Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 March 13; 135(10): 4103–4109. doi:10.1021/ja400345c.

Azaaldol Condensation of a Lithium Enolate Solvated by *N,N,N',N'*-Tetramethylethylenediamine: Dimer-Based 1,2-Addition to Imines

Timothy S. De Vries, Angela M. Bruneau, Lara R. Liou, Hariharaputhiran Subramanian, and David B. Collum*

Department of Chemistry and Chemical Biology Baker Laboratory, Cornell University, Ithaca, New York 14853–1301

Abstract

The lithium enolate of *tert*-amylacetate solvated by *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) is shown to be a doubly-chelated dimer. Adding the dimeric enolate to 4-fluorobenzaldehyde-*N*-phenylimine affords an *N*-lithiated β -amino ester shown to be monomeric using ^6Li and ^{15}N NMR spectroscopies. Rate studies using ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy reveal reaction orders consistent with a transition structure of stoichiometry $[(\text{ROLi})_2(\text{TMEDA})_2(\text{imine})]^\ddagger$. Density functional theory computations explore several possible dimer-based transition structures with monodentate and bidentate coordination of TMEDA. Supporting rate studies using *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylcyclohexanediamine showing analogous rates and rate law suggest that TMEDA is fully chelated.

Introduction

Lithium enolates are of undeniable importance to synthetic chemists¹ yet pose particularly onerous mechanistic challenges owing to complex aggregation phenomena. Solid-state structural studies initiated by Seebach with significant contributions by Williard have grown into a considerable body of work.² Much less is known about the structures of enolates in solution³ and how solvation and aggregation influence reactivity.^{4,5,6,7,8} The seminal spectroscopic and mechanistic studies were those of Jackman and coworkers.⁴ The preponderance of progress in untangling the contributions of equilibrating aggregates and monomers to enolate reactivity comes from Streitwieser and coworkers.⁶ Most recently, Reich and coworkers have focused on measuring relative reactivities of aggregates and monomers under *nonequilibrium* conditions.⁷

The present study of 1,2-additions of metal enolates to imines—so-called azaaldol condensations—dovetails a long-standing program aimed at understanding 1,2-additions⁹ and lithiations¹⁰ of imines with an emergent interest in structures and reactivities of lithium enolates.^{1,2,11,12,13} Azaaldol condensations are of particular importance in the synthesis of biologically and medicinally significant β -amino esters, β -lactams, and 1,3-amino alcohols.^{14,15,16} The flexibility of imines that synthetic chemists find appealing¹⁶—the capacity to vary the substituents on the imine moiety—has proven to be equally important in untangling organolithium structure-reactivity relationships.

Herein we describe rate and mechanistic studies of the azaaldol addition of *tert*-amyl acetate **2** (*t*-Am = C(CH₃)₂Et) to imine **1** (eq 1) mediated by *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine

*dbc6@cornell.edu.

(TMEDA).⁸ The reaction is clean, proceeds at tractable rates without complicating lactamization,¹⁷ and is readily monitored with ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy.¹⁸ A combination of structural, rate, and computational studies revealed an enolate dimer-based mechanism.

(1)

Results

Solution Structures

Previous studies of TMEDA-solvated enolates using the method of continuous variation (the method of Job) have shown enolate **2** to be doubly chelated dimer **2a**.¹⁹ Adduct [⁶Li, ¹⁵N]**3** prepared from [¹⁵N]**1** and [⁶Li]LDA.²⁰ was shown using ⁶Li and ¹⁵N NMR spectroscopies^{21,22,23} to be monomer **3a**. The ⁶Li spectrum shows a 1:1 ⁶Li doublet ($J = 6.6$ Hz) and 1:1:1 ¹⁵N triplet $J = 6.9$ Hz).²⁴ No mixed aggregates are formed. Treatment of β -amino ester **4** with 1.0 equiv of [⁶Li]LDA in the presence of TMEDA regenerates **3a**.

Kinetics

Adding 1.0 equiv of imine **1** to enolate **2** in 0.65 M TMEDA/toluene at -60 °C and monitoring with in situ IR spectroscopy revealed an overall second-order decay (Figure 1), offering no evidence of autocatalysis or other mixed aggregation effects.²⁵ To conduct detailed rate studies under pseudofirst-order we turned to ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy. Injecting imine **1** (resulting in 0.005 M **1**) into a solution of enolate **2** and TMEDA afforded clean first-order decays and affiliated values of k_{obsd} (Figure 1 inset.) A standard control experiment confirmed the absence of autocatalysis: sequential injections of imine **1** (0.005 M) into a solution of enolate and TMEDA afforded indistinguishable values of k_{obsd} (within 10%). Monitoring k_{obsd} versus enolate concentration²⁶ showed a first-order dependence consistent with a dimer-based addition (Figure 2). An analogous plot of k_{obsd} versus TMEDA concentration revealed a zeroth-order dependence (Figure 3). The idealized rate law²⁷ described by eq 2 implicates the transition structure of stoichiometry shown in equation 3.²⁸

$$-d[\text{imine}]/dt = k[\text{imine}][\text{TMEDA}]^0[\text{enolate}] \quad (2)$$

