) at mammogram, and dialect group (Cantonese, Hokkien). Additional adjustment for other breast cancer risk factors including parity (nulliparous, 1-2 deliveries, 3-4 deliveries, 5+ deliveries), menopausal status (pre- and

postmenopausal), and hormone therapy use (yes, no) did not change the results; these risk factors were not included in the final model. PMD and absolute density (i.e. dense area) had a skewed distribution. When we square-root transformed these variables, the results were identical with regard to the magnitude and statistical significance of the regression coefficients. We therefore present the results from the un-transformed density variables. The linear regression models were based on additive genetic models, where the regression coefficients are estimates of the difference in PMD per copy of the minor allele of a given polymorphism.

We tested whether the association between *TGFB1* SNPs and PMD is modified by established determinants of PMD, such as parity, BMI, and menopausal status. Parity was defined as a dichotomized variable (nulliparous and parous). Additional analyses were conducted subdividing parous women by number of deliveries (1-2 deliveries, 3 or more). We evaluated the interaction between BMI (<24, ≥24 kg/m²), parity (nulliparous and parous; nulliparous, 1-2 deliveries, 3 or more deliveries), menopausal status (premenopausal, postmenopausal), and *TGFB1* genotype by introducing product terms and conducting Wald tests, adjusting for age, BMI (continuous), and dialect. We calculated 'P values adjusted for correlated tests' (P_{ACT}), which is a less conservative method to adjust statistical significance of correlated tests for multiple testing (40). Analyses were conducted using SAS 9.2 (SAS Inc., NC). All *P* values are two sided.

Results

The LD structure of *TGFB1* was assessed using the Hapmap dataset of Chinese population (Hapmap CHB data; release 27; Supplementary Figure 1) (39). The 9 SNPs in the *TGFB1* that were analyzed in the current study tagged 82% of SNPs (MAF>0.01) in the Hapmap CHB population with pairwise $r^2>0.80$.

One (rs2241716) of 9 *TGFB1* SNPs showed a statistically significant association with PMD after multiple testing correction ($P_{ACT}=0.04$; Table 1) in a model adjusted for age, BMI, and dialect group. The estimated difference in adjusted PMD per minor-allele of rs2241716 was 1.52%. The adjusted means (adjusted for age, BMI, and dialect) of PMD for women with rs2241716 genotype of C/C, C/T, and T/T was 21.0% (SE 0.5), 23.0% (SE 0.6), and 23.5% (SE 1.3), respectively (data not shown). When we performed subgroup analyses stratified by parity, the association with rs2241716 was limited to nulliparous women (Table 2). The estimated PMD was higher by 8.55% per copy of minor allele (T) (uncorrected $P=0.0005$; $P_{ACT}=0.003$; Table 2) for nulliparous women. The adjusted means of PMD for nulliparous women with rs2241716 genotype of C/C (n=71), C/T (n=52), and T/T (n=10) was 30.3% (SE 2.0), 43.7% (SE 2.3), and 38.9% (SE 5.5), respectively (Supplementary Figure 2). Since the sample size for T/T genotype was limited, we repeated the analyses combining the C/T (n=52) and T/T (n=10) genotypes. Adjusted PMD was higher by 12.7% in women with C/T or T/T genotype than women with C/C genotype (data not shown). For parous women, adjusted PMD was higher by 1.13% per copy of T allele; however, this association did not remain statistically significant after multiple testing correction. *P* value for interaction between rs2241716 and parity was 0.002. When we further divided the parous group by number of births, the estimated regression coefficient (i.e. adjusted PMD difference per T allele) was 1.85 ($P=0.14$) for women with 1-2 births and 0.82 ($P=0.18$) for those with 3 or more births (*P* for interaction =0.004 with 3-ordinal categories of parity; Supplementary Table 4).

For nulliparous women, three other *TGFB1* SNPs (rs4803455, rs1982072, rs2241713) showed statistically significant association: adjusted PMD was higher by 6.6% to 7.7% per minor allele of each SNP (P_{ACT} ranging from 0.006-0.018; Table 2). Minor alleles of these

three *TGFB1* SNPs were positively correlated with minor allele of rs2241716, with a pairwise correlation coefficient ranging from 0.71 to 0.84 (Supplementary Table 1). After adjusting for rs2241716, none of these three SNPs showed statistically significant association with adjusted PMD ($P > 0.05$; regression coefficient ranging from 1.5 to 2.1 per minor allele of each SNP). For parous women, none of these three *TGFB1* SNPs was associated with adjusted PMD. Compared to parous women, nulliparous women were significantly more likely to have lower BMI, earlier age at menarche, and receive formal and higher education (Supplementary Table 2). Additional adjustment for age at menarche and education did not change the results.

