Published in final edited form as:

J Biomed Opt. 2008 ; 13(3): 031206. doi:10.1117/1.2943286.

Rab4 and Rab11 coordinately regulate the recycling of angiotensin II type I receptor as demonstrated by fluorescence resonance energy transfer microscopy

Hewang Li,

Georgetown University Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics, Washington, DC 20057

Hui-Fang Li,

Georgetown University Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics, Washington, DC 20057

Robin A. Felder,

University of Virginia Health Sciences Center, Department of Pathology, Charlottesville, Virginia 22908

Ammasi Periasamy, and

University of Virginia, Department of Biology and Biomedical Engineering, Keck Center for Cellular Imaging (KCCI), Charlottesville, Virginia 22904

Pedro A. Jose

Georgetown University Medical Center, Department of Pediatrics, Washington, DC 20057

Abstract

The recycling of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCR) to the cell surface after internalization plays an important role in the regulation of overall GPCR activity. The angiotensin II type I receptor (AT₁R) belongs to class B GPCRs that recycle slowly back to the cell surface. Previous studies have proposed that Rab11 controls the recycling of AT₁R; however, recent reports show that Rab4, a rapid recycling regulator, co-localizes also with internalized AT₁R. Different from the sub-cellular co-localization provided by fluorescence microscopy, fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy provided the spatial relationship of AT₁R with Rab4 and Rab11 in the nanometer-range proximity during the entire course of AT₁R recycling. During the early recycling stage, internalized AT₁Rs were mainly associated with Rab4 in the cytoplasm. During the mid-recycling stage, AT₁Rs were associated with both Rab4 and Rab11 in the perinuclear compartments. However, during the late-recycling stage, AT₁Rs were mainly associated with Rab11, both in the perinuclear compartments and the plasma membrane. Co-immunoprecipitation data confirmed these dynamic associations, which were disrupted by silencing of either the Rab4 or Rab11 gene. Based on these observations, we propose a Rab4 and Rab11 coordinated model for AT₁R recycling.

Keywords

angiotensin II type I receptors; recycling; fluorescence resonance energy transfer; Rab4; Rab11; G-protein-coupled receptors

1 Introduction

The binding of angiotensin II (Ang II) to the Ang II type I receptor (AT₁R), a member of the superfamily of G-protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs), activates G_{q/11} and initiates a series of intracellular processing,^{1–3} including rapid phosphorylation, desensitization, and endocytosis of the AT₁R. The internalized AT₁R, via clathrin-coated vesicles (CCV), binds to β -arrestins and dynamin.^{4,5} CCVs fuse with sorting endosomes shortly after internalization with participation of a number of Rab GTPases.

The Rab family proteins are a 23–25kDa ras superfamily of GTPases that contain two C-terminal geranylgeranyl (20 carbons) groups serving to bind proteins tightly to membranes.⁶ To date, over 60 mammalian Rab proteins have been identified; each Rab protein appears to associate with a particular membrane compartment(s) and regulate intracellular protein trafficking, such as endocytosis, endosome fusion, exocytosis, and recycling of GPCRs.^{7,8}

It is believed that two distinct intracellular systems regulate the recycling of internalized GPCRs: one is the Rab4-mediated rapid recycling pathway, and the other is the Rab11-mediated slow endosome pathway.^{5–9} Rab4 is mainly localized in early endosomes and is thought to play an important role in the efflux of cargo proteins out of early endosomes and the rapid recycling of cargo proteins directly to the plasma membrane from the early endosome.^{10,11} Rab11 is mainly localized in perinuclear recycling endosomes and the trans-Golgi network and regulates the slow recycling from perinuclear endosomes and the trans-Golgi network to the plasma membrane.^{12,13}

Internalized GPCRs recycle back to the cell surface through different pathways.^{5,8} Based on their affinity to and association with β -arrestins, GPCRs are divided into two classes⁴: class A receptors, which bind weakly with β -arrestins and recycle rapidly back to the plasma membrane, and class B receptors, which bind tightly with β -arrestins and recycle slowly back to the plasma membrane. The rapid recycling of β_2 -adrenogenic receptor (β_2 AR), a typical class A GPCR, appears to be regulated by Rab4, directly from early endosomes,¹⁴ and may¹⁵ or may not¹⁶ be regulated by Rab11. AT₁R, a typical class B GPCR, is suggested to be regulated by Rab11 for its recycling.^{16,17} Overexpression of wild-type Rab11 and constitutively active Rab11 (Q70L) significantly increases AT_{1A}R recycling to the plasma membrane.¹⁶ Several studies have indicated that Rab11 also regulates the recycling of other class B GPCRs, such as vasopressin V2 receptor,¹⁸ CXC chemokine receptor 2,¹⁹ β -isoform of the thromboxane A2 receptor,²⁰ and M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor.²¹ Recently, Rab4 has also been suggested to participate in AT₁R recycling.²² Thus, the roles of Rab4, Rab11, or both in the recycling of the AT₁R are not well understood.

In the current study, fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) microscopy²³ was employed to detect the dynamic relationship between AT₁R and endogenous Rab4 or Rab11 during the 3 h of the entire recycling course after the internalization of AT₁R. FRET is a powerful tool to detect protein-protein interaction of less than 10-nm distance,²⁴ and it can overcome the limitation (resolution is hundreds of nm) of the methods used in most of previous studies on the morphological co-localization of Rab4 and Rab11 with the cargo GPCR. Moreover, some of the Rab-dominant negative mutants used in several studies are not GTPase-defective, as originally presumed.²⁵ Here, we provide additional insights into the relationship between Rab4/Rab11 and AT₁R recycling. We observed that both Rab4 and Rab11 are associated with the AT₁R recycling, and the association varies depending upon the recycling stage, as observed by FRET microscopy and co-immunoprecipitation. Moreover, gene knockdown of either Rab4 or Rab11 by specific siRNAs disrupts their dynamic association and AT₁R recycling. Based on these studies, we propose a model of a Rab4 and Rab11 coordinated regulation of AT₁R recycling.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Antibodies and Reagents

Monoclonal mouse Rab4 and Rab11 antibodies were purchased from BD Transduction Laboratories (Lexington, Kentucky). Polyclonal rabbit anti-GFP, polyclonal rabbit anti-Rab4, and polyclonal goat anti-Rab11 and normal mouse, rabbit, and goat antibodies were obtained from Santa Cruz Biotechnology (Santa Cruz, California). Alexa-546, Alexa-555, and Alexa-633 protein labeling kits were obtained from Molecular Probes (Eugene, Oregon). Cycloheximide and other obtained reagents were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO).

