Determination of the High Prevalence of Dual/Mixed- or X4-Tropism Among HIV Type 1 CRF01_AE in Hong Kong by Genotyping and Phenotyping Methods

Sabrina Wai-Chi To,¹ Jonathan Hon-Kwan Chen,¹ Ka-Hing Wong,²
Kenny Chi-Wai Chan,² Zhiwei Chen,³ and Wing-Cheong Yam¹

Abstract

In Hong Kong, the CCR5 antagonist has recently been introduced into salvage therapy for multiclass drug-resistant HIV-1-infected patients. Coreceptor usage must be determined prior to the usage of the CCR5 antagonist, which does not inhibit X4-tropic viruses. This study aimed to determine the tropism prevalence for HIV-1 subtypes B and CRF01_AE in Hong Kong. In addition, a modified promoter-PCR phenotypic assay was used to validate the genotypic tropism prediction on CRF01_AE. One hundred and five subtype B and 98 CRF01_AE antiretroviral-naïve patients were recruited for this study. The viral *env* V3 region isolated from the patients was sequenced and analyzed by Geno2pheno (FPR=5.75% or 10%, Clonal or Clinical), position-specific scoring matrix (WebPSSM, x4r5 subtype B matrix), and the combination of 11/25 and net charge rules. Fifteen concordant and 22 discordant tropism genotyped CRF01_AE samples were further phenotyped by either enhanced sensitivity Trofile assay or an optimized promoter-PCR phenotypic assay. The prevalence of Dual/Mixed- or X4-tropic virus in antiretroviral-naïve subtype CRF01_AE was 39.1%, which was significantly higher than subtype B ($p < 0.05$), regardless of the choices of genotypic algorithms. Our phenotypic data proposed that a better genotypic tropism prediction for HIV-1 CRF01_AE would be using both Geno2pheno (FPR=10%, Clonal) and WebPSSM (x4r5 subtype B matrix) algorithms in combination. The sensitivity and specificity for this combination were 88.9% and 89.3%, respectively. The comparatively high prevalence of Dual/Mixed- or X4-tropic virus in CRF01_AE demonstrated the need for special attention to future treatment strategies.

Introduction

HIV-1 USES ONE OR BOTH MAJOR chemokine coreceptors, namely CCR5 and CXCR4, for entering host cells.¹ This entry pathway can be blocked by the recently developed CCR5 antagonist, maraviroc (MVC), which has inhibitory effects on R5-tropic (R5) viruses only.² From 2007, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration and the European Medicines Agency have approved the use of MVC for treatment-experienced patients who only have the R5 virus detected.³ Thus, the determination of viral tropism becomes crucial and is highly recommended before initiating MVC treatment.

The Enhanced Sensitivity Trofile Assay (ESTA) (Monogram Biosciences, USA) is believed to be the “gold standard” for HIV tropism phenotypic determination and is available only in the United States.^{4–6} Due to its limited accessibility, other in-house phenotyping assays or genotyping assays have been developed for coreceptor prediction.^{7–10} Although the phenotyping assays provide better sensitivity and specificity, they are time consuming to process and require intensive laboratory set-up, which is definitely not suitable for clinical diagnosis. Hence the use of genotyping methods becomes the global trend for tropism prediction. Different genotypic algorithms are designed on the basis of the variable loop 3 (V3) in the HIV-1 *env* region, which is believed to interact with

¹Department of Microbiology, Queen Mary Hospital, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China.

²Integrated Treatment Centre, Special Preventive Programme, Centre of Health Protection, Department of Health, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China.