We evaluated the association of *TGFB1* SNPs with absolute density, total breast area and non-dense area for nulliparous women, adjusting for age, BMI, and dialect group (Table 3). Per minor allele of rs2241716, absolute density was higher by 11.3 cm^2 ($P = 0.0007$). *TGFB1* SNPs were not associated with total breast area and non-dense area. For parous women, *TGFB1* SNPs were not associated with any of the density measures (Supplementary Table 3). In our study, PMD was positively correlated with absolute density (Pearson correlation coefficient $r = 0.85$), and inversely correlated with non-dense area ($r = -0.66$) and total breast area ($r = -0.27$).

BMI or menopausal status did not significantly modify the association between *TGFB1* SNPs and adjusted PMD (data not shown).

Discussion

In this study of Singapore Chinese women, genetic variation in *TGFB1* was associated with age- and BMI-adjusted PMD; this association was statistically significant only in nulliparous women. To our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate an association between *TGFB1* genetic variation and PMD in Asians.

Our finding that genetic variation in *TGFB1* (rs2241716 or a causal variant tagged by rs2241716) is associated with adjusted PMD in Singapore Chinese women is consistent with the known biological roles of TGF- β signaling on mammary tissue. TGF- β signaling has been shown to be critical for normal mammary development and morphogenesis. Experimental and animal studies have shown that TGF- β signaling inhibits proliferation of human mammary epithelial cells and controls mammary gland morphogenesis (10, 11). Consistent with the anti-proliferative role of TGF- β in mammary tissue, normal breast tissue of breast cancer patients who had high breast density showed decreased TGF- β signaling compared to normal breast tissue of the patients who had low breast density (12). Our observation of effect modification by parity may be related to the fact that breast tissue undergoes substantial proliferation, differentiation and involution through pregnancy and lactation (30). The association between *TGFB1* SNPs and PMD could have been weakened through this process.

Our findings, however, differ from the null findings in the two published studies on *TGFB1* SNPs and age- and BMI-adjusted PMD in European whites, although subgroup-specific results in nulliparous women were not presented in these studies (5, 7). Notably, rs2241716 was monomorphic in European whites (39), suggesting that previous studies (in European whites) were not likely to capture the causal variant linked to rs2241716. In addition, recent data from Norwegian women suggest that known determinants of adjusted PMD such as menopausal hormone use modify the association between genetic polymorphisms and adjusted PMD (41). Chinese women in Singapore rarely consume alcohol or use menopausal hormones, and have smaller breast size and relatively low BMI compared to Western populations (31). Mean BMI of nulliparous Singapore Chinese women was low (23.0 kg/

m²) in our study (Supplementary Table 2). These factors may explain the different findings between our study and the previous studies (5, 7).

TGF- β signaling also has been implicated in breast carcinogenesis, and *TGFB1* genetic variation has been extensively studied in relation to breast cancer risk. Two recent meta-analyses of rs1800470 (L10P) suggest that the P-allele is associated with a ~5% elevated risk of breast cancer (20, 42); the relative risk estimate in Asians was slightly stronger (OR=1.11) than the estimate in whites (20). In vitro experiments have shown that cells overexpressing the P-allele form of TGF- β 1 secreted more than twice the amount of TGF- β 1 than cells overexpressing the L-allele form of TGF- β 1 (14). The P-allele also was found to be associated with increased serum concentration of TGF- β 1 in Japanese (16). A promoter polymorphism rs1800469 (C-509T), which is in strong LD with rs1800470 in whites (14) and in Chinese (15), was associated with increased serum TGF- β 1 in whites (17). The totality of evidence, therefore, supports the notion of TGF- β 1 duality in carcinogenesis (20); namely, that TGF- β 1 promotes tumor progression in later stages of carcinogenesis (8, 9, 13). Consistent with this view, data from BCAC suggest that P-allele of rs1800470 is positively associated with stage II and stage III/IV breast cancer but not with stage I breast cancer (18), and that P-allele may be inversely associated with DCIS (19). The OR (per P-allele) for stage I, stage II, stage III/IV breast cancer was 1.02 (95% confidence interval (CI): 0.99-1.06), 1.06 (95% CI: 1.02-1.10), 1.11 (95% CI: 1.01-1.22), respectively (18); the OR (for LP vs LL) for DCIS was 0.89 (95% CI: 0.70-1.13) (19). Similar risk patterns were observed in one study of Chinese women, where P-allele of rs1800470 was significantly associated with decreased risk of earlier stage breast cancer (in-situ or stage I), but was non-significantly associated with increased risk of more advanced stage breast cancer (stage III or IV) (15).

