Published in final edited form as:

J Nutr Biochem. 2010 September ; 21(9): 848–855. doi:10.1016/j.jnutbio.2009.06.013.

***Trans*-10, *cis*-12-Conjugated Linoleic Acid Alters Hepatic Gene Expression in a Polygenic Obese Line of Mice Displaying Hepatic Lipidosis**

Melissa S. Ashwell¹, Ryan P. Ceddia¹, Ralph L. House¹, Joseph P. Cassady¹, Eugene J. Eisen¹, Thomas E. Eling², Jennifer B. Collins³, Sherry F. Grissom³, and Jack Odle^{1,*}

¹Department of Animal Science, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC

²Laboratory of Molecular Carcinogenesis, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC

³NIEHS Microarray Group, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC

Keywords

gene expression; mice; liver; conjugated linoleic acid; obesity; hepatic steatosis; fatty liver

Introduction

Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA) is a term that refers to positional and geometrical isomers of linoleic acid (LA) [1]. Previous mouse studies have shown that the *trans*-10, *cis*-12 isomer of CLA has mostly delipidative effects [2–5] while the *cis*-9, *trans*-11 isomer has mostly anti-carcinogenic effects [6]. The *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA isomer elicits delipidative effects not only in mice, but also in rats, chicks, pigs, and humans [7–9]. Effects of CLA on obesity vary between and within species; in mice, CLA reduces fat-body mass by 50 to 70% [8]. These changes in adipose and body mass may be caused by reduced size and number of adipocytes [10–13], differences in energy expenditure [14–17] and/or feed intake [18]. In addition to changes in adipose tissue, increases in size, cytoplasmic vacuolization, and fatty acid oxidation in liver of CLA fed mice have been reported [4, 7, 8, 19]. Much of the increased liver mass may be explained by accumulation of lipids [19, 20] derived from mobilization of delipidated adipose tissue stores [21, 22].

Attempts have been made to elucidate the molecular mechanisms of action of CLA. To date, four microarray studies using gene expression profiling to examine the effects of CLA have been reported [10, 19, 23, 24]. Regulatory pathways involved in development, signal transduction, and fatty acid metabolism affected by CLA have been identified. In a previous study [19], we reported microarray data from adipose tissue of a polygenic obese line of mice fed 1% *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA. It was concluded that the delipidative effects of CLA

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author: Dr. Jack Odle, Department of Animal Science, NC State, CB 7621, Raleigh, NC 27695; 919-515-4050; (919) 515-6884 (fax); jack_odle@ncsu.edu.

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

occur through a pleiotropic reduction in fatty acid and triglyceride translocation and storage, decreased glucose availability, and increased fatty acid oxidation. In that experiment adipose mass was 30% less in the epididymal depot of CLA fed mice, 27% less in the mesenteric depot and 58% less in brown adipose tissue after 14 days of CLA treatment [19]. In that same study we found that livers of the CLA-fed mice accumulated more fat and mass than the livers from LA-fed mice. Here we report gene expression and fatty acid composition data from livers of these male mice that were fed *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA for 14 days [19]. Based on these data it was concluded that CLA is associated with differential regulation of many genes in the murine liver, particularly those regulated by the hepatocyte nuclear factor 4a transcription factor (HNF-4a), which is thought to be important in controlling metabolic status of the liver [25].

Materials and Methods

Mice

M16 mice were maintained according to an approved North Carolina State University's Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee protocol. The M16 line is a moderately obese line that was selected for 3–6 week post-weaning gain [26].

Diet Composition and Tissue sampling

Animals were fed purified AIN93G pellets (Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI) formulated with either 1% *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA or 1% LA as a treatment control. The *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA was kindly donated by BASF (Ludwigshafen, Germany), and LA was purchased from Nu-Chek-Prep (Elysian, MN). Dietary *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA and LA content were analyzed, confirming 92% and 99% purity, respectively. All animals were fed the LA diet for 7 days and then given ad libitum access to their assigned diet (either CLA or LA) for 14 days. A total of 36 nine-week-old male mice were used for this experiment, with 18 mice receiving each diet. Mice in the fed state were euthanized by CO₂ asphyxiation and liver samples collected, weighed, and stored at –80°C.

Fatty Acid Composition of Tissues

Lipids were extracted from liver samples of eight mice per treatment group and fatty acids were quantified by gas-liquid chromatography as previously described [27]. Dietary treatment effects (LA vs CLA) were analyzed according to a completely randomized design using the general linear models procedure of SAS (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Differences were considered significant when $P < 0.05$.

RNA Extractions

Total RNA was isolated from liver using TriReagent (Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and further purified with Qiagen RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol with modifications previously described [28].

Microarrays

Agilent (Palo Alto, CA) G4121A mouse oligo microarray slides containing more than 20,000 probes were used for this experiment. Pooled total RNA was labeled with cyanine 3 (Cy3)- and cyanine 5 (Cy5)-labeled dCTP using the Agilent Fluorescent Direct Label kit (Palo Alto, CA) following the manufacturer's protocol. Pooled samples were used to reduce costs of the experiment. For pooling, equal amounts of total RNA from 4 or 5 different mice, were combined for labeling. Because there were 36 mice from each treatment group, 4 biological replicates were represented on the microarrays. Four microarrays were hybridized using RNA pooled from the 36 animals (18 CLA-fed, 18 LA-fed). Microarrays were

hybridized for 17 hours and washed as previously described [19]. Slides were scanned with an Agilent G2565BA microarray scanner (Palo Alto, CA).

Microarray Data Collection and Analysis

Microarray data were collected and analyzed as previously described [19]. Briefly, microarray data extraction was performed using Agilent G2567AA Feature Extraction software, following Agilent's direct labeling protocol. Rosetta Resolver version 3.2, build 3.2.2.0.33 (Rosetta Biosoftware, Kirkland, WA) was used to analyze data as previously described [19]. Intensity plots were produced and genes were identified as candidate genes if $P < 0.01$ using the Rosetta Resolver error model [29]. Raw data were deposited in the National Center for Biotechnology Information Gene Expression Omnibus in accordance with "minimum information about microarray experiments" guidelines and given accession numbers GSM272840, GSM272907, GSM272908, GSM272909 and GSE10865. P-values from Rosetta Resolver were converted to false discovery rate (FDR) P-values [30].

Real-Time RT-PCR

Real-time RT-PCR primers were designed to assay 12 genes and the ribosomal protein S18 housekeeping gene using Beacon Designer 7 (PREMIER Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA) or selected from primers previously designed [23] (Table 1). PCR products were sequenced to confirm their identity. Genes targeted for quantitative real-time RT-PCR verification were selected based on their involvement in signal transduction pathways (*socs6* and *stat5b*) or because they had greater than 2-fold differential expression based on microarray results (*bcl6*, *pdcfd8*, *tspyl4*). Others were selected because they had previously been studied in the liver of female mice fed CLA (*acox1*, *egfr*, *fasn*, *igfbp2*, *me*) [23] or are important components of metabolic pathways (*agpat2* and *dgat2*).