Computations

Density functional theory (DFT) computations at the B3LYP/6-31G(d) level²⁹ evaluated dimer-based pathways including cyclic dimers, open dimers,³⁰ and triple ions.^{31,32,33,34} We modeled the *tert*-amyl group with a methyl. The reported energies correspond to the calculated free energies of activation at -60°C and to fully balanced equilibria. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were performed to confirm the validity of the structures.³⁵ Figure 4 shows the computed structures in order of increasing free energy. A number of configurations were calculated including open and closed dimers with combinations of mono- and bidentate TMEDA ligands (**5a–5f**).³⁶ Structure **5g** manifests an imine nitrogen bridging two lithiums. The structure of the lowest energy form, **5a**, is an open dimer with both mono- and bidentate TMEDA. Triple ion **5h** with an $(\eta^2\text{-TMEDA})_2\text{Li}^+$ counterion is energetically ridiculous;³⁷ DFT routinely fails with such fully ionized forms.³⁸ We do, however, believe that **5h** is worthy of further consideration.

Kinetics Revisited: Enolate-TMCDA

To resolve the ambiguity about whether TMEDA is functioning as a mono- or bidentate ligand we investigated *N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylcyclohexane-diamine (TMCDA).³⁹ The notion was simple: TMCDA is a surrogate of TMEDA but is more strongly chelating⁴⁰ and displays no tendency to bind as a monodentate ligand.⁴¹ cursory examination of azaaldol condensation of enolate **2** revealed rates that were nearly indistinguishable ($k_{\text{TMCDA}}/k_{\text{TMEDA}} = 0.9$)⁴² and provided an analogous rate law (supporting information). Computations offered transition structures **7a** and **7b**. The $(\eta^2\text{-TMCDA})_2\text{Li}^+$ counterion in **7b** has crystallographic support.⁴³ Despite considerable effort, we found no closed dimer analogous to **5d**.

Discussion

Using a combination of ^6Li and ^{15}N NMR spectroscopies we have shown that *tert*-amyl acetate **2** is solvated dimer **2a** in the presence of TMEDA. Rate studies using ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy revealed that there is no autocatalysis and that the addition of **2a** to fluorinated imine **1** is first order in imine, first order in enolate dimer **2a**, and zeroth order in TMEDA. The rate law (eq 2) is consistent with reaction via a *bis*-TMEDA-solvated enolate dimer as depicted generically in eq 3.

The experimentally elusive details of the dimer-based transition structure were examined using DFT computations, revealing a number of viable candidates (Figure 4). The two most viable pathways are summarized in Scheme 1. Dimer-based transition structure **5a**, containing both η^1 - and η^2 -bound TMEDA ligands, was predicted to be the lowest energy form. We would be reckless, however, to distinguish them using calculated $\Delta G^\ddagger$'s alone. For example, we found doubly chelating structure **5b** is very plausible. Consequently, we investigated TMCDA as a strongly chelating surrogate for TMEDA. We know of no evidence, experimental or computational, that TMCDA can coordinate as a monodentate ligand. The basal assumption, therefore, is that the rates and mechanisms using TMCDA and TMEDA diverge only if TMEDA is functioning as a non-chelating ligand somewhere along the reaction coordinate. Experimentally indistinguishable rates and rate laws for the two

diamines cast the deciding vote for **5b** as the most logical transition structure. We hasten to add, however, that despite the enormous computed barrier for triple ion **5h**—DFT is notoriously incapable of modeling ionization energies³⁸—triple ion **5h** has considerable appeal. Triple ions, including those derived from lithium enolates,³² are well preceded^{31,32}. The $(\eta^2\text{-TMEDA})_2\text{Li}^+$ counterion is well preceded³⁷ and the $(\eta^2\text{-TMCDA})_2\text{Li}^+$ cation has been documented as well.⁴³ The bidentate interaction of the ester enolate in **5h** also has structural precedent.⁴⁴

Conclusion

The azaaldol addition of a disolvated dimeric lithium enolate to an aryl imine occurs through a transition structure consisting of a disolvated dimeric enolate. Although details of the transition structure are unclear, calculations slightly favor an open dimer (1.6 kcal/mol) with only one of the two TMEDA molecules chelating.

The dimer-based reaction is interesting in light of dominant beliefs in the earlier days of organolithium chemistry that monomers are the central fleeting intermediate. Streitwieser has wrestled with this question for a number of years and concludes that monomers are often key intermediates. Similarly, Reich has found that in non-equilibrating mixtures of monomers and dimers the monomers are almost always more reactive. That is not to say, however, that when dimers or tetramers are the observable form in solution the most favorable pathway necessarily proceeds via energetically costly deaggregations.⁴⁵ A number of groups have endorsed the notion of aggregate-based enolate reactivity. Enolates are a very broad class of intermediate. Throw into the debate the huge roles of solvent, temperature, concentration, and choice of electrophile, and considerable mechanistic variation is to be expected.

