A Literature Review on the Role of Totally Extraperitoneal Repairs for Groin Pain in Athletes

Muhammad R. S. Siddiqui, Makysym Kovzel, Stephen Brennan, Oliver H. Priest, Shaun R. Preston, Yuen Soon

Department of Oesophagogastric and Minimal Access Surgery, Royal Surrey County Hospital, Guildford, United Kingdom

A literature review was made on the role of totally extraperitoneal (TEP) hernia repairs for groin pain in athletes. Electronic databases were searched for literature published from January 1993 to November 2011. There were 10 articles incorporating 196 patients included in this review. Thirty percent of patients were reported to have direct inguinal hernias, 22% had indirect inguinal hernias, and 41% had dilated internal rings. Of note, 30% of cases had no macroscopic abnormality. Four studies reported on an early follow-up ranging between 3 and 6 weeks. Only minimal or mild symptoms were reported. Up to 33% of patients had impaired ability to perform at peak levels. Up to 53% of patients had persistence of symptoms at the early follow-up. Total follow-up time ranged from 3 to 80 months, and most patients were active (90%–100%). At long-term follow-up, 3% to 10% were unable to play, and 5% were reported as being unable to train. Two studies from the same center reported on TEP surgery for osteitis pubis, and most patients returned to sporting activity after 4 to 8 weeks. TEP repair is a good operative intervention in athletes with chronic groin pain not relieved by conservative measures. Athletes recover quickly and return to sport early.

Key words: Laparoscopic repair – Sports hernia – Gilmore groin – Athletic pubalgia

Considerable debate surrounds the causes and definition of groin pain in athletes; some defining it as an incipient hernia in an athlete, while others describe it as chronic groin pain with no obvious macroscopic pathology.¹ Furthermore some argue that a spectrum exists and may extend to os-

teitis pubis.² The reported incidence ranges from 0.5% to 28%^{3–8} and is a significant concern affecting about 5% of all football players.⁹ Imaging such as X-ray, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), or bone scans may help diagnose other treatable pathologies.^{10,11}

Reprint requests: Muhammad Rafay Sameem Siddiqui, Surgical Registrar, Department of Oesophagogastric and Minimal Access Surgery, Level D, The Royal Surrey County Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Egerton Road, Guildford, UK GU2 7XX. Tel.: 0044 1483 729000, Blp. 4035, or 0044 7890 726 471 (mobile); E-mail: md0u812a@zoho.com

Options for treatment include conservative methods such as rest, analgesia, local injections, and physiotherapy. However there are variable results, and athletes often return for more definitive solutions such as surgical intervention.^{12–14} Operative measures are reported by some as having good results, with 90% to 96% of patients returning to sport activity after open repair with or without mesh.^{15,16} Evidence over the previous 2 decades has suggested that laparoscopic procedures have considerable advantages over open techniques in other settings,^{17,18} and this has been extended to totally extraperitoneal (TEP) and transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) techniques.¹⁹ Some have suggested that TEP has the potential for less postoperative pain,²⁰ hence the aim of this study is to review the literature on the role of TEP in treating chronic groin pain in athletes.

Methods

All published studies investigating the role of TEP repairs in the treatment of sportman hernia between January 1993 and November 2011 were identified. We searched the Cochrane library, EMBASE, and PubMed databases available online. The text words “Gilmore groin,” “sportman hernia,” “totally extra-peritoneal hernia repair,” “TEP hernia repair,” “laparoscopic hernia repair,” “pubic arthralgia,” “groin pain,” “athletic groin pain,” and “pubic osteitis” were used. Relevant articles referenced in these databases were obtained and the “related article” function was used to widen the results. This was complemented by hand searches and cross-references from articles identified during the initial search. There were 114 references. Irrelevant articles and reviews, evident from the titles and abstracts, were excluded. No language restriction was applied. We reviewed full texts of 26 articles. Fourteen articles were of potential relevance; however, on closer examination 3 reported on transabdominal approaches, and 1 study was unclear on technique, yet suggested an open technique. Our search results are summarized in Fig. 1.²¹ All clinically relevant demographics are described. Postoperative outcomes chosen for this review were postoperative pain, return to work or normal activity, and persistence.