A total of 500 ng RNA was reverse transcribed using Applied Biosystems High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Foster City, CA) for each mouse. Real-time RT-PCR reactions for 12 target genes and the S18 housekeeping gene were performed using Applied Biosystems Power SYBR[®] Green PCR Master Mix (Foster City, CA) in a BioRad iCycler (Hercules, CA) with minor modifications. Fluorescein was added at a final concentration of 10 nM as the reference dye. Cycling conditions were as follows: 95°C for 7 min, 60 cycles of (95°C for 30 sec, appropriate annealing temperature (Table 1) for 30 sec, 72.0°C for 30 sec), 72°C for 5 min, 95°C for 1 min, 55.0°C for 1 min, followed by a melt curve analysis of 80 cycles of 10 seconds at 55°C with a 0.5°C increase every cycle. SYBR Green gene expression data were collected from two replicates of each sample and three replicates of each standard curve point. Replicate samples were averaged and analyzed using the Pfaffl [31] method to quantify expression ratios between the CLA and LA cycle threshold values. Determination of statistical significance was done by ANOVA (45). The Relative Expression Software Tool [32] calculated fold changes adjusted for differences in PCR amplification efficiencies.

Pathway and network analysis

GeneGo's MetaCore software suite (St. Joseph, MI) was used to further interrogate the differentially expressed genes from the experiment. Gene abbreviations for the 775 genes exceeding the FDR threshold of $P < 0.05$ and their fold changes were uploaded into the MetaCore workflow to identify potential pathways or networks associated with CLA treatment. The most relevant signaling or metabolic pathways and networks were identified using the "enrichment analysis—pathway maps" option. In addition, networks were built using network building tools provided in the software. The "analyze network (transcription factors)" tool built networks connecting differentially expressed gene targets of transcription factors.

Results

Fat Content and Fatty Acid Composition of Liver

The average amount of fat in the CLA and LA livers was 8.54g and 5.34g, respectively, per 100 g of wet tissue, indicating accumulation of 62.5% more fat in the CLA-fed livers ($P < 0.002$; Table 2). As we reported previously [19], there was a 33% increase in liver weight from mice fed the CLA diet for 14 days. Accumulation of fat accounted for approximately 20% of this increase. Because feeding CLA increased hepatic lipid levels, we examined the fatty acid profile. The *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA isomer (18:2) was only present in livers of mice fed the CLA supplemented diet (Table 2). The C18:0/C18:1 ratio was significantly different ($P < 0.05$) between the livers of mice fed CLA and LA.

Hepatic gene expression and pathway analysis

Microarrays were used to identify genes differentially expressed due to CLA treatment. Analysis of gene expression data identified 1393 differentially expressed genes at a nominal P-value of 0.01. After FDR correction, 775 genes were differentially expressed ($P < 0.05$; a list of these genes can be found with the GEO accession GSE10865). Quantitative real-time RT-PCR assays designed for 12 genes and a housekeeping gene (Table 1) were used to validate our results or to compare with results reported in a similar study [23] in which CLA was fed and liver gene expression examined. Of the 12 target genes evaluated (Table 3), results from 1 gene disagreed between techniques indicating 92% of the genes tested had results congruent between microarray and RT-PCR assays. The result for *stat5b* was likely a false-positive from the microarray, in which the more sensitive real-time PCR method indicated no statistical differential expression for this gene.

Our list of 775 differentially expressed genes was imported into GeneGo software to identify pathways affected when CLA is added to the diet. Five pathways were identified that may be affected by CLA treatment ($P < 0.03$) (Table 4). These pathways involve cell signaling cascades, while more traditional metabolic pathways were unaffected (Table 5).

Discussion

In this study, we examined differential gene expression using microarrays and real-time PCR in the livers of mice fed either CLA or LA. We found that livers of CLA fed mice weighed more and contained more lipid. Conversion of saturated fatty acids to their corresponding monounsaturated fatty acids is catalyzed by stearoyl CoA desaturase 1 (SCD1); hence, comparing ratios of unsaturated to monounsaturated fatty acids may provide an indication of enzymatic activity [33]. Some groups have shown SCD1 levels to be reduced [34, 35], while others have found levels to be unaffected [23, 36] or increased [37] in murine liver when mice were fed CLA. In our evaluation of liver samples, the 16:0/16:1 ratio was unchanged ($P = 0.372$) while the ratio of 18:0/18:1 was decreased ($P = 0.045$). Therefore we cannot determine if SCD activity was affected by CLA treatment based solely on fatty acid ratios. In liver the 18:0/18:1 ratio was decreased and the 16:0/16:1 ratio was unchanged; however, in adipose we found the opposite, with 16:0/16:1 increased and 18:0/18:1 unchanged in these same mice [19]. It is possible that hepatic steatosis was caused by accretion of fatty acids originating from delipidated adipose tissue during the 14 days of CLA treatment [21, 22]. Putative translocation of fatty acids from adipose to liver tissues could have masked changes in the ratios of saturated to unsaturated fatty acids resulting from *de novo* hepatic synthesis. Although we did not measure circulating non-esterified fatty acid concentration or composition, Oikawa and coworkers [38] observed an attenuation of CLA-induced hepatic lipidosis via arachidonic acid and this effect was not associated with altered NEFA concentration.

Using GeneGo we were able to identify biological pathways that appear to be affected when CLA is added to the diet. The five identified pathways involve cell signaling cascades, while more traditional metabolic pathways appear to be unaffected (Table 5). These results support use of microarrays, because initially we hypothesized metabolic pathways such as fatty acid and glycerol lipid synthesis and/or degradation, would be affected. However, these pathways were not significantly affected, with most of the genes associated with these pathways being unchanged. While carbon-flux through these hepatic pathways may well be altered by dietary CLA feeding, our data would suggest that changes in flux, by and large, are not mediated by changes in gene expression of enzymes in the pathways.

CLA treatment appears to affect the protein kinase A (PKA) signal transduction pathway containing a total of 23 objects, such as proteins and genes, as defined by GeneGo (Table 4). Eight of these objects were differentially expressed in our experiment, with four genes down-regulated in the livers of the CLA-fed mice and four genes up-regulated. Many of these same genes are found in the cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) signaling pathway, which regulates PKA and was another pathway significantly affected by CLA treatment (Table 4). Down-regulation of these four genes may indicate that less cAMP is available in the liver, which may lead to less degradation of stored glycogen [39]. Additional evidence supporting CLA's affect on the cAMP/PKA signaling pathway was shown in rat cardiac gene expression, in which Tappia and co-authors suggest that abnormalities in this signaling pathway are modulated by dietary CLA [40].