2.2 Cell Culture and Transfection

Human embryonic kidney (HEK) 293 cells from ATCC (Manassas, Virginia) were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) (Invitrogen) containing 4.5 g/L glucose, 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mM *L*-glutamine, and 1 mM sodium pyruvate. Human AT₁R-EGFP (enhanced green fluorescence protein) or its empty vector pEGFP-N1²⁶ was transfected into HEK 293 cells using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagents (Invitrogen), as described previously,²⁷ according to the manufacturer's instructions. Transfectants were selected with G418. Stable transfectants were tested and confirmed by immunoblotting with anti-GFP and anti-AT₁R antibodies, flow cytometry, and radioligand binding assay (data not shown). The EGFP tag did not interrupt the function of AT₁R, determined by a dose-dependent Ang II-induced phosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK1/2) (data not shown). The stably transfected cells expressing human AT₁R-EGFP were designated in this study as AT₁R HEK 293 cells.

2.3 siRNA and Transfection

Specific Rab4 (AATGCAGGAAGTGGCAAATCT), Rab11 (AAGAGTAATCTCCTGTCTCGA), and negative control (AATTCTCCGAACGTGTCACGT) siRNA duplexes were purchased from Qiagen (Valencia, California). AT₁R HEK 293 cells were transfected with these siRNA using Lipofectamine 2000 transfection reagents (Invitrogen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. After siRNA treatment for 36 h, cells were split into three portions. A small portion of cells was used to check Rab4 and Rab11 levels by immunoblotting with anti-Rab4 and Rab11 antibodies [Fig. 1(b)]. The largest portion of cells was used for immunoprecipitation experiments [Fig. 1(c)]. The third portion of the cells was dispersed in DMEM containing 10% FBS and placed (5×10^4 cells per well) on six-well plates with collagen I-coated coverslips; the attached cells were gently washed and fixed with 4% formaldehyde in PBS for observation of AT₁R-EGFP fluorescence at the indicated recycling times after the cells have been treated with Ang II for 15 min (Fig. 2).

2.4 Immunoprecipitation and Immunoblotting

Vehicle- or siRNA-transfected AT₁R HEK 293 cells were homogenized by freeze-thaw (5×) in a lysis buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0/1 mM EDTA/1 mM NaN₃/2 mM DTT/0.25 M sucrose), with 0.5 mM 4-(2-aminoethyl)benzenesulfonyl fluoride (AEBSF), 0.5 mM benzamidine hydrochloride, and protease inhibitors (soybean and lima bean trypsin inhibitors, leupeptin, and aprotinin, each 1 $\mu\text{g/ml}$). The homogenates (1 mg) were incubated (rocking, 4°C, 4 h) with 5 μg of anti-GFP rabbit IgG, 4 μg of anti-Rab4A rabbit IgG, or 6 μg of anti-Rab11 goat IgG in 0.5 ml of the lysis buffer with 20 μM MgCl₂, 0.1% ovalbumin, 0.5 mM AEBSF, 0.5% 3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate. Controls were normal rabbit, mouse, or goat IgG (data not shown). After adding 60 μl of a 50% slurry of protein G-Sepharose CL-4B (Amersham Pharmacia,

Uppsala, Sweden) in PBS and incubation at 4°C overnight, the beads were washed three times with 1 ml of ice-cold PBS, containing 0.5 mM AEBSF. Proteins bound to beads were eluted in 80 μ l of loading buffer at 65°C for 10 min, separated by SDS/PAGE (sodium dodecyl sulfate/polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) in 4 to 12% gradient gels, and transferred onto nitrocellulose membrane for incubation with mAb against Rab4 or Rab11 and rabbit anti-GFP, followed by appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies and detection using Super Signal Chemiluminescent substrate (Pierce, Rockford, IL).

2.5 Confocal Immunofluorescence Microscopy

AT₁R HEK 293 cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS for 20 min at room temperature. After washing with PBS, the fixed cells on coverslips were incubated overnight at 4°C with Alexa Fluor 633-conjugated monoclonal mouse Rab4 antibody (2 μ g/ml; Alexa Fluor 633 protein labeling kit, Molecular Probe, Eugene, Oregon) or Alexa Fluor 546-conjugated polyclonal goat Rab11 antibody (5 μ g/ml; Alexa Fluor 546 protein labeling kit, Molecular Probe). The cover-slips were mounted in SlowFade mounting medium (Molecular Probe) and sealed onto glass slides. Samples were imaged using an Olympus Fluoview FV300 laser scanning confocal microscope equipped with a 60 $\times$ /1.4 NA objective.

2.6 Receptor Recycling Assays

Vehicle- or siRNA-transfected AT₁R HEK 293 cells were treated with vehicle or 100 nM Ang II for 15 min at 37°C and then placed on ice. After washing three times with ice-cold, serum-free DMEM medium, the cells were recultured in complete culture medium containing 20 μ g/ml cycloheximide and reincubated at 37°C (with 5% CO₂) for the indicated time from 0 to 180 min. The cells were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for fluorescence microscopy. The density of AT₁R at the cell surface was determined by quantifying cell surface fluorescence using MetaMorph 7.0 (Molecular Devices, Downingtown, Pennsylvania).²⁷ After identifying the plasma membrane, regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn manually in 300 $\times$ zoomed-in images. The background was subtracted from each image, and then the images were thresholded to identify specific EGFP fluorescence for AT₁R at the plasma membrane. Receptor recycling was defined as the recovery of cell-surface receptors following the removal of Ang II, compared with the cell-surface expression of receptors in cells that were not exposed to Ang II (vehicle-treated cells).