Results

There were 10 articles included in this review.^{2,6,9,14,22–27} Two articles focused on osteitis pubis confirmed on MRI or bone scan.^{2,27} Eight studies^{6,9,14,22–26}

investigated a total of 196 patients. From the 8 studies (Table 1), sixty-five percent were football players. Preoperative imaging was performed in 6 studies and included a combination of X-rays, bone scans, MRI, ultrasound, and CT scans.^{6,9,14,23,24,26}

The type of preoperative pain was not well described by any of the studies and was stated as being a dull ache or pulling sensation in 2 articles.^{14,25} Pain was reported to occur at rest in 81% of patients^{6,14,22,24} and had lasted between 2 and 13 months.^{6,9,14,22,24–26} Most studies reported an initial conservative approach incorporating measures such as rest, steroids, physiotherapy, hydrotherapy, and analgesics.^{6,22–24,26} Ninety-six percent of patients reported pain in the groin^{6,9,14,22,24,26}; other sites included the lower abdomen and pubic bone, and 29% of athletes had bilateral pain.^{6,14,22,24,26} On examination, only 18% of patients were diagnosed with an inguinal hernia.^{6,9,22,23} Four studies did not report all their clinical examination findings.^{14,24–26}

All patients underwent a TEP repair except for 3 patients where a transabdominal approach was converted to a TEP.⁶ Six articles reported the use of a balloon spacer to develop the plane.^{9,14,22–25} Most studies^{6,9,14,22,24–26} used a polypropylene mesh, except one that used a biological mesh²³ and was fixed using tacks or glue; 2 studies reported the use of abdominal pressure alone.^{6,26} Operative time ranged from 17 to 60 minutes.^{9,14,22,23,25} Six percent of all patients underwent a concurrent open tenotomy to relieve symptoms.^{14,24,26}

Thirty percent of patients were reported to have a direct inguinal hernias,^{6,14,22–26} 22% had indirect inguinal hernias,^{6,9,14,22–26} and 41% had dilated internal rings.^{9,22–25} Of note, 30% of cases had no macroscopic abnormality. There were 3 (2%) complications from all the studies,^{14,23,26} including 2 seromas and 1 wound infection. Most patients were discharged on the same day or the next with simple analgesics, NSAIDs or oral narcotics.^{14,22–25} Two studies reported analgesics were stopped after 3 days with early mobilization at 24 hours.^{22,25}

Four studies reported on an early follow-up ranging between 3 and 6 weeks.^{6,14,22,24} Only minimal or mild symptoms were reported^{14,22,24}; one study reported on a combined follow-up of patients undergoing TAPP and TEP but reported that only 2 patients (14%) had a nagging sensation present in the groin.⁶ Up to 33% of patients had impaired ability to perform at peak levels^{14,22,24}; a further study reported on TAPP and TEPP, with 14% being pain free but having impaired ability.⁶ One study

Fig. 1 Flow chart of literature search.

reports all patients returning to normal activities within a month; however, it was unclear as to whether this incorporated athletic activity.²³ Up to 53% of patients had persistence of symptoms at the early follow-up.^{6,14,22–24,26} Total follow-up time ranged from 3 to 80 months,^{6,9,14,23–26} and most patients were active (90%–100%). Three percent to 10% of patients^{6,9,23,26} were unable to play and 5% were reported as being unable to train.²⁴

Two studies from the same center reported on TEP surgery for osteitis pubis confirmed on MRI or

bone scans.^{2,27} In one study, 88% of patients returned to athletic activity after 8 weeks, 1 patient required repeat surgery for ongoing symptoms.² The other study reports all patients returning to sport activity after 4 to 8 weeks with no persistence of symptoms after 1 year follow-up.²⁷

