Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2013 July 8; 69(27-28): 5580–5587. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2013.04.114.

Palladium-Catalyzed C–H Arylation Using Aryltrifluoroborates in Conjunction with a Mn^{III} Oxidant under Mild Conditions

Cydney K. Seigerman, Tiffany M. Micyus, Sharon R. Neufeldt, and Melanie S. Sanford*

University of Michigan, Department of Chemistry, 930 N. University Ave., Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

Abstract

This paper describes the development of a mild Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation reaction using potassium aryltrifluoroborates in conjunction with Mn(OAc)₃ as the oxidant. The scope of this transformation is explored with a variety of different aryltrifluoroborates and arylpyridine substrates. Preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that the reaction proceeds via a high-valent Pd mechanism with C–H activation occurring at or before the rate determining step.

1. Introduction

Palladium-catalyzed C–C bond-forming reactions serve as valuable synthetic methods for the formation of aryl-aryl linkages in a wide variety of synthetic contexts.¹ Traditional Pd-catalyzed cross-coupling sequences suffer from the disadvantage that they require two pre-functionalized starting materials. This necessitates the independent preparation of the two coupling partners, which can increase the cost and the number of steps required for the overall target synthesis. In contrast, Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation reactions eliminate the need for at least one prefunctionalization step, and thus can serve as a more expedient strategy for aryl-aryl bond construction.²

Over the past 10 years, numerous Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation reactions have been developed.³ Many different aryl coupling partners have been utilized in these transformations, including diaryliodonium salts,⁴ aryl halides,⁵ aryl C–H bonds,⁶ and organometallic reagents.⁷ Among these, arylboron compounds are particularly attractive for reasons that include their low toxicity, low cost, and high stability.⁸ As such, a number of reports have explored the use of arylboronic acids,⁹ arylboronate esters,¹⁰ and aryltrifluoroborates¹¹ as coupling partners for the C–H arylation of substrates bearing directing groups such as pyridines, anilides, benzoic acids, and oximes. However, the vast majority of these reactions are limited by the requirement for relatively high temperatures (usually > 90 °C).¹² Furthermore, additives and promoters such as stoichiometric bases, silver salts, and/or benzoquinone (BQ) are generally required in all of these transformations. These features can limit functional group tolerance and also render the reactions operationally complex. For example, in reactions where insoluble additives are present, stir rate can be a major factor that impacts reaction yield and scalability.¹³ Finally, the identification of oxidants that are competent and compatible with these transformations can

© 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

* (734) 615-0451 mssanfor@umich.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

also be a challenge. To date, the vast majority of reported examples of Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation with arylboron reagents involve Cu^{II} or Ag^I-based oxidants and proceed via Pd^{II/0} catalytic manifolds.

In response to these challenges, we sought to develop an operationally simple and mild method for C–H arylation using readily available arylboron reagents. Our efforts in this area were inspired by our recent development of a related room temperature Pd-catalyzed C–H alkylation reaction using alkyltrifluoroborate salts. This transformation utilizes MnF₃ as a stoichiometric oxidant and proceeds at unprecedentedly low reaction temperatures (Scheme 1).¹⁴ Unlike rare prior examples of Pd-catalyzed C–H alkylation with alkylboron reagents, the MnF₃-mediated transformation is believed to involve C–C bond-forming reductive elimination from a high-valent Pd center. Notably, this alkylation does not require the use of additives like benzoquinone, and it proceeds readily at low temperatures (3–6 h at 25–40 °C) under only mildly acidic conditions. The current paper describes the development, optimization, and scope of a related Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation reaction involving aryltrifluoroborate salts in conjunction with a Mn^{III} oxidant. Preliminary investigations of the mechanism of this transformation are also presented.

2. Results and Discussion

Reaction Optimization

We first tested the Pd(OAc)₂-catalyzed C–H arylation of 3-methyl-2-phenylpyridine (**1**) with PhBF₃K under the conditions that had proven optimal for C–H alkylation with CH₃BF₃K [0.10 equiv Pd(OAc)₂, 4 equiv MnF₃, 2 equiv RBF₃K, 8:1:1 TFE/AcOH/H₂O (TFE = 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol), 40 °C, 6 h]. As shown in eq. 1, this reaction provided the *ortho*-phenylated product **1a** in moderate yield (46%), along with a substantial quantity (14%) of the *ortho*-acetoxyated product **1-OAc**. Interestingly, however, the use of a different Mn^{III} oxidant [Mn(OAc)₃] in place of MnF₃ provided a comparable yield of **1a** (34%) without formation of the undesired acetoxyated product **1-OAc**. Consequently, all subsequent optimization studies were conducted with Mn(OAc)₃ as the oxidant.