³AIDS Institute, Department of Microbiology and Research Centre for Infection and Immunity, the University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong Special Administrative Region, China.

coreceptor when binding.^{11–13} The simplest prediction rule relies on the basic amino acids present at positions 11 and/or 25 of the V3 loop and the net charge of the sequences.¹⁴ A modified prediction rule considering both 11/25 and net charge rules was proposed since it had a better prediction result for non-B subtypes.¹⁵ In addition, Geno2Pheno [coreceptor] (G2P) and position-specific scoring matrix (WebPSSM) are two more advanced interpretation algorithms and achieved high concordance with phenotyping data in several clinical studies with HIV-1 subtype B and C isolates. These algorithms were reported to be around 80–90% specific and 50–60% sensitive for subtype B viruses and a minority of non-B subtypes.^{9,12,16–18} On the other hand, less concordance was observed in other circulating recombinant forms (CRFs) such as CRF02_AG.¹⁹

Several genotypic studies focused on non-B subtypes suggested that subtype CRF01_AE, the major circulating strains among Southeast Asian countries,²⁰ had a higher proportion of X4-tropic (X4) or Dual/Mixed-tropic (D/M) than other subtypes.^{21–24} However, the small sample size and unknown treatment background led to many uncertainties in these studies. In addition, no phenotypic data on CRF01_AE tropism were available to validate any of the above-mentioned genotypic algorithms. Hence it is critical to investigate and evaluate the prevalence of X4 or D/M in antiretroviral-naïve patients infected with subtype CRF01_AE.

In this study, we optimized a recently published promoter-PCR (pPCR) phenotypic assay for CRF01_AE strains and validated it with the ESTA method.⁸ The phenotypic tropism assay was used to compare the genotypic predictions by three commonly used algorithms. Through the use of the genotypic tropism results, we tried to study the prevalence of tropism among subtypes B and CRF01_AE viruses circulating in Hong Kong.

Materials and Methods

Clinical samples

In 2010 and 2011, there were a total of 827 new HIV-1 infections recorded in Hong Kong.²⁵ About 60% of the patients sought medical consultation at the Integrated Treatment Centre (Department of Health, Hong Kong) and 203 antiretroviral-naïve patients were available for this study. Baseline peripheral blood was collected from all patients during their clinic visit at the Centre after consent. An in-house genotyping assay was used to sequence the HIV-1 *pol* gene, which was then analyzed by the REGA Genotyping Tool version 2.0 for subtype identification.^{26,27} The tropism of all samples was first estimated by genotypic tropism prediction. Based on genotyping, 15 concordant and 22 discordant CRF01_AE samples were further phenotyped by the ESTA method and/or the pPCR phenotypic assay.

Genotypic coreceptor prediction

Five hundred microliters of plasma was centrifuged at 20,000×g, 4°C for 90 min, followed by viral RNA extraction using the QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). The viral V3 *env* region was then reverse transcribed and amplified by the SuperScript III One-Step RT-PCR system with Platinum *Taq* High Fidelity enzyme (Invitrogen, USA) in

triplicate.^{3,28} The 35 amino acid V3 region was nested polymerase chain reaction (PCR) by the Expand High Fidelity PCR system (Roche Diagnostics, Germany) and cycle sequenced by BigDye Terminator v1.1 (Life Technologies, USA). The amplification and sequencing reaction conditions were described elsewhere.²⁹ All sequences were generated by ABI PRISM 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Life Technologies, USA) and manually edited by Staden Package version 2.0.³⁰

The HIV-1 tropism was predicted by three sets of algorithms: (1) Geno2Pheno [coreceptor] 1.2 (<http://coreceptor.bioinf.mpi-inf.mpg.de/index.php>), the false-positive rate (FPR) was set at 5.75% or 10%, with or without the input of viral load and CD4 and CD8 cell counts,^{9,10 31–33} (2) WebPSSM (<http://indra.mullins.microbiol.washington.edu/webpssm/>), using subtype B x4r5 matrix,¹² and (3) the combination of 11/25 and net charge rules (CN Rules).¹⁴

Phenotypic coreceptor prediction by ESTA (Monogram Biosciences)

Plasma samples of 12 HIV-1 CRF01_AE-infected patients with viral load above 2,000 copies/ml were randomly selected and sent to Monogram Biosciences in California for ESTA.

