Published in final edited form as:

Inorg Chem. 2013 May 20; 52(10): 5677–5691. doi:10.1021/ic3012519.

Differential coordination demands in Fe vs Mn water-soluble cationic metalloporphyrins translates into remarkably different aqueous redox chemistry and biology

Artak Tovmasyan¹, Tin Weitner¹, Huaxin Sheng^{2,3}, MiaoMiao Lu^{2,3,4}, Zrinka Rajic¹, David S. Warner^{2,3}, Ivan Spasojevic⁵, Julio S. Reboucas⁶, Ludmil Benov^{7,*}, and Ines Batinic-Haberle^{1,*}

¹Department of Radiation Oncology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

²Department of Anesthesiology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

³Multidisciplinary Neuroprotection Laboratories, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

⁴Department of Anesthesiology, Second Affiliated Hospital, Zhengzhou University, Henan, China

⁵Department of Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710, USA

⁶Departamento de Química, CCEN, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, João Pessoa, PB 58051-900, Brazil

⁷Department of Biochemistry, Kuwait University, Faculty of Medicine, 13110 Safat, Kuwait

Abstract

The different biological behavior of cationic Fe and Mn pyridylporphyrins in *Escherichia coli* and mouse studies prompted us to revisit and compare their chemistry. For that purpose the series of *ortho* and *meta* isomers of Fe(III) *meso*-tetrakis-*N*-alkylpyridylporphyrins, alkyl being methyl to *n*-octyl, were synthesized and characterized by elemental analysis, UV/vis spectroscopy, mass spectrometry, lipophilicity, protonation equilibria of axial waters, metal-centered reduction potential, $E_{1/2}$ for $M^{III}P/M^{II}P$ redox couple ($M = Fe, Mn, P = \text{porphyrin}$), k_{cat} for the catalysis of $O_2^{\bullet -}$ dismutation, stability towards peroxide-driven porphyrin oxidative degradation (produced in the catalysis of ascorbate oxidation by MP), ability to affect growth of SOD-deficient *E. coli* and toxicity to mice. Electron-deficiency of the metal site is modulated by the porphyrin ligand, which renders Fe(III) porphyrins 5 orders of magnitude more acidic than the analogous Mn(III) porphyrins, as revealed by the pK_{a1} of axially coordinated waters. The 5 log units difference in the acidity between the Mn and Fe sites in porphyrin translates into the predominance of tetracationic $(OH)(H_2O)FeP$ complexes relative to pentacationic $(H_2O)_2MnP$ species at pH ~7.8. This is evidenced in large differences in the thermodynamic parameters - pK_a of axial waters and $E_{1/2}$ of M^{III}/M^{II} redox couple. The presence of hydroxo ligand labilizes trans-axial water which results in higher reactivity of Fe- relative to Mn center. The differences in the catalysis of $O_2^{\bullet -}$ dismutation ($\log k_{cat}$) between Fe and Mn porphyrins is modest, 2.5-5-fold, due to predominantly outer-sphere, with partial inner-sphere character of two reaction steps. However, the rate constant for the inner-sphere H_2O_2 -based porphyrin oxidative degradation is 18-fold larger for $(OH)(H_2O)FeP$ than for $(H_2O)_2MnP$.

*Corresponding authors: Ines Batinic-Haberle, Department of Medicine and Duke Cancer Institute Durham, NC 27710. Tel: 919-684-8311 ibatinic@duke.edu; Ludmil Benov, Kuwait University, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry, Jabriya, 4th floor, Rm 48 13110 Safat, Kuwait lbenov@HSC.EDU.KW.

The *in vivo* consequences of the differences between the Fe- and Mn porphyrins were best demonstrated in SOD-deficient *E. coli* growth. Based on fairly similar $\log k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ values, very similar effect on the growth of SOD-deficient *E. coli* was anticipated by both metalloporphyrins. Yet, while MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ was fully efficacious at 20 μM , the Fe analog, FeTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ supported SOD-deficient *E. coli* growth at 200-fold lower doses in the range of 0.1 to 1 μM . Moreover the pattern of SOD-deficient *E. coli* growth was different with Mn- and Fe porphyrins. Such results suggested different mode of action of these metalloporphyrins. Further exploration demonstrated that: (1) 0.1 μM FeTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ provided similar growth stimulation as 0.1 μM Fe salt, while 20 μM Mn salt provides no protection to *E. coli*; and (2) 1 μM Fe porphyrin is fully degraded by 12 hours in *E. coli* cytosol and growth medium; while Mn porphyrin is not. Stimulation of the aerobic growth of SOD-deficient *E. coli* by the Fe porphyrin is therefore due to iron acquisition. Our data suggest that *in vivo*, redox-driven degradation of Fe porphyrins resulting in Fe release plays a major role in their biological action. Possibly, iron reconstitutes enzymes bearing [4Fe-4S] clusters as active sites. Under same experimental conditions, (OH)(H₂O)FePs do not cause mouse arterial hypotension, whereas (H₂O)₂MnPs do, which greatly limits the application of Mn porphyrins *in vivo*.

Introduction

While the general and biomimetic chemistry of *para* isomer, FeTM-4-PyP⁴⁺ (the first metalloporphyrin-based SOD mimic characterized) has been extensively explored, the data on the redox and coordination chemistry of its *meta* and *ortho* analogues and longer alkyl chain derivatives have been considerably neglected. On the redox modulation front, *in vivo* studies on Fe porphyrins as SOD mimics and/or peroxynitrite decomposition catalysts have been limited to compounds from our own laboratory, and to FP-15, INO-4885 and WW-85.¹⁻⁸ We have explored a series of anionic and cationic Mn and Fe porphyrins a decade ago and established the structure-activity relationship between their metal-centered reduction potential for (X)M^{III}P/(X)M^{II}P redox couple (M = metal, X = H₂O or OH⁻), $E_{1/2}$, and ability to catalyze O₂^{•-} dismutation, $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$.^{6, 8-11} We have further shown that in addition to these thermodynamic parameters, as it is with natural SODs, electrostatics plays a major role in modulating the kinetic parameter k_{cat} .^{12, 13} Although both Fe and Mn cationic *N*-alkylpyridylporphyrins are potent SOD mimics, as inferred by their k_{cat} values, earlier side-by-side *in vivo* studies at doses of ~20 μM revealed that only Mn porphyrins offered the highest protection to SOD-deficient *E. coli*, while Fe porphyrins were toxic.⁸ Therefore, in an attempt to avoid any possible toxicity arising from Fe-driven Fenton chemistry, we have since explored predominantly Mn porphyrins.^{6, 10, 11, 14-16}

Recent dose-dependence studies on *E. coli*, however, suggested that Fe porphyrins may be efficacious at concentrations orders of magnitude lower than Mn porphyrins. This prompted us to undertake a systematic comparison of Fe versus Mn porphyrin-based compounds. Specifically for this work, we synthesized and fully characterized a series of *ortho* and *meta* Fe(III) *N*-alkylpyridylporphyrins (Figure 1), which were used to probe the effect of electron-deficiency of Fe and Mn sites upon their dismuting ability, $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$, metal(III)/metal(II) reduction potential, $E_{1/2}$, compound lipophilicity, and stability towards oxidative degradation. Finally we compared side-by-side the ability of Fe and Mn complexes to protect SOD-deficient *E. coli* against endogenously generated O₂^{•-}, and evaluated their systemic toxicity to mice.

Experimental

General

Meso-tetrakis(2-*N*-pyridyl)porphyrin (H₂T-2-PyP) and *meso*-tetrakis(3-*N*-pyridyl)porphyrin (H₂T-3-PyP) were purchased from Frontier Scientific. Ethyl *p*-toluenesulfonate (98%) and methyl *p*-toluenesulfonate were from Sigma-Aldrich. *n*-Butyl *p*-toluenesulfonate, *n*-hexyl *p*-toluenesulfonate, *n*-octyl *p*-toluenesulfonate and methyltri-*n*-octylammonium chloride (>95%) were from TCI America. MnCl₂ × 4H₂O (99.7%) was supplied by J. T. Baker, FeCl₂ (98%) was from Aldrich, NH₄PF₆ (99.99%) was from GFS chemicals. Anhydrous diethyl ether and acetone were from EMD chemicals, while dichloromethane, chloroform, acetonitrile, EDTA and KNO₃ were purchased from Mallinckrodt. Anhydrous *N,N*-dimethylformamide (DMF) of 99.8% purity (kept over 4-Å molecular sieves) and plastic-backed silica gel TLC plates (Z122777-25EA) were from Sigma-Aldrich. Xanthine, equine ferricytochrome *c* (Lot 7752), and (+)-sodium L-ascorbate (>98 %) were from Sigma, whereas xanthine oxidase was prepared by R. Wiley.⁹ FeSO₄, MnSO₄ and sodium citrate was from Sigma Aldrich. To prepare Mn and Fe citrates, the Na citrate was added to a 10 mM stock solution of FeSO₄ or MnSO₄. Fresh metal citrate solution was prepared for each experiment.

All chemicals were used as received without further purification.

Synthesis

The general synthetic procedure for *meso*-tetra(*N*-alkylpyridinium-2 or 3-yl)porphyrins and their iron complexes is shown in Figure 2. Method A was used for the synthesis of all *meta* and shorter-chain *ortho* analogues, while for longer-chain *n*-hexyl and *n*-octyl *ortho* species, method B was applied.

Method A. Synthesis of *meta* Fe porphyrins

Alkylation—The synthesis, isolation, purification and characterization of H₂TM-3-PyPCL₄, H₂TE-3-PyPCL₄, H₂TnBu-3-PyPCL₄ and H₂TnHex-3-PyPCL₄ were performed as described earlier.^{6, 8, 17} H₂TnOct-3-PyPCL₄: H₂T-3-PyP (300 mg; 0.485 mmol) was dissolved in 25 mL DMF at 112 °C, preheated for 10 min and to the resulting solution 25 g (0.088 mol) of *n*-octyl *p*-toluenesulfonate were added. The course of *N*-octylation was followed by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel plates using acetonitrile:KNO_{3(sat)}:water=8:1:1 as mobile phase. The reaction was completed within 5 hours. Porphyrin was precipitated from the reaction mixture by diethyl ether, filtrated and washed with diethyl ether (5 × 50 mL). It was then dissolved in methanol and purified as described previously for their shorter alkyl-chain analogues.^{31, 37, 43} Yield (calculated based on formulation given by elemental analysis): 650 mg (96%).

Metallation—The pH of an aqueous solution of H₂Talkyl-3-PyPCL₄ (~2 mM) was adjusted to 2 (with 1 M HCl) and a 40-fold molar excess FeCl₂ was added into the solution and stirred at reflux. The course of metallation was followed on silica gel TLC plates using acetonitrile:KNO_{3(sat)}:water=8:1:1 as a mobile phase (pH=2, adjusted by 1 M HCl). Additionally, the loss of metal-free porphyrin fluorescence under UV light at ~350 nm was determined. The metallation proceeded relatively fast with *meta* series of porphyrins: after 5 hours the reaction was completed. The solution was filtered first through coarse then through fine filter papers. The Fe porphyrin was precipitated as the PF₆[−] salt with saturated aqueous solution of NH₄PF₆. The precipitate was thoroughly washed with diethyl ether. The dried precipitate was then dissolved in acetone, filtered and precipitated as the chloride salt with saturated acetone solution of methyl-tri-*n*-octylammonium chloride. The precipitate was washed with acetone and dissolved in water. The whole precipitation procedure was

repeated once again to assure high purity of preparation. The *meta* Fe porphyrins were isolated in quantitative yields.

Synthesis of *ortho* Fe porphyrins

Alkylation—The synthesis, isolation, purification and characterization of metal-free H₂TM-2-PyPCl₄, H₂TE-2-PyPCl₄ and H₂TnBu-2-PyPCl₄ were earlier described.^{6, 8}

Metallation—The metallation and purification of *ortho* isomeric porphyrins was similar to their *meta* analogues except that FeCl₂ was added in a 100-fold molar excess. The metallation was slower due to the steric hindrance of the vertically-stuck alkyl chains.

