Published in final edited form as:

Nat Rev Genet. 2011 January ; 12(1): 19–31. doi:10.1038/nrg2916.

Small RNA sorting: matchmaking for Argonautes

Benjamin Czech and Gregory J. Hannon

Watson School of Biological Sciences, Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1 Bungtown Road, Cold Spring Harbor, New York 11724, USA

Abstract

Small RNAs directly or indirectly impact nearly every biological process in eukaryotic cells. To perform their myriad roles, not only must precise small RNA species be generated, but they must also be loaded into specific effector complexes called RNA-induced silencing complexes (RISCs). Argonaute proteins form the core of RISCs and different members of this large family have specific expression patterns, protein binding partners and biochemical capabilities. In this Review, we explore the mechanisms that pair specific small RNA strands with their partner proteins, with an eye towards the substantial progress that has been recently made in understanding the sorting of the major small RNA classes — microRNAs (miRNAs) and small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) — in plants and animals.

The discovery of RNA interference (RNAi) in the late 1990s sparked a renaissance in our understanding of RNAs as regulatory molecules. A growing number of small RNA classes has since emerged from studies of eukaryotic organisms, and these RNAs can be approximately divided into two groups: small RNAs that engage RNAi-related machinery and those that do not. As yet, we know very little about many newly discovered groups of small RNAs, but our understanding of the biogenesis and biological functions of RNAi-related small RNA classes is growing rapidly.

Small RNAs that engage RNAi-related pathways share several characteristic features. They are mainly ~20–30 nucleotides (nt) in length, have 5' phosphate groups and 3' hydroxyl (–OH) (although sometimes modified) termini, and they associate with specific members of a large protein family — the Argonautes. The precise combination of a small RNA with a particular Argonaute protein determines its biological function. Therefore, it is crucial that these very similar species are appropriately sorted among closely related partners. Only then can the target specificity conferred on Argonaute proteins by their small RNA guides enable their myriad important roles, which include the regulation of gene expression, modification of chromosome structure and protection from mobile elements. Conceptually, all small RNA-mediated regulatory events can be considered as the culmination of several

© 2011 Macmillan Publishers Limited. All rights reserved

Correspondence to G.J.H., hannon@cshl.edu.

Competing interests statement

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

DATABASES

miRBase: <http://www.mirbase.org/index.shtml>

[mir-144](#) | [miR173](#) | [miR390](#) | [miR-451](#)

UniProtKB: <http://www.uniprot.org>

[AGO1](#) | [AGO2](#) | [DCR1](#) | [DCR2](#) | [Drosha](#) | [HEN1](#) | [LOQS-PB](#) | [R2D2](#)

FURTHER INFORMATION

Gregory J. Hannon's homepage: <http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/index.html>

Gregory J. Hannon's Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory homepage: <http://www.cshl.edu/Faculty/hannon-gregory.html>

consecutive steps: small RNA biogenesis, strand selection (in which dsRNA is the precursor), loading into Argonaute, target recognition and effector function.

The biogenesis of most small RNA classes, including microRNAs (miRNAs) and many small interfering RNAs (siRNAs), requires the action of RNase III family proteins (reviewed in REFS 1–3). Some small RNA classes, including Piwi-interacting RNAs (piRNAs) and secondary siRNAs in worms, however, are not derived from dsRNA precursors and are produced through alternative biogenesis mechanisms independently of RNase III enzymes^{4–8}.

Following their production, small RNAs are sorted to confer association with specific Argonaute family proteins, which function as the core of the RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC). Argonaute proteins can be classified into three subgroups according to their sequence relationships: the AGO subfamily, the Piwi subfamily and the worm-specific WAGO clade^{9–11}. Piwi subfamily proteins load small RNAs derived from single-stranded precursors (piRNAs) and AGO clade proteins usually associate with small RNA duplexes processed by RNase III endonucleases (miRNAs and siRNAs; reviewed in REFS 1,2). Small RNAs that occupy WAGO clade proteins are usually direct products of RNA synthesis^{6,7,9}.

Mature RISC consists of a single-stranded small RNA bound to an Argonaute protein. As some small RNAs are generated as duplexes, only one strand (the guide strand) is retained and the other (passenger) strand is discarded during RISC assembly^{12–14}. AGO clade proteins are generally loaded with small RNA duplexes before RISC maturation. Thus, it is of key importance to assemble RISC in a manner that ensures that the appropriate guide strand is selectively stabilized, as loading of the passenger strand would obviously misdirect RISC towards inappropriate targets. Small RNAs guide mature RISC through complementary base pairing to its targets, with the most common outcome being target repression (reviewed in REFS 15–17).

The knowledge of the mechanisms that guide a particular small RNA strand into a specific Argonaute family member is crucial. It impacts our ability to predict the biological function of a small RNA and to effectively use small RNAs as experimental tools or therapeutics. This Review focuses on our understanding of small RNA sorting in plants and animals. We consider biogenesis as a starting point as this affects the nature of small RNAs and, in some cases, the complexes which the small RNAs join. Next, we discuss the small RNA-intrinsic determinants of sorting, followed by RISC loading and maturation. Finally, we briefly cover the implications of sorting for Argonaute function. We do not extensively discuss the effector mechanisms of mature RISC, but instead refer the reader to several excellent recent reviews on this topic^{15–17}.

Small RNA biogenesis

In effect, the first step of small RNA sorting is biogenesis, as this determines the small RNAs that are available for RISC loading. Moreover, the precise enzymes that liberate small RNAs from their precursor transcripts or generate them *de novo* seem to impact the choice of their ultimate Argonaute partner. Therefore, it is important to begin with an introduction to the varied mechanisms that can produce small RNAs.

Small RNA duplexes from partial or perfect dsRNA precursors are generated by RNase III family enzymes through sequential endonucleolytic cleavage events. These enzymes often partner with dsRNA binding domain (dsRBD) proteins, which serve to increase substrate specificity and affinity, leading to increased activity. The resulting products are duplex ~20–24-nt small RNAs consisting of two strands (the guide or miR and passenger or miR*).

strands). These small RNAs feature 5' monophosphates and 2-nt overhangs that have hydroxyl groups at their 3' termini.

Animal miRNA processing

miRNAs are ubiquitous in animal genomes and are often transcribed as separate coding units, many of which consist of polycistronic clusters containing multiple miRNAs. Some miRNAs are also present in introns and presumably arise from further processing of the excised introns of protein-coding genes¹⁸. Most miRNAs are transcribed by DNA-dependent RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) to generate a primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) containing a region of imperfect dsRNA, known as the stem-loop structure, that harbours the future mature miRNA^{19,20} (FIG. 1). Primary miRNA transcripts seem largely like the transcripts of protein-coding genes. They have 5' cap structures, polyA tails and may contain introns. The production of conventional miRNAs from these precursors proceeds through two site-specific cleavage events. Processing likely begins with a dsRBD protein, Pasha/DiGeorge syndrome critical region gene 8 (DGCR8), binding to the pri-miRNA and recruiting the RNase III enzyme *Drosha* to form a multiprotein complex called the Microprocessor²¹⁻²⁴. This complex recognizes the duplex character of the pri-miRNA, although the precise RNA-protein interactions that select pri-miRNAs as Microprocessor substrates and how the cleavage site is determined by these interactions are matters of ongoing work. The pri-miRNA is cleaved by *Drosha* to liberate a ~60–70-nt precursor miRNA (pre-miRNA) from the primary transcript²⁵. The nuclear export protein exportin 5 recognizes the 2-nt single-stranded 3' overhang of the pre-miRNA (characteristic of RNase III-mediated cleavage) and actively transports it in a Ran-GTP-dependent manner to the cytoplasm²⁶⁻²⁸. Additional factors, including the nuclear export receptor exportin 1 (XPO1), the cap-binding complex (CBC) and the *Arabidopsis thaliana* SERRATE homologue, ARSENITE-RESISTANCE PROTEIN 2 (ARS2), were recently suggested to play a part in the transition from pri- to pre-miRNA²⁹⁻³¹.

Once in the cytoplasm, the pre-miRNA is cleaved into a ~22–23-nt miRNA:miRNA* duplex by Dicer³²⁻³⁵. For this purpose, the sole mammalian Dicer partners with the dsRBD protein TAR RNA-binding protein 2 (TARBP2, also known as TRBP)^{36,37}, whereas the *Drosophila melanogaster* miRNA-generating Dicer 1 (DCR1) similarly interacts with a specific isoform of its dsRBD protein partner loquacious (LOQS-PB)³⁸⁻⁴². Small RNA duplexes generated by Dicer (and its protein partner) exhibit 2-nt single-stranded 3' overhangs at both ends, a signature of RNase III cleavage.

Several unconventional miRNAs that are defined by their use of alternative maturation strategies have now been noted. For example, mirtrons have been found in flies and mammals⁴³⁻⁴⁵. Mirtrons bypass the *Drosha* processing step and instead use the splicing machinery to generate pre-miRNAs. Mirtrons are very short introns and are excised, debranched and refolded into short stem-loop structures that mimic pre-miRNAs and are processed into mature miRNAs by Dicer. A few recently discovered mirtrons in flies are initially generated with extended 3' tails that must be resected by the exosome to form a pre-miRNA suitable for Dicer processing⁴⁶.

miRNA biogenesis in plants

Plant miRNAs are transcribed by RNAPII to yield capped and polyadenylated pri-miRNAs with local stem-loop structures that are potentially stabilized by the RNA-binding protein DAWDLE (DDL)⁴⁷. Plant pri-miRNAs typically display greater diversity in the size and structure of their stem-loops compared with their animal counterparts⁴⁸. As plants lack a *Drosha* orthologue, pri-miRNAs are converted into mature miR:miR* duplexes by a single RNase III family enzyme, DICER-LIKE 1 (DCL1)⁴⁸⁻⁵¹, which fulfils the functions of both

Drosha and Dicer (BOX 1). As in animals, Dicer is assisted by a dsRBD protein, in this case, HYPONASTIC LEAVES 1 (HYL1)⁵²⁻⁵⁴. HYL1 and the zinc finger protein SERRATE promote accurate miRNA processing^{53,55-57}. miRNA maturation is also aided by the nuclear cap-binding complex^{53,58,59}, probably by facilitating the loading of miRNA-processing factors onto pri-miRNAs.

