Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2008 November 12; 130(45): 14934–14935. doi:10.1021/ja8062502.

Surface-Enhanced Raman Nanoparticle Beacons Based on Bioconjugated Gold Nanocrystals and Long Range Plasmonic Coupling

Ximei Qian¹, Xi Zhou^{1,2}, and Shuming Nie¹

Shuming Nie: snie@emory.edu

¹Departments of Biomedical Engineering and Chemistry, Emory University and Georgia Institute of Technology, 101 Woodruff Circle, Suite 2001, Atlanta, GA 30322, USA

²Department of Chemistry, Xiamen University, Xiamen, China

Abstract

We have developed a new class of surface-enhanced Raman scattering beacons (SERS beacons) that can be turned on and off by long-range plasmonic coupling, induced by biomolecular recognition and binding events. The beacons are based on colloidal gold nanocrystals in two sizes (40 nm and 60 nm), and are prepared by spectral encoding with a Raman reporter molecule, functionalized with thiolated DNA probes, and stabilized and protected by low-molecular weight polyethylene glycols (PEG). The results show the SERS signal intensities increase by 40–200 fold when the nanoparticle beacons are activated by plasmonic coupling, much higher than the bright-to-dark intensity ratios reported for traditional molecular beacons. Multivalent gold nanoparticles also have exquisite specificity and are able to recognize single-base mismatches or mutations. This class of SERS nanoparticle beacons has novel mechanisms for molecular detection and signal amplification, and its long-range coupling nature raises new opportunities in developing plasmonic probes to detect proteins, cells, and intact viruses.

Metal nanoparticles such as colloidal gold are a class of plasmonic materials that is under intense research and development for broad applications including surface-enhanced spectroscopy,^{1,2} super-resolution optical imaging,³ and ultrasensitive biosensing.¹ A key feature is that collective and resonant excitation (surface plasmon excitation) of the free electrons in metal nanostructures can enhance the electromagnetic fields near the particle surface by many orders of magnitude. This enhancement effect is particularly strong in the interstitial spaces between two or more interacting particles.⁴ Indeed, recent advances have utilized interparticle plasmonic coupling for colorimetric DNA detection,⁵ nanoscale distance measurement,⁶ and in developing tunable plasmonic superlattices.⁷ Surface-

Correspondence to: Shuming Nie, snie@emory.edu.

Supporting Information **Available:** Materials, procedures, and Supporting Figures S1 and S2. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) is also expected to benefit directly from the concentrated electromagnetic fields,^{1,2} but it has been elusive to experimentally demonstrate that SERS signals could be modulated or controlled by long-range plasmonic interactions. Meanwhile, short-range interactions at surface “hot spots” have led to enormous Raman enhancement factors on the order of 10^{14} - 10^{15} , allowing detection and identification of single molecules under ambient conditions.⁸⁻¹⁰

Here we present definitive experimental data to show that SERS can indeed be controlled by long-range plasmonic coupling. By using spectrally encoded and bioconjugated gold nanocrystals, we also report a new class of surface-enhanced Raman molecular beacons (SERS beacons) that can be turned on and off by biomolecular binding and dissociation events. In previous work, Mirkin and coworkers¹¹ have used SERS for sequence-specific DNA detection, but their approach required silver deposition on gold seed particles. We also note that Graham and coworkers¹² have already demonstrated the selective turning on of SERS through target-dependent, sequence-specific DNA hybridization assembly with single-base specificity. The present report therefore confirms their initial finding by using different chemistry that could offer better biocompatibility and improved signal-to-noise ratios.

Figure 1 shows the design and preparation of SERS nanoparticle beacons by using monodispersed colloidal gold in two sizes (40 nm and 60 nm diameter) and their operating principles. The nanoparticles are first encoded with a reporter molecule such as malachite green (with distinct Raman signatures), and then are functionalized with thiolated DNA probes (single-strand oligos, 10-30 bases). An important finding is that the gold particles should not be fully covered with the reporter molecule; in comparison with the SERS nanoparticle tags reported previously,¹³ the reporter dye surface coverage should be reduced by over 10-fold. This reduction is necessary for stable DNA adsorption at high salt concentration, and also for minimizing background SERS signals (that is, off-state signals from single particles without plasmonic coupling). The DNA-functionalized particles can be stabilized and protected sterically by using low-molecular weight thiolated polyethylene glycols (HS-PEG).^{14,15} These relatively short PEG molecules are found to improve both beacon stability and performance, but do not interfere with DNA hybridization.

