Published in final edited form as:

J Nurse Pract. 2013 June 1; 9(6): 344–349. doi:10.1016/j.nurpra.2013.03.016.

Meal-Planning Practices with Individuals in Health Disparity Zip Codes

Sharon M. Fruh, PhD,

University of South Alabama, College of Nursing, Associate Professor, Mobile, AL

Madhuri S. Mulekar, PhD,

University of South Alabama, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Professor and Chair, Mobile, AL

Heather R. Hall, PhD,

University of South Alabama, College of Nursing, Associate Professor, Mobile, AL

James R. Adams, B.S.,

University of South Alabama, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Graduate Student/Research Assistant, Mobile, AL

Trey Lemley, JD,

University of South Alabama, Biomedical Library, Associate Professor Biomedical Library Mobile, AL

Britney Evans, MPH, and

University of South Alabama, Community Outreach Core, Center for Healthy Communities, Health Education Specialist, Mobile, AL

Jennifer Dierking

University of South Alabama, Center for Healthy Communities, Health Education Specialist, Mobile, AL

INTRODUCTION

Obesity is a risk factor for chronic diseases and can lead to a decreased lifespan with a diminished quality of life.¹ Diet-related diseases such as obesity, hypertension, diabetes, and elevated cholesterol are more common in African Americans (AAs), and particularly with AAs residing in low wealth areas.^{2–3} Healthy dietary changes can modify one's risk of developing chronic diseases.

The promotion of family meals is identified as a protective factor for obesity because of the positive associations with healthful food intake.⁴ One reason for the impact of family meals on Americans' consumption of nutritious food may be that approximately 68% of the total calories consumed are from foods prepared at home.⁵

© 2013 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Sharon M. Fruh.

The authors do not have any conflicts of interest related to the content of this manuscript.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

The purpose of this study is to identify perceptions of family meal benefits and specific preplanning meal practices of AAs living in health disparity zip codes in the Deep South. To our knowledge, this is the first study to examine the perceptions of family meal benefits and specific practices based on the time and the number of family meals planned by AAs residing in health disparity zip codes. The ultimate goal of this study is to understand perceptions regarding family meal benefits and preplanning behaviors so that providers can find ways to assist and offer resources to help these individuals.

The health disparity zip codes in this research project were identified to have greater than 30% of the individuals living below the poverty line and were comprised of 92% AAs. These health disparity zip codes had higher rates of mortality from cardiovascular disease and cancer.⁶

BACKGROUND

Frequent family meals are generally defined as five or more family meals in one week.⁷⁻⁸ Studies have shown that teens who participate in two or fewer meals per week were more likely to have lower grades in school, smoke, drink, and use drugs.⁷ Frequent family meals have a positive impact on nutrition, health, family communication, and the emotional development of children and teens.⁴ In contrast, the infrequency of meals promotes unhealthy eating behaviors involving processed foods and fast foods.

Many factors may contribute to the infrequency of family meals. These include: the high cost of healthy meals,⁹ lack of access to grocery stores that offer healthy food,¹⁰ and reliance on the convenience of fast food restaurants.⁹⁻¹⁰ Studies focused on the frequency of family meals suggest that AA families share fewer family meals compared to other racial/ethnic groups.¹¹⁻¹³

African-Americans have higher obesity rates than other racial and ethnic minority populations.² This is especially true with AA women, who have a higher obesity rate than any other group in the United States.¹ Approximately four out of five AA women are overweight or obese. This trend is also seen with AA girls. Between 2007 and 2010, AA girls were 80% more likely to be overweight than their non-Hispanic white counterparts.¹⁴

Preplanning Meals

The use of grocery lists is frequently associated with meal planning and planned purchases. A study identified that women are the predominant users of lists.¹⁵ Households with income below the 75 percent poverty level are much less likely to shop with a grocery list.¹⁶ Individuals who use shopping lists are more likely to eat two or more servings of vegetables per day.¹⁷ Women who plan meals or prepare dishes ahead of time are twice as likely to eat more servings of vegetables.¹⁶⁻¹⁷ Individuals who employ food planning strategies eat healthier diets compared to those who purchase and prepare foods on impulse or with little to no planning.¹⁶⁻¹⁷ These studies observed isolated characteristics of preplanning and nutritional benefits of preplanning.