Experimental

Reagents and Solvents

TMEDA, TMCDA, and toluene were distilled from solutions containing sodium benzophenone ketyl. The toluene stills contained approximately 1% tetraglyme to dissolve the ketyl. LDA, $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\text{LDA}$, and $[\text{}^6\text{Li}, \text{}^{15}\text{N}]\text{LDA}$ were prepared as described previously.²³ Solutions of LDA were titrated for active base using a literature method.⁴⁶ Air- and moisture-sensitive materials were manipulated under argon using standard glovebox, vacuum line, and syringe techniques. Imine **1** was prepared using a literature procedure.⁴⁷

NMR Techniques

All NMR samples were prepared using stock solutions and sealed under partial vacuum. Standard ${}^6\text{Li}$, ${}^{13}\text{C}$, ${}^{15}\text{N}$, and ${}^{19}\text{F}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz spectrometer at 73.57, 125.79, 50.66, and 470.35 MHz (respectively). The ${}^6\text{Li}$, ${}^{13}\text{C}$, and ${}^{15}\text{N}$ resonances are referenced to 0.30 M $[\text{}^6\text{Li}]\text{LiCl}/\text{MeOH}$ at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (0.0 ppm), the CH_2O resonance of THF at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (67.57 ppm), and neat Me_2NEt at $-90\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ (25.7 ppm), respectively.

Kinetics

Samples for NMR kinetics were prepared from stock solutions at room temperature: (1) *tert*-amyl acetate in toluene, (2) imine **1** and fluorobenzene internal standard in toluene, and (3) LDA/TMEDA in toluene. The NMR tube was capped with a septum, evacuated, flushed several times with argon, flame dried, put under argon, cooled in dry ice/acetone bath, and charged sequentially with the LDA/TMEDA and *tert*-amyl acetate stock solutions with agitation. The stock solutions were prepared to give final concentrations of 0.005 M each of **1** and fluorobenzene standard, 0.11 M LDA, and 0.10 M *tert*-amyl acetate upon completion

of the imine injection. The tube was vortexed for approximately 10 s at room temperature and placed in a -78°C bath. A length of 25 μm ID flexible capillary tubing was inserted directly into the solution of LDA at -78°C at the curved portion of the tube. The NMR tube was then inserted into the pre-cooled NMR probe and equilibrated at -60°C , and 400 μL LDA stock and 100 μL ester stock were added sequentially via syringe through the septum. A collection array at 30 s intervals was initiated, and a 100 μL stock solution of imine was injected into the tube giving 10 s to thermally equilibrate before spectral acquisition. The loss of **1** (δ -107.4 ppm) and formation of **3** (δ -117.2 ppm) were monitored relative to a fluorobenzene internal standard (0.007 M, δ -112.96 ppm). The decay of **1** was followed to beyond five half-lives. In processing, the integral area was normalized relative to the fluorobenzene standard. The rate constant was obtained by fitting the decay for each run to the first-order function, $f(x) = ae^{bx}$.

Aminoester 4

Lithium diisopropylamide (218 mg, 2.04 mmol) was dissolved in toluene (16.0 mL) and TMEDA (2.0 mL, 13.3 mmol) in a 50 mL roundbottom flask and cooled to -60°C . To this mixture was added *tert*-amyl acetate (330 μL , 2.22 mmol), with stirring for 5 min to ensure full enolization. Then imine **1** (307 mg, 1.53 mmol) dissolved in toluene (2.5 mL) was added. After 2 h, the reaction was quenched with methanol (4 mL), allowed to warm to room temperature, and acidified with saturated aq. NH_4Cl (4 mL). The organic layer was washed with water (8 mL), dried over Na_2SO_4 , and via rotary evaporation. The resulting yellowish oil was recrystallized from hot methanol (3.5 mL), yielding 399 mg of white needles (78% yield) with mp= $89-90.5^{\circ}\text{C}$. 2-Methyl-2-butyl 3-(4-fluorophenyl)-3-phenylamino-propanoate (**4**): analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) on K6F silica gel 60 $\text{\AA}$, 4:1 hexanes/EtOAc, Rf=0.39. IR (neat, cm^{-1}) 3380, 2975, 2922, 1703, 1603, 1507; ^1H NMR: δ 7.34 (1H, AA'BB'Y, $J_{A-A'}=2.2$ Hz, $J_{A-B}=8.7$ Hz, $J_{A-X}=5.0$ Hz), 7.34 (1H, AA'BB'Y, $J_{A-A'}=2.2$ Hz, $J_{A-B'}=8.7$ Hz, $J_{A'-X}=5.0$ Hz), 7.09 (2H, dd, $J=8.2$, 7.3 Hz), 6.99 (1H, AA'BB'Y, $J_{B-B'}=2.5$ Hz, $J_{A-B}=8.7$ Hz, $J_{B-X}=8.7$ Hz), 6.99 (1H, AA'BB'Y, $J_{A'-B'}=8.7$ Hz, $J_{B'-B}=2.5$ Hz, $J_{B'-X}=8.7$ Hz), 6.67 (1H, t, 7.3 Hz), 6.52 (2H, d, 8.2 Hz), 4.75 (1H, ABMX, $J_{A-M}=5.8$ Hz, $J_{B-M}=7.2$ Hz, $J_{M-X}=6.1$ Hz), 4.61 (1H, ABMX, $J_{M-X}=6.1$ Hz), 2.71 (1H, ABMX, $J_{A-B}=14.6$ Hz, $J_{A-M}=5.8$ Hz), 2.69 (1H, ABMX, $J_{A-B}=14.6$ Hz, $J_{B-M}=7.2$ Hz), 1.70 (2H, q, $J=7.5$ Hz), 1.34 (6H, s), 0.78 (3H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz); ^{13}C NMR: δ 170.4, 162.2 (d, $J_{C-F}=245$ Hz), 146.9, 138.1 (d, $J_{C-F}=3$ Hz), 129.3, 128.1 (d, $J_{C-F}=8$ Hz), 117.9, 116.7 (d, $J_{C-F}=21$ Hz), 113.7, 84.1, 54.7, 44.2, 33.6, 25.6, 25.6, 8.3; ^{19}F NMR: δ -115.6. Molecular ion calculated for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{FNO}_2$: 329.1791; EIMS found $m/z=329.1793$. ^1H NMR ABMX and AA'BB'Y couplings were identified using spin simulation in MestReNova 7.1.1.

