Published in final edited form as:

Org Biomol Chem. 2012 April 28; 10(16): 3164–3167. doi:10.1039/c2ob07031f.

Expedient Synthesis of α -Substituted Fluoroethenes

Samir K. Mandal^a, Arun K. Ghosh^a, Rakesh Kumar^a, and Barbara Zajc^{*,a}

^aDepartment of Chemistry, The City College and The City University of New York, New York, New York 10031-9198, U.S.A

Abstract

A mild and efficient synthesis of 1-aryl-1-fluoroethenes from benzothiazolyl (aryl)fluoromethyl sulfones and paraformaldehyde, under DBU- or Cs₂CO₃-mediated conditions at room temperature, is described. A comparable diethyl fluoro(naphthalen-2-yl)methylphosphonate reagent does not react with paraformaldehyde under these mild conditions. The utility of the methodology for terminal α -fluoroalkene synthesis bearing electron-withdrawing functionalities is also shown.

The unique influence fluorine atom exerts on the properties of organic molecules¹ is reflected in wide interest in fluorinated compounds, ranging from agrochemicals, pharmaceuticals, to drug discovery purposes, and materials.^{2–4} Regiospecific introduction of fluorine into organic molecules therefore continues to be of significance.⁵ In this context, terminal 1-aryl-1-fluoroethenes are synthetically useful building blocks,⁶ and recently potent antibacterial activity of an arene containing a terminal α -fluorovinyl group has been shown as well.⁷ Current synthetic approaches for the preparation⁸ of 1-aryl-1-fluoroethenes involve a halofluorination-elimination sequence on styrenes,⁹ elimination from fluorohydrin tosylates,^{9b} fluoroselenenylation-elimination,¹⁰ cross-coupling methods,¹¹ and hydrofluorination-elimination reaction of phenylacetylene.¹²

We¹³ and others¹⁴ have been involved with development of the Julia-Kocienski olefination¹⁵ for the synthesis of variously functionalized fluoro alkenes.¹⁶ However, to the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the use of this approach for the synthesis of 1-aryl-1-fluoroethenes. In order to evaluate its use for the synthesis of 1-aryl-1-fluoroethenes, appropriate 1,3-benzothiazol-2-yl (BT) reagents were synthesized following our protocol of heterogeneous metalation-electrophilic fluorination.^{13a} These were subjected to olefinations with paraformaldehyde (Table 1). Condensations of paraformaldehyde with Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons (HWE) reagents containing reactive methylene groups have been reported either with strong base^{17–19} or under mild conditions.^{14e} We have previously shown fluorinated BT-sulfones to be more reactive than their HWE counterparts.^{13b,c} Thus, we wanted to assess whether olefinations of fluorinated BT-sulfones *not* containing a highly activated methylene group would proceed under mild conditions. Mild conditions would be important in the case of relatively complex and/or labile aryl derivatives.

Olefination conditions using mild bases were screened in reactions of fluoro(1-naphthyl)methyl BT-sulfone (**2a**, Table 1) and paraformaldehyde (10 molar equiv) at room temperature. When either NEt₃ or K₃CO₃ were used as base, no reaction (entries 1, 2) or low conversion was observed (entry 3). On the other hand, (1-naphthyl)fluoroethene **3a**

Fax: 01 212-650-6107; Tel: 01 212-650-8926; barbaraz@sci.ccny.cuny.edu.

[†]Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Synthetic procedures, spectral data and copies of ¹H and ¹³C spectra]. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

(Table 1) was isolated in 57% yield with Cs_2CO_3 , but the yield decreased to 49% when a lower excess of the base was used (entries 4, 5). When TMG was used as base, no starting sulfone was observed after an overnight reaction, but **3a** was isolated in only 25% yield (entry 6). Good yield of product **3a** was obtained in DBU-mediated condensation in CH_2Cl_2 (entry 7), and the yield was slightly lowered with a lower excess of DBU (entry 8). Changing the solvent to THF gave a comparable yield in DBU-mediated reaction (compare entries 7 and 9). Use of Cs_2CO_3 (10 molar equiv, entry 10) in THF gave **3a** in 67% yield, which was comparable to the 71% yield when a lower excess of Cs_2CO_3 (2 molar equiv, entry 11) was used.

