Published in final edited form as:

J Agric Food Chem. 2010 August 11; 58(15): 8573–8584. doi:10.1021/jf1014268.

Enrichment of *Echinacea angustifolia* with Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 increased anti-inflammatory potential through interfering with COX-2 enzyme activity

Carlie A. LaLone^{†,‡,+,}, Nan Huang^{†,+,}, Ludmila Rizshsky^{†,\$}, Man-Yu Yum^{†,#}, Navrozdeep Singh^{†,@}, Cathy Hauck^{†,+,}, Basil J. Nikolau^{†,\$}, Eve S. Wurtele^{†,!} , Marian L. Kohut^{†,@}, Patricia A. Murphy^{†,+,}, and Diane F. Birt^{†,‡,+,*}

Carlie A. LaLone: LaLone.Carlie@epa.gov; Nan Huang: huangnan@iastate.edu; Ludmila Rizshsky: ludmilar@iastate.edu; Man-Yu Yum: myum@iastate.edu; Navrozdeep Singh: navroze@iastate.edu; Basil J. Nikolau: dimmas@iastate.edu; Eve S. Wurtele: mash@iastate.edu; Marian L. Kohut: mkohut@iastate.edu; Patricia A. Murphy: pmurphy@iastate.edu

[†]Center for Research on Botanical Dietary Supplements at Iowa State University and the University of Iowa

[‡]Interdepartmental Genetics Graduate Program at Iowa State University

[!]Department of Genetics, Development, and Cell Biology at Iowa State University

^{\$}Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics, and Molecular Biology at Iowa State University

[@]Department of Kinesiology at Iowa State University

⁺Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition at Iowa State University

[#]Department of Statistics at Iowa State University

Abstract

Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketone 23 were partially responsible for *Echinacea angustifolia* anti-inflammatory properties previously. This study further tested their importance using the inhibition of prostaglandin E₂ (PGE₂) and nitric oxide (NO) production by RAW264.7 mouse macrophages in the absence and presence of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and *E. angustifolia* extracts, phytochemical enriched fractions, or pure synthesized standards. Molecular targets were probed using microarray, qRT-PCR, western blot, and enzyme assays. Fractions with these phytochemicals were more potent inhibitors of LPS induced PGE₂ production than *E. angustifolia* extracts. Microarray did not detect changes in transcripts with phytochemical treatments; however qRT-PCR showed decrease in TNF- α and increase of iNOS transcripts. LPS induced COX-2 protein was increased by an *E. angustifolia* fraction containing Bauer ketone 23 and by pure phytochemical. COX-2 activity was decreased with all treatments. The phytochemical inhibition of PGE₂ production by *Echinacea* may be due to the direct targeting of COX-2 enzyme.

Keywords

Echinacea angustifolia; Prostaglandin E₂; Nitric Oxide; Bauer alkylamides; Bauer ketones; Anti-inflammatory; Fractionation

*To whom correspondence should be addressed: Tel: (515) 294-9873. dbirt@iastate.edu.

The Center for Research on Botanical Dietary Supplements, Interdepartmental Genetics Graduate Program, Department of Genetics, Development, and Cell Biology, Department of Biochemistry, Biophysics, and Molecular Biology, Department of Statistics, and Department of Food Science and Human Nutrition at Iowa State University, Ames, Iowa 50011.

Safety

LPS compounds are pyrogenic and should not be inhaled or allowed to enter the bloodstream.

Introduction

Echinacea has been used medicinally for hundreds of years for the treatment of numerous ailments, including inflammation (1) and for the stimulation of the immune system (2). Several studies have been conducted to elucidate the cellular mechanism of action for the immune modulatory properties of *Echinacea* (3–6). These studies have mainly focused on one particular class of compounds of *Echinacea*, alkylamides, and their ability to interact with cannabinoid receptors.

The cannabinoid receptors, CB1 and CB2, are G protein coupled receptors that have been implicated in the modulation of the central nervous system and the inflammatory response. CB1 receptors occur in neurons from the central and peripheral nervous system and are concentrated in the brain, while CB2 receptors occur mainly in immune cells, including macrophages (7). Studies attempting to unravel the mechanism of action for the immune modulatory effects of *Echinacea* have led to the finding that alkylamides, which are a class of constituents prominent in certain *Echinacea* species, can act as cannabinomimetics (8). Endogenous ligands for the cannabinoid receptors including anandamide (AEA) and 2-arachidonyl glycerol (2-AG) share structural similarity with *Echinacea* alkylamides (9). Previous studies have determined that certain alkylamides have the ability to bind to the CB2 receptor with K_i values around 60 nM with greater affinity than the natural ligands (8). The evidence for CB2 receptor involvement in the immune modulatory effect of *Echinacea* was further strengthened by Gertsch et al. 2004, who provided evidence that the gene expression of an important inflammatory cytokine released by macrophage cells, tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- α), was induced via the CB2 receptor by a commercial preparation of *Echinacea* called Echinaforce™ (4). This activity was attributed to certain alkylamides present in the Echinaforce™ preparation, including Bauer alkylamide 11 at 0.5 μ M (the Bauer numbers are from (10)). Recently, *Echinacea* ketones have also been shown to have anti-tumorigenic and anti-inflammatory properties in human cancer cells and mouse macrophage cells, respectively (11, 12). Therefore, Egger et al. 2008 set out to determine whether various ketoalkenes of *E. pallida* could mediate immune modulatory effects through the cannabinoid receptors, using a steady-state GTPase assay to assess cannabinoid receptor antagonistic activity they identified no significant activity with the ketoalkenes from *E. pallida* (3).

Significant inhibition of prostaglandin E2 (PGE₂) and nitric oxide (NO) production have been achievable with treatments of *Echinacea* extracts, purified fractions, and pure constituents providing two excellent endpoints for the elucidation of species, as well as classes of compounds, that are important for the *in vitro* anti-inflammatory properties of *Echinacea* (12–15). Upstream enzymes of these endpoints, such as cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS), for PGE₂ and NO respectively, have been studied in order to further delineate how *Echinacea* modulates inflammation. Muller-Jakic et al. 1994 determined that alkylamides isolated from an Soxhlet n-hexane extract of *E. angustifolia* were capable of inhibiting both cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase activity *in vitro* (16). Another study showed that certain alkylamides from a CO₂ extract of *E. angustifolia* abrogated COX-2 activity, but had no effect on COX-2 mRNA or protein in neuroglioma cells (17). Zhai et al. 2009 described the inhibition of NO identified with Soxhlet ethanol extracts of *E. angustifolia*, *E. pallida*, and *E. purpurea* was due to an inhibition of iNOS protein expression, attributing this effect to the lipophilic alkylamides (15).

The presence of Bauer alkylamide 11 at a concentration of 3.55 μ M and Bauer ketone 23 at a concentration of 0.83 μ M in *E. angustifolia* fractions capable of inhibiting PGE₂

production was a key finding in our laboratory (12). During a re-fractionation of *E. angustifolia* we identified a fraction capable of significant PGE₂ inhibition, but the potency of this inhibitory activity was less than previously identified in our prior studies. We determined that the decreased inhibitory activity may be due to the lower concentration of Bauer alkylamide 11 and the absence of Bauer ketone 23 in the new fraction. This observation led to the hypothesis that these two constituents at the proper concentration ratios in *E. angustifolia* fractions may target specific bioactivities. Our studies were conducted to understand the anti-inflammatory roles, via inhibition of PGE₂ and NO production, of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 in an *E. angustifolia* fraction and elucidate a mechanism of action leading to the modulation of these inflammatory endpoints in the RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cell line.