Aminoester [^{15}N]4

Prepared as above using [^{15}N]S2, made from commercially available ^{15}N -aniline. ^1H NMR*: δ 7.34 (2H, app dd), 7.10 (2H, dd, $J=8.3$, 7.3 Hz), 6.99 (2H, app t), 6.67 (1H, t, $J=7.3$ Hz), 6.52 (2H, d, $J=7.8$ Hz), 4.75 (1H, app q), 4.61 (1H, app d), 2.75-2.65 (2H, app m), 1.70 (2H, q, $J=7.5$ Hz), 1.34 (6H, s), 0.78 (3H, t, $J=7.5$ Hz); ^{13}C NMR: δ 170.4, 162.2 (d, $J_{C-F}=245$ Hz), 146.9 (d, $J_{C-N}=13$ Hz), 138.1 (d, $J_{C-F}=3$ Hz), 129.4, 128.1 (dd, $J_{C-F}=8$, 1 Hz), 118.0, 115.7 (d, $J_{C-F}=21$ Hz), 113.7 (d, $J_{C-N}=2$ Hz), 84.1, 54.7 (d, $J_{C-N}=10$ Hz), 44.2, 33.6, 25.6, 25.6, 8.3; ^{19}F NMR: δ -115.6; ^{15}N NMR: δ 73.2. Molecular ion calculated for $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{24}\text{F}^{15}\text{NO}_2$: 330.1761; EIMS found $m/z=330.1759$.

Azaaldol adduct [^6Li , ^{15}N]3

Individual stock solutions of substrate [^{15}N]S2 (0.22 M in toluene) and [^6Li]LiHMDS (0.20 M in TMEDA/toluene) were prepared at room temperature. An NMR tube was flame-dried under vacuum and allowed to come to room temperature. It was then backfilled with argon

and placed in a -78°C dry ice/ acetone bath. Base (300 μL of stock solution) and substrate (300 μL of stock solution) were added sequentially via syringe. The tube was sealed under partial vacuum, vortexed for approximately 10 s at room temperature, and cooled to -78°C . ^6Li NMR spectra were recorded at -60°C on a 500 MHz spectrometer with and without broadband ^{15}N decoupling. Chemical shifts are reported relative to a 0.30 M $^6\text{LiCl}/\text{MeOH}$ standard at the reported probe temperature. ^{15}N NMR spectra were recorded using the INEPT pulse sequence at -60°C on a 500 MHz spectrometer with and without broadband ^6Li decoupling. ^6Li NMR: δ 1.54 ($J_{\text{Li-N}} = 6.6$ Hz); ^{19}F NMR: δ -117.1 ; ^{15}N NMR: δ 123.9 (t, $J_{\text{Li-N}} = 6.6$ Hz).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

