Published in final edited form as:

Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging. 2012 December ; 39(12): 1904–1909. doi:10.1007/s00259-012-2208-7.

Stress-induced myocardial ischemia is associated with early post-stress left ventricular mechanical dyssynchrony as assessed by phase analysis of ^{201}Tl gated SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging

Chien-Cheng Chen,

Department of Cardiology, Show Chwan Memorial Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan

Thau-Yun Shen,

Department of Cardiology, Show Chwan Memorial Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan

Ming-Che Chang,

Department of Nuclear Medicine, Changhua Christian Hospital, Changhua, Taiwan

Guang-Uei Hung,

Department of Nuclear Medicine, Chang Bing Show Chwan Memorial Hospital, 6 Lukon Road, Lukong Town, Changhua 505, Taiwan

Department of Biomedical Imaging and Radiological Science, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Wan-Chen Chen,

Department of Nuclear Medicine, Chang Bing Show Chwan Memorial Hospital, 6 Lukon Road, Lukong Town, Changhua 505, Taiwan

Chia-Hung Kao, and

Department of Biomedical Imaging and Radiological Science, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan

Ji Chen

Department of Radiology and Imaging Sciences, Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, GA, USA

Abstract

Purpose—In ^{201}Tl SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) data are acquired shortly after the stress injection to assess early post-stress left ventricle (LV) function. The purpose of this study was to use ^{201}Tl SPECT MPI to investigate whether stress-induced myocardial ischemia is associated with LV mechanical dyssynchrony.

Methods—Enrolled in the study were 75 patients who were referred for dipyridamole stress and rest ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI. The early post-stress scan was started 5 min after injection, and followed by the rest scan 4 h later. The patients were divided into three groups: ischemia group ($N=25$, summed stress score, SSS, ≥ 5 , summed rest score, SRS, <5), infarct group ($N=16$, SSS ≥ 5 ,

SRS = 5) and normal group ($N=34$, $SSS < 5$, $SRS < 5$). LV dyssynchrony parameters were calculated by phase analysis, and compared between the stress and rest images.

Results—In the ischemia group, LV dyssynchrony was significantly larger during stress than during rest. On the contrary, LV dyssynchrony during stress was significantly smaller than during rest in the normal and infarct groups. LV dyssynchrony during rest was significantly larger in the infarct group than in the normal and ischemia groups. There were no significant differences in LV dyssynchrony during rest between the normal and ischemia groups.

Conclusion—Stress-induced myocardial ischemia caused dyssynchronous contraction in the ischemic region, leading to a deterioration in LV synchrony. Normal myocardium had more synchronous contraction during stress. The different dyssynchrony pattern between ischemic and normal myocardium early post-stress may aid the diagnosis of coronary artery disease using ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI.

Keywords

Thallium-201; Gated SPECT; Left-ventricular dyssynchrony; Myocardial ischemia

Introduction

Phase analysis has been developed for measuring left-ventricular (LV) dyssynchrony from gated SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging (MPI) [1]. It has been shown that quantitative indices given by phase analysis, such as phase standard deviation (PSD) and phase histogram bandwidth (PHB), correlate well with LV dyssynchrony measured by tissue Doppler imaging [2–4]. Most importantly, these indices have been shown to predict response to cardiac resynchronization therapy in patients with heart failure [5]. As the phase analysis technique can be applied to conventional gated SPECT MPI data with good reproducibility [6] and repeatability [7], it shows promise to become a standard, widespread nuclear cardiology tool in coronary artery disease (CAD), heart failure, and cardiac electrophysiology.