Materials and Methods

Plant Material and Extraction

E. angustifolia (PI636395) root material from a 2008 harvest was grown in Ames, IA by the USDA North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station (NCRPIS, Ames, IA) and collected, stored, and Soxhlet extracted with 95% ethanol as previously described (13). Further information about the accessions and requests for the germplasm can be found on the Germplasm Resources Information Network database at http://www.ars-grin.gov/npgs/acc/acc_queries.html provided by NCRPIS.

Semi-preparative HPLC Fractionation

The fractionation of a 95 % ethanol extract of *Echinacea angustifolia* was performed using semi-preparative reversed-phase HPLC with methods to avoid endotoxin contamination as previously described (12). Five fractions were produced from the fractionation, with the alkylamide rich fraction 3 responsible for the majority of identified bioactivity described in the results section. The activity associated with fraction 3 from *E. angustifolia* in the present study was consistent with our previous work (10, 11).

GC-MS Analysis

GC-MS analyses was used to determine concentrations of known compounds present in *E. angustifolia* fractions through the use of synthetic standards as described by LaLone et al. 2009 (12).

Alkylamide and Ketone Synthesis

Alkylamide and ketone synthesis was conducted as described previously accounting for percent purity when determining concentrations of compounds (12, 13).

Cell Culture

RAW264.7 mouse monocyte/macrophage cells were purchased from American Type Culture Collection (cat: TIB-71, Manassas, VA). The conditions under which the cells were cultured have been previously described (13, 18).

Echinacea angustifolia Fraction and Constituent Treatments

Five treatments were consistently used for each assay: (1) *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 at 1 µg/ml; (2) enriched fraction 3 consisting of *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 at 1 µg/ml (which contained Bauer alkylamide 11 at a concentration of 0.05 µM), synthetic Bauer alkylamide 11 at 3.5 µM, and Bauer ketone 23 at 0.83 µM; (3) the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 at 3.55 µM and Bauer ketone 23 at 0.83 µM; (4) chemically synthesized Bauer alkylamide 11 at 3.55 µM; and (5) chemically synthesized Bauer ketone 23 at 0.83 µM.

Measurement of Prostaglandin E₂, Nitric Oxide, and Cytotoxicity

The production of prostaglandin E₂ was assessed using a PGE₂ enzyme immunoassay (GE Biosciences; Piscataway, NJ) after treating RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cells for eight hours with fractions from *E. angustifolia* and with or without lipopolysaccharide (*E. coli* O26:B6, Sigma; St. Louis, MO) as previously described (13). Quercetin (3,5,7,3',4'-pentahydroxy flavon), was chosen as the positive control for this assay due to its anti-inflammatory properties at a concentration of 10 μ M (Sigma).

Nitric oxide production was analyzed after a 24 h incubation with *Echinacea* fraction or pure constituent using Griess Reagent System (Promega; Madison, WI) following the manufacturer's protocol. The assay has been previously described using the RAW264.7 cell line and outlined in LaLone et al. 2009 (12).

Cytotoxicity was analyzed using the Celltiter96 Aqueous One Solution Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega) as previously described (13). Each fraction and compound was screened for cytotoxicity at the concentrations in the PGE₂ assay and incubated for 24 h.

Western blots

RAW264.7 cells were grown in 10 cm Petri dishes to 80% confluency (overnight) and treated for 8 h. Cells were washed twice with ice cold 1X phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and lysed on ice for 5 min with 500 μ l of lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-hydrochloride, 2mM ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid, 2 mM ethylene glycol tetraacetic acid, 150 mM sodium chloride, 2 mM phenylmethanesulphonylfluoride, 25 mM leupeptin, 10 mM aprotinin, 10 mM sodium fluoride, 10 mM sodium orthovanadate, 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate, 0.5% Triton X-100). After lysis, the cells were collected using a rubber policeman and centrifuged at 4°C to form cell pellet. The supernatant was isolated and the protein concentration in the cell lysate was identified using the BCA Protein Assay Reagent (Pierce, Rockford, IL). COX-1 (sc-19998), COX-2 (sc-19999), iNOS (sc-7271) and α -tubulin (sc-8035) mouse monoclonal antibodies (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA) were diluted 1:2000, 1:1000, 1:600, and 1:2000, respectively in 5% milk Tris buffered saline containing 0.5% Tween-20. Immunoblot separation was carried out as described by Przybyszewski et al. (19) and visualized using ECL detection. Quantity One software was used for semi-quantitative analysis as previously described (18).

RNA extraction and DNase digestion

RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cells were grown in 75cm flasks to 80% confluency and treated for 8 h for the microarray study. The cells were grown in 6 well plates to 80% confluency for the qRT-PCR studies collected at six separate time points (0.5 h, 1 h, 2 h, 4 h, 8 h, and 24 h). The treatments selected for the qRT-PCR studies were *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, and these constituents individually. These treatments were incubated with and without LPS. Cells were collected using a rubber policeman after washing the flask or plate twice with PBS. The Trizol method (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) of extraction was used to isolate RNA and further purification was carried out using an RNeasy purification kit in combination with an RNase-free DNase kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Following extraction, RNA was analyzed for quality and quantity using an Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 and RNA Nano 6000 Labchip kit (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA).

Microarray

The microarray experiment was carried out using the GeneChip® Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 Array, which consisted of approximately 22,600 probe sets, representing 14,500 well-substantiated genes (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA). The five treatments selected for the

microarray analysis were the media + DMSO and media + DMSO + LPS controls, and *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 (1 µg/ml) + LPS, fraction 3 (1 µg/ml) + synthetic Bauer alkylamide 11 (3.5 µM) + Bauer ketone 23 (0.83 µM) + LPS, and synthetic Bauer alkylamide 11 (3.55 µM) + Bauer ketone 23 (0.83 µM) + LPS. The controls were used to establish that the LPS effect was consistent with current literature on microarray studies with RAW264.7 macrophages. Our study was to determine differentially expressed (DE) genes important for the inhibition of LPS-induced PGE₂ production, therefore treatments without LPS were not included in the microarray study. Four replicates of each treatment were analyzed on separate chips and RNA labeling was performed according to manufacturer recommendations (Affymetrix). The gene chips were run using a Gene Chip fluidics station 450 and a GeneChip Scanner 3000 7G conducted at the Gene Chip Facility at Iowa State University.

qRT-PCR

An iScript cDNA synthesis kit (BioRad, Hercules, CA) was used to reverse-transcribe RNA to cDNA. Primers were designed with an annealing temperature of 55°C for COX-1, COX-2, TNF-α, iNOS, and GAPDH using Primer3 (20) and ordered from Integrated DNA Technologies, Inc. (Coralville, IA) (Table 1). Amplification conditions for the qRT-PCR were set at 95°C for 3 min, and 40 cycles of 95°C for 30 sec, 56°C for 30 sec, and 72°C for 30 sec, followed by 95°C for 1 min, and 55°C for 1 min.