Discussion

The challenge of diagnosing sports hernias²⁸ is paralleled only by the debate surrounding the value and

Table 1 Patient Demographics

	Azurin <i>et al</i> ²²	Srinivasan <i>et al</i> ^{25,a}	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ²⁴	Kluin <i>et al</i> ⁶	Susmallian <i>et al</i> ⁹	Edelman <i>et al</i> ²³	van Veen <i>et al</i> ²⁶	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ¹⁴
Patients	n = 8	n = 7 (15-8) ^a	n = 41	n = 10 ^b	n = 35	n = 10	n = 55	n = 30
Age in years (range)	25.1 (22-30)	27.9 (23-34)	27 (20-34)	35 (20-55)	24.3 (17-29)	31.9 (19-44)	25 (17-36)	32 (SD 9)
Sex, m:f	All men	All men	All men	9:01	All men	9:01	53:02	25:05
Sports activity								
Football/soccer	1	5	24	4	35	2	47	SNR
Rugby/Am football	3	1	NR	0	0	0	0	0
Hockey	3	1	11	0	0	0	0	SNR
Bodybuilding	1	0	NR	0	0	0	0	0
Other	0	0	6	6	0	8	8	SNR
Preoperative imaging	None	None	MRI/XR/US/ CT/ Bone scan	XR/US/Bone scan	MRI/XR/Bone scan	XR/US	MRI/XR/US/ Bone scan	MRI/XR/US/ CT/ Bone scan
Preoperative pain								
Type	NR	Pulling sensation	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Dull
Severity								
At rest	1	NR	41	0	NR	NR	NR	30
On movement	7	NR	0	10	NR	NR	55	NR
Normal activity	1	NR	0	NR	6	NR	8	NR
Athletic activity	4	NR	0	NR	NR	NR	47	0
Other: e.g., valsalva/ palpation	2	NR	0	NR	NR	NR	39	NR
Duration	Range, 2 mo to 4 y	Range, 1-4 mo	Mean, 9.2 mo (SD, 9.1 mo)	Range, 3 mo to >12 mo	6-12 mo	NR	3 mo to >6 mo	13 mo (SD 4)
Site								
Groin	8	NR	41	10	35	NR	48	30
Lower abdomen	4	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	7	SNR
Pubic bone	0	NR	NR	1	NR	NR	7	NR
Bilateral	1	NR	4	2	NR	NR	13	13
Conservative treatments (n)	A, B, C, D, E (7)	NR	A, B, C (41)	C, F (10)	NR	A, B, C	B, C, D	NR
Duration in months	Several episodes	NR	NR	>3	NR	NR	>3 mo	NR
Clinical findings								
Painful side(s)								
Direct hernia	1	NR	NR	0	0	0	NR	NR
Indirect hernia	7	NR	NR	0	3	0	NR	NR
Femoral hernia	0	NR	NR	0	0	0	NR	NR
Dilated int ring	7	NR	NR	0	NR	0	NR	NR

Table 1 continued

	Azurin <i>et al</i> ²²	Srinivasan <i>et al</i> ^{25,a}	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ²⁴	Kluin <i>et al</i> ⁶	Susmallian <i>et al</i> ⁹	Edelman <i>et al</i> ²³	van Veen <i>et al</i> ²⁶	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ¹⁴
Nil obvious	0	NR	NR	10	32	10	53	NR
Contralateral side								
Direct hernia	0	NR	NR	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Indirect hernia	2	NR	NR	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Femoral hernia	0	NR	NR	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Dilated int ring	6	NR	NR	0	NR	NR	NR	NR
Nil obvious	1	NR	NR	2	NR	NR	NR	NR
Degree of disability								
Unable to do normal activity	0	NR	0	NR	6	NR	NR	NR
Unable to train	2	NR	24	NR	NR	NR	NR	21
Unable to play	4	NR	17	10	NR	NR	NR	NR
Not mentioned	2	7	0	0	29	10	55	9

m:f, male:female; SD, standard deviation; Am, American; SNR-Specific number not recorded (Overall figures in manuscript); NR-Not recorded; A-Steroids; B-Physiotherapy; C-Periods of rest; D-NSAIDs; E-Hydrotherapy; F-Others not specified; int, internal.