The theory of planned behavior (TPB) was the theory selected to guide this project. According to the TPB related to consumer behavior, an action is more likely to be carried out if people believe that they have control over their behavior, have an optimistic attitude toward it, and have social pressure to perform the task.¹⁸ The task in this study is to identify specific behaviors related to preplanning healthy meals.

An extensive literature search found many research articles that characterize contributing factors to the low number of family meals per week but failed to identify studies regarding

the perception of family meal benefits among AAs and their specific practices related to the time and the number of family meals planned with individuals in this population.

Specific Aims

The specific aims of this study are:

- To assess the frequency of family meals and meal planning practices of AAs residing in health disparity zip codes.
- To evaluate participants' perceptions of the benefits of family meals.

METHODS

Study Design

This study is a descriptive survey of a convenience sample that involved surveying residents of health disparity zip codes.⁶ Participants were recruited from three churches, two faith-based ministries, and two community service programs that work with individuals in the identified health disparity zip codes. Only adults were allowed to participate in the survey with no exclusion criteria by gender, race, or ethnicity. All individuals who responded to the flyer were permitted to take the survey. Participation was voluntary and responses were anonymous. When participants completed the survey, they were entered into a drawing for a door prize. Door prizes consisted of healthy eating cookbooks, small kitchen appliances/gadgets, and conversation starter kits for communication during family meals. The Institutional Review Board approval was obtained prior to conducting this research.

Surveys

In developing the surveys, researchers consulted with national and local experts who work with the AA population in health disparity zip code areas. To ensure that the questions in the surveys were stated accurately and to determine that literacy and cultural relevancy were appropriate, the survey was piloted with 18 community health advocates who live in the same area as the participants. Participants were reassured that the survey was voluntary and their identities would remain anonymous.

The participants were given two surveys: 1) a survey that included questions related to demographic information and meal planning; and 2) a survey that identified perceptions of participants regarding the benefits of family meals. Each survey question regarding planning of meals was given six possible options as follows:

- Never (less than 5% of the time)
- A little bit (5–19% of the time)
- Some of the time (20–39% of the time)
- Half the time (40–59% of the time)
- Most of the time (60–79% of the time)
- Almost all of the time (80–100% of the time)

Each question regarding perceptions was given five possible options as follows:

- Strongly disagree
- Disagree
- Neither agree nor disagree

- Agree
- Strongly agree

Demographics of Sample

The goal of the researchers was to identify perceptions and practices of AAs. All individuals who responded to the advertisement were given the opportunity to complete the survey. However, only AA participants' responses were analyzed for this study. A total of 211 AAs participated in this study. Demographics of AA participants are reported in Table 1.

Statistical Data Analysis

A database was created using Excel for all the surveys completed. Collected data were analyzed using statistical software JMP (a product of SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). All responses were summarized using percentages. Because the literature indicates that two or fewer family meals per week is considered too few for families, the family meal pattern was converted to a categorical variable (0–2 family meals per week vs. 3+ family meals per week) for analysis.^{7–8} The association of responses with demographic characteristics and family meal patterns was analyzed using Chi-square test of independence to evaluate the differences between family patterns related to demographic characteristics. A 0.05 level was used to determine the significance of results. The P values are reported to give readers the freedom of choosing level of significance and drawing their own conclusions. For the analysis purpose, a six-point answer scale of planning questionnaire was converted to a three-point scale by combining the adjacent options as: less than 20% of the time, 20–59% of the time, and 60–100% of the time. Similarly, a five-point answer scale of perception questionnaire was converted to a three-point scale as: strongly disagree or disagree, neither agree nor disagree, and agree or strongly agree.

RESULTS

The findings identify that AA participants partake in a low number of family meals per week and are inconsistent about planning family meals. Forty-two percent of the AA participants reported that they ate two meals or fewer with their families within the past seven days.

No significant association was observed between the frequency of family meals and age, marital status, or children at home of the participants. As expected, the presence of children at home was significantly associated with the age of participants ($p=0.01$). Age groups 30–39 and 40–49 reported the highest percent of families with children at home. Interestingly, the age group 70–79 reported the elevated percentage of children at home, probably due to presence of grandchildren.