Method B. Fe(III) *meso*-tetrakis(2-pyridyl)porphyrin, **FeT-2-PyP[±]** (Figure 2B)

The 150 mg of H₂T-2-PyP was dissolved in ~20 mL of chloroform and 3 mL methanol, and preheated for about 10 min to fully dissolve the compound. Then, the 150 mg of FeCl₂ was dissolved in a 10 mL warm ethanol and added to the porphyrin mixture. The course of metallation was followed on silica gel TLC plates using 1:5 methanol:chloroform mixture as a mobile phase. The reaction was completed in an hour (no fluorescence observed on the TLC plate). The solvent was then evaporated to ~5 mL volume. Ammonium hydroxide was subsequently added to the reaction mixture to precipitate the porphyrin. The precipitate was then filtered through fritted disc and washed with water until neutral pH. The precipitate was washed to dryness with diethyl ether, and dissolved on fritted disc with dichloromethane. A purple solid was obtained after solvent evaporation. Yield was 165 mg. **FeTnHex-2-PyPCl₅**: The 50 mg (0.071 mmol) of Fe(III) *meso*-tetrakis(2-*N*-pyridyl)porphyrin was dissolved in 3 mL DMF at 112 °C, preheated for 10 min under nitrogen. To the resulting solution, the 4.2 mL (0.018 mol) of *n*-hexyl *p*-toluenesulfonate was added. The course of *N*-hexylation was followed by thin-layer chromatography on silica gel TLC plates using acetonitrile:KNO_{3(sat)}:water=8:1:1 as a mobile phase (pH=2, adjusted by 1 M HCl). The reaction was completed after a day of stirring at 112 °C. The isolation and purification of FeTnHex-2-PyPCl₅ was done as described for *meta* Fe porphyrins *via* method A. **FeTnOct-2-PyPCl₅**: The synthesis and purification were similar to those described for FeTnHex-2-PyPCl₅. The yields of FeTnHex-2-PyPCl₅ and FeTnOct-2-PyPCl₅ were quantitative.

Synthesis of Mn porphyrins

MnTM-2-PyPCl₅, MnTE-2-PyPCl₅, MnTnPr-2-PyPCl₅, MnTnBu-2-PyPCl₅, MnTnHex-2-PyPCl₅, MnTnHep-2-PyPCl₅, MnTnOct-2-PyPCl₅, MnTM-3-PyPCl₅, MnTE-3-PyPCl₅, MnTnBu-3-PyPCl₅, MnTnHex-3-PyPCl₅: Synthesis of these Mn porphyrins was performed as described elsewhere.^{6, 8, 17} **MnTnOct-3-PyPCl₅**: The 150 mg of H₂TnOct-3-PyPCl₄ (0.124 mmol) was dissolved in 60 mL water and the pH of the resulting solution was adjusted to 11.5. A 20-fold excess MnCl₂ (2.47 mmol, 0.49 g) was added into the solution at 25 °C while stirring, resulting in a pH drop to ~8.0. The course of metallation was followed on silica gel TLC plates using acetonitrile:KNO_{3(sat)}:water=8:1:1 as a mobile phase. After 12 h of stirring the reaction was worked-up by filtration and PF₆[−]/Cl[−] sequential precipitation procedures: the isolation and purification of the MnTnOct-3-PyPCl₅ was done as described for the *meta* Fe porphyrins (see above). The isolated yield was quantitative.

Elemental analysis

Elemental analyses of H₂TnOct-3-PyPCl₄ and metal complexes were performed by Atlantic MicroLab (Norcross, GA, USA). All analyses were done in duplicates. Formulations accounting for 9-13 hydration waters are consistent with related MnTE-2-PyPCl₅·11H₂O sample isolated under similar conditions.¹⁸

FeTE-3-PyPCl₅·11H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₄₈H₆₆Cl₅FeN₈O₁₁: H, 5.71; C, 49.52; N, 9.63; Cl, 15.23%. Found: H, 5.51; C, 49.35; N, 9.56; Cl, 14.87%.

FeTnBut-2-PyPCl₅·11H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₈₂Cl₅FeN₈O₁₁: H, 6.48; C, 52.69; N, 8.78; Cl, 13.89%. Found: H, 6.43; C, 52.72; N, 8.85; Cl, 13.56%.

FeTnBut-3-PyPCl₅·10H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₈₀Cl₅FeN₈O₁₀: H, 6.41; C, 53.45; N, 8.9; Cl, 14.09%. Found: H, 6.38; C, 53.22; N, 9.01; Cl, 13.8%.

FeTnHex-2-PyPCl₅·11H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₆₄H₁₁₆Cl₅FeN₈O₁₁: H, 7.11; C, 55.36; N, 8.07; Cl, 12.77%. Found: H, 6.81; C, 55.27; N, 8.22; Cl, 12.37%.

FeTnHex-3-PyPCl₅·9H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₆₄H₉₄Cl₅FeN₈O₉: H, 7.00; C, 56.83; N, 8.28; Cl, 13.12%. Found: H, 6.79; C, 56.67; N, 8.35; Cl, 12.76%.

FeTnOct-2-PyPCl₅·13H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₁₁₈Cl₅FeN₈O₁₃: H, 7.74; C, 56.27; N, 7.29; Cl, 11.53%. Found: H, 7.01; C, 55.81; N, 7.32; Cl, 11.32%.

FeTnOct-3-PyPCl₅·10H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₁₁₂Cl₅FeN₈O₁₀: H, 7.61; C, 58.32; N, 7.56; Cl, 11.95%. Found: H, 7.40; C, 58.00; N, 7.54; Cl, 11.58%.

H₂TnOct-3-PyPCl₄·10H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₁₁₄Cl₄N₈O₁₀: H, 8.25; C, 62.06; N, 8.04; Cl, 10.18%. Found: H, 7.96; C, 62.05; N, 8.04; Cl, 10.4%.

MnTnOct-3-PyPCl₅·10H₂O—Anal. Calcd for C₇₂H₁₁₂Cl₅MnN₈O₁₀: H, 7.62; C, 58.36; N, 7.56; Cl, 11.96%. Found: H, 7.43; C, 57.99; N, 7.55; Cl, 11.87%.

Uv/vis spectroscopy

UV/vis spectra were recorded in 0.01 M HCl at room temperature on a UV-2501PC Shimadzu spectrophotometer with 0.5 nm resolution using a 1 cm quartz cuvette. The data at pH 2, relate to diaqua Fe porphyrins (Table 1).

Electrospray-ionization mass spectrometry

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometric (ESI-MS) analyses were performed as described elsewhere,^{17, 19, 20} on an Applied Biosystems MDS Sciex 3200 Q Trap LC/MS/MS spectrometer at Duke Comprehensive Cancer Center, Shared Resource PK Labs. Samples of ~1 μM concentrations were prepared in acetonitrile:H₂O mixture (1:1, v/v) containing 0.01% v/v heptafluorobutyric acid, and infused for 1 min at 10 μl/min into the spectrometer (curtain gas 20 V, ion spray voltage 3500 V, ion source 30 V, *t* = 300 °C, declustering potential 20 V, entrance potential 1 V, collision energy 5 V, gas N₂). Under given conditions, in the presence of ion-pairing heptafluorobutyrate anion (HFBA[−]) no fragmentation is observed; the data relate to species originally present in solutions. The absence of peaks associated with partially alkylated and non-metallated species unambiguously indicates the purity of the sample. No peaks associated with species bearing axial hydroxo ligands were observed in mass spectra in the presence of heptafluorobutyric acid. Data are summarized in Table 2.

Redox property of metal site and protonation equilibria of axial waters

Electrochemistry—Cyclic voltammetry measurements were performed in a glass cell under argon atmosphere, using a CH Instruments Model 600 voltammetric analyzer, as described previously.^{21, 22} Stock solutions of Fe porphyrins were prepared by dissolving

solid substances in deionized water. Working solutions of ~0.2 mM Fe porphyrins were prepared immediately before measurements, either in 0.01 M HCl (pH = 2) or in 0.05 M phosphate buffer (pH = 7.8). The supporting electrolyte in all measurements was 0.1 M NaCl. The pH of working solutions was determined on a Denver Instrument Model 250 pH-meter using a glass electrode calibrated with the standard buffers (pH 4.00, 7.00 and 10.00). The concentrations of Fe porphyrins were determined spectrophotometrically. All potentials are reported *vs* the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE), using the known potential of (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺, $E_{1/2} = +228$ mV *vs* NHE, as a reference.^{17, 20, 23} No μ /oxo dimers were observed under given pH and concentration conditions as well as at pH 12. The Spiro's group²⁴ observed μ /oxo dimers with (OH)(H₂O)FeTM-2-PyP⁴⁺ but with 1 mM porphyrin solutions and at pH 9 and 12. The $E_{1/2}$ of (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ was determined before and after each series of measurements.

Protonation equilibria—The pK_a values of the axial waters for MnPs have been reported previously.^{25, 26} The existence of diaqua (i.e. fully protonated) species of either *ortho*, *meta* or *para* *N*-methylpyridyl Fe(III) porphyrins at pH=2 has been documented in the literature,^{8, 24, 25, 27-31} as determined by spectroscopic and electrochemical methods. The relationships between pK_a values for Fe +3 and Fe +2 oxidation states of the metal sites of these isomers have been documented as well. Kobayashi et al. reported values of pK_{a1} = 5.3-5.9 and pK_{a2} = 10.1-12.3 for (H₂O)₂Fe^{III}TM-2(3 and 4)-PyP⁵⁺ and pK'_{a1} = 10.8-12.2 for (H₂O)Fe^{II}TM-2(3 and 4)-PyP⁴⁺.^{29, 30} The data indicate significantly decreased acidity of Fe^{II}Ps compared to Fe^{III}Ps, due to large decrease in electron-deficiency upon Fe^{III}P reduction.

Consequently, in the region pH = 2-8, any shift in the redox potential depends solely on the deprotonation of Fe^{III}P, assuming that the differences in the pyridyl substituents should not affect the established relationships significantly (i.e. K_{a1} >> K_{a2} and K_{a1} >> K'_{a1}), already demonstrated for the corresponding MnP isomers.^{25, 26} Specifically, the lengthening of the alkyl chain does not affect axial protonation equilibria: the pK_{a1} and pK_{a2} for Mn(III) methyl to n-octyl *ortho* analogs differ insignificantly, by only 0.5 and 0.3 units, respectively²⁵. Therefore, a simple expression given in equation [1] could be applied to calculate the pK_{a1} values (Table 4) for other Fe^{III}P analogues described in this work:

$$\text{pK}_a = 7.8 - \Delta E / 0.059, \quad [1]$$

where $\Delta E = E_{1/2}(\text{pH}=2) - E_{1/2}(\text{pH}=7.8)$.

Stability towards oxidative degradation

The stability of metalloporphyrins towards ascorbate-mediated oxidative degradation with regard to alkyl chain length (ethyl *vs* hexyl), its position on the pyridyl ring (*ortho vs meta*) and the type of metal center (Fe *vs* Mn) was explored. Metalloporphyrins catalyze the oxidation of ascorbate and thiols.^{20, 32} Herein we explored their reactivity towards ascorbate (Figure 3, process I). The subsequent peroxide formation degrades porphyrin (Figure 3, process II).^{20, 33, 34} The stability of porphyrins toward H₂O₂ was studied also, and data are in agreement with those previously reported.⁸ The conditions were: 6 μ M metalloporphyrin, 0.42 mM sodium ascorbate (or 0.1 mM H₂O₂) at pH 7.8 maintained with 0.05 M Tris buffer.

Lipophilicity

We have shown that both the TLC retention factor, R_f , and the partition between n-octanol and water, log P_{OW} , are equally valid parameters in assessing Mn porphyrin lipophilicity.^{6, 17} Herein we assessed lipophilicity *via* R_f , in acetonitrile:KNO₃(sat):water = 8:1:1 as previously described.¹⁷ The R_f value for Fe- and Mn porphyrins was determined at

pH 2, adjusted with the addition of 1 M HCl solution to the chromatographic solvent system. At this pH, the predominant species of either Fe- or Mn porphyrins is diaqua, which is consistent with the same profile of the distribution of atropoisomers on the TLC plate both for Fe and Mn porphyrins. Due to the different protonation equilibria of Fe vs Mn porphyrins, the partition between water and n-octanol, $\log P_{OW}$, was not assessed, as that would not allow the comparison of the same FeP and MnP species. The data are summarized in Table 5.

SOD-like activity of metalloporphyrins

SOD activity was examined using the cytochrome *c* (cyt *c*) assay.^{20, 22, 23} The experiments were conducted at room temperature in 0.05 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7.8, and 0.1 mM EDTA, as reported.^{20, 22, 23} Data are summarized in Table 4.

