Published in final edited form as:

Trends Cell Biol. 2008 June ; 18(6): 253–256. doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2008.04.002.

Special Delivery From Mitochondria to Peroxisomes

Uwe Schumann and Suresh Subramani*

Section of Molecular Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093

Abstract

Inter-organellar communication and interactions are necessary and accepted consequences of the segregation of biochemical functions in subcellular organelles. Recently, Heidi McBride and her collaborators found a novel link between mitochondria and peroxisomes in their discovery of mitochondria-derived-vesicles (MDVs) that appear to fuse with a fraction of pre-existing peroxisomes in mammalian cells. We discuss the potential role of this vesicle population in the context of pathways for the exchange of metabolites and/or macromolecules between these compartments.

Mitochondrial vesicles carrying specific cargo fuse with peroxisomes

Since the discovery of peroxisomes, there has been speculation about the origin of this compartment that is intimately involved in many lipid metabolic pathways and whose dysfunction causes many human peroxisome biogenesis disorders (PBDs). Although the current view is that peroxisomes arise both from the division of pre-existing peroxisomes and from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER)^{1–5}, previous studies have invoked mitochondrion⁶, the ER^{2–5, 7} or endosymbionts⁸ as sources of peroxisomes, based on knowledge of metabolites shared between peroxisomes, mitochondria and the ER and either the proximity of these organelles with each other, or even physical contacts between them^{9, 10}. Some of the work in a recent paper by Neuspiel et al.¹¹ adds to this body of knowledge by providing strong support that previously uncharacterized mitochondrially-derived vesicles (MDVs) might account for a specific form of vesicular traffic between mitochondria and peroxisomes. We discuss the observations made by Neuspiel et al.¹¹ and provide our thoughts on the roles that such trafficking might play, drawing upon knowledge of similar transactions between other organelles.

Identification of MDVs

In a bioinformatics search for proteins influencing mitochondrial morphology, Neuspiel et al.¹¹ identified a candidate containing a conserved really interesting new gene (RING) domain, which they named MAPL (for mitochondria-anchored protein ligase)¹¹. Overexpression of MAPL-YFP led to partial fragmentation of mitochondria as long as the RING-domain, a motif often found in zinc-binding, ubiquitin E3 ligases, remained intact. Mitochondrial fission, which is dependent on a dynamin-related or dynamin-like protein

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding author. Mailing address: Section of Molecular Biology, Division of Biological Sciences, University of California at San Diego, La Jolla, CA 92093-0322. Phone: (858) 534-2327. Fax: (858) 534-0053. ssubramani@ucsd.edu.

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

(DRP1/DLP1), did not occur in MAPL-YFP overexpressing cells also cotransfected with a dominant interfering mutant of DRP1 [DRP1(K38E-CFP)], suggesting that MAPL acts upstream of DRP1 during mitochondrial fission. In such cells expressing either wild-type MAPL or its truncated version lacking the RING domain, confocal and electron microscopy showed distinct MAPL-containing MDVs that were 70–100 nm in diameter and surrounded by either one or two membranes. MDVs containing MAPL colocalized with a peroxisomal matrix marker, CFP-SKL (a green fluorescent protein, GFP, variant fused to a tripeptide, SKL, which is a peroxisomal targeting signal), in HeLa or COS7 cells, although fluorescence microscopy could not distinguish if both single and/or double membrane MDVs fused with peroxisomes. Additionally, the evidence for MDV-peroxisome fusion was limited to the use of fluorescence microscopy. Time-lapse microscopy showed that MDVs emanate in a DRP1-independent manner from the sides of mitochondrial tubules where MAPL-CFP is enriched. After their formation, MDVs diffuse away from the mitochondrial body and fuse only with a minor subset (about 10%) of peroxisomes.

Inter-organelle transactions

The authors then compared the membrane properties and cargo of the MDVs. MAPL-containing MDVs had no membrane potential ($\Delta\Psi$) and only 33–50% of these vesicles also contained a second mitochondrial marker from the matrix, inner membrane or intermembrane space. MDVs with selective cargo subsets were observed, with different MDV subpopulations containing either MAPL or the mitochondrial outer membrane protein, TOM20. More importantly TOM20-positive vesicles never colocalized with CFP-SKL indicating that only the MAPL-containing MDVs fuse with peroxisomes. The physiological role of MAPL-MDVs remains unknown because silencing of MAPL does not interfere with the generation of TOM20-containing vesicles or with peroxisome morphology. Therefore MAPL is not required for the generation of MDVs or for peroxisome fission, but is simply a marker for MDVs.