2.7 FRET Microscopy and Data Processing

The fluorophore pairs used for FRET imaging in this study were AT₁R-EGFP (as donor dipole) and Alexa Fluor 555 (as acceptor dipole) conjugated with Rab4 or Rab11 antibodies (Alexa Fluor 555 protein labeling kit, Molecular Probe). Seven images were acquired for each FRET analysis, as described,²³ with an Olympus Fluoview FV300 laser scanning confocal microscope equipped with a 60 $\times$ /1.4 NA objective, Argon (488 nm) and HeNe (543 nm) laser, and emission filters 515/50 nm and 590-nm long pass (LP) filter. Either single-labeled donor or acceptor or double-labeled samples were acquired under the same conditions throughout the image collection. The uncorrected FRET images (uFRET) were acquired by donor excitation in the acceptor channel, which contained pure FRET (pFRET) and contaminations from both donor and acceptor spectral bleed-through (SBT). pFRET images were generated by employing a described algorithm²³ for pixel-by-pixel removal of donor and acceptor SBT on the basis of matched fluorescence levels between the double-labeled specimen and the single-labeled reference specimens.

ROIs were selected in the uFRET images.²³ In this study, we used image (e) (donor excitation in the donor channel of the double-labeled specimen) as the reference image for

selection of ROIs to determine the plasma membrane, cytoplasm, and perinuclear compartments. Image g was acquired at acceptor excitation in the acceptor channel of the double-labeled specimen.

The percentage of energy transfer efficiency ($E\%$) images was processed on a pixel-by-pixel basis by using the following equation:

$$E\% = 1 - \{I_{da} / (I_{da} + pFRET \times (P_d/P_a) \times (S_d/S_a) \times (Q_d/Q_a))\},$$

where P_d and P_a are the photo multiplier tube (PMT) gains of donor and acceptor channels; S_d and S_a are the spectral sensitivity of donor and acceptor channels provided by the manufacturer; Q_d and Q_a are the donor and acceptor quantum yield, measured by spectrofluorometer, as described²⁸; I_{da} is the image of donor excitation in the donor channel of the double-label samples after removing the background; and $pFRET$ is the “processed FRET” or “pure FRET.” The calculation of distance of donor and acceptor (r) was based on the equation as described in Ref. 23: $r = R_0[(1/E) - 1]^{1/6}$; Förster’s distance R_0 in this study was 67.5 Å.

2.8 Statistical Analysis

Results are expressed as mean (M) $\pm$ standard error (SE), as indicated. Significant differences among groups were determined by factorial ANOVA and the Student-Newman-Keuls test. $P < 0.05$ was considered statistically significant (SigmaStat 3.0, SPSS, Inc., Chicago).

3 Results

3.1 Subcellular Location of Human AT₁R, Rab4, and Rab11

In HEK 293 cells under basal conditions, human AT₁R tagged with EGFP was observed primarily at the plasma membrane [Fig. 3(a)] but also in the intracellular membrane, perinuclear compartments, and cytoplasm [Fig. 3(a)], consistent with previous observations.^{22,27}

Endogenous Rab4 staining was observed in typical vesicles scattered throughout the cytoplasm, and very occasionally concentrated in the perinuclear compartments [Fig. 3(b)]. Endogenous Rab11 was scattered throughout the cytoplasm as well, but most often, Rab11 was observed to concentrate in the perinuclear areas [Fig. 3(c)]. Overall, Rab4 was more dispersed than Rab11 throughout the cytoplasm, but neither Rab4 nor Rab11 was observed at the plasma membrane. These observations are in agreement with studies using GFP-tagged Rab4 and Rab11.^{6,22}

Minimal, if any, co-localization was observed between AT₁R and Rab4 [Fig. 3(d)] or Rab11 [Fig. 3(e)]. Few co-localizations were observed among AT₁R, Rab4, and Rab11 [Fig. 3(f)].

3.2 Recycling Course of AT₁R

In this report, the cell surface AT₁R at the basal state was set at 100%. As shown in Fig. 4, the relative surface intensity of AT₁R is $31.8 \pm 5.3\%$ ($n=33$ cells) when cells were treated with 100 nM Ang II for 15 min, a time considered as the start of recycling (time 0 min); subsequent recycling of AT₁R to the plasma membrane was quantified at the indicated time points after removing of Ang II. The membrane surface AT₁R fluorescence intensity was directly related to recovery time (t): $0.895 - 0.58e^{-t/23.8}$, which followed a first-order exponential curve [Fig. 4(a)]. After ~45 min, the cell surface AT₁R reached slightly over 75% of its basal level, and close to 90% of its basal level at about 2 h, similar to that

reported²² for the rat AT_{1A}R, but much slower than that^{14, 17} for class A GPCRs, e.g., β_2 AR. However, only ~90% AT₁R was expressed at the plasma membrane at steady state (Fig. 4), probably due to the lack of newly synthesized AT₁R for replacement, implying that ~10% of AT₁Rs were processed for degradation.

For a first-order exponential fit of the data, the following values are obtained: R^2 is 0.9931, with two degrees of freedom, and an absolute of square of 0.00168; the values for a second-order exponential fit [Fig. 4(b)] are: R^2 is 0.5833, with one degree of freedom, and an absolute of square is 0.1014. The second-order polynomial curve is a poor fit [Fig. 4(c)]. These analyses show that AT₁R recovery rate best fits a first-order exponential equation, consistent with a single recycling pathway for human AT₁R.

In the following studies, for convenience, we divided the entire recycling course into early (from time 0 to 15 min), middle (from 15 min to 45 min), and late (over 45 min) recycling stages.

3.3 Role of Rab4 and Rab11 in the Early Stage of AT₁R Recycling

FRET was not observed between AT₁R and Rab4 or Rab11 during the basal state (data not shown), which is consistent with the absence of co-localization of AT₁R with either Rab4 or Rab11 in the basal state (Fig. 3).