Superoxide-specific biological model - aerobic growth of SOD-deficient *E. coli*

E. coli mutants lacking the two cytosolic superoxide dismutases (FeSOD and MnSOD, *sodA⁻sodB⁻*), suffer phenotypic deficiencies including poor aerobic growth³⁵ and auxotrophies for branched-chain,³⁶ aromatic³⁷ and sulfur-containing amino acids.³⁸ The failure of the *sodA⁻sodB⁻* mutants to grow aerobically in the absence of the listed amino acids can be relieved by compounds scavenging superoxide. Therefore, aerobic growth of *sodA⁻sodB⁻* *E. coli* in a restricted, five amino-acid (5AA) medium can be used to assess the capacity of SOD mimics to substitute for the natural enzymes. The 5AA medium consisted of M9 salts supplemented with filter-sterilized L-leucine, L-threonine, L-proline, L-arginine, and L-histidine at a final concentration of 0.5 mM each, 0.2 % glucose and 3 mg/L of pantothenic acid and thiamine. M9 salts were prepared by autoclaving 0.6 g Na₂HPO₄, 0.3 g KH₂PO₄, 0.05 g NaCl, and 0.1 g NH₄Cl per liter in distilled water. After cooling, separately autoclaved solutions of MgSO₄ and CaCl₂ were added to a final concentration of 1.0 mM. Growth of the SOD-proficient AB1157 (F-thr-1; leuB6; proA2; his-4; thi-1; argE2; lacY1; galK2; rpsL; supE44; ara-14; xyl-15; mtl-1; tsx-33) and the SOD-deficient (*sodA⁻sodB⁻*) JI132 (same as AB1157 plus (*sodA::mudPR13*)25 (*sodB-kan*)1-Δ2) *E. coli* strains in 5AA medium was done as already described.²⁰ Both strains were obtained from J. A. Imlay.³⁹ The effect of the compounds was tested in parallel on parental and SOD-deficient strains with different genetic background: GC4468 = parental strain; SOD-deficient, QC1799 = GC4468 Δ*sodA*3, Δ*sodB*-kan⁴⁰ (D. Touati, Institute Jacques Monod, CNRS, Université Paris, France). No significant differences between the two sets of strains were observed.

The effect of (OH)(H₂O)FePs (0.01 to 25 μM) on the growth of the SOD-deficient mutant in 5AA medium was compared to the effect of optimal 20 μM Mn ethyl analogs, *ortho* (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ and *meta* (H₂O)₂MnTE-3-PyP⁵⁺. For comparison the effect of different Mn and Fe salts was studied. The corresponding anions had no effect on cell growth: citrate, sulfate and chloride salts of Fe and Mn had identical effect.

At different time points the cells were harvested, rapidly washed with cold buffered saline and disrupted by French pressing. Cytosolic fractions were isolated as described earlier,⁴¹ and the content of metalloporphyrins was determined by uv/vis spectroscopy. Aliquots of the growth media were analyzed at same time points.

Mouse study

All animal procedures were conducted in accordance with IUCAC guidelines and approval from Duke University Medical Center, as described in the Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals published by the National Institutes of Health (publication #NIH 85-23, revised 1985). Animals were housed on a 12 h light cycle (lights on at 6:00 am) with

standard laboratory diets and water available ad libitum. 8-10 weeks old male C57BL/6J mice were used for studies.

Both Fe- and Mn porphyrins were administered by intraperitoneal (i.p.) injection. Maximal tolerable doses (MTDs) were considered those which caused mild and transient toxicity manifested by quietness, lethargy, and reluctance to ambulate. Then, doses of 10 mg/kg of (OH)(H₂O)FeTE-2-PyP⁴⁺, 10 mg/kg of (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺, 5 mg/kg (OH)(H₂O)FeTnHex-2-PyP⁴⁺ and 1 mg/kg of (H₂O)₂MnTnHex-2-PyP⁵⁺ were selected for the toxicity study. The compounds were administered i.p. twice per day for one week. Animal behavior, weight and performance on rotarod were followed for one week as described previously.²³

The effect of porphyrins on arterial blood pressure was also assessed through right femoral artery in isoflurane anesthetized mice. After 15 minutes of baseline recording, 10 mg/kg porphyrin was slowly infused in 5 minutes and arterial blood pressure was continuously monitored for 30 minutes. (Procedure: Mice were anesthetized with 5% isoflurane in 30 % oxygen balanced with nitrogen and intubated. Both lungs were mechanically controlled by a rodent ventilator. Body temperature was controlled at 37 °C. Both right femoral artery and vein were cannulated by PE-10 tubes for blood pressure measurement and porphyrin slow infusion, respectively. Baseline blood pressure was recorded for 15 minutes and then 10 mg/kg porphyrin in 100 ul solution was slowly infused in 5 minutes and blood pressure was continuously monitored for 30 minutes).

Results and Discussion

Redox property of metal site and protonation equilibria of axial waters of metalloporphyrins

We have already reported that the major difference between the speciation of Fe and Mn cationic *N*-alkylpyridylporphyrins in aqueous systems at physiological conditions (at pH 7.8) is the presence of axial electron-rich hydroxo ligand with Fe- vs. electron-poor axial aqua ligand with Mn porphyrins.⁸ All *ortho* and *meta* Fe porphyrins exhibited an acid-base behavior governed by the two proton-transfer equilibria represented by equations [2] and [3], whose first proton dissociation constants (pK_{a1}) fall in the 5-6 range (Table 4) followed by the second proton dissociation constant (pK_{a2}) of ~11. The pK_a data for (H₂O)₂FeTM-2(or 3 or 4)-PyP⁵⁺ are in agreement with those previously reported.^{8, 24, 27, 29-31} These pK_a sets indicate that all FePs have lost a proton from the coordinated axial water to yield the hydroxo-aqua complex as the major species at pH 7.8. Conversely, the acid-base equilibrium of the Mn analogues described by the same equations [2] and [3], with pK_{a1} and pK_{a2} values for the (H₂O)₂MnTM-2(or 3, or 4)-PyP⁵⁺^{8, 25} and (H₂O)₂MnTE-2(or 3)-PyP⁵⁺²⁶ in the region of ~10.5-11.4 (*ortho* isomers) and ~11.5-13.5 (*meta* isomers), indicate that the Mn porphyrins exist as the diaqua species at pH 7.8.

[2]

[3]

The acidity of the metalloporphyrin axial waters with respect to free water ($pK_w \sim 14$) is increased by ~ 8.5 and ~ 3 log units upon coordination to the FeP and MnP moieties, respectively. Such large difference between the pK_{a1} values shows that the axially coordinated water in Fe porphyrins is about 5 log units more acidic than in the corresponding Mn porphyrins. This is in direct contrast with the acidity of simple “free ions”, hexaaqua species $[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$ ($pK_{a1} \sim 2.2$)⁴² and $[\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]$ ($pK_{a1} \sim 0.1$)⁴³, which indicates that the Mn^{3+} species is more acidic than the Fe^{3+} complex by ~ 2 log units. Thus, substitution of four neutral H_2O ligands in the coordination sphere of free ions by a $[\text{N}_4]^{2-}$ coordination system of the porphyrinato ligand (ignoring the charge of the peripheral pyridinium moieties) increases the electronic density around the metal center, which translates into a decrease in the coordinated water acidity; this effect is, however much more pronounced in the MnP case, where the ligand substitution is followed by an increase of ~ 10 log K_{a1} units, whereas the FeP series has pK_{a1} values only ~ 3 orders of magnitude greater than those of $[\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_6]^{3+}$. On electrostatic grounds, these results suggest that the positive charge on the “ $[\text{Fe}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^+$ ” and “ $[\text{Mn}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^+$ ” moieties in the porphyrin series is considerably more delocalized with MnP, which results in their lower acidity relative to their Fe counterparts. Diaqua and hydroxo-aqua Mn^{III} Ps and Fe^{III} Ps are all high spin species,^{6, 44} therefore this difference in axial water acidity is not related to a spin state change. Although a full description of aqueous behavior of FeP vs. MnP series based on the metalloporphyrin electronic structure is not yet available, the structural single crystal X-ray data for diaqua complexes of the *para* isomers $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{FeTM-4-PyP}^{5+}$ ⁴⁵ and $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTM-4-PyP}^{5+}$ ⁴⁶ are consistent with the lower acidity of the MnPs. While the Fe– O_{water} bond length is 2.086 Å, the Mn– O_{water} bond is reasonably longer (2.221 Å), which implies that the axial water molecules in the Fe system are more tightly held as a response to the higher electron-deficiency of the FeP moiety, whose acidity is thus transferred to the coordinated water molecules and deprotonation is favored.

Another major difference in the biology of “free” Fe vs “free” Mn is related to their reduction potentials. Due to a vastly higher reduction potential of “free” $\text{Mn}^{\text{III}}/\text{Mn}^{\text{II}}$ ($E^\circ = +1.51$ V vs NHE) than of “free” $\text{Fe}^{\text{III}}/\text{Fe}^{\text{II}}$ ($E^\circ = +0.77$ V vs NHE), Mn cannot be easily oxidized with H_2O_2 to produce $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical; consequently Mn does not undergo, while Fe is capable of performing “Fenton chemistry” ($\text{Fe}^{2+} + \text{H}_2\text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{Fe}^{3+} + \text{OH}^- + \cdot\text{OH}$).⁴⁷ When Mn or Fe binds to SOD protein, the reduction potentials became identical and are within limits of $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ oxidation similar to Mn or Fe to porphyrin ligand.

With water as axial ligands (at pH=2),⁸ $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{FeTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ has much higher $E_{1/2}$ (+369 mV vs NHE) relative to $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ (+228 mV vs NHE). This is consistent with a stronger electron-deficiency and in turn acidity of the FeP moiety, which is thus more prone to being reduced than the corresponding MnP system. The replacement of an axial aqua with an axial hydroxo ligand in FeP at pH 7.8 shifts the $E_{1/2}$ negatively from +369 to +211 mV vs NHE. Therefore, at pH 7.8 $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ and $(\text{OH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FeTE-2-PyP}^{4+}$ have nearly identical $E_{1/2}$ for $\text{M}^{\text{III}}/\text{M}^{\text{II}}$ redox couple (+228 and +211 mV vs NHE, respectively) and in turn are equally efficacious SOD mimics (Figure 3, Table 4). The magnitude of the electron donating effect of hydroxo vs. aqua ligand is similar to the effect caused by moving the *N*-alkyl chains from *ortho* to *meta* positions at pyridyl rings.

Redox property and SOD like activity of metalloporphyrins

Figures 4 and 5 depict the differences in the relationships between the thermodynamic and kinetic parameters for the $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ dismutation (equations [4] and [5] for Fe porphyrins, [6] and [7] for Mn porphyrins) and the length of the alkyl chains between Fe and Mn porphyrins at pH 7.8.

[4]

[5]

[6]

[7]

As detailed under the Redox property of metal site and protonation equilibria, the differences are the consequence of the vast difference in the electron-deficiency of the metal site, which results in different species present in solution under physiological conditions: $(\text{OH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FePs}$ vs $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnP}$.^{8, 24, 48} The OH^- axial ligand affects both thermodynamics and kinetics of $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ dismutation. It neutralizes single charge on Fe site and in turn reduces favorable electrostatics with the tetracationic $(\text{OH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FeP}$ species relative to the pentacationic $(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{MnP}$ species.^{6, 8, 10-13, 24} Yet, labilization of the axial water in *trans* position relative to OH^- increases largely the reactivity of Fe site. Consequently, $(\text{OH})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FePs}$ (with the exception of excessively sterically hindered n-octyl *ortho* analogue) have higher $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ than $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnPs}$ (Figure 4A and C, Table 4). The interaction between metalloporphyrins and superoxide is outer-sphere with partial inner-sphere character.^{12, 49} Therefore the labilization of trans-axial water due to the presence of axial hydroxo ligand has only modest effect; the difference in the $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ between Fe- and Mn porphyrins is in the range of .5-5-fold (0.4 to 0.7 log units). Yet, with reactions of inner-sphere character which involves ligand binding such as H_2O_2 -based degradation the difference between Fe- and Mn porphyrins is more than order of magnitude larger (see under *Stability towards oxidative degradation*).