(1)

Increasing the reaction time to 18 h and the reaction temperature to 50 °C using 2.5 equiv Mn(OAc)₃ and 4 equiv PhBF₃K provided a modest improvement in reaction yield (Table 1, entry 2 vs entry 1). Evaluation of a number of solvent systems under these conditions (entries 3–7) showed that a 1:1 mixture of TFE and AcOH provided the highest yield of **1a** at 50 °C (77%, entry 7). Lowering the temperature to 40 or 25 °C resulted in a decrease in yield and conversion (entries 8–9). The addition of 1 equiv of NaOAc, an additive that is sometimes used to modulate alkene oxidation reactions using Mn(OAc)₃,¹⁵ had a negligible impact on the yield and conversion with substrate **1** (compare entry 7 to entry 10). Nevertheless, this additive was subsequently found to provide an improvement in yield with some other substrates and aryltrifluoroborates; consequently it was routinely added to the scale-up reactions shown in Tables 2 and 3. The addition of 1 equiv of benzoquinone, which is known to promote the reductive elimination step of Pd^{II/0}-catalyzed C–H arylation

reactions, resulted in a significant reduction in both yield and conversion (compare entry 7 to entry 11). Under the optimized reaction conditions, phenylboronic acid, phenylboronic acid pinacol ester, and triphenylboroxine were less effective arylating reagents than PhBF_3K (compare entry 7 to entries 12–14). Finally, as expected, no product was formed in the absence of Pd (entry 15), and only trace quantities of **1a** could be detected when Mn^{III} was excluded from the reaction mixture (entry 16).

Substrate scope

C–H arylation of **1** proceeded smoothly using diverse aryltrifluoroborates (Table 2). Both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating substituents on the ArBF_3K reagent were well tolerated in this transformation. In general, electron-neutral and electron-rich aryltrifluoroborates provided the highest yields (between 52–80% for mono-arylation products **1a–1f** and **1n**). Potentially sensitive iodide and aldehyde substituents were compatible with the reaction conditions (**1j**, **1k**, and **1m**). Interestingly *ortho*-substituted 2-methoxyphenyltrifluoroborate provided the C–H arylation product **1e** in 71% yield, compared to a more modest 52% yield using the electronically similar *para*-substituted analogue (**1f**).

A range of substituted 2-arylpyridine derivatives could also be arylated using this methodology. As shown in Table 3, good to excellent yields were obtained for arylpyridine substrates bearing electron-donating substituents at the 2'- or 4'-position (**2a–4a**, **10a**). More modest conversions and yields were attained with substrates bearing electron-withdrawing groups on either the arene or the pyridine ring. In some cases where significant starting material remained at the end of the reaction, difficulty in separating phenylated product from starting material led to low isolated yields relative to the calibrated GC yields of the crude reaction mixture (**6a**, **7a**, and **13a**). However, the low isolated yields of **11a** and **12a** are comparable to the GC yields observed for the crude reaction mixtures, and reflect inherently low reactivity/conversion of these substrates under the standard conditions.

Mechanistic studies

A key mechanistic question in this arylation system concerns the role of Mn(OAc)_3 . Mn^{III} reagents have been previously utilized as oxidants for C–H bond functionalization reactions proposed to involve high-valent Pd-catalysis.^{14,16} Furthermore, our group has recently reported a Pd-catalyzed C–H alkylation reaction employing alkyltrifluoroborates and MnF_3 as a stoichiometric oxidant (eq 1, *vide supra*).¹⁴ Preliminary mechanistic evidence in that system suggests that MnF_3 serves as an oxidant for Pd^{II} . Based on this precedent, we hypothesize that Mn(OAc)_3 likely serves an analogous role in the current arylation system.

To preliminarily probe this possibility, we examined the effect of substituting Mn(OAc)_3 with other oxidants under the optimized reaction conditions. A number of oxidants commonly used to promote $\text{Pd}^{\text{II/0}}$ -catalyzed C–H arylation with organoboron compounds were tested. As shown in Table 4, Cu^{II} and Ag^{I} -based oxidants provided <10% conversion of **1** and <2% yield of the arylation product **1a** (entries 3–5). Low conversion was similarly observed when benzoquinone was used in place of Mn(OAc)_3 (entry 6, 17% conversion, 7%

yield). Notably, this reagent may effect C–C bond formation, albeit in low yields, by promoting reductive elimination from Pd^{II} (rather than serving to oxidize Pd^{II}).

In marked contrast, a number of oxidants that are known to be competent for the oxidation of Pd^{II} to Pd^{IV} were capable of promoting this transformation.¹⁷ In particular, the hypervalent iodine reagents PhI(OAc)₂ and PhI(TFA)₂ were highly effective oxidants, providing near quantitative conversion and >80% yield of **1a** (Table 4, entries 11 and 12). These results are consistent with a reaction mechanism involving oxidation of a Pd^{II} intermediate by the bystander stoichiometric oxidant. Arylation using other known oxidants for Pd^{II}, including *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS),¹⁸ *N*-fluoro-2,4,6-trimethylpyridinium triflate (NFTPT),¹⁹ K₂S₂O₈,²⁰ and *t*-BuOOH²⁰ could also be achieved, albeit in modest yield (2–11%). Notably, the use of these oxidants resulted in extensive side reactions of the starting material (32–100% conversion) to form an *ortho*-chlorinated product (using NCS, entry 9, ~22% yield) and/or mixtures of other unidentified side products.

We also conducted experiments to determine the kinetic isotope effect associated with this transformation. The intermolecular kinetic isotope effect was established by comparing the initial rates of phenylation of 3-methyl-2-phenylpyridine (**1**) and 3-methyl-2-phenylpyridine-*d*₅ (**1-d₅**) (eq 2). The optimized reaction conditions were employed, although the reactions were run at a lower temperature (36 °C) in order to more conveniently monitor reaction progress. As shown in Figure 1, the initial reaction rates were significantly different for **1** versus **1-d₅**, with $k_H/k_D = 8.3$. This large KIE is markedly different than that observed for a related Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation of arylpyridines using diaryliodonium reagents ($k_H/k_D = 1$).²¹ In the latter system, the rate-limiting step was shown to be oxidation of Pd^{II} to Pd^{IV} by Ph₂I⁺, with C–H activation taking place after the turnover-limiting step (i.e., the resting state of the catalyst is a palladacycle). In contrast, the large KIE observed for C–H arylation using ArBF₃K and Mn(OAc)₃ indicates that the catalyst resting state under these conditions is not cyclometalated and that C–H bond cleavage occurs before or during the turnover-limiting step.