^aCases selected to avoid duplication.

^bCases selected to exclude TAPP.

timing of operative intervention.^{5,29} A general agreement surrounds the need for operative intervention when a macroscopic abnormality is noted in an athlete with groin pain. However, when there are equivocal clinical findings, most authors suggest a conservative approach initially,^{12,28} which may help, but long-term outcomes are debatable.³⁰ When conservative measures fail, then diagnostic TEP, which often shows a pathology in 80% of patients,²⁵ should be utilized. Imaging may be useful to exclude other differentials, but a negative scan may not be conclusive as a tiny tear may be missed.²³ When no pathology is identified, using a posterior wall-strengthening mesh helps, presumably by treating an occult injury.^{6,26} Recent evidence suggests that operative intervention could be considered the first line for chronic groin pain in athletes.^{14,31}

MRI may be useful in diagnosing osteitis pubis, the degree of which does not necessarily correlate with symptoms. Furthermore, the clinical scenario is very similar to sports hernias and responds equally well to surgical intervention. It has been suggested that in some cases it may be part of the same disease entity.²⁷

In our review, most of the patients included in the studies were men, women making up only 5% of treated athletes.^{2,6,9,14,23–26} Some have postulated that women athletes tend to have more persistent symptoms and have other pathologic processes contributing to their pain.^{6,15} However, this was not the case in other studies.^{23,26}

Our review suggests that athletes undergoing TEP repair do well in the early and long-term post-operative period, even when a macroscopic abnormality is not detected, which is in keeping with the idea that strengthening the posterior wall relieves symptoms.^{6,26} Typically most patients returned to full sporting activity by 6 weeks (Table 2), which contrasts with the reported recovery time of 3 months after open procedures.^{25,32,33} Given that most athletes are concerned about recovery time after surgery,²² the laparoscopic approach may be the more suitable approach.²⁸ Other approaches such as TAPP repairs may be equivalent in terms of outcomes^{32,34}; however, TEP may offer advantages such as less pain²⁰ and lower risk of injury to intra-abdominal viscera; TEP may be challenging or inappropriate in other circumstances, as in patients with previous abdominal surgery or the need for prostate surgery.³⁵ Further developments, especially in relation to cosmesis, include single-port surgery but may take longer to perform than standard TEP repairs.³⁶