Planning meals in practice

Results of cross-classification of practice of planning meals and the frequency of family meals are reported in Table 2. A significant association was observed between responses by the number of family meals in the previous seven days (0–2 vs. 3+ meals per week) and the preplanning practices of participants (Table 2). Those with 3+ family meals per week were more likely to shop with a list than those with 0–2 meals in the previous week ($p = 0.0008$). Those who had two or fewer family meals (0–2) per week preplanned meals significantly less often compared to those who had 3+ family meals per week: (a) the morning of the meal ($p = 0.0126$), (b) 2–3 days in advance ($p = 0.0270$), and (c) one week prior to the meal ($p = 0.0016$).

Perceptions and practice by age

A significant association was observed between the participants' age and their perceptions about preplanning meals ($p = 0.0384$). A higher percentage of older participants compared to younger participants agreed with the importance of preplanning meals. Only 5% of those in the 60–79 age group compared to 35.54% of younger participants felt a busy schedule prevents them from preparing frequent family meals most of the time ($p = 0.0019$) and only 2.5% of the 60–79 age group compared to 13.17% of the younger participants felt that lack of cooking skills prevented them from having family meals ($p = 0.0391$).

Perceptions and practice by number of children at home

A significantly higher percentage (50.89% of 112) of those who have children living at home compared to others (38.37% of 86) disagreed or strongly disagreed that it is difficult to find time for a family meal ($p = 0.0397$). On the other hand, a significantly higher percentage of those with live-in children preplan meals (29.17% vs. 16.28%, $p = 0.0097$).

Perceptions and practice by participation in number of family meals

A significantly higher percentage of those who had 0–2 meals in the previous week with the family (79.5%) compared to the others (61%) believe that lack of family meals is more often because of different schedules ($p = 0.0029$). In addition, the same group had 47.4% participants (0–2 meals per week) compared to 29.7% among the others (3 + meals per week) who agreed or strongly agreed to having difficulty finding time for a family meal ($p = 0.0065$).

LIMITATIONS

This study has some limitations. A convenience sample of AAs who live in the Deep South in health disparity zip codes were recruited to participate in this research. The findings from this study may not be generalized to AAs in other locations. However, the findings of this study contribute to the knowledge base regarding perceptions and practices related to family meals and meal planning of AAs in the study population.

DISCUSSION

A high percentage (42%) of AA participants reported that they ate two or fewer meals in the past seven days, eating fewer than the optimal number of five meals per week. A comparable 2003 study (Neumark-Sztainer D, et al.) showed 41% of AAs shared a family meal two or fewer times in the week.¹⁹

Results clearly indicate that respondents recognize the importance of preplanning meals. While the majority of AA participants (75.24%) agree or strongly agree that preplanning a menu is an important strategy when planning family meals, a majority (68.92%) also indicated that busy schedules prevent them from preparing frequent family meals. Study participants with limited meal preplanning practices were less likely to participate in family meals. Preplanning meals appears to be an important behavior in making family meals a reality.

Preparing a grocery list before shopping is one indicator of preplanning, and 57.3% of responders only shop with a list some of the time to never. It was interesting to note that those who did shop with a grocery list participated in a significantly greater number of family meals per week.

Future research needs to be done to explore barriers to preplanning practices related to healthy family meals in this population. Effective preplanning strategies also need to be

studied with this population. Preplanning related to healthier home food preparation methods is an area that also warrants future research.²⁰

CLINICAL IMPLICATIONS

Nurse practitioners need to assess and educate individuals and families on family meal frequency and the importance of meal planning. Healthy eating requires preplanning measures. Easy strategies to assist busy families with menu planning are needed. Families may benefit from helpful resources (see table 3). Teaching families to plan ahead through menu planning can help families increase the number of healthy and cost-effective meals. Encouraging families to shop with grocery lists that include food items needed for many meals is important. Time saving methods for preparing foods ahead of time may help busy families increase the number of family meals per week. Several examples of this include the use of a slow cooker or preparing extra portions of favorite meals and freezing the remainder for meals in the future.

CONCLUSIONS

America is a nation of far too many obese people, and obesity leads to diet-related chronic diseases. In order to reduce the risk of developing these diseases, healthy dietary changes are needed. To effectively develop programs to teach healthy eating, first the barriers of preplanning and healthy meal preparation need to be explored, and factors that facilitate permanent changes in dietary behavior need to be identified.

