Published in final edited form as:

Psychol Med. 2013 April ; 43(4): 813–823. doi:10.1017/S0033291712001493.

Cannabis or alcohol first? Differences by ethnicity and in risk for rapid progression to cannabis-related problems in women

C. E. Sartor^{1,2,*}, A. Agrawal², M. T. Lynskey², A. E. Duncan³, J. D. Grant², E. C. Nelson², P. A. F. Madden², A. C. Heath², and K. K. Bucholz²

¹Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA

²Department of Psychiatry, Washington University School of Medicine, St Louis, MO, USA

³George Warren Brown School of Social Work, Washington University, St Louis, MO, USA

Abstract

Background—Initiation of cannabis use typically follows alcohol use, but the reverse order does occur and is more common for African-Americans (AAs) than European-Americans (EAs). The aim of this study was to test for differences in the order of initiation of cannabis and alcohol use between AA and EA women and to determine whether order and ethnicity contribute independently to risk for rapid progression to cannabis-related problems.

Method—Data were drawn from structured psychiatric interviews of 4102 women (mean age = 21.6 years), 3787 from an all-female twin study and 315 from a high-risk family study; 18.1% self-identified as AA, 81.9% as EA. Ethnicity and order of initiation of cannabis and alcohol use were modeled as predictors of transition time from first use to onset of cannabis use disorder symptom(s) using Cox proportional hazards regression analyses.

Results—AA women were nearly three times as likely as EA women to initiate cannabis use before alcohol use. Using cannabis before alcohol [hazard ratio (HR) 1.44, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.08–1.93] and AA ethnicity (HR 1.59, 95% CI 1.13–2.24) were both associated with rapid progression from first use to cannabis symptom onset even after accounting for age at initiation and psychiatric risk factors.

Conclusions—The findings indicate that AA women are at greater risk for rapid development of cannabis-related problems than EA women and that this risk is even higher when cannabis use is initiated before alcohol use. Prevention programs should be tailored to the various patterns of cannabis use and relative contributions of risk factors to the development of cannabis-related problems in different ethnic groups.

Keywords

African-Americans; alcohol; cannabis; women

© Cambridge University Press 2012

*Address for correspondence: C. E. Sartor, Ph.D., Department of Psychiatry, Yale School of Medicine, VA Connecticut Healthcare System, 950 Campbell Ave. (151D), West Haven, CT 06516, USA., (carolyn.sartor@yale.edu).

Declaration of Interest

None.

Supplementary material

For supplementary material accompanying this paper visit <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291712001493>.

Introduction

An estimated 40–50% of young adults have used cannabis (Coffey *et al.* 2002; Degenhardt *et al.* 2008; Johnston *et al.* 2008). Lifetime diagnostic criteria for cannabis abuse or dependence are met by 10–20% of adult cannabis users (Anthony *et al.* 1994; Stinson *et al.* 2006; Teesson *et al.* 2006; Copeland & Swift, 2009) and more than a third of adolescent users report experiencing at least one dependence symptom (Nocon *et al.* 2006). Cannabis users, particularly early initiators, are at elevated risk for negative psychosocial outcomes such as dropping out of high school and being fired from jobs, in addition to substance-related outcomes such as use of other illicit drugs, nicotine dependence and alcohol use disorders (AUDs) (Brook *et al.* 1999; Lynskey & Hall, 2000; Brook *et al.* 2002; Ellickson *et al.* 2004; Lessem *et al.* 2006). Although rates of cannabis use and dependence are higher in males (Wallace *et al.* 1999; Stinson *et al.* 2006; Wagner & Anthony, 2007), the gender gap is closing (Degenhardt *et al.* 2008; Schepis *et al.* 2011). Recent evidence also shows that girls transition more rapidly from experimentation to regular use (Schepis *et al.* 2011) and experience a greater decrease in quality of life as a consequence of cannabis use than boys (Lev-Ran *et al.* 2012), indicating a clear need for further research on problem cannabis use in girls and women.

The pathway from initiation of use to onset of cannabis-related problems is part of a more general developmental course of substance use. First use of both alcohol and cannabis typically occurs in midadolescence (Vega *et al.* 2002; Newes-Adeyi *et al.* 2004). The order of onset has been the subject of much discussion since the introduction of the gateway hypothesis by Kandel & Faust (1975), who argued that initiating use of alcohol and cigarettes before cannabis and cannabis before other illicit drugs is nearly universal among those who ever use illicit drugs. A follow-up at age 35 years of the original adolescent sample further supported their theory (Kandel *et al.* 1992), as did several large-scale population-based studies (Degenhardt *et al.* 2008; Wells & McGee, 2008). For example, Behrendt *et al.* (2012) reported that 93% of lifetime (ever) alcohol and cannabis users in a community-based sample tried alcohol first. Deviations from the gateway sequence are more common in high-risk populations, suggesting a possible link between sequence of initiation and substance-related outcomes. Tarter *et al.*'s (2006) study of sons of alcoholics revealed that 22% who had ever used cannabis tried it before alcohol, and in a study of methadone patients by Mackesy-Amity *et al.* (1997), nearly 40% reported initiating cannabis before alcohol use.