Table 2 Surgical Characteristics and Outcomes

Patients	Azurin <i>et al</i> ²² n = 8	Srinivasan <i>et al</i> ^{25,a} n = 7 (15 – 8) ^a	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ²⁴ n = 41	Kluin <i>et al</i> ⁶ n = 10 ^b	Susmallian <i>et al</i> ⁹ n = 35	Edelman <i>et al</i> ²³ n = 10	van Veen <i>et al</i> ²⁶ n = 55 ^c	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ¹⁴ n = 30
Surgical technique	Balloon	Balloon	Balloon	NR	Balloon	Balloon	NR	Balloon
Extraperitoneal space formation								
Pressure	12	12	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Mesh type	Polypropylene Tack	Polypropylene Tack	Polypropylene Tack/glue	Polypropylene Nil	Polypropylene Tack	Biological Tack/glue	Polypropylene Tack/nil	Polypropylene Tack
Tacks/glue	0	0	NR	0	0	0	0	0
Conversion	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	6
Tenotomy								
Operative data								
Time (min)	55.3	27 (unilat)/55 (bilat)	NR	NR	17–45	20–60	NR	45 ± 13
Findings								
Painful side(s)								
Direct hernia	0	0	0	6	NR	4	39	0
Indirect hernia	8 ^d	6	0	2	4	6	17	0
Femoral hernia	1 ^d	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Dilated int ring	7	6	0	NR	28	0	NR	NR
Nil obvious	0	0	24	0	3	1	0	30
Other	0	1	17	1	0	0	0	0
Contralateral side								
Direct hernia	0	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Indirect hernia	7	3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Femoral hernia	0	0	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Dilated int ring	7	3	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Nil obvious	1	4	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Complications	0	0	0	0	NR	1	1	1
Analgesia								
Type	Oral narcotic	Oral narcotic	Oral simple analgesics/NSAIDs	NR	NR	Oral narcotic/simple analgesics	NR	Oral simple analgesics/NSAIDs
Number of days	All patients off analgesics at 3 days	All patients off analgesics at 3 days	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Return to function								
Time to mobilization	All at 24 h	All at 24 h	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
Time to normal activities	NR	All at 24 h	NR	NR	NR	SNR	NR	NR
Time to recreational activities	All at 1 week	NR	NR	NR	NR	SNR	NR	NR
Time to training	All at 2 weeks	NR	NR	NR	NR	SNR	NR	NR
Time to full sport activity	All at 2–3 weeks	All at 6 weeks	93% At 4 weeks	SNR	NR	SNR	88% 6–8 weeks	90% At week 12

Table 2 continued

	Azurin <i>et al</i> ²²	Srinivasan <i>et al</i> ^{25,a}	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ²⁴	Kluin <i>et al</i> ⁶	Susmallian <i>et al</i> ⁹	Edelman <i>et al</i> ²³	van Veen <i>et al</i> ²⁶	Paajanen <i>et al</i> ¹⁴
Follow up								
Total time	6–34 min	6–80 min	51 ± 22 min	12 min	14.6 min	12 min	3 min	12 min
Early 3–6 week follow-up								
Pain severity	Minimal	NR	Minimal	SNR	NR	NR	NR	Mild
Impaired peak activity	0	NR	7%	SNR	NR	SNR	NR	33%
Persistence of symptoms	0	NR	5%	SNR	NR	1	1	53%
Long-term follow-up	NR	All active No symptoms	All active 5% Symptoms in training	90% Active 10% Unable to play	97.1% Active 2.9% Unable to play	90% Active 10% Unable to play	91% Active 9% Impaired activity	97% Full activity
Recurrence	0	NR	0	0	NR	NR	0	NR
Unilat, unilateral; bilat, bilateral; NSAID, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug.								
^a Cases selected to avoid duplication.								
^b 1 patient had a femoral and inguinal hernia.								
^c Cases selected to exclude TAPP.								
^d 56 repairs in 55 patients.								
NR-Not recorded.								
SNR-Specific number not recorded (overall figures in manuscript).								

Because of the spectrum of challenges involved when treating sports hernias, it is important to ensure that a specific patient-centered, multidisciplinary approach is used,^{10,37} and that may involve tenotomy for adductor type pain.^{14,24,26} Furthermore, should a TEP repair be performed prophylactically in patients with asymptomatic contralateral groins?³⁸

A paucity of studies exists regarding TEP repair and sports hernias. The current evidence would suggest a short trial of conservative treatment followed by surgery, provided no other pathology requiring other interventions is identified on imaging studies.

Conclusions

TEP repair is a good operative intervention in athletes with chronic groin pain not relieved by conservative measures. Athletes recover quickly and return to sport early. A paucity of literature necessitates caution when considering treatment.