The G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway associated with smooth muscle contraction was also affected by CLA treatment. This pathway contains a total of 54 objects, with ten of those being differentially expressed in our experiment (Table 4). Genes in this pathway include many of the same genes in the cAMP and PKA signaling pathways discussed above. This includes adenylate cyclase, whose transcript was down-regulated in the CLA-treated livers, and whose gene product normally increases levels of cAMP, which in turn, activates PKA [41]. CLA was previously shown to affect vascular smooth muscle cells through inhibition of nuclear factor κ B (NF- κ B) activation via a peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor γ -dependent mechanism, preventing an inflammatory response and development of atherosclerosis [42].

An immune response pathway was affected in our CLA experiment (Table 4). This pathway, involving antigen presentation by major histocompatibility complex class I proteins, contains 6 out of 26 objects differentially expressed when CLA was fed. CLA isomers have been shown to have anti-inflammatory properties [43] and affected genes in this pathway were generally down-regulated, adding further evidence of CLA's anti-inflammatory effects.

The angiopoietin-Tie2 signaling pathway was also affected by CLA treatment. This pathway contains 34 objects, 7 of which were differentially expressed in our experiment (Table 4). Angiopoietin down-regulates NF- κ B by binding to endothelial cell receptor tyrosine kinase (Tie2), which eventually results in failure of NF- κ B activation. Wunderlich and co-workers [44] reported repression of NF- κ B in the liver of mice fed a high fat diet was associated with accumulation of lipid in hepatocytes, similar to what was observed in the liver of mice fed CLA. CLA modulates NF- κ B activation in macrophages [45], and may have a similar effect in hepatocytes.

A study similar to our study was conducted feeding 0.5% *trans* 10, *cis* 12-CLA to female mice [23]. Rasooly and colleagues [23] targeted genes involved in fatty acid oxidation and we used several of the primers they reported [23] to directly compare real-time PCR results (Table 3). They found a tendency toward differential expression of acetyl CoA oxidase 1 (*acox1*), while we found significant down-regulation of this gene. Rasooly and coworkers

[23] found down-regulation of epidermal growth factor receptor (*egfr*) in their CLA-fed mice and our results support that finding. Insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2 (*igfbp2*) was differentially expressed in both studies [23] while fatty acid synthase (*fasn*) was not differentially expressed in our real-time PCR data, but was in their study. We found no differential expression of malic enzyme (*me*), while their microarray result was unconfirmed using real-time PCR. Therefore, it is most likely that *me* is not differentially expressed in liver when *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA is fed. The few discrepancies between these studies may be due to gender differences because we examined males and they [23] examined females. Clodfelter and co-workers [46] reported extensive gender gene expression differences in murine liver.

Networks built around transcription factors using the differentially expressed gene list identified a common transcription factor, hepatocyte nuclear factor 4a (HNF-4a), which regulates gene expression for 98 of the 775 genes (Figure 1). This transcription factor regulates a vast number of genes in hepatocytes and pancreatic islets and mutations within HNF-4a cause the type 1 form of maturity-onset diabetes of the young [47]. HNF-4a is an extensive controller of liver function and previous studies showed that conditional knock-out of this gene in the mouse liver [25] produces offspring that accumulate lipid in the liver, similar to what is seen when CLA is fed. In addition, pathway analysis indicated that the PKA pathway was affected in this experiment and Viollet and co-workers [48] reported that PKA phosphorylates HNF-4a, modulating the DNA-binding activity of this important hepatic transcription factor.

Holloway and co-workers [49] examined murine liver-specific HNF4a-deficiency and found lack of this transcription factor has a greater impact on gene expression in males. They suggest HNF-4a regulates gender-specific gene expression in the liver using mechanisms active in males and primarily inactive in females [49]. We only examined males which may explain why we identified genes regulated by HNF-4a as being differentially expressed.

Co-enzyme A esters of long-chain fatty acids modulate the transcriptional activity of HNF-4a based on chain length and degree of saturation [50], but no data exist on specific effects of different CLA isomers. Khan and Heuvel [50] hypothesized that different CLA isomers act as peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor ligands, suggesting specific nuclear receptors might recognize distinct fatty acid structures leading to different phenotypes, perhaps explaining the unique effects of CLA feeding.

Analysis of the amount of fat in our liver samples indicated that only 20% of the increase in liver mass was due to increase in fat. Some of the weight accretion may be due to cell proliferation through inhibition of HNF-4a. Lazarevich and co-workers [51] showed loss of HNF-4a led to cell proliferation and dedifferentiation in hepatocellular carcinomas. Support for HNF-4a inhibition is found in examination of transcript levels for the genes affected by CLA treatment. Examination of putative functions of the 98 genes regulated by HNF-4a found four to be involved in inflammation and ten to be involved in fatty acid and bile acid metabolism (Table 6). The sodium bile acid cotransporter, *slc10a1*, was down-regulated in the CLA-fed mice, and this gene is directly activated by HNF-4a [52]. HNF-4a also stimulates two cytochrome P450 genes (*cyp27a1* and *cyp1a1*) [53] [54], which were down-regulated in the CLA-fed livers. It appears that bile acid metabolism and transport may be affected in these samples, which was previously found in murine liver accumulating fat after mitochondrial β -oxidation was inhibited [55]. *Hnf4a* was not differentially expressed (P value = 0.11) in our study, but the HNF-4a coactivator, peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, gamma, coactivator 1 alpha (*ppargc1*, also known as *pgc-1a*), was down-regulated in the CLA livers and sterol regulatory element binding factor 2 (*sreb2*), a competitive inhibitor of PPARGC1 recruitment to HNF-4a [56], was up-regulated. Therefore, lack of

HNF-4a activation, and not differential gene expression of this gene, may be responsible for some of the effects on gene expression we observed.

Previously [19] we used mic roarrays to identify differentially expressed genes in adipose tissue from these mice after 5 and 14 days of CLA feeding and herein have reported differential expression in the livers after 14 days of CLA treatment. Use of microarrays is one approach to studying the effects of dietary supplementation on gene expression and may provide insight into the major responses that occur. In this study we have begun to elucidate some of the molecular mechanisms of CLA's effects on the male murine liver, realizing we did not capture the entire picture because we did not measure gene product activity. A comparison of affected GeneGo pathways across the adipose and liver tissues finds that different pathways and genes are differentially expressed. Therefore, the effect of feeding CLA to a polygenic obese line of mice does not appear to be a simple case where one metabolic pathway is up-regulated in one tissue and down-regulated in the other tissue and continued research is needed to clarify the effects of CLA treatment.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded in part by the North Carolina Agricultural Research Service and the NIH—NIEHS Intramural Research Program.

The authors thank Drs. Ben Corl and Lin Xi for conducting the fatty acid analysis and Peggy Overton for coordinating the phenotypic data collection. BASF kindly donated the *trans*-10, *cis*-12-CLA used in this experiment. The authors gratefully acknowledge Shelly Nolin and Audrey O'Nan for technical assistance. During redaction of this article another hepatic microarray paper was published by Guillen et al.[57], identifying other potentially important genes altered during various models of hepatic steatosis.