(2)

3. Conclusion

In summary, this paper describes the development of a mild Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation reaction using aryltrifluoroborates in conjunction with Mn(OAc)₃. This transformation proceeds in good yield for arylpyridine substrates bearing electron-donating substituents at

the 2'- and 4'-positions, and moderate yields for substrates bearing electron-withdrawing substituents. A variety of substituted aryl groups can be installed using the corresponding ArBF_3K reagents. Preliminary mechanistic studies suggest that the reaction likely involves high-valent Pd catalysis and that C-H activation occurs at or before the rate determining step. Ongoing work aims to elucidate the mechanistic details of this C-H arylation, as well as of the analogous C-H alkylation reactions.

4. Experimental Section

4.1. General

All reactions were performed with magnetic stirring in scintillation vials. Flash chromatography was performed on EM Science silica gel 60 (0.040–0.063 mm particle size, 230–400 mesh) and thin layer chromatography was performed on Merck TLC plates pre-coated with silica gel 60 F254.

4.2. Materials

Pyridine substrates **7** and **8** were prepared according to literature procedures.^{22,23} Substrates **1–6** and **9** were synthesized via Suzuki coupling of 2-bromo-3-methylpyridine with the corresponding arylboronic acids.²⁴ Pyridine substrates **11–13** were also prepared Suzuki couplings of 2-bromopyridine derivatives with o-tolylphenylboronic acid.²⁴ Substrate **10** was prepared by Suzuki coupling of 2-methoxyphenylboronic acid with 2-chloropyridine.²⁵ Potassium 2,6-dimethylphenyltrifluoroborate and potassium 3-acetylphenyltrifluoroborate were synthesized from the corresponding boronic acids and KHF_2 .²⁶ The rest of the aryltrifluoroborates were obtained from commercial sources (Alfa Aesar, Aldrich, and Frontier Scientific). $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$, MnF_3 , and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were obtained from Pressure Chemical, Alfa Aesar, and Strem, respectively. 2,2,2-Trifluoroethanol was obtained from TCI America, and all other solvents were obtained from Fisher Chemical. All commercial substrates, reagents, and solvents were used as received and without further purification.

4.3. Instrumentation

NMR spectra were obtained on a Varian vnmrs 700 (699.76 MHz for ^1H ; 175.95 MHz for ^{13}C) and a Varian MR400 (376.87 MHz for ^{19}F). ^1H and ^{13}C chemical shifts are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to TMS, with the residual solvent peak used as an internal reference. Multiplicities are reported as follows: singlet (s), doublet (d), doublet of doublets (dd), doublet of doublet of doublets (ddd), triplet (t), quartet (q), and multiplet (m). IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum BX FT-IR spectrometer. Melting points were determined with a Mel-Temp 3.0, a Laboratory Devices Inc, USA instrument, and are uncorrected. HRMS data were obtained on a Micromass AutoSpec Ultima Magnetic Sector mass spectrometer. Gas chromatography was performed on a Shimadzu GC-17A equipped with a Restek Rtx[®]-5 column (15 m, 0.25 mm ID, 0.25 μm df) and a FID detector. GC yields are reported relative to neopentylbenzene or hexadecane as an internal standard.

4.4 General Procedures

4.4.1. General procedure A for isolated products—Substrate, solvent, NaOAc , $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$, and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ were combined in a 20 mL scintillation vial. The vial was sealed with a Teflon-lined cap and heated at 50 °C for 18 h with vigorous stirring. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with 10 wt % aqueous Na_2SO_3 (1 $\times$ 15 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO_3 (2 $\times$ 25 mL), and brine (1 $\times$ 10 mL). The combined aqueous layers were extracted with EtOAc (2 $\times$ 25 mL). The organic layers were then combined, dried over MgSO_4 , filtered, and concentrated to afford the crude product, which was purified by column chromatography on

silica gel. The characterization data (^1H and ^{13}C NMR spectra, IR, and melting points, where appropriate) for previously reported products matched that reported in the literature.

4.4.2. General procedure B for kinetic experiments—Kinetics experiments were run in 4 mL scintillation vials sealed with Teflon-lined caps. Each data point within a kinetics run represents a reaction in an individual vial, with each vial containing a constant concentration of oxidant, catalyst, and substrate. The reported value of initial rate at each time point is the average of three trials, and error is reported as standard deviation. To $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (1.1 mg, 0.0050 mmol, 0.1 equiv) and PhBF_3K (36.8 mg, 0.20 mmol, 4 equiv) in a 4 mL vial was added 0.75 mL of a 0.067 M stock solution of substrate (0.050 mmol, 1 equiv) in AcOH/TFE (1:1), followed by $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (33.5 mg, 0.125 mmol, 2.5 equiv). The reactions were heated to 36 °C under vigorous stirring on an aluminum heating-block. The reactions were quenched after the indicated time by adding 0.5 mL of 10 wt % aqueous Na_2SO_3 and shaking vigorously. The crude reaction mixtures were then diluted with EtOAc (3 mL), and neopentylbenzene was added as an internal standard. The reactions were analyzed by gas chromatography, and the calibrated GC yields of products were determined relative to neopentylbenzene.