Deviations from the gateway sequence are also more common in African-Americans (AAs) than in European-Americans (EAs). Initiation of cannabis use before first drink or first cigarette was reported by 10.5% of AAs versus less than 1% of EAs in an inner-city sample (White *et al.* 2007). Similarly, in Guerra *et al.*'s (2000) report based on a survey of more than 85000 high-school students, when compared to EAs, AAs were three times as likely to initiate use of illicit drugs before alcohol or cigarettes and 2.3 times as likely to start using them in the same year. Studies examining potential differences by ethnicity in rates of lifetime cannabis use have produced mixed results (Wallace *et al.* 1999; White *et al.* 2007; Shih *et al.* 2010; Chen & Jacobson, 2012) and the evidence suggests that risk for progression to dependence in AAs is equal to or lower than risk for EAs (Chen *et al.* 2005; Stinson *et al.* 2006). However, recent studies have shown that AAs mature out of cannabis use later than members of other ethnic groups (Chen & Jacobson, 2012; Finlay *et al.* 2012) and, according to data from two large-scale nationally representative samples, the prevalence of cannabis abuse and dependence is growing faster among AAs than all other ethnic groups (Compton *et al.* 2004). The question of whether these trends are associated with the higher frequency of initiating cannabis before alcohol use among AAs has yet to be addressed, but such an investigation could uncover a pathway of risk to which AAs are particularly vulnerable. The

few known studies to address order of initiation in relation to problem cannabis use have focused specifically on dependence. In Tarter *et al.*'s (2006) sample of 224 male offspring of alcoholics, dependence risk was no higher for those who used cannabis before alcohol than for those who followed the reverse sequence. Similarly, in Degenhardt *et al.*'s (2009) analysis of data from the National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R), use of cannabis before alcohol and tobacco was not associated with development of dependence. It is not yet known whether this relationship holds when using less stringent criteria for defining problem use.

In the current study we extended the existing literature on the relationship between the order of initiation of cannabis *versus* alcohol use and the development of problem cannabis use in several ways. First, we made use of a large all-female sample in which AAs were well represented, allowing us to test for differences between AAs and EAs and to examine the potential contributions to cannabis-related problems of risk factors more commonly experienced by girls, such as childhood sexual abuse (CSA) (Fergusson *et al.* 1996; Walker *et al.* 2004). Second, we examined a novel phenotype, the rate of progression from first use to onset of first cannabis use disorder (CUD) symptom, which captures the developmental course of problem cannabis use better than a lifetime dependence diagnosis. Third, we conducted a parallel analysis of progression from first drink to first AUD symptom to assess whether the pattern observed for cannabis is distinct from that of alcohol. The overall aim of the study was to determine whether order of initiation and ethnicity are independent contributors to risk for rapid progression to CUD symptoms after accounting for psychiatric risk factors associated with problem cannabis use.

Method

Participants

The sample was composed of 3787 female twins who completed the fourth wave of data collection for the Missouri Adolescent Female Twin Study (MOAFTS) and a subset of participants (females in the same age range as MOAFTS participants, $n = 315$) who completed the baseline interview for the Missouri Family Study (MOFAM), a high-risk family study that over-sampled for AAs.

MOAFTS—Twins born in Missouri to Missouri-resident parents between 1975 and 1985 were identified through birth records and recruited between 1995 and 1999 for the baseline (wave 1) assessment. Cohorts of 13-, 15-, 17- and 19-year-old female twin pairs and their families were ascertained in the first 2 years; new cohorts of 13-year-old twins and their families were added in the subsequent 2 years. Parent interviews were completed by 78% of eligible families (see Heath *et al.* 2002 for details on ascertainment). Wave 3 retest interviews were conducted with a subset of wave 1 participants 2 years after the wave 1 assessments. (Data were not drawn from wave 2, which referenced experiences from only the previous 24 months.) Wave 4 assessments were conducted from 2002 to 2005. Of the 4638 twins identified from birth records, 80% completed the wave 4 interview ($n = 3787$). The mean age at wave 4 was 21.7 (S.D.=2.8, range = 18–29) years; 14.6% of participants self-identified as AA, the remainder as EA.