Abbreviations

acox1	acetyl CoA oxidase 1
agpat2	1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 2
bcl6	B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 6
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CLA	conjugated linoleic acid
cyp1a1	cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily a, polypeptide 1
cyp27a1	cytochrome P450, family 27, subfamily a, polypeptide 1
dgat2	diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 2
egfr	epidermal growth factor receptor
FDR	false discovery rate
fasn	fatty acid synthase
HNF-4a	hepatocyte nuclear factor 4a transcription factor
igfbp-2	insulin-like growth factor binding protein 2
LA	linoleic acid
me	malic enzyme
NF-κB	nuclear factor κB
pdc8	programmed cell death 8

PKA	protein kinase A
ppargc1	peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, gamma, coactivator 1 alpha
SCD1	stearoyl CoA desaturase 1
slc10a1	solute carrier family 10 (sodium/bile acid cotransporter family), member 1
socs6	suppressor of cytokine signaling 6
srebp2	sterol regulatory element binding factor 2
stat	signal transducer and activator of transcription
Tie2	endothelial cell receptor tyrosine kinase
tspyl4	testis-specific Y-like 4

Literature Cited

1. Sébédio J-L, Gnaedig S, Chardigny J-M. Recent advances in conjugated linoleic acid research. *Current Opinion in Clinical Nutrition and Metabolic Care*. 1999; 2:499–506. [PubMed: 10678680]
2. Evans M, Lin X, Odle J, McIntosh M. *Trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid increases fatty acid oxidation in 3T3-L1 preadipocytes. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2002; 132:450–5. [PubMed: 11880570]
3. Hargrave KM, Li CL, Meyer BJ, Kachman SD, Hartzell DL, Della-Fera MA, Miner JL, Baile CA. Adipose depletion and apoptosis induced by *trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid in mice. *Obesity Research*. 2002; 10:1284–90. [PubMed: 12490673]
4. Pariza MW, Park Y, Cook ME. The biologically active isomers of conjugated linoleic acid. *Progress in Lipid Research*. 2001; 40:283–98. [PubMed: 11412893]
5. Park Y, Storkson JM, Albright KJ, Liu W, Pariza MW. Evidence that the *trans*-10,*cis*-12 isomer of conjugated linoleic acid induces body composition changes in mice. *Lipids*. 1999; 34:235–41. [PubMed: 10230716]
6. Lock AL, Corl BA, Barbano DM, Bauman DE, Ip C. The anticarcinogenic effect of *trans*-11 18:1 is dependent on its conversion to *cis*-9, *trans*-11 CLA by delta9-desaturase in rats. *J Nutr*. 2004; 134:2698–704. [PubMed: 15465769]
7. House RL, Cassady JP, Eisen EJ, McIntosh MK, Odle J. Conjugated linoleic acid evokes delipidation through the regulation of genes controlling lipid metabolism in adipose and liver tissue. *Obesity Reviews*. 2005; 6:247–58. [PubMed: 16045640]
8. Jahreis G, Kraft J, Tischendorf F, Schöne F, von Loeffelholz C. Conjugated linoleic acids: Physiological effects in animal and man with special regard to body composition. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*. 2000; 102:695–703.
9. Corl BA, Mathews Oliver SA, Lin X, Oliver WT, Ma T, Harrell RJ, Odle J. Conjugated linoleic acid reduces body fat accretion and lipogenic gene expression in neonatal pigs fed low- or high-fat formulas. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2008; 138:449–54. [PubMed: 18287348]
10. LaRosa PC, Miner J, Xia YN, Zhou Y, Kachman S, Fromm ME. *Trans*-10, *cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid causes inflammation and delipidation of white adipose tissue in mice: a microarray and histological analysis. *Physiological Genomics*. 2006; 27:282–94. [PubMed: 16868072]
11. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N, Takahashi M, Tanemura K, Kim H-J, Tange T, Okuyama H, Kasai M, Ikemoto S, Ezaki O. Conjugated linoleic acid supplementation reduces adipose tissue by apoptosis and develops lipodystrophy in mice. *Diabetes*. 2000; 49:1534–42. [PubMed: 10969838]
12. Xu XF, Pariza MW. Dietary conjugated linoleic acid reduces mouse adipocyte size and number. *The FASEB Journal*. 2002; 16:A370–A.
13. Azain MJ, Hausman DB, Sisk MB, Platt WP, Jewell DE. Dietary conjugated linoleic acid reduces rat adipose tissue cell size rather than cell number. *J Nutr*. 2000; 130:1548–54. [PubMed: 10827208]

14. Terpstra AHM, Beynen AC, Everts H, Kocsis S, Katan MB, Zock PL. The decrease in body fat in mice fed conjugated linoleic acid is due to increases in energy expenditure and energy loss in the excreta. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2002; 132:940–5. [PubMed: 11983818]
15. DeLany JP, West DB. Changes in body composition with conjugated linoleic acid. *Journal of the American College of Nutrition*. 2000; 19:487S–93S. [PubMed: 10963469]
16. West DB, Blohm FY, Truett AA, DeLany JP. Conjugated linoleic acid persistently increases total energy expenditure in AKR/J mice without increasing uncoupling protein gene expression. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2000; 130:2471–7. [PubMed: 11015475]
17. Miner JL, Cederberg CA, Nielsen MK, Chen XL, Baile CA. Conjugated linoleic acid (CLA), body fat, and apoptosis. *Obesity Research*. 2001; 9:129–34. [PubMed: 11316347]
18. Takahashi Y, Kushiro M, Shinohara K, Ide T. Dietary conjugated linoleic acid reduces body fat mass and affects gene expression of proteins regulating energy metabolism in mice. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*. 2002; 133:395–404.
19. House RL, Cassady JP, Eisen EJ, Eling TE, Collins JB, Grissom SF, Odle J. Functional genomic characterization of delipidation elicited by *trans*-10, *cis*-12-conjugated linoleic acid (t10c12-CLA) in a polygenic obese line of mice. *Physiological Genomics*. 2005; 21:351–61. [PubMed: 15888570]
20. Kelley DS, Bartolini GL, Newman JW, Vemuri M, Mackey BE. Fatty acid composition of liver, adipose tissue, spleen, and heart of mice fed diets containing *t10*, *c12*-, and *c9*, *t11*-conjugated linoleic acid. *Prostaglandins Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids*. 2006; 74:331–8.
21. Degrace P, Demizieux L, Gresti J, Chardigny J-M, Sébédio J-L, Clouet P. Association of liver steatosis with lipid oversecretion and hypotriglyceridaemia in C57BL/6J mice fed *trans*-10,*cis*-12-linoleic acid. *FEBS Letters*. 2003; 546:335–9. [PubMed: 12832064]
22. Degrace P, Demizieux L, Gresti J, Chardigny J-M, Sébédio J-L, Clouet P. Hepatic steatosis is not due to impaired fatty acid oxidation capacities in C57BL/6J mice fed the conjugated *trans*-10,*cis*-12-isomer of linoleic acid. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2004; 134:861–7. [PubMed: 15051838]
23. Rasooly R, Kelley DS, Greg J, Mackey BE. Dietary *trans* 10, *cis* 12-conjugated linoleic acid reduces the expression of fatty acid oxidation and drug detoxification enzymes in mouse liver. *British Journal of Nutrition*. 2007; 97:58–66. [PubMed: 17217560]
24. Ecker J, Langmann T, Moehle C, Schmitz G. Isomer specific effects of Conjugated Linoleic Acid on macrophage ABCG1 transcription by a SREBP-1c dependent mechanism. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*. 2007; 352:805–11. [PubMed: 17141191]
25. Hayhurst GP, Lee YH, Lambert G, Ward JM, Gonzalez FJ. Hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha (nuclear receptor 2A1) is essential for maintenance of hepatic gene expression and lipid homeostasis. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2001; 21:1393–403. [PubMed: 11158324]
26. Eisen EJ, Bakker H, Nagai J. Body-composition and energetic efficiency in two lines of mice selected for rapid growth-rate and their F₁ crosses. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*. 1977; 49:21–34.
27. Averette Gatlin L, See MT, Hansen JA, Sutton D, Odle J. The effects of dietary fat sources, levels, and feeding intervals on pork fatty acid composition. *Journal of Animal Science*. 2002; 80:1606–15. [PubMed: 12078742]
28. Vidal H. Quantification of lipid-related mRNAs by reverse transcription competitive polymerase chain reaction in human white adipose tissue biopsies. *Methods in Molecular Biology*. 2001; 155:83–8. [PubMed: 11293086]
29. Weng L, Dai H, Zhan Y, He Y, Stepaniants SB, Bassett DE. Rosetta error model for gene expression analysis. *Bioinformatics*. 2006; 22:1111–21. [PubMed: 16522673]
30. Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the false discovery rate - a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological*. 1995; 57:289–300.
31. Pfaffl MW. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2001; 29:e45. [PubMed: 11328886]