References and Footnotes

- 1(a). Green, JR. *Science of Synthesis*. Vol. Vol. 8a. Georg Thieme Verlag; New York: 2005. p. 427-486.(b) Schetter B, Mahrwald R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2006; 45:7506.(c) Arya P, Qin H. *Tetrahedron*. 2000; 56:917.(d) Caine, D. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Trost, BM.; Fleming, I., editors. Vol. Vol. 1. Pergamon; New York: 1989. p. 1(e) Martin SF. *Ibid.* Vol. 1:475.(f) Katritzky, Alan R.; Taylor, Richard J. K., editors. *Comprehensive Organic Functional Group Transformations II*. Elsevier; Oxford, UK: 1995. p. 834-835.(g) Cativiela C, Diaz-de-Villegas MD. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2007; 18:569.
- 2(a). Seebach, D. *Proceedings of the Robert A. Welch Foundation Conferences on Chemistry and Biochemistry*; New York. Wiley; 1984. p. 93(b) Seebach D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* 1988; 27:1624.(c) Setzer WN, Schleyer P. v. R. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 1985; 24:353.(d) Williard, PG. Chapter 1.1. In: Trost, BM.; Fleming, I., editors. *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*. Vol. Vol. 1. Pergamon; New York: 1991.
- 3(a). Arnett EM, Moe KD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:7288.(b) Arnett EM, Fisher FJ, Nichols MA, Ribeiro AA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990; 112:801.(c) Seebach D, Bauer von W. *Helv. Chim. Acta*. 1984; 67:1972.(d) Shobatake K, Nakamoto K. *Inorg. Chim. Acta*. 1980; 4:485.(e) den Besten R, Harder S, Brandsma L. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1990; 385:153.(f) Halaska V, Lochmann L. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 1973; 38:1780.(g) Golovanov IB, Simonov AP, Priskunov AK, Talalseva TV, Tsareva GV, Kocheshkov. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*. 1963; 149:835.(h) Simonov AP, Shigorin DN, Talalseva TV, Kocheshkov KA. *Bull. Acad. Sci. USSR Div. Chem. Sci.* 1962; 6:1056.(i) Armstrong DR, Davies JE, Davies RP, Raithby PR, Snaith R, Wheatley AEH. *New J. Chem.* 1999;35.(j) Suzuki M, Koyama H, Noyori R. *Tetrahedron*. 2004; 60:1571.(l) Lochmann L, Lim D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1973; 50:9.(m) Pospisil PJ, Wilson SR, Jacobsen EN. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992; 114:7585-7587.
- 4(a). Jackman LM, Lange BC. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1981; 103:4494.(b) Jackman LM, Chen X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:8681.(c) Jackman LM, Petrei MM, Smith BD. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991; 113:3451.(d) Jackman LM, Bortiatynski J. *Adv. Carbanion Chem.* 1992; 1:45.
5. The structure and reactivity of lithium enolates during methacrylate polymerizations have garnered considerable attention and have been reviewed: Zune C, Jerome R. *Prog. Polymer Sci.* 1999; 24:631. Also, see: Baskaran D. *Prog. Polym. Sci.* 2003; 28:521.
- 6(a). Streitwieser A. *J. Mol. Model.* 2006; 12:673. [PubMed: 16341718] (b) Streitwieser A, Wang DZ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1999; 121:6213.(c) Leung SS-W, Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 1999; 64:3390. [PubMed: 11674455] (d) Wang DZ, Kim Y-J, Streitwieser A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000; 122:10754.(e) Kim Y-J, Streitwieser A. *Org. Lett.* 2002; 4:573. [PubMed: 11843594] (f) Kim Y-J, Wang DZ. *Org. Lett.* 2001; 3:2599. [PubMed: 11483070] (g) Streitwieser A, Leung SS-W, Kim Y-J. *Org. Lett.* 1999; 1:145. [PubMed: 10822549] (h) Abboto A, Leung SS-W, Streitwieser A, Kilway KV. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:10807.(i) Leung SS-W, Streitwieser A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:10557.(j) Abu-Hasanayn F, Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 1998; 63:2954.(k) Abu-Hasanayn F, Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 1996; 118:8136.(l) Gareyev R, Ciula JC,

- Streitwieser A. J. Org. Chem. 1996; 61:4589. [PubMed: 11667384] (m) Abu-Hasanayn F, Stratakis M, Streitwieser A. J. Org. Chem. 1995; 60:4688.(n) Dixon RE, Williams PG, Saljoughian M, Long MA, Streitwieser A. Magn. Reson. Chem. 1991; 29:509.
- 7(a). Reich HJ. J. Org. Chem. 2012; 77:5471. [PubMed: 22594379] (b) Kolonko KJ, Wherritt DJ, Reich HJ. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:16774. [PubMed: 21939211]
- 8(a). Hsieh, HL.; Quirk, RP. Anionic Polymerization: Principles and Practical Applications. Marcel Dekker; New York: 1996. (b) Szwarc, M., editor. Ions and Ion Pairs in Organic Reactions. Vol. Vol. 1 and 2. Wiley; New York: 1972. (c) Wardell, JL. Chapter 2. In: Wilkinson, G.; Stone, FGA.; Abels, FW., editors. Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry. Vol. Vol. 1. Pergamon; New York: 1982. (d) Wakefield, BJ. The Chemistry of Organolithium Compounds. Pergamon Press; New York: 1974. (e) Brown TL. Pure Appl. Chem. 1970; 23:447.(f) Collum DB. Acc. Chem. Res. 1992; 25:448.
9. Qu B, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006; 128:9355. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 16848470]
10. Ma Y, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007; 129:14818. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 17985891]
11. Liou LR, McNeil AJ, Toombes GES, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:17334. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 19090750]
12. Liou LR, McNeil AJ, Ramirez A, Toombes GES, Gruver JM, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:4859. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 18336025]
13. A comprehensive survey of scaled procedures used by Pfizer Process over two decades shows that 68% of all C-C bond formations are carbanion based and 44% of these involved enolates: Dugger RW, Ragan JA, Ripin DHB. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2005; 9:253. For other applications of lithium enolates in pharmaceutical chemistry see: Farina V, Reeves JT, Senanayake CH, Song, J. J. Chem. Rev. 2006; 106:2734. Wu G, Huang M. Chem. Rev. 2006; 106:2596. [PubMed: 16836294]
- 14(a). Juaristi, E., editor. Enantioselective Synthesis of β -Amino Acids. Wiley-VCH; New York: 1997. (b) Sewald N. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003; 42:5794.(c) Ma J-A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003; 42:4290.(d) Liu M, Sibi MP. Tetrahedron. 2002; 58:7991.(e) Abele S, Seebach D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000;1.(f) Cardillo G, Tomasini C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1996:117.(g) Cole DC. Tetrahedron. 1994; 32:9517.(h) Juaristi E, Quintana D, Escalante J. Aldrichimica Acta. 1994; 27:3.
- 15(a). Michel K, Froehlich R, Wuerthwein E-U. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2009:5653.(b) Hata S, Iwasawa T, Iguchi M, Yamada K, Tomioka K. Synthesis. 2004:1471.(c) Braun M, Sacha H, Galle D, Baskaran S. Pure Appl. Chem. 1996; 68:561.(d) Iwasaki G, Shibasaki M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987; 28:3257.
- 16(a). Denmark, SE.; Nicaise, OJ-C. Chapter 26.2. In: Jacobsen, EN.; Pfaltz, A.; Yamamoto, Y., editors. Comprehensive Asymmetric Catalysis. Springer-Verlag; Heidelberg: 1999. (b) Kobayashi S, Ishitani H. Chem. Rev. 1999; 99:1069. [PubMed: 11749440] (c) Enders D, Reinhold U. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry. 1997; 8:1895.(d) Volkmann, RA. Chapter 1.12. In: Trost, BM.; Fleming, I., editors. Comprehensive Organic Synthesis. Pergamon; Oxford: 1991. (e) Bloch R. Chem. Rev. 1998; 98:1407. [PubMed: 11848938] (f) Dugger RW, Ragan JA, Ripin DHB. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2005; 9:253.(g) Farina V, Reeves JT, Senanayake CH, Song JJ. Chem. Rev. 2006; 106:2734. [PubMed: 16836298] (h) Wu G, Huang M. Chem. Rev. 2006; 106:2596. [PubMed: 16836294]
- 17(a). Gakh YG, Gakh AA, Gronenborn AM. Magn. Reson. Chem. 2000; 38:551.(b) McGill CA, Nordon A, Littlejohn D. J. Process Anal. Chem. 2001; 6:36.(c) Espinet P, Albeniz AC, Casares JA, Martinez-Illarduya JM. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2008; 252:2180.
- 18(a). ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy has a growing importance in structural and mechanistic organolithium chemistry: Briggs TF, Winemiller MD, Collum DB, Parsons RL Jr. Davulcu AK, Harris GD, Fortunak JD, Confalone PN. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004; 126:5427. [PubMed: 15113214] Hoepker AC, Gupta L, Ma Y, Faggini MF, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011; 133:7135. [PubMed: 21500823] De Vries TS, Goswami A, Liou LR, Gruver JM, Jayne E, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009; 131:13142. [PubMed: 19702308] Ma Y, Breslin S, Keresztes I, Lobkovsky E, Collum DB. J. Org. Chem. 2008; 73:9610. [PubMed: 18707175] Riggs JC, Ramirez A, Cremeens ME, Bashore CG, Candler J, Wirtz MC, Coe JW, Collum DB. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008; 130:3406.