As depicted in Figure 1 (B and C), sequence-specific DNA hybridization brings two or more particles into a distance range (usually 10-20 nm, surface to surface distance), resulting in plasmonic coupling and further enhancement of the Raman signals. Since metallic particles can interact at separation distances up to one time ($1\times$) of their diameters,¹⁶ our SERS beacons are expected to work with 40-60 nm distance gaps. For direct binding between two complementary DNA sequences, Figure 2 shows dramatic changes in both the optical absorption and surface-enhanced Raman spectra of the 60-nm SERS beacons. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) reveals the formation of nanoparticle clusters for complementary sequences and only isolated particles for noncomplementary sequences (see Figure 2C, and Supporting Figure S1). The inter-particle distances measured by TEM, however, do not reflect the actual distances in solution because the DNA-gold network structures are collapsed under dry vacuum conditions. To optimize the contrast between the “off-state” (single particles without plasmonic coupling) and “on-state” (nanoparticle aggregates with plasmonic coupling), it is important to select the excitation wavelength based on the particle size. For dispersed 60-nm gold nanoparticles, previous work has shown that intense SERS spectra are obtained with 633 nm laser excitation while only weak signals are observed with near-infrared excitation at 785 nm.¹³ When plasmonic coupling occurs, more intense SERS signals are detected at 785 nm excitation. Based on this insight, we have used 785-nm near-infrared excitation to acquire data from the 60 nm beacons. Indeed, the SERS signals from single particles are very weak, while intense signals are detected from

nanoparticle aggregates, resulting in SERS contrast ratio of 40-50 (calculated by using the areas of Raman peaks before and after hybridization). This compares favorably with the bright-to-dark intensity ratios of 15-25 reported for traditional molecular beacons.¹⁷ Also it is remarkable that the SERS signals are nearly identical to that of the reference particles, and are reversibly turned on and off by temperature changes.

For indirect sandwich-type binding in which one target recognizes two probes, the SERS beacons show excellent sequence specificity and are able to discriminate single-base mismatches with an improved on/off intensity ratio of 200-300 (Figure 3). This improved specificity arises from sharp melting temperature curves as reported for multivalent gold nanoparticle probes (see Supporting Figure S2).¹⁸ The target sequence used in Figure 3 comes from the cDNA of a cancer biomarker (CD97). Sensitive detection of this molecular marker is of considerable significance in cancer metastasis because its expression is elevated in several metastatic cell lines but is low in primary cancer and normal tissue.¹⁹ With a single base T/G mutation, the melting temperature (T_m) of this target DNA is lowered to 33 °C from 42 °C. For the perfect match sequence, the SERS signals indicate DNA hybridization at 37 °C but melting at 50 °C; in comparison, a single-base mismatch prevents hybridization and plasmonic coupling at 37 °C.

In conclusion, we have reported a class of SERS nanoparticle probes with novel mechanisms for molecular recognition and signal amplification. The long range nature of plasmonic interactions should allow the development of SERS beacons to detect proteins, clustered receptors on cell membranes, and intact viruses, based on the coupling of adjacent metallic nanoparticles in a no-wash/single-step format. In comparison with fluorescence emission, SERS spectra contain narrow molecular signatures that are well suited for multiplexing and background subtraction. Furthermore, smart polymers and Bragg diffraction matrices that respond to external stimuli (such as pH, temperature, glucose, or metal ions)^{20,21} could be used to modulate the distances between SERS-encoded nanoparticles, leading to sensitive and molecularly specific devices operating in the near-infrared wavelength range, a clear window with excellent penetration depth and minimal background.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Dr. Qiqin Yin-Goen (Emory University) for technical help, and to Dr. Dongsheng Wang (Winship Cancer Institute) for providing the CD97 cDNA samples. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (P20 GM072069, R01 CA108468, and U01HL080711, U54CA119338). X.Z. acknowledges the Chinese Ministry of Education for fellowship support, and S.N. is a Distinguished Cancer Scholar of the Georgia Cancer Coalition (GCC).

References

1. Anker JN, Hall WP, Lyandres O, Shah NC, Zhao J, Van Duyne RP. *Nat Mater.* 2008; 7:442–453. [PubMed: 18497851]
2. (a) Qian XM, Nie SM. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2008; 37:912–920. [PubMed: 18443676] (b) Campion A, Kambhampati P. *Chem Soc Rev.* 1998; 27:241–250.
3. (a) Fang N, Lee H, Sun C, Zhang X. *Science.* 2005; 308:534–537. [PubMed: 15845849] (b) Ebbesen TW, Lezec HJ, Ghaemi HF, Thio T, Wolff PA. *Nature.* 1998; 391:667–669.
4. Hao E, Schatz GC. *J Chem Phys.* 2004; 120:357–366. [PubMed: 15267296]