Plasmid preparation for standard curves has been described previously (21), with the exception that PCR products were cloned into MAX Efficiency® DH5α™ Competent Cells.

COX activity assay

COX activity was measured using a COX Fluorescent Activity Assay Kit according to manufacturer's directions (Cayman Chemicals, Ann Arbor, MI) after an 8 h treatment with *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, as well as these constituents individually (with and without LPS). The assay measures the peroxidase component of the cyclooxygenase enzymes by monitoring the reaction between PGG₂ and 10-acetyl-3,7-dihydroxyphenoxazine after the addition of arachidonic acid, which generates the fluorescent compound resorufin. The common plant flavonoid, quercetin, was used as a positive control at 25 µM.

Milliplex

RAW264.7 cells were plated in 24 well plates (1.57×10^5 cells/well) and grown overnight. The treatments that were selected for analysis were the *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, and these constituents individually. Each treatment was applied with and without LPS induction (1 µg/ml) and treated for 24 h. After the 24 h treatment, the supernatant was collected for the assay. A 32-plex Millipore cytokine/chemokine kit was used to analyze cell supernatants on a multiplex assay system (Bio-plex, Bio-Rad).

Statistical Analysis

Both log transformed PGE₂ data and NO data, and COX activity data were analyzed using randomized complete block design with several levels of treatment, followed by a t-test based on a pooled error variance to determine statistical significance compared to the (media + DMSO + LPS) control. In all figures, the data are represented as % of control ± standard error, normalizing the (media + DMSO + LPS) control to 100% PGE₂ or NO production within each block and summarizing across all blocks to obtain the mean and standard error. The three subsamples of cytotoxicity values in each block were averaged before analysis as a randomized complete block design as above. The cytotoxicity data are also presented as %

of control $\pm$ standard error, normalizing the (media + DMSO) control to 100% cell survival. The qRT-PCR data was analyzed using two-way ANOVA for the log starting quantity with treatment and time as factors. Each treatment was compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. Western blot analysis was previously described (22). All statistical analysis was conducted using the GLM procedures in SAS (version 9.1, SAS Institute Inc.; Cary, NC).

The microarray experiment had a randomized complete block design with 4 replications as fixed block and 5 treatments (media + DMSO, media + DMSO + LPS, fraction 3 + LPS, enriched fraction 3 + LPS, Bauer alkylamide 11 + Bauer ketone 23 + LPS). Within each replication, the cultured cells and gene chips were randomly assigned to receive one of the 5 treatments. The raw data were normalized by the robust multi-array average method (RMA) using the *affy* package in Bioconductor 2.0.8. The log expression data were then analyzed with SAS version 9.1. All the pairwise comparisons of interest were tested by unadjusted t-test. Differentially expressed genes were identified with false discovery rate (FDR) less than 0.001% using Benjamini and Hochberg's method (23).

Genes with significant treatment effects (FDR < 0.001%) were included in a hierarchical cluster analysis. The standardized averages for each treatment of each gene were used to compute a Euclidean distance matrix. The average linkage method was used to measure the distance between clusters. The analysis was done in R version 2.5.1.

Results

Enrichment of *Echinacea angustifolia* Fraction

Semi-preparative reversed phase HPLC was used to fractionate an extract of root material from *E. angustifolia* into five fractions and these fractions were analyzed for their effect on LPS induced PGE₂ production in the RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cell line. The study led to the identification of three fractions that were capable of significantly inhibiting PGE₂ production (Figure 1A). Fraction 3, an alkylamide rich fraction equivalent in preparation to the *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 (inhibited to 1.1 ng/ml PGE₂) published by LaLone et al. (10), showed potent PGE₂ reduction compared to media + DMSO control (1.8 ng/ml PGE₂) at a concentration as low as 1 μ g/ml (Figure 1B). GC-MS analysis was conducted to identify the prominent constituents present in fraction 3, as well as to quantify the concentrations of Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketone 23 (Figure 1B). From this analysis it was determined that Bauer alkylamide 11 (Figure 2A) was present at a concentration of 0.05 μ M and there was no trace of Bauer ketone 23 (Figure 2A) in 1 μ g/ml of fraction 3. Previously published studies conducted by our laboratory found that Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketone 23, at concentrations of 3.55 μ M and 0.83 μ M, respectively contributed to the inhibition of PGE₂ production. Therefore, 3.5 μ M of chemically synthesized Bauer alkylamide 11 and 0.83 μ M of Bauer ketone 23 were added to 1 μ g/ml of fraction 3 to produce an enriched fraction 3. *Echinacea angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, and combinations of individual constituents and fraction 3, were evaluated after an 8 h treatment with the RAW264.7 cells for PGE₂ and NO production, showing significant PGE₂ inhibition with all treatments and significant NO inhibition with the combination of chemically synthesized Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketone 23 and each constituent individually (Figure 2B). The enriched fraction 3 was found to have significantly greater PGE₂ inhibition capabilities than the fraction by itself. Cytotoxic effects were not identified with any of the five *E. angustifolia* fractions, or with the enriched fraction or combinations of fraction and constituents (data not shown).

Gene expression was analyzed with GeneChip® Mouse Genome 430A 2.0 Arrays in order to identify target genes and determine pathways leading to the inhibition of PGE₂ production in the RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cells with selected treatments from Figure 2B treated for 8 h. The media + DMSO control was compared to the media + DMSO + LPS

control in order to establish that the expected genes were differentially expressed. With a false discovery rate of 0.001% 3,354 differentially expressed (DE) genes were identified between the controls, which corresponded with the DE genes identified by Hammer et al., 2010 (21). Of these 3,257 DE genes, 1,253 had increased expression levels (5.5% of total probe-sets) and 2,004 (8.9% of total probe-sets) had decreased expression levels, with 731 genes decreased at least 50% below the expression level of the media + DMSO control and 951 genes increased at least 50% above the expression level of media + DMSO control after LPS treatment, which represented 3.2% and 4.2% of the total probe-sets, respectively. Genes that were increased by the LPS treatment were involved in the inflammatory response, cell cycle, cell signaling, and cell proliferation and those genes that were decreased were involved in the immune response, cell death, and cell motility.

When searching for DE genes between the media + DMSO + LPS control, *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 + LPS, enriched fraction 3 + LPS, and the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 + Bauer ketone 23 + LPS, no genes were identified with a FDR below 50%. The eight DE genes that were identified between LPS treated samples with FDRs between 50% and 75%, were analyzed by MetaOmGraph (24) indicating that none were genuine DE genes. Figure 3A provides a visual representation of the general gene expression pattern with all five treatments through the use of hierarchical clustering. Two clusters can be identified, one containing the media + DMSO control and the other consisting of all samples treated with LPS. Figure 3B represents changes in gene expression level with the different treatment groups, consistent with the analysis showing the LPS effect with the controls, without any differences between each treatment + LPS.