MOFAM—From 2003 to 2009, Missouri state birth records were used to identify families with at least two adolescent children, one aged 13, 15, 17 or 19 years at the time and at least one other aged 13 years. Biological mothers completed brief telephone screening interviews that included questions assessing excessive drinking in the father. Families of fathers with a history of excessive drinking were classified as high risk and were invited to enroll. The remaining (low-risk) families were invited to enroll until the target number of

low-risk families was reached. A second group of high-risk families was identified through driving records. Men with two or more drunk-driving citations were matched to birth record data to identify prospective families. In all participating families, mothers were interviewed first. Permission was then sought from mothers to recruit offspring and biological fathers were solicited for interview. Mothers, fathers and offspring were each interviewed by different raters, who were blind to family risk status. The method of family ascertainment is described in detail in a previous publication (Calvert *et al.* 2010). In total, 317 families of non-AA (primarily EA) descent and 450 AA families were enrolled in the study. For the current study, data were drawn from the 315 female participants aged 18 (mean = 20.7, S.D.= 2.8, range = 18–31) years to match age and gender of the MOAFTS sample; 60.3% self-identified as AA, the remainder as EA.

The combined sample thus comprises 4102 women, 18.1% of AA descent, 81.9% of EA descent, with a mean age of 21.6 (S.D.= 2.8, range = 18–31) years.

Procedure and assessment battery for MOAFTS and MOFAM

By design, MOFAM assessments were almost identical to MOAFTS assessments to facilitate integration of data across studies. In both studies, data were collected by trained interviewers through an interview modified for telephone administration from the Semi-Structured Assessment for the Genetics of Alcoholism (Bucholz *et al.* 1994; Hesselbrock *et al.* 1999), an instrument designed to assess DSM-IV substance use and other psychiatric disorders in addition to related psychosocial domains. The MOFAM interview and each of the three MOAFTS interviews queried lifetime history and diagnostic information. Verbal consent was obtained prior to the start of the interview. Both studies were approved by the Washington University Human Research Protections Office. MOFAM was also approved by the Ethics Board of the State Department of Health and Senior Services (not required at the time that MOAFTS was begun).

Outcomes and covariates

In MOAFTS, data from waves 1 and 3 were available for 78% of participants. In cases where onset of use or symptoms was reported in more than one wave of data collection, the first report was used. Cannabis abuse but not dependence symptoms were assessed in waves 1 and 3, so all cannabis dependence symptom reports were from wave 4. In MOFAM, age onset information was available only for cannabis dependence symptoms.

Outcomes

Cannabis: Individuals who endorsed one or more DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for either cannabis abuse or cannabis dependence were considered positive for CUD symptom(s). Age at first symptom onset was derived from reported age(s) that each endorsed symptom was first experienced.

Alcohol: To be considered positive for AUD symptom(s), participants needed to endorse at least one DSM-IV alcohol abuse symptom or one alcohol dependence symptom other than tolerance. Cases with tolerance only, which comprised 30% of AUD symptom cases, were dropped to create a more conservative definition of problem alcohol use, in keeping with evidence of inflated rates of tolerance endorsement in young drinkers suggestive of misinterpretation of tolerance questions (Chung & Martin, 2005; Caetano & Babor, 2006; Harford *et al.* 2009). Age at symptom onset was derived from reported age(s) that each endorsed symptom was first experienced.

Covariates—A range of psychiatric and psychosocial factors associated with problem cannabis and alcohol use were included as covariates in analyses: maternal and paternal

alcohol problems, childhood physical abuse or neglect (CPAN), childhood sexual abuse (CSA), major depressive disorder (MDD), conduct disorder (CD), and regular smoking. Interview items used to derive status on each of these covariates are provided in Table A1 in the online Appendix.

Data analysis

Age at first use, order of initiation, transition to first symptom, and ethnicity—

Participants were categorized into one of six groups based on reported age at first cannabis and/or alcohol use: (1) cannabis before alcohol, (2) both at same age, (3) alcohol before cannabis, (4) cannabis only, (5) alcohol only, or (6) never used either. Mean ages at first use of cannabis and alcohol were calculated by category and ethnicity. Analyses of variance were conducted to test for age differences by category, *t* tests for differences by ethnicity. Timing of transition from first use to first symptom was calculated using reported ages of initiation and symptom onset and divided into three categories: (1) same age, (2) 1–2 years, or (3) 3 years.