All of the above studies were done using $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -sestamibi or tetrofosmin as the radiotracer. As $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -sestamibi or tetrofosmin gated SPECT MPI data are usually acquired about 1 h after injection, they represent post-stress function, which is close to resting function. A study using $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -sestamibi SPECT MPI has shown that the presence of even large reversible defects does not alter LV dyssynchrony from rest to stress [8]. As ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI data are acquired close to peak stress (usually within 5 min of injection), they offer the opportunity to investigate stress-induced changes in LV function and dyssynchrony. Our group have previously shown that stress-induced changes in LV ejection fraction (LVEF) are a valuable nonperfusion marker of significant CAD [9–11] and related to transient ischemic dilation [12]. The purpose of this study was to use ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI to investigate whether stress-induced myocardial ischemia is associated with LV mechanical dyssynchrony.

Materials and methods

Patient population

Enrolled in this prospective study were 75 consecutive patients with known or suspected CAD referred for dipyridamole stress/rest gated ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI. Patients with a low likelihood of CAD, normal LV function, no heart failure symptoms, no electrocardiogram abnormalities, and normal perfusion images on MPI were considered normal controls. Patients with evidence of myocardial ischemia and myocardial infarction

were also included. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Chang Bing Show Chwan Memorial Hospital.

²⁰¹Tl gated SPECT MPI

Patients fasted for at least 4 h and were asked to abstain from caffeine-containing foods, beverages and medications containing methylxanthine for 24 h. Dipyridamole was administered intravenously at a rate of 0.14 mg/kg/min over 4 min. ²⁰¹Tl (111 MBq) was then injected 3 min after the end of the dipyridamole infusion. Blood pressure and heart rate were recorded every minute. Aminophylline was given to seven patients (9 %) suffering from severe adverse effects after dipyridamole stress, including chest pain, dyspnea, nausea, vomiting, severe bradycardia (heart rate less than 40 bpm), second or third degree atrioventricular block, ST depression, and frequent premature ventricular contractions.

Stress and rest scans were acquired with the patient in a supine position starting 5–10 min and 4 h after ²⁰¹Tl injection. ²⁰¹Tl was not reinjected for the rest scan. A dual-head gamma camera (Symbia T2; Siemens Medical Solutions, Knoxville, TN) equipped with a low-energy high-resolution collimator was used. The acquisition comprised 32 projections, with 50 s of data collection per projection, obtained over a 180° arc extending from the 45° right anterior oblique to the 45° left posterior oblique position. A 20 % window was centered over the 72 and 167 keV ²⁰¹Tl photopeaks. The acquisition was synchronized electrocardiographically with an acceptance window of 100 %, and each projection was divided into eight images per cardiac cycle. The projection images were acquired into 64×64 matrices with a 1.45 acquisition zoom and were reconstructed by filtered back-projection with a Butterworth filter (order 10, cut-off frequency 0.5 cycles per pixel).

Image analysis

The gated SPECT MPI data were analyzed with the Emory Cardiac Toolbox (ECTb). For perfusion analysis, the LV was divided into 17 segments, and all segments were scored automatically by ECTb using its enhanced thallium normal database on a five-point scale (0, normal; 1, mildly reduced; 2, moderately reduced; 3, severely reduced; and 4, absent uptake). Summed stress scores (SSS) and summed rest scores (SRS) were the sum of the scores of the 17 segments on stress and rest images. Summed difference scores (SDS) were then calculated as SSS minus SRS. The patients were then divided into three groups: (1) normal group, with no or minor defects (SSS <5, SRS <5); ischemia group, with significant reversible defects (SSS ≥5, SRS <5); and infarct group, with significant fixed defects (SSS ≥5, SRS ≥5). LV dyssynchrony parameters, PSD and PHB, were then calculated and compared between the stress and rest images.

Statistical analysis

LV global dyssynchrony (PSD and PHB) were compared among stress and rest images using a paired *t*-test. The PSD and PHB between different groups of patients were compared using an unpaired *t*-test with unequal variance. Noncontinuous variables were expressed as frequency and percentage and tested by a chi-squared test. A *p* value lower than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

Of the 75 patients, 34, 25 and 16 were allocated to the normal, ischemia and infarct groups, respectively. Their clinical characteristics are shown in Table 1. Patients in the normal group were younger and had a lower frequency of hypertension. No significant difference was noted among the three groups in terms of gender, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, smoking or family history.