The axially ligated hydroxo ligand affects more the thermodynamic properties of both *ortho* and *meta* (OH)(H₂O)FePs than the polarity/apolarity of alkyl chains; consequently $E_{1/2}$ varies little between *ortho* methyl and *ortho* n-octyl (OH)(H₂O)FeP species, while significant increase in $E_{1/2}$ of ~160 mV was seen from *ortho* methyl to *ortho* n-octyl (H₂O)₂MnPs (Figure 4B). Further, $E_{1/2}$ varies much less between *ortho* and *meta* (OH)(H₂O)FePs (116-170 mV) than *ortho* and *meta* (H₂O)₂MnPs (168-293 mV) (Figures 4B and D, Table 4). Consequently *meta* (OH)(H₂O)FePs with higher $E_{1/2}$ and k_{cat} are more potent SOD mimics than *meta* (H₂O)₂MnPs (Table 4, Figure 4C). In *meta* series of both porphyrins though, the $E_{1/2}$ does not change as dramatically with lengthening of alkyl chains as it does with *ortho* analogues; the same is true for log k_{cat} (Figure 5). The reversed trend is seen between $E_{1/2}$ vs nC and log $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ vs nC relationships (Figures 4C and D). The small increase in $E_{1/2}$ of (OH)(H₂O)FePs from methyl to n-octyl could not have compensated for steric hindrance; consequently the log k_{cat} of *ortho* (OH)(H₂O)FePs with shorter methyl and ethyl chains first increases as the trans axial position is activated and more reactive to O₂^{•-}, but it decreases then due to the steric hindrance of the longer alkyl chains (Figure 4A). Minor effects are seen with *meta* isomers (Figure 4C) as are further reflected in structure-activity relationships (Figure 5).

Differences in the effect of alkyl substituents upon thermodynamics and kinetics of O₂^{•-} dismutation result in entirely different structure activity relationships (SAR) between log $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ and $E_{1/2}$ for isomeric Fe and Mn porphyrins (Figure 5). The large increases in $E_{1/2}$ with the alkyl chain length in *ortho* Mn porphyrins (Figure 4B) compensate for the sterically unfavorable effects of longer alkyl-chain analogues on superoxide approach; after initial k_{cat} drop from methyl to n-butyl analogue, k_{cat} increases from n-butyl to n-octyl (Figure 5, left). With Fe porphyrins, there is essentially no major increase in $E_{1/2}$ as the alkyl chains lengthen (Figure 4B); consequently steric effects predominate and determine the shape of SAR (Figure 5, left). While the total change in log k_{cat} is ~ 1 log unit with *ortho* porphyrins, with *meta* analogues, the total change in k_{cat} is only ~0.2 log units within each of the methyl to n-octyl series of Fe and Mn porphyrins (Figures 4A and C, Figure 5); yet the behavior of *meta* MnPs is reversed from the one seen with *ortho* analogues as a consequence of interplay of thermodynamic and kinetics factors. With *meta* Mn porphyrins, the $E_{1/2}$ decreases from n-butyl to n-octyl much more than with *meta* Fe porphyrins (Figure 4C) and this in turn dominates the SAR.

Stability towards oxidative degradation

Given high mM intracellular levels of ascorbate and significance of H₂O₂ as a major *in vivo* signaling (nM) and cytotoxic species (mM) we routinely address the interactions of metalloporphyrins with these species.^{21, 34, 50, 51} Cationic Mn and Fe *N*-substituted pyridylporphyrins readily couple with ascorbate catalyzing its oxidation (Figure 6). The catalysis results in H₂O₂ production and subsequent porphyrin degradation. The therapeutic potential of such system for anticancer therapy *via* production of long-lasting, highly cytotoxic peroxide was demonstrated.^{34, 50} Metalloporphyrins have widely been explored in cyt P450 biomimetic models.⁵²⁻⁶⁷ The metalloporphyrin/ascorbate system was proposed by us as a potential *in situ* cyt P450 mimicking system for hydroxylation of cyclophosphamide to its active metabolite 4-hydroxycyclophosphamide.³³

Herein we have addressed the H₂O₂-based degradation of *ortho* and *meta* Mn and Fe porphyrins, bearing short ethyl and long n-hexyl chains also. Metal center/ascorbate was a producer of peroxide. The lower $E_{1/2}$ of *meta* metalloporphyrins relative to *ortho* analogs indicates their preference of higher +3 relative to lower +2 Mn oxidation state. Thus, once reduced with ascorbate, *meta* Mn porphyrins readily reoxidize with oxygen producing more H₂O₂, and are in turn more degradable than *ortho* species. The $k(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)$ is 1.3 M⁻¹s⁻¹ for (H₂O)₂MnTM(E)-2-PyP⁵⁺ and 4.9 M⁻¹s⁻¹ for (H₂O)₂MnTM(E)-3-PyP⁵⁺.⁸ The extensive

degradation of porphyrins coincides with spectrophotometric evidence of complete consumption of ascorbate. Ascorbyl radical, Asc[•], formed during reduction of Mn porphyrin, readily oxidizes further to dehydroascorbate producing O₂^{•-}, which upon dismutation gives rise to H₂O₂. The Fe porphyrins are much more potent catalysts of ascorbate oxidation than analogous Mn porphyrins due to the labilization of trans-axial water which greatly increases their reactivity in reactions of inner-sphere character (Figure 7). During catalysis, the peroxide is formed whose binding and subsequent porphyrin oxidation leads to porphyrin degradation and Fe release. The $k(\text{H}_2\text{O}_2)$ is 23 M⁻¹s⁻¹ for (OH)(H₂O)FeTM(E)-2-PyP⁴⁺ and is ~20-fold higher relative to (H₂O)₂MnTM(E)-2-PyP⁵⁺.⁸

Lipophilicity

We have previously reported that *meta* Mn porphyrins are more lipophilic than their *ortho* isomers and showed here that the same is valid for Fe porphyrins (Figures 7). When Fe and Mn porphyrins bear shorter methyl and ethyl chains the overall charge of the complex is largely exposed to the solvent, making them highly hydrophilic. As the chains lengthen, the impact of the metal site charge is surpassed by the effect of the alkyl chains, and both Fe and Mn porphyrins become similarly lipophilic (Table 5, Figure 7). It is worth noting that the difference in lipophilicity between Fe and Mn porphyrins among the shorter alkyl side-chains is more pronounced in the *meta* than in the *ortho* isomers; this is likely related to the higher exposure of the metal center in the *meta* isomers when compared to their *ortho* analogues, which allows a better differentiation and greater impact of the “[$(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{Fe}$]⁺⁺” and “[$(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{Mn}$]⁺⁺” moieties on the overall lipophilicity of the complexes. Under physiological conditions Fe porphyrins exist predominantly as tetracationic (OH)(H₂O)FeP⁴⁺ species which makes them more lipophilic than the corresponding Mn porphyrins which, having water as axial ligands, bear an overall 5+ charge, (H₂O)₂MnP⁵⁺. The impact of the 1 unit reduction from 5+ to 4+ in overall charge of the complexes on their lipophilicity and biological efficiency has been demonstrated in the Mn^{III}P⁵⁺ and Mn^{II}P⁴⁺ systems.⁶⁹

Effect of Fe porphyrins on the aerobic growth of SOD-deficient *E. coli*

The aerobic growth of *E. coli* in the presence of *ortho* (OH)(H₂O)FeTE-2-PyP⁴⁺ and *meta* (OH)(H₂O)FeTE-3-PyP⁴⁺ and our standard SOD mimics, *ortho* (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ is shown in Figure 8. The more lipophilic long-alkyl chain (n-hexyl and n-octyl) Mn and Fe porphyrins, are much more toxic to *E. coli* than the hydrophilic analogues. The fairly lipophilic n-butyl porphyrin, (OH)(H₂O)FeTnBu-2-PyP⁴⁺, already starts exerting toxicity at 1 μM.

Ortho (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ is commonly used as a positive control at 20 μM. At such concentration it allowed SOD-deficient *E. coli* to grow aerobically in restricted, 5 AA medium at a rate comparable to that of the parental strain.⁴¹ Whereas at concentrations > 1 μM (OH)(H₂O)FePs start to exert toxicity, at very low concentrations they supported the growth of the SOD-deficient *E. coli* and at 0.1 and 1 μM it reached the growth rate of the wild type strain. It is worth noting, however, that the growth pattern of the SOD-deficient strain in medium supplemented with (OH)(H₂O)FeP- was different from the growth of wild type *E. coli* or the growth of the *sodAsodB* strain in (H₂O)₂MnP- supplemented medium (Figure 8, B). Growth curves show that in the presence of (H₂O)₂MnPs, the SOD-deficient mutant started growth simultaneously with the SOD-proficient parent. In a medium supplemented with (OH)(H₂O)FeP, however, growth was delayed and did not start earlier than in a non-supplemented 5AA medium. Only after such a prolonged lag period was (OH)(H₂O)FeP capable of stimulating the growth of the mutant to a rate comparable to that of the SOD-proficient parent. Such data suggest that (OH)(H₂O)FeP stimulates the growth of the SOD-deficient *E. coli* by a mechanism different than that of (H₂O)₂MnP despite the fact that the two compounds have similar $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$, E_{1/2} and lipophilicity (Tables 4 and 5).

In a tube Fe porphyrins are rapidly degraded by hydrogen peroxide with release of Fe^{2+} . Similar process can occur *in vivo*. Organisms contain mM concentrations of cellular reductants (ascorbate, glutathione and other thiols, etc.) which are readily oxidized by Fe- and Mn porphyrins acting as catalysts.^{21, 34} A product of such reactions is hydrogen peroxide, which rapidly degrades FePs but not MnPs.

To further explore the reasons behind growth stimulation by low concentration of Fe porphyrins, we compared it with the effect of Fe(II) and Mn(II) salts under the same conditions. The Mn porphyrin at 20 μM served as a positive control. Figure 9 shows that at 1 μM Fe(II) citrate stimulated the growth of the SOD-deficient *E. coli* similarly to 1 μM Fe porphyrin. Under the conditions of this experiment Mn-citrate did not improve the growth of any of the SOD-deficient strains (Figure 9). Importantly, the pattern in *E. coli* growth with Mn porphyrins and Fe salts is essentially the same (Figures 9).

To check if indeed the Fe porphyrin is degraded during *E. coli* growth, cytosolic fractions of *sodAsodB E. coli* grown in a medium supplemented with Fe- and Mn porphyrin were analyzed over 12 hours period. Judging by the disappearance of the Soret band, no loss of Mn porphyrin occurred during the examination period, while the Fe porphyrin almost completely disappeared from the *E. coli* cytosol (Figure 10) and from the medium (data not shown). Time interval needed for SOD-deficient *E. coli* to start growing coincides with the Fe porphyrin almost complete degradation period (Figure 9). Therefore, growth stimulation by the Fe porphyrin should be attributed to facilitation of microbial iron acquisition, rather than to Fe porphyrin acting as an SOD mimic.

Several enzymes, which include dihydroxy acid dehydratase, aconitase, 6-phosphogluconate dehydratase, and fumarases A and B, are oxidatively damaged by superoxide.⁷⁰⁻⁷⁴ As a result Fe^{2+} is released from the $[\text{4Fe-4S}]^{2+}$ clusters and the enzymes are inactivated. The Fe^{2+} added exogenously may reconstitute these enzymes and restore their activity.⁷⁵ Our data demonstrate a remarkable difference in the behavior of Fe- vs Mn porphyrin as a direct consequence of large difference in their reactivities (as exemplified with ~ 18-fold faster peroxide-based degradation of Fe porphyrin) which in turn is a consequence of 5 log units difference in the electron-deficiency of the metal site. Therefore, the rapid degradation of Fe porphyrins *in vivo* with peroxide *per se* or peroxide produced while redox-cycling with cellular reductants^{21, 25, 32} may have a major effect on their mechanism of action.

Although efficacious at low concentrations (0.01 to 1 μM) Fe porphyrins become toxic at > 1 μM (Figure 10). In contrast, Fe(II) salts promote the SOD-deficient *E. coli* growth even at 20 μM . This phenomenon is likely related to different transport mechanisms that *E. coli* employs to control the uptake of Fe from the medium. The uptake of “free” Fe is tightly regulated *via* specific compounds - siderophores - which microorganism excretes into the medium.⁷⁶⁻⁸³ Consequently, high amounts of “free” Fe^{2+} (Fe salts) may not be taken up even if present in the medium. Fe porphyrin bypasses such cellular control mechanisms and presumably accumulates within the cell *via* heme-uptake systems.^{84, 85}

The Scheme I summarizes the differential aspects of *in vivo* mechanisms of action of Mn and Fe porphyrins as exemplified by the protection of aerobic growth of SOD-deficient *E. coli*.