To put this discovery in context, it is relevant to note that mitochondria and peroxisomes share important biochemical pathways requiring inter-organelle exchanges. For example, similar enzymatic reactions, with enzymes encoded by specific genes, catalyze β -oxidation of fatty acids of different chain lengths in mammalian mitochondria and peroxisomes¹². As part of the fatty acid β -oxidation cycle, acylcarnitine esters move from peroxisomes to mitochondria, and conversely, ATP produced in mitochondria is transported into peroxisomes for the generation of fatty-acyl CoA esters of medium chain fatty acids. Such transfer of metabolites or co-factors between compartments could occur by diffusion or via transporters without requiring physical contact or vesicle-mediated transport mechanisms (Fig. 1). However, in addition to metabolites, compartments may share membrane-embedded lipids, or even soluble and membrane proteins, whose transfer between compartments would be facilitated by physical contact or vesicle-mediated trafficking (Fig. 1). For example, vesicle-mediated lipid and integral membrane protein transfer from the ER to pre-existing peroxisomes is proposed to allow peroxisome growth^{2, 7, 13, 14}. If such pre-existing peroxisomes do not exist (a scenario that can be created by preventing peroxisome inheritance from a mother to a daughter cell in yeast), the ER-derived pre-peroxisomal vesicles still mature into new peroxisomes, albeit more slowly¹³.

Physiological function of MDVs

What role could MDVs have and why is it that only a subset of the peroxisomes colocalize with MDV markers? Neuspiel et al.¹¹ consider some obvious possibilities. Peroxisomes and mitochondria share a subset of proteins required for organelle fission^{15–18}. The tail-anchored membrane protein, Fis1, exists in both peroxisomal and mitochondrial membranes with the

same topology, and it recruits DRP1/DLP1 to these organelles to facilitate their fission^{16, 18}. It is unclear at present if Fis1 is independently sorted to peroxisomes and mitochondria. If instead, Fis1 was targeted only to the outer mitochondrial membrane, then MDVs would provide a mechanism to ferry Fis1 from mitochondria to peroxisomes. However, it seems unlikely that MDVs deliver Fis1 to peroxisomes because all peroxisomes have Fis1 and recent unpublished data from Delille and Schrader indicate that targeting of mammalian Fis1 to peroxisomes and mitochondria are independent events. An alternative cargo destined for peroxisomes might be a recently described mitochondrial, tail-anchored protein, Mff, required for both mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission¹⁹. Mff is in the mitochondrial outer membrane but is not in a complex with Fis1, and Mff is also present, in small but significant levels, on peroxisomes. The morphology of mitochondria as well as peroxisomes is affected by Mff si-RNA in a way that is indistinguishable from the effects of Drp1 or Fis1 si-RNA. It remains to be tested whether MDVs provide a mechanism for peroxisomal delivery via mitochondria.

The experiments of Neuspiel et al.¹¹ show that MAPL knock-down by siRNA or over-expression does not affect either MDV formation or peroxisome division and that MDV formation is also DRP1-independent. However, the more important experiment that cannot be done at present is to prevent MDV formation and to ask whether peroxisome division or morphology is affected.

Alternatively, MDVs may be involved in the delivery of specific membrane lipids by vesicular trafficking, and the authors consider cardiolipin as a possible candidate. However, this feasibility of idea depends on whether the peroxisomal membrane has cardiolipin and whether there is sufficient cardiolipin in the mitochondrial outer membrane. Mammalian and some yeast peroxisomes have no detectable cardiolipin^{20, 21}. Peroxisomes of the yeast *Pichia pastoris* have cardiolipin²² but it is unclear if this is the exception or the rule. Cardiolipin, a lipid found primarily in mitochondrial membranes, is synthesized from phosphatidyl-CMP and phosphatidylglycerol by cardiolipin synthase residing in the inner membrane²³. After synthesis in the inner leaflet of the mitochondrial inner membrane, it is transferred to the outer leaflet of the mitochondrial inner membrane and remodeled by transacylation using other enzymes (e.g. tafazzin). Small quantities of it also make it into the outer mitochondrial membrane probably via contact sites between the inner and outer mitochondrial membranes. Additionally, cardiolipin delivery to the peroxisomal membrane is most easily envisioned if it is the single-membrane MDV that fuses with peroxisomes – a point that is still ambiguous.