In order to obtain a more thorough understanding of the effect of *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, and these constituents individually on gene expression, qRT-PCR was used to produce a time course for genes expected to be modulated by *Echinacea*. Genes chosen for this analysis included COX-1, COX-2, TNF- α , iNOS, and GADPH. These studies indicated that COX-2 gene expression is not significantly different throughout the time course from 0.5 h to 24 h (Figure 4B). The only significant increase in COX-2 gene expression was with the LPS treated samples compared to the media + DMSO control. TNF- α gene expression was significantly increased with treatment of the RAW264.7 cells with Bauer ketone 23 at the 0.5 h time point compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control (Figure 4C and 4E). At the 1 h time point TNF- α gene expression was significantly decreased with treatment of Bauer alkylamide 11 (Figure 4C and 4E). When gene expression analysis for TNF- α was carried out to 24 h a significant decrease in gene expression was identified for *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 + LPS, enriched fraction 3 + LPS, combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 + LPS as well as with each individual constituent + LPS compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control (Figure 4C and 4E). Treatments were also carried out without LPS showing no significant difference in TNF- α gene expression compared to the media + DMSO control (data not shown). Lipopolysaccharide induced TNF- α protein production was also analyzed, indicating that each treatment + LPS, except for the synthetic Bauer ketone 23, was capable of significant inhibition (Figure 5). A significant difference in iNOS gene expression was identified at the 24 h time point, indicating an increase in iNOS mRNA with the enriched fraction 3 compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control (Figure 4D and 4F). The expected LPS induction of the RAW264.7 macrophage cells was identified with the increase of COX-2, TNF- α , and iNOS genes after treatment with the media + DMSO + LPS control compared to the media + DMSO control. GADPH was selected as the house keeping gene for the experiments, indicating no change in mRNA levels with any of the treatments during the time course from 0.5 h to 24 h (data not shown). COX-1 mRNA was shown to increase at the 24 h time point after treatment with the *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, Bauer alkylamide 11, and Bauer ketone 23 compared to the media +

DMSO + LPS control, although the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 did not significantly change the mRNA levels.

Protein levels of COX-1, COX-2, and α -tubulin were assessed after the treatment with the *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3 and the combination of synthetic Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 (Figure 6A & B), Bauer alkylamide 11 (Figure 7A & B), and Bauer ketone 23 (Figure 8A & B). From these analyses it was shown that there was a significant increase in COX-2 protein with fraction 3 at 1 μ g/ml and 5 μ g/ml, as well as with Bauer ketone 23 at 5 μ M. Interestingly, there was a significant decrease in COX-1 protein with the treatment of fraction 3 at 5 μ g/ml, yet α -tubulin protein level remained unchanged. Protein levels of lipopolysaccharide induced iNOS were also assessed after treatment with enriched fraction 3, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, and these constituents individually, showing no significant differences between treatments (ranging from $97.7 \pm 23.5\%$ to $101.1 \pm 21.7\%$ of control) and media + DMSO + LPS control after a 24 hr treatment.

COX-2 activity (Figure 9) was analyzed after treating the RAW264.7 macrophage cells for 8 h with *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 + LPS, enriched fraction 3 + LPS, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 + LPS, and the synthetic constituents individually + LPS.

To further examine the effect of the *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, and these compounds individually on the LPS induced inflammatory response in the RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cell line at the 24 h treatment time, cell culture supernatants analyzed on a multiplex assay system. Of the 32 cytokines/chemokines, 15 (including the TNF- α protein data presented above) showed significant treatment differences compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control (Table 2). All cytokines/chemokines displayed in Table 2 except MIP-1b showed decreases in protein concentration with treatments including the synthetic Bauer constituents either alone or in combination + LPS compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. The enriched fraction + LPS significantly decreased IL-1b, IL-4, IL-12(p40), KC, LIF, LIX, and MIG protein levels compared to control (Table 2). *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 + LPS showed significant inhibition of LIF protein levels compared to control (Table 2). Many cytokines/chemokines showed a trend ($0.05 < p < 0.10$) toward significant decreases including the enriched fraction + LPS impact on Eotaxin, IL-7 MIP-1b, and RANTES.

Discussion

A major finding in this study is that by enriching an *E. angustifolia* fraction (1 μ g/ml) with Bauer alkylamide 11 (3.5 μ M) and Bauer ketone 23 (0.83 μ M) a more potent inhibition of the LPS induced inflammatory mediator, PGE₂, was identified compared to that seen with the fraction alone after an 8 h treatment on the RAW264.7 macrophage cells. From these results, it appears that Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 accounted for a majority of the PGE₂ inhibitory capabilities of the fraction, but they did not show evidence of additivity. This finding indicates that it is possible to manipulate an *Echinacea* product to target a specific bioactivity after discovering constituents of importance as well as concentrations required to elicit the desired effect. Having the ability to target specific bioactivities with *Echinacea* products would be very important in the development of botanical products that are used for specific medicinal purposes. Major studies of the clinical efficacy of *Echinacea* to treat symptoms of the common cold or other upper respiratory infections have been ambiguous due to several confounding factors, including the use of different or undefined preparations of this botanical (25, 26). In order to characterize which constituents are

necessary for particular medicinal outcomes and at what concentrations, studies are needed to provide concrete evidence of *in vivo* effectiveness in both animals and humans, as well as bioavailability of these constituents both individually and as a complex mixture.

Our studies set out to understand the mechanism of action leading to the inhibition of PGE₂ production in the RAW264.7 cells by *Echinacea* after an 8 h treatment period (12, 13). Using microarray analysis and qRT-PCR it became apparent that our *Echinacea* treatments were not acting on the gene expression level for COX-2 or other potentially immune-related transcripts. However, western blot analysis led to the intriguing finding that *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 and Bauer ketone 23 could increase COX-2 protein levels after an 8 h treatment, yet also have the ability to inhibit PGE₂ production at that same time point. These studies directed the way to another key observation, which is supported by previous literature (17), that the identified inhibition of LPS induced PGE₂ production with *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, and these constituents individually on the RAW264.7 cells, occurs in part through the inhibition of COX-2 activity. The discovery of COX-2 specific inhibitors has been the objective in the development of several drugs for relief of inflammatory symptoms. Aspirin was one of the first COX inhibitors shown to act through acetylation of an active-site serine residue (27). Other COX inhibitors called nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) act as competitive inhibitors of COX by reversibly engaging the binding site for arachidonic acid. Understanding the kinetics behind the inhibition of COX-2 activity with these *Echinacea* treatments is critical to understanding its possible usefulness as an anti-inflammatory agent. The results obtained herein allow us to hypothesize a mechanism for the inhibition of PGE₂ production based on increased COX-2 protein levels and decreased COX-2 activity. One model that could explain these observations would be that these *Echinacea* products are directly inhibiting COX-2 activity by competing with arachidonic acid for the active site of this enzyme while at the same time blocking ubiquitination that would normally target the enzyme for degradation. This model is consistent with the observed decrease in COX-2 activity, decrease in PGE₂ production, and increase in COX-2 protein, with no change in gene expression.