Mouse study—We conducted mouse study for initial comparison of Fe- and Mn porphyrins in mammalian systems. Four porphyrins were tested for toxicity to mice: (OH) $(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FeTE-2-PyP}^{4+}$ (2×10 mg/kg/day), $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ (2×10 mg/kg/day), (OH) $(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FeTnHex-2-PyP}^{4+}$ (2×5 mg/kg/day) and $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTnHex-2-PyP}^{5+}$ (2×1 mg/kg/day). In most *in vivo* studies, several fold lower doses of Fe porphyrins than Mn porphyrins

were used.^{3, 4, 86-88} To obtain stronger response, we tested both Fe- and Mn porphyrins at comparable doses (in *E. coli* the non-toxic doses of Fe porphyrins are 10-1000-fold lower than those of Mn porphyrins). While not anticipated, significant toxic effects were observed with (OH)(H₂O)FeTE-2-PyP⁴⁺. The nature of those effects is not yet understood.

Unexpectedly, (OH)(H₂O)FeTnHex-2-PyP⁴⁺ appears less toxic than its Mn counterpart, (H₂O)₂MnTnHex-2-PyP⁵⁺ (Figures 11 and 12). While with 5 mg/kg single injection of (H₂O)₂MnTnHex-2-PyP⁵⁺ all four mice died,²³ with (OH)(H₂O)FeTnHex-2-PyP⁴⁺ no significant toxicity was seen in 4 out of 5 mice (one mouse died). These compounds have similar lipophilicity. It is tempting to speculate that axial hydroxo vs axial aqua ligand affects differentially the interaction of Fe- and Mn porphyrins with biological targets which in turn leads to differences in toxicity. It should be noted, however, that long-alkyl chains hinder the impact of the type of axial ligand analogs in all atropoisomers, except αααα. Another major difference between the Mn and Fe porphyrins is the absence of a net positive charge on Fe site, as it is neutralized by the presence of the axial hydroxo ligand.

Fe- and Mn porphyrins had different effects on mice blood pressure (Figure 12). It is known that Mn porphyrins cause hypotension,⁸⁹ and dramatic drop of blood pressure was observed when (H₂O)₂MnTnHex-2-PyP⁵⁺ was injected (Figure 12). In contrast, none of the tested Fe porphyrins exerted hypotensive effect on mice. The hypotensive action of the Mn porphyrins was strongly influenced by the length of the alkyl chain at pyridyl ring. Thus, (H₂O)₂MnTnHex-2-PyP⁵⁺ was the strongest and (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ was the weakest hypotensive agent. The difference in axial coordination may not play major role either. Metal site is less hindered and more exposed in short chain ethyl analogs, (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ and (OH)(H₂O)FeTE-2-PyP⁴⁺, thus the differential effect of axial hydroxo vs aqua ligand in their interactions with biological target should have been mostly pronounced. However, weak hypotensive effect was observed with (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ and no hypotension with (OH)(H₂O)FeTE-2-PyP⁴⁺. With n-hexyl compounds, the long chains form a cavity around the metal center, and axial ligation may not play a role other than in αααα atropoisomer. With both Fe and Mn n-hexyl analogues, the interaction with biological targets should be dominated by similar hydrophobic nature of long alkyl chains. The nature of systemic toxicity of Fe vs Mn porphyrin is, thus, not yet understood and requires clearly further exploration.

Conclusions

Water-soluble Mn(III) *N*-alkylpyridylporphyrins, (H₂O)₂MnPs are bis-aqua complexes under physiological conditions while the analogous Fe porphyrins, (OH)(H₂O)FePs have a hydroxo axial ligand trans axially coordinated to water molecule. As a consequence the Fe site has 5 log units lower electron density than its Mn analog, which determines the differences between Fe- and Mn porphyrins in redox chemistry, reactivity and biological actions. The presence of hydroxo ligand labilizes trans-axial water which increases the reactivity of Fe porphyrin in comparison with the Mn porphyrin center. This was demonstrated *in vitro* and *in vivo*, with *E. coli*. In the presence of high, mM levels of cellular reductants (ascorbate, glutathione, cysteine, etc.) and/or peroxide, Fe porphyrins are rapidly degraded releasing “free” Fe²⁺. In contrast to Mn porphyrin, delivery of iron but not the Fe porphyrin itself stimulated the growth of the SOD-deficient *E. coli*. The relevance of such intriguing biochemistry of Fe porphyrins to eukaryotic systems is under further exploration to understand the favorable effects of Fe porphyrins often reported in animal models of oxidative stress injuries.^{2, 3, 88, 90}

Acknowledgments

Authors acknowledge financial help from W.H. Coulter Translational Partners Grant Program (IBH, IS, AT), and NIH/NCI Duke Comprehensive Cancer Center Core Grant (5-P30-CA14236-29) (IS), NIH U19AI067798, The Robert Preston Tisch Brain Tumor Center at Duke Developmental Career Award to IBH, and IBH general research funds (AT, ZR, TW). JSR thanks CNPq and UFPB. IBH, DSW and IS are consultants with BioMimetix Pharmaceutical, Inc. L.B. acknowledges the financial support from Kuwait University, Grant MB01/09, the excellent technical assistance of Milini Thomas, and Research Core Facility Grants GM01/01 and GM01/05.

Abbreviations

ONOO⁻	peroxynitrite
O₂^{•-}	superoxide; only porphyrin ligands are listed here:
H₂TM-2(or 3)-PyP⁴⁺	<i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -methylpyridinium-2(or 3)-yl)porphyrin
H₂TE-2(or 3)-PyP⁴⁺	<i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -ethylpyridinium-2(or 3)-yl)porphyrin
H₂TnBu-2(or 3)-PyP⁴⁺	<i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -n-butylpyridinium-2(or 3)-yl)porphyrin
H₂TnHex-2(or 3)-PyP⁴⁺	<i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -n-hexylpyridinium-2(or 3)-yl)porphyrin
H₂TnOct-2(or 3)-PyP⁴⁺	<i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -n-octylpyridinium-2(or 3)-yl)porphyrin; when used, FeP and MnP abbreviations do not imply axial ligation
(H₂O)₂MnPs	diaqua Mn(III) <i>N</i> -alkylpyridylporphyrins and (OH)(H ₂ O)FePs and (H ₂ O) ₂ FePs, monohydroxo-monoaqua and diaqua Fe(III) <i>N</i> -alkylpyridylporphyrins, respectively, no charges are indicated for simplicity
MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺	AEOL10113, Mn(III) <i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -ethylpyridinium-2-yl)porphyrin
FeTM-4-PyP⁴⁺	Fe(III) <i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphyrin
FP-15	Fe(III) <i>meso</i> -tetrakis(<i>N</i> -(1-(2-(2-(2-methoxyethoxy)ethoxy)ethyl)pyridinium-2-yl)porphyrin
INO-4885	the structure of WW-85, has not been made available in <i>J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther.</i>
DMF	<i>N,N</i> -dimethylformamide
HFBA	heptafluorobutyric acid
R_f	thin-layer chromatographic retention factor that presents the ratio between the solvent and compound path in acetonitrile:KNO ₃ (sat):H ₂ O = 8:1:1 solvent system
E_{1/2}	half-wave reduction potential
SOD	superoxide dismutase
NHE	normal hydrogen electrode
P_{OW}	partition coefficient between n-octanol and water
Asc	ascorbate
MTD	maximal tolerable dose; charges omitted in some Figures

References

1. Genovese T, Mazzon E, Esposito E, Di Paola R, Murthy K, Neville L, Bramanti P, Cuzzocrea S. Effects of a metalloporphyrinic peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst, ww-85, in a mouse model of spinal cord injury. *Free Radic Res.* 2009; 43(7):631–45. [PubMed: 19418318]
2. Lange M, Szabo C, Enkhbaatar P, Connelly R, Horvath E, Hamahata A, Cox RA, Esechie A, Nakano Y, Traber LD, Herndon DN, Traber DL. Beneficial pulmonary effects of a metalloporphyrinic peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst in burn and smoke inhalation injury. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.* 2011; 300(2):L167–75. [PubMed: 21075825]
3. Maybauer DM, Maybauer MO, Szabo C, Cox RA, Westphal M, Kiss L, Horvath EM, Traber LD, Hawkins HK, Salzman AL, Southan GJ, Herndon DN, Traber DL. The peroxynitrite catalyst WW-85 improves pulmonary function in ovine septic shock. *Shock.* 2011; 35(2):148–55. [PubMed: 20577150]
4. Maybauer DM, Maybauer MO, Szabo C, Westphal M, Traber LD, Salzman AL, Herndon DN, Traber DL. The peroxynitrite catalyst WW-85 improves microcirculation in ovine smoke inhalation injury and septic shock. *Burns.* 2011; 37(5):842–50. [PubMed: 21345593]
5. Pieper GM, Nilakantan V, Chen M, Zhou J, Khanna AK, Henderson JD Jr. Johnson CP, Roza AM, Szabo C. Protective mechanisms of a metalloporphyrinic peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst, WW85, in rat cardiac transplants. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther.* 2005; 314(1):53–60. [PubMed: 15784653]
6. Batinic-Haberle I, Reboucas JS, Benov L, Spasojevic I. Chemistry, biology and medical effects of water soluble metalloporphyrins. In: Kadish, KM.; Smith, KM.; Guillard, R., editors. *Handbook of Porphyrin Science*. Vol. 11. World Scientific; Singapore: 2011. p. 291-393.
7. Szabo C, Mabley JG, Moeller SM, Shimanovich R, Pacher P, Virag L, Soriano FG, Van Duzer JH, Williams W, Salzman AL, Groves JT. Part I: pathogenetic role of peroxynitrite in the development of diabetes and diabetic vascular complications: studies with FP15, a novel potent peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst. *Mol Med.* 2002; 8(10):571–80. [PubMed: 12477967]
8. Batinic-Haberle I, Spasojevic I, Hambright P, Benov L, Crumbliss AL, Fridovich I. Relationship among Redox Potentials, Proton Dissociation Constants of Pyrrolic Nitrogens, and in Vivo and in Vitro Superoxide Dismutating Activities of Manganese(III) and Iron(III) Water-Soluble Porphyrins. *Inorg Chem.* 1999; 38(18):4011–4022.
9. Batinic-Haberle I, Benov L, Spasojevic I, Fridovich I. The ortho effect makes manganese(III) meso-tetrakis(N-methylpyridinium-2-yl)porphyrin a powerful and potentially useful superoxide dismutase mimic. *J Biol Chem.* 1998; 273(38):24521–8. [PubMed: 9733746]
10. Batinic-Haberle I, Rajic Z, Tovmasyan A, Reboucas JS, Ye X, Leong KW, Dewhirst MW, Vujaskovic Z, Benov L, Spasojevic I. Diverse functions of cationic Mn(III) N-substituted pyridylporphyrins, recognized as SOD mimics. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2011; 51(5):1035–53. [PubMed: 21616142]
11. Batinic-Haberle I, Reboucas JS, Spasojevic I. Superoxide dismutase mimics: chemistry, pharmacology, and therapeutic potential. *Antioxid Redox Signal.* 2010; 13(6):877–918. [PubMed: 20095865]
12. Reboucas JS, DeFreitas-Silva G, Spasojevic I, Idemori YM, Benov L, Batinic-Haberle I. Impact of electrostatics in redox modulation of oxidative stress by Mn porphyrins: protection of SOD-deficient *Escherichia coli* via alternative mechanism where Mn porphyrin acts as a Mn carrier. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2008; 45(2):201–10. [PubMed: 18457677]
13. Spasojevic I, Batinic-Haberle I, Reboucas JS, Idemori YM, Fridovich I. Electrostatic contribution in the catalysis of O₂^{•-} dismutation by superoxide dismutase mimics. MnIIITE-2-PyP⁺ versus MnIIIBr8T-2-PyP⁺ *J Biol Chem.* 2003; 278(9):6831–7. [PubMed: 12475974]
14. Batinic-Haberle I, Spasojevic I, Tse HM, Tovmasyan A, Rajic Z, St Clair DK, Vujaskovic Z, Dewhirst MW, Piganelli JD. Design of Mn porphyrins for treating oxidative stress injuries and their redox-based regulation of cellular transcriptional activities. *Amino Acids.* 2010
15. Miriyala S, Spasojevic I, Tovmasyan A, Salvemini D, Vujaskovic Z, St Clair D, Batinic-Haberle I. Manganese superoxide dismutase, MnSOD and its mimics. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2012; 1822(5):794–814. [PubMed: 22198225]