The parameters of LV perfusion and function are shown in Table 2. LVEF during stress in the normal group was significantly higher than during rest. On the contrary, LVEF during stress in the ischemia and infarct groups was lower than during rest; however, the differences did not reach statistical significance.

LV dyssynchrony parameters were summarized in Fig. 1. Both PSD and PHB were significantly reduced during stress than during rest in both normal and infarct groups, but increased during stress than during rest in the ischemia group. Both PSD and PHB during rest were significantly larger in the infarct group than that in the normal and ischemia groups (both $p < 0.001$). There were no significant differences in LV dyssynchrony during rest between the normal and ischemia groups. Fig. 2 shows an example for each group, respectively.

The changes in the LV dyssynchrony parameters from rest to stress (Δ PSD, Δ PHB) were compared with SDS and the changes in LVEF (Δ LVEF). The correlation coefficients are shown in Table 3. There were weak relationships between Δ PSD/ Δ PHB and SDS and between Δ PSD/ Δ PHB and Δ LVEF in the entire population and in the ischemia group, respectively.

Discussion

This is the first study using ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI to demonstrate the different dyssynchrony patterns in ischemic, infarcted, and normal myocardium in response to pharmacological stress. LV global dyssynchrony was significantly larger early post-stress than during rest in the ischemic group, but significantly larger in the normal and infarct groups. These findings indicated that stress caused more dyssynchronous contraction in ischemic myocardium and more synchronous contraction in normal myocardium. Although delayed contraction persisted in infarcted myocardium during both stress and rest, stress caused more synchronous contraction of the rest normal myocardium, and thus resulted in less LV global dyssynchrony in the infarct group.

Dyssynchronous contraction in stress-induced ischemia is associated with stress-induced stunning, which may be reflected as stress-induced worsening in LVEF and/or regional wall motion abnormality as shown in our previous studies using ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI [9, 10, 12]. In this study, however, the early post-stress decrease in LVEF in the ischemia group was not statistically significant, despite weak correlations with stress-induced dyssynchrony. It is noteworthy that a recent study showed that the presence of a reversible perfusion defect did not alter the parameters of LV dyssynchrony when measured using $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ -sestamibi gated SPECT MPI [8]. As mentioned in the report of that study, the perfusion defects shown on the $^{99\text{m}}\text{Tc}$ SPECT images were a reflection of myocardial blood flow at the time of tracer injection, but the wall motion, LVEF, and dyssynchrony were derived from the gated images that were acquired at the time of imaging, usually 45–60 min after the start of stress. Since ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI acquires stress images at 5–10 min after the start of stress, this study for the first time demonstrated that assessment of stress-induced dyssynchrony in ischemic myocardium is feasible by ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI. Moreover, the degrees of stress-induced dyssynchrony as assessed by phase analysis of ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI were found to moderately but significantly correlate with SDS (PSD vs. SDS: $r=0.45$, $p<0.0001$; PHB vs. SDS: $r=0.44$, $p=0.0001$). These correlations are interesting, as these variables measure two different processes (function vs. perfusion). In addition, our finding that stress caused more synchronous contraction in normal myocardium is consistent with a recent report using ^{82}Rb gated PET [13], which showed that LV dyssynchrony is reduced when derived from peak stress versus rest in patients with normal myocardium.