References

1. Caudill P, Nyland J, Smith C, Yerasimides J, Lach J. Sports hernias: a systematic literature review. *Br J Sports Med* 2008; **42**(12):954–964
2. Paajanen H, Hermunen H, Karonen J. Pubic magnetic resonance imaging findings in surgically and conservatively treated athletes with osteitis pubis compared to asymptomatic athletes during heavy training. *Am J Sports Med* 2008;**36**(1):117–121
3. Gilmore J. Groin pain in the soccer athlete: fact, fiction, and treatment. *Clin Sports Med* 1998;**17**(4):787–793, vii
4. Anderson K, Strickland SM, Warren R. Hip and groin injuries in athletes. *Am J Sports Med* 2001;**29**(4):521–533
5. Fon LJ, Spence RA. Sportsman's hernia. *Br J Surg* 2000; **87**(5):545–552
6. Kluin J, den Hoed PT, van Linschoten R, JC Ijzerman, van Steensel CJ. Endoscopic evaluation and treatment of groin pain in the athlete. *Am J Sports Med* 2004;**32**(4):944–949
7. Malycha P, Lovell G. Inguinal surgery in athletes with chronic groin pain: the "sportsman's" hernia. *Aust N Z J Surg* 1992; **62**(2):123–125
8. Kemp S, Batt ME. The 'sports hernia': a common cause of groin pain. *Phys Sportsmed* 1998;**26**(1):36–44
9. Susmallian S, Ezri T, Elis M, Warters R, Charuzi I, Muggia-Sullam M. Laparoscopic repair of "sportsman's hernia" in soccer players as treatment of chronic inguinal pain. *Med Sci Monit* 2004;**10**(2):CR52–54
10. Nam A, Brody F. Management and therapy for sports hernia. *J Am Coll Surg* 2008;**206**(1):154–164