Tumor necrosis factor alpha is a cytokine regulated through the cannabinoid receptors, and therefore modulated through the binding of *Echinacea* alkylamides to the CB2 receptor. Gertsch et al. performed a RT-PCR time-course experiment to understand how Echinaforce™ modulated TNF-α gene expression in monocytes/macrophages (4). The results from this study showed that LPS induced TNF-α mRNA decreased around 10 h after treatment of the alkylamide rich preparation, with a steady decline until approximately 25 h. Other findings from this study indicated that it was the alkylamide constituents that acted on the CB2 receptors to modulate the TNF-α gene expression at a concentration as low as 0.5 μM (4). Recently, Chicca et al. provided further evidence that N-alkylamides were major contributors to the synergistic inhibitory effect on TNF-α identified upon combination of radix and herba tinctures acting via CB2 receptors(28). Our qRT-PCR time-course was carried out to 24 h showing a significant decrease in LPS induced TNF-α gene expression with the alkylamide rich *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, combination of alkylamide and ketone and with the individual constituents, corresponding with these earlier findings (4, 28), although the concentration of alkylamide in our studies was slightly higher at 3.55 μM than that reported the study by Gertsch et al(4). Our studies indicate that these treatments, excluding Bauer ketone 23, also significantly inhibited TNF-α protein production. Due to the similarity in our results it could be hypothesized that our *Echinacea* treatments are also acting through binding the cannabinoid receptors, with the exception of synthetic Bauer ketone 23 (0.83 μM) which also showed a significant decrease in TNF-α gene expression at the 24 h time point. An interesting finding from our studies is that Bauer ketone 23 (0.83 μM) alone did not significantly modulate TNF-α protein levels. Egger et al.

determined that ketones, analyzed at 3% (v/v) in DMSO do not appear to mediate their immunomodulatory effects through the cannabinoid receptors (3) and therefore it is likely that Bauer ketone 23 acts through a different mechanism to inhibit the noted TNF- α gene expression identified in our qRT-PCR studies after a 24 h treatment. Although, these findings do not rule out the possibility that Bauer ketone 23 may interfere indirectly with the endocannabinoid system in a manner that could lead to the inhibition of TNF- α expression.

The results obtained from the multiplex assay would indicate that treatments including the enriched fraction, the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, or these constituents individually act in an immunosuppressive manner, with the ability to inhibit the accumulation of several cytokines/chemokines. The inhibition of chemokines may limit the recruitment of specific cell populations. For example, reduction of KC may reduce neutrophil migration whereas a decrease in LIX, MCP-1, MIP-1a and MIP1- β may limit recruitment of inflammatory monocytes/macrophages. In general, a decrease in cytokines may decrease cellular activation. A decline in IL-4 may inhibit T-helper cell type 2 response, whereas a decline in IL-12 and MIG may limit T-helper cell type 1/IFN γ -induced cell mediated immune responses. Taken together, the reduction in these multiple chemokines/cytokines may have beneficial effects *in vivo* at a resolution phase of infection when the inflammatory immune response should decline.

Lipopolysaccharide induced NO production was significantly inhibited by treatments of synthetic Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketone 23, Bauer alkylamide 11 individually, and Bauer ketone 23 individually after a 24 h incubation on the RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cells. From the gene expression data it was determined that the enriched fraction 3 showed a significant increase in iNOS mRNA compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control, although NO production was not increased. Enriched fraction 3, Bauer alkylamide 11, and Bauer ketone 23 were shown to have no effect on LPS induced iNOS protein levels after a 24 h incubation. Previous studies on *Echinacea* extract treated RAW264.7 cells incubated for 23 h determined that the extracts were capable of NO inhibition, not through direct scavenging of the free radical, but through the inhibition of iNOS (15). Chen et al. also demonstrated that alkylamides were capable of inhibiting LPS induced NO production after a 24 h incubation in the RAW264.7 cell line, including isolated Bauer alkylamide 11, with an ID₅₀ of 23.9 μ M (14). Our prior studies had also indicated that Bauer ketones could significantly inhibit NO production at concentrations as low as 1 μ M (12). The findings from the present study indicate that compounds from *Echinacea* target NO production and future studies will concentrate on the mechanism leading to the identified inhibition.

Although immunostimulatory effects have been identified in other studies using water extracts of *Echinacea* (2), it is important to point on that the results acquired from this study provide evidence that through the enrichment of an *Echinacea* fraction with the addition of key anti-inflammatory constituents, it is possible to enhance the anti-inflammatory potential of this botanical. It is also of interest to note that no additive or synergistic effects were identified with treatments that combined synthetic Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 in the PGE₂ screening, although the addition of these compounds to the *E. angustifolia* fraction proved to increase anti-inflammatory potential. This research also has led to a proposed mechanism in which *Echinacea* fractions and pure constituents may inhibit PGE₂ and increase COX-2 protein expression by competitively binding the COX-2 enzyme while blocking ubiquitination and therefore degradation of the protein. The model described was established based on the results obtained from our COX-2 protein and activity studies indicating that enriched fraction and synthetic Bauer ketone 23 increased COX-2 protein level and the *E. angustifolia* fraction, enriched fraction, combination of constituents and individual constituents were all capable of a significant inhibition of COX-2 enzyme activity, therefore accounting for the identified inhibition of PGE₂ production.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank all members of the Center for Research on Botanical Dietary Supplements at Iowa State University and the University of Iowa for their cooperation and ongoing advice in directing the progress of this research. A special thanks for the gift of *Echinacea* plant material from the North Central Regional Plant Introduction Station at Iowa State University (Ames, IA). The authors would also like to acknowledge George Kraus and Jaehoon Bae for providing the chemically synthesized alkylamides and ketones for this study. We would also like to thank Dr. Ann Perera, manager of the W.M. Keck Metabolomics Facility, at Iowa State University for providing the analytical instrumentation.

This publication was made possible by grant number P01 ES012020 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS) and the Office of Dietary Supplements (ODS), National Institutes of Health (NIH) and grant number 9P50AT004155-06 from the National Center for Complementary and Alternative Medicine (NCCAM) and ODS, NIH. Its contents are solely the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official views of the NIEHS, NCCAM, or NIH.

Abbreviations Used

E.	<i>Echinacea</i>
PI	Plant Introduction
DMSO	Dimethyl Sulfoxide
PGE₂	Prostaglandin E2
NO	Nitric Oxide
LPS	Lipopolysaccharide
GC-MS	Gas Chromatography Mass Spectrometry
HPLC	High Performance Liquid Chromatography

Literature Cited

1. Borchers AT, Keen CL, Stern JS, Gershwin ME. Inflammation and Native American medicine: the role of botanicals. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2000; 72(2):339–347. [PubMed: 10919925]
2. McCann DA, Solco A, Liu Y, Macaluso F, Murphy PA, Kohut ML, Senchina DS. Cytokine- and Interferon-Modulating Properties of *Echinacea* spp. Root Tinctures Stored at –20°C for 2 Years. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research.* 2007; 27(5):425–436. [PubMed: 17523874]
3. Egger M, Pellett P, Nickl K, Geiger S, Graetz S, Seifert R, Heilmann J, König B. Synthesis and cannabinoid receptor activity of ketoalkenes from *Echinacea pallida* and nonnatural analogues. *Chemistry.* 2008; 14(35):10978–10984. [PubMed: 18979494]
4. Gertsch J, Schoop R, Kuenzle U, Suter A. *Echinacea* alkylamides modulate TNF- α gene expression via cannabinoid receptor CB2 and multiple signal transduction pathways. *FEBS Lett.* 2004; 577(3):563–569. [PubMed: 15556647]
5. Matthias A, Banbury L, Stevenson LM, Bone KM, Leach DN, Lehmann RP. Alkylamides from *Echinacea* modulate induced immune responses in macrophages. *Immunol Invest.* 2007; 36(2):117–130. [PubMed: 17365014]
6. Wang CY, Staniforth V, Chiao MT, Hou CC, Wu HM, Yeh KC, Chen CH, Hwang PI, Wen TN, Shyur LF, Yang NS. Genomics and proteomics of immune modulatory effects of a butanol fraction of *Echinacea purpurea* in human dendritic cells. *BMC Genomics.* 2008; 9:479. [PubMed: 18847511]
7. Chang YH, Lee ST, Lin WW. Effects of cannabinoids on LPS-stimulated inflammatory mediator release from macrophages: involvement of eicosanoids. *J Cell Biochem.* 2001; 81(4):715–723. [PubMed: 11329626]
8. Raduner S, Majewska A, Chen JZ, Xie XQ, Hamon J, Faller B, Altmann KH, Gertsch J. Alkylamides from *Echinacea* are a new class of cannabinomimetics. *Cannabinoid type 2 receptor-*