Phenotypic coreceptor prediction by a pPCR phenotypic assay

A recently published phenotypic assay was adopted in this study with slight modifications of PCR cycling conditions.⁸ Briefly, the extracted RNA was reverse transcribed and amplified in triplicate reactions. An overlapping PCR technique was employed to add the CMV promoter/enhancer to the PCR products without cloning. After cotransfecting pPCR products and pNL4-3.Luc.R-E-, pseudoviruses produced were used to infect two U87 indicator cell lines expressing either the CCR5 or CXCR4 coreceptor. The luciferase activities were measured to determine coreceptor usage. Reference viruses, plasmids, and cell lines, including MJ4, LAI_{BRU}, 89.6, pYU2, pNL4-3, pNL4-3.Luc.R-E-, U87.CD4.CCR5, and U87.CD4.CXCR4, were obtained from the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program (NIH, USA). MJ4 and LAI_{BRU} viruses were used as positive controls in each batch of amplification and luciferase measurement for R5 and X4 prediction, respectively.

Statistical analyses

The viral loads and CD4 cell counts of subtype B and CRF01_AE were statically analyzed by two-tailed *t*-tests using GraphPad Prism (version 4.0, GraphPad software, CA). Chi-square tests were used to calculate the tropism genotypic distributions between subtype B and CRF01_AE. The sensitivity and specificity of each genotypic algorithm were calculated by converting X4 predictions to positive values and R5 predictions to negative values of the assay.

Results

Characteristics of the patients

After analyzing the *pol* sequence by REGA, 105 samples were confirmed to be HIV-1 subtype B while the remaining 98 samples were HIV-1 subtype CRF01_AE. The mean viral load

and CD4 counts for subtype B treatment-naïve patients were 4.77 log₁₀ copies/ml (95% confidence interval: 4.66 to 4.88 log₁₀ copies/ml) and 310 cells/ μ l (IQR: 163 to 446 cells/ μ l), respectively. For subtype CRF01_AE treatment-naïve patients, their mean viral load and CD4 counts were 4.82 log₁₀ copies/ml (95% confidence interval: 4.66 to 4.98 log₁₀ copies/ml) and 289 cells/ μ l (IQR: 125–392 cells/ μ l). Using the *t*-test, both subtypes had no significant differences between their viral load (*p*=0.5907) and CD4 counts (*p*=0.2298).

V3 sequence amplification

Through triplicate PCR amplification reactions, 104 (99.0%) subtype B and 87 (88.8%) CRF01_AE were successfully sequenced and analyzed by Geno2pheno, WebPSSM, and the CR algorithms. Within the 35 amino acid V3 loop, 11 subtype B (10.5%) and 11 CRF01_AE (12.6%) samples had variable lengths of V3 loop. Most of the deletions occurred at position 24, and at other positions such as 6, 18–22, 25, and 27. Insertions were less common and could be found only at positions 15–16 and 19. The length of the V3 loop was proposed to be related to tropism. For instance, deletion of residues 9 to 12 affects tropism and the extracellular loops of the CXCR4 coreceptor interact more easily with the longer V3 loop.^{34,35} Yet none of these effects was observed or had an association with the discordant genotypic coreceptor predictions as suggested.³⁶

Tropism genotyping by G2P, WebPSSM, and CN Rules

Either the clonal or clinical model and different FPR cut-offs were selected before inputting V3 sequences into the G2P algorithm. Of the clonal model 5.75% FPR was first selected as this is the only validated FPR with clinical studies.^{31,32} “Recommendations from the European Consensus Group on the clinical management of HIV-1 tropism testing (10% FPR)”³³ was selected for the second batch of analysis. The third set of analysis used the clinical model of G2P (FPR=10%)^{10,33} with the input of patient clinical parameters including viral load and CD4 and CD8 cell counts. However, the WebPSSM algorithm uses V3 amino acid sequences for prediction. All possible amino acid sequences were generated whenever a heterogeneous viral population was presented. This implied that more than one prediction would be produced with a single sequence. D/M was assigned if both CCR5 and CXCR4 coreceptor usage was predicted. The CN rules used the following criteria for X4 predictions: (1) R/K at amino acid position 11 and/or 25 in the V3 loop, (2) a net charge over +6,

and (3) a net charge over +5 with R present at amino acid position 25. Table 1 summarizes all analyzed results in subtypes B and CRF01_AE as detected by G2P, PSSM, and the CN rules.