Maturation of plant miRNA duplexes often proceeds through several rounds of sequential Dicing from the base of a long stem-loop (BOX 1). Processed miRNA duplexes are modified by the methyltransferase HUA ENHANCER 1 (HEN1)⁶⁰⁻⁶². In contrast to its *D. melanogaster* homologue, plant HEN1 is nuclear and adds methyl groups to the 3' ends of both strands of the miR:miR* duplex. This 2'-O-methylation is thought to protect miRNAs from further modifications, such as 3' uridylation^{60,62}, which mark single-stranded miRNAs for destruction by exonucleases of the SMALL RNA-DEGRADING NUCLEASE (SDN) family⁶³. This adaptation may be necessitated by the fact that plant miRNAs pair extensively with target mRNAs and cleave them, a process which in animals provides a trigger for small RNA destruction⁶⁴. Following methylation by HEN1, miR:miR* duplexes are thought to be transported by an Exportin 5 homologue, HASTY (HST), or through HST-independent mechanisms to the cytoplasm⁶⁵, where sorting and RISC assembly takes place. However, the exact form of the exported cargo and the subcellular localization of plant RISC loading and maturation remain subjects of current debate³. In this regard, a recent study proposed a model in which RISC is assembled in the nucleus and only mature AGO1-RISC containing a single-stranded miR can be exported to the cytoplasm⁶⁶.

siRNAs of endogenous or exogenous origin

The first siRNAs were discovered in plants⁶⁷. The earliest identified examples were derived from viral replication intermediates or complex interactions between transgene copies. By considering the commonalities between these origins, dsRNAs were indicated as the source of small RNAs. It is now clear that plants and animals produce a wide range of siRNAs. These vary in their biogenesis mechanisms, but can be approximately divided into two classes, depending on whether they require RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRPs) for their production.

siRNAs derived from dsRNAs

The process of converting dsRNA into small RNAs is perhaps currently best understood in *D. melanogaster*. Here, the experimental introduction of long dsRNAs results in the production of exo-siRNAs that are ~21 nt in size (FIG. 2a). long dsRNAs are processed into siRNA duplexes through sequential cleavage events by the RNase III protein Dicer 2 (DCR2) (REFS 68,69) in collaboration with its dsRBD co-factor, a particular Loquacious isoform, LOQS-PD^{42,70}. Dicer 2 also interacts with another dsRBD protein R2D2, but only LOQS-PD enhances siRNA production^{69,71}. Recent studies indicate a role of R2D2 in loading siRNA duplexes into RISC (discussed below), suggesting that these two dsRBD proteins may have distinct and sequential functions^{71,72}.

In flies, siRNAs also originate from numerous endogenous loci and were termed endogenous siRNAs (endo-siRNAs)⁷³⁻⁷⁷. These can originate from RNA transcripts with extensive hairpin structures, from convergent transcription units (similar to plant nat-siRNAs, see below) or from the annealing of sense and antisense RNAs from unlinked loci. One example of the latter type of siRNAs are endo-siRNAs that target transposons, which seem to arise at least in part from the hybridization of transposon mRNAs with piRNA cluster transcripts. Another possible source of dsRNA hybrids is the interaction of sense and antisense transcripts across individual transposon copies, and it has even been suggested that

RdRPs may operate in animals to form dsRNAs⁷⁸. As with *exo*-siRNAs, the biogenesis of *endo*-siRNAs depends on Dicer 2 assisted by LOQS-PD^{42,73,75-77}.

A similar situation has been described in mammals; however, the range of cell types in which dsRNAs are produced and converted into siRNAs seems to be limited. Thus far, *endo*-siRNAs have been detected in abundance only in mouse oocytes and embryonic stem (ES) cells⁷⁹⁻⁸¹. The dsRNA triggers that give rise to murine *endo*-siRNAs are predicted to arise from *trans* interactions between gene and pseudogene transcripts, from overlapping transcription units and from transcripts that can form long hairpins. As in flies, *endo*-siRNA biogenesis is dependent on Dicer and, presumably, its dsRBD partners.

RdRP-dependent siRNAs

In contrast to mammals and flies, worms and plants produce numerous *endo*-siRNAs using biogenesis mechanisms that depend on the action of RdRPs. Plant RdRPs copy single-stranded precursors into long dsRNAs that are cleaved by Dicer, whereas worm RdRPs can directly synthesize siRNAs without Dicer processing.

Primary siRNAs in *Caenorhabditis elegans* are produced conventionally, from long dsRNA triggers through the action of DCR-1 (REFS 33,35,82)(FIG. 2b). The siRNAs associate with the Argonaute family protein, RDE-1 and guide it to target transcripts. The RDE-1–target interaction recruits an RdRP, an outcome that is independent of RDE-1 catalytic activity⁸³. The RdRP uses the target as template for the synthesis of secondary siRNAs of 22– 24 nt. Secondary siRNAs possess triphosphates at their 5' ends, indicating that each small RNA is produced as a discrete moiety by *de novo* synthesis^{6,7}.

The production of most plant siRNAs requires the action of RdRPs to convert ssRNA precursors to dsRNA triggers. Three major subclasses of endogenous siRNAs can be distinguished in plants: *trans*-acting siRNAs (ta-siRNAs), natural antisense transcript-derived siRNAs (nat-siRNAs) and heterochromatic siRNAs (hc-siRNAs). Each of these small RNA subclasses is produced by a specific Dicer family member and preferentially loaded into a distinct AGO complex.

The biogenesis of ta-siRNAs requires the interplay of canonical components of miRNA and siRNA processing⁸⁴⁻⁹⁰ (FIG. 2c). The process begins with miRNA-mediated cleavage of the *TAS1* or *TAS3* non-coding RNAs by *miR390*–AGO7 or *miR173*–AGO1, respectively. This triggers the recruitment of SUPPRESSOR OF GENE SILENCING 3 (SGS3) and RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 6 (RDR6), which synthesizes dsRNA using the cleavage site as the entry point. The resulting dsRNA is processed by DCL4 and its dsRBD protein partner DRB4 into a phased series of 21-nt siRNA duplexes, which begins at the site of initial cleavage. ta-siRNAs are methylated by HEN1 before AGO loading. The subcellular localization of biogenesis factors and RNA intermediates, along with the recruitment of SDE5 (a putative export factor homologue), suggests that ta-siRNA biogenesis might involve specific nuclear–cytoplasmic shuttling^{3,91}.

Plant genomes often possess convergent transcription units that can give rise to dsRNA. Under certain conditions, often resulting from biotic and abiotic stress, bidirectional transcription is induced and the resulting dsRNA is processed into nat-siRNAs⁹²⁻⁹⁴. Production of nat-siRNAs requires DCL2 (which produces 24-nt siRNAs) or DCL1 (resulting in 22-nt siRNAs), depending on the genomic origin of the overlapping transcripts. Other essential biogenesis factors include RDR6, SGS3, HYL1, HEN1 and RNAPIV^{92,93}.

A highly abundant class of plant *endo*-siRNAs — hc-siRNAs — arises from repeats and transposable elements⁹⁵⁻¹⁰¹. hc-siRNAs are predominantly 24 nt in size and their biogenesis,

which is thought to occur in nucleolar bodies, depends on DCL3, its partner protein CLASSY1 (a SNF2 domain protein), the RdRP RDR2 and the plant-specific DNA-dependent RNA polymerases RNAPIV and RNAPV. Processed siRNA duplexes are methylated by HEN1 and primarily loaded into AGO4.

Small RNA sorting

Once produced, small RNAs and, in many cases, specific small RNA strands must be loaded into Argonaute proteins. Sorting is influenced by the Dicer that processes the precursor, the structure of the small RNA duplex, its terminal nucleotides, its thermodynamic properties and the destination AGO protein (see BOX 2 for structural properties of AGO proteins).

In part, sorting may be driven by specific protein–protein interactions between biogenesis and effector components. For example, in animals, Dicing and Argonaute loading have been proposed to occur as concerted processes^{102,103}. This provides an opportunity for determining the fate of specific precursors to join certain effector complexes if a particular Dicer preferentially binds one Argonaute family member. However, Dicer and Argonaute cannot be the full story. Instead, it is clear that more complex-loading and strand-recognition pathways also influence the sorting of small RNAs. To exert its regulatory functions, mature RISC must be programmed with a single-stranded RNA. Thus, for small RNAs that are initially produced as duplexes, one strand must be chosen and the other discarded — a process called RISC loading. Strand selection must not be random. For example, for most miRNAs, evolutionary pressure has honed one particular strand of the duplex as a crucial regulator and loading of the other strand, the miR*, would cause silencing of the wrong set of genes.

Even from the first mechanistic studies, it was clear that strand choice was partly encoded in the intrinsic structure of the small RNA duplex, and a major determinant resides in its thermodynamic properties^{104,105}. For both miRNAs and siRNAs in flies and mammals, the strand with the least stable 5' end is more often retained. There are also additional favourable sequence characteristics, such as a bias for a U at position 1 (see BOX 2 for further details)^{73,76,106–110}. Recently, our understanding of small RNA-sorting determinants has expanded substantially, and Argonaute and RNA structural studies have begun to provide a mechanistic basis for observations from *in vitro* and *in vivo* analyses^{90,106,107,110–112}.

Small RNA sorting in animals

In mammals, a single Dicer sorts siRNAs and miRNAs among four Argonaute subfamily proteins, apparently without much discrimination. However, in *D. melanogaster*, two distinct Dicer proteins process small RNA duplexes that preferentially enter AGO1 or AGO2 complexes. Generally, AGO1 is occupied by miRNAs, whereas AGO2 associates with siRNAs. This parallels the processing of miRNAs by Dicer 1 and siRNAs by Dicer 2. However, there are exceptions to the rule. For example, there are Dicer 1-derived small RNAs that preferentially load AGO2, implying the existence of a post-processing sorting mechanism^{107,113}. Although miRNA and siRNA processing intermediates are approximately 19–21-nt duplexes with 2-nt 3' overhangs, the character of their duplexed portions substantially differs (FIG. 3a). siRNAs are derived from duplexes featuring perfect or nearly perfect dsRNA, whereas miRNAs originate from precursors that typically contain several mismatches or bulges. Other features that affect sorting include the terminal nucleotides and thermodynamic properties of the duplex ends.

The numerous inputs into the sorting decisions of small RNAs have posed a challenge to predicting their fates in *D. melanogaster*. However, recent studies have suggested the

application of hierarchical rules to predict differential AGO loading^{106,107,110}. At the top level is duplex structure, specifically its degree of base pairing. Small RNA strands with unpaired central regions (~nucleotides 9–10) tend to be directed into AGO1 and disfavoured for AGO2 loading. Although *D. melanogaster* AGO1 and AGO2 show different preferences for terminal nucleotides (AGO1 favours a terminal U, whereas AGO2 shows a bias towards a 5' C)^{76,106,107}, the identity of the 5' nucleotide only makes a minor contribution to sorting¹⁰⁷. For perfect duplexes, thermodynamic asymmetry dominates strand choice, which is precisely as was originally proposed^{104,105,107}.