Other possibilities could also be considered. Since both single-membrane MDVs (derived from the mitochondrial outer membrane) and double-membrane MDVs (comprised of the mitochondrial inner and outer membranes) are seen, their fusion with the single-membrane-enclosed peroxisomes would deliver, in addition to membrane-associated lipids and proteins, luminal contents from the intermembrane space of mitochondria. In addition, fusion of the double-membrane MDVs with peroxisomes would deliver single-membrane-enclosed vesicles into the peroxisome lumen. If these vesicles were to rupture (perhaps catalyzed by the action of enzymes, such as lipases), then mitochondrial matrix contents would be delivered into peroxisomes. Indeed, intra-peroxisomal vesicles were described recently in a *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* mutant lacking a putative peroxisomal lipase, Lpx1, but their fate and function, if any, is unknown²⁴. All of this seems pretty complex and speculative, but there is a precedent for a similar type of transfer of GFP from the stroma of plastids, via stroma-filled tubules called stromules, from one plastid to another in plants^{10, 25}. Like the double-membrane MDVs, these stromules contain both the outer and inner membrane of plastids surrounding the stroma. Tubular protuberances analogous to stromules have also been seen emanating from mitochondria (called matrixules) and

peroxisomes (peroxules) of plant leaf epidermal cells¹⁰. In fact, vesicle formation from membranes of organelles of prokaryotic endosymbiont origin (mitochondria and chloroplasts) has parallels with the blebbing of vesicles from the outer membrane of bacteria^{26, 27}. Even free-streaming stromules that break off and move away from tubular extensions of chloroplasts have been observed by phase-contrast microscopy – a process remarkably similar to the generation of MDVs from mitochondrial tubules¹¹.

Future directions

The studies of stromules may aid the design of experimental tests for the putative functions of MDVs. Stromule-mediated GFP transfer between plastids has been demonstrated convincingly²⁵. Although not definitive, it is suggested that in addition to the transfer of endogenous macromolecules (protein and nucleic acids), tubular extensions from subcellular organelles greatly enhance their surface area to facilitate metabolite exchange between these organelles and the cytosol, or even between compartments. Stromule movement requires microfilaments, the ATPase activity of myosin and actin, but not microtubules, suggesting that stromules move along actin microfilaments powered by the ATPase activity of myosin motors.

Additional studies focused on the nature of the MDVs, the lipid and proteomic profiling of MDVs, the cargos they carry and deliver to peroxisomes, as well as experiments that examine the physiological consequences of blocking MDV formation, will shed light on this interesting, but underappreciated, area of biology. Investigating the function and existence of MDVs in other models would also expedite the analysis of their role.

References

1. Gabaldon T, et al. Origin and evolution of the peroxisomal proteome. *Biol Direct*. 2006; 1:8. [PubMed: 16556314]
2. Hoepfner D, et al. Contribution of the endoplasmic reticulum to peroxisome formation. *Cell*. 2005; 122:85–95. [PubMed: 16009135]
3. Mullen RT, Trelease RN. The ER-peroxisome connection in plants: development of the "ER semi-autonomous peroxisome maturation and replication" model for plant peroxisome biogenesis. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2006; 1763:1655–1668. [PubMed: 17049631]
4. Tabak HF, et al. Formation of peroxisomes: present and past. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2006; 1763:1647–1654. [PubMed: 17030445]
5. Titorenko VI, Mullen RT. Peroxisome biogenesis: the peroxisomal endomembrane system and the role of the ER. *J Cell Biol*. 2006; 174:11–17. [PubMed: 16801391]
6. Kozlova TM, Meisel MN. Possible participation of mitochondria in formation of peroxisomes in yeasts. *Mikrobiologiya*. 1976; 45:1113–1114. [PubMed: 1034867]
7. Kim PK, et al. The origin and maintenance of mammalian peroxisomes involves a de novo PEX16-dependent pathway from the ER. *J Cell Biol*. 2006; 173:521–532. [PubMed: 16717127]
8. Pereto J, et al. Phylogenetic analysis of eukaryotic thiolases suggests multiple proteobacterial origins. *J Mol Evol*. 2005; 61:65–74. [PubMed: 15980957]
9. Jourdain I, et al. Dynamin-dependent biogenesis, cell cycle regulation and mitochondrial association of peroxisomes in fission yeast. *Traffic*. 2008; 9:353–365. [PubMed: 18088324]
10. Scott I, et al. The missing link: inter-organellar connections in mitochondria and peroxisomes? *Trends Plant Sci*. 2007; 12:380–381. [PubMed: 17765598]
11. Neuspiel M, et al. Cargo-selected transport from the mitochondria to peroxisomes is mediated by vesicular carriers. *Curr Biol*. 2008; 18:102–108. [PubMed: 18207745]
12. Schrader M, Yoon Y. Mitochondria and peroxisomes: are the 'big brother' and the 'little sister' closer than assumed? *Bioessays*. 2007; 29:1105–1114. [PubMed: 17935214]