CRF01_AE tropism phenotyping by ESTA and the pPCR assay

Twelve antiretroviral-naïve CRF01_AE samples were randomly selected and sent to Monogram for phenotyping. This included seven R5 and five D/M viruses that were used to validate our modified version of the pPCR phenotypic assay. Our assay managed to reproducibly distinguish between R5 (MJ4, pYU2), X4 (LAI_{BRU}, pNL4-3), and D/M (89.6) reference strains. More importantly, the assay results completely agreed with the coreceptor predictions by ESTA. Hence, the pPCR assay was employed to validate the genotypic tropism tests for CRF01_AE hereafter.

Based on the CRF01_AE tropism genotypic results, 15 concordant and 22 discordant predictions between each algorithm were selected arbitrarily for evaluation by the pPCR assay. The sequence alignment of these 37 samples and their coreceptor predictions by the pPCR assay, G2P, WebPSSM, and CR are shown in Fig. 1. Individual sensitivities and specificities of each genotypic algorithm against the ESTA or pPCR assay were calculated and are presented in Table 2a and 2b, respectively.

Proposed genotypic analysis guideline for CRF01_AE

As shown in Fig. 1, there were frequent discordant data observed between G2P and WebPSSM. Our data showed that using G2P or WebPSSM alone had overidentified X4 in some patients. This will eliminate the potential CCR5 antagonist prescription for those patients. After assessing and outweighing the sensitivities and specificities of each algorithm, we propose merging the predictions from G2P (FPR=10%, Clonal) and WebPSSM. Samples were identified as X4 or D/M only when both algorithms agreed with each other. The combined predictions were 88.9% sensitive and 89.3% specific. The prevalence of X4 or D/M in antiretroviral-naïve CRF01_AE-infected patients was estimated to be 39.1% under these circumstances.

Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the first study focusing on the CRF01_AE tropism and the prevalence of coreceptor usage

TABLE 1. CORECEPTOR PREDICTION BY THE G2P AND WEBPSSM ALGORITHMS AND 11/25 AND NET CHARGE RULES

Genotypic algorithms	Subtype B (n=104)		Subtype CRF01_AE (n=87)		p-value ^a
	R5 (%)	X4/DM (%)	R5 (%)	X4/DM (%)	
G2P (clonal, FPR 5.75%)	90 (86.5)	14 (13.5)	52 (60.0)	35 (40.2)	<0.0001
G2P (clonal, FPR 10%)	78 (75)	26 (25)	39 (44.8)	48 (55.2)	<0.0001
G2P (clinical, FPR 10%)	89 (85.6)	15 (14.4)	66 (75.9)	21 (24.1)	0.0873
WebPSSM (subtype B x4r5 matrix)	88 (84.6)	16 (15.4)	47 (54.0)	40 (46.0)	<0.0001
11/25 and net charge rules	64 (61.5)	40 (38.5)	71 (81.6)	16 (18.4)	0.0024

^aChi-square test.

X4 includes all D/M and X4 predictions; FPR, false-positive rate.

G2P and WebPSSM. Genotypic predictions were based on population sequencing and considered all possible heterogeneous viral populations, yet not all of them were really present and able to infect and replicate. More importantly, the *env* variable loops 1 and 2 were proposed to affect HIV-1 tropism.³⁸ Our pPCR assay results showed that using G2P or WebPSSM alone had a high rate of false X4 or D/M predictions for CRF01_AE samples. This implied that the prevalence of X4 or D/M among CRF01_AE viruses was not as high as reported.^{21,22,24,39} The false-positive prediction would be a concern in the future for patients who have fewer salvage therapeutic options.