It should be noted that sorting is a strand-centric process. Once a duplex is made, it seems that one strand is assessed and its fate determined. Thus, for many miRNAs, miR strands are abundant in AGO1 complexes and miR* strands predominate in AGO2–RISC; however, these miR and miR* strands arise from independent precursor molecules rather than through the stabilization of both strands of a given duplex. Thus, for each processed duplex, the choice seems to be whether the miR strand becomes committed to AGO1 or the miR* is committed to AGO2, with the complementary strand of each miRNA duplex being discarded during RISC maturation. Thus, AGO1 and AGO2 may compete for the selection of strands from each duplex, with the strength of preferential loading signals determining the ultimate abundance of the miR and miR* in AGO1 and AGO2 complexes, respectively^{106,107,110}.

It was recently noted in *D. melanogaster* that some hairpin-derived endo-siRNAs accumulate in AGO2 even though they originate from mismatched duplexes and have a terminal U — features which are thought to direct them towards AGO1 (REF. 114). Interestingly, *in vitro*, these small RNAs are sorted into AGO1. *In vivo*, however, these AGO1-loaded endo-siRNAs silence targets with high sequence complementarity. This paradox can be resolved by invoking target-directed small RNA destruction; small RNAs of this sort may be loaded into AGO1 *in vivo*, but they are unstable owing to lack of the stabilizing 2'-O-methylation, which they acquire when loaded into AGO2.

As in *D. melanogaster*, worm miRNAs and siRNAs are partitioned among distinct AGO subfamily proteins. Although worm sorting rules have not been probed in detail, miRNAs show a tendency towards central mismatches and are sorted into ALG-1 or ALG-2, whereas siRNAs from perfect duplexes preferentially load RDE-1 (REFS 115,116). In contrast to flies and worms, individual mammalian AGO clade proteins show no specialized structural and 5' nucleotide preferences for small RNA duplexes^{117–119}. This raises the possibility that mammals lack a strict system for small RNA sorting, at least among their AGO subfamily members.

Sorting of small RNAs in plants

A. thaliana encodes ten Argonaute proteins, which vary in their degrees of specialization and expression patterns. As in animals, plant AGO proteins tend to show preferences for distinct small RNA classes, which are produced through somewhat compartmentalized biogenesis pathways. For example, AGO1 is mainly occupied by miRNAs that arise through processing by DCL1. AGO4 prefers hc-RNAs that are processed by DCL3. AGO2 is the principal recipient for ta-siRNAs. An additional complexity is that different Dicers produce small RNAs of distinct sizes. Plant DCL1 and DCL4 produce 21-nt RNAs, DCL2 22-nt RNAs and DCL3 24-nt RNAs. Different Dicer proteins have also been proposed to reside in different subcellular compartments. Thus, a wide range of properties might be exploited to establish specificity in plant small RNA sorting. Surprisingly, although the terminal nucleotide of the siRNA had a minor effect on sorting in flies and mammals, it strongly impacts sorting in plants.

Deep sequencing of small RNAs associated with AGO family members clearly indicated that distinct AGO proteins preferentially load small RNAs with specific 5' nucleotides^{90,112,120,121}. AGO1 showed a strong bias towards a terminal U. AGO2 and AGO4 selected sequences that begin with an A, and AGO5 mainly bound RNAs starting with a 5' C. Simply changing the terminal nucleotides could redirect small RNAs into different complexes in a predictable manner, strongly supporting the dominance of this sorting signal.

There were exceptions to the simple rule proposed above. MiR390, which begins with an A, would be predicted to load AGO2 but, instead, exclusively occupied AGO7 (REF. 90). Moreover, miR390 could not be redirected by altering its terminal base. Thus, although base recognition contributes strongly to sorting, other characteristics of small RNAs must also be taken into account. These could include duplex properties, such as thermodynamic asymmetry or degree of base pairing, although this hypothesis has yet to be examined. Overall, the data support a model in which plant small RNAs dissociate following Dicer cleavage and are subject to a sorting process, which surveys their terminal base. Other considerations, their size and the Dicer that produced them may contribute to specificity in a manner that varies with the small RNA species, but which becomes the dominant determinant of sorting in a few instances.

Sorting of other small RNA classes

To date, we know far more about the loading determinants of miRNAs and siRNAs than of any other small RNA class. Even within these well-studied groups, there are exceptions to the rules outlined above. For example, several reports now support the idea that pre-miRNA hairpins can be successfully loaded into RISC^{118,122-126} (BOX 3). Mirtrons bypass the Droscha step but are presumably loaded using the normal miRNA strand determinants following Dicer cleavage.

Several small RNA classes are formed without a double-stranded precursor. Even though this should pose a simpler sorting problem, with no need to discriminate guide versus passenger strands, we know little about how these species are selectively loaded into specific Argonautes. Among good examples are the secondary siRNAs in worms, which are generated as direct RdRP products, presumably without the need for further processing^{6,7}. These are specifically loaded into WAGO clade Argonautes through a still mysterious mechanism⁹. One could easily imagine that biogenesis and loading could be tightly coupled, or that the 5' triphosphate termini on these small RNAs could contribute to binding specificity through interactions with the mid-domain of the Argonaute, but these ideas remain to be tested.

piRNAs, including worm 21U RNAs, do not depend on Dicer processing and are thought to originate from single-stranded precursors^{4,5,8,127,128}. The loading of these small RNAs into Piwi subfamily proteins and the requirements of associated partner proteins for proper Piwi-RISC assembly are unknown. Whether the striking bias for a terminal U seen in many piRNAs reflects upstream processing activities or is a consequence of the nucleotide-binding preferences of these Piwi proteins (as is seen in plants) remains unclear.

The RISC-loading machinery

Small RNA duplexes cannot be efficiently incorporated into AGO proteins without assistance from additional proteins^{118,119}. These factors are also known as the RISC-loading machinery (or pre-RISC) and their precise nature differs for distinct AGO proteins. RISC loading is an active process that requires ATP^{118,129-132}, probably owing to the necessity to drive conformational changes so that AGO proteins accept small RNA duplexes. This

concept, which was originally suggested based on structural analyses of AGO proteins, has gained recent support from studies that characterized interactions between Argonautes and the heat shock cognate 70 (HSC70)–heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) chaperone complex^{133–136}. These studies support a model in which the interaction between Argonautes and the chaperone complex creates an ‘open’ conformation that is suitable for the loading of duplexed small RNAs. ATP hydrolysis and dissociation of the chaperone results in a structure that can discard or cleave the miR* or passenger strand to form an active RISC.

In flies, the loading machinery for AGO2–RISC also involves Dicer 2 and its dsRBD partner R2D2 (REFS 68,69,130,131,137,138) (FIG. 3c). In fact, these factors have been proposed to be the biochemical sensors for thermodynamic asymmetry. In this regard, R2D2 has been shown to bind the more stable end of the dsRNA duplex, whereas Dicer 2 is positioned at the less-stable end of the duplex, providing a mechanism for orientated AGO2 loading¹³⁹. Although a minimal pre-RISC could be constituted with only Dicer 2, R2D2 and AGO2 (REF. 140), the bona fide AGO2–RISC-loading machinery *in vivo* undoubtedly contains additional components, including the chaperone complexes described above. Roles for Dicers have also been suggested for AGO1 loading. One report suggests that AGO1–Dicer 1 complexes correspond to the AGO1–RISC-loading complex¹⁴¹, whereas a second report indicated that Dicer 1 was dispensable for AGO1–RISC assembly¹³².

Although little is known about the loading machinery in plants, a recent study proposed that the thermodynamic properties of duplex ends (instead of terminal nucleotides) are the dominant determinant for strand selection of some DCL1-processed miRNAs and that HYL1, like fly R2D2, functioned as a component of the asymmetry sensor⁶⁶.

RISC maturation

For RISC to exert its function, pre-RISC needs to mature (FIG. 3c). Although the orientation of the miRNA duplex was determined during RISC assembly and loading, the crucial maturation step is discarding of the passenger or miR* strand. In flies and mammals, distinct AGO proteins seem to achieve this by different mechanisms, which depend on the nature of the AGO protein and the degree of base pairing in the loaded duplex. Using their ‘slicer’ activity, fly or mammalian AGO2 can cleave the passenger strand of perfect or nearly perfect duplexes^{12–14}. The cleaved strand dissociates from RISC and, in flies, is degraded by a multimeric endonuclease complex (consisting of Translin and Trax), termed C3PO (component 3 promoter of RISC)¹⁴⁰. Following passenger strand removal, AGO2-bound single-stranded small RNAs are methylated at their 3′ termini by the methyltransferase HEN1 (also known as Pimet) to yield mature AGO2–RISC^{142,143}.

Maturation of miRNA RISC is less well understood (FIG. 3b, bottom). Human AGO1, AGO3 and AGO4 all lack slicer activity and fly AGO1 is a poor slicer. Moreover, miRNA duplexes often contain sufficient bulges to prevent slicing of miR* strands even by competent enzymes. Therefore, it has been proposed that miR* strands dissociate in a cleavage-independent manner by unwinding — a process that is facilitated by the presence of mismatches in the loaded duplexes^{113,118,132}. Biochemical evidence supports unwinding as a passive, ATP-independent process, with degradation of the miR* strand on its release. It is unclear how plant Argonautes remove the miR* or passenger strand during RISC maturation. MiR* and passenger strands could be cleaved through the slicer activity of AGOs (similar to fly AGO2) or unwound passively (like fly AGO1)^{12–14,132}.

The impact of sorting on target regulation

The ultimate result of accurate strand selection and sorting is that an active RISC is formed, which is imbued with the ability to regulate a target gene or process. Argonaute family

members differ in their biochemical properties, subcellular localization and expression patterns, and matching the right small RNA with the correct partner is key to proper biological function.

Although AGO proteins evolved as ribonucleases, animal miRNAs affect their targets without the need for this activity. miRNAs generally interact with their targets through limited base-pairing interactions that are insufficient to place the scissile phosphate of the target in the enzyme active site where cleavage can occur. The prevalence of cleavage-independent repression modes is also reflected in the diversity of the Argonaute family. In mammals, three of the four AGO proteins have lost catalytic potential, and AGO1, the *D. melanogaster* AGO protein into which most miRNAs are sorted, is a poor enzyme compared with its siRNA-binding cousin¹¹³.

miRNA-directed target cleavage has only been reported in a few cases^{144,145}. However, this is assumed to be the principal regulatory mode for endo-siRNAs and for piRNA-mediated repression of transposons. Here again, the choice of a particular AGO partner is crucial. Piwi family members all retain catalytic competence and *D. melanogaster* AGO2, the main partner for endogenous and viral siRNAs, is tuned for highly active slicing (BOX 4).