4.5. Characterization Data for New Compounds

2-(3',5'-Dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1b)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 3,5-dimethylphenyltrifluoroborate (424 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1b** was obtained as a clear viscous liquid (110.0 mg, 81% yield, $R_f = 0.12$ in 80% hexanes/20% Et_2O). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 8.53 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.52 (dd, $J = 7.2, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.43 (dd, $J = 7.4, 1.5$ Hz, 1H), 7.27–7.21 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.93 (s, 2H), 6.78 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.65 (dd, $J = 7.7, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.62 (s, 1H), 2.02 (s, 6H), 1.67 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 159.9, 146.5, 141.0, 140.9, 139.6, 137.5, 137.2, 131.8, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 128.4, 127.3, 122.0, 121.3, 21.3, 19.0. HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}$: 274.1590; Found: 274.1593.

2-(4'-(*tert*-Butyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1c)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 4-*tert*-butylphenyltrifluoroborate (480 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1c** was obtained as a clear oil (115.8 mg, 77% yield, $R_f = 0.16$ in 80% hexanes/20% Et_2O). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.43 (dd, $J = 4.8, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.44 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.43 (td, $J = 7.5, 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, $J = 7.6, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, $J = 7.6, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 7.15 (dd, $J = 7.7, 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.06 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 2H), 1.74 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 9H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 159.8, 149.6, 146.7, 140.6, 139.5, 138.2, 137.5, 131.8, 130.1, 129.8, 128.9, 128.4, 127.3, 124.8, 122.2, 34.5, 31.4, 18.9. HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}$: 302.1903; Found: 302.1907.

2-(2'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1e)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 2-methoxyphenyltrifluoroborate (428 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1e** was obtained as a pale yellow liquid (98.2 mg, 71% yield, $R_f = 0.17$ in 60% hexanes/40% Et_2O). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 8.40 (dd, $J = 4.8, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd, $J = 7.7, 1.1$ Hz,

1H), 7.50 (dd, $J = 7.5, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.27-7.20 (multiple peaks, 3H), 6.95 (td, $J = 8.0, 1.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.83 (dd, $J = 7.7, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.67 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.57 (dd, $J = 7.8, 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.43 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.12 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 159.6, 156.1, 146.1, 140.4, 137.6, 137.2, 132.2, 131.6, 131.1, 130.0, 129.7, 128.4, 127.7, 127.3, 121.7, 120.1, 110.4, 55.0, 18.9. HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{18}\text{NO}$: 276.1383; Found: 276.1384.

2-(3'-Nitro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1g)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 3-nitrophenyltrifluoroborate (458 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1g** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (79.3 mg, 55% yield, $R_f = 0.13$ in 60% hexanes/40% Et_2O , mp = 75.7–76.5 °C). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, acetone- d_6): δ 8.43 (dd, $J = 4.8, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 8.06 (ddd, $J = 8.2, 2.4, 1.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.97 (t, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.62-7.55 (multiple peaks, 4H), 7.51 (t, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, $J = 7.7, 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.85 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 158.6, 148.0, 147.0, 142.8, 139.7, 138.3, 138.0, 135.4, 131.6, 130.2, 129.7, 128.9, 128.8, 128.7, 124.1, 122.7, 121.7, 19.0. IR (thin film): 1527.2, 1349.5 cm^{-1} . HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{15}\text{N}_2\text{O}_2$: 291.1128; Found: 291.1133.

2-(4'-Cyano-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1h)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 4-cyanophenyltrifluoroborate (418 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1h** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (65.2 mg, 48% yield, $R_f = 0.10$ in 60% hexanes/40% Et_2O , mp = 153.6–157.4 °C). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.46 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.53-7.49 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.47-7.41 (multiple peaks, 4H), 7.36 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 2H), 7.13 (dd, $J = 7.6, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 232.3, 158.7, 147.0, 146.1, 139.6, 139.0, 138.0, 131.8, 131.6, 130.3, 130.0, 129.7, 128.8, 122.7, 119.0, 110.6, 19.0. IR (thin film): 2226.5 cm^{-1} . HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{15}\text{N}_2$: 271.1235; Found: 271.1234.

2'-(3-Methylpyridin-2-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-4-carbaldehyde (1j)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (42 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (5.6 mg, 0.025 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (21 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 4-formylphenyltrifluoroborate (212 mg, 1.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (168 mg, 0.625 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 3.8 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1j** was obtained as a white solid (25.6 mg, 37% yield, $R_f = 0.07$ in 60% hexanes/40% Et_2O , mp = 126.1–127.8 °C). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 9.94 (s, 1H), 8.49 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.68 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.53-7.47 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.44 (m, 1H), 7.33 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 7.12 (dd, $J = 7.6, 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.80 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 192.2, 158.9, 147.7, 146.9, 139.7, 139.5, 137.9, 134.7, 131.7, 130.3, 130.0, 129.8, 129.4, 128.7, 128.6, 122.6, 19.0. IR (thin film): 1701.6, 1604.9 cm^{-1} . HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{16}\text{NO}$: 274.1226; Found: 274.1225.