In the current study, the strongest association was observed for rs2241716. This SNP is located in the intron region of *TGFB1*. The three SNPs that are in LD with rs2241716 and showed significant associations, are located in the intron region (rs4803455) or in 5' upstream of *TGFB1* (rs1982072 and rs2241713). Functional significance of these SNP as well as other genotyped SNPs in our study is not known. Nonetheless, rs2241716 is in moderate LD with L10P ($r^2=0.46$) and C-509T ($r^2=0.45$) in Asians in 1000 Genome dataset (43). Minor allele of rs2241716 (C/T) was linked to major alleles of L10P and C-509T, which have been shown to be associated with decreased serum level of TGF- β 1 (14, 16, 17). In vitro data demonstrate that cells transfected with the L-allele form of *TGFB1* exhibited reduced secretion of TGFB1 compared with cells transfected with P-allele form of *TGFB1* (14). Decreased expression of TGF- β signaling pathway genes has been associated with higher breast density in a microarray-based expression profiling study (12). Therefore, our observation that rs224176 T-allele is associated with increased PMD is consistent with existing evidence. The potential impact of our finding on breast cancer risk may have practical implications. The estimated ~8% elevation in adjusted PMD per rs224176 T-allele for nulliparous women is comparable in magnitude to the 3-6% increase in adjusted PMD in women receiving one year treatment of estrogen-progestin combined hormone therapy (36, 44), an established risk factor of breast cancer (45, 46). However, given the likely dual roles of TGF- β 1 signaling in breast carcinogenesis and the seemingly differential associations between *TGFB1* genetic variation and breast cancer risk by tumor staging (15, 18), the clinical significance of our findings is unclear at this time. *TGFB1* genetic variation may put some women at higher risk of developing breast cancer, but their tumors may be less likely to progress and metastasize. Further research is needed to replicate our findings and determine the relevance to breast cancer risk.

To our knowledge, this study represents one of the first studies to investigate genetic determinants of adjusted PMD using a large, well-characterized population-based study of

Asians. Recently, we reported a significant association between adjusted PMD and genetic variation in *PPAR γ* , a gene implicated in lipid and glucose metabolism in the same study population of Singapore Chinese women (32). Our current results suggest an association between *TGFB1* and adjusted PMD which appeared to be modified by parity. One limitation of our study is that two well-studied, potentially functional SNPs (namely, L10P and C-509T) were not included in our tagging SNP selection, thus precluding direct comparison of our findings with those from other epidemiologic studies of *TGFB1* on breast cancer risk. However, these two SNPs were in moderate LD with one tagging SNP that was significantly associated with adjusted PMD in our study. In conclusion, our data from a Singapore Chinese population support that *TGFB1* genetic variation may be important in determining age- and BMI-adjusted PMD, particularly in nulliparous women.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Siew-Hong Low of the National University of Singapore for supervising the field work of the Singapore Chinese Health Study, and Kazuko Arakawa for the development of the cohort study database. We also thank the National Cancer Centre Singapore for providing the mammograms of our cohort participants from the Singapore Breast Screening Project.

Grant Support: This study was supported by grant R01-CA55069, R35-CA53890, R01-CA80205, and R01 CA144034 from the National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD, USA.