AGO1-associated plant miRNAs usually share extensive sequence complementarity with their mRNA targets and these interactions often result in target cleavage¹⁴⁶. However, recent studies have indicated that cleavage-independent translational repression is widespread in plants, even for highly complementary target sites¹⁴⁷. Nevertheless, miRNA-mediated cleavage is of key importance for some processes like the biogenesis of ta-siRNAs, for which the initial slicing event is key to RdRP recruitment and dsRNA synthesis⁸⁸.

Notably, small RNAs that direct cleavage, for example, plant miRNAs, piRNAs and fly endo-siRNAs, often have a 2'-O-methyl modification on their 3' termini. Although the purpose of this modification was initially mysterious, it is now clear that this functions as a protective group to prevent small RNA destruction^{64,142,143}. In flies and mammals, small RNAs that have extensive complementarity to their targets can be recognized by terminal uridyl transferases, which mark small RNAs for degradation⁶⁴. The uridylation event is blocked by the 2'-O-methyl modification, preserving these small RNAs, which have evolved to function through cleavage⁶⁴. The balance between protection and targeted destruction has been proposed as a quality control on small RNA sorting and as an evolutionary mechanism to drive animal miRNAs toward a cleavage-independent repression mode⁶⁴.

hc-siRNAs are thought to function by different mechanisms^{148,149}. They must be sorted into a particular Argonaute, AGO4, which they guide to target DNA loci by base pairing with nascent non-coding transcripts synthesized by RNAPV. Effector proteins, such as the chromatin-remodelling factor DRD1, the *de novo* methyltransferase DRM2 and other factors, are then recruited, resulting in DNA methylation at cytosine residues^{150,151}. As this regulation functions by repressing RNA synthesis, it was termed transcriptional gene silencing to distinguish it from post-transcriptional gene-silencing modes. Some piRNAs in flies and mammals must associate with particular Piwi-family proteins — that is, PIWI and MIWI2, respectively — which enable these small RNAs to enter the nucleus, where they are thought to induce transcriptional repression through changes in chromatin structure or DNA methylation, respectively¹⁵²⁻¹⁵⁴. Similarly, worm NRDE-3, an Argonaute of the WAGO clade, transports siRNAs to the nucleus and functions through co-transcriptional gene silencing¹⁵⁵.

Thus, the final effects of small RNA sorting are felt in the modes of repression that become available as they join specific AGO proteins. The consequences of improper sorting may range from a loss of target regulation to inappropriate regulatory modes.

Conclusions

An understanding of the mechanisms by which small RNAs are selected and sorted among different potential effector complexes is crucial. In part, this knowledge guides hypotheses concerning the cellular roles of an ever-growing roster of small RNA species. However, the ability to predict the fate of small RNAs based on their sequence and structural characteristics is also essential to their effective use as experimental tools and potential therapeutics. We have begun to piece together the properties that determine small RNA fates and, in some instances, these properties can even predict with reasonable accuracy which small RNAs will efficiently join a particular effector complex. Yet, we still have a relatively poor ability to design effective small RNAs *ab initio* for experimental or therapeutic use. This capacity will rest on advances in both our understanding of RISC as an enzyme, including its mechanisms of target recognition, silencing and product release, and a detailed knowledge of how specific RNA strands are efficiently loaded into RISC as guides.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank O. Tam, F. Muerdter, J.-W. Wang and R. Zhou for comments on the manuscript. The authors are greatly indebted to J. Duffy for assistance with figures. B.C. is supported by a Ph.D fellowship from the Boehringer Ingelheim Fonds. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health and a kind gift from K. W. Davis. G.J.H. is an investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

Glossary

RNase III protein	A member of a family of ribonucleases that process dsRNA, leaving 5' monophosphates and 2-nt 3' overhangs with hydroxyl ends. Drosha and Dicer are examples of such ribonucleases.
RNA-induced silencing complex	A regulatory multi-protein complex containing an Argonaute protein bound to a single-stranded small RNA that regulates gene expression through sequence complementarity between the guide RNA and the target transcript.
Guide strand	During RISC loading, one strand of an siRNA is selected and stabilized. This is termed the guide strand, and it confers target specificity. miRNA guide strands are termed miR strands.
Passenger strand	The non-incorporated strand of the siRNA duplex that is degraded during the assembly of RISC. Non-incorporated strands of miRNAs are called miR* strands.
Stem-loop structure	A region of dsRNA (stem) connected by an unpaired region (loop) in a single RNA molecule. This is a structure typical for miRNA precursors.
Mirtron	A miRNA that originates from a very short intron and is excised to form a pre-miRNA by the splicing machinery (and occasionally subsequent trimming), therefore bypassing the Drosha processing step.
Dicing	Refers to the cleavage events carried out by the RNase III family nuclease Dicer.

RNA-dependent RNA polymerase	An RNA polymerase that uses ssRNA as a template to synthesize dsRNA.
Trans-acting siRNA	A plant small RNA that primarily associates with AGO2. ta-siRNA biogenesis depends on miRNA-mediated cleavage of precursors that are further processed by DCL4 and other siRNA machinery factors.
Natural antisense transcript-derived siRNA	A stress-induced small RNA produced by DCL1 and DCL2 that originates from dsRNA formed by convergent transcription.
Heterochromatic siRNA	A highly abundant plant small RNA that arises from transposons and repeats. hc-siRNAs depend on DCL3 and mainly load into AGO4.
RNase H	A conserved family of endonucleases that cleave the RNA strand of RNA:DNA hybrid duplexes. AGO proteins contain RNase H-like domains.
Seed region	A region consisting of nucleotides 2–8 counted from the 5′ end of miRNAs that participates in the interaction between a small RNA and target transcript.

References

- Ghildiyal M, Zamore PD. Small silencing RNAs: an expanding universe. *Nature Rev. Genet.* 2009; 10:94–108. [PubMed: 19148191]
- Chapman EJ, Carrington JC. Specialization and evolution of endogenous small RNA pathways. *Nature Rev. Genet.* 2007; 8:884–896. [PubMed: 17943195]
- Voinnet O. Origin, biogenesis, and activity of plant microRNAs. *Cell.* 2009; 136:669–687. [PubMed: 19239888]
- Vagin VV, et al. A distinct small RNA pathway silences selfish genetic elements in the germline. *Science.* 2006; 313:320–324. [PubMed: 16809489]
- Brennecke J, et al. Discrete small RNA-generating loci as master regulators of transposon activity in *Drosophila*. *Cell.* 2007; 128:1089–1103. [PubMed: 17346786]
- Pak J, Fire A. Distinct populations of primary and secondary effectors during RNAi in *C. elegans*. *Science.* 2007; 315:241–244. [PubMed: 17124291]
- Sijen T, Steiner FA, Thijssen KL, Plasterk RH. Secondary siRNAs result from unprimed RNA synthesis and form a distinct class. *Science.* 2007; 315:244–247. [PubMed: 17158288]
- Houwing S, et al. A role for Piwi and piRNAs in germ cell maintenance and transposon silencing in zebrafish. *Cell.* 2007; 129:69–82. [PubMed: 17418787]
- Yigit E, et al. Analysis of the *C. elegans* Argonaute family reveals that distinct Argonautes act sequentially during RNAi. *Cell.* 2006; 127:747–757. [PubMed: 17110334]
- Tolia NH, Joshua-Tor L. Slicer and the Argonautes. *Nature Chem. Biol.* 2007; 3:36–43. [PubMed: 17173028]
- Hutvagner G, Simard MJ. Argonaute proteins: key players in RNA silencing. *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2008; 9:22–32. [PubMed: 18073770]
- Miyoshi K, Tsukumo H, Nagami T, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Slicer function of *Drosophila* Argonautes and its involvement in RISC formation. *Genes Dev.* 2005; 19:2837–2848. [PubMed: 16287716]
- Rand TA, Petersen S, Du F, Wang X. Argonaute2 cleaves the anti-guide strand of siRNA during RISC activation. *Cell.* 2005; 123:621–629. [PubMed: 16271385]
- Matranga C, Tomari Y, Shin C, Bartel DP, Zamore PD. Passenger-strand cleavage facilitates assembly of siRNA into Ago2-containing RNAi enzyme complexes. *Cell.* 2005; 123:607–620.

[PubMed: 16271386] References 12-14 described passenger strand cleavage and showed that Argonaute proteins themselves function in RISC maturation.

15. Fabian MR, Sonenberg N, Filipowicz W. Regulation of mRNA translation and stability by microRNAs. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2010; 79:351–379. [PubMed: 20533884]
16. Bartel DP. MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell.* 2009; 136:215–233. [PubMed: 19167326]
17. Brodersen P, Voinnet O. Revisiting the principles of microRNA target recognition and mode of action. *Nature Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* 2009; 10:141–148. [PubMed: 19145236]
18. Rodriguez A, Griffiths-Jones S, Ashurst JL, Bradley A. Identification of mammalian microRNA host genes and transcription units. *Genome Res.* 2004; 14:1902–1910. [PubMed: 15364901]
19. Lee Y, et al. MicroRNA genes are transcribed by RNA polymerase II. *EMBO J.* 2004; 23:4051–4060. [PubMed: 15372072]
20. Cai X, Hagedorn CH, Cullen BR. Human microRNAs are processed from capped, polyadenylated transcripts that can also function as mRNAs. *RNA.* 2004; 10:1957–1966. [PubMed: 15525708]
21. Denli AM, Tops BB, Plasterk RH, Ketting RF, Hannon GJ. Processing of primary microRNAs by the Microprocessor complex. *Nature.* 2004; 432:231–235. [PubMed: 15531879]
22. Gregory RI, et al. The Microprocessor complex mediates the genesis of microRNAs. *Nature.* 2004; 432:235–240. [PubMed: 15531877]
23. Han J, et al. The Drosha–DGCR8 complex in primary microRNA processing. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18:3016–3027. [PubMed: 15574589]
24. Landthaler M, Yalcin A, Tuschl T. The human DiGeorge syndrome critical region gene 8 and its *D. melanogaster* homolog are required for miRNA biogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* 2004; 14:2162–2167. [PubMed: 15589161]
25. Han J, et al. Molecular basis for the recognition of primary microRNAs by the Drosha–DGCR8 complex. *Cell.* 2006; 125:887–901. [PubMed: 16751099]
26. Bohnsack MT, Czapinski K, Gorlich D. Exportin 5 is a RanGTP-dependent dsRNA-binding protein that mediates nuclear export of pre-miRNAs. *RNA.* 2004; 10:185–191. [PubMed: 14730017]
27. Lund E, Guttinger S, Calado A, Dahlberg JE, Kutay U. Nuclear export of microRNA precursors. *Science.* 2004; 303:95–98. [PubMed: 14631048]
28. Yi R, Qin Y, Macara IG, Cullen BR. Exportin-5 mediates the nuclear export of pre-microRNAs and short hairpin RNAs. *Genes Dev.* 2003; 17:3011–3016. [PubMed: 14681208]
29. Bussing I, Yang JS, Lai EC, Grosshans H. The nuclear export receptor XPO-1 supports primary miRNA processing in *C. elegans* and *Drosophila*. *EMBO J.* 2010; 29:1830–1839. [PubMed: 20436454]
30. Gruber JJ, et al. Ars2 links the nuclear cap-binding complex to RNA interference and cell proliferation. *Cell.* 2009; 138:328–339. [PubMed: 19632182]
31. Sabin LR, et al. Ars2 regulates both miRNA- and siRNA-dependent silencing and suppresses RNA virus infection in *Drosophila*. *Cell.* 2009; 138:340–351. [PubMed: 19632183]
32. Bernstein E, Caudy AA, Hammond SM, Hannon GJ. Role for a bidentate ribonuclease in the initiation step of RNA interference. *Nature.* 2001; 409:363–366. [PubMed: 11201747] This paper identified the ribonuclease Dicer as the dsRNA processing enzyme.
33. Grishok A, et al. Genes and mechanisms related to RNA interference regulate expression of the small temporal RNAs that control *C. elegans* developmental timing. *Cell.* 2001; 106:23–34. [PubMed: 11461699]
34. Hutvagner G, et al. A cellular function for the RNA-interference enzyme Dicer in the maturation of the let-7 small temporal RNA. *Science.* 2001; 293:834–838. [PubMed: 11452083]
35. Ketting RF, et al. Dicer functions in RNA interference and in synthesis of small RNA involved in developmental timing in *C. elegans*. *Genes Dev.* 2001; 15:2654–2659. [PubMed: 11641272]
36. Haase AD, et al. TRBP, a regulator of cellular PKR and HIV-1 virus expression, interacts with Dicer and functions in RNA silencing. *EMBO Rep.* 2005; 6:961–967. [PubMed: 16142218]
37. Chendrimada TP, et al. TRBP recruits the Dicer complex to Ago2 for microRNA processing and gene silencing. *Nature.* 2005; 436:740–744. [PubMed: 15973356]