- dependent and -independent immunomodulatory effects. *J Biol Chem.* 2006; 281(20):14192–14206. [PubMed: 16547349]
9. Woelkart K, Salo-Ahen OM, Bauer R. CB receptor ligands from plants. *Curr Top Med Chem.* 2008; 8(3):173–186. [PubMed: 18289087]
  10. Bauer R, Jurcic K, Puhlmann J, Wagner H. Immunological *in vivo* and *in vitro* examinations of *Echinacea* extracts. *Arzneimittel-Forschung/Drug Research.* 1988; 38-1(2):276–281. [PubMed: 3370076]
  11. Chicca A, Pellati F, Adinolfi B, Matthias A, Massarelli I, Benvenuti S, Martinotti E, Bianucci AM, Bone K, Lehmann R, Nieri P. Cytotoxic activity of polyacetylenes and polyenes isolated from roots of *Echinacea pallida*. *Br J Pharmacol.* 2008; 153(5):879–885. [PubMed: 18193076]
  12. LaLone CA, Rizshsky L, Hammer KD, Wu L, Solco AK, Yum M, Nikolau BJ, Wurtele ES, Murphy PA, Kim M, Birt DF. Endogenous levels of *Echinacea* alkylamides and ketones are important contributors to the inhibition of prostaglandin E2 and nitric oxide production in cultured macrophages. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2009; 57(19):8820–8830. [PubMed: 19807154]
  13. LaLone CA, Hammer KD, Wu L, Bae J, Leyva N, Liu Y, Solco AK, Kraus GA, Murphy PA, Wurtele ES, Kim OK, Seo KI, Widrechner MP, Birt DF. *Echinacea* species and alkamides inhibit prostaglandin E(2) production in RAW264.7 mouse macrophage cells. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2007; 55(18):7314–7322. [PubMed: 17696440]
  14. Chen Y, Fu T, Tao T, Yang J, Chang Y, Wang M, Kim L, Qu L, Cassady J, Scalzo R, Wang X. Macrophage activating effects of new alkamides from the roots of *Echinacea* species. *J Nat Prod.* 2005; 68(5):773–776. [PubMed: 15921428]
  15. Zhai Z, Solco A, Wu L, Wurtele ES, Kohut ML, Murphy PA, Cunnick JE. *Echinacea* increases arginase activity and has anti-inflammatory properties in RAW 264.7 macrophage cells, indicative of alternative macrophage activation. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2009; 122(1):76–85. [PubMed: 19111603]
  16. Muller-Jakic B, Breu W, Probstle A, Redl K, Greger H, Bauer R. *In vitro* inhibition of cyclooxygenase and 5-lipoxygenase by alkamides from *Echinacea* and *Achillea* species. *Planta Med.* 1994; 60(1):37–40. [PubMed: 8134414]
  17. Hinz B, Woelkart K, Bauer R. Alkamides from *Echinacea* inhibit cyclooxygenase-2 activity in human neuroglioma cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2007; 360(2):441–446. [PubMed: 17599805]
  18. Hammer KD, Hillwig ML, Solco AK, Dixon PM, Delate K, Murphy PA, Wurtele ES, Birt DF. Inhibition of prostaglandin E(2) production by anti-inflammatory *Hypericum perforatum* extracts and constituents in RAW264.7 Mouse Macrophage Cells. *J Agric Food Chem.* 2007; 55(18): 7323–7331. [PubMed: 17696442]
  19. Przybyszewski J, Yaktine AL, Duysen E, Blackwood D, Wang W, Au A, Birt DF. Inhibition of phorbol ester-induced AP-1-DNA binding, c-Jun protein and c-jun mRNA by dietary energy restriction is reversed by adrenalectomy in SENCAR mouse epidermis. *Carcinogenesis.* 2001; 22(9):1421–1427. [PubMed: 11532864]
  20. Rozen, SaSHJ. Primer3 on the WWW for general users and for biologist programmers. In: Krawetz, S.; Misener, S., editors. *Bioinformatics Methods and Protocols: Methods in Molecular Biology.* Totowa, NJ: Humana Press; 2000.
  21. Hammer KDP, Yum M-Y, Dixon PM, Birt DF. Identification of JAK-STAT pathways as important for the anti-inflammatory activity of a *Hypericum perforatum* fraction and bioactive constituents in raw 264.7 mouse macrophages. *Phytochemistry.* 2010; 71:716–725. [PubMed: 20303133]
  22. Huang N, Hauck C, Yum MY, Rizshsky L, Widrechner MP, McCoy JA, Murphy PA, Dixon PM, Nikolau BJ, Birt DF. Rosmarinic Acid in *Prunella vulgaris* Ethanol Extract Inhibits Lipopolysaccharide-Induced Prostaglandin E2 and Nitric Oxide in RAW 264.7 Mouse Macrophages. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry.* 2009; 57(22):10579–10589. [PubMed: 19919113]
  23. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate: A practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Statist. Soc.* 1995; 57 B:289–300.

24. Mentzen WI, Wurtele ES. Regulon organization of *Arabidopsis*. BMC Plant Biol. 2008; 8:99. [PubMed: 18826618]
25. Schoop R, Klein P, Suter A, Johnston SL. *Echinacea* in the prevention of induced rhinovirus colds: a meta-analysis. Clin Ther. 2006; 28(2):174–183. [PubMed: 16678640]
26. Woelkart K, Linde K, Bauer R. *Echinacea* for preventing and treating the common cold. Planta Med. 2008; 74(6):633–637. [PubMed: 18186015]
27. Garavito RM, Milkowski MG, DeWitt DL. The structures of prostaglandin endoperoxide H synthases-1 and -2. Prostaglandins and other Lipid Mediators. 2002; 68–69:129–152.
28. Chicca A, Raduner S, Pellati F, Strompen T, Altmann KH, Schoop R, Gertsch J. Synergistic immunopharmacological effects of N-alkylamides in *Echinacea purpurea* herbal extracts. International Immunopharmacology. 2009; 9(7–8):850–858. [PubMed: 19303464]

A.

B.

Figure 1.