References

1. McCormack VA, dos Santos Silva I. Breast density and parenchymal patterns as markers of breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2006; 15:1159–69. [PubMed: 16775176]
2. Boyd NF, Dite GS, Stone J, Gunasekara A, English DR, McCredie MR, et al. Heritability of mammographic density, a risk factor for breast cancer. *N Engl J Med.* 2002; 347:886–94. [PubMed: 12239257]
3. Pankow JS, Vachon CM, Kuni CC, King RA, Arnett DK, Grabrick DM, et al. Genetic analysis of mammographic breast density in adult women: evidence of a gene effect. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 1997; 89:549–56. [PubMed: 9106643]
4. Ursin G, Lillie EO, Lee E, Cockburn M, Schork NJ, Cozen W, et al. The relative importance of genetics and environment on mammographic density. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2009; 18:102–12. [PubMed: 19124487]
5. Lindstrom S, Vachon CM, Li J, Varghese J, Thompson D, Warren R, et al. Common variants in ZNF365 are associated with both mammographic density and breast cancer risk. *Nat Genet.* 2011; 43:185–7. [PubMed: 21278746]
6. Odefrey F, Stone J, Gurrin LC, Byrnes GB, Apicella C, Dite GS, et al. Common genetic variants associated with breast cancer and mammographic density measures that predict disease. *Cancer Res.* 2010; 70:1449–58. [PubMed: 20145138]
7. Vachon CM, Scott CG, Fasching PA, Hall P, Tamimi RM, Li J, et al. Common Breast Cancer Susceptibility Variants in LSP1 and RAD51L1 Are Associated with Mammographic Density Measures that Predict Breast Cancer Risk. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012
8. Brier B, Moses HL. Tumour microenvironment: TGFbeta: the molecular Jekyll and Hyde of cancer. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2006; 6:506–20. [PubMed: 16794634]
9. Barcellos-Hoff MH, Akhurst RJ. Transforming growth factor-beta in breast cancer: too much, too late. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2009; 11:202. [PubMed: 19291273]
10. Moses H, Barcellos-Hoff MH. TGF-beta biology in mammary development and breast cancer. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol.* 2011; 3:a003277. [PubMed: 20810549]

11. Rosfjord EC, Dickson RB. Growth factors, apoptosis, and survival of mammary epithelial cells. *J Mammary Gland Biol Neoplasia*. 1999; 4:229–37. [PubMed: 10426402]
12. Yang WT, Lewis MT, Hess K, Wong H, Tsimelzon A, Karadag N, et al. Decreased TGFbeta signaling and increased COX2 expression in high risk women with increased mammographic breast density. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2010; 119:305–14. [PubMed: 19241157]
13. Derynck R, Akhurst RJ, Balmain A. TGF-beta signaling in tumor suppression and cancer progression. *Nat Genet*. 2001; 29:117–29. [PubMed: 11586292]
14. Dunning AM, Ellis PD, McBride S, Kirschenlohr HL, Healey CS, Kemp PR, et al. A transforming growth factor-beta1 signal peptide variant increases secretion in vitro and is associated with increased incidence of invasive breast cancer. *Cancer Res*. 2003; 63:2610–5. [PubMed: 12750287]
15. Shin A, Shu XO, Cai Q, Gao YT, Zheng W. Genetic polymorphisms of the transforming growth factor-beta1 gene and breast cancer risk: a possible dual role at different cancer stages. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2005; 14:1567–70. [PubMed: 15941974]
16. Yokota M, Ichihara S, Lin TL, Nakashima N, Yamada Y. Association of a T29-->C polymorphism of the transforming growth factor-beta1 gene with genetic susceptibility to myocardial infarction in Japanese. *Circulation*. 2000; 101:2783–7. [PubMed: 10859282]
17. Grainger DJ, Heathcote K, Chiano M, Snieder H, Kemp PR, Metcalfe JC, et al. Genetic control of the circulating concentration of transforming growth factor type beta1. *Hum Mol Genet*. 1999; 8:93–7. [PubMed: 9887336]
18. Broeks A, Schmidt MK, Sherman ME, Couch FJ, Hopper JL, Dite GS, et al. Low penetrance breast cancer susceptibility loci are associated with specific breast tumor subtypes: findings from the Breast Cancer Association Consortium. *Hum Mol Genet*. 2011; 20:3289–303. [PubMed: 21596841]
19. Cox A, Dunning AM, Garcia-Closas M, Balasubramanian S, Reed MW, Pooley KA, et al. A common coding variant in CASP8 is associated with breast cancer risk. *Nat Genet*. 2007; 39:352–8. [PubMed: 17293864]
20. Qiu LX, Yao L, Mao C, Chen B, Zhan P, Xue K, et al. TGFB1 L10P polymorphism is associated with breast cancer susceptibility: evidence from a meta-analysis involving 47,817 subjects. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2010; 123:563–7. [PubMed: 20143152]
21. Niu W, Qi Y, Gao P, Zhu D. Association of TGFB1 -509 C>T polymorphism with breast cancer: evidence from a meta-analysis involving 23,579 subjects. *Breast Cancer Res Treat*. 2010; 124:243–9. [PubMed: 20232138]
22. Hunter DJ, Kraft P, Jacobs KB, Cox DG, Yeager M, Hankinson SE, et al. A genome-wide association study identifies alleles in FGFR2 associated with risk of sporadic postmenopausal breast cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2007; 39:870–4. [PubMed: 17529973]
23. Stacey SN, Manolescu A, Sulem P, Rafnar T, Gudmundsson J, Gudjonsson SA, et al. Common variants on chromosomes 2q35 and 16q12 confer susceptibility to estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Nat Genet*. 2007; 39:865–9. [PubMed: 17529974]
24. Zheng W, Long J, Gao YT, Li C, Zheng Y, Xiang YB, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a new breast cancer susceptibility locus at 6q25.1. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41:324–8. [PubMed: 19219042]
25. Turnbull C, Ahmed S, Morrison J, Pernet D, Renwick A, Maranian M, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies five new breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nat Genet*. 2010; 42:504–7. [PubMed: 20453838]
26. Easton DF, Pooley KA, Dunning AM, Pharoah PD, Thompson D, Ballinger DG, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies novel breast cancer susceptibility loci. *Nature*. 2007; 447:1087–93. [PubMed: 17529967]
27. Gold B, Kirchhoff T, Stefanov S, Lautenberger J, Viale A, Garber J, et al. Genome-wide association study provides evidence for a breast cancer risk locus at 6q22.33. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:4340–5. [PubMed: 18326623]
28. Fletcher O, Johnson N, Orr N, Hosking FJ, Gibson LJ, Walker K, et al. Novel breast cancer susceptibility locus at 9q31.2: results of a genome-wide association study. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2011; 103:425–35. [PubMed: 21263130]