5. Elghanian R, Storhoff JJ, Mucic RC, Letsinger RL, Mirkin CA. *Science*. 1997; 277:1078–1081. [PubMed: 9262471]
6. (a) Sonnichsen C, Reinhardt BM, Liphardt J, Alivisatos AP. *Nature Biotechnol.* 2005; 23:741–745. [PubMed: 15908940] (b) Liu GL, Yin YD, Kunchakarra S, Mukherjee B, Gerion D, Jett SD, Bear DG, Gray JW, Alivisatos AP, Lee LP, Chen FQF. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2006; 1:47–52. [PubMed: 18654141]
7. Tao A, Sinsermsuksakul P, Yang P. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2007; 2:435–440. [PubMed: 18654329]
8. Nie SM, Emory SR. *Science*. 1997; 275:1102–1106. [PubMed: 9027306]
9. Michaels AM, Nirmal M, Brus LE. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1999; 121:9932–9939.
10. Kneipp K, Wang Y, Kneipp H, Perelman LT, Itzkan I, Dasari R, Feld MS. *Phys Rev Lett.* 1997; 78:1667–1670.
11. Cao YWC, Jin RC, Mirkin CA. *Science*. 2002; 297:1536–1540. [PubMed: 12202825]
12. Graham D, Thompson DG, Smith WE, Faulds K. *Nat Nanotechnol.* 2008; 3:548–551. [PubMed: 18772916]
13. Qian XM, Peng XH, Ansari DO, Yin-Goen Q, Chen GZ, Shin DM, Yang L, Young AN, Wang MD, Nie SM. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2008; 26:83–90. [PubMed: 18157119]
14. Reinhard BM, Sheikholeslami S, Mastroianni A, Alivisatos AP, Liphardt J. *Proc Nat Acad Sci.* 2007; 104:2667–2672. [PubMed: 17307879]
15. Claridge SA, Liang HW, Basu SR, Frechet JMJ, Alivisatos AP. *Nano Lett.* 2008; 8:1202–1206. [PubMed: 18331002]
16. Su KH, Wei QH, Zhang X, Mock JJ, Smith DR, Schultz S. *Nano Letters.* 2003; 3:1087–1090.
17. (a) Tyagi S, Kramer FR. *Nature Biotechnol.* 1996; 14:303–308. [PubMed: 9630890] (b) Fang X, Liu X, Schuster S, Tan W. *J Am Chem Soc.* 1999; 121:2921–2922.
18. (a) Jin R, Wu G, Li Z, Mirkin CA, Schatz GC. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2003;125–1643. 1654.(b) Gibbx-Davis JM, Schatz GC, Mguyen SBT. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2007; 129:15535–15540. [PubMed: 18027938]
19. Zendman AJW, Cornelissen IMHA, Weidle UH, Ruiter DJ, van Muijen GNP. *FEBS Lett.* 1999; 446:292–298. [PubMed: 10100861]
20. (a) Zhu MQ, Wang LQ, Exarhos GJ, Li ADQ. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2004; 126:2656–2657. [PubMed: 14995155] (b) Gil ES, Hudson SA. *Prog Polymer Sci.* 2004; 29:1173–1222.(c) Frankamp BL, Boal AK, Rotello VM. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2002; 124:15146–15147. [PubMed: 12487569]
21. Holtz JH, Asher SA. *Nature.* 1997; 389:829–832. [PubMed: 9349814]

Figure 1.

Schematic diagrams showing the design and operating principles of SERS nanoparticle beacons. (a) Colloidal gold nanocrystals are encoded with a Raman reporter molecule, functionalized with thiolated DNA probes, and are stabilized and protected with low-molecular weight polyethylene glycols (PEG). (b) Long-range plasmonic coupling induced by direct binding between two DNA sequences. (c) Long-range plasmonic coupling induced by one target molecule binding to two nanoparticles. Each gold particle contains about 500-1000 reporter molecules (e.g., malachite green) and 2500-7000 thiol-DNA molecules, depending on the particle sizes (see Supporting Information).

Figure 2.

Optical absorption (A), SERS (B), and TEM (C) data obtained from 60-nm gold nanoparticle beacons before and after direct hybridization of two complementary DNA sequences. Red curves: control beacons; blue curves: activated beacons; dotted black curves: reference nanoparticles (not functionalized with DNA). The DNA sequences are 5'-HS (PEG)₉TTGAGATGTATGAAG-3' for A, and 5'-HS A(10)CTTCATACATCTCAAGTTGG-3' for A'. Laser wavelength = 785 nm; laser power = 40 mW; integration time = 5 s; beacon concentration = 6 pM. See Supporting Information for more details.

Figure 3. Surface-enhanced Raman spectra of 40-nm gold beacons demonstrating single-base specificity and temperature sensitivity. Top: reference SERS spectrum of malachite green adsorbed on gold nanoparticles. The target sequence C (5'-CTGGCCGCCTTCTGCTGGATGAG-3') is designed to recognize two probe sequences A and B (5'-AAGGCGGCCAG[PEG]₉SH-3', and 5'-HS[PEG]₉CTCATCCAGCA-3'). A single base mismatch is introduced by changing T to G in the target sequence. Laser wavelength = 633 nm; laser power = 3 mW; integration time = 2 s; beacon concentration = 10 pM; and target concentration = 25 nM.