38. Saito K, Ishizuka A, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Processing of pre-microRNAs by the Dicer-1–Loquacious complex in *Drosophila* cells. *PLoS Biol.* 2005; 3:e235. [PubMed: 15918769]
39. Jiang F, et al. Dicer-1 and R3D1-L catalyze microRNA maturation in *Drosophila*. *Genes Dev.* 2005; 19:1674–1679. [PubMed: 15985611]
40. Forstemann K, et al. Normal microRNA maturation and germ-line stem cell maintenance requires Loquacious, a double-stranded RNA-binding domain protein. *PLoS Biol.* 2005; 3:e236. [PubMed: 15918770]
41. Park JK, Liu X, Strauss TJ, McKearin DM, Liu Q. The miRNA pathway intrinsically controls self-renewal of *Drosophila* germline stem cells. *Curr. Biol.* 2007; 17:533–538. [PubMed: 17320391]
42. Zhou R, et al. Processing of *Drosophila* endo-siRNAs depends on a specific Loquacious isoform. *RNA.* 2009; 15:1886–1895. [PubMed: 19635780]
43. Berezikov E, Chung WJ, Willis J, Cuppen E, Lai EC. Mammalian mirtron genes. *Mol. Cell.* 2007; 28:328–336. [PubMed: 17964270]
44. Okamura K, Hagen JW, Duan H, Tyler DM, Lai EC. The mirtron pathway generates microRNA-class regulatory RNAs in *Drosophila*. *Cell.* 2007; 130:89–100. [PubMed: 17599402]
45. Ruby JG, Jan CH, Bartel DP. Intronic microRNA precursors that bypass Drosha processing. *Nature.* 2007; 448:83–86. [PubMed: 17589500]
46. Flynt AS, Greimann JC, Chung WJ, Lima CD, Lai EC. MicroRNA biogenesis via splicing and exosome-mediated trimming in *Drosophila*. *Mol. Cell.* 2010; 38:900–907. [PubMed: 20620959]
47. Yu B, et al. The FHA domain proteins DAWDLE in *Arabidopsis* and SNIP1 in humans act in small RNA biogenesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.* 2008; 105:10073–10078. [PubMed: 18632581]
48. Reinhart BJ, Weinstein EG, Rhoades MW, Bartel B, Bartel DP. MicroRNAs in plants. *Genes Dev.* 2002; 16:1616–1626. [PubMed: 12101121]
49. Park W, Li J, Song R, Messing J, Chen X. CARPEL FACTORY, a Dicer homolog, and HEN1, a novel protein, act in microRNA metabolism in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Curr. Biol.* 2002; 12:1484–1495. [PubMed: 12225663]
50. Henderson IR, et al. Dissecting *Arabidopsis thaliana* DICER function in small RNA processing, gene silencing and DNA methylation patterning. *Nature Genet.* 2006; 38:721–725. [PubMed: 16699516]
51. Kurihara Y, Watanabe Y. *Arabidopsis* micro-RNA biogenesis through Dicer-like 1 protein functions. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.* 2004; 101:12753–12758. [PubMed: 15314213]
52. Han MH, Goud S, Song L, Fedoroff N. The *Arabidopsis* double-stranded RNA-binding protein HYL1 plays a role in microRNA-mediated gene regulation. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.* 2004; 101:1093–1098. [PubMed: 14722360]
53. Kurihara Y, Takashi Y, Watanabe Y. The interaction between DCL1 and HYL1 is important for efficient and precise processing of pri-miRNA in plant microRNA biogenesis. *RNA.* 2006; 12:206–212. [PubMed: 16428603]
54. Vazquez F, Gascioli V, Crete P, Vaucheret H. The nuclear dsRNA binding protein HYL1 is required for microRNA accumulation and plant development, but not posttranscriptional transgene silencing. *Curr. Biol.* 2004; 14:346–351. [PubMed: 14972688]
55. Dong Z, Han MH, Fedoroff N. The RNA-binding proteins HYL1 and SE promote accurate *in vitro* processing of pri-miRNA by DCL1. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.* 2008; 105:9970–9975. [PubMed: 18632569]
56. Lobbes D, Rallapalli G, Schmidt DD, Martin C, Clarke J. SERRATE: a new player on the plant microRNA scene. *EMBO Rep.* 2006; 7:1052–1058. [PubMed: 16977334]
57. Yang L, Liu Z, Lu F, Dong A, Huang H. SERRATE is a novel nuclear regulator in primary microRNA processing in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 2006; 47:841–850. [PubMed: 16889646]
58. Laubinger S, et al. Dual roles of the nuclear cap-binding complex and SERRATE in pre-mRNA splicing and microRNA processing in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.* 2008; 105:8795–8800. [PubMed: 18550839]
59. Gregory BD, et al. A link between RNA metabolism and silencing affecting *Arabidopsis* development. *Dev. Cell.* 2008; 14:854–866. [PubMed: 18486559]

60. Li J, Yang Z, Yu B, Liu J, Chen X. Methylation protects miRNAs and siRNAs from a 3'-end uridylation activity in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr. Biol.* 2005; 15:1501–1507. [PubMed: 16111943]
61. Yang Z, Ebright YW, Yu B, Chen X. HEN1 recognizes 21–24 nt small RNA duplexes and deposits a methyl group onto the 2' OH of the 3' terminal nucleotide. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2006; 34:667–675. [PubMed: 16449203]
62. Yu B, et al. Methylation as a crucial step in plant microRNA biogenesis. *Science.* 2005; 307:932–935. [PubMed: 15705854]
63. Ramachandran V, Chen X. Degradation of microRNAs by a family of exoribonucleases in *Arabidopsis*. *Science.* 2008; 321:1490–1492. [PubMed: 18787168]
64. Ameres SL, et al. Target RNA-directed trimming and tailing of small silencing RNAs. *Science.* 2010; 328:1534–1539. [PubMed: 20558712]
65. Park MY, Wu G, Gonzalez-Sulser A, Vaucheret H, Poethig RS. Nuclear processing and export of microRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.* 2005; 102:3691–3696. [PubMed: 15738428]
66. Eamens AL, Smith NA, Curtin SJ, Wang MB, Waterhouse PM. The *Arabidopsis thaliana* double-stranded RNA binding protein DRB1 directs guide strand selection from microRNA duplexes. *RNA.* 2009; 15:2219–2235. [PubMed: 19861421]
67. Hamilton AJ, Baulcombe DC. A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene silencing in plants. *Science.* 1999; 286:950–952. [PubMed: 10542148] This seminal report was the first to link small RNAs to post-transcriptional gene silencing.
68. Lee YS, et al. Distinct roles for *Drosophila* Dicer-1 and Dicer-2 in the siRNA/miRNA silencing pathways. *Cell.* 2004; 117:69–81. [PubMed: 15066283]
69. Liu Q, et al. R2D2, a bridge between the initiation and effector steps of the *Drosophila* RNAi pathway. *Science.* 2003; 301:1921–1925. [PubMed: 14512631]
70. Hartig JV, Esslinger S, Bottcher R, Saito K, Forstemann K. Endo-siRNAs depend on a new isoform of Loquacious and target artificially introduced, high-copy sequences. *EMBO J.* 2009; 28:2932–2944. [PubMed: 19644447]
71. Miyoshi K, Miyoshi T, Hartig JV, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Molecular mechanisms that funnel RNA precursors into endogenous small-interfering RNA and microRNA biogenesis pathways in *Drosophila*. *RNA.* 2010; 16:506–515. [PubMed: 20086050]
72. Marques JT, et al. Loqs and R2D2 act sequentially in the siRNA pathway in *Drosophila*. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2010; 17:24–30. [PubMed: 20037596]
73. Ghildiyal M, et al. Endogenous siRNAs derived from transposons and mRNAs in *Drosophila* somatic cells. *Science.* 2008; 320:1077–1081. [PubMed: 18403677]
74. Okamura K, et al. The *Drosophila* hairpin RNA pathway generates endogenous short interfering RNAs. *Nature.* 2008; 453:803–806. [PubMed: 18463630]
75. Kawamura Y, et al. *Drosophila* endogenous small RNAs bind to Argonaute 2 in somatic cells. *Nature.* 2008; 453:793–797. [PubMed: 18463636]
76. Czech B, et al. An endogenous small interfering RNA pathway in *Drosophila*. *Nature.* 2008; 453:798–802. [PubMed: 18463631]
77. Chung WJ, Okamura K, Martin R, Lai EC. Endogenous RNA interference provides a somatic defense against *Drosophila* transposons. *Curr. Biol.* 2008; 18:795–802. [PubMed: 18501606]
78. Lipardi C, Paterson BM. Identification of an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase in *Drosophila* involved in RNAi and transposon suppression. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA.* 2009; 106:15645–15650. [PubMed: 19805217]
79. Tam OH, et al. Pseudogene-derived small interfering RNAs regulate gene expression in mouse oocytes. *Nature.* 2008; 453:534–538. [PubMed: 18404147]
80. Watanabe T, et al. Endogenous siRNAs from naturally formed dsRNAs regulate transcripts in mouse oocytes. *Nature.* 2008; 453:539–543. [PubMed: 18404146]
81. Babiarz JE, Ruby JG, Wang Y, Bartel DP, Blelloch R. Mouse ES cells express endogenous shRNAs, siRNAs, and other Microprocessor-independent, Dicer-dependent small RNAs. *Genes Dev.* 2008; 22:2773–2785. [PubMed: 18923076]