16. Tovmasyan A, Sheng H, Weitner T, Arulpragasam A, Lu M, Warner DS, Spasojevic I, Batinic-Haberle I. Design, mechanism of action, bioavailability and therapeutic effects of Mn porphyrin-based redox modulators. *Medical Principles and Practice*. 2012
17. Kos I, Reboucas JS, DeFreitas-Silva G, Salvemini D, Vujaskovic Z, Dewhirst MW, Spasojevic I, Batinic-Haberle I. Lipophilicity of potent porphyrin-based antioxidants: comparison of ortho and meta isomers of Mn(III) N-alkylpyridylporphyrins. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2009; 47(1):72–8. [PubMed: 19361553]
18. Pinto VH, Carvalhoda-Silva D, Santos JL, Weitner T, Fonseca MG, Yoshida MI, Idemori YM, Batinic-Haberle I, Reboucas JS. Thermal stability of the prototypical Mn porphyrin-based superoxide dismutase mimic and potent oxidative-stress redox modulator Mn(III) meso-tetrakis(N-ethylpyridinium-2-yl)porphyrin chloride, MnTE-2-PyP(5+). *J Pharm Biomed Anal*. 2012
19. Reboucas JS, Spasojevic I, Batinic-Haberle I. Quality of potent Mn porphyrin-based SOD mimics and peroxynitrite scavengers for pre-clinical mechanistic/therapeutic purposes. *J Pharm Biomed Anal*. 2008; 48(3):1046–9. [PubMed: 18804338]
20. Tovmasyan AG, Rajic Z, Spasojevic I, Reboucas JS, Chen X, Salvemini D, Sheng H, Warner DS, Benov L, Batinic-Haberle I. Methoxy-derivatization of alkyl chains increases the in vivo efficacy of cationic Mn porphyrins. Synthesis, characterization, SOD-like activity, and SOD-deficient *E. coli* study of meta Mn(III) N-methoxyalkylpyridylporphyrins. *Dalton Trans*. 2011; 40(16):4111–21. [PubMed: 21384047]
21. Ferrer-Sueta G, Batinic-Haberle I, Spasojevic I, Fridovich I, Radi R. Catalytic scavenging of peroxynitrite by isomeric Mn(III) N-methylpyridylporphyrins in the presence of reductants. *Chem Res Toxicol*. 1999; 12(5):442–9. [PubMed: 10328755]
22. Spasojevic I, Batinic-Haberle I, Stevens RD, Hambright P, Thorpe AN, Grodkowski J, Neta P, Fridovich I. Manganese(III) biliverdin IX dimethyl ester: a powerful catalytic scavenger of superoxide employing the Mn(III)/Mn(IV) redox couple. *Inorg Chem*. 2001; 40(4):726–39. [PubMed: 11225116]
23. Rajic Z, Tovmasyan A, Spasojevic I, Sheng H, Lu M, Li AM, Gralla EB, Warner DS, Benov L, Batinic-Haberle I. A new SOD mimic, Mn(III) ortho N-butoxyethylpyridylporphyrin, combines superb potency and lipophilicity with low toxicity. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2012; 52(9):1828–34. [PubMed: 22336516]
24. Rodgers KR, Reed RA, Su YO, Spiro TG. Resonance Raman and magnetic resonance spectroscopic characterization of the Fe(I), Fe(II), Fe(III), and Fe(IV) oxidation states of Fe(2-TMPyP)_n+(aq). *Inorg Chem*. 1992; 31(13):2688–2700.
25. Ferrer-Sueta G, Vitturi D, Batinic-Haberle I, Fridovich I, Goldstein S, Czapski G, Radi R. Reactions of manganese porphyrins with peroxynitrite and carbonate radical anion. *J Biol Chem*. 2003; 278(30):27432–8. [PubMed: 12700236]
26. Weitner T, Budimir A, Kos I, Batinic-Haberle I, Birus M. Acid-base and electrochemical properties of manganese meso(ortho- and meta-N-ethylpyridyl)porphyrins: potentiometric, spectrophotometric and spectroelectrochemical study of protolytic and redox equilibria. *Dalton Trans*. 2010; 39(48):11568–76. [PubMed: 21052598]
27. Chen S-M, Sun P-J, Su YO. Characterization of iron tetrakis(N-methyl-2-pyridyl)porphine in aqueous media: electrochemical generation of stable iron(I), iron(II), iron(III) and iron(IV) porphyrins at room temperature. *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry*. 1990; 294(1-2):151–64.
28. Forshey PA, Kuwana T. Electrochemical and spectral speciation of iron tetrakis(N-methyl-4-pyridyl)porphyrin in aqueous media. *Inorg Chem*. 1981; 20(3):693–700.
29. Kobayashi N. [5,10,15,20-Tetrakis(1-methylpyridinium-2, -3-, and -4-yl)porphinato]iron complexes as seen by electronic absorption, magnetic circular dichroism, and electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy. *Inorg Chem*. 1985; 24(21):3324–3330.
30. Kobayashi N, Koshiyama M, Osa T, Kuwana T. Magnetic circular dichroism studies on [5,10,15,20-tetrakis(1-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphinato]iron and some of its derivatives. *Inorg Chem*. 1983; 22(24):3608–3614.
31. Tondreau GA, Wilkins RG. Kinetic aspects of the iron(III)- and iron(II)-tetrakis(N-methylpyridinium-4-yl)porphine systems. *Inorg Chem*. 1986; 25(16):2745–2750.

32. Tovmasyan A, Weitner T, Roberts E, Jaramillo M, Spasojevic I, Leong K, Tome M, Benov L, Batinic-Haberle I. Understanding differences in mechanisms of action of Fe vs Mn porphyrins: comparison of their reactivities towards cellular reductants and reactive species. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2012; 53(2):S120.
33. Spasojevic I, Colvin OM, Warshany KR, Batinic-Haberle I. New approach to the activation of anti-cancer pro-drugs by metalloporphyrin-based cytochrome P450 mimics in all-aqueous biologically relevant system. *J Inorg Biochem*. 2006; 100(11):1897–902. [PubMed: 16965820]
34. Ye X, Fels D, Tovmasyan A, Aird KM, Dedeugd C, Allensworth JL, Kos I, Park W, Spasojevic I, Devi GR, Dewhirst MW, Leong KW, Batinic-Haberle I. Cytotoxic effects of Mn(III) N-alkylpyridylporphyrins in the presence of cellular reductant, ascorbate. *Free Radic Res*. 2011; 45(11-12):1289–306. [PubMed: 21859376]
35. Al-Maghrebi M, Fridovich I, Benov L. Manganese supplementation relieves the phenotypic deficits seen in superoxide-dismutase-null *Escherichia coli*. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 2002; 402(1): 104–9. [PubMed: 12051688]
36. Brown OR, Smyk-Randall E, Draczynska-Lusiak B, Fee JA. Dihydroxy-acid dehydratase, a [4Fe-4S] cluster-containing enzyme in *Escherichia coli*: effects of intracellular superoxide dismutase on its inactivation by oxidant stress. *Arch Biochem Biophys*. 1995; 319(1):10–22. [PubMed: 7771772]
37. Benov L, Fridovich I. Why superoxide imposes an aromatic amino acid auxotrophy on *Escherichia coli*. The transketolase connection. *J Biol Chem*. 1999; 274(7):4202–6. [PubMed: 9933617]
38. Benov L, Kredich NM, Fridovich I. The mechanism of the auxotrophy for sulfur-containing amino acids imposed upon *Escherichia coli* by superoxide. *J Biol Chem*. 1996; 271(35):21037–40. [PubMed: 8702868]
39. Imlay JA, Linn S. Mutagenesis and stress responses induced in *Escherichia coli* by hydrogen peroxide. *J Bacteriol*. 1987; 169(7):2967–76. [PubMed: 3298208]
40. Touati D, Jacques M, Tardat B, Bouchard L, Despied S. Lethal oxidative damage and mutagenesis are generated by iron in Δfur mutants of *Escherichia coli*: Protective role of superoxide dismutase. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 1995; 177(9):2305–2314. [PubMed: 7730258]
41. Kos I, Benov L, Spasojevic I, Reboucas JS, Batinic-Haberle I. High lipophilicity of meta Mn(III) N-alkylpyridylporphyrin-based superoxide dismutase mimics compensates for their lower antioxidant potency and makes them as effective as ortho analogues in protecting superoxide dismutase-deficient *Escherichia coli*. *J Med Chem*. 2009; 52(23):7868–72. [PubMed: 19954250]
42. Flynn CM. Hydrolysis of inorganic iron(III) salts. *Chemical Reviews*. 1984; 84(1):31–41.
43. Macartney DH, Sutin N. Kinetics of the oxidation of metal complexes by manganese(III) aquo ions in acidic perchlorate media: The $Mn(H_2O)_6^{2+}$ - $Mn(H_2O)_6^{3+}$ electron-exchange rate constant. *Inorg Chem*. 1985; 24(21):3403–3409.
44. Scheidt WR, Cohen IA, Kastner ME. A structural model for heme in high-spin ferric hemoproteins. Iron atom centering, porphinato core expansion, and molecular stereochemistry of high-spin diaquo(meso-tetraphenylporphinato)iron(III) perchlorate. *Biochemistry*. 1979; 18(16): 3546–52. [PubMed: 224911]
45. Korber FCF, Smith JRL, Prince S, Rizkallah P, Reynolds CD, Shawcross DR. Crystal structure of monomeric hydrated iron(III) 5,10,15,20-tetra(N-methyl-4-pyridinio)porphyrin pentachloride; an ionic haem model. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Dalton Transactions*. 1991; (12):3291–3294.
46. Prince S, Korber F, Cooke PR, Lindsay Smith JR, Mazid MA. Structure of monomeric hydrated manganese(III) tetra(4-N-methylpyridyl)porphyrin pentachloride. *Acta Crystallographica Section C*. 1993; 49(6):1158–1160.
47. Aguirre JD, Culotta VC. Battles with iron: manganese in oxidative stress protection. *J Biol Chem*. 2012; 287(17):13541–8. [PubMed: 22247543]
48. Ramirez-Gutierrez O, Claret J, Ribo JM. Oxidation states of manganese porphyrins in water solutions. *Journal of Porphyrins and Phthalocyanines*. 2005; 9(6):436–43.
49. Batinic-Haberle I, Spasojevic I, Stevens RD, Hambright P, Neta P, Okado-Matsumoto A, Fridovich I. New class of potent catalysts of O_2 -dismutation. Mn(III) ortho-methoxyethylpyridyl- and di-ortho-methoxyethylimidazolylporphyrins. *Dalton Trans*. 2004; (11):1696–702. [PubMed: 15252564]