In this study, patients underwent dipyridamole stress instead of exercise stress. Although perfusion abnormalities during dipyridamole stress reflect heterogeneity of coronary reserve, which may not be considered as true ischemia, ischemia sometimes does occur and results in ischemic stunning. In a study by Lee et al. [14], dipyridamole-induced reversible regional abnormalities in wall motion were present in one-half of patients with CAD on ^{99m}Tc -sestamibi gated SPECT images acquired 1 h after the start of stress. Our previous study also found that dipyridamole-induced stunning, manifested as LVEF worsening during dipyridamole stress, was a highly specific marker of significant CAD [9, 10]. The exact mechanism underlying vasodilator-induced myocardial ischemia is still not well understood. The most widely accepted explanation is the coronary steal phenomenon [15]. In the myocardial segments supplied by stenosed vessels, stress perfusion did not increase as much as that of normal vessels and was even less than resting perfusion. In addition, most of the patients had an increased heart rate after vasodilator stress as a result of a reflex sympathetic response, which might have caused a certain grade of increased oxygen demand that exaggerated the coronary steal-related ischemia.

Clinical implications

Comparison of LV dyssynchrony early post-stress vs. during rest by ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI can help differentiate myocardial ischemia from normal myocardium and myocardial infarction. Assessment of stress-induced dyssynchrony can provide additional nonperfusion markers of CAD that may have an incremental value in the diagnosis of CAD using ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI.

Study limitations

This was a proof-of-concept study, whose findings need to be prospectively validated in a larger study. Specifically, the clinical value of stress-induced dyssynchrony assessed by ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI in the diagnosis of CAD needs to be validated against coronary angiography. Such validation would require an advanced phase analysis tool to assess not only LV global dyssynchrony but also dyssynchrony in coronary territories. Phase analysis was initially developed and validated with ^{99m}Tc -labeled tracers [1–5]. In general, ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI has poorer counting statistics than ^{99m}Tc gated SPECT MPI. Nevertheless, the average signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) in the perfusion defects in this study were 35.3 ± 10.9 and 30.2 ± 12.5 in the ischemia group and infarct group, respectively. Only one patient in the infarct group had a SNR below 12.0 (11.2), the threshold for accurate phase analysis determined in a previous study [16]. The extent of perfusion defects alone did not seem to affect phase analysis, as long as the counts in the defects were sufficient, i.e. SNR greater than 12.0. ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI also has poorer spatial resolution than ^{99m}Tc gated SPECT, but ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI has been shown to produce comparable results in measuring LVEF and volumes to those produced by ^{99m}Tc gated SPECT MPI [17, 18]. Therefore, further investigation based on the accuracy of phase analysis of ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI is warranted.

Conclusion

This study demonstrated the different dyssynchrony patterns assessed by ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI early post-stress versus during rest in patients with ischemic, infarcted, and normal myocardium. Further study is warranted to assess the incremental value of stress-induced dyssynchrony over conventional assessment of ^{201}Tl gated SPECT MPI in the diagnosis of CAD.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported in part by a research grant from Show Chwan Memorial Hospital (RD100003) and an NIH grant (1R01HL094438, PI: Ji Chen, PhD).