82. Knight SW, Bass BL. A role for the RNase III enzyme DCR-1 in RNA interference and germ line development in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science*. 2001; 293:2269–2271. [PubMed: 11486053]
83. Steiner FA, Okihara KL, Hoogstrate SW, Sijen T, Ketting RF. RDE-1 slicer activity is required only for passenger-strand cleavage during RNAi in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2009; 16:207–211. [PubMed: 19151723]
84. Vazquez F, et al. Endogenous trans-acting siRNAs regulate the accumulation of *Arabidopsis* mRNAs. *Mol. Cell*. 2004; 16:69–79. [PubMed: 15469823]
85. Peragine A, Yoshikawa M, Wu G, Albrecht HL, Poethig RS. SGS3 and SGS2/SDE1/RDR6 are required for juvenile development and the production of *trans*-acting siRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18:2368–2379. [PubMed: 15466488]
86. Yoshikawa M, Peragine A, Park MY, Poethig RS. A pathway for the biogenesis of *trans*-acting siRNAs in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* 2005; 19:2164–2175. [PubMed: 16131612]
87. Williams L, Carles CC, Osmont KS, Fletcher JC. A database analysis method identifies an endogenous *trans*-acting short-interfering RNA that targets the *Arabidopsis* *ARF2*, *ARF3*, and *ARF4* genes. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*. 2005; 102:9703–9708. [PubMed: 15980147]
88. Allen E, Xie Z, Gustafson AM, Carrington JC. microRNA-directed phasing during *trans*-acting siRNA biogenesis in plants. *Cell*. 2005; 121:207–221. [PubMed: 15851028]
89. Axtell MJ, Jan C, Rajagopalan R, Bartel DP. A two-hit trigger for siRNA biogenesis in plants. *Cell*. 2006; 127:565–577. [PubMed: 17081978]
90. Montgomery TA, et al. Specificity of ARGONAUTE7-miR390 interaction and dual functionality in TAS3 *trans*-acting siRNA formation. *Cell*. 2008; 133:128–141. [PubMed: 18342362]
91. Hernandez-Pinzon I, et al. SDE5, the putative homologue of a human mRNA export factor, is required for transgene silencing and accumulation of *trans*-acting endogenous siRNA. *Plant J.* 2007; 50:140–148. [PubMed: 17397509]
92. Borsani O, Zhu J, Verslues PE, Sunkar R, Zhu JK. Endogenous siRNAs derived from a pair of natural *cis*-antisense transcripts regulate salt tolerance in *Arabidopsis*. *Cell*. 2005; 123:1279–1291. [PubMed: 16377568]
93. Katiyar-Agarwal S, et al. A pathogen-inducible endogenous siRNA in plant immunity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*. 2006; 103:18002–18007. [PubMed: 17071740]
94. Henz SR, et al. Distinct expression patterns of natural antisense transcripts in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol.* 2007; 144:1247–1255. [PubMed: 17496106]
95. Xie Z, et al. Genetic and functional diversification of small RNA pathways in plants. *PLoS Biol.* 2004; 2:e104. [PubMed: 15024409]
96. Chan SW, et al. RNA silencing genes control *de novo* DNA methylation. *Science*. 2004; 303:1336. [PubMed: 14988555]
97. Onodera Y, et al. Plant nuclear RNA polymerase IV mediates siRNA and DNA methylation-dependent heterochromatin formation. *Cell*. 2005; 120:613–622. [PubMed: 15766525]
98. Herr AJ, Jensen MB, Dalmay T, Baulcombe DC. RNA polymerase IV directs silencing of endogenous DNA. *Science*. 2005; 308:118–120. [PubMed: 15692015]
99. Pontier D, et al. Reinforcement of silencing at transposons and highly repeated sequences requires the concerted action of two distinct RNA polymerases IV in *Arabidopsis*. *Genes Dev.* 2005; 19:2030–2040. [PubMed: 16140984]
100. Kasschau KD, et al. Genome-wide profiling and analysis of *Arabidopsis* siRNAs. *PLoS Biol.* 2007; 5:e57. [PubMed: 17298187]
101. Smith LM, et al. An SNF2 protein associated with nuclear RNA silencing and the spread of a silencing signal between cells in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell*. 2007; 19:1507–1521. [PubMed: 17526749]
102. Gregory RI, Chendrimada TP, Cooch N, Shiekhattar R. Human RISC couples microRNA biogenesis and posttranscriptional gene silencing. *Cell*. 2005; 123:631–640. [PubMed: 16271387]
103. Maniataki E, Mourelatos Z. A human, ATP-independent, RISC assembly machine fueled by pre-miRNA. *Genes Dev.* 2005; 19:2979–2990. [PubMed: 16357216]

104. Khvorova A, Reynolds A, Jayasena SD. Functional siRNAs and miRNAs exhibit strand bias. *Cell*. 2003; 115:209–216. [PubMed: 14567918]
105. Schwarz DS, et al. Asymmetry in the assembly of the RNAi enzyme complex. *Cell*. 2003; 115:199–208. [PubMed: 14567917] References 104 and 105 identified intrinsic determinants in small RNA duplexes that affect their sorting into Argonaute complexes.
106. Ghildiyal M, Xu J, Seitz H, Weng Z, Zamore PD. Sorting of *Drosophila* small silencing RNAs partitions microRNA* strands into the RNA interference pathway. *RNA*. 2010; 16:43–56. [PubMed: 19917635]
107. Czech B, et al. Hierarchical rules for Argonaute loading in *Drosophila*. *Mol. Cell*. 2009; 36:445–456. [PubMed: 19917252]
108. Lau NC, Lim LP, Weinstein EG, Bartel DP. An abundant class of tiny RNAs with probable regulatory roles in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Science*. 2001; 294:858–862. [PubMed: 11679671]
109. Hu HY, et al. Sequence features associated with microRNA strand selection in humans and flies. *BMC Genomics*. 2009; 10:413. [PubMed: 19732433]
110. Okamura K, Liu N, Lai EC. Distinct mechanisms for microRNA strand selection by *Drosophila* Argonautes. *Mol. Cell*. 2009; 36:431–444. [PubMed: 19917251]
111. Frank F, Sonenberg N, Nagar B. Structural basis for 5′-nucleotide base-specific recognition of guide RNA by human AGO2. *Nature*. 2010; 465:818–822. [PubMed: 20505670] This recent study found structural evidence in Argonaute proteins that explains 5′ terminal nucleotide biases.
112. Mi S, et al. Sorting of small RNAs into *Arabidopsis* Argonaute complexes is directed by the 5′ terminal nucleotide. *Cell*. 2008; 133:116–127. [PubMed: 18342361] Together with reference 90, this paper described the identification of 5′ terminal nucleotides as sorting determinants in plants.
113. Forstemann K, Horwich MD, Wee L, Tomari Y, Zamore PD. *Drosophila* microRNAs are sorted into functionally distinct Argonaute complexes after production by Dicer-1. *Cell*. 2007; 130:287–297. [PubMed: 17662943]
114. Ameres SL, Hung J-H, Xu J, Weng Z, Zamore PD. Target RNA-directed tailing and trimming purifies the sorting of endo-siRNAs between the two *Drosophila* Argonaute proteins. *RNA*. in the press.
115. Steiner FA, et al. Structural features of small RNA precursors determine Argonaute loading in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2007; 14:927–933. [PubMed: 17891148]
116. Jannot G, Boisvert ME, Banville IH, Simard MJ. Two molecular features contribute to the Argonaute specificity for the microRNA and RNAi pathways in *C. elegans*. *RNA*. 2008; 14:829–835. [PubMed: 18367718]
117. Meister G, et al. Human Argonaute2 mediates RNA cleavage targeted by miRNAs and siRNAs. *Mol. Cell*. 2004; 15:185–197. [PubMed: 15260970]
118. Yoda M, et al. ATP-dependent human RISC assembly pathways. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol.* 2010; 17:17–23. [PubMed: 19966796]
119. Liu J, et al. Argonaute2 is the catalytic engine of mammalian RNAi. *Science*. 2004; 305:1437–1441. [PubMed: 15284456]
120. Wu L, et al. Rice microRNA effector complexes and targets. *Plant Cell*. 2009; 21:3421–3435. [PubMed: 19903869]
121. Takeda A, Iwasaki S, Watanabe T, Utsumi M, Watanabe Y. The mechanism selecting the guide strand from small RNA duplexes is different among Argonaute proteins. *Plant Cell Physiol.* 2008; 49:493–500. [PubMed: 18344228]
122. Yang JS, et al. Conserved vertebrate mir-451 provides a platform for Dicer-independent, Ago2-mediated microRNA biogenesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*. 2010; 107:15163–15168. [PubMed: 20699384]
123. Cheloufi S, Dos Santos CO, Chong MM, Hannon GJ. A Dicer-independent miRNA biogenesis pathway that requires Ago catalysis. *Nature*. 2010; 465:584–589. [PubMed: 20424607]
124. Cifuentes D, et al. A novel miRNA processing pathway independent of Dicer requires Argonaute2 catalytic activity. *Science*. 2010; 328:1694–1698. [PubMed: 20448148]