32. Pfaffl MW, Horgan GW, Dempfle L. Relative expression software tool (REST©) for group-wise comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 2002; 30:e36. [PubMed: 11972351]
33. Ntambi JM. Regulation of stearoyl-CoA desaturase by polyunsaturated fatty acids and cholesterol. *Journal of Lipid Research*. 1999; 40:1549–58. [PubMed: 10484602]
34. Lee KN, Pariza MW, Ntambi JM. Conjugated linoleic acid decreases hepatic stearoyl-CoA desaturase mRNA expression. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*. 1998; 248:817–21. [PubMed: 9704011]
35. Park Y, Storkson JM, Ntambi JM, Cook ME, Sih CJ, Pariza MW. Inhibition of hepatic stearoyl-CoA desaturase activity by *trans*-10,*cis*-12 conjugated linoleic acid and its derivatives. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*. 2000; 1486:285–92.
36. Lin XB, Looor JJ, Herbein JH. *Trans*10,*cis*12-18:2 is a more potent inhibitor of de novo fatty acid synthesis and desaturation than *cis*9,*trans*11-18:2 in the mammary gland of lactating mice. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2004; 134:1362–8. [PubMed: 15173397]
37. Tsuboyama-Kasaoka N, Miyazaki H, Kasaoka S, Ezaki O. Increasing the amount of fat in a conjugated linoleic acid-supplemented diet reduces lipodystrophy in mice. *Journal of Nutrition*. 2003; 133:1793–9. [PubMed: 12771319]
38. Oikawa D, Tsuyama S, Akimoto Y, Mizobe Y, Furuse M. Arachidonic acid prevents fatty liver induced by conjugated linoleic acid in mice. *Br J Nutr*. 2009; 101:1558–63. [PubMed: 18947440]
39. Brushia RJ, Walsh DA. Phosphorylase kinase: the complexity of its regulation is reflected in the complexity of its structure. *Front Biosci*. 1999; 4:D618–41. [PubMed: 10487978]
40. Tappia PS, Dent MR, Aroutiounova N, Babick AP, Weiler H. Gender differences in the modulation of cardiac gene expression by dietary conjugated linoleic acid isomers. *Can J Physiol Pharmacol*. 2007; 85:465–75. [PubMed: 17612656]
41. Wettschureck N, Offermanns S. Mammalian G proteins and their cell type specific functions. *Physiol Rev*. 2005; 85:1159–204. [PubMed: 16183910]
42. Ringseis R, Gahler S, Eder K. Conjugated linoleic acid isomers inhibit platelet-derived growth factor-induced NF-kappaB transactivation and collagen formation in human vascular smooth muscle cells. *Eur J Nutr*. 2008; 47:59–67. [PubMed: 18264811]
43. Yu Y, Correll PH, Vanden Heuvel JP. Conjugated linoleic acid decreases production of pro-inflammatory products in macrophages: Evidence for a PPAR-γ-dependent mechanism. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta - Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*. 2002; 1581:89–99.
44. Wunderlich FT, Luedde T, Singer S, Schmidt-Supprian M, Baumgartl J, Schirmacher P, Pasparakis M, Bruning JC. Hepatic NF-kappa B essential modulator deficiency prevents obesity-induced insulin resistance but synergizes with high-fat feeding in tumorigenesis. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2008; 105:1297–302. [PubMed: 18216263]
45. Cheng W-L, Lii C-K, Chen H-W, Lin T-H, Liu K-L. Contribution of conjugated linoleic acid to the suppression of inflammatory responses through the regulation of the NF-κB pathway. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*. 2004; 52:71–8. [PubMed: 14709015]
46. Clodfelter KH, Holloway MG, Hodor P, Park SH, Ray WJ, Waxman DJ. Sex-dependent liver gene expression is extensive and largely dependent upon signal transducer and activator of transcription 5b (STAT5b): STAT5b-dependent activation of male genes and repression of female genes revealed by microarray analysis. *Mol Endocrinol*. 2006; 20:1333–51. [PubMed: 16469768]
47. Odom DT, Zizlsperger N, Gordon DB, Bell GW, Rinaldi NJ, Murray HL, Volkert TL, Schreiber J, Rolfe PA, Gifford DK, Fraenkel E, Bell GI, Young RA. Control of pancreas and liver gene expression by HNF transcription factors. *Science*. 2004; 303:1378–81. [PubMed: 14988562]
48. Viollet B, Kahn A, Raymondjean M. Protein kinase A-dependent phosphorylation modulates DNA-binding activity of hepatocyte nuclear factor 4. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1997; 17:4208–19. [PubMed: 9234678]
49. Holloway MG, Miles GD, Dombkowski AA, Waxman DJ. Liver-Specific Hepatocyte Nuclear Factor-4{α} Deficiency: Greater Impact on Gene Expression in Male than in Female Mouse Liver. *Mol Endocrinol*. 2008; 22:1274–86. [PubMed: 18276827]
50. Khan SA, Vanden Heuvel JP. Role of nuclear receptors in the regulation of gene expression by dietary fatty acids (review). *J Nutr Biochem*. 2003; 14:554–67. [PubMed: 14559106]