At the start of recycling (time 0), obvious FRET was observed in the cytoplasm between Rab4 and AT₁R [Fig. 5(a)], the efficiency of energy transfer ($E\%$) was $28.3 \pm 9.1\%$ ($n=34$ cells), the estimated distance was 78.8 Å (Table 1), but no FRET was observed between Rab11 and AT₁R [Fig. 5(b), Table 1]. The association of AT₁R and Rab4, but not AT₁R and Rab11 was also observed by immunoprecipitation [Fig. 1 (a), lane 2].

At time 15 min, FRET was obvious between Rab4 and AT₁R in cytoplasm and perinuclear compartments, especially in vesicles in the cytoplasm [Fig. 6(a)], the $E\%$ was $23.4 \pm 6.7\%$ ($n=35$ cells) and $16.3 \pm 5.3\%$ ($n=35$ cells), respectively (Table 1). FRET was also observed between Rab11 and AT₁R [Fig. 6(b)] in perinuclear compartments ($14.9 \pm 7.8\%$, $n=29$ cells) and cytoplasm ($11.6 \pm 3.3\%$, $n=29$ cells). No FRET was observed at the plasma membrane between AT₁R with either Rab4 or Rab11 (Fig. 6). The association of Rab4 and Rab11 with AT₁R was also observed by immunoprecipitation [Fig. 1(a), lane 3]. These observations indicated that Rab4 played a major role(s) during the early recycling period; Rab11 could also play some role in this stage.

3.4 Role of Rab4 and Rab11 in the Middle Stage of AT₁R Recycling

At time 45 min, FRET between Rab4 and AT₁R in the cytoplasm minimally decreased ($21.0 \pm 2.1\%$, $n=46$ cells), but increased in perinuclear compartments ($34.5 \pm 4.1\%$, $n=46$ cells) [Fig. 7(a); Table 1], compared to the early recycling stage. In contrast, FRET between Rab11 and AT₁R increased in both the cytoplasm ($17.84 \pm 2.2\%$, $n=36$ cells) and perinuclear compartments ($37.4 \pm 6.5\%$, $n=36$ cells) [Fig. 7(b)] compared to its early stage ($p < 0.05$). At 45 min, no FRET was observed between AT₁R and Rab4 or Rab11 at the plasma membrane [Fig. 7; Table 1]. Of note, the calculated $E\%$ of Rab4 and AT₁R ($34.5 \pm 4.1\%$, $n=46$ cells) was similar to that of Rab11 and AT₁R ($37.4 \pm 6.5\%$, $n=36$ cells) ($p > 0.05$); both Rab4 and Rab11 were co-immunoprecipitated by the GFP antibody (for AT₁R) [Fig. 1(a), lane 4] and vice versa (data not shown). Furthermore, gene knockdown of Rab4 by specific Rab4 siRNA disrupted the association of AT₁R with Rab11 [Fig. 1(c)]; Rab11 gene knockdown also disrupted the association of Rab4 with AT₁R [Fig. 1(d)]. All of these data indicated that Rab4 and Rab11 were in the same recycling endosomes for AT₁R trafficking at this stage. Therefore, both Rab4 and Rab11 play important roles in AT₁R trafficking during this period.

3.5 Role of Rab4 and Rab11 in the Late Stage of AT₁R Recycling

At time 2 h, no FRET was observed between Rab4 and AT₁R in the cytoplasm, perinuclear compartments, and the plasma membrane [Fig. 8(a)], but obvious FRET was still observed between Rab11 and AT₁R in the perinuclear compartments [Fig. 8(b)]. The remarkable observation in this late period is that FRET occurred at the plasma membrane between Rab11 and AT₁R ($14.6 \pm 3.3\%$, $n=26$ cells) [Fig. 8(b); Table 1], indicating the importance of Rab11 in the late stage of AT₁R recycling.

At time 3 h, no FRET was observed between Rab4 or Rab11 and AT₁R at the plasma membrane, cytoplasm, or perinuclear compartments (Fig. 9; Table 1), similar to that observed at the basal state (data not shown).

3.6 Interruption of AT₁R Recycling by Rab4, Rab11 Gene Silencing

The AT₁R recovery rate was markedly decreased in either Rab4 or Rab11 siRNA- treated cells (Fig. 2), which confirmed the conclusion obtained from the FRET studies that both Rab4 and Rab11 regulate the recycling of AT₁R.

3.7 Relationship of Rab4 and Rab11 During the Entire AT₁R Recycling Course

In the basal state [Fig. 10(a)] and the start of recycling, time 0 [Fig. 10(b)] no co-localization was observed between Rab4 and Rab11. At 15 min [Fig. 10(c)] and 45 min [Fig. 10(d)], obvious co-localization of Rab4 and Rab11 was observed, and the greatest co-localization occurred at time 45 min, predominantly at perinuclear compartments. At the late stage of the recycling period, co-localization was no longer observed [Figs. 10(e) and 10(f)].

4 Discussion

The quantity of cell surface receptors is important for the cell to maintain its normal functions and responses to environmental changes.¹ Receptor expression on the cell surface is maintained by a dynamic balance among internalization, endocytosis, degradation, exocytosis, and recycling. The receptor recycling was initially thought to be a bulk flow process.²⁹ However, subsequent studies have shown that it is a regulated process. For example, the PDZ (PSD-95, Dlg, and ZO-1) motif³⁰ of β_2 AR and the MRS (MOR-derived endocytic recycling sequence) sequence of μ opioid receptors³¹ are important for their rapid recycling, respectively. In recent years, it has become widely appreciated that Rab4 and Rab11 are responsible for the regulation of receptor recycling.^{5–11,32–36} Transferrin receptor (Tfn) recycles efficiently to the cell surface via two distinct pathways: the rapid pathway is mediated by Rab4, while the slow pathway is initiated by tubular extension from sorting endosomes through Rab11-positive perinuclear endosomes.^{5,35,36} It is also accepted that the Rab4-mediated rapid pathway is utilized for class A GPCR recycling, such as β_2 AR, similar to the rapid pathway of Tfn. The class B GPCRs, including V2 vasopressin receptor,¹⁸ m4AChR,²¹ and somatostatin receptor,³⁷ recycle through the Rab11-mediated slow pathway, similar to the slow recycling of Tfn. AT₁R, which belongs to class B GPCR, recycles slowly back to the cell surface after internalization.^{5,22}