125. Diederichs S, Haber DA. Dual role for Argonautes in microRNA processing and posttranscriptional regulation of microRNA expression. *Cell*. 2007; 131:1097–1108. [PubMed: 18083100]
126. Tan GS, et al. Expanded RNA-binding activities of mammalian Argonaute 2. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2009; 37:7533–7545. [PubMed: 19808937]
127. Das PP, et al. Piwi and piRNAs act upstream of an endogenous siRNA pathway to suppress Tc3 transposon mobility in the *Caenorhabditis elegans* germline. *Mol. Cell*. 2008; 31:79–90. [PubMed: 18571451]
128. Batista PJ, et al. PRG-1 and 21U-RNAs interact to form the piRNA complex required for fertility in *C. elegans*. *Mol. Cell*. 2008; 31:67–78. [PubMed: 18571452]
129. Nykanen A, Haley B, Zamore PD. ATP requirements and small interfering RNA structure in the RNA interference pathway. *Cell*. 2001; 107:309–321. [PubMed: 11701122]
130. Tomari Y, et al. RISC assembly defects in the *Drosophila* RNAi mutant *armitage*. *Cell*. 2004; 116:831–841. [PubMed: 15035985]
131. Pham JW, Pellino JL, Lee YS, Carthew RW, Sontheimer EJ. A Dicer-2-dependent 80s complex cleaves targeted mRNAs during RNAi in *Drosophila*. *Cell*. 2004; 117:83–94. [PubMed: 15066284]
132. Kawamata T, Seitz H, Tomari Y. Structural determinants of miRNAs for RISC loading and slicer-independent unwinding. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol*. 2009; 16:953–960. [PubMed: 19684602]
133. Johnston M, Geoffroy MC, Sobala A, Hay R, Hutvagner G. HSP90 protein stabilizes unloaded argonaute complexes and microscopic P-bodies in human cells. *Mol. Biol. Cell*. 2010; 21:1462–1469. [PubMed: 20237157]
134. Iki T, et al. *In vitro* assembly of plant RNA-induced silencing complexes facilitated by molecular chaperone HSP90. *Mol. Cell*. 2010; 39:282–291. [PubMed: 20605502]
135. Iwasaki S, et al. Hsc70/Hsp90 chaperone machinery mediates ATP-dependent RISC loading of small RNA duplexes. *Mol. Cell*. 2010; 39:292–299. [PubMed: 20605501]
136. Miyoshi T, Takeuchi A, Siomi H, Siomi MC. A direct role for Hsp90 in pre-RISC formation in *Drosophila*. *Nature Struct. Mol. Biol*. 2010; 17:1024–1026. [PubMed: 20639883]
137. Tomari Y, Du T, Zamore PD. Sorting of *Drosophila* small silencing RNAs. *Cell*. 2007; 130:299–308. [PubMed: 17662944] References 113, 118, 132 and 137 made important contributions to understanding small RNA sorting and showed that miRNA maturation is independent of cleavage activity.
138. Liu X, Jiang F, Kalidas S, Smith D, Liu Q. Dicer-2 and R2D2 coordinately bind siRNA to promote assembly of the siRISC complexes. *RNA*. 2006; 12:1514–1520. [PubMed: 16775303]
139. Tomari Y, Matranga C, Haley B, Martinez N, Zamore PD. A protein sensor for siRNA asymmetry. *Science*. 2004; 306:1377–1380. [PubMed: 15550672]
140. Liu Y, et al. C3PO, an endoribonuclease that promotes RNAi by facilitating RISC activation. *Science*. 2009; 325:750–753. [PubMed: 19661431]
141. Miyoshi K, Okada TN, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Characterization of the miRNA–RISC loading complex and miRNA–RISC formed in the *Drosophila* miRNA pathway. *RNA*. 2009; 15:1282–1291. [PubMed: 19451544]
142. Horwich MD, et al. The *Drosophila* RNA methyltransferase, DmHen1, modifies germline piRNAs and single-stranded siRNAs in RISC. *Curr. Biol*. 2007; 17:1265–1272. [PubMed: 17604629]
143. Saito K, Sakaguchi Y, Suzuki T, Siomi H, Siomi MC. Pimet, the *Drosophila* homolog of HEN1, mediates 2'-O-methylation of Piwi-interacting RNAs at their 3' ends. *Genes Dev*. 2007; 21:1603–1608. [PubMed: 17606638]
144. Davis E, et al. RNAi-mediated allelic *trans*-interaction at the imprinted *Rtl1/Peg11* locus. *Curr. Biol*. 2005; 15:743–749. [PubMed: 15854907]
145. Yekta S, Shih IH, Bartel DP. MicroRNA-directed cleavage of *HOXB8* mRNA. *Science*. 2004; 304:594–596. [PubMed: 15105502]
146. Rhoades MW, et al. Prediction of plant microRNA targets. *Cell*. 2002; 110:513–520. [PubMed: 12202040]

147. Brodersen P, et al. Widespread translational inhibition by plant miRNAs and siRNAs. *Science*. 2008; 320:1185–1190. [PubMed: 18483398]
148. Wierzbicki AT, Ream TS, Haag JR, Pikaard CS. RNA polymerase V transcription guides ARGONAUTE4 to chromatin. *Nature Genet*. 2009; 41:630–634. [PubMed: 19377477]
149. Matzke M, Kanno T, Daxinger L, Huettel B, Matzke AJ. RNA-mediated chromatin-based silencing in plants. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol*. 2009; 21:367–376. [PubMed: 19243928]
150. Chan SW, Henderson IR, Jacobsen SE. Gardening the genome: DNA methylation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nature Rev. Genet*. 2005; 6:351–360. [PubMed: 15861207]
151. Mosher RA, Schwach F, Studholme D, Baulcombe DC. PolIVb influences RNA-directed DNA methylation independently of its role in siRNA biogenesis. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*. 2008; 105:3145–3150. [PubMed: 18287047]
152. Yin H, Lin H. An epigenetic activation role of Piwi and a Piwi-associated piRNA in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Nature*. 2007; 450:304–308. [PubMed: 17952056]
153. Carmell MA, et al. MIWI2 is essential for spermatogenesis and repression of transposons in the mouse male germline. *Dev. Cell*. 2007; 12:503–514. [PubMed: 17395546]
154. Aravin AA, et al. A piRNA pathway primed by individual transposons is linked to *de novo* DNA methylation in mice. *Mol. Cell*. 2008; 31:785–799. [PubMed: 18922463]
155. Guang S, et al. Small regulatory RNAs inhibit RNA polymerase II during the elongation phase of transcription. *Nature*. 2010; 465:1097–1101. [PubMed: 20543824]
156. Werner S, Wollmann H, Schneeberger K, Weigel D. Structure determinants for accurate processing of miR172a in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Curr. Biol*. 2010; 20:42–48. [PubMed: 20015654]
157. Mateos JL, Bologna NG, Chorostecki U, Palatnik JF. Identification of microRNA processing determinants by random mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis* MIR172a precursor. *Curr. Biol*. 2010; 20:49–54. [PubMed: 20005105]
158. Song L, Axtell MJ, Fedoroff NV. RNA secondary structural determinants of miRNA precursor processing in *Arabidopsis*. *Curr. Biol*. 2010; 20:37–41. [PubMed: 20015653]
159. Cuperus JT, et al. Identification of MIR390a precursor processing-defective mutants in *Arabidopsis* by direct genome sequencing. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*. 2010; 107:466–471. [PubMed: 20018656]
160. Bologna NG, Mateos JL, Bresso EG, Palatnik JF. A loop-to-base processing mechanism underlies the biogenesis of plant microRNAs miR319 and miR159. *EMBO J*. 2009; 28:3646–3656. [PubMed: 19816405]
161. Wang Y, et al. Structure of an Argonaute silencing complex with a seed-containing guide DNA and target RNA duplex. *Nature*. 2008; 456:921–926. [PubMed: 19092929]
162. Song JJ, et al. The crystal structure of the Argonaute2 PAZ domain reveals an RNA binding motif in RNAi effector complexes. *Nature Struct. Biol*. 2003; 10:1026–1032. [PubMed: 14625589]
163. Ma JB, Ye K, Patel DJ. Structural basis for overhang-specific small interfering RNA recognition by the PAZ domain. *Nature*. 2004; 429:318–322. [PubMed: 15152257]
164. Ma JB, et al. Structural basis for 5'-end-specific recognition of guide RNA by the *A. fulgidus* Piwi protein. *Nature*. 2005; 434:666–670. [PubMed: 15800629]
165. Parker JS, Roe SM, Barford D. Structural insights into mRNA recognition from a PIWI domain–siRNA guide complex. *Nature*. 2005; 434:663–666. [PubMed: 15800628]
166. Wang Y, Sheng G, Juranek S, Tuschl T, Patel DJ. Structure of the guide-strand-containing Argonaute silencing complex. *Nature*. 2008; 456:209–213. [PubMed: 18754009]
167. Wang Y, et al. Nucleation, propagation and cleavage of target RNAs in Ago silencing complexes. *Nature*. 2009; 461:754–761. [PubMed: 19812667]
168. Song JJ, Smith SK, Hannon GJ, Joshua-Tor L. Crystal structure of Argonaute and its implications for RISC slicer activity. *Science*. 2004; 305:1434–1437. [PubMed: 15284453]
169. Parker JS, Roe SM, Barford D. Crystal structure of a PIWI protein suggests mechanisms for siRNA recognition and slicer activity. *EMBO J*. 2004; 23:4727–4737. [PubMed: 15565169]

170. Yuan YR, et al. Crystal structure of *A. aeolicus* Argonaute, a site-specific DNA-guided endoribonuclease, provides insights into RISC-mediated mRNA cleavage. *Mol. Cell.* 2005; 19:405–419. [PubMed: 16061186]
171. Schwarz DS, Tomari Y, Zamore PD. The RNA-induced silencing complex is a Mg^{2+} -dependent endonuclease. *Curr. Biol.* 2004; 14:787–791. [PubMed: 15120070]
172. Martinez J, Tuschl T. RISC is a 5' phosphomonoester-producing RNA endonuclease. *Genes Dev.* 2004; 18:975–980. [PubMed: 15105377]
173. Iwasaki S, Kawamata T, Tomari Y. *Drosophila* Argonaute1 and Argonaute2 employ distinct mechanisms for translational repression. *Mol. Cell.* 2009; 34:58–67. [PubMed: 19268617]
174. Guo H, Ingolia NT, Weissman JS, Bartel DP. Mammalian microRNAs predominantly act to decrease target mRNA levels. *Nature.* 2010; 466:835–840. [PubMed: 20703300]

Box 1**Plant microRNA-processing mechanisms**

Plant microRNAs (miRNAs) are generally produced by sequential rounds of Dicing. This is necessitated by the lack of a Drosha orthologue. The extensive nature of the hairpins that lead to many plant miRNAs also permits phased production of multiple small RNA duplexes through sequential Dicing events, conceptually the plant version of long hairpin endogenous small interfering RNA (siRNA) precursors or miRNA polycistrons in animals. **a** | Usually, consecutive Dicing proceeds from the base of the stem-loop. The secondary structure of the primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) flanking the mature miR:miR* duplex is important for proper and efficient processing, analogous to the proposed role of the 'basal stem' of animal pri-miRNAs¹⁵⁶⁻¹⁵⁹. Accurate processing depends on a region of imperfect pairing (junction between ssRNA and dsRNA) approximately 15 nucleotides (nt) from the miR:miR* duplex (towards the free end of the stem-loop), which localizes DICER-LIKE 1 (DCL1) to its initial cleavage site. This liberates an intermediate similar to animal precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs), which is further processed by DCL1 into the mature miR:miR* duplex. **b** | Variations in processing mechanisms are possible. For example, miR319 and miR159 (both with conserved long precursors) are produced by an unusual loop-to-stem mechanism. Following the first cleavage of the loop by DCL1, consecutive cuts by DCL1 are necessary to release the mature miRNA duplex¹⁶⁰. CBC, cap-binding complex; DDL, DAWDLE; HEN1, HUA ENHANCER 1; HYL1, HYPONASTIC LEAVES 1; SE, SERRATE.