50. Tian J, Peehl DM, Knox SJ. Metalloporphyrin synergizes with ascorbic acid to inhibit cancer cell growth through fenton chemistry. *Cancer Biother Radiopharm*. 2010; 25(4):439–48. [PubMed: 20735206]
51. Tovmasyan A, Weitner T, Spasojevic I, Rajic Z, Benov L, Batinic-Haberle I. Fe porphyrins revisited: synthesis, characterization and the effects of ortho and meta Fe(III) N-alkylpyridylporphyrins upon the growth of *E. coli* in the presence and absence of ascorbate. *Free Radic Biol Med*. 2011; 51:S99.
52. Meunier B, de Visser SP, Shaik S. Mechanism of Oxidation Reactions Catalyzed by Cytochrome P450 Enzymes. *Chemical Reviews*. 2004; 104(9):3947–3980. [PubMed: 15352783]
53. Almarsson O, Bruice TC. A Homolytic Mechanism of O-O Bond Scission Prevails in the Reactions of Alkyl Hydroperoxides with an Octacationic Tetraphenylporphinato-Iron(III) Complex in Aqueous Solution. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1995; 117(16):4533–4544.
54. Murata K, Panicucci R, Gopinath E, Bruice TC. The reaction of 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(2,6-dichloro-3-sulfonatophenyl)porphinatoiron(III) hydrate with alkyl and acyl hydroperoxides. The dynamics of reaction of water-soluble and non .mu.-oxo dimer forming iron(III) porphyrins in aqueous solution. 7. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1990; 112(16):6072–6083.
55. Meunier B, Bernadou J. Metal-Oxo Species in P450 Enzymes and Biomimetic Models. Oxo-Hydroxo Tautomerism with Water-Soluble Metalloporphyrins. *Topics in Catalysis*. 2002; 21(1): 47–54.
56. Newcomb M, Toy PH. Hypersensitive Radical Probes and the Mechanisms of Cytochrome P450-Catalyzed Hydroxylation Reactions. *Accounts of Chemical Research*. 2000; 33(7):449–455. [PubMed: 10913233]
57. Aguey-Zinsou K-F, Bernhardt PV, De Voss JJ, Slessor KE. Electrochemistry of P450cin: new insights into P450 electron transfer. *Chemical Communications*. 2003; (3):418–419. [PubMed: 12613641]
58. Nam W-H, Rhee S-W. Atomic Layer Deposition of ZrO₂ Thin Films Using Dichlorobis[bis-(trimethylsilyl)amido]zirconium and Water. *ChemInform*. 2004; 35(50) no-no.
59. Nam W, Jin SW, Lim MH, Ryu JY, Kim C. Anionic Ligand Effect on the Nature of Epoxidizing Intermediates in Iron Porphyrin Complex-Catalyzed Epoxidation Reactions. *Inorg Chem*. 2002; 41(14):3647–3652. [PubMed: 12099867]
60. Groves JT. High-valent iron in chemical and biological oxidations. *J Inorg Biochem*. 2006; 100(4): 434–447. [PubMed: 16516297]
61. Nam W, J. Choi H, J. Han H, H. Cho S, J. Lee H, Han S-Y. Use of 2-methyl-1-phenylpropan-2-yl hydroperoxide (MPPH) as a mechanistic probe for the heterolytic versus homolytic O-O bond cleavage of tert-alkyl hydroperoxide by iron(III) porphyrin complex. *Chemical Communications*. 1999; (4):387–388.
62. Song W, Ryu Y, Song R, Nam W. Oxoiron(IV) porphyrin &Pi-cation radical complexes with a chameleon behavior in cytochrome P450 model reactions. *Journal of Biological Inorganic Chemistry*. 2005; 10(3):294–304. [PubMed: 15827730]
63. Beck MJ, Gopinath E, Bruice TC. Influence of nitrogen base ligation on the rate of reaction of [5,10,15,20-tetrakis(2,6-dimethyl-3-sulfonatophenyl)porphinato]iron(III) hydrate with tert-BuOOH in aqueous solution. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1993; 115(1):21–29.
64. Arasasingham RD, Bruice TC. The dynamics of the reactions of methyl diphenylhydroperoxyacetate with meso-tetrakis(2,6-dimethyl-3-sulfonatophenyl)porphinatomanganese(III) hydrate and meso-tetrakis(2,6-dichloro-3-sulfonatophenyl)porphinatomanganese(III) hydrate and imidazole complexes. Comparison of the reactions of manganese(III) and iron(III) porphyrins. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1991; 113(16):6095–6103.
65. Zipples MF, Lee WA, Bruice TC. Influence of hydrogen ion activity and general acid-base catalysis on the rate of decomposition of hydrogen peroxide by a novel nonaggregating water-soluble iron(III) tetraphenylporphyrin derivative. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1986; 108(15):4433–4445.
66. Panicucci R, Bruice TC. Dynamics of the reaction of hydrogen peroxide with a water soluble non .mu.-oxo dimer forming iron(III) tetraphenylporphyrin. 2. The reaction of hydrogen peroxide with 5,10,15,20-tetrakis(2,6-dichloro-3-sulfonatophenyl)porphinato iron(III) in aqueous solution. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1990; 112(16):6063–6071.

67. Jeon S, Bruice TC. Redox chemistry of water-soluble iron, manganese, and chromium metalloporphyrins and acid-base behavior of their lyate axial ligands in aqueous solution: influence of electronic effects. *Inorg Chem.* 1992; 31(23):4843–4848.
68. Chen Q, Espey MG, Sun AY, Pooput C, Kirk KL, Krishna MC, Khosh DB, Drisko J, Levine M. Pharmacologic doses of ascorbate act as a prooxidant and decrease growth of aggressive tumor xenografts in mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2008; 105(32):11105–9. [PubMed: 18678913]
69. Spasojevic I, Kos I, Benov LT, Rajic Z, Fels D, Dedeugd C, Ye X, Vujaskovic Z, Reboucas JS, Leong KW, Dewhirst MW, Batinic-Haberle I. Bioavailability of metalloporphyrin-based SOD mimics is greatly influenced by a single charge residing on a Mn site. *Free Radic Res.* 2011; 45(2): 188–200. [PubMed: 20942564]
70. Flint DH, Tuminello JF, Emptage MH. The inactivation of Fe-S cluster containing hydro-lyases by superoxide. *J Biol Chem.* 1993; 268(30):22369–76. [PubMed: 8226748]
71. Gardner PR, Fridovich I. Superoxide sensitivity of the Escherichia coli 6-phosphogluconate dehydratase. *J Biol Chem.* 1991; 266(3):1478–83. [PubMed: 1846355]
72. Gardner PR, Fridovich I. Superoxide sensitivity of the Escherichia coli aconitase. *J Biol Chem.* 1991; 266(29):19328–33. [PubMed: 1655783]
73. Kuo CF, Mashino T, Fridovich I. α , β -Dihydroxyisovalerate dehydratase. A superoxide-sensitive enzyme. *J Biol Chem.* 1987; 262(10):4724–7. [PubMed: 3031031]
74. Liochev SI, Fridovich I. Fumarase C, the stable fumarase of Escherichia coli, is controlled by the soxRS regulon. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1992; 89(13):5892–6. [PubMed: 1631070]
75. Benov L, Fridovich I. Growth in iron-enriched medium partially compensates Escherichia coli for the lack of manganese and iron superoxide dismutase. *J Biol Chem.* 1998; 273(17):10313–6. [PubMed: 9553085]
76. Bagg A, Neilands JB. Ferric uptake regulation protein acts as a repressor, employing iron (II) as a cofactor to bind the operator of an iron transport operon in Escherichia coli. *Biochemistry.* 1987; 26(17):5471–7. [PubMed: 2823881]
77. Bagg A, Neilands JB. Molecular mechanism of regulation of siderophore-mediated iron assimilation. *Microbiol Rev.* 1987; 51(4):509–18. [PubMed: 2963952]
78. Braun V. Iron uptake mechanisms and their regulation in pathogenic bacteria. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology.* 2001; 291(2):67–79. [PubMed: 11437341]
79. Braun V. Iron uptake by Escherichia coli. *Front Biosci.* 2003; 8:s1409–21. [PubMed: 12957834]
80. Braun V, Killmann H. Bacterial solutions to the iron-supply problem. *Trends Biochem Sci.* 1999; 24(3):104–109. [PubMed: 10203757]
81. Hantke K. Negative control of iron uptake systems in Escherichia coli. *FEMS Microbiology Letters.* 1982; 15(2):83–86.
82. Kammler M, Schon C, Hantke K. Characterization of the ferrous iron uptake system of Escherichia coli. *J Bacteriol.* 1993; 175(19):6212–9. [PubMed: 8407793]
83. Touati D, Jacques M, Tardat B, Bouchard L, Despied S. Lethal oxidative damage and mutagenesis are generated by iron in Zfur mutants of Escherichia coli: Protective role of superoxide dismutase. *J Bacteriol.* 1995; 177(9):2305–2314. [PubMed: 7730258]
84. Anzaldi LL, Skaar EP. Overcoming the heme paradox: Heme toxicity and tolerance in bacterial pathogens. *Infect Immun.* 2010; 78(12):4977–4989. [PubMed: 20679437]
85. Tong Y, Guo M. Bacterial heme-transport proteins and their heme-coordination modes. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics.* 2009; 481(1):1–15. [PubMed: 18977196]
86. Radovits T, Beller CJ, Groves JT, Merkely B, Karck M, Szabo C, Szabo G. Effects of FP15, a peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst on cardiac and pulmonary function after cardiopulmonary bypass. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2012; 41(2):391–6. [PubMed: 21733708]
87. Radovits T, Seres L, Gero D, Lin LN, Beller CJ, Chen SH, Zotkina J, Berger I, Groves JT, Szabo C, Szabo G. The peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst FP15 improves ageing-associated cardiac and vascular dysfunction. *Mech Ageing Dev.* 2007; 128(2):173–81. [PubMed: 17116320]
88. Szabo G, Loganathan S, Merkely B, Groves JT, Karck M, Szabo C, Radovits T. Catalytic peroxynitrite decomposition improves reperfusion injury after heart transplantation. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2012

89. Ross AD, Sheng H, Warner DS, Piantadosi CA, Batinic-Haberle I, Day BJ, Crapo JD. Hemodynamic effects of metalloporphyrin catalytic antioxidants: structure-activity relationships and species specificity. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 2002; 33(12):1657–69. [PubMed: 12488134]
90. Soriano FG, Lorigados CB, Pacher P, Szabo C. Effects of a potent peroxynitrite decomposition catalyst in murine models of endotoxemia and sepsis. *Shock.* 2011; 35(6):560–6. [PubMed: 21263378]

Figure 1.

Structures of *ortho* and *meta* isomeric Fe(III) and Mn(III) *meso*-tetrakis *N*-alkylpyridylporphyrins. Axial ligands indicated on the schemes are not related to the complexes isolated in solid state, but to the species present at physiological pH in aqueous systems (see Protonation Equilibria Section).

Figure 2.

The synthesis of metalloporphyrins. In method **A**, the ligand was first alkylated and then metallated. The procedure was adapted from that previously described for the methyl analogue.⁸ In method **B** the ligand was first metallated and then alkylated (described in brief under Synthesis). After alkylation was completed the rest of the work-up procedure was analogous to that described previously.⁸

Figure 3.

The electrochemistry of Fe and Mn porphyrins as exemplified with ethyl analogues. The cyclic voltammetry of Fe porphyrins (0.2 mM) was performed at pH=2 and at pH=7.8 where different species exist, $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{FeTE-2(or 3)-PyP}^{5+}$ and $(\text{H}_2\text{O})(\text{OH})\text{FeTE-2(or 3)-PyP}^{4+}$, respectively. The increase of pH from 2 to 7.8, associated with the change in a type of species present, resulted in a shift of $E_{1/2}$ from +369 to +211 mV (left panel). Under both pH conditions the same diaqua Mn porphyrin $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ exists.⁸ The shift of $E_{1/2}$ from +369 with $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{FeTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ to +228 with $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ reflects much higher electron-deficiency of Fe than Mn site. Similar to Mn porphyrins, the $E_{1/2}$ of *ortho* Fe porphyrins is higher than that of *meta* isomers (right panel) due to stronger electron-withdrawing properties of the pyridyl nitrogens situated closer to the metal center. $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTE-2-PyP}^{5+}$ was herein used as a standard. No μ /oxo dimers were observed under given experimental conditions (0.2 mM concentration at pH=2 and 7.8). No axial ligands are specified in Figure titles, as FeP species studied bear different axial ligands.

Figure 4.

The relationships between each of $\log k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ (A and C) and $E_{1/2}$ (V vs NHE) (B and D) and the length of the alkyl chains (number of carbon atoms in chains, nC) for *ortho* and *meta* Mn- and Fe porphyrins at pH 7.8. Numerical values and the experimental conditions for $k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ and $E_{1/2}$ (V vs NHE) are given in the Table 5. The effects shown in these plots translate into the $E_{1/2}$ vs $\log k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ relationships shown in Figure 5. The experimental points are connected to better visualize the trends – no mathematical model was applied.

Figure 5.

Structure activity relationships between $\log k_{\text{cat}}(\text{O}_2^{\bullet-})$ and $E_{1/2}$ for $\text{M}^{\text{III}}/\text{M}^{\text{II}}$ ($\text{M} = \text{metal}$) describe the profound difference between Fe- and Mn porphyrins dominated by the differences in the thermodynamic properties, steric and electrostatic factors which all affect porphyrin solvation and approach of anionic superoxide to cationic porphyrin, and thus $\log k_{\text{cat}}$ for the catalysis of $\text{O}_2^{\bullet-}$ dismutation. Numbers in the plots corresponds to the number of carbon atoms present in each of *N*-alkyl chains. The experimental points are connected to better visualize the trends – no mathematical model was applied.

Figure 6.

Metalloporphyrin-catalyzed ascorbate (HAsc⁻) oxidation at pH 7.8, leading to the production of O₂^{•-} and H₂O₂ (process I) and subsequent metalloporphyrin oxidative degradation (process II). Peroxide can also be produced in oxidation of metalloporphyrin and ascorbyl radical (HAsc[•]) with O₂^{•-} (see ref³³, also equations 5 and 7), Asc[•] with O₂ (producing O₂^{•-} and self-dismutation of O₂^{•-}). Artak put HAsc⁻ and HAsc[•] into Figure to be like in other Figures

Figure 7.