A. Fraction 3 from a 2009 extract of *Echinacea angustifolia* (PI631293) significantly inhibited PGE₂ production in RAW264.7 cells. The black bars represent PGE₂ levels after induction with 1 µg/ml LPS and treatment with an *Echinacea* fraction or ethanol extract (n = 3). All treatments + LPS were compared to media + DMSO + LPS control that was set at 100 % (4.3 ng/ml). Treatments were also performed without LPS induction showing significant reduction in PGE₂ production with fractions 2, 3, and 5 (p < 0.04). The treatments without LPS were compared to the media + DMSO control set at 100 % (0.03 ng/ml). * and ** are representative of p < 0.05 and p < 0.01. Each bar represents % of control ± standard error. Parallel cytotoxicity screens were conducted yielding no significant cytotoxicity with any of the fractions or the extract (data not shown). B. Gas chromatography analysis of bioactive fraction 3 from *E. angustifolia*. Total ion chromatograms of fractions 3 with peaks whose chemical identity was established by

comparing their retention times and mass-spectra to authentic standards: Bauer alkylamide 13 (1), Bauer alkylamide 12 (2), Bauer alkylamide 10 (3), Bauer alkylamide 11 (4), Bauer alkylamide 8/9 (5), and Bauer alkylamide 14 (6). Quantification of Bauer alkylamide 11 yielded a concentration of 0.05 μM .

A.

Dodeca-2E,4E-dienoic acid isobutylamide
(Bauer alkylamide 11)

Pentadeca-8Z-ene-11,13-diyn-2-one
(Bauer ketone 23)

B.

Figure 2.

A. Structures and nomenclature for Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23.

B. Lipopolysaccharide induced PGE₂ and NO production in RAW264.7 cells treated with *E. angustifolia* fraction, enhanced fraction, and chemically synthesized Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketone 23. The black bars represent PGE₂ levels and the white bars represent NO levels after induction with 1 μg/ml LPS and treatment (n = 3). All treatments + LPS were compared to media + DMSO + LPS control that was set at 100 % PGE₂ production (2.5 ng/ml) and NO production (18.8 ng/ml). The treatments without LPS were compared to the media + DMSO control set at 100 % PGE₂ production (0.05 ng/ml) and NO production (~0 ng/ml) identifying no significant differences with treatment for either endpoint. Quercetin was used as a positive control for both studies and showed significant inhibition of PGE₂ and NO production (p<0.0001). * and ** are representative of p<0.05 and p<0.001. Each bar represents % of control ± standard error. Parallel cytotoxicity screens were conducted yielding no significant cytotoxicity with any of the treatments or combination of treatments (data not shown).

Figure 3.

A. Hierarchical cluster analysis of differentially expressed genes in RAW264.7 mouse macrophages. 3,354 differentially expressed probesets were identified comparing the media + DMSO control to the media + DMSO + LPS control with a FDR of 0.001%, with no differentially expressed genes identified between treatments with LPS. On the heatmap, the rows represent the genes and the columns represent the treatments. The red color is indicative of low gene expression and green is indicative of high gene expression. Treatments are labeled as follows: M0 = Media + DMSO control, M1 = Media + DMSO + LPS control, FR = *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, EN = *E. angustifolia* fraction 3 enriched with synthetic Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23, and AK = combination of synthetic

Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23. B. Standardized log signal for the two clusters identified in the analysis represents changes in gene expression level with different treatment groups. The number of probesets is given in parentheses on the right above the cluster graph.

Figure 4.

A. Legend for qRT-PCR treatments. B. Analysis of qRT-PCR time course for COX-2 gene expression. N=3 for each treatment. Standard errors ranged from 0.02 to 0.16 TNF-α transcript log starting quantity for all treatments and time points. C. Analysis of qRT-PCR time course for TNF-α gene expression. N=3 for each treatment. Standard errors ranged from 0.03 to 0.16 TNF-α transcript log starting quantity for all treatments and time points. * and ** are representative of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$. D. Analysis of qRT-PCR time course for iNOS gene expression. N=3 for each treatment. Standard errors ranged from 0.03 to 0.24 for all treatments and time points. * and ** are representative of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$. E. qRT-PCR analysis at time points with significant treatment effects for TNF-α gene when compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. * and ** are representative of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$. Bars represent the mean $\pm$ standard error. F. qRT-PCR analysis at time point with significant treatment effect for iNOS gene when compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. * and ** are representative of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$. Bars represent the mean $\pm$ standard error.

Figure 5.

Lipopolysaccharide induced TNF- α production in RAW264.7 cells treated with *E. angustifolia* fraction, enhanced fraction, and chemically synthesized Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketones 23. The light grey bars represent TNF- α levels after treatment with *Echinacea* fraction, enriched fraction or compounds. The dark grey bars represent TNF- α levels after induction with 1 µg/ml LPS and treatment (n = 3). All treatments + LPS were compared to media + DMSO + LPS control. The treatments without LPS were compared to the media + DMSO control. (p<0.0001). * and ** are representative of p<0.05 and p<0.01. Each bar represents mean $\pm$ standard error.

A.

Media + DMSO	+	-	-	-	-
<i>E. angustifolia</i> fraction 3	-	-	1 µg/ml	1 µg/ml	5 µg/ml
Bauer alkylamide 11	-	3.55 µM	3.5 µM	-	-
Bauer ketone 23	-	0.83 µM	0.83 µM	-	-

B.

Figure 6.

A. Analysis of LPS induced COX-1, COX-2, and α -tubulin protein levels in RAW264.7 cells with representative western blots for *E. angustifolia* fraction 3, enriched fraction 3, and the combination of Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketone 23. N=3 for each blot. B. Semi-quantitative representation of the blots from Figure 6A. Bars represent mean percent of media + DMSO + LPS control $\pm$ standard error. Lipopolysaccharide induced COX-2 protein from $24.3 \pm 8.2\%$ average for the media + DMSO control to $100 \pm 20.6\%$ average for the media + DMSO + LPS control. There was no significant LPS effect for the media + DMSO control with COX-1 ($96.9 \pm 1.8\%$ of control average) or α -tubulin ($104.4 \pm 3.4\%$ of control average) on protein level compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. Quercetin was used as a positive control at $100 \mu\text{M}$ for the reduction of LPS induced COX-2 protein $41.3 \pm 16.9\%$ of control. Quercetin did not significantly affect LPS induced COX-1 ($85.3 \pm 3.2\%$ of

control average) or α -tubulin (109.6 ± 16.7 % of control average). * and ** are representative of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$ when compared to media + DMSO + LPS control.

A. **B.** **Figure 7.**

A. Analysis of LPS induced COX-1, COX-2, and α-tubulin protein levels in RAW264.7 cells with representative western blots for Bauer alkylamide 11. N=3 for each blot. B. Semi-quantitative representation of the blots from Figure 7A. Bars represent mean percent of media + DMSO + LPS control ± standard error. Lipopolysaccharide induced COX-2 protein from $19.1 \pm 6.2\%$ average for the media + DMSO control to $100 \pm 10.9\%$ average for the media + DMSO + LPS control. There was no significant LPS effect for the media + DMSO control with COX-1 ($83.4 \pm 3.0\%$ of control average) or α-tubulin ($94.7 \pm 2.1\%$ of control average) on protein level compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. Quercetin was used as a positive control at $100 \mu\text{M}$ for the reduction of LPS induced COX-2 protein $71.4 \pm 3.1\%$ of control. Quercetin did not significantly affect LPS induced COX-1 ($96.1 \pm 6.5\%$ of control average) or α-tubulin ($98.0 \pm 4.3\%$ of control average). * and ** are representative of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$ when compared to media + DMSO + LPS control.