The molecular mechanism for AT₁R recycling has been controversial. ARAP1, or type 1 angiotensin II receptor-associated protein 1, has been shown to facilitate the AT₁R recycling to the cell surface,³⁸ but this mechanism is not yet fully accepted. Ferguson and co-workers^{8,16} proposed a Rab11-mediated AT₁R slow recycling model after studying the role of wild-type Rab11 and constitutively active Rab11 (Q70L) in the recycling of the rat AT₁R. Hunyady et al.²² have observed that Rab4 also co-localizes with AT₁R and proposed two distinct rat AT₁R recycling models, based on differential sensitivity to wortmannin, a PI3 kinase inhibitor. In the current study, both co-immunoprecipitation of AT₁R with Rab4 [Fig.

1(a)] and FRET was observed between Rab4 and AT₁R during the early (Figs. 5 and 6) and middle (Fig. 7) periods of AT₁R recycling, consistent with observations²² that Rab4 also plays a role in the recycling of AT₁R. However, there may not be two separate Rab pathways for human AT₁R recycling: (1) during the entire AT₁R recycling period, no FRET between Rab4 and AT₁R was observed at the plasma membrane or subplasma membrane. Instead, FRET between AT₁R and Rab11 was observed at the plasma membrane or subplasma membrane at the late recycling stage [Fig. 8(b)]; (2) in the current study, the AT₁R recovery rate fits a first-order [Fig. 4(a)] but not second-order exponential equation [Figs. 4(b) and 4(c)], consistent with one sequential recycling pathway.

FRET is revolutionizing studies in life sciences and is widely applied in biology, biochemistry, immunology, cell and molecular biology, and clinical medicine to study protein-protein interaction, cellular signaling, conformational structure, ligand-receptor interaction, and diagnosis of certain diseases.²⁴ It is worthwhile to mention the advantage of pixel-by-pixel analysis in the current protocol, which enables the quantitative detection of FRET occurrence and removal of spectral bleedthrough from both donor and acceptor.²³ Pixel-by-pixel analysis also enables the detection of the spatial and temporal protein-protein interaction inside cells. Even though FRET can be measured at 10 to 100 Å distance of molecular proximity using the current protocol, the accurate location of a particular compartment is still challenging.

In the current study, FRET was observed and analyzed spatially into three compartments: the plasma membrane, cytoplasm, and perinuclear compartments. At the early stage of recycling, internalized human AT₁R were mainly in Rab4-positive compartments in the cytoplasm [Fig. 5(a)]. No FRET was observed between Rab4 and human AT₁R at the plasma membrane [Fig. 6(a)], indicating no rapid AT₁R recycling occurred with Rab4. At the middle stage, FRET of AT₁R with Rab4 and Rab11 was observed (Fig. 7), and the association of AT₁R with both Rab4 and Rab11 was supported by the co-IP data [Fig. 1(a)]. The association was disrupted by either Rab4 or Rab11 gene knockdown [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)], indicating that AT₁R was localized mainly in compartments containing both Rab4 and Rab11 at this stage. However, at the late stage, AT₁R was localized mainly in Rab11-positive endosomes, both in the perinuclear compartments and at the plasma or subplasma membrane areas (Fig. 8). Rab4 or Rab11 gene knockdown decreased the AT₁R recovery rate (Fig. 2). Thus, our data indicate that both Rab4 and Rab11 coordinately regulate the entire recycling of AT₁R.

Based on the current and previous reports, a single recycling pathway for human AT₁R recycling coordinated by both Rab4 and Rab11 is proposed (Fig. 11). Internalized AT₁R rapidly appears in the CCVs. CCVs then fuse with Rab5/ Rab4-positive early endosomes. The exact role(s) of Rab4 is not clear in the early endosomes, but it may enable the cargoed AT₁R vesicles to fuse with Rab11-positive perinuclear compartments. After the completion of fusion, Rab11 and Rab4 form common recycling endosomes mainly at the perinuclear compartments. Subsequently, the AT₁R destined for recycling buds off, via Rab11, and is recycled back to the plasma membrane by fusing Rab11-positive endosomes with plasma membrane.

Both Rab4 and Rab11 are important in the regulation of human AT₁R recycling. Like other small GTPases, Rab4 and Rab11 have active GTP-bound and inactive GDP-bound forms, which are regulated by their GTPase-activating proteins, guanine nucleotide dissociation inhibitor, and guanine nucleotide exchange proteins.^{39,40} The identification of the specific regulators could help us to better understand the regulation of AT₁R recycling.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Tamas Balla (Endocrinology and Reproduction Research Branch, NICHD, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland 20892) for critical reading of the manuscript, Drs. P. M. Lanctôt and G. Guillemette (Université de Sherbrooke, Canada) for the AT₁R-GFP construct and its empty vector pEGFP-N1, Dr. Susette Mueller (Lombardi Comprehensive Cancer Center, Georgetown University, Washington, DC) for the assistance with confocal microscopy. This study was supported in part by grants from the National Institutes of Health (Grant Nos. HL074940-01, HL23081, DK39308, HL68686, and DK52612), the K. M. Keck Foundation at the University of Virginia (Charlottesville), and U.S. Public Health Service Grant Nos. 2P30-CA-51008 and 1S10RR15768-01.