To assess the generality of condensations, a series of BT-sulfides was prepared either from benzyl bromides or from alcohols via the Mitsunobu reaction. Oxidation of the sulfides gave sulfones **1b–1h**. For experimental procedures and NMR data of sulfides and sulfones, please see the Supporting Information. Metalation-fluorination of **1b–1h** yielded fluoro BT-sulfones **2b–2h** (isolated yields of 76%–90%). Sulfones **2b–2h** were reacted with paraformaldehyde using Method A (DBU, CH_2Cl_2) and/or Method B (2 molar equiv of Cs_2CO_3 , for economic considerations) and the results are shown in Table 2. In the cases tested, comparable results were obtained with either 3 or 10 molar equiv of DBU, except for sulfone **2f**, where the use of 3 molar equiv gave a much better yield (entry 12).

To evaluate the influence of the heteroaryl moiety on reactivity, (phenyl)methyl 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazol-5-yl (PT) sulfone (PT analog of BT-sulfone **1b**) was subjected to metalation-electrophilic fluorination under our heterogeneous conditions.^{13a} In an unoptimized experiment, only 30% of the desired fluoro(phenyl)methyl 1-phenyl-1*H*-tetrazol-5-yl sulfone (PT analog of BT-sulfone **2b**) was isolated, and the remaining material was the starting unfluorinated PT-sulfone. Reaction of the fluorinated PT-sulfone with paraformaldehyde (DBU, CH_2Cl_2) proceeded to completion and **3b** was formed in the reaction, but due to the low fluorination yield, no further attempts were made to optimize and pursue this.

Next, the reactivity of paraformaldehyde was compared to that of aqueous formaldehyde (37 wt%). Reaction of fluoro(1-naphthyl)methyl BT-sulfone **2a** with this formaldehyde solution (10 molar equiv of CH_2O) was performed in THF, with either Cs_2CO_3 (2 molar equiv), or with DBU (10 molar equiv). Only starting sulfone **2a** and no product was observed in the Cs_2CO_3 mediated condensation after 24 h at room temperature. In the DBU-mediated reaction, **2a** disappeared but only small amount of **3a** was formed (8% isolated yield of a slightly impure product after a 24 h reaction).

In order to compare the reactivity of (aryl)fluoromethyl sulfones **2** to Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons (HWE) reagents, the HWE analog of Julia reagent **2f** was synthesized. The unknown HWE reagent **4** was obtained by fluorination of the known diethyl (naphthalen-2-yl)methylphosphonate²⁰ (see the Supporting Information) and reacted with paraformaldehyde under various conditions (Scheme 1). No reaction was observed in 24 h under DBU/THF, DBU/ CH_2Cl_2 , or Cs_2CO_3 / CH_2Cl_2 conditions. This shows a higher reactivity of the Julia reagent, as compared to the HWE analog, likely due to the differences in the pK_a values of the proton being abstracted.

We were curious to evaluate whether reaction of unfluorinated (aryl)methyl BT-sulfone would also proceed under mild conditions. An overnight room-temperature reaction of **1a** (1 molar equiv) with paraformaldehyde (10 molar equiv) in CH_2Cl_2 using Cs_2CO_3 (2 molar equiv) gave 1-vinylnaphthalene in 58% isolated yield. This preliminary result indicates that this approach could be useful for mild synthesis of unfluorinated styrene-like compounds as well.

We next wanted to assess whether benzothiazolyl fluoromethyl sulfones, activated with an additional electron-withdrawing substituent, could also be reacted with paraformaldehyde under these mild conditions. As described earlier, a single condensation reaction of paraformaldehyde and an activated HWE-analog (a fluorinated arylsulfonylmethanephosphonate) under mild conditions, has been reported.^{14e} Therefore, fluoromethyl BT-sulfones with electron withdrawing groups (EWG) were synthesized (**5a–e**, for synthesis and spectral data please see the Supporting Information). Olefinations of **5a–e** (Table 3) gave typically good yields with Cs₂CO₃ in CH₂Cl₂ (compare entries 1 and 2, as well as 4 and 5). A comparative reaction of HWE reagent PhSO₂CH(F)P(O)(OEt)₂, on the other hand, gave a better yield with DBU in CH₂Cl₂ (compare entries 8, 9).