2-(3'-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1l)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (94 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 3-fluorophenyltrifluoroborate (404 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1l** was

obtained as a greasy yellow solid (75.3 mg, 57% yield, $R_f = 0.13$ in 80% hexanes/20% Et₂O). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.49 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.50–7.43 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.40 (d, $J = 7.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.09 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.90–6.82 (multiple peaks, 3H), 1.80 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.4 (d, $^1J_{C-F} = 245.3$ Hz), 159.2, 146.8, 143.5 (d, $^3J_{C-F} = 7.5$ Hz), 139.6, 139.5 (d, $^4J_{C-F} = 2.0$ Hz), 137.7, 131.7, 130.1, 129.7, 129.3 (d, $^3J_{C-F} = 8.6$ Hz), 128.6, 128.1, 125.2 (d, $^4J_{C-F} = 2.9$ Hz), 122.4, 116.2 (d, $^2J_{C-F} = 21.4$ Hz), 113.7 (d, $^2J_{C-F} = 21.0$ Hz), 19.0. ¹⁹F{¹H} NMR (377 MHz, CDCl₃): δ –113.7 (m, 1F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₈H₁₅FN: 264.1183; Found: 264.1186.

2-(4'-Iodo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1m)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 4-iodophenyltrifluoroborate (608 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1m** was obtained as a white solid (87.5 mg, 41% yield, $R_f = 0.11$ in 80% hexanes/20% Et₂O, mp = 108.6–113.9 °C). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 8.55 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.36 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.27 (multiple peaks, 3H), 6.87 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 2H), 6.82 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 6.71 (dd, $J = 7.7, 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 1.65 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.2, 146.8, 140.8, 139.6, 139.5, 137.8, 137.1, 131.7, 131.3, 130.1, 129.6, 128.6, 128.0, 122.4, 92.8, 19.0. HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₈H₁₅IN: 372.0244; Found: 372.0245.

2-(3'-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (1n)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **1** (85 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium 3-methoxyphenyltrifluoroborate (428 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **1n** was obtained as a pale yellow liquid (102.0 mg, 74% yield, $R_f = 0.18$ in 60% hexanes/40% Et₂O). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 8.51 (d, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.59–7.43 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.40 (d, $J = 7.4$ Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, $J = 7.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.13–7.09 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.80 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 6.72 (dd, $J = 8.2, 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.59 (s, 1H), 3.55 (s, 3H), 1.77 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.8, 159.0, 146.6, 142.6, 140.6, 139.6, 137.6, 132.0, 130.0, 129.7, 129.0, 128.5, 127.7, 122.3, 121.7, 114.4, 113.4, 55.1, 19.0. HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈NO: 276.1388; Found: 276.1389.

2-(5-(*tert*-Butyl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (2a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **2** (113 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **2a** was obtained as a pale yellow solid (130.0 mg, 86% yield, $R_f = 0.15$ in 80% hexanes/20% Et₂O, mp = 129.6–133.0 °C). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 8.53 (d, $J = 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.37–7.32 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.03–6.99 (multiple peaks, 2H), 6.95 (dd, $J = 7.1, 1.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.78 (dd, $J = 7.7, 1.0$ Hz, 1H), 6.64 (dd, $J = 7.7, 4.8$ Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.26 (s, 9H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.7, 151.3, 146.7, 141.9, 140.3, 137.5, 136.7, 131.8, 129.7, 129.4, 127.9, 126.8, 126.5, 124.6, 122.0, 34.8, 31.5, 19.1. HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₂₂H₂₄N: 302.1909; Found: 302.1903.

2-(5-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (4a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **4** (100 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in

TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **4a** was obtained as a clear oil (102.1 mg, 71% yield, R_f = 0.14 in 60% hexanes/40% Et₂O). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 8.53 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.06 (d, J = 2.7, 1H), 6.99-6.90 (multiple peaks, 3H), 6.84 (dd, J = 8.3, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (dd, J = 7.5, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (s, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 159.6, 159.4, 146.7, 142.1, 141.2, 137.5, 132.3, 132.0, 131.3, 129.3, 127.9, 126.9, 122.0, 115.1, 113.1, 55.5, 19.0. HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₉H₁₈NO: 276.1383; Found: 276.1385.

2-(5-Fluoro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (5a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **5** (94 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **5a** was obtained as a white powder (60.5 mg, 46% yield, R_f = 0.13 in 80% hexanes/20% Et₂O, mp = 97.2–99.4 °C). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, C₆D₆): δ 8.47 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (dd, J = 8.2, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.07 (dd, J = 9.9, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94-6.87 (multiple peaks, 3H), 6.85 (td, J = 8.4, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.62 (dd, J = 7.6, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.54 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 162.7 (d, ¹ J_{C-F} = 246.8 Hz), 158.7, 146.8, 142.9 (d, ³ J_{C-F} = 7.5 Hz), 140.1 (d, ⁴ J_{C-F} = 2.1 Hz), 137.7, 135.7 (d, ⁴ J_{C-F} = 3.2 Hz), 131.9, 131.8 (d, ³ J_{C-F} = 8.5 Hz), 129.2, 128.1, 127.3, 122.4, 116.5 (d, ² J_{C-F} = 21.8 Hz), 114.4 (d, ² J_{C-F} = 21.5 Hz), 18.9. ¹⁹F {¹H} NMR (377 MHz, C₆D₆): δ -114.3 (m, 1F). HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₈H₁₅FN: 264.1183; Found: 264.1189.