11. Garvey JF. Computed tomography scan diagnosis of occult groin hernia. *Hernia* 2012 Jun;**16**(3):307–314
12. Kachingwe AF, Grech S. Proposed algorithm for the management of athletes with athletic pubalgia (sports hernia): a case series. *J Orthop Sports Phys Ther* 2008;**38**(12):768–781
13. Holmich P, Uhrskou P, Ulnits L, Kanstrup IL, Nielsen MB, Bjerg AM *et al.* Effectiveness of active physical training as treatment for long-standing adductor-related groin pain in athletes: randomised trial. *Lancet* 1999;**353**(9151):439–443
14. Paajanen H, Brinck T, Hermunen H, Airo I. Laparoscopic surgery for chronic groin pain in athletes is more effective than nonoperative treatment: a randomized clinical trial with magnetic resonance imaging of 60 patients with sportsman's hernia (athletic pubalgia). *Surgery* 2011;**150**(1):99–107
15. Meyers WC, Foley DP, Garrett WE, Lohnes JH, Mandelbaum BR. Management of severe lower abdominal or inguinal pain in high-performance athletes. PAIN (Performing Athletes with Abdominal or Inguinal Neuromuscular Pain Study Group). *Am J Sports Med* 2000;**28**(1):2–8
16. Joesting DR. Diagnosis and treatment of sportsman's hernia. *Curr Sports Med Rep* 2002;**1**(2):121–124
17. Siddiqui MR, Sajid MS, Khatri K, Cheek E, Baig MK. Elective open versus laparoscopic sigmoid colectomy for diverticular disease: a meta-analysis with the Sigma trial. *World J Surg* 2010;**34**(12):2883–2901
18. Siddiqui MR, Sajid MS, Qureshi S, Cheek E, Baig MK. Elective laparoscopic sigmoid resection for diverticular disease has fewer complications than conventional surgery: a meta-analysis. *Am J Surg* 2010;**200**(1):144–161
19. Hamza Y, Gabr E, Hammadi H, Khalil R. Four-arm randomized trial comparing laparoscopic and open hernia repairs. *Int J Surg* 2010;**8**(1):25–28
20. Krishna A, Misra MC, Bansal VK, Kumar S, Rajeshwari S, Chabra A. Laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair: transabdominal preperitoneal (TAPP) versus totally extraperitoneal (TEP) approach: a prospective randomized controlled trial. *Surg Endosc* 2011;**26**(3):639–649
21. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *PLoS Med* 2009;**6**(7):e1000097. Available at <http://www.plosmedicine.org/article/info%3Adoi%2F10.1371%2Fjournal.pmed.1000097>. Accessed November 1, 2012
22. Azurin DJ, Go LS, Schuricht A, McShane J, Bartolozzi A. Endoscopic preperitoneal herniorrhaphy in professional athletes with groin pain. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 1997;**7**(1):7–12
23. Edelman DS, Selesnick H. "Sports" hernia: treatment with biologic mesh (Surgisis): a preliminary study. *Surg Endosc* 2006;**20**(6):971–973
24. Paajanen H, Syvahuoko I, Airo I. Totally extraperitoneal endoscopic (TEP) treatment of sportsman's hernia. *Surg Laparosc Endosc Percutan Tech* 2004;**14**(4):215–218
25. Srinivasan A, Schuricht A. Long-term follow-up of laparoscopic preperitoneal hernia repair in professional athletes. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A* 2002;**12**(2):101–106
26. van Veen RN, de Baat P, Heijboer MP, Kazemier G, Punt BJ, Dwarkasing RS *et al.* Successful endoscopic treatment of chronic groin pain in athletes. *Surg Endosc* 2007;**21**(2):189–193
27. Paajanen H, Heikkinen J, Hermunen H, Airo I. Successful treatment of osteitis pubis by using totally extraperitoneal endoscopic technique. *Int J Sports Med* 2005;**26**(4):303–306
28. Moeller JL. Sportsman's hernia. *Curr Sports Med Rep* 2007;**6**(2):111–114
29. Fredberg U, Kissmeyer-Nielsen P. The sportsman's hernia—fact or fiction? *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 1996;**6**(4):201–204
30. LeBlanc KE, LeBlanc KA. Groin pain in athletes. *Hernia* 2003;**7**(2):68–71
31. Ekstrand J, Hilding J. The incidence and differential diagnosis of acute groin injuries in male soccer players. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 1999;**9**(2):98–103
32. Ingoldby CJ. Laparoscopic and conventional repair of groin disruption in sportsmen. *Br J Surg* 1997;**84**(2):213–215
33. Liem MS, van der Graaf Y, van Steensel CJ, Boelhouwer RU, Clevers GJ, Meijer WS *et al.* Comparison of conventional anterior surgery and laparoscopic surgery for inguinal-hernia repair. *N Engl J Med* 1997;**336**(22):1541–1547
34. Ziprin P, Prabhudesai SG, Abrahams S, Chadwick SJ. Transabdominal preperitoneal laparoscopic approach for the treatment of sportsman's hernia. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2008;**18**(5):669–672
35. Dulucq JL, Wintringer P, Mahajna A. Totally extraperitoneal (TEP) hernia repair after radical prostatectomy or previous lower abdominal surgery: is it safe? A prospective study. *Surg Endosc* 2006;**20**(3):473–476
36. Tai HC, Lin CD, Chung SD, Chueh SC, Tsai YC, Yang SS. A comparative study of standard versus laparoendoscopic single-site surgery (LESS) totally extraperitoneal (TEP) inguinal hernia repair. *Surg Endosc* 2011;**25**(9):2879–2883
37. Minnich JM, Hanks JB, Muschaweck U, Brunt LM, Diduch DR. Sports hernia: diagnosis and treatment highlighting a minimal repair surgical technique. *Am J Sports Med* 2011;**39**(6):1341–1349
38. Pawanindra L, Philips P, Chander J, Ramteke VK. Is unilateral laparoscopic TEP inguinal hernia repair a job half done? The case for bilateral repair. *Surg Endosc* 2010;**24**(7):1737–1745