2A. True R5 and X4 tropism based on 12 Trofile results

	Geno2Pheno				Combined 11/25 and net charge rules	Geno2Pheno (FPR=10%, clonal) and WebPSSM
	(FPR = 5.75%) (clonal)	(FPR = 10%) (clonal)	(FPR = 10%) (clinical)	WebPSSM		
Specificity	71.4%	71.4%	100%	71.4%	100%	85.7%
Sensitivity	80%	100%	60%	100.0%	80%	100%
2B. True R5 and X4 tropism based on 37 results from the optimized phenotypic assay						
	Geno2Pheno				Combined 11/25 and net charge rules	Geno2Pheno (FPR=10%, clonal) and WebPSSM
	(FPR = 5.75%) (clonal)	(FPR = 10%) (clonal)	(FPR = 10%) (clinical)	WebPSSM		
Specificity	71.4%	60.7%	96.4%	78.6%	96.4%	89.3%
Sensitivity	77.8%	88.9%	77.8%	100%	33.3%	88.9%

The patients recruited for this study were all antiretroviral-naïve and had baseline samples collected at the earliest time point. A recent study showed that X4 was present in transmission clusters regardless of subtypes and routes of infection.²² Therefore the evidence of having a high ratio of X4 transmission in CRF01_AE was promising. Some R5-tropic CRF01_AE isolates in other studies were shown to have a lower baseline susceptibility than subtype B viruses toward CCR5 antagonists.⁴⁰ Thus, an investigation of CRF01_AE viral pathogenesis will be necessary in the future due to the high prevalence of X4 observed.

In conclusion, the prevalence of X4 in CRF01_AE antiretroviral-naïve patients is significantly higher than that in subtype B patients in Hong Kong. In addition, an optimized pPCR phenotypic assay, validated with the commercial ESTA method, was used to evaluate the specificities and sensitivities of common genotypic coreceptor prediction algorithms on CRF01_AE variants. Our study proposed a better coreceptor prediction for CRF01_AE strains by combining G2P (FPR=10%, Clonal) and WebPSSM (x4r5 subtype B matrix). An independent study with clinical and virological outcome data will be required to validate the proposed algorithm before implementation. The high prevalence of CXCR4 HIV-1 variants among antiretroviral-naïve CRF01_AE patients suggests the need to evaluate their disease progression and pathogenesis in future studies.

Acknowledgments

The study was supported by the AIDS Trust Fund of Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government (grant MSS-180R). The funders had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

We would like to thank the Nursing Team of the Integrated Treatment Centre for their patient care and sample collection. The opinions and assertions contained herein are private views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the Centre for Health Protection, Hong Kong Department of Health.

The following reagents were obtained through the AIDS Research and Reference Reagent Program, Division of AIDS, NIAID, NIH: 89.6 from Dr. Ronald Collman, LAI-1_{BRU} from Drs. Jean-Marie Bechet and Luc Montagnier, MJ4 from Drs. Thumbi Ndung'u, Boris Renjifo, and Max Essex, pYU2 from Drs. Beatrice Hahn and George M. Shaw, pNL4-3.Luc.R-E from Dr. Nathaniel Landau, pNL4-3 from Dr. Malcolm Martin, U87.CD4.CCR5 and U87.CD4.CXCR4 from Drs. Hong Kui Deng and Dan Littman, and AMD3100 and TAK-779 from the Division of AIDS, NIAID.

Presented in part at the 10th European Meeting on HIV and Hepatitis, Treatment Strategies and Antiviral Drug Resistance, Barcelona, March 2012.

Author Disclosure Statement

No competing financial interests exist.