- [PubMed: 18293971] Ma Y, Lobkovsky E, Collum DB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:2335. [PubMed: 15760225] Ramirez A, Candler J, Bashore CG, Wirtz MC, Coe JW, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:14700. [PubMed: 15535677] Kolonko KJ, Guzei IA, Reich HJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:6163. [PubMed: 20735148] Kolonko KJ, Reich HJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:9668. [PubMed: 18593122]
19. Gruver JM, Liou LR, McNeil AJ, Ramirez A, Collum DB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:7743. [PubMed: 18781812]
- 20(a). Kim Y-J, Bernstein MP, Galiano-Roth AS, Romesberg FE, Fuller DJ, Harrison AT, Collum DB, Williard PG. *J. Org. Chem.* 1991; 56:4435. For a improved procedure see: Ma Y, Hoepker AC, Gupta L, Faggini MF, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:15610. [PubMed: 20961095]
- 21(a). Collum DB. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1993; 26:227.(b) Lucht BL, Collum DB. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1999; 32:1035.
22. Gregory K, Schleyer P. v. R. Snaith R. *Adv. Inorganic. Chem.* 1991; 37:47. Mulvey RE. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 1991; 20:167.
23. Review of ^6Li NMR spectroscopy: Günther H. J. *Brazil. Chem.* 1999; 10:241.
- 24(a). For theoretical investigations of ^6Li - ^{15}N coupling constants, see: Parisel O, Fressigné C, Maddaluno J, Giessner-Prettre C. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003; 68:1290. [PubMed: 12585867] Koizumi T, Morihashi K, Kikuchi O. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1996; 69:305.
- 25(a). For leading references and discussions of mixed aggregation effects, see: Tchoubar B, Loupy A. Chapters 4, 5, and 7. *Salt Effects in Organic and Organometallic Chemistry*. 1992 VCH New York. Caubère P. *Chem. Rev.* 1993; 93:2317. Gossage RA, Jastrzebski JTBH, van Koten G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2005; 44:1448. Ma Y, Hoepker AC, Gupta L, Faggini MF, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:15610. [PubMed: 20961095] (e) See ref 16a and 22b.
26. The concentration of LDA, although expressed in units of molarity, refers to the concentration of the monomer unit (normality). The concentration of TMEDA is expressed as total concentration of free (uncoordinated) ligand.
27. We define the idealized rate law as that obtained by rounding the observed reaction orders to the nearest rational order.
- 28(a). The rate law provides the stoichiometry of the transition structure relative to that of the reactants: Edwards JO, Greene EF, Ross J. *J. Chem. Educ.* 1968; 45:381. Collum DB, McNeil AJ, Ramirez A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2007; 46:3002.
29. Frisch, MJ., et al. *GaussianVersion 3.09*. revision A.1. Gaussian, Inc.; Wallingford, CT: 2009.
30. Open dimers were first proposed for the isomerization of oxiranes to allylic alcohols by mixed metal bases. See: Mordini A, Rayana EB, Margot C, Schlosser M. *Tetrahedron.* 1990; 46:2401. For a bibliography of lithium amide open dimers, see Ramirez A, Sun X, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:10326. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 16881665] Open dimer-based mechanisms for 1,2-additions of alkyllithiums have been investigated computationally: Kaufmann E, Schleyer P. v. R. Houk KN, Wu Y-D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985; 107:5560. Nakamura E, Nakamura M, Koga N, Morokuma K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1993; 115:11016. Mori S, Kim BH, Nakamura M. *Chem. Lett.* 1997:1079.
31. For an attempted comprehensive bibliography of anionic triple ions of lithium salts (X-Li-X^-), see: Ma Y, Ramirez A, Singh KJ, Keresztes I, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2006; 128:15399. [PubMed: 17132006]
32. Reich HJ. *J. Org. Chem.* 2012; 77:5471. and references cited therein. [PubMed: 22594379]
- 33(a). Lithium enolates and their mixed aggregates have been examined computationally: Pratt LM, Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003; 68:2830. [PubMed: 12662059] Pratt LM, Newman A, Cyr JS, Johnson H, Miles B, Lattier A, Austin E, Henderson S, Hershey B, Lin M, Balamraju Y, Sammonds L, Cheramie J, Karnes J, Hymel E, Woodford B, Carter C. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003; 68:6387. [PubMed: 12895075] Abboto A, Streitwieser A, Schleyer P. v. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:11255. Weiss H, Yakimansky AV, Müller AHE. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:8897. Pratt LM, Khan IM. *J. Comput. Chem.* 1995; 16:1067–1080. Dybal J, K iž J. *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.* 1994; 59:1699. Romesberg FE, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:9187. Rosi M, Sgamellotti A, Floriani C. *J. Mol. Struct. (THEOCHEM)*. 1998; 431:33. Romesberg FE, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994; 116:2166. Pratt LM, Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2003; 68:3830. Pratt LM, Nguyen SC, Thanh BT. *J. Org. Chem.* 2008; 73:6086.