References

1. Jose PA, Eisner GM, Felder RA. The renal dopamine receptors in health and hypertension. *Pharmacol Ther.* 1998; 80:149–182. [PubMed: 9839770]
2. de Gasparo M, Catt KJ, Inagami T, Wright JW, Unger T. International union of pharmacology. XXIII. The angiotensin II receptors. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2000; 52:415–472. [PubMed: 10977869]
3. Ferguson SSG. Evolving concepts in G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis: the role in receptor desensitization and signaling. *Pharmacol Rev.* 2001; 53:1–24. [PubMed: 11171937]
4. Oakley RH, Laporte SA, Holt JA, Caron MG, Barak LS. Differential affinities of visual arrestin, β -arrestin 1, and β -arrestin 2 for G protein-coupled receptors delineate two major classes of receptors. *J Biol Chem.* 2000; 275:17201–17210. [PubMed: 10748214]
5. Gáborik Z, Hunyady L. Intracellular trafficking of hormone receptors. *Trends Endocrinol Metab.* 2004; 15:286–293. [PubMed: 15358282]
6. Zerial M, McBride H. Rab proteins as membrane organizers. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 2:107–117. [PubMed: 11252952]
7. Pereira-Leal JB, Seabra MC. Evolution of the Rab family of small GTP-binding proteins. *J Mol Biol.* 2001; 313:889–901. [PubMed: 11697911]
8. Seachrist JL, Ferguson SS. Regulation of G protein-coupled receptor endocytosis and trafficking by Rab GTPases. *Life Sci.* 2003; 74:225–235. [PubMed: 14607250]
9. Pfeffer S. Membrane domains in the secretory and endocytic pathways. *Cell.* 2003; 112:507–517. [PubMed: 12600314]
10. van der Sluijs P, Hull M, Zahraoui A, Tavitian A, Goud B, Mellman I. The small GTP-binding protein rab4 is associated with early endosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 1991; 88:6313–6317. [PubMed: 1906178]
11. de Renzis S, Sonnichsen B, Zerial M. Divalent Rab effectors regulate the subcompartmental organization and sorting of early endosomes. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2002; 4:124–133. [PubMed: 11788822]
12. Ullrich O, Reinsch S, Urbe S, Zerial M, Parton RG. Rab11 regulates recycling through the pericentriolar recycling endosome. *J Cell Biol.* 1996; 135:913–924. [PubMed: 8922376]
13. Chen W, Feng Y, Chen D, Wandinger-Ness A. Rab11 is required for trans-Golgi network-to-plasma membrane transport and a preferential target for GDP dissociation inhibitor. *Mol Biol Cell.* 1998; 9:3241–3257. [PubMed: 9802909]
14. Seachrist JL, Anborgh PH, Ferguson SSG. β_2 -adrenergic receptor internalization, endosomal sorting, and plasma membrane recycling are regulated by rab GTPases. *J Biol Chem.* 2000; 275:27221–27228. [PubMed: 10854436]
15. Moore RH, Millman EE, Alpizar-Foster E, Dai W, Knoll BJ. Rab11 regulates the recycling and lysosome targeting of β_2 -adrenergic receptors. *J Cell Sci.* 2004; 117:3107–3117. [PubMed: 15190120]
16. Dale LB, Seachrist JL, Babwah AV, Ferguson SG. Regulation of angiotensin II type 1A receptor intracellular retention, degradation, and recycling by Rab5, Rab7, and Rab11 GTPases. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:13110–13118. [PubMed: 14711821]
17. Anborgh PH, Seachrist JL, Dale LB, Ferguson SSG. Receptor/ β -arrestin complex formation and the differential trafficking and resensitization of β_2 -adrenergic and angiotensin II type_{1A} receptors. *Mol Endocrinol.* 2000; 14:2040–2053. [PubMed: 11117533]

18. Innamorati G, Le Gouill C, Balamotis M, Birnbaumer M. The long and the short cycle. Alternative intracellular routes for trafficking of G-protein-coupled receptors. *J Biol Chem.* 2001; 276:13096–13103. [PubMed: 11150299]
19. Fan GH, Lapierre LA, Goldenring JR, Richmond A. Differential regulation of CXCR2 trafficking by Rab GTPases. *Blood.* 2003; 101:2115–2124. [PubMed: 12411301]
20. Hamelin E, Thériault C, Laroche G, Parent JL. The intracellular trafficking of the G protein-coupled receptor TP β depends on a direct interaction with Rab11. *J Biol Chem.* 2005; 280:36195–36205. [PubMed: 16126723]
21. Volpicelli LA, Lah JJ, Fang G, Goldenring JR, Levey AI. Rab11a and myosin Vb regulate recycling of the M4 muscarinic acetylcholine receptor. *J Neurosci.* 2002; 22:9776–9784. [PubMed: 12427833]
22. Hunyady L, Baukal AJ, Gaborik Z, Olivares-Reyes JA, Bor M, Szaszak M, Lodge R, Catt KJ, Balla T. Differential PI 3-kinase dependence of early and late phases of recycling of the internalized AT1 angiotensin receptor. *J Cell Biol.* 2002; 157:1211–1222. [PubMed: 12070129]
23. Chen, Y.; Elangovan, M.; Periasamy, A. FRET data analysis: the algorithm. In: Periasamy, A.; Day, RN., editors. *Molecular Imaging: FRET Microscopy and Spectroscopy.* Oxford University Press; New York: 2005. p. 126-145.
24. Periasamy A. Fluorescence resonance energy transfer microscopy: a mini review. *J Biomed Opt.* 2001; 6:287–291. [PubMed: 11516318]
25. Rodman JS, Wandering-Ness A. Rab GTPases coordinate endocytosis. *J Cell Sci.* 2000; 113:183–192. [PubMed: 10633070]
26. Lanctôt PM, Leclerc PC, Escher E, Leduc R, Guillemette G. Role of N-glycosylation in the expression and functional properties of human AT₁ receptor. *Biochemistry.* 1999; 38:8621–8627. [PubMed: 10393537]
27. Li H, Armando I, Yu P, Escano C, Mueller S, Asico L, Pascua A, Lu Q, Wang X, Villar VAM, Jones JE, Wang Z, Periasamy A, Lau YS, Soaresda-Silva P, Creswell K, Guillemette G, Sibley DR, Eisner G, Felder RA, Jose PA. Dopamine 5 receptor mediates Ang II type 1 receptor degradation via a ubiquitin-proteasome pathway in mice and human cells. *J Clin Invest.* 2008; 118:2180–2189. [PubMed: 18464932]
28. Lakowicz JR, Piszczek G, Kang JS. On the possibility of long-wavelength long-life time high-quantum-yield luminophores. *Anal Biochem.* 2001; 288:62–75. [PubMed: 11141307]
29. Apodaca G, Katz LA, Mostov KE. Receptor-mediated transcytosis of IgA in MDCK cells is via apical recycling endosomes. *J Cell Biol.* 1994; 125:67–86. [PubMed: 8138576]
30. Xiang Y, Kobilka B. The PDZ-binding motif of the β_2 -adrenoceptor is essential for physiologic signaling and trafficking in cardiac myocytes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2003; 100:10776–10781. [PubMed: 12954981]
31. Tanowitz M, von Zastrow M. A novel endocytic recycling signal that distinguishes the membrane trafficking of naturally occurring opioid receptors. *J Biol Chem.* 2003; 278:45978–45986. [PubMed: 12939277]
32. Maxfield FR, McGraw TE. Endocytic recycling. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2004; 5:121–132. [PubMed: 15040445]
33. Ward ES, Martinez C, Vaccaro C, Zhou J, Tang Q, Ober RJ. From sorting endosomes to exocytosis: association of Rab4 and Rab11 GTPases with the Fc receptor, FcRn, during recycling. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2005; 16:2028–2038. [PubMed: 15689494]
34. Sheff D, Pelletier L, O'Connell CB, Warren G, Mellman I. Transferrin receptor recycling in the absence of perinuclear recycling endosomes. *J Cell Biol.* 2002; 156:797–804. [PubMed: 11877458]
35. Gruenberg J. The endocytic pathway: a mosaic of domains. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 2:721–730. [PubMed: 11584299]
36. Rosenfeld JL, Knoll BJ, Moore RH. Regulation of G-protein-coupled receptor activity by rab GTPases. *Recept Channels.* 2002; 8:87–97. [PubMed: 12448790]
37. Roosterman D, Kreuzer OJ, Brune N, Cottrell GS, Bunnett NW, Meyerhof W, Steinhoff M. Agonist-induced endocytosis of rat somatostatin receptor 1. *Endocrinology.* 2007; 148:1050–1058. [PubMed: 17170097]