Predicting rate of progression from first use to first symptom by order of initiation and ethnicity—

Cox proportional hazards regression analyses were conducted to predict CUD symptom onset and AUD symptom onset, using age at first use as the origin. This analytic approach was chosen because not all participants had passed through the age of risk for onset of problem use of alcohol or cannabis. Order of initiation was modeled using three dummy variables representing group 1 (cannabis before alcohol), group 2 (same age), plus 4 (cannabis only) for the cannabis model, and 5 (alcohol only) for the alcohol model. Group 3 served as the reference group because alcohol before cannabis was the most common order for users of both substances. Ethnicity, maternal and paternal alcohol-related problems and CD were entered into the models as time-invariant variables. Regular smoking, MDD, CPAN and CSA were modeled as time-varying covariates by creating a ‘person-year’ data set using SAS (SAS Institute, 2008). In cases that were positive for a given covariate, the corresponding variable was coded as absent in each year prior to age of onset and present for each subsequent year. Analyses were adjusted for age at first use and age at time of symptom report using dummy variables representing the lowest and highest thirds of the distributions. For age at first use, dummy variables represented 15 and 18 for cannabis, 14 and 18 for alcohol; for symptom report, 19 and 24 for cannabis, 18 and 23 for alcohol. Adjustments were made for sampling design by including dummy variables representing each of the three risk groups in MOFAM. Analyses were conducted in Stata version 8.2 (StataCorp, 2007) using the Huber–White correction to adjust for the non-independence of observations in siblings.

Base models, which included only ethnicity, order of initiation, maternal and paternal alcohol problems, age at first use, age at time of symptom report, sampling design, and alcohol (cannabis model)/cannabis use (alcohol model), were run prior to running models including psychiatric covariates.

The proportional hazards assumption that risk remains constant over time was tested using the Grambsch and Therneau test of the Schoenfeld residuals (Grambsch & Therneau, 1994). Violations were observed for the variables representing: initiation of cannabis and alcohol use at the same age (all four models); alcohol use, cannabis before alcohol use, ethnicity, paternal alcohol-related problems, and age at first cannabis use (cannabis base and covariate models); age at first drink and age at AUD symptom report (alcohol base and covariate models); regular smoking (cannabis and alcohol covariate models); and age at CUD symptom report (cannabis base model). To adjust for the violations, the period of risk was split into 8, 12, 16, 20 and 24 years, and variables representing interactions between

variables with violations and subdivisions of the period of risk were entered into the models. (Detailed information on combinations of interactions included in each model is available upon request.)

Results

Order of initiation, age at first use, transition to first symptom, and ethnicity

Rates of both lifetime cannabis and alcohol use differed significantly across ethnicity, with AA women more likely than EA women to use cannabis [49.7% v. 44.4%, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 6.89$, $p = 0.009$] and EA women more likely than AA women to consume alcohol [88.1% v. 78.7%, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 45.14$, $p < 0.0001$]. Mean age at first use of cannabis did not differ by ethnicity (16.6 v. 16.4 years for AAs and EAs respectively, $t_{532} = 1.22$, $p = 0.22$) but mean age at first drink was a full year younger for EAs than for AAs (15.8 v. 16.9 years, $t_{47} = 8.10$, $p < 0.0001$). The distribution across the six categories of cannabis and alcohol use also differed significantly by ethnicity $\chi^2_{(5)} = 238.3$, $p < 0.0001$, as shown in Table 1. Among women who used both substances, AAs were nearly three times as likely as EAs to use cannabis before alcohol (37% v. 13%). AA women were 10 times as likely as EA women to use only cannabis. The number of AAs using cannabis before alcohol was nearly equal to the number using alcohol before cannabis, whereas EA women were five times as likely to use alcohol before cannabis than the reverse. As shown in Table 2, the youngest age at first use of cannabis was reported by individuals who used cannabis before alcohol. For alcohol, the youngest age was reported by those who used alcohol before cannabis (14.7 years) and was almost identical to the age at first cannabis use in the cannabis before alcohol group (14.8 years). Rates of CUD symptoms were significantly higher in AA than EA cannabis users [27.9% v. 21.9%, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 6.10$, $p = 0.01$] whereas rates of AUD symptoms were significantly higher in EA than AA drinkers [39.7% v. 30.1%, $\chi^2_{(1)} = 19.12$, $p < 0.0001$]. Distributions of transition times from first use to symptom onset are shown by ethnicity and order of initiation in Table A2 in the online Appendix.