- [PubMed: 18646860] Pratt LM, Nguyen NV, Ramachandran B. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:4279. [PubMed: 15903301] Streitwieser A, Reyes JR, Singhapricha T, Vu S, Shah K. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:3821. [PubMed: 20465266] Pugh JK, Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2001; 66:1334. [PubMed: 11312964] Kwan EE, Evans DA. *Org. Lett.* 2010; 12:5124. [PubMed: 20886873] Streitwieser A, Reyes JR, Singhapricha T, Vu S, Shah K. *J. Org. Chem.* 2010; 75:3821. [PubMed: 20465266] Streitwieser A. *J. Org. Chem.* 2009; 74:4433. [PubMed: 19518150]
34. For a structurally related triple ion bearing a TMEDA-chelated internal lithium, see: Bildmann UJ, Muller G. *Organometallics*. 2001; 20:1689.
35. For a discussion of intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations, see: Foresman JB, Frisch AE. *Exploring Chemistry with Electronic Structure Methods* (2nd ed). 1993 Gaussian, Inc. Pittsburgh
- 36(a). Bauer W, Klusener PAA, Harder S, Kanters JA, Duisenberg AJM, Brandsma L, Schleyer P. v. R. *Organometallics*. 1988; 7:552. (b) Köster H, Thoennes D, Weiss E. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1978; 160:1. (c) Teclé B, Ilsley WH, Oliver JP. *Organometallics*. 1982; 1:875. (d) Harder S, Boersma J, Brandsma L, Kanters JA. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1988; 339:7. (e) Sekiguchi A, Tanaka M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003; 125:12684. [PubMed: 14558797] (f) Linnert M, Bruhn C, Ruffer T, Schmidt H, Steinborn D. *Organometallics*. 2004; 23:3668. (g) Fraenkel G, Stier M. *Prepr. Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Pet. Chem.* 1985; 30:586. (h) Ball SC, Cragg-Hine I, Davidson MG, Davies RP, Lopez-Solera MI, Raithby PR, Reed D, Snaith R, Vogl EM. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1995:2147. (i) Wehman E, Jastrzebski JTBH, Ernsting J-M, Grove JM, van Koten G. *J. Organomet. Chem.* 1988; 353:145. (j) Bernstein MP, Romesberg FE, Fuller DJ, Harrison AT, Williard PG, Liu QY, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992; 114:5100.
37. The $(\eta^2\text{-TMEDA})_2\text{Li}^+$ is quite stable as evidenced by a number of examples in the crystallographic literature. Of particular interest are triple ions of general structure $[\text{X}_2\text{Li}]^{+/-}\text{Li}(\text{TMEDA})_2$. Hosmane NS, Yang J, Zhang H, Maguire JA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:5150. Eaborn C, Lu Z-R, Hitchcock PB, Smith JD. *Organometallics*. 1996; 15:1651.
38. Cohen AJ, Mori-Sánchez P, Yang W. *Science*. 2008; 321:792. [PubMed: 18687952]
- 39(a). Representative studies of TMCDA in organolithium chemistry: Hodgson DM, Stefane B, Miles TJ, Witherington J. *J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 71:8510. [PubMed: 17064027] Cabello N, Kizirian J-C, Gille S, Alexakis A, Bernardinelli G, Pinchard L, Caille J-C. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 22:4835. Cointeaux L, Alexakis A. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*. 2005; 16:925. Mealy MJ, Luderer MR, Bailey WF, Sommer MB. *J. Org. Chem.* 2004; 69:6042. [PubMed: 15373489] Strohmman C, Gessner VH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007; 129:8952. [PubMed: 17595089] Strohmman C, Gessner VH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2008; 130:11719. [PubMed: 18686951] (h) See ref 9 and 38a.
- 40(a). Lucht BL, Bernstein MP, Remenar JF, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1996; 118:10707. (b) Remenar JF, Lucht BL, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1997; 119:5567. (c) Hoffmann D, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:5810. (d) Rutherford JL, Hoffmann D, Collum DB. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2002; 124:264. [PubMed: 11782178]
41. We suspect that the η^1 -form of TMCDA imparts severe steric congestion (buttressing) owing to the proximity of the Li-NMe₂ moiety with the uncoordinated -NMe₂ moiety. Differences between TMEDA and TMCDA as ligands have also been attributed to the fixed bite-angle of TMCDA: Heuger G, Kalsow S, Göttlich R. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2002:1848.
42. Analogous relative reactivities of TMEDA and TMCDA were previously interpreted as evidence that both are chelated in the transition structures (ref 3).
- 43(a). Kennedy AR, Mulvey RE, O'Hara CT, Robertson GM, Robertson SD. *Angew. Chem.* 2011; 50:8375. [PubMed: 21774044] (b) Garcia-Alvarez P, Kennedy AR, O'Hara CT, Reilly K, Robertson GM. *Dalton Trans.* 2011; 40:5332. [PubMed: 21455502]
44. Rodriguez-Delgado A, Chen EY-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:961. [PubMed: 15656635]
- 45(a). The concept of aggregate-based reactions of enolates has been endorsed enthusiastically: Streitwieser A, Leung SS-W, Kim Y-J. *Org. Lett.* 1999; 1:145–147. [PubMed: 10822549] Leung SS-W, Streitwieser A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1998; 120:10557–10558. Solladié-Cavallo A, Csaky AG, Gantz I, Suffert J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1994; 59:5343–5346. Wei Y, Bakthavatchalam R. *Tetrahedron*. 1993; 49:2373–2390. Wei Y, Bakthavatchalam R, Jin X-M, Murphy CK, Davis FA. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993; 34:3715–3718. Solladié-Cavallo A, Simon-Wermeister M-C, Schwarz J. *Organometallics*. 1993; 12:3743–3747. Pospisil PJ, Wilson SR, Jacobsen EN. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992; 114:7585–7587. Williard PG, Hintze MJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1987; 109:5539–5541.