29. Ahmed S, Thomas G, Ghousaini M, Healey CS, Humphreys MK, Platte R, et al. Newly discovered breast cancer susceptibility loci on 3p24 and 17q23.2. *Nat Genet.* 2009; 41:585–90. [PubMed: 19330027]
30. Russo J, Moral R, Balogh GA, Mailo D, Russo IH. The protective role of pregnancy in breast cancer. *Breast Cancer Res.* 2005; 7:131–42. [PubMed: 15987443]
31. Wu AH, Ursin G, Koh WP, Wang R, Yuan JM, Khoo KS, et al. Green tea, soy, and mammographic density in Singapore Chinese women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2008; 17:3358–65. [PubMed: 19064551]
32. Lee E, Hsu C, Van den Berg D, Ursin G, Koh WP, Yuan JM, et al. Genetic Variation in Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor Gamma, Soy, and Mammographic Density in Singapore Chinese Women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2012
33. Ng EH, Ng FC, Tan PH, Low SC, Chiang G, Tan KP, et al. Results of intermediate measures from a population-based, randomized trial of mammographic screening prevalence and detection of breast carcinoma among Asian women: the Singapore Breast Screening Project. *Cancer.* 1998; 82:1521–8. [PubMed: 9554530]
34. Jakes RW, Duffy SW, Ng FC, Gao F, Ng EH, Seow A, et al. Mammographic parenchymal patterns and self-reported soy intake in Singapore Chinese women. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2002; 11:608–13. [PubMed: 12101107]
35. Ursin G, Sun CL, Koh WP, Khoo KS, Gao F, Wu AH, et al. Associations between soy, diet, reproductive factors, and mammographic density in Singapore Chinese women. *Nutr Cancer.* 2006; 56:128–35. [PubMed: 17474857]
36. Greendale GA, Reboussin BA, Slone S, Wasilauskas C, Pike MC, Ursin G. Postmenopausal hormone therapy and change in mammographic density. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2003; 95:30–7. [PubMed: 12509398]
37. Ursin G, Astrahan MA, Salane M, Parisky YR, Pearce JG, Daniels JR, et al. The detection of changes in mammographic densities. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 1998; 7:43–7. [PubMed: 9456242]
38. Edlund CK, Lee WH, Li D, Van Den Berg DJ, Conti DV. Snagger: a user-friendly program for incorporating additional information for tagSNP selection. *BMC Bioinformatics.* 2008; 9:174. [PubMed: 18371222]
39. International Hapmap Project. cited; Available from: <http://hapmap.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov>
40. Conneely KN, Boehnke M. So Many Correlated Tests, So Little Time! Rapid Adjustment of P Values for Multiple Correlated Tests. *Am J Hum Genet.* 2007; 81:1158–68. [PubMed: 17966093]
41. Ellingjord-Dale M, Lee E, Couto E, Ozhand A, Qureshi SA, Hofvind S, et al. Polymorphisms in hormone metabolism and growth factor genes and mammographic density in Norwegian postmenopausal hormone therapy users and non-users. *Breast Cancer Research.* in press.
42. Scollen S, Luccarini C, Baynes C, Driver K, Humphreys MK, Garcia-Closas M, et al. TGF-beta signaling pathway and breast cancer susceptibility. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev.* 2011; 20:1112–9. [PubMed: 21527583]
43. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium. A map of human genome variation from population-scale sequencing. *Nature.* 2010; 467:1061–73. [PubMed: 20981092]
44. McTiernan A, Martin CF, Peck JD, Aragaki AK, Chlebowski RT, Pisano ED, et al. Estrogen-plus-progestin use and mammographic density in postmenopausal women: Women's Health Initiative randomized trial. *J Natl Cancer Inst.* 2005; 97:1366–76. [PubMed: 16174858]
45. Rossouw JE, Anderson GL, Prentice RL, LaCroix AZ, Kooperberg C, Stefanick ML, et al. Risks and benefits of estrogen plus progestin in healthy postmenopausal women: principal results From the Women's Health Initiative randomized controlled trial. *JAMA.* 2002; 288:321–33. [PubMed: 12117397]
46. Chlebowski RT, Kuller LH, Prentice RL, Stefanick ML, Manson JE, Gass M, et al. Breast cancer after use of estrogen plus progestin in postmenopausal women. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 360:573–87. [PubMed: 19196674]