References

1. Chen J, Garcia EV, Folks RD, Cooke CD, Faber TL, Tauxe EL, et al. Onset of left ventricular mechanical contraction as determined by phase analysis of ECG-gated myocardial perfusion SPECT imaging: development of a diagnostic tool for assessment of cardiac mechanical dyssynchrony. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2005; 12:687–95. [PubMed: 16344231]
2. Henneman MM, Chen J, Ypenburg C, Dibbets P, Stokkel M, van der Wall EE, et al. Phase analysis of gated myocardial perfusion SPECT compared to tissue Doppler imaging for the assessment of left ventricular dyssynchrony. *J Am Coll Cardiol.* 2007; 49:1708–14. [PubMed: 17448373]
3. Marsan NA, Henneman MM, Chen J, Ypenburg C, Dibbets P, Ghio S, et al. Real-time 3-dimensional echocardiography as a novel approach to quantify left ventricular dyssynchrony: a comparison study with phase analysis of gated myocardial perfusion single photon emission computed tomography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr.* 2008; 21:801–7. [PubMed: 18222645]
4. Marsan NA, Henneman MM, Chen J, Ypenburg C, Dibbets P, Ghio S, et al. Left ventricular dyssynchrony assessed by two 3-dimensional imaging modalities: phase analysis of gated myocardial perfusion SPECT and tri-plane tissue Doppler imaging. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2008; 35:166–73. [PubMed: 17874098]
5. Henneman MM, Chen J, Dibbets P, Stokkel M, Bleeker GB, Ypenburg C, et al. Can LV dyssynchrony as assessed with phase analysis on gated myocardial perfusion SPECT predict response to CRT? *J Nucl Med.* 2007; 48:1104–11. [PubMed: 17574987]
6. Trimble MA, Velazquez EJ, Adams GL, Honeycutt EF, Pagnanelli RA, Barnhart HX, et al. Repeatability and reproducibility of phase analysis of gated SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging used to quantify cardiac dyssynchrony. *Nucl Med Commun.* 2008; 29:374–81. [PubMed: 18317303]
7. Lin X, Xu H, Zhao X, Folks RD, Faber TL, Garcia EV, et al. Repeatability of left ventricular dyssynchrony and function parameters in serial gated myocardial perfusion SPECT studies. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2010; 17:811–6. [PubMed: 20440590]
8. Aljaroudi W, Koneru J, Heo J, Iskandrian AE. Impact of ischemia on left ventricular dyssynchrony by phase analysis of gated single photon emission computed tomography myocardial perfusion imaging. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2011; 18:36–42. [PubMed: 21104361]
9. Hung GU, Chen CP, Yang KT. Incremental value of ischemic stunning on the detection of severe and extensive coronary artery disease in dipyridamole TI-201 gated myocardial perfusion imaging. *Int J Cardiol.* 2005; 105:108–10. [PubMed: 16207557]
10. Hung GU, Lee KW, Chen CP, Yang KT, Lin WY. Worsening of left ventricular ejection fraction induced by dipyridamole on TI-201 gated myocardial perfusion imaging predicts significant coronary artery disease. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2006; 13:225–32. [PubMed: 16580959]
11. Chen HD, Feng CC, Yang KT, Hung GU. The effects of dipyridamole on left ventricular function evaluated by stress-rest gated 201TI myocardial perfusion SPECT. *Ann Nucl Med Sci.* 2007; 20:129–35.
12. Hung GU, Lee KW, Chen CP, Lin WY, Yang KT. Relationship of transient ischemic dilation in dipyridamole myocardial perfusion imaging and stress-induced changes of functional parameters evaluated by TI-201 gated SPECT. *J Nucl Cardiol.* 2005; 12:268–75. [PubMed: 15944531]
13. Aljaroudi W, Alraies MC, DiFilippo F, Brunken RC, Cerqueira MD, Jaber WA. Effect of stress testing on left ventricular mechanical synchrony by phase analysis of gated positron emission tomography in patients with normal myocardial perfusion. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging.* 2012; 39:665–72. [PubMed: 22218877]
14. Lee DS, Yeo JS, Chung JK, Lee MM, Lee MC. Transient prolonged stunning induced by dipyridamole and shown on 1- and 24-hour poststress 99mTc-MIBI gated SPECT. *J Nucl Med.* 2000; 41:27–35. [PubMed: 10647602]

15. Becker LC. Conditions for vasodilator-induced coronary steal in experimental myocardial ischemia. *Circulation*. 1978; 57:1103–10. [PubMed: 416923]
16. Cheung A, Zhou Y, Faber TL, Garcia EV, Zhu L, Chen J. The performance of phase analysis of gated SPECT myocardial perfusion imaging in the presence of perfusion defects: a simulation study. *J Nucl Cardiol*. 2012; 19:500–6. [PubMed: 22203443]
17. Cwajg E, Cwajg J, He ZX, Hwang WS, Keng F, Nagueh SF, et al. Gated myocardial perfusion tomography for the assessment of left ventricular function and volumes: comparison with echocardiography. *J Nucl Med*. 1999; 40:1857–65. [PubMed: 10565781]
18. Hung GU, Hsia CH, Yang PT, Yang KT. Performance of thallium-201 electrocardiography-gated myocardial perfusion single photon emission computed tomography to assess left ventricular function. *Kaohsiung J Med Sci*. 2005; 21:203–11. [PubMed: 15960066]