6-(3-Methylpyridin-2-yl)-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-carbaldehyde (6a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **6** (99 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **6a** was obtained as a white solid (13.5 mg, 10% yield, R_f = 0.09 in 80% hexanes/20% Et₂O, mp = 105.4–106.4 °C). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 10.13 (s, 1H), 8.52 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H), 7.96 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.17 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.16-7.12 (multiple peaks, 3H), 1.76 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 192.2, 158.3, 146.9, 145.5, 141.9, 139.8, 137.9, 136.4, 131.6, 131.4, 131.1, 129.3, 128.6, 128.2, 127.5, 122.8, 18.8. IR (thin film): 1697.8 cm⁻¹. HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₉H₁₆NO: 274.1226; Found: 274.1232.

2-(5-Chloro-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (7a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **7** (102 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and Mn(OAc)₃·2H₂O (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **7a** was obtained as a clear viscous oil (19.5 mg, 14% yield, R_f = 0.08 in 88% hexanes/12% Et₂O). ¹H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.49 (d, J = 4.5, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (dd, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.3, 1H), 7.20-7.14 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.13-7.07 (multiple peaks, 3H), 1.74 (s, 3H). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (176 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 158.5, 146.9, 142.5, 139.9, 138.1, 137.7, 134.2, 131.8, 131.5, 129.7, 129.2, 128.1, 127.6, 127.3, 122.5, 18.9. HRMS EI (m/z): [M+H]⁺ Calcd for C₁₈H₁₅ClN: 280.0888; Found: 280.0893.

2-(4-Bromo-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (8a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **8** (124 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368

mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **8a** was obtained as a white solid (74.3 mg, 46% yield, $R_f = 0.29$ in 80% hexanes/20% Et_2O , mp = 84.7–88.2 °C). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, C_6D_6): δ 8.42 (d, $J = 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.65 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.32 (dd, $J = 8.3, 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 2H), 6.97–6.88 (multiple peaks, 4H), 6.69 (d, $J = 7.6$, 1H), 6.60 (dd, $J = 7.8, 4.7$ Hz, 1H), 1.49 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 157.9, 146.7, 141.2, 139.9, 139.6, 137.7, 132.8, 131.6, 131.4, 131.2, 129.0, 127.9, 127.0, 122.5, 121.4, 18.7. HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{15}\text{BrN}$: 324.0388; Found: 324.0384.

2-(4-Methoxy-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-3-methylpyridine (9a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **9** (100 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **9a** was obtained as a pale yellow oil (51.9 mg, 38% yield, $R_f = 0.18$ in 60% hexanes/40% Et_2O). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 8.51 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.38 (d, $J = 8.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.15–7.12 (multiple peaks, 3H), 7.10 (dd, $J = 7.7, 4.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.09–7.06 (multiple peaks, 2H), 7.03 (dd, $J = 8.6, 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, $J = 2.7$ Hz, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 1.75 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 159.5, 159.0, 146.7, 140.9, 140.6, 137.7, 133.6, 131.7, 131.1, 129.3, 127.9, 126.3, 122.3, 114.8, 114.7, 55.6, 18.9. HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{18}\text{NO}$: 276.1383; Found: 276.1384.

2-(3-Methyl-[1,1'-biphenyl]-2-yl)-5-(trifluoromethyl)pyridine (13a)—General procedure A was followed using substrate **14** (137 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), $\text{Pd}(\text{OAc})_2$ (11 mg, 0.050 mmol, 0.10 equiv), NaOAc (41 mg, 0.50 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium phenyltrifluoroborate (368 mg, 2.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), and $\text{Mn}(\text{OAc})_3 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (335 mg, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in TFE/AcOH (1:1; 7.5 mL total) at 50 °C for 18 h. Product **13a** was obtained as pale yellow needles (45.5 mg, 29% yield, $R_f = 0.29$ in 94% hexanes/6% Et_2O , mp = 101.3–104.3 °C). ^1H NMR (700 MHz, (acetone- d_6): δ 8.94 (s, 1H), 7.91 (dd, $J = 8.3, 2.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.28 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.19–7.12 (multiple peaks, 4H), 7.07–7.03 (multiple peaks, 2H), 2.13 (s, 3H). $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (176 MHz, CDCl_3): δ 163.6, 145.9 (q, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 4.2$ Hz), 141.4, 141.2, 138.2, 136.7, 132.9 (q, $^3J_{\text{C-F}} = 3.4$ Hz), 129.8, 129.7, 128.8, 128.0, 127.9, 126.8, 125.6, 124.3 (q, $^2J_{\text{C-F}} = 34$ Hz), 123.8 (q, $^1J_{\text{C-F}} = 272.8$ Hz), 20.6. $^{19}\text{F}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR (377 MHz, CDCl_3): δ –62.8. (s, 3F). HRMS EI (m/z): $[\text{M}+\text{H}]^+$ Calcd for $\text{C}_{19}\text{H}_{15}\text{F}_3\text{N}$: 314.1151; Found: 314.1153.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the NIH NIGMS (GM073836).