51. Lazarevich NL, Cheremnova OA, Varga EV, Ovchinnikov DA, Kudrjavitseva EI, Morozova OV, Fleishman DI, Engelhardt NV, Duncan SA. Progression of HCC in mice is associated with a downregulation in the expression of hepatocyte nuclear factors. *Hepatology*. 2004; 39:1038–47. [PubMed: 15057908]
52. Geier A, Zollner G, Dietrich CG, Wagner M, Fickert P, Denk H, van Rooijen N, Matern S, Gartner C, Trauner M. Cytokine-independent repression of rodent Ntcp in obstructive cholestasis. *Hepatology*. 2005; 41:470–7. [PubMed: 15723437]
53. Chen W, Chiang JY. Regulation of human sterol 27-hydroxylase gene (CYP27A1) by bile acids and hepatocyte nuclear factor 4alpha (HNF4alpha). *Gene*. 2003; 313:71–82. [PubMed: 12957378]
54. Martinez-Jimenez CP, Castell JV, Gomez-Lechon MJ, Jover R. Transcriptional activation of CYP2C9, CYP1A1 and CYP1A2 by HNF4{alpha} requires coactivators PGC1{alpha} and SRC1. *Molecular pharmacology*. 2006
55. van der Leij FR, Bloks VW, Grefhorst A, Hoekstra J, Gerding A, Kooi K, Gerbens F, te Meerman G, Kuipers F. Gene expression profiling in livers of mice after acute inhibition of beta-oxidation. *Genomics*. 2007; 90:680–9. [PubMed: 17933490]
56. Yamamoto T, Shimano H, Nakagawa Y, Ide T, Yahagi N, Matsuzaka T, Nakakuki M, Takahashi A, Suzuki H, Sone H, Toyoshima H, Sato R, Yamada N. SREBP-1 interacts with hepatocyte nuclear factor-4 alpha and interferes with PGC-1 recruitment to suppress hepatic gluconeogenic genes. *J Biol Chem*. 2004; 279:12027–35. [PubMed: 14722127]
57. Guillen N, Navarro MA, Arnal C, Noone E, Arbones-Mainar JM, Acin S, Surra JC, Muniesa P, Roche HM, Osada J. Microarray analysis of hepatic gene expression identifies new genes involved in steatotic liver. *Physiol Genomics*. 2009; 37:187–98. [PubMed: 19258494]

Figure 1. GeneGo transcription factor network built for HNF-4a

Networks built around transcription factors using the differentially expressed gene list identified a common transcription factor, HNF-4a, which regulates gene expression for 98 of the 775 differentially expressed genes identified in the CLA-LA experimental comparison. Gene symbols in red indicate genes that are up-regulated in the CLA-fed mouse livers and those in blue indicate down-regulated genes in CLA-fed livers. For complete figure symbol legend, go to http://ftp.genego.com/files/MC_legend.pdf.

Table 1

Real-time RT-PCR Primers

Primer	Accession Number	Primer Sequence Forward	Primer Sequence Reverse	Product Length (bp)	Annealing Temperature (°C)	PCR Efficiency
<i>acox1</i> *	NM_015729	5'-GGTGGGTGGTATGTGTCGTCTAC-3'	5'-CAAAAGACCCTTAACGGGTACGTAGTG-3'	278	56.0	79.8%
<i>agpat2</i>	NM_026212	5'-TTCGTTCCGGTCCTTC-3'	5'-CGCTTAGGGAGTATTTC-3'	146	54.0	89.7%
<i>bcl6</i>	NM_009744	5'-TAATCTCTGTGAACAGGTC-3'	5'-GCTAGAATCCGAATACTC-3'	196	56.0	106.7%
<i>dgat2</i>	NM_026384	5'-CGTTGGCTGGTAACTTCC-3'	5'-CCACGATGATGATAGCATTG-3'	132	60.0	93.8%
<i>egfr</i>	NM_007912	5'-AATGCTGCCACCTATGC-3'	5'-ATTGGGAAGAACTGGAAAGG-3'	176	56.0	85.1%
<i>fusin</i> *	NM_007988	5'-CTGAAGAGCCTGGAAGATCGG-3'	5'-CCCTCCCGTACACTCACTCGT-3'	365	56.0	83.1%
<i>igfbp2</i>	NM_008342	5'-AGACGGCTACGGTGGTATC-3'	5'-CTGCTACCCACCTCCCAAC-3'	196	59.6	79.9%
<i>me</i> *	NM_00615	5'-AGCAGTGCTACAAGGTGACCAA-3'	5'-CTCCAGGGAACACGTAGGAAATT-3'	129	56.0	81.5%
<i>pdc4d8</i>	NM_012019	5'-GGAGCAGAGGTGAAGAGTAGAAC-3'	5'-CCGCCGATACTGTAAATTGACTTG-3'	104	60.0	102%
<i>s18</i>	NM_011296	5'-ACCCACGACAGTACAAGATCC-3'	5'-AGCTCTCCAGGTCTCCAC-3'	124	56.0	96.5%
<i>socs6</i>	NM_018821	5'-AATGGT AGTATGCTGGTCAG-3'	5'-TTTCTACAGGCAAACTTATGG-3'	108	56.3	118.7%
<i>stat5b</i>	NM_011489	5'-TGATGGCGTGATGGAAGTATTG-3'	5'-CCGTCTGGCTTGTGTGATGAG-3'	117	59.9	110.2%
<i>tspy4</i>	NM_030203	5'-AGGAGGGGAAAGAGGAGAC-3'	5'-TTGGATGATGAAACTTCTGC-3'	181	56.0	112.1%

All primers were designed using Beacon Designer 7 unless otherwise stated. Annealing temperatures were empirically determined.

* Designed by [22].

Table 2

Fat content and fatty acid composition of liver tissue from obese mice fed LA or CLA for 14 days^{*}

Parameter	LA	CLA	SEM	P-Value
g/100 g wet tissue				
Total fat	5.34	8.54	0.58	0.002
g/100 g fatty acids				
C14:0	0.52	0.68	0.05	0.061
C16:0	22.67	24.77	0.70	0.051
C18:0	6.37	5.19	0.38	0.046
C16:1(n9)	2.71	2.60	0.29	0.786
C18:1(n9)	23.72	31.97	2.56	0.039
C18:2(n6)	23.01	20.40	1.45	0.224
C18:2 (CLA)	nd	0.66	0.02	<0.0001
C18:3(n6)	0.39	0.23	0.04	0.014
C18:3(n3)	0.86	1.02	0.06	0.089
C20:1(n9)	0.50	0.63	0.06	0.178
C20:2(n6)	0.37	0.63	0.02	<0.0001
C20:3(n3)	1.19	0.60	0.06	<0.0001
C20:4(n6)	10.73	5.79	0.64	<0.0001
C22:6(n3)	4.77	3.30	0.35	0.009
ratio				
C16:0/C16:1	8.99	10.51	1.17	0.372
C18:0/C18:1	0.31	0.18	0.04	0.045
Sat/Unsat [‡]	0.43	0.45	0.02	0.465

^{*} Values are least square means of n = 8 mice per treatment.