Healthcare providers need to be aware of the increased rate of diet-related diseases among disadvantaged AA populations. Efforts must be made to inquire about family meal patterns and include education on the importance of preplanning family meals. Encouraging family meals is one of the important measures for improving dietary intake, decreasing obesity, and strengthening family units.

Acknowledgments

The project described was supported by Award Number P20MD002314-05 from the National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Center on Minority Health and Health Disparities or the National Institutes of Health.

References

1. Braveman P. A health disparities perspective on obesity research. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2009; 6(3):1–7.
2. Kumanyika SK, Whitt-Glover MC, Gary TL, et al. Expanding the obesity research paradigm to reach African American communities. *Prev Chronic Dis.* 2007; 4(4):1–22.
3. National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion. National and State Perspectives. Atlanta, GA: USDHHS; 2004. The Burden of Chronic Diseases and Their Risk Factors. <http://www.cdc.gov/needphp/burdenbook2004/> [Accessed Sept. 20, 2012]
4. Larson NI, Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M. Family meals during adolescence are associated with higher diet quality and healthful meal patterns during young adulthood. *J Am Diet Assoc.* 2007; 107:1502–1510. [PubMed: 17761227]
5. Guthrie JF, Lin BH, Frazao E. Role of food prepared away from home in the American diet, 1977–1994 vs 1994–96: changes and consequences. *J Nutr Educ and Behav.* 2002; 34:140–50. [PubMed: 12047838]
6. Arrieta M, White HL, Crook ED. Using zip code-level mortality data as a local health status indicator in Mobile, Alabama. *Am J Med Sci.* 2008; 335(4):271–274. [PubMed: 18461729]
7. CASA. [Accessed January 20, 2013] The importance of Family Dinners VI. 2010. <http://www.casacolumbia.org/upload/2010/20100922familydinners6.pdf>

8. Hammons AJ, Fiese BH. Is frequency of shared family meals related to the nutritional health of children and adolescents? *Pediatrics*. 2011; 127 (6):1565–1574.
9. Drewonwski A, Spector SE. Poverty and obesity: the role of energy density and energy costs. *Am J Clin Nutr*. 2004; 74(1):6–16.
10. Inagami S, Cohen DA, Finch BK, Asch SM. You are where you shop: Grocery store locations, weight, and neighborhoods. *Am J Prev Med*. 2006; 31:10–17. [PubMed: 16777537]
11. Rollins B, Belue R, Francis L. The beneficial effect of family meals on obesity differs by race, sex, and household education: the National Survey of Children's Health, 2003–2004. *J Am Diet Assoc* [serial online]. 2010; 110(9):1335–1339. Available from: CINAHL Plus with Full Text, Ipswich, MA.
12. Anderson S, Whitaker R. Household routines and obesity in US preschool-aged children. *Pediatrics* [serial online]. Mar; 2010 125(3):420–428. Available from: CINAHL Plus with Full Text, Ipswich, MA.
13. Skala K, Chuang RJ, Evans A, Hedberg AM, Dave J, Sharma S. Ethnic differences in the home food environment and parental food practices among families of low-income Hispanic and African-American preschoolers. *J Immigr Minority Health*. 2012; 14:1014–1022.
14. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Minority Health. [Accessed January 17, 2013] Obesity and African Americans. <http://minorityhealth.hhs.gov/templates/content.aspx?ID=6456>
15. Thomas A, Garland R. Grocery shopping: lists and non-list usage. Marketing intelligence and planning. *J Nutr Educ and Behav*. 2006; 22(6):623–635.
16. Hersey J, Anliker J, Miller C, Mullis RM, Daugherty S, Das S, et al. Food shopping practices are associated with dietary quality in low income households. *J Nutr Educ*. 2001; (33 supp1):S16–S26. [PubMed: 12857541]
17. Crawford D, Ball K, Mishra G, Salmon J, Timperino A. Which food-related behaviors are associated with healthier intakes of fruit and vegetables among women? *Public Health Nutr*. 2007; 10(3):256–265. [PubMed: 17288623]
18. Patch CS, Tapsell LC, Williams PG. Attitudes and intentions towards purchasing novel foods enriched with omega-3 fatty acids. *J Nutr Educ and Behav*. 2005; 37:235–41. [PubMed: 16053811]
19. Neumark-Sztainer D, Hannan PJ, Story M, Croll J, Perry C. Family meal patterns: associations with sociodemographic characteristics and improved dietary intake among adolescents. *J Am Diet Assoc*. 2003; 103:317–322. [PubMed: 12616252]
20. Kramer RF, Coutinho AJ, Vaeth E, Christiansen K, Surathkar S, Gittelsohn J. Healthier home food preparation methods and youth and caregiver psychosocial factors are associated with lower BMI in African American Youth. *The J of Nutrition*. 2012; 142:948–954.