References

1. For reviews on Pd-catalyzed C–C cross-coupling reactions, see: Corbet JP, Mignani G. Chem Rev. 2006; 106:2651. [PubMed: 16836296] Liu C, Zhang H, Shi W, Lei A. Chem Rev. 2011; 111:1780. [PubMed: 21344855]
2. (a) Hassan J, Seignon M, Gozzi C, Schulz E, Lemaire M. Chem Rev. 2002; 102:1359. [PubMed: 11996540] (b) Nicolaou KC, Bulger PG, Sarlah D. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2005; 44:4442.
3. For recent reviews, see: Alberico D, Scott ME, Lautens M. Chem Rev. 2007; 107:174. [PubMed: 17212475] McGlacken GP, Bateman LM. Chem Soc Rev. 2009; 38:2447. [PubMed: 19623360]
4. Deprez NR, Sanford MS. Inorg Chem. 2007; 46:1924. [PubMed: 17348723]
5. Daugulis O. Top Curr Chem. 2010; 292:57. [PubMed: 21500403]
6. For recent reviews, see: Yeung CS, Dong VM. Chem Rev. 2011; 111:1215. [PubMed: 21391561] You S, Xia J. Top Curr Chem. 2010; 292:165. [PubMed: 21500406]

7. Ackermann L, Vicente R, Kapdi AR. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2009; 48:9792.
8. (a) Molander GA, Ellis N. *Acc Chem Res*. 2007; 40:275. [PubMed: 17256882] (b) Darses S, Genet JP. *Chem Rev*. 2008; 108:288. [PubMed: 18095714] (c) Molander GA, Sandrock DL. *Curr Opin Drug Discov Devel*. 2009; 12:811.
9. (a) Chen X, Goodhue CE, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:12634. [PubMed: 17002342] (b) Shi Z, Li B, Wan X, Cheng J, Fang Z, Cao B, Qin C, Wang Y. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2007; 46:5554. (c) Wang DH, Wasa M, Giri R, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:7190. [PubMed: 18479089] (d) Chu JH, Chen CC, Wu MJ. *Organometallics*. 2008; 27:5173. (e) Yang SD, Sun CL, Fang Z, Li BJ, Li YZ, Shi ZJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2008; 47:1473. (f) Kirchberg S, Fröhlich R, Studer A. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2009; 48:4235. (g) Gu S, Chen C, Chen W. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:7203. [PubMed: 19673503] (h) Sun CL, Liu N, Li BJ, Yu DG, Wang Y, Shi ZJ. *Org Lett*. 2010; 12:184. [PubMed: 19954173] (i) Engle KM, Thuy-Boun PS, Dang M, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:18183. [PubMed: 21913636] (j) Kim YW, Niphakis MJ, Georg GI. *J Org Chem*. 2012; 77:9496. [PubMed: 23088256] (k) Koley M, Dastbaravardeh N, Schnürch M, Mihovilovic MD. *Chem Cat Chem*. 2012; 4:1345. [PubMed: 23136619] (l) Chu JH, Wu CC, Chang DH, Lee YM, Wu MJ. *Organometallics*. 2012; 32:272. (m) Salvanna N, Reddy GC, Das B. *Tetrahedron*. 2013; 69:2220. (n) Gao DW, Shi YC, Gu Q, Zhao ZL, You SL. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2013; 135:86. [PubMed: 23253097]
10. (a) Giri R, Mangel N, Li J-J, Wang D-H, Breazzano SP, Saunders LB, Yu J-Q. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129:3510. [PubMed: 17335217] (b) Romero-Revilla JA, García-Rubia A, Arrayás R, Fernández-Ibáñez MÁ, Carretero JC. *J Org Chem*. 2011; 76:9525. [PubMed: 21961532] (c) Wasa M, Chan KSL, Yu JQ. *Chem Lett*. 2011; 40:1004. [PubMed: 23637469]
11. (a) Ge H, Niphakis MJ, Georg GI. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:3708. [PubMed: 18321102] (b) Zhao J, Zhang Y, Cheng K. *J Org Chem*. 2008; 73:7428. [PubMed: 18702549] (c) Wang DH, Mei TS, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2008; 130:17676. [PubMed: 19067651] (d) Chu JH, Tsa SL, Wu MJ. *Synthesis*. 2009; 22:3757. (e) Chu JH, Lin PS, Wu MJ. *Organometallics*. 2010; 29:4058. (f) Chu JH, Lin PS, Lee YM, Shen WT, Wu MJ. *Chem Eur J*. 2011; 17:13613. [PubMed: 22025312] (g) Zhang X, Yu M, Yao J, Zhang Y. *Synlett*. 2012; 23:463. (h) Zhao B. *Org Biomol Chem*. 2012; 10:7108. [PubMed: 22868382] (i) Yao J, Yu M, Zhang Y. *Adv Synth Catal*. 2012; 354:3205.
12. For examples of Pd-catalyzed C–H arylation with arylboron reagents at mild temperatures (50 °C) see: Kirchberg S, Vogler T, Studer A. *Synlett*. 2008; 18:2841. Nishikata T, Abela AR, Huang S, Lipshutz BH. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:4978. [PubMed: 20307066] Tredwell MJ, Gulias M, Bremeyer NG, Johansson CCC, Collins BSL, Gaunt MJ. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2011; 50:1076. Wasa M, Engle KM, Lin DW, Yoo EJ, Yu JQ. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:19598. [PubMed: 22059375]
13. Hull KL, Sanford MS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129:11904. [PubMed: 17845047]
14. Neufeldt SR, Seigerman CK, Sanford MS. *Org Lett*. 2013 in press.
15. Melikyan GG. *Synthesis*. 1993:833.
16. Reddy BVS, Reddy LR, Corey EJ. *Org Lett*. 2006; 8:3391. [PubMed: 16836413]
17. (a) Whitfield SR, Sanford MS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007; 129:15142. [PubMed: 18004863] (b) Racowski JM, Dick AR, Sanford MS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131:10974. [PubMed: 19459631] (c) Racowski JM, Ball ND, Sanford MS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2011; 133:18022. [PubMed: 22017502] (d) Racowski JM, Sanford MS. *Angew Chem Int Ed*. 2012; 51:3414. (e) Hickman AJ, Sanford MS. *Nature*. 2012; 484:177. [PubMed: 22498623]
18. Kalyani D, Dick AR, Anani WQ, Sanford MS. *Tetrahedron*. 2006; 62:11483.
19. Hull KL, Anani WQ, Sanford MS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2006; 128:7134. [PubMed: 16734446]
20. Desai LV, Malik HA, Sanford MS. *Org Lett*. 2006; 8:1141. [PubMed: 16524288]
21. Deprez NR, Sanford MS. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131:11234. [PubMed: 19621899]
22. DuPriest MT, Schmidt CL, Kuzmich D, Williams SB. *J Org Chem*. 1986; 51:2021.
23. Yu M, Fang H, Yue Y, Chen Y, Chen S. *Synth Commun*. 2010; 40:58.
24. Ewing, WR.; Mapelli, C.; Sulsky, RB.; Haque, TS.; Lee, VG.; Riexinger, DJ.; Martinez, RL.; Zhu, Y. Human glucagon-like-peptide-1 modulators and their use in the treatment of diabetes and related conditions. PCT Int Appl WO 2006014287. 2006. p. A1
25. Lohse O, Thevenin P, Waldvogel E. *Synlett*. 2009; 1:45.
26. Vedejs E, Chapman RW, Fields SC, Lin S, Schrimpf MR. *J Org Chem*. 1995; 60:3020.