References

- Berger EA, Murphy PM, and Farber JM: Chemokine receptors as HIV-1 coreceptors: Roles in viral entry, tropism, and disease. *Annu Rev Immunol* 1999;17:657–700.
- Dorr P, Westby M, Dobbs S, *et al.*: Maraviroc (UK-427,857), a potent, orally bioavailable, and selective small-molecule inhibitor of chemokine receptor CCR5 with broad-spectrum anti-human immunodeficiency virus type 1 activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2005;49(11):4721–4732.
- Vandekerckhove LP, Wensing AM, Kaiser R, *et al.*: European guidelines on the clinical management of HIV-1 tropism testing. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011;11(5):394–407.
- Coakley E, Petropoulos CJ, and Whitcomb JM: Assessing chemokine co-receptor usage in HIV. *Curr Opin Infect Dis* 2005;18(1):9–15.
- Whitcomb JM, Huang W, Fransen S, *et al.*: Development and characterization of a novel single-cycle recombinant-virus assay to determine human immunodeficiency virus type 1 coreceptor tropism. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2007;51(2):566–575.
- Su Z, Gulick RM, Krambrink A, *et al.*: Response to vicriviroc in treatment-experienced subjects, as determined by an enhanced-sensitivity coreceptor tropism assay: Reanalysis of AIDS clinical trials group A5211. *J Infect Dis* 2009;200(11):1724–1728.
- Raymond S, Delobel P, Mavigner M, *et al.*: Development and performance of a new recombinant virus phenotypic entry assay to determine HIV-1 coreceptor usage. *J Clin Virol* 2010;47(2):126–130.
- Lin NH, Negusse DM, Beroukhim R, *et al.*: The design and validation of a novel phenotypic assay to determine HIV-1 coreceptor usage of clinical isolates. *J Virol Methods* 2010;169(1):39–46.
- Lengauer T, Sander O, Sierra S, Thielen A, and Kaiser R: Bioinformatics prediction of HIV coreceptor usage. *Nat Biotechnol* 2007;25(12):1407–1410.
- Sing T, Low AJ, Beerenwinkel N, *et al.*: Predicting HIV coreceptor usage on the basis of genetic and clinical covariates. *Antiviral Ther* 2007;12(7):1097–1106.
- Briggs DR, Tuttle DL, Sleasman JW, and Goodenow MM: Envelope V3 amino acid sequence predicts HIV-1 phenotype (co-receptor usage and tropism for macrophages). *AIDS* 2000;14(18):2937–2939.
- Jensen MA, Li FS, van 't Wout AB, *et al.*: Improved coreceptor usage prediction and genotypic monitoring of R5-to-X4 transition by motif analysis of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 env V3 loop sequences. *J Virol* 2003;77(24):13376–13388.
- Sander O, Sing T, Sommer I, *et al.*: Structural descriptors of gp120 V3 loop for the prediction of HIV-1 coreceptor usage. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2007;3(3):e58.
- Pillai S, Good B, Richman D, and Corbeil J: A new perspective on V3 phenotype prediction. *AIDS Res Hum Retroviruses* 2003;19(2):145–149.
- Raymond S, Delobel P, Mavigner M, *et al.*: Correlation between genotypic predictions based on V3 sequences and phenotypic determination of HIV-1 tropism. *AIDS* 2008;22(14):F11–16.
- Seclen E, Garrido C, Gonzalez Mdel M, *et al.*: High sensitivity of specific genotypic tools for detection of X4 variants in antiretroviral-experienced patients suitable to be treated with CCR5 antagonists. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;65(7):1486–1492.
- Harrigan PR, Chui CKS, Brumme ZL, *et al.*: A simple screening approach to reduce B*5701-associated abacavir hypersensitivity on the basis of sequence variation in HIV reverse transcriptase. *Clin Infect Dis* 2007;44(11):1503–1508.