38. Guo DF, Chenier I, Tardif V, Orlov SN, Inagami T. Type 1 angiotensin II receptor-associated protein ARAP1 binds and recycles the receptor to the plasma membrane. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun.* 2003; 310:1254–1265. [PubMed: 14559250]
39. Seabra MC, Wasmeier C. Controlling the location and activation of Rab GTPases. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2004; 16:451–457. [PubMed: 15261679]
40. Goody RS, Rak A, Alexandrov K. The structural and mechanistic basis for recycling of Rab proteins between membrane compartments. *Cell Mol Life Sci.* 2005; 62:1657–1670. [PubMed: 15924270]

Fig. 1.

Co-immunoprecipitation of AT₁R, Rab4, and Rab11. (a) AT₁R HEK 293 cells treated without (lane 1) or with Ang II (100 nM, 15 min) were co-immunoprecipitated following the procedure described in Sec. 2 for recovery of 0 (lane 2), 15 (lane 3), 45 (lane 4), 120 (lane 5), and 180 (lane 6) min, respectively. Cell homogenates were incubated with anti-GFP IgG (5 μ g), and precipitated proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE and immunoblotted with Rab4 or Rab11 mAbs, as indicated. (b) 30 μ g protein homogenates from AT₁HEK 293 cells untreated (lane 1) or treated with Rab4 (lane 2) or Rab11, (lane 3) siRNA and negative control siRNAs (lane 4) were immunoblotted with antibodies against Rab4, Rab11, or actin, as indicated. (c) As in (a), Rab4 siRNA-transfected cells were treated without (lane 1) or with Ang II (100 nM, 15 min) following recovery of 0 (lane 2), 15 (lane 3), 45 (lane 4), 120 (lane 5), and 180 (lane 6) min, respectively. Cell homogenates were precipitated with anti-GFP IgG (5 μ g) and immunoblotted with Rab11 mAb. (d) As in (c), Rab11 siRNA-transfected cells were treated without (lane 1) or with Ang II (100 nM, 15 min) following recovery of 0 (lane 2), 15 (lane 3), 45 (lane 4), 120 (lane 5), and 180 (lane 6) min, respectively. Cell homogenates were precipitated with anti-GFP IgG (5 μ g) and immunoblotted with Rab4 mAb.

Fig. 2.

Interruption of AT₁R recycling with either Rab4 or Rab11 gene silencing. AT₁R HEK 293 cells were transfected without (solid line) or with either Rab4 siRNA (broken line) or Rab11 siRNA (dashed line) for 36 h. Then, cells were treated as described in Sec. 2. The amount of cell surface AT₁R was assessed by the average intensity of AT₁R fluorescence at the plasma membrane using Metamorph 7.0. Data are mean±SE, $n=4-6$. (Color online only.)

Fig. 3. Subcellular distribution of the AT₁R (a), Rab4 (b), and Rab11 (c) in AT₁R HEK 293 cells. The AT₁R, tagged with EGFP at its C-terminal, is mainly localized at the plasma membrane. Both Rab4 and Rab11 are scattered throughout the cytoplasm; portions of Rab11 reside in the perinuclear compartments. (d) Overlay of (a) (AT₁R-GFP, green) and (b) (Rab4, red); (e) overlay of (a) and (c) (Rab11, blue); (f) overlay of (a), (b), and (c). Bar, 10 μ m.

Fig. 4.