The initially synthesized 1-aryl-1-fluoroethenes can be converted to more elaborate compounds. To demonstrate this, preliminary conversions of **3c** via Suzuki-coupling reactions were investigated. Suzuki reactions of stilbene-like β-aryl-α-fluoro-α-(2-bromophenyl)ethenes have recently been reported, and isomerization of alkene geometry under Suzuki coupling conditions can occur.^{14h} In our work, 4-methoxy and 4-acetylphenylboronic acids were reacted with α-fluoro-α-(2-bromophenyl)ethene **3c** using Pd₂(dba)₃/2'-(dicyclohexylphosphino)-2-*N,N*-dimethylaminobiphenyl (**L**)/CsF in 1,4-dioxane (Scheme 2). Not unexpectedly, the electron-rich 4-methoxyphenylboronic acid gave a high 82% yield of the biphenyl product **7**, whereas the electron-deficient 4-acetylphenylboronic acid gave **8** in a lower but reasonable 59% yield. These results clearly indicate that these α-fluorostyrenes can be used in further transformations without significant difficulties.

In summary, 1-aryl-1-fluoroethenes can be synthesized under mild, Cs₂CO₃- or DBU-mediated conditions from benzothiazolyl (aryl)fluoromethyl sulfones and paraformaldehyde, at room temperature. By comparison, diethyl fluoro(naphthalen-2-yl)methylphosphonate does not undergo condensation with paraformaldehyde under these mild conditions. Further conversion of α-fluoro-α-(2-bromophenyl)vinyl product was demonstrated in a Suzuki coupling. This simple methodology is also effective for the preparation of terminal fluoroalkenes bearing electron withdrawing substituents, demonstrating its broad generality.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by NIH (NIGMS) Grant S06 GM008168 and PSC CUNY awards. Infrastructural support was provided by NIH RCMI Grant 5G12 RR03060. We thank Dr. Andrew Poss (Honeywell) for a sample of NFSI.

Notes and references

1. (a) Smart BE. *J Fluorine Chem.* 2001; 109:3.(b) Lemal DM. *J Org Chem.* 2004; 69:1. [PubMed: 14703372] (c) O'Hagan D. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2008; 37:308. [PubMed: 18197347]
2. Jeschke P. *ChemBioChem.* 2004; 5:570.
3. (a) Berkowitz DB, Karukurichi KR, de la Salud-Bea R, Nelson DL, McCune CD. *J Fluorine Chem.* 2008; 129:731.(b) Purser S, Moore PR, Swallow S, Gouverneur V. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2008; 37:320. [PubMed: 18197348] (c) Bégue, J-P.; Bonnet-Delpon, D. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.; Hoboken, NJ: 2008.
4. Berger R, Resnati G, Metrangolo P, Weber E, Hulliger J. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2011; 40:3496. [PubMed: 21448484]
5. (a) Kirsch, P. *Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications*. Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co KGaA; 2004. (b) Chambers, RD., editor. *Fluorine in Organic Chemistry*.