Fig. 1. LV dyssynchrony early post-stress and during rest in patients with ischemic, infarcted, or normal myocardium

Fig. 2.

Gated SPECT MPI images in example patients with ischemic (a), infarcted (b) and normal (c) myocardium

Table 1

Clinical characteristics

Characteristic	Group			<i>p</i> value
	Normal (<i>n</i> =34)	Ischemia (<i>n</i> =25)	Infarct (<i>n</i> =16)	
Age (years, mean±SD)	61±10.2	69±10.7	67±10.0	0.03
Male	26 (77 %)	16 (64 %)	9 (56 %)	NS
Hypertension	21 (62 %)	22 (88 %)	14 (88 %)	0.032
Diabetes	7 (21 %)	9 (36 %)	6 (38 %)	NS
Hyperlipidemia	16 (47 %)	17 (68 %)	11 (69 %)	NS
Smoking	2 (35 %)	5 (20 %)	5 (31 %)	NS
Family history	10 (29 %)	4 (16 %)	3 (19 %)	NS

NS not significant.

Table 2

LV perfusion and function parameters in the normal, ischemia and infarct groups. Values are means $\pm$ SD

	Normal group	Ischemia group	Infarct group
Summed stress score	1.0 $\pm$ 1.5	18.3 $\pm$ 7.5	17.7 $\pm$ 6.3
Summed rest score	0.9 $\pm$ 1.7	1.4 $\pm$ 1.8	9.3 $\pm$ 3.9
Summed difference score	0.1 $\pm$ 2.0	16.9 $\pm$ 6.5	8.4 $\pm$ 4.6
Ejection fraction			
Stress	82.8 $\pm$ 5.6	69.2 $\pm$ 10.4	59.0 $\pm$ 19.6
Rest	78.9 $\pm$ 7.9	72.6 $\pm$ 9.4	61.2 $\pm$ 17.4
<i>p</i> value	0.02	NS	NS
Phase standard deviation			
Stress	15.9 $\pm$ 5.0	22.0 $\pm$ 7.8	30.4 $\pm$ 15.1
Rest	19.0 $\pm$ 4.2	17.0 $\pm$ 4.8	37.9 $\pm$ 17.5
<i>p</i> value	<0.001	<0.001	0.0014
Phase histogram bandwidth			
Stress	45.5 $\pm$ 11.2	66.7 $\pm$ 21.1	93.3 $\pm$ 52.8
Rest	57.4 $\pm$ 11.5	54.5 $\pm$ 15.3	113.8 $\pm$ 65.0
<i>p</i> value	<0.001	0.0045	0.0097

Table 3

LV perfusion and function parameters in the normal, ischemia and infarct groups

Comparison	Entire population (<i>n</i> =75)		Normal group (<i>n</i> =34)		Ischemia group (<i>n</i> =25)		Infarct group (<i>n</i> =16)	
	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> value	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> value	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> value	Correlation coefficient	<i>p</i> value
ΔPSD vs. SDS	0.45	<0.0001	0.06	NS	0.34	NS	0.03	NS
ΔPHB vs. SDS	0.44	0.0001	0.08	NS	0.08	NS	0.30	NS
ΔPSD vs. ΔLVEF	−0.24	0.0345	−0.01	NS	−0.34	NS	0.04	NS
ΔPHB vs. ΔLVEF	−0.23	0.0401	−0.04	NS	−0.36	NS	0.14	NS

NS not significant.