Recycling of AT₁R to the cell surface. AT₁R HEK 293 cells were stimulated with Ang II (100 nM, 15 min) and then were placed on ice. After thorough washing, the cells were incubated in complete culture medium containing 20 μ g of cycloheximide at 37°C in 5% CO₂ for the indicated times. Then, cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde, as described in Sec. 2. The amount of cell surface AT₁R was assessed by the average intensity of AT₁R fluorescence at the plasma membrane using Metamorph 7.0. Data are mean $\pm$ SE; the data were plotted with first-order exponential fit (a), second-order exponential fit (b), and second-order polynomial fit (c), respectively, using OriginLab 6.1.

Fig. 5. FRET analysis of AT₁R and Rab4 (a) or AT₁R and Rab11 (b) at recycling time 0. As described in Sec. 2, regions of interest (ROIs) were drawn in image e, rectangles (□) indicate the plasma membrane, ovals (○) indicates cytoplasm, and freehand drawings indicate perinuclear compartments. The pFRET and *E*% images were processed by programs developed at the K. M. Keck Center for Cellular Imaging, University of Virginia (Charlottesville). Bar, 10 μ m.

Fig. 6. FRET analysis of AT₁R and Rab4 (a) or AT₁R and Rab11 (b) at recycling time 15 min. As described in Sec. 2, ROIs were drawn in image e, rectangles (□) indicate the plasma membrane, ovals (○) indicate cytoplasm, and freehand drawings indicate perinuclear compartments. The pFRET and *E*% images were processed by programs developed at the K. M. Keck Center for Cellular Imaging, University of Virginia (Charlottesville). Bar, 10 μ m.

Fig. 7. FRET analysis of AT₁R and Rab4 (a) or AT₁R and Rab11 (b) at recycling time 45 min. As described in Sec. 2, ROIs were drawn in image e, rectangles (□) indicate the plasma membrane, ovals (○) indicate cytoplasm, and freehand drawings indicate perinuclear compartments. The pFRET and *E*% images were processed by programs developed at the K. M. Keck Center for Cellular Imaging, University of Virginia (Charlottesville). Bar, 10 μ m.

Fig. 8. FRET analysis of AT₁R and Rab4 (a) or AT₁R and Rab11 (b) at recycling time 2 h. As described in Sec. 2, ROIs were drawn in image e, rectangles (□) indicate the plasma membrane, ovals (○) indicate cytoplasm, and freehand drawings indicate perinuclear compartments. The pFRET and *E*% images were processed by programs developed at the K. M. Keck Center for Cellular Imaging, University of Virginia (Charlottesville). Bar, 10 μ m.

Fig. 9. FRET analysis of AT₁R and Rab4 (a) or AT₁R and Rab11 (b) at recycling time 3 h. As described in Sec. 2, ROIs were drawn in image e, rectangles (□) indicate the plasma membrane, ovals (○) indicate cytoplasm, and freehand drawings indicate perinuclear compartments. The pFRET and $E\%$ images were processed by programs developed at the K. M. Keck Center for Cellular Imaging, University of Virginia (Charlottesville). Bar, 10 μm .

Fig. 10.

Dynamic relationship between Rab4 and Rab11 during the AT₁R recycling course. At the basal state (a) and recycling time 0 (b), no co-localization exists between Rab4 and Rab11; at recycling time 15 min (c), a small portion of Rab11 is observed to co-localize with Rab4, and the calculated co-localization of Rab11 over Rab4 is $10.3 \pm 1.4\%$; at the middle stage of recycling, time 45 min (d), obvious co-localization between Rab4 and Rab11 is observed in the perinuclear compartments and the cytoplasm, and the calculated overall co-localization of Rab4 over Rab11 is $34.1 \pm 3.3\%$; at the late stage of recycling, times 2 h (e) and 3 h (f), no obvious co-localization is observed between Rab4 and Rab11. Red, Rab4; blue, Rab11; magenta, co-localization between Rab4 and Rab11. Data (mean $\pm$ SE) were from 24 to 65 cells per time point. Bar, 10 μ m.

Fig. 11.

A model of human AT₁R recycling regulated by Rab4 and Rab11. Rab4 plays a major role in the early stage of AT₁R recycling. During the middle stage, both Rab4 and Rab11 are important in the regulation of AT₁R recycling. Rab11 plays a major role in the late stage of AT₁R recycling. Arrows denote AT₁R transport or fusion between compartments during the recycling period. Due to the space limitation, other important steps such as the initial the Rab5 common step⁹ and the later step of multiple vesicle bodies²² are not shown. PM, plasma membrane.

Calculation of efficiency of energy transfer ($E\%$) and molecular proximity (R) between AT₁R and Rab4 or Rab11 during the entire AT₁R recycling course. AT₁R HEK 293 cells were treated and fixed as described in Sec. 2. AT₁R-EGFP is the donor; the acceptor is Rab4 or Rab11. FRET analysis is described in Figs. 5–9. Data are mean $\pm$ SE; n , number of cells analyzed, Infin, infinity.

Table 1

Recycling time (min)	Acceptor	n	Plasma membrane		Cytoplasm		Perinuclear compartment	
			E (%)	$R(\text{\AA})$	E (%)	$R(\text{\AA})$	E (%)	$R(\text{\AA})$
0	Rab4	34	0	infin	28.3 $\pm$ 9.1	78.8	0	infin
	Rab11	34	0	infin	0	infin	0	infin
15	Rab4	35	0	infin	23.4 $\pm$ 6.7	82.3	16.3 $\pm$ 5.3	88.7
	Rab11	29	0	infin	11.6 $\pm$ 3.3	94.7	14.9 $\pm$ 7.8	90.2
45	Rab4	46	0	infin	21.0 $\pm$ 2.1	84.2	34.5 $\pm$ 4.1	75.1
	Rab11	36	0	infin	17.8 $\pm$ 2.2	87.1	37.4 $\pm$ 6.5	73.6
120	Rab4	25	0	infin	3.31 $\pm$ 1.1	NA	0	infin
	Rab11	26	14.6 $\pm$ 3.3	89.8	4.60 $\pm$ 2.2	NA	13.8 $\pm$ 2.1	91.6
180	Rab4	15	0	infin	0	infin	0	infin
	Rab11	15	0	infin	0	infin	0	infin