- Blackwell Publishing Ltd.; Oxford: 2004. (c) Soloshonok, VA., editor. Fluorine-Containing Synthons. American Chemical Society; Washington, DC: 2005. (d) Laali, KK., editor. Modern Organofluorine Chemistry-Synthetic Aspects. Vol. 2. Bentham Science Publishers; Hilversum, The Netherlands: 2006. (e) Soloshonok, VA.; Mikami, K.; Yamazaki, T.; Welch, JT.; Honek, JF., editors. Current Fluoroorganic Chemistry: New Synthetic Directions, Technologies, Materials, and Biological Applications. American Chemical Society; Washington, DC: 2007.
6. See for example: Meyer OGJ, Fröhlich R, Haufe G. *Synthesis*. 2000;1479.; Rosen TC, Yoshida S, Fröhlich R, Kirk KL, Haufe G. *J Med Chem*. 2004; 47:5860. [PubMed: 15537343] ; Wong OA, Shi Y. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:8377. [PubMed: 19795858] ; Schlosser M, Brügger N, Schmidt W, Amrhein N. *Tetrahedron*. 2004; 60:7731.; Souzy R, Ameduri B, Boutevin B. *Prog Polym Sci*. 2004; 29:75.
 7. (a) Critchley IA, Young CL, Stone KC, Ochsner UA, Guiles J, Tarasow T, Janjic N. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005; 49:4247. [PubMed: 16189105] (b) Ochsner UA, Young CL, Stone KC, Dean FB, Janjic N, Critchley IA. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2005; 49:4253. [PubMed: 16189106]
 8. For a recent review see: Landelle G, Bergeron M, Turcotte-Savard M-O, Paquin J-F. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2011; 40:2867. [PubMed: 21399789]
 9. (a) Eckes L, Hanack M. *Synthesis*. 1978:217.(b) Baklouti A, Chaabouni MM. *J Fluorine Chem*. 1981; 19:181.(c) Suga H, Hamatani T, Guggisberg Y, Schlosser M. *Tetrahedron*. 1990; 46:4255.(d) Haufe G, Alvernhe G, Laurent A, Ernet T, Goj O, Kröger S, Sattler A. *Org Synth*. 1999; 76:159.
 10. (a) McCarthy JR, Matthews DP, Barney CL. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1990; 31:973.(b) Lermontov SA, Zavorin SI, Bakhtin IV, Pushin AN, Zefirov NS, Stang PJ. *J Fluorine Chem*. 1998; 87:75.
 11. (a) Heitz W, Knebelkamp A. *Makromol Chem Rapid Commun*. 1991; 12:69.(b) Heitz W, Knebelkamp A. *Makromol Chem Rapid Commun*. 1991; 12:597.(c) Matthews DP, Gross RS, McCarthy JR. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1994; 35:1027.(d) Matthews DP, Waid PP, Sabol JS, McCarthy JR. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1994; 35:5177.(e) Chen C, Wilcoxon K, Huang CQ, Strack N, McCarthy JR. *J Fluorine Chem*. 2000; 101:285.(f) Hanamoto T, Kobayashi T, Kondo M. *Synlett*. 2001:281.(g) Hanamoto T, Kobayashi T. *J Org Chem*. 2003; 68:6354. [PubMed: 12895071] (h) Qui J, Gyorokos A, Tarasow TM, Guiles J. *J Org Chem*. 2008; 73:9775. [PubMed: 18980327]
 12. Matsuda K, Sedlak JA, Noland JS, Gleckler GC. *J Org Chem*. 1962; 27:4015.
 13. (a) Ghosh AK, Zajc B. *Org Lett*. 2006; 8:1553. [PubMed: 16597108] (b) Zajc B, Kake S. *Org Lett*. 2006; 8:4457. [PubMed: 16986924] (c) He M, Ghosh AK, Zajc B. *Synlett*. 2008:999. [PubMed: 19888442] (d) del Solar M, Ghosh AK, Zajc B. *J Org Chem*. 2008; 73:8206. [PubMed: 18841918] (e) Ghosh AK, Banerjee S, Sinha S, Kang SB, Zajc B. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:3689. [PubMed: 19361189] (f) Ghosh AK, Zajc B. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:8531. [PubMed: 19831343] (g) Kumar R, Zajc B. *Chem Commun*. 2011; 47:3891.
 14. (a) Chevie D, Lequeux T, Demoute JP, Pazenok S. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2003; 44:8127.(b) Pfund E, Lebargy C, Rouden J, Lequeux T. *J Org Chem*. 2007; 72:7871. [PubMed: 17880136] (c) Alonso DA, Fuensanta M, Gómez-Bengoa E, Nájera C. *Adv Synth Catal*. 2008; 350:1823.(d) Calata C, Catel J-M, Pfund E, Lequeux T. *Tetrahedron*. 2009; 65:3967.(e) Calata C, Pfund E, Lequeux T. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:9399. [PubMed: 19921801] (f) Zhao Y, Huang W, Zhu L, Hu J. *Org Lett*. 2010; 12:1444. [PubMed: 20210302] (g) Prakash GKS, Shakhmin A, Zibinsky M, Ledneczki I, Chacko S, Olah GA. *J Fluorine Chem*. 2010; 131:1192.(h) Allendörfer N, Es-Sayed M, Nieger M, Bräse S. *Synthesis*. 2010:3439.(i) Zhu L, Ni C, Zhao Y, Hu J. *Tetrahedron*. 2010; 66:5089.
 15. For reviews see: Blakemore PR. *J Chem Soc Perkin Trans I*. 2002:2563.; Plesniak K, Zarecki A, Wicha J. *Top Curr Chem*. 2007; 275:163. [PubMed: 23605513] ; Aïssa C. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2009:1831.
 16. For a recent review see: Zajc B, Kumar R. *Synthesis*. 2010:1822. [PubMed: 22544979]
 17. Koizumi T, Hagi T, Horie Y, Takeuchi Y. *Chem Pharm Bull*. 1987; 35:3959.
 18. Thenappan A, Burton DJ. *J Org Chem*. 1990; 55:4639.
 19. Thenappan A, Burton DJ. *J Fluorine Chem*. 1990; 48:153.
 20. Schwender CF, Beers SA, Malloy E, Demarest K, Minor L, Lau KHW. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett*. 1995; 5:1801.