[‡] (C14:0+C16:0+C18:0)/(C16:1+C18:1+C18:2+C18:3+C20:1+C20:2+C20:3+C20:4+C22:6)

Table 3

Microarray and Real-time RT-PCR Results Comparison

Gene name	Microarray results ¹		Real-time PCR results ²	
	P-value	Fold change ³	P-value	Fold change
Genes with >2-fold change				
<i>bcl6</i>	2.06×10^{-8}	2.12	0.038	2.21
<i>pdccl8</i>	4.64×10^{-16}	2.19	0.037	1.23
<i>tspy/4</i>	7.90×10^{-13}	0.42	0.0096	0.58
Signal transduction genes				
<i>socs6</i>	0.0044	0.79	0.003	0.54
<i>stat5b</i>	0.0020	1.24	0.273	NA *
Metabolism genes				
<i>acox1</i>	0.3748	0.83	0.010	0.53
<i>agpat2</i>	0.29	1.34	0.41	NA *
<i>dgal2</i>	0.37	1.20	0.52	NA *
<i>egr1</i>	0.0019	0.71	0.008	0.38
<i>fasn</i>	0.2588	1.17	0.190	NA *
<i>igtbp2</i>	0.1252	0.74	0.020	0.42
<i>me</i>	0.100	1.26	0.141	NA *

All P-values are nominal P-values.

¹ Results based on hybridization of 4 two-color microarrays using 36 samples, pooled based on dietary treatment into 4 groups of 9 individuals.

² Results based on C_t values calculated in duplicate for 36 individual samples.

³ A fold change > 1 indicates an up-regulation of that gene in the CLA samples as compared to the LA samples; a fold change < 1 indicates a down-regulation of that gene in the CLA samples as compared to the LA samples.

* Not applicable because P-value indicated gene was not differentially expressed between treatment groups.

GeneGo pathway and process maps containing significant numbers of differentially expressed genes

Table 4

GeneGo Map	Cell process	P value	Objects [*]
Signal transduction, Protein kinase A signaling	Protein kinase cascade, G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway, second-messenger mediated signaling	7.16×10^{-5}	8/23
Muscle contraction, GPCRs in regulation of smooth muscle tone	G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway	2.56×10^{-3}	10/54
Signal transduction, cAMP signaling	G-protein coupled receptor protein signaling pathway, second-messenger-mediated signaling	4.32×10^{-3}	7/32
Development angiopoietin-Tie2 signaling	Response to extracellular stimulus	6.16×10^{-3}	7/34
Immune response, antigen presentation by MHC class I	Immune response	6.18×10^{-3}	6/26

* The numerator indicates number of differentially expressed genes identified in the experiment and the denominator indicates total number of objects in the GeneGo map.

Table 5

Effects of dietary CLA versus LA on expression of hepatic genes associated with lipid metabolism pathways¹ after 14 days of feeding.

Gene abbreviation	Gene name	Fold change [*]	Nominal P-Value
acaa1a	acetyl-Coenzyme A acyltransferase 1A	1.45	0.22
acaa2	acetyl-Coenzyme A acyltransferase 2	0.92	0.44
acaca	acetyl-Coenzyme A carboxylase alpha	1.06	0.69
acacb	acetyl-Coenzyme A carboxylase beta	1.00	0.98
acad8	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase family, member 8	0.89	0.33
acad9	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase family, member 9	0.97	0.80
acad10	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase family, member 10	0.99	0.91
acad1	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase, long-chain	0.91	0.66
acadm	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase, medium chain	1.10	0.61
acadsb	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase, short chain	1.18	0.51
acadv1	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase, very long chain	1.06	0.62
acat1	acetyl-Coenzyme A acetyltransferase 1	0.79	0.40
acat2	acetyl-Coenzyme A acetyltransferase 3	1.14	0.46
acox1	acyl-Coenzyme A oxidase 1, palmitoyl	0.83	0.37
acox3	acyl-Coenzyme A oxidase 3, pristanoyl	1.00	0.99
acyl	ATP-citrate lyase	1.05	0.78
adh1	alcohol dehydrogenase 1 (class I)	1.10	0.44
adh4	alcohol dehydrogenase 4 (class II), pi polypeptide	1.00	0.93
adh5	alcohol dehydrogenase 5 (class III), chi polypeptide	0.84	0.19
adh7	alcohol dehydrogenase 7 (class IV), mu or sigma polypeptide	1.27	0.002
agpat1	1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 1	0.85	0.09
agpat2	1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 2	1.34	0.29
agpat3	1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 3	1.24	0.19
agpat4	1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 1	1.37	0.06
agpat5	1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 5	1.16	0.58
agpat6	1-acylglycerol-3-phosphate O-acyltransferase 6	1.92	0.16

Gene abbreviation	Gene name	Fold change*	Nominal P-Value
akr1b3	aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B3	1.35	0.17
akr1b7	aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B7	0.73	0.12
akr1b8	aldo-keto reductase family 1, member B8	1.63	0.52
akr1c6	aldo-keto reductase family 1, member C6	0.82	0.12
akr1d1	aldo-keto reductase family 1, member D1	0.98	0.90
akr1e1	aldo-keto reductase family 1, member E1	0.79	0.38
aldh1b1	aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family, member B1	1.20	0.51
aldh2	aldehyde dehydrogenase 2, mitochondrial	1.34	0.03
aldh3a2	aldehyde dehydrogenase family 3, subfamily A2	1.05	0.66
aldh7a1	aldehyde dehydrogenase family 7, member A1	0.88	0.16
aldh9a1	aldehyde dehydrogenase 9, subfamily A1	1.24	0.45
bdh1	3-hydroxybutyrate dehydrogenase, type 1	1.09	0.21
cel	carboxyl ester lipase	1.09	0.42
chka	choline kinase alpha	1.11	0.60
chkb	choline kinase beta	0.94	0.57
cpt1a	carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1a, liver	0.92	0.64
cpt1b	carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1b, muscle	1.16	0.14
cpt1c	carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1c	1.12	0.54
cpt2	carnitine palmitoyltransferase 2	0.99	0.95
cyp27a1	cytochrome P450, family 27, subfamily a, polypeptide 1	0.71	0.00008
cyp4a10	cytochrome P450, family 4, subfamily a, polypeptide 10	1.12	0.24
cyp4a14	cytochrome P450, family 4, subfamily a, polypeptide 14	1.25	0.04
cyp7a1	cytochrome P450, family 7, subfamily a, polypeptide 1	0.98	0.85
dak	dihydroxyacetone kinase 2 homolog (yeast)	1.40	0.05
dci	dodecenoyl-Coenzyme A delta isomerase (3,2 trans-enoyl-Coenzyme A isomerase)	1.40	0.00002
dgat1	diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 1	1.46	0.15
dgat2	diacylglycerol O-acyltransferase 2	1.20	0.37
dgka	diacylglycerol kinase, alpha	0.82	0.38
dgkb	diacylglycerol kinase, beta	0.98	0.93