Predicting rate of progression from first use to first symptom by order of initiation and ethnicity

The results of the Cox proportional hazards regression analyses predicting time from first cannabis use to first CUD symptom are reported in Table 3. The base model is shown along with the model including psychiatric covariates to illustrate the impact of these covariates on hazard ratio (HR) estimates. After adjusting for maternal and paternal alcohol-related problems, CPAN, CSA, MDD, CD, regular smoking and the influence of alcohol use, AA ethnicity was associated with an accelerated rate of progression to symptom onset [HR 1.59, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.13–2.24]. An independent effect was observed for use of cannabis before alcohol as well; this pattern was also associated with rapid progression from first use to first symptom (HR 1.44, 95% CI 1.08–1.93). Interaction terms representing ethnicity by order of initiation were non-significant. As shown in Table 4, ethnicity and order of initiation also predicted AUD symptom onset but produced a different pattern of results. After adjusting for the same covariates used in the cannabis model, AA ethnicity was associated with a significantly slower transition from first drink to first AUD symptom (HR 0.74, 95% CI 0.61–0.90) and use of alcohol before cannabis was associated with a rapid rate of progression to AUD symptom (all HRs for variables representing patterns of initiation were significantly lower than the reference group, alcohol before cannabis). Interactions between ethnicity and order of initiation variables were non-significant.

Discussion

In the current investigation, we tested for differences in the order of initiation of cannabis and alcohol use between AA and EA women to determine whether order and ethnicity contribute independently to risk for rapid progression to cannabis-related problems. The results reveal that AA women are more likely than EA women to use cannabis before alcohol and that, even after adjusting for ethnicity and psychiatric correlates of problem cannabis use, initiation of cannabis before alcohol use was associated with an elevated rate of progression to cannabis-related problems. Parallel analyses examining progression to problem drinking produced similar results, that is, women who used alcohol first progressed the most rapidly, indicating that this pattern of findings is not specific to cannabis.

Ethnicity

AA women in our sample were equally likely to try cannabis before alcohol as the reverse and, consistent with prior investigations (Guerra *et al.* 2000; White *et al.* 2007), were three times as likely as EA women to use cannabis before alcohol. Rates of CUD symptoms were also higher in AA than EA cannabis users (27.9% v. 21.9%), indicating a higher risk of CUD development given exposure (and not a reflection of the higher rates of use in AA participants). Differences between our study and earlier studies that reported the same or lower rates of CUDs in AAs *versus* EAs may be explained in part by the lower threshold for problem use in the current study; that is, a less severe syndrome of cannabis-related problems may be more commonly found in AAs. The elevated HR for AA ethnicity in the Cox proportional hazards regression analyses, also indicative of higher risk for cannabis-related problems in AA cannabis users, can be interpreted as an elevated rate of progression from initiation to CUD symptom, a phenotype that is distinct from the dichotomous indicator of meeting full CUD diagnostic criteria. The timing of transition phenotype provides different information about the development of problem substance use and analysis of this phenotype may even produce results that seem to contradict analyses based on a dichotomous indicator of substance use disorder (Stallings *et al.* 1999; Sartor *et al.* 2007). (For example, early initiates of alcohol use are at greater risk than later initiates for alcohol dependence but transition more slowly; Sartor *et al.* 2008.)

The authors of the two known studies documenting ethnic differences in the order of initiation of cannabis and alcohol use have suggested two possible explanations: greater access to cannabis and a higher degree of acceptability of cannabis use in the AA community (Guerra *et al.* 2000; White *et al.* 2007). However, neither study tested these hypotheses and the literature on availability of cannabis and attitudes toward use fails to support them. Compared with EA adolescents, AA adolescents report less access to cannabis, the same or even higher parental disapproval of cannabis use, and the same amount of peer substance use (Gillmore *et al.* 1990; Wallace *et al.* 1999). Given the limited literature in this area, we can only speculate on explanations for this trend. One possibility is simply that the ordering reflects the relatively late age at initiation of alcohol use among AAs, as reported in prior studies (Wagner *et al.* 2002; Rothman *et al.* 2009) as well as the current study. The elevated risk for rapid progression to CUD symptoms among AA *versus* EA women observed in our sample is likely attributable in part to the higher degree of exposure to a broad range of risk factors for CUDs, including domains such as family- and school-related influences not measured in the current study (Gil *et al.* 2002), but this issue has yet to be addressed in the literature.

Order of initiation

Use of cannabis before alcohol was associated with rapid progression to problem cannabis use even after accounting for psychiatric covariates, lower age at first use and over-

representation of AAs among those reporting this order of initiation. The association between order of first use of cannabis *versus* alcohol and risk for cannabis-related problems has only rarely been studied and the few studies to address this issue found no difference in risk by order of initiation. Discrepancies in findings between our study, based on a large all-female sample drawn primarily from the general population, and those from Tarter *et al.*'s (2006) study using 224 sons of alcoholics may be attributable to gender differences in patterns of use and/or greater power to detect differences in our sample. Comparisons to findings both from Tarter *et al.*'s (2006) study and from Degenhardt *et al.*'s (2009) study based on NCS-R data should be made with caution because, as noted earlier, we measured a phenotype that captures timing of transitions through stages of use and reflects a lower range of severity. Through examination of this novel phenotype, we identified a link between initiation of cannabis before alcohol use and a previously unexamined component of the course of problem cannabis use.