Table 1**Demographics of AA Participants**

Demographic Characteristic	
Age (in years)	(n = 211)
21–29	24 (11.4%)
20–39	32 (15.2%)
40–49	36 (17.1%)
50–59	76 (36.0%)
60–69	22 (10.4%)
70–79	21 (10.0%)
Gender (in %)	(n = 209)
Female	173 (82.8%)
Male	36 (17.2%)
Marital Status	(n = 208)
Divorced	29 (13.9%)
Married	106 (51.0%)
Single	63 (30.3%)
Widowed	10 (4.8%)
Children live at home?	(n = 208)
No	92 (44.2%)
Yes	116 (55.8%)
Number of meals eaten together in the past 7 days	(n = 198)
None	25 (12.6%)
1 or 2	58 (29.3%)
3 or 4	49 (24.7%)
5 or 6	28 (14.4%)
7	21 (10.6%)
8 or more	17 (8.6%)
Children at home by age group (Yes % families)	(n = 116)
21–29	12 (50.0% of 24)
20–39	25 (78.1% of 32)
40–49	25 (71.4% of 35)
50–59	33 (44% of 75)
60–69	10 (55% of 21)
70–79	11 (55% of 20)

Table 2
Association of practice of meal planning with family meal pattern (0

–2 meals per week and 3+ meals per week).

The numbers reported are percent of responses from each group of family meal pattern and the p value reported is for the Chi-square test of independence.

Question	meals/wk (n)	<20% of the time	20–59% of the time	60+ % of the time	p
How often do you feel that a busy schedule prevents you from preparing frequent family meals?	0–2 (83) 3+ (114)	24.1 42.11	38.5 35.9	37.3 21.9	0.0127
How often do you preplan your meals (i.e. you know by 9 a.m. what you plan to eat for dinner)?	0–2 (83) 3+ (114)	43.3 29.8	42.2 38.6	14.5 31.6	0.0126
How often do you plan a menu for 2–3 days at a time?	0–2 (83) 3+ (115)	68.7 47.8	22.9 30.4	8.4 21.7	0.0270
How often do you plan a menu for a week at a time?	0–2 (82) 3+ (113)	84.2 61.1	9.7 23.0	6.1 15.9	0.0016
Do you shop for groceries with a list of ingredients needed for specific meals?	0–2 (83) 3+ (115)	46.9 21.7	26.5 43.5	26.5 34.8	0.0008
Do you feel that a lack of cooking skills contributes to not having frequent family meals?	0–2 (83) 3+ (115)	69.8 71.3	16.9 19.1	13.3 9.6	0.6932

Table 3

Sample Meal Planning Websites, Apps, & Services and Sample Healthy Cookbooks

Website/App	Cost	Features
Eatingwell.com	Free	Interactive menu planner, shopping list template, BMI calculator
Allrecipes.comDinner Spinner app for Smartphones	Free	Keep track of what's in your pantry and "spin" for recipes to make with those ingredients
Foodonthetable.com	Plans 3 meals/wk for FREE, or \$5/month for daily menus	Integrates sale items from your favorite grocery store to create a customized meal plan and grocery list
Healthy Cookbooks	ISBN #	Description
Hungry Girl 1–2–3	978-0312556181	200+ guilt-free, easy recipes
The \$7 a Meal Slow Cooker Cookbook	978-1440503382	Favorable, easy main dishes for \$7 each
Holly Clegg's Trim & Terrific Freezer Friendly Meals	978-0762425976	200 freezable, healthy, appetizing meals