Box 2**Structural determinants of Argonaute proteins for small RNA sorting**

Argonaute (AGO) proteins provide numerous possibilities for RNA–protein interactions that might underlie the proposed determinants of small RNA strand sorting. The interaction between AGOs and small RNAs occurs through several contact points in three characteristic domains of the protein: the PAZ, Mid and PIWI domains (**a** and **b**; part **b** shows a stereo view of the crystal structure of *Thermus thermophilus* AGO bound to a guide DNA–target RNA duplex¹⁶¹).

The PAZ domain hosts the 3′ end of the small RNA^{162,163}, whereas the Mid domain forms a binding pocket that anchors the 5′ phosphate of the terminal nucleotide of the small RNA^{111,161,164–167}. These interactions provide opportunities for base-specific contacts that might provide preferences for 5′ nucleotides or might encourage the loading of duplexes with unstable 5′ ends. Whereas plant, fly and worm microRNAs (miRNAs) show a strong tendency to start with U, human miRNAs are biased towards U or A as 5′ terminal nucleotides^{73,76,106–109,111,112}. Recent work provides structural evidence for nucleotide-specific interactions in the Mid domain of human AGO2 that ensure the preference for a 5′ terminal U (or A), while excluding G or C through a nucleotide specificity loop¹¹¹. Interestingly, this structure is well conserved in all four human AGO proteins as well as in *Drosophila melanogaster* AGO1 or the worm miRNA acceptors ALG-1 and ALG-2. By contrast, AGO proteins that function in other small RNA pathways, such as *D. melanogaster* AGO2 or plant AGOs, lack this nucleotide specificity loop¹¹¹. Whether the region corresponding to the nucleotide specificity loop in these distant proteins contributes to sorting of small RNAs, depending on the 5′ nucleotide or not, awaits further structural investigation.

The PIWI domain, which shows similarity to RNase H folds, harbours the residues required for catalytic activity (in AGO protein usually Asp–Asp–His). Thus, cleavage-competent AGO proteins carry out endonucleolytic cleavage of target transcripts through their PIWI domain^{164,168–170}. Cleavage products of AGO enzymes feature 3′ hydroxyl and 5′ phosphate ends^{171,172}.

Panel **b** is reproduced from Ref. 161 © (2008) Macmillan Publishers Ltd. All rights reserved.

Box 3**Non-canonical biogenesis and loading of small RNAs**

It had been reported in the literature that precursor-microRNA (pre-miRNA) hairpins are sometimes directly loaded into RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC) instead of being funnelled into the canonical Dicer-dependent biogenesis pathway^{118,125,126}. Recently, it was shown that this strategy is actually used as a biogenesis mechanism by a conserved vertebrate miRNA, miR-451 (REFS 122-124). Like other endogenous miRNAs, mir-451 is synthesized by RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) as a polycistronic transcript together with mir-144 (see the figure above). This primary miRNA (pri-miRNA) is initially processed by the Microprocessor (Drosha–Pasha complex) through the canonical biogenesis pathway. However, following export to the cytoplasm, the two pre-miRNAs adopt distinct fates. Although pre-mir-144 continues along the canonical miRNA path and is processed by Dicer, pre-mir-451 is not a Dicer substrate, perhaps because its 17-nucleotide (nt)-duplexed region is too short. Instead, the pre-mir-451 hairpin is directly loaded into Argonaute 2 (AGO2). There, the duplexed portion of the hairpin is cleaved by the Argonaute RNase H-like motif and the cleaved product is resected by an unknown activity to form mature miR-451. Although it is unclear whether pre-mir-451 is actively sorted into AGO2, only those species which occupy this catalytically competent AGO family member can mature.

As a second example, the pre-miRNA equivalents for mirtrons are formed by the splicing machinery rather than by Drosha. Their biogenesis is outlined in FIG. 1.

Box 4**Mechanisms of target regulation in *Drosophila melanogaster***

Individual Argonaute (AGO) proteins differ in their expression patterns, subcellular localization and enzymatic properties. Thus, distinct AGOs can function through many different effector modes that may involve slicing of target transcripts, cleavage-independent regulation and chromatin modification (reviewed in REFS 15-17). Another layer of complexity is added by the degree of sequence complementarity between the AGO-bound small RNA and target transcripts, which determines the mechanism of regulation. **a** | In flies, AGO1-associated microRNAs (miRNAs) typically target mRNAs in their 3' UTRs to reduce protein synthesis. Owing to limited sequence complementarity between the small RNA (seed region) and the mRNA, such interactions usually do not result in direct cleavage of the targeted transcript. Instead, AGO1 and its partner protein GW182 are likely to disrupt crucial interactions between the polyA tail and the cap of the transcript, leading to a reduction in translational initiation and an induction of mRNA decay¹⁷³. In mammals, it was recently shown that reduced protein output is predominantly owing to destabilization of the target transcript¹⁷⁴. **b** | Small RNAs bound to *Drosophila melanogaster* AGO2 do not exhibit a bias towards binding their targets in the 3' UTR. AGO2 primed with a small RNA sharing extensive complementarity with its target typically directs endonucleolytic cleavage of the mRNAs through AGO2 slicer activity. The 2-*O*-methyl modification of AGO2-bound small RNAs prevents their degradation when targeting perfectly complementary transcripts^{64,142,143}. However, other modes are possible: AGO2 can also regulate targets with limited sequence complementarity through a block in translation initiation (not shown)¹⁷³. PABP, poly(A)-binding protein

Figure 1. MicroRNA biogenesis in *Drosophila melanogaster*

MicroRNAs (miRNAs) are generally transcribed by RNA polymerase II (RNAPII) to yield primary miRNAs (pri-miRNAs). pri-miRNAs are cropped in the nucleus by Drosha–Pasha complexes to release shorter precursor miRNAs (pre-miRNAs). miRNAs that reside within short introns of protein-coding genes are excised by the splicing machinery and are termed mirtrons. Following linearization of mirtron intermediates by the lariat-debranching enzyme, they fold into pre-miRNAs. Some 3'-tailed mirtrons undergo further trimming by the exosome. pre-miRNAs are transported to the cytoplasm by Exportin 5 (EXP5), where further processing takes place. Dicer 1 (DCR1), in collaboration with an isoform of its dsRNA binding domain protein partner Loquacious (LOQS-PB), liberates miR:miR* duplexes that dissociate from DCR1 for downstream sorting.

Figure 2. Production of small interfering RNAs

a | In flies, perfect or nearly perfect dsRNA precursors of varying origin and structure are processed in the cytoplasm by the RNase III enzyme Dicer 2 (DCR2) and its co-factor, an isoform of Loquacious (LOQS-PD), to yield small interfering RNA (siRNA) duplexes that contain guide and passenger strands. **b** | *Caenorhabditis elegans* primary siRNAs are processed from long dsRNA triggers through the action of DCR-1. These primary siRNAs associate with the Argonaute family protein, RDE-1, and guide it to target transcripts. The RDE-1–target interaction recruits an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRP), which uses the target as template for the *de novo* synthesis of secondary siRNAs that feature 5' triphosphate ends (see main text for further details). **c** | The production of *Arabidopsis thaliana* trans-acting siRNAs (ta-siRNAs) requires the interplay of canonical components of the microRNA (miRNA) and siRNA biogenesis machineries. The process is triggered by miRNA-mediated cleavage of non-coding TAS transcripts by miR390–AGO7 or miR173–AGO1, respectively. Slicing triggers the recruitment of SUPPRESSOR OF GENE SILENCING (SGS3) and RNA-DEPENDENT RNA POLYMERASE 6 (RDR6), which synthesize dsRNA using the cleavage site as the entry point. The resulting dsRNA is processed by DICER-LIKE 4 (DCL4) and its dsRBD protein partner DRB4 into a phased series of 21-nucleotide (nt) siRNA duplexes. ta-siRNAs are methylated by HUA ENHANCER 1 (HEN1) before AGO loading.

Figure 3. Small RNA sorting and RNA-induced silencing complex assembly in flies

a | Structural determinants dominate the decision to sort small RNAs into fly Argonaute 1 (AGO1) or AGO2. AGO1-biased (usually microRNA (miRNA)) duplexes contain several bulges and mismatches, especially in the central region of the duplex. Mature miR strands show a strong bias for a terminal U. By contrast, AGO2-biased (usually siRNA) duplexes show extensive base pairing. Loaded guide strands often start with C. **b** | Unloaded AGO1 is recognized and bound by the heat shock cognate 70 (HSC70)–heat shock protein 90 (HSP90) chaperone complex and, following binding of ATP, adopts an ‘open’ conformational state. Loading-competent AGO1 receives miRNA duplexes containing several mismatches. The incorporation of duplexes into AGO1 is likely aided by as yet unidentified loading factors. ATP hydrolysis results in dissociation of the chaperone complex from AGO1, followed by passive unwinding of the duplex, a process promoted by mismatches. The miR* strand is degraded following unwinding. **c** | The HSC70–HSP90 chaperone complex associates with unloaded AGO2. Binding of ATP to the chaperone complex leads to conformational changes that allow AGO2 to receive small duplexes from the AGO2-loading machinery. Small RNA duplexes with perfect or near-perfect base-

pairing (especially those with good pairing in the central region) are recognized by Dicer 2 (DCR2) and its co-factor R2D2 (AGO2–RISC-loading machinery) and inserted into AGO2. The chaperone complex dissociates following ATP hydrolysis, causing a change in the conformation of AGO2. Following passenger strand slicing by AGO2, component 3' promoter of RISC (C3PO) degrades the cleavage products. Subsequently, the 3' terminus of the guide strand is methylated by HUA ENHANCER 1 (HEN1) to yield mature AGO2–RISC. SAH, S-adenosylhomocysteine; SAM, S-adenosylmethionine.