Comparison of cannabis versus alcohol use and symptom onset

Parallel analyses of alcohol use and AUD symptom onset produced very similar results to those observed for cannabis. First, higher prevalence of a substance within an ethnic group was associated with greater likelihood of using that substance first (cannabis for AAs, alcohol for EAs). Second, higher rates of symptoms were reported for the first than the second substance used. Third, the earliest age at first use was reported by individuals who used that substance first.

Fourth, just as use of cannabis before alcohol was associated with an elevated rate of progression to CUD symptom onset, use of alcohol before cannabis was associated with an elevated rate of progression to AUD symptom onset. Fifth, for both cannabis and alcohol, the groups with the lowest rates of symptoms were those that did not use the other substance, suggesting that the use of more than one substance is a marker of risk for problem use. Finally, with the exception of CSA (significant in the cannabis but not the alcohol model), the same psychiatric covariates were associated with liability to progression to symptom onset. In short, initiation of cannabis before alcohol use does not reflect a qualitatively different pathway of risk than the more typical sequence of alcohol before cannabis.

Limitations

The results should be interpreted with certain limitations in mind. First, we did not include peer substance use, which has consistently been linked to problem use of cannabis and alcohol (van den Bree & Pickworth, 2005; D'Amico & McCarthy, 2006; Korhonen *et al.* 2008; Wang *et al.* 2009), in our models. To model accurately the influence of peers on the transition from first use to AUD or CUD symptom, information on peer substance use specific to the age at which participants developed symptoms (rather than use by current peers) would be needed and such detailed data were not collected. However, by including risk factors in the models that are correlated with peer use, that is CD (Burt *et al.* 2009; Brook *et al.* 2011), parental alcohol problems (Hoffmann & Su, 1998; Haller *et al.* 2010) and age at first use (Coffey *et al.* 2000; Ellickson *et al.* 2004), we captured some of the variance in outcomes associated with peer substance use. Second, although minimized by the short lag time from first use and/or symptom onset to time of interview and the inclusion of age at onset data from the first interview in which use (or symptom) was reported, the potential bias introduced by retrospective reporting should be considered, particularly if it varies by ethnicity or substance. Third, some evidence suggests that AAs are more likely than EAs to recant reports of cannabis use (Fendrich & Johnson, 2005) and that AAs (but not EAs) who recant are less deviant than consistent reporters (Ensminger *et al.* 2007). The potential impact of such a reporting pattern in our data was reduced by using first rather than most

recent reports of substance use, but there is a possibility that under-reporting of cannabis use was more common in AA than EA women in our sample, thus lowering the magnitude of ethnic differences. Fourth, the AA women in our sample were primarily from urban areas, where access to cannabis and attitudes toward use may differ from rural or suburban areas.

Future directions and implications for prevention efforts

The extent to which order of initiation of cannabis and alcohol use differs by ethnicity and the implications of the sequence for progression to cannabis-related problems merits further investigation, including testing for differences across a broader range of ethnic groups and also by gender. The findings from the present study suggest that the development of cannabis-related problems does not follow a single pathway and that prevention efforts based on the gateway hypothesis that alcohol use precedes cannabis use may be less effective in AAs. Tailoring interventions to specific cultural groups to address the varying substance use patterns and influences of risk factors on cannabis use is crucial to reducing the incidence of the many negative psychosocial outcomes associated with problem use.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by grants AA017921, AA011998, AA011998_5978, AA017688, AA012640 and AA01715 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, and grants DA018267, DA023688 and DA025886 from the National Institute on Drug Abuse.