Association between *TGFB1* SNPs and percent mammographic density, adjusted for age, BMI, and dialect group, in the Mammography Subcohort of the Singapore Chinese Health Study.[§]

Table 1

SNP	Chromosome 19 position	Major/minor allele	MAF	N (WW/WV/VV)	Beta	(95% CI)	P	P _{ACT} [*]
rs2241718	46521446	G/A	0.30	1000/841/188	0.10	(-0.99, 1.20)	0.85	0.98
rs12981053	46524296	C/T	0.30	1001/838/189	0.13	(-0.97, 1.22)	0.82	0.97
rs10417924	46525007	C/T	0.10	1652/356/21	-0.04	(-1.73, 1.65)	0.96	>0.99
rs12983047	46526339	A/G	0.36	829/933/259	0.14	(-0.91, 1.20)	0.79	0.99
rs8110090	46537712	A/G	0.004	2017/17/0	-1.02	(-8.86, 6.82)	0.80	0.99
rs4803455	46543349	C/A	0.34	867/920/237	1.15	(0.09, 2.22)	0.034	0.144
rs2241716	46545926	C/T	0.28	1054/806/171	1.52	(0.42, 2.63)	0.007	0.041
rs1982072	46556349	T/A	0.42	663/1015/354	1.32	(0.28, 2.35)	0.012	0.063
rs2241713	46561308	G/C	0.42	666/1015/344	1.24	(0.20, 2.28)	0.019	0.094

[§]From linear regression models adjusting for age at mammogram (continuous) and BMI at mammogram (continuous), and dialect group (Cantonese, Hokkien). Additive genetic model was used.

^{*}P_{ACT}: 'P values adjusted for multiple correlated tests'

Abbreviations: SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; MAF, minor allele frequency; BMI, body mass index

Table 2

Association between rs2241716 and other *TGFB1* SNPs and percent mammographic density, adjusted for age, BMI, and dialect group, by parity in the Mammography Subcohort of the Singapore Chinese Health Study.[§]