Scheme 1.

Reactivity of the Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons analog under mild conditions

Scheme 2. Suzuki conversions of α -fluorovinyl derivative **3c**

Table 1

Screening of reaction conditions^a

<p>X = H: 1a → LDA, PhCH₃, solid NFSI, 84% → X = F: 2a</p> <p>Reaction with HO-(CH₂CH₂O)_n-H (10 molar equiv) under conditions, rt, overnight yields 3a.</p>			
Entry	Base (molar equiv)	Solvent	Yield (%)
1	NEt ₃ (10)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	NR ^b
2	K ₂ CO ₃ (10)	Acetone	NR ^b
3	K ₂ CO ₃ (10)	THF-H ₂ O	23 ^c
4	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (10)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	57 ^d
5	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (2)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	49 ^d
6	TMG ^e (10)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	25 ^d
7	DBU ^e (10)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	67 ^d
8	DBU (3)	CH ₂ Cl ₂	62 ^d
9	DBU (10)	THF	68 ^d
10	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (10)	THF	67 ^d
11	Cs ₂ CO ₃ (2)	THF	71 ^d

^aFor synthesis of **2a**, **1a**, and the sulfide precursor, see the Supporting Information.^bNo reaction.^cNot isolated, conversion determined by ¹⁹F NMR.^dIsolated yield.^eTMG: 1,1,1,3,3-pentamethylguanidine; DBU: 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene.

Table 2

1-Aryl-1-fluoroethenes synthesized^a

Entry	Product: Ar =	Method ^b (Solvent)	Yield ^c (%)	
1	3b :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	71	
2		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	86	
3	3c :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	57, 51 ^d	
4		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	61	
5		B (THF)	54	
6	3d :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	92, 95 ^d	
7		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	81	
8		B (THF)	90	
9	3e :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	76 ^d	
10		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	78	
11		B (THF)	71	
12	3f :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	69, 92 ^d	
13		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	74	
14		B (THF)	91	
15	3g :	B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	53	
16		B (THF)	63	
17	3h :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	99	
18		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	92	
19		B (THF)	83	

^aSulfone **2b-h**: 1 molar equiv; paraformaldehyde: 10 molar equiv.^bMethod A: DBU (10 molar equiv); Method B: Cs₂CO₃ (2 molar equiv).^cYield of isolated, purified products.^d3 Molar equiv of DBU were used.

Table 3

Synthesis of EWG-substituted α -fluoroolefins^a

Entry	Product: EWG =	Method ^b (Solvent)	Yield ^c (%)
1	6a :	B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	75
2		B (THF)	39 ^d
3	6b :	B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	64
4	6c :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	54
5		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	66
6	6d :	B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	68
7	6e :	B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	89
8 ^e	6a :	A (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	89
9 ^e		B (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	76

^aSulfone **5a-e**: 1 molar equiv; paraformaldehyde: 10 molar equiv.^bMethod A: DBU (3 molar equiv); Method B: Cs₂CO₃ (2 molar equiv).^cYield of isolated, purified product.^dOther unidentified products were formed.^eReaction was performed with Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reagent Ph-SO₂-CH(F)-P(O)(OEt)₂.