13. Motley AM, Hettema EH. Yeast peroxisomes multiply by growth and division. *J Cell Biol.* 2007; 178:399–410. [PubMed: 17646399]
14. Yan M, et al. Dysferlin domain-containing proteins, Pex30p and Pex31p, localized to two compartments, control the number and size of oleate-induced peroxisomes in *Pichia pastoris*. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2008; 19:885–898. [PubMed: 18094040]
15. Kobayashi S, et al. Fis1, DLP1, and Pex11p coordinately regulate peroxisome morphogenesis. *Exp Cell Res.* 2007; 313:1675–1686. [PubMed: 17408615]
16. Koch A, et al. A role for Fis1 in both mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission in mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2005; 16:5077–5086. [PubMed: 16107562]
17. Kuravi K, et al. Dynamin-related proteins Vps1p and Dnm1p control peroxisome abundance in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *J Cell Sci.* 2006; 119:3994–4001. [PubMed: 16968746]
18. Schrader M, Fahimi HD. The peroxisome: still a mysterious organelle. *Histochem Cell Biol.* 2008 Feb 15. [Epub ahead of print].
19. Gandre-Babbe S, van der Bliek AM. The novel tail-anchored membrane protein Mff controls mitochondrial and peroxisomal fission in mammalian cells. *Mol Biol Cell.* 2008 Mar.19:2008. epub ahead of print.
20. Fujiki Y, et al. Polypeptide and phospholipid composition of the membrane of rat liver peroxisomes: comparison with endoplasmic reticulum and mitochondrial membranes. *J Cell Biol.* 1982; 93:103–110. [PubMed: 7068748]
21. Nuttley WM, et al. Isolation and characterization of membranes from oleic acid-induced peroxisomes of *Candida tropicalis*. *J Cell Sci.* 1990; 95(Pt 3):463–470. [PubMed: 2384524]
22. Wriessnegger T, et al. Lipid composition of peroxisomes from the yeast *Pichia pastoris* grown on different carbon sources. *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2007; 1771:455–461. [PubMed: 17293161]
23. Schlame M. Cardiolipin synthesis for the assembly of bacterial and mitochondrial membranes. *J Lipid Res.* 2007 Dec 12. [Epub ahead of print].
24. Thoms S, et al. Lpx1p is a peroxisomal lipase required for normal peroxisome morphology. *Febs J.* 2008; 275:504–514. [PubMed: 18199283]
25. Natesan SK, et al. Stromules: a characteristic cell-specific feature of plastid morphology. *J Exp Bot.* 2005; 56:787–797. [PubMed: 15699062]
26. Katsui N, et al. Heat-induced blebbing and vesiculation of the outer membrane of *Escherichia coli*. *J Bacteriol.* 1982; 151:1523–1531. [PubMed: 7050091]
27. Khandelwal P, Banerjee-Bhatnagar N. Insecticidal activity associated with the outer membrane vesicles of *Xenorhabdus nematophilus*. *Appl. Environ Microbiol.* 2003; 69:2032–2037. [PubMed: 12676679]

Figure 1. Schematic model of different pathways for the transfer of metabolites, proteins and/or lipids between mitochondria and peroxisomes. Metabolites could be exchanged between these compartments either via diffusion (top), or via mitochondrial protrusions, which are proposed to enhance such exchanges either by an increase in the surface area of the mitochondria or by physical contact between mitochondria and peroxisomes (left). Either single- (bottom) or double-membrane MDVs (right) would deliver mitochondrial contents to peroxisomes following fusion of these MDVs with the peroxisomal membrane.