Figure 1.
Initial Rates of C-H Arylation with **1** versus **1-d₅**

Scheme 1. Pd-Catalyzed C–H Alkylation with Alkyltrifluoroborates in Conjunction with MnF₃¹⁴

Table 1Optimization of the Pd(OAc)₂-Catalyzed C–H Phenylation of **1** ^a

Entry	Solvent	Temp (°C)	Conv (%) ^b	Yield (%) ^b
1 ^c	TFE/AcOH/H ₂ O (8:1:1)	40	73	34
2	TFE/AcOH/H ₂ O (8:1:1)	50	68	56 ^d
3	TFE	50	34	26
4	AcOH	50	76	50 ^d
5	AcOH/H ₂ O (9:1)	50	81	55 ^d
6	TFE/AcOH (9:1)	50	77	58 ^d
7	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	84	77
8	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	40	73	70
9	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	25	35	30
10 ^e	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	86	77
11 ^f	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	56	34
12 ^g	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	60	50
13 ^h	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	82	60
14 ⁱ	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	51	38
15 ^{e,j}	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	6	0
16 ^k	TFE/AcOH (1:1)	50	2	2

^a General conditions: **1** (1 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.10 equiv), PhBF₃K (4 equiv), Mn(OAc)₃ (2.5 equiv), solvent (0.067 M in **1**), 18 h.^b Determined by gas chromatographic analysis of the crude reaction mixture using neopentylbenzene as a standard.^c Reaction run for 6 h using 4 equiv Mn(OAc)₃ and 2 equiv PhBF₃K.^d C–H acetoxylation product (~ 3%) formed.^e 1 equiv NaOAc added.^f 1 equiv benzoquinone added.^g 4 equiv PhB(OH)₂ were used instead of PhBF₃K.^h 4 equiv phenylboronic acid pinacol ester were used instead of PhBF₃K.ⁱ 4 equiv 1,3,5-triphenylboroxine were used instead of PhBF₃K.^j Control reaction without Pd.^k Control reaction without Mn^{III}.

Table 2

Palladium-Catalyzed C–H Arylation of **1** with Diverse Aryltrifluoroborate Salts^a ^a Isolated yields

Table 3

Palladium-Catalyzed C–H Phenylation of Diverse Arylpyridine Substrates^a

(2a , 86%)	(3a , 69%)	(4a , 71%)	(5a , 46%)
(6a , 10%) ^b	(7a , 14%) ^c	(8a , 46%)	(9a , 38%)
(10a , 85%)	(11a , 32%) ^d	(12a , 23%) ^e	(13a , 28%) ^f

^a Isolated yields.^b GC yield (from crude reaction mixture) was 24%.^c GC yield (from crude reaction mixture) was 38%.^d GC yield (from crude reaction mixture) was 32%.^e GC yield (from crude reaction mixture) was 20%.^f GC yield (from crude reaction mixture) was 56%.

Table 4Evaluation of Different Oxidants for Pd-Catalyzed C–H Phenylation of **1**^a

Entry	Oxidant	Conv (%) ^b	Yield (%) ^b
1	Mn(OAc) ₃	84	77
2	MnF ₃	95	57
3	AgCO ₃	2	2
4	Ag ₂ O	2	nd
5	Cu(OAc) ₂	16	nd
6	BQ	17	7
7	K ₂ S ₂ O ₈	100	2
8	^t BuOOH	32	6
9	NCS	64	6 ^c
10	NFTPT	100	11
11	PhI(OAc) ₂	98	83
12	PhI(OTFA) ₂	99	91

^aConditions: **1** (1 equiv), Pd(OAc)₂ (0.10 equiv), PhBF₃K (4 equiv), oxidant (2.5 equiv), AcOH/TFE (1:1, 0.067 M in **1**), 50 °C, 18 h.^bDetermined by gas chromatographic analysis of the crude reaction mixture using neopentylbenzene or hexadecane as an internal standard.^cC–H chlorination product (~22%) formed.