Horner JH, Vera M, Grutzner JB. *J. Org. Chem.* 1986; 51:4212–4220. Heathcock CH, Lampe J. *J. Org. Chem.* 1983; 48:4330–4337. House HO, Gall M, Olmstead HD. *J. Org. Chem.* 1971; 36:2361–2371.

46. Kofron WG, Baclawski LM. *J. Org. Chem.* 1976; 41:1879.

47. Bose AK, ANjaneyulc B, Bhaitacharya SK, Manhas MS. *Tetrahedron.* 1967; 23:4769.

Figure 1.

Curve fitting for the condensation of the lithium enolate of *tert*-amyl acetate enolate **2** (0.10 M)²⁶ with equimolar imine **1** (0.10 M) in 0.65 M TMEDA/toluene at -60°C . The curve depicts an unweighted least-squares fit to the second-order function: $y = [A]_0/(1+[A]_0 k \cdot t)$ ($[A]_0 = 0.101 \pm 0.001\text{ M}$, $k = 0.24 \pm 0.01\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ s}^{-1}$). The inset shows the loss of **1** and formation of **3** using lithium enolate **2** (0.10 M), imine **1**, (0.005 M), and 0.55 M TMEDA/toluene at -60°C (pseudo-first-order conditions). Imine **1** is represented by the symbol $\bullet$ and the product **3** by $\circ$. The curves depict unweighted least-squares fits to $y_{S2} = y_0 e^{-b \cdot t}$ ($y_0 = 108.6 \pm 0.1$, $b = 3.32 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-3}\text{ s}^{-1}$) and $y_{S3} = y_0(1 - e^{-b \cdot t})$ ($y_0 = 104.9 \pm 0.1$, $b = 3.28 \pm 0.03 \times 10^{-3}\text{ s}^{-1}$).

Figure 2.

Plot of k_{obsd} versus concentration of enolate **2** for the condensation of **1** (0.005 M) with **2** in 0.60 M TMEDA/toluene at -60°C . The curve depicts the unweighted least-squares fit to $k_{\text{obsd}} = k[\mathbf{2}]^b$ ($k = 0.049 \pm 0.007$, $b = 1.01 \pm 0.08$).

Figure 3.

Plot of k_{obsd} versus free TMEDA concentration²⁶ in toluene cosolvent for the condensation of lithium enolate **2** (0.10 M) with imine **1** (0.005 M) at -60°C . The curve depicts the an unweighted least-squares fit to $k_{\text{obsd}} = k + k' \cdot [\text{TMEDA}]_{\text{free}}$ ($k = 0.0044 \pm 0.0003$, $k' = 0.0003 \pm 0.0005$).

Figure 4.

Computed transition structures of stoichiometry $[(\text{ROLi})_2(\text{TMEDA})_2(\text{imine})]^\ddagger$.

Scheme 1.