Gene abbreviation	Gene name	Fold change*	Nominal P-Value
dgkg	diacylglycerol kinase, gamma	1.00	1.00
dgkq	diacylglycerol kinase, theta	1.11	0.06
dgkz	diacylglycerol kinase zeta	1.17	0.38
echsl	enoyl Coenzyme A hydratase, short chain, 1, mitochondrial	0.77	0.25
fasn	fatty acid synthase	1.18	0.26
gcdh	glutaryl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase	1.10	0.25
gk2	glycerol kinase 2	1.09	0.70
gla	galactosidase, alpha	1.02	0.91
glb1	galactosidase, beta 1	0.79	0.004
gpm	glycerol-3-phosphate acyltransferase, mitochondrial	1.07	0.62
gpd1	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase	1.60	0.12
gyl	glycerol kinase, transcript variant 1	1.20	0.12
hadha	hydroxyacyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase/3-ketoacyl-Coenzyme A thiolase/acyl-Coenzyme A hydratase (trifunctional protein), alpha subunit	1.03	0.74
hadhb	hydroxyacyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase/3-ketoacyl-Coenzyme A thiolase/acyl-Coenzyme A hydratase (trifunctional protein), beta subunit	1.25	0.01
hmgcl	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A lyase	1.27	0.08
hmgsl	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A synthase 1	1.00	0.98
hmgsl2	3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-Coenzyme A synthase 2	1.15	0.20
hsl17b4	hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase 4	0.96	0.71
hsl3b7	hydroxy-delta-5-steroid dehydrogenase, 3 beta-and steroid delta-isomerase 7	0.71	0.04
idh1	isocitrate dehydrogenase 1	0.98	0.83
idh2	isocitrate dehydrogenase 2	1.31	0.45
idh3a	isocitrate dehydrogenase 3a	1.00	0.96
idh3b	isocitrate dehydrogenase 3b	1.20	0.58
idh3g	isocitrate dehydrogenase 3g	0.77	0.07
lipc	lipase, hepatic	0.84	0.20
lipf	lipase, gastric	0.89	0.42
lipg	lipase, endothelial	0.95	0.53
lpl	lipoprotein lipase	0.88	0.35

Gene abbreviation	Gene name	Fold change*	Nominal P-Value
me1	malic enzyme 1	1.26	0.10
me2	malic enzyme 2	1.15	0.22
me3	malic enzyme 3	1.31	0.03
oxct1	3-oxoacid CoA transferase 1	1.24	0.04
oxct2a	3-oxoacid CoA transferase 2A	1.14	0.10
peci	peroxisomal delta3, delta2-enoyl-Coenzyme A isomerase	1.13	0.30
pulprp2	pancreatic lipase-related protein 2	1.51	0.03
pnpla3	patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 3	1.24	0.18
ppap2a	phosphatidic acid phosphatase 2a	0.76	0.04
ppap2b	phosphatidic acid phosphatase type 2B	0.88	0.47
ppap2c	phosphatidic acid phosphatase type 2c	0.89	0.59
rdh11	retinol dehydrogenase 11	0.81	0.17
rdh12	retinol dehydrogenase 12	1.07	0.15
rdh13	retinol dehydrogenase 13 (all-trans and 9-cis)	0.98	0.83
rdh14	retinol dehydrogenase 14 (all-trans and 9-cis)	0.90	0.39
slc27a5	solute carrier family 27 (fatty acid transporter), member 5	0.99	0.92
soat1	sterol O-acyltransferase 1	1.05	0.83
soat2	sterol O-acyltransferase 2	1.02	0.92
ugcg1l	UDP-glucose ceramide glucosyltransferase-like 1	1.64	0.17

/ Genes included in this table were selected from the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG: <http://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathways.html>) pathways associated with lipid metabolism. This included the fatty acid biosynthesis, bile acid synthesis, glycerophospholipid metabolism, and synthesis and degradation of ketone bodies pathways.

* CLALA

Table 6

Significant HNF-4a regulated genes involved in inflammation or fatty acid metabolism

Gene symbol	Gene name	Fold change	P value	Biological process	Function
<i>cish</i>	cytokine inducible SH2-containing protein	0.51	0.00114	Inflammation	Suppressor of cytokine signaling, negatively regulates Jak/STAT pathway
<i>stam2</i>	signal transducing adaptor molecule 2	0.65	5.09×10^{-7}	Inflammation	Downstream signaling of cytokine receptors
<i>stat1</i>	signal transducer and activator of transcription 1	1.48	0.00002	Inflammation	Mediates interferon signaling, cell proliferation
<i>bcl6</i>	B-cell leukemia/lymphoma 6	2.12	2.06×10^{-8}	Inflammation	Transcriptional repressor, regulates B cell differentiation and inflammation
<i>cyp11a1</i>	cytochrome P450, family 1, subfamily a, polypeptide 1	0.51	8.21×10^{-7}	Fatty acid metabolism	NADPH-dependent electron transport oxidizing fatty acids
<i>syt4</i>	synaptotagmin-like 4	0.53	0.00007	Fatty acid metabolism	Exocytosis and docking of insulin granules to plasma membrane
<i>slc10a1</i>	solute carrier family 10 (sodium/bile acid cotransporter family), member 1	0.65	2.97×10^{-6}	Fatty acid metabolism	Bile acid transport
<i>ppargc1</i>	peroxisome proliferative activated receptor, gamma, coactivator 1 alpha	0.69	0.00018	Fatty acid metabolism	Coactivator of HNF-4, apolipoprotein induction and export, bile acid transport
<i>cyp27a1</i>	cytochrome P450, family 27, subfamily a, polypeptide 1	0.71	0.00008	Fatty acid metabolism	Converts cholesterol to bile acids
<i>srebp2</i>	sterol regulatory element binding factor 2	1.41	0.00021	Fatty acid metabolism	Binds regulatory elements in cholesterol biosynthesis genes
<i>pcy1a</i>	phosphate cytidylyltransferase 1, choline, alpha isoform	1.50	0.00019	Fatty acid metabolism	Phosphatidylcholine synthesis
<i>rxrb</i>	retinoid X receptor beta	1.51	1.57×10^{-6}	Fatty acid metabolism	Regulator of PPAR signaling pathway controlling fatty acid metabolism
<i>scd1</i>	stearoyl-Coenzyme A desaturase 1	1.82	0.00102	Fatty acid metabolism	Desaturates fatty acids
<i>noc3l</i>	nucleolar complex associated 3 homolog	1.96	0.00003	Fatty acid metabolism	Adipocyte differentiation