References

- Anthony JC, Warner L, Kessler R. Comparative epidemiology of dependence on tobacco, alcohol, controlled substances, and inhalants: basic findings from the National Comorbidity Survey. *Experimental and Clinical Psychopharmacology*. 1994; 2:244–268.
- Behrendt S, Beesdo-Baum K, Hofler M, Perkonig A, Buhringer G, Lieb R, Wittchen HU. The relevance of age at first alcohol and nicotine use for initiation of cannabis use and progression to cannabis use disorders. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2012; 123:48–56. [PubMed: 22071122]
- Brook DW, Brook JS, Rubenstein E, Zhang C, Saar NS. Developmental associations between externalizing behaviors, peer delinquency, drug use, perceived neighborhood crime, and violent behavior in urban communities. *Aggressive Behavior*. 2011; 37:349–361. [PubMed: 21544831]
- Brook JS, Adams RE, Balka EB, Johnson E. Early adolescent marijuana use: risks for the transition to young adulthood. *Psychological Medicine*. 2002; 32:79–91. [PubMed: 11883732]
- Brook JS, Balka EB, Whiteman M. The risks for late adolescence of early adolescent marijuana use. *American Journal of Public Health*. 1999; 89:1549–1554. [PubMed: 10511838]
- Bucholz KK, Cadoret R, Cloninger CR, Dinwiddie SH, Hesselbrock VM, Nurnberger JI, Reich T, Schmidt I, Schuckit MA. A new, semi-structured psychiatric interview for use in genetic linkage studies: a report of the reliability of the SSAGA. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*. 1994; 55:149–158.
- Burt SA, McGue M, Iacono WG. Nonshared environmental mediation of the association between deviant peer affiliation and adolescent externalizing behaviors over time: results from a cross-lagged monozygotic twin differences design. *Developmental Psychology*. 2009; 45:1752–1760. [PubMed: 19899929]
- Caetano R, Babor TF. Diagnosis of alcohol dependence in epidemiological surveys: an epidemic of youthful alcohol dependence or a case of measurement error? *Addiction*. 2006; 101(Suppl. 1):111–114. [PubMed: 16930167]

- Calvert WJ, Bucholz KK, Steger-May K. Early drinking and its association with adolescents' participation in risky behaviors. *Journal of the American Psychiatric Nurses Association*. 2010; 16:239–251. [PubMed: 21659276]
- Chen CY, O'Brien MS, Anthony JC. Who becomes cannabis dependent soon after onset of use? Epidemiological evidence from the United States : 2000–2001. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2005; 79:11–22. [PubMed: 15943940]
- Chen P, Jacobson KC. Developmental trajectories of substance use from early adolescence to young adulthood : gender and racial/ethnic differences. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2012; 50:154–163. [PubMed: 22265111]
- Chung T, Martin CS. What were they thinking? Adolescents' interpretations of DSM-IV alcohol dependence symptom queries and implications for diagnostic validity. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2005; 80:191–200. [PubMed: 15894432]
- Coffey C, Carlin JB, Degenhardt L, Lynskey MT, Sanci L, Patton GC. Cannabis dependence in young adults: an Australian population study. *Addiction*. 2002; 96:187–194. [PubMed: 11860390]
- Coffey C, Lynskey M, Wolfe R, Patton GC. Initiation and progression of cannabis use in a population-based Australian adolescent longitudinal study. *Addiction*. 2000; 95:1679–1690. [PubMed: 11219371]
- Compton WM, Grant BF, Colliver JD, Glantz MD, Stinson FS. Prevalence of marijuana use disorders in the United States: 1991–1992 and 2001–2002. *Journal of the American Medical Association*. 2004; 291:2114–2121. [PubMed: 15126440]
- Copeland J, Swift W. Cannabis use disorder: epidemiology and management. *International Review of Psychiatry*. 2009; 21:96–103. [PubMed: 19367503]
- D'Amico EJ, McCarthy DM. Escalation and initiation of younger adolescents' substance use : the impact of perceived peer use. *Journal of Adolescent Health*. 2006; 39:481–487. [PubMed: 16982381]
- Degenhardt L, Chiu WT, Conway K, Dierker L, Glantz M, Kalaydjian A, Merikangas K, Sampson N, Swendsen J, Kessler RC. Does the 'gateway' matter? Associations between the order of drug use initiation and the development of drug dependence in the National Comorbidity Study Replication. *Psychological Medicine*. 2009; 39:157–167. [PubMed: 18466664]
- Degenhardt L, Chiu WT, Sampson N, Kessler RC, Anthony JC, Angermeyer M, Bruffaerts R, de Girolamo G, Gureje O, Huang Y, Karam A, Kostyuchenko S, Lepine JP, Mora ME, Neumark Y, Ormel JH, Pinto-Meza A, Posada-Villa J, Stein DJ, Takeshima T, Wells JE. Toward a global view of alcohol, tobacco, cannabis, and cocaine use: findings from the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *PLoS Medicine*. 2008; 5:1053–1067.
- Ellickson PL, Tucker JS, Klein DJ, Saner H. Antecedents and outcomes of marijuana use initiation during adolescence. *Preventive Medicine*. 2004; 39:976–984. [PubMed: 15475032]
- Ensminger ME, Juon HS, Green KM. Consistency between adolescent reports and adult retrospective reports of adolescent marijuana use: explanations of inconsistent reporting among an African American population. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2007; 89:13–23. [PubMed: 17196343]
- Fendrich M, Johnson TP. Race/ethnicity differences in the validity of self-reported drug use: results from a household survey. *Journal of Urban Health*. 2005; 82:ii67–iii81.
- Fergusson DM, Lynskey MT, Horwood LJ. Childhood sexual abuse and psychiatric disorder in young adulthood: I. *Prevalence of sexual abuse and factors associated with sexual abuse*. *Journal of the American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry*. 1996; 35:1355–1364. [PubMed: 8885590]
- Finlay AK, White HR, Mun EY, Cronley CC, Lee C. Racial differences in trajectories of heavy drinking and regular marijuana use from ages 13 to 24 among African-American and White males. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2012; 121:118–123. [PubMed: 21908109]
- Gil AG, Vega WA, Turner RJ. Early and mid-adolescence risk factors for later substance abuse by African Americans and European Americans. *Public Health Reports*. 2002; 117(Suppl. 1):S15–S29. [PubMed: 12435824]
- Gillmore MR, Catalano RF, Morrison DM, Wells EA, Iritani B, Hawkins JD. Racial differences in acceptability and availability of drugs and early initiation of substance use. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 1990; 16:185–206. [PubMed: 2288320]