A.

Media + DMSO	+	-	-	-	-
<i>E. angustifolia</i> fraction 3	-	1 µg/ml	-	-	-
Bauer ketone 23	-	0.83 µM	0.83 µM	1 µM	5 µM

B.

Figure 8.

A. Analysis of LPS induced COX-1, COX-2, and α-tubulin protein levels in RAW264.7 cells with representative western blots for Bauer ketone 23 individually and in combination with *E. angustifolia* fraction 3. N=3 for each blot. B. Semi-quantitative representation of the blots from Figure 8A. Bars represent mean percent of media + DMSO + LPS control ± standard error. Lipopolysaccharide induced COX-2 protein from $4.8 \pm 2.8\%$ average for the media + DMSO control to $100 \pm 12.0\%$ average for the media + DMSO + LPS control. There was no significant LPS effect for the media + DMSO control with COX-1 ($95.4 \pm 3.3\%$ of control average) or α-tubulin ($103.9 \pm 7.3\%$ of control average) on protein level compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. Quercetin was used as a positive control at $100 \mu\text{M}$ for the reduction of LPS induced COX-2 protein $53.0 \pm 15.7\%$ of control. Quercetin did not significantly affect LPS induced COX-1 ($95.5 \pm 2.2\%$ of control average) or α-

tubulin (91.8 ± 9.7 of control average). * and ** are representative of $p < 0.05$ and $p < 0.01$ when compared to media + DMSO + LPS control.

Figure 9.

Lipopolysaccharide induced COX-2 activity in RAW264.7 cells treated with *E. angustifolia* fraction, enhanced fraction, and chemically synthesized Bauer alkylamide 11 and ketones 23. The black bars represent COX-2 activity levels after induction of cells with 1 µg/ml LPS and treatment (n = 3). All treatments with added LPS were compared to a media + DMSO + LPS control that was set at 100 % COX-2 activity (5.8 nmol/min/ml). The treatments without LPS were compared to the media + DMSO control set at 100 % COX-2 activity (2.4 nmol/min/ml) identifying no significant differences. Quercetin was used as a positive control for both studies and showed significant inhibition of COX-2 activity (p<0.0001). * and ** are representative of p<0.05 and p<0.01. Each bar represents % of control ± standard error.

Table 1

Primers used for qRT-PCR analysis

Gene	Primer	Oligonucleotide Sequence (5'–3')
COX-1	S	CCTCACCAGTCAATCCCTGT
	AS	GTAGCCCGTGCGAGTACAAT
COX-2	S	TTGGGGAGACCATGGTAGAG
	AS	GCTCGGCTTCCAGTATTGAG
TNF- α	S	AGGAGGGAGAACAGAAACTC
	AS	AATGAGAAGAGGCTGAGACA
iNOS	S	GTCTTGGTGAAAGTGGTGTT
	AS	GTGCTTGCCTTATACTGGTC
GAPDH	S	CAATGTGTCCGTCGTGGAT
	AS	AGCCCAAGATGCCCTTCAG

Table 2
Milliplex Data Examining Accumulation of Fifteen Cytokines/Chemokines in RAW264.7 cells

Cytokine/ Chemokine	Protein Concentrations After 24 Hour Treatment to RAW264.7 cells					
	Media + DMSO + LPS	<i>E. angustifolia</i> fraction 3 + LPS	Enriched fraction 3 + LPS	Bauer alkylamide 11 and Bauer ketone 23 + LPS	Bauer alkylamide 11 + LPS	Bauer ketone 23 + LPS
Eotaxin (pg/ml)	56.6±4.5	48.3±0.9 0.209	43.8±5.0 0.067	45.8±2.2 0.113	42.0±4.8 0.041	39.0±3.4 0.018
IL-1b (pg/ml)	220.1±46.4	142.6±5.8 0.052	120.2±13.9 0.017	152.0±5.2 0.081	114.6±20.1 0.013	81.0±12.7 0.003
IL-4 (pg/ml)	7.0±0.6	6.0±0.2 0.225	4.8±0.4 0.017	5.8±0.4 0.1614	5.0±0.6 0.024	4.5±0.3 0.008
IL-6 (ng/ml)	24.6±0.2	24.2±0.2 0.821	23.8±0.2 0.621	24.4±0.1 0.886	23.3±0.6 0.467	19.8±2.1 0.017
IL-7 (pg/ml)	8.7±0.6	7.1±0.6 0.270	6.1±0.5 0.088	6.4±0.8 0.127	5.3±1.6 0.032	4.1±0.1 0.007
IL-12 (p40) (pg/ml)	22.8±5.0	7.7±5.0 0.051	7.4±1.4 0.050	7.5±3.2 0.048	22.0±0.1 0.764	2.7±0.6 0.016
IL-15 (pg/ml)	86.6±14.6	58.7±2.4 0.119	57.6±6.7 0.107	63.0±5.5 0.180	48.2±15.1 0.041	43.5±5.7 0.025
KC (pg/ml)	352.6±28.1	269.7±7.8 0.110	216.2±24.4 0.016	283.2±38.7 0.173	245.3±27.3 0.047	228.8±34.2 0.026
LIF (ng/ml)	1.9±0.3	1.2±0.1 0.019	0.9±0.2 0.005	1.2±0.1 0.021	0.8±0.2 0.002	0.6±0.2 0.001
LIX (ng/ml)	5.2±0.6	4.5±0.3 0.356	3.6±0.4 0.038	4.3±0.4 0.194	3.3±0.7 0.018	3.9±0.5 0.0897
MCP-1 (ng/ml)	14.1±0.3	12.8±363.9 0.391	12.9±0.7 0.422	1.3±628.4 0.659	9.9±1.6 0.015	13.2±0.8 0.558
MIG (pg/ml)	26.0±5.3	17.8±1.5 0.114	15.0±1.2 0.044	21.3±1.0 0.347	13.0±3.7 0.021	15.4±3.1 0.050
MIP-1a (ng/ml)	10.5±0.4	7.5±1.2 0.144	9.5±0.6 0.279	7.7±2.0 0.5871	6.8±1.8 0.021	10.2±0.03 0.558
MIP-1b (ng/ml)	38.9±11.1	17.8±4.0 0.129	11.7±0.9 0.075	45.1±20.0 0.938	30.6±20.3 0.1051	26.0±5.9 0.2614
RANTES (ng/ml)	3.2±0.6	2.0±0.5 0.112	1.7±0.3 0.053	1.9±0.3 0.095	0.9±0.1 0.006	1.7±0.1 0.046

Milliplex analysis examined 32 cytokines/chemokines. Only cytokines/chemokines that are known to be strongly relevant to the macrophage response to inflammation were included in the table. Each treatment was compared to the media + DMSO + LPS control. Only LPS treated samples are displayed. Top numbers in table represent mean of three replicates ± standard error and bottom numbers indicate p-values compared to the value for the media + DMSO + LPS control. Bolded p-values represent statistical significance p<0.05.