18. Goulder PJR, Kiepiela P, Leslie AJ, *et al.*: Dominant influence of HLA-B in mediating the potential co-evolution of HIV and HLA. *Nature* 2004;432(7018):769–774.
19. Raymond S, Delobel P, Mavigner M, *et al.*: Genotypic prediction of human immunodeficiency virus type 1 CRF02_AG tropism. *J Clin Microbiol* 2009;47(7):2292–2294.
20. Hemelaar J, Gouws E, Ghys PD, and Osmanov S: Global trends in molecular epidemiology of HIV-1 during 2000–2007. *AIDS* 2011;25(5):679–689.
21. Zhang C, Xu S, Wei J, and Guo H: Predicted co-receptor tropism and sequence characteristics of China HIV-1 V3 loops: Implications for the future usage of CCR5 antagonists and AIDS vaccine development. *Int J Infect Dis* 2009;13(5):e212–216.
22. Pantaleo G, Harari A, Cellerai C, *et al.*: Skewed association of polyfunctional antigen-specific CD8 T cell populations with HLA-B genotype. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2007;104(41):16233–16238.
23. Frange P, Chaix ML, Raymond S, *et al.*: Low frequency of CXCR4-using viruses in patients at the time of primary non-subtype-B HIV-1 infection. *J Clin Microbiol* 2010;48(10):3487–3491.
24. KY. N, KK. C, P. K, *et al.*: High prevalence of CXCR4 usage among treatment-naïve CRF01_AE and CRF51_01B-infected HIV-1 subjects in Singapore. *BMC Infect Dis* 2013;13(1):90.
25. The Special Preventive Programme and the Social Hygiene Service of the Department of Health TGoTHKSAR. HIV/ADIS Quarterly Statistics. www.info.gov.hk/aids/english/surveillance/latest_stat.htm.
26. Chen JH, Wong KH, Chan K, *et al.*: Evaluation of an in-house genotyping resistance test for HIV-1 drug resistance interpretation and genotyping. *J Clin Virol* 2007;39(2):125–131.
27. de Oliveira T, Deforche K, Cassol S, *et al.*: An automated genotyping system for analysis of HIV-1 and other microbial sequences. *Bioinformatics* 2005;21(19):3797–3800.
28. Symons J, Vandekerckhove L, Paredes R, *et al.*: Impact of triplicate testing on genotypic HIV-1 tropism prediction in routine clinical practice. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2011;18(6):1–7.
29. McGovern RA, Thielen A, Mo T, *et al.*: Population-based V3 genotypic tropism assay: A retrospective analysis using screening samples from the A4001029 and MOTIVATE studies. *AIDS* 2010;24(16):2517–2525.
30. Staden R, Beal KF, and Bonfield JK: The Staden package, 1998. *Methods Mol Biol* 2000;132:115–130.
31. Harrigan PR, McGovern RA, Dong W, *et al.*: Screening for HIV tropism using population based V3 genotypic analysis: A retrospective virologic outcome analysis using stored plasma screening samples from the MOTIVATE studies of maraviroc in treatment experienced patients [abstract]. 5th IAS Conference on HIV Pathogenesis, Treatment and Prevention, Cape Town, South Africa, 2009.
32. McGovern RA, Dong W, Zhong X, *et al.*: Population-based sequencing of the V3-loop is comparable to the Enhanced Sensitivity Trofile Assay in predicting virologic response to maraviroc of treatment-naïve patients in the MERIT trial [abstract]. 17th Conference on Retroviruses and Opportunistic Infections, San Francisco, 2010.
33. Low AJ, Dong W, Chan D, *et al.*: Current V3 genotyping algorithms are inadequate for predicting X4 co-receptor usage in clinical isolates. *AIDS* 2007;21(14):F17–24.
34. Hammond E, Mamotte C, Nolan D, and Mallal S: HLA-B*5701 typing: Evaluation of an allele-specific polymerase chain reaction melting assay. *Tissue Antigens* 2007;70(1):58–61.
35. Mallal S, Lucas A, and Nolan D: HLA-B*5701 screening for susceptibility to abacavir hypersensitivity. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2007;59(4):591–593.
36. Hammond E, Almeida CA, Momotte C, *et al.*: External quality assessment of HLA-B*5701 reporting: An international multicentre survey. *Antiviral Ther* 2007;12(7):1027–1032.
37. Andersson J, Fehniger TE, Patterson BK, *et al.*: Early reduction of immune activation in lymphoid tissue following highly active HIV therapy. *AIDS* 1998;12(11):F123–129.
38. Mallal S, Martin AM, Nolan D, *et al.*: Predisposition to abacavir hypersensitivity conferred by HLA-B*5701 and a haplotypic Hsp70-Hom variant. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2004;101(12):4180–4185.
39. Bugawan T, Isoda W, Mano C, *et al.*: Hla-B*5701 Taqman assay for abacavir sensitivity; application to Predict-1 Trials. *Hum Immunol* 2008;69:S89–S89.
40. Yu X, Yuan L, Huang Y, *et al.*: Susceptibility of HIV-1 subtypes B', CRF07_BC and CRF01_AE that are predominantly circulating in China to HIV-1 entry inhibitors. *PloS One* 2011;6(3):e17605.

Address correspondence to:
W.C. Yam

Department of Microbiology
Queen Mary Hospital
The University of Hong Kong
Hong Kong Special Administrative Region
China

E-mail: wcyam@hkucc.hku.hk