The stability of Fe vs Mn porphyrins towards ascorbate-mediated oxidative degradation at pH 7.8 as shown in Figure 6.^{20, 33, 34, 68} The conditions were: 6 μ M metalloporphyrin, 0.42 mM sodium ascorbate at pH 7.8 maintained with 0.05 M Tris buffer.

Figure 8.

Lipophilicity of Fe- and Mn porphyrins as described by chromatographic retention factor, R_f . Data relate to pH 2 and thus to identical diaqua species of both metalloporphyrins (see Table 5). Shorter-chain $(H_2O)_2FePs$ are slightly more lipophilic than isomeric $(H_2O)_2MnPs$; as the chains lengthen, their influence on the lipophilicity prevails over charge-ligation at the metal site.

Figure 9.

Growth of SOD-deficient JI132 and wild type AB1157 *E. coli* in restricted, five amino-acid medium in the presence or absence of isomeric Fe and Mn porphyrins. In the concentration range of 0.01 to 1 μ M (1 μ M is shown only), (OH)(H₂O)FePs stimulated the growth of the SOD-deficient *E. coli*. MnP stimulates *E. coli* growth at concentrations at > 5 μ M (A).

Growth curves, demonstrating differences in growth pattern (B); In the presence of (H₂O)₂MnPs JI132 starts to grow simultaneously with the SOD-proficient strain. In contrast, (OH)(H₂O)FePs does not shorten the lag period but helps the growth of JI132 after it had already overcome the growth lag.

Figure 10.

Comparison of the effect of Fe^{2+} citrate and Mn^{2+} citrate on growth of two different SOD-deficient strains, JI132 and QC1799, in 5AA medium. The metal salts were used at concentrations of 1 and 20 μM . The same effect was observed with other Mn and Fe salts (sulfates and chlorides). The growth of SOD-proficient strains (AB1157 and GC4468) and their SOD-deficient analogs (JI132 and QC1799) in the absence of metal salts and metalloporphyrins is shown also.

Figure 11.

The disappearance of FeTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ from the cytosol of *E. coli* during the 12-hour growth in 5AA medium. Absorbances of Soret band were given and relate to the different initial concentrations of Fe porphyrin. For comparison the data on Mn porphyrin, MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ were given also.

Figure 12.

Comparison of the effects of Fe- and Mn porphyrins on mouse performance and weight. The behavior, weights and rotarod performances were followed daily. The compounds were tested at doses which cause no or marginal, transient toxicity: (OH)(H₂O)FeTE-2-PyP⁴⁺ (2×10 mg/kg/day), (H₂O)₂MnTE-2-PyP⁵⁺ (2×10 mg/kg/day), (OH)(H₂O)FeTnHex-2-PyP⁴⁺ (2×5 mg/kg/day) and (H₂O)₂MnTnHex-2-PyP⁵⁺ (2×1 mg/kg/day).

Figure 13.

The comparison of the mouse effects of Fe- and Mn porphyrins. At 5 mg/kg single ip injection all 4 tested mice died within 2 hours with $(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnTnHex-2-PyP}^{5+}$ while two daily ip injections of 5 mg/kg of $(\text{HO})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FeTnHex-2-PyP}^{4+}$ for a week was well tolerated. Changes in systolic blood pressure were monitored for an hour after intravenous injection of 10 mg/kg of either metalloporphyrin. No arterial hypotension was observed with either $(\text{HO})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FeTnHex-2-PyP}^{4+}$ or $(\text{HO})(\text{H}_2\text{O})\text{FeTE-2-PyP}^{4+}$ (data for ethyl (E) analog not shown).

Scheme I.

The differential aspects of the *in vivo* mechanisms of action of Fe and Mn porphyrins. The proposed mechanisms are based on the aerobic growth of SOD-deficient *E. coli*. Mn and Fe porphyrins are presumably uptaken by heme transport mechanism. Subsequently FePs undergo rapid degradation due to fast redox cycling with cellular reductants whereby “free” Fe^{2+} is released. Fe^{2+} is readily chelated to iron-transporting/sequestering siderophores (e.g. [Fch] - ferrochelataase; [Dps] - Fe-storage protein; indicated as green circles). At very low levels, Fe^{2+} could reconstitute [4Fe-4S] clusters of enzymes, such as aconitases. These enzymes undergo superoxide-driven oxidative degradation and subsequent, yet reversible release of Fe^{2+} . At high concentration of Fe^{2+} , the deleterious effects of Fenton chemistry $\cdot\text{OH}$ radical production prevail. The MnPs, however, due to their different chemistry when compared to FePs are more resistant towards oxidative degradation and protect *E. coli* by scavenging superoxide whereby preventing its damaging effect upon different Fe/S clusters-containing enzymes.

Table 1The spectral properties of H₂TnOct-3-PyPCL₄ and metal complexes.

Fe porphyrin	$\lambda_{\max}$ nm (log ϵ) ^a
FeTM-2-PyPCL ₅	^b 395.0 (5.11), 500.0 (4.15), 610.0 (3.91)
FeTM-3-PyPCL ₅	^b 397.8 (5.01)
FeTE-2-PyPCL ₅	^b 396.8 (5.16)
FeTE-3-PyPCL ₅	262.2 (4.47), 333.3 (4.52), 396.4 (5.11), 509.2 (4.05)
FeTnBu-2-PyPCL ₅	263.0 (4.44), 395.6 (5.03), 500.7 (4.03), 616.0 (3.90)
FeTnBu-3-PyPCL ₅	262.5 (4.48), 333.5 (4.53), 396.8 (5.10), 506.6 (4.07)
FeTnHex-2-PyPCL ₅	262.6 (4.47), 395.7 (5.06), 501 (4.08), 615.1 (3.95)
FeTnHex-3-PyPCL ₅	263.3 (4.47), 334.0 (4.51), 397.4 (5.06), 506.8 (4.05)
FeTnOct-2-PyPCL ₅	263.1 (4.49), 415.0 (5.03), 500.2 (4.11), 616.1 (3.95)
FeTnOct-3-PyPCL ₅	263.0 (4.47), 333.7 (4.47), 397.5 (5.08), 507.7 (4.06), 577.6 (3.88)
H ₂ TnOct-3-PyPCL ₄	^c 263.1 (4.41), 417.8 (5.55), 514.2 (4.29), 581.6 (3.85), 636.1 (3.01)
MnTnOct-3-PyPCL ₅	^c 215.2 (4.77), 261.6 (4.58), 373.9 (4.72), 395.0 (4.69), 460.0 (5.18), 501.6 (3.88), 557.8 (4.16), 675.1 (3.37), 765.6 (3.40)

^aSpectra were measured in 0.01M HCl at room temperature, unless noted otherwise. Thus all metalloporphyrins have H₂O as axial ligands. Molar absorption coefficients (M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) were determined within 5 % errors considering the formulation given by elemental analysis. $\lambda_{\max}$ (nm) were determined with errors inside ± 0.5 nm.

^bData are taken from ref ⁶.

^cSpectra were taken in water at room temperature

Table 2

Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) data for Fe porphyrins. In the presence of heptafluorobutyric acid in the solvent mixture no monohydroxo (OH)(H₂O)FePs species was detected.

Species ^a	m/z [found (calculated)]						
	FeTE-3-PyP ⁵⁺	FeTnBu-2-PyP ⁵⁺	FeTnBu-3-PyP ⁵⁺	FeTnHex-2-PyP ⁵⁺	FeTnHex-3-PyP ⁵⁺	FeTnOct-2-PyP ⁵⁺	FeTnOct-3-PyP ⁵⁺
[FeP ⁵⁺ + HFBA ⁻] ⁴⁺ /4	250.4 (250.3)	278.5 (278.4)	278.4 (278.4)	306.5 (306.4)	306.6 (306.4)	334.7 (334.4)	334.5 (334.4)
[FeP ⁵⁺ + 2HFBA ⁻] ³⁺ /3	404.8 (404.8)	442.3 (442.1)	442.1 (442.1)	497.5 (497.5)	479.5 (479.5)	517 (516.9)	516.8 (516.9)
[FeP ⁵⁺ + 3HFBA ⁻] ²⁺ /2	713.5 (713.6)	769.5 (769.7)	769.5 (769.7)	825.6 (825.7)	825.5 (825.7)	881.5 (881.8)	881.5 (881.8)

^a ~1 μM solution of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins in 1 : 1 v/v acetonitrile : H₂O (containing 0.01% v/v heptafluorobutyric acid (HFBA)) mixture, 20 V cone voltage.

Table 3Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) data for H₂TnOct-3-PyP⁴⁺ and MnTnOct-3-PyP⁵⁺.^a

Species ^a	m/z [found (calculated)]	
	H ₂ TnOct-3-PyP ⁴⁺	MnTnOct-3-PyP ⁵⁺
[P ⁿ⁺ + HFBA ⁻] ^{(n-1)+/(n-1)}	428.1 (427.9)	334.3 (334.2)
[P ⁿ⁺ + 2HFBA ⁻] ^{(n-2)+/(n-2)}	748.3 (748.4)	516.7 (516.5)
[P ⁿ⁺ + 3HFBA ⁻] ^{(n-3)+/(n-3)}	-	881.0 (881.3)
P ⁿ⁺ /4	267.9 (267.7)	-
[P ⁴⁺ - H ⁺] ^{3+/3}	356.6 (356.6)	-

^a ~1 μM solution of porphyrins and metalloporphyrins in 1 : 1 v/v acetonitrile : H₂O (containing 0.01% v/v heptafluorobutyric acid (HFBA)) mixture, 20 V cone voltage.

Table 4

The reduction potentials $E_{1/2}$ for M^{III}/M^{II} redox couple ($M = Fe, Mn$), deprotonation constants, pK_{a1} and pK_{a2} for the axial waters of $(H_2O)_2FePs$, and SOD activity, given as $\log k_{cat}(O_2^{\bullet-})$.

compound		$(H_2O)_2FeP^{5+}$		$(OH)(H_2O)FeP^{4+}$		$(H_2O)_2MnP^{5+}$	
		$E_{1/2}$ (pH=2) ^a	pK_{a1} ^b	$E_{1/2}$ (pH=7.8) ^a	$\log k_{cat}(O_2^{\bullet-})$	$E_{1/2}$ (pH=7.8) ^{a,c}	$\log k_{cat}(O_2^{\bullet-})$ ^c
<i>ortho</i> isomers	Methyl	0.381	5.02	0.217	7.89	0.220	7.79
	Ethyl	0.369	5.12	0.211	8.05	0.228	7.76
	n-Butyl	0.348	5.95	0.239	7.82	0.254	7.25
	n-Hexyl	0.370	5.75	0.249	7.53	0.314	7.48
	n-Octyl	0.406	5.34	0.261	7.09	0.367	7.71
<i>meta</i> isomers	Methyl	0.232	5.66	0.106	6.99	0.052	6.61
	Ethyl	0.229	5.68	0.104	6.98	0.054	6.65
	n-Butyl	0.232	5.63	0.104	6.99	0.064	6.69
	n-Hexyl	0.230	5.77	0.110	6.86	0.066	6.64
	n-Octyl	0.236	5.95	0.127	6.93	0.074	6.53

^aRedox potentials are given in volts vs NHE

^bDeprotonation constants are estimated according to equation [1].

^cRedox potentials and rate constants (in $M^{-1}s^{-1}$) are from refs ^{6, 11} except for $(H_2O)_2MnTnOct-3-PyP^{5+}$, this work.

Table 5

The lipophilicity of Mn- and Fe porphyrin-based SOD mimics expressed in terms of R_f values taken in acetonitrile: $\text{KNO}_3(\text{sat})$:water = 8:1:1 solvent system at pH 2. The R_f values relate to the diaqua Fe and diaqua Mn porphyrin species.

Metalloporphyrin		R_f	
		$(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{FeP}^{5+}$	$(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2\text{MnP}^{5+}$
<i>ortho</i> isomers	Methyl	0.131	0.125
	Ethyl	0.186	0.156
	n-Butyl	0.443	0.394
	n-Hexyl	0.597	0.600
	n-Octyl	0.640	0.644
	Methyl	0.160	0.125
<i>meta</i> isomers	Ethyl	0.246	0.188
	n-Butyl	0.554	0.538
	n-Hexyl	0.646	0.631
	n-Octyl	0.674	0.656