SNP	Major/Minor allele	MAF	Nulliparous (N = 133)				Parous (n = 1,905)				P _{ACT} [*]	P _{interaction}
			N (WW/WV/VV)	Beta (95% CI)	P	P _{ACT} [*]	N (WW/WV/VV)	Beta (95% CI)	P	P _{ACT} [*]		
rs2241718	G/A	0.30	63/54/15	1.08 (-3.49, 5.64)	0.64	0.87	937/787/173	-0.05 (-1.17, 1.06)	0.92	0.99	0.57	
rs12981053	C/T	0.30	64/53/15	1.34 (-3.22, 5.9)	0.56	0.87	937/785/174	-0.03 (-1.14, 1.08)	0.95	0.95	0.50	
rs10417924	C/T	0.10	107/24/1	-4.69 (-12.2, 2.82)	0.22	0.57	1545/332/20	0.27 (-1.44, 1.97)	0.76	0.99	0.19	
rs12983047	A/G	0.36	54/60/18	1.18 (-3.31, 5.67)	0.61	0.90	775/873/241	0.05 (-1.02, 1.11)	0.93	0.99	0.57	
rs8110090	A/G	0.004	132/1/0	-	-	-	1885/16/0	-0.71 (-8.59, 7.18)	0.86	1.00	0.88	
rs4803455	C/A	0.34	60/60/12	7.71 (3.07, 12.3)	0.001	0.006	807/860/225	0.87 (-0.21, 1.94)	0.11	0.40	0.004	
rs2241716	C/T	0.28	71/52/10	8.55 (3.83, 13.3)	0.0005	0.003	983/754/161	1.13 (0.01, 2.24)	0.048	0.24	0.002	
rs1982072	T/A	0.42	46/65/21	6.85 (2.45, 11.3)	0.003	0.015	617/950/333	1.02 (-0.02, 2.06)	0.055	0.25	0.007	
rs2241713	G/C	0.42	47/65/20	6.58 (2.14, 11.0)	0.004	0.018	619/950/324	0.97 (-0.08, 2.02)	0.069	0.30	0.011	

[§]The results are from linear regression models adjusting for age at mammogram (continuous), BMI at mammogram (continuous), and dialect group (Cantonese, Hokkien). Additive genetic model was used. Also presenting results from low-soy subgroup analyses for comparison.

^{*}P_{ACT}: 'P values adjusted for multiple correlated tests'

Abbreviations: SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism. MAF, minor allele frequency; BMI, body mass index

Table 3
Association of *TGFBI* SNPs with absolute mammographic density, total breast area, and non-dense breast area for nulliparous women (n=133), adjusted for age, BMI, and dialect group[¶]

MD Features											
SNP	Major/minor allele	MAF	Absolute density			Total breast			Non-dense area		
			Beta	(95% CI)	P	Beta	(95% CI)	P	Beta	(95% CI)	P
rs2241718	G/A	0.30	6.34	(0.15, 12.5)	0.045	7.22	(-1.23, 15.7)	0.093	0.88	(-6.16, 7.92)	0.80
rs12981053	C/T	0.30	6.56	(0.38, 12.7)	0.038	7.13	(-1.31, 15.6)	0.097	0.57	(-6.46, 7.6)	0.87
rs10417924	C/T	0.10	-7.78	(-18.0, 2.45)	0.13	-5.09	(-18.9, 8.7)	0.47	2.69	(-8.81, 14.2)	0.64
rs12983047	A/G	0.36	6.25	(0.16, 12.3)	0.044	6.77	(-1.55, 15.1)	0.11	0.52	(-6.41, 7.45)	0.88
rs8110090	A/G	0.004	-			-			-		
rs4803455	C/A	0.34	9.30	(2.85, 15.8)	0.005	2.80	(-6.18, 11.8)	0.54	-6.50	(-13.9, 0.87)	0.08
rs2241716	C/T	0.28	11.3	(4.82, 17.7)	0.0007	5.76	(-3.28, 14.8)	0.21	-5.51	(-13.0, 1.97)	0.15
rs1982072	T/A	0.42	9.71	(3.73, 15.7)	0.002	4.88	(-3.47, 13.2)	0.25	-4.84	(-11.7, 2.07)	0.17
rs2241713	G/C	0.42	9.51	(3.47, 15.6)	0.002	5.01	(-3.42, 13.4)	0.24	-4.50	(-11.5, 2.47)	0.20

* From linear regression models adjusting for age at mammogram (continuous) and BMI at mammogram (continuous), and dialect group (Cantonese, Hokkien). Additive genetic model was used.
Abbreviations: SNP, single-nucleotide polymorphism; MD, mammographic density; MAF, minor allele frequency; BMI, body mass index