- Grambsch P, Therneau TM. Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on weighted residuals. *Biometrika*. 1994; 81:515–526.
- Guerra LM, Romano PS, Samuels SJ, Kass PH. Ethnic differences in adolescent substance initiation sequences. *Archives of Pediatrics and Adolescent Medicine*. 2000; 154:1089–1095. [PubMed: 11074848]
- Haller M, Handley E, Chassin L, Bountress K. Developmental cascades: linking adolescent substance use, affiliation with substance use promoting peers, and academic achievement to adult substance use disorders. *Developmental Psychopathology*. 2010; 22:899–916.
- Harford TC, Yi HY, Faden VB, Chen CM. The dimensionality of DSM-IV alcohol use disorders among adolescent and adult drinkers and symptom patterns by age, gender, and race/ethnicity. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 2009; 33:868–878.
- Heath AC, Howells W, Bucholz KK, Glowinski A, Nelson EC, Madden PAF. Ascertainment of a mid-western U.S. female adolescent twin cohort for alcohol studies: assessment of sample representativeness using birth record data. *Twin Research*. 2002; 5:107–112. [PubMed: 11931688]
- Hesselbrock M, Easton C, Bucholz KK, Schuckit MA, Hesselbrock VM. A validity study of the SSAGA - a comparison with the SCAN. *Addiction*. 1999; 94:1361–1370. [PubMed: 10615721]
- Hoffmann JP, Su SS. Parental substance use disorder, mediating variables and adolescent drug use: a non-recursive model. *Addiction*. 1998; 93:1351–1364. [PubMed: 9926541]
- Johnston, LD.; O'Malley, PM.; Bachman, JG.; Schulenberg, JE. *Monitoring the Future. National Results on Adolescent Drug Use: Overview of Key Findings*. Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Drug Abuse; 2008.
- Kandel D, Faust R. Sequence and stages in patterns of adolescent drug use. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 1975; 32:923–932. [PubMed: 1156108]
- Kandel DB, Yamaguchi K, Chen K. Stages of progression in drug involvement from adolescence to adulthood: further evidence for the gateway theory. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 1992; 53:447–457. [PubMed: 1405637]
- Korhonen T, Huizink AC, Dick DM, Pulkkinen L, Rose RJ, Kaprio J. Role of individual, peer and family factors in the use of cannabis and other illicit drugs: a longitudinal analysis among Finnish adolescent twins. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2008; 97:33–43. [PubMed: 18455885]
- Lessem JM, Hopfer CJ, Haberstick BC, Timberlake D, Ehringer MA, Smolen A, Hewitt JK. Relationship between adolescent marijuana use and young adult illicit drug use. *Behavior Genetics*. 2006; 36:498–506. [PubMed: 16565887]
- Lev-Ran S, Imtiaz S, Taylor BJ, Shield KD, Rehm J, Le Foll B. Gender differences in health-related quality of life among cannabis users: results from the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2012; 123:190–200. [PubMed: 22143039]
- Lynskey M, Hall W. The effects of adolescent cannabis use on educational attainment: a review. *Addiction*. 2000; 95:1621–1630. [PubMed: 11219366]
- Mackesy-Amiti ME, Fendrich M, Goldstein PJ. Sequence of drug use among serious drug users: typical vs atypical progression. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 1997; 45:185–196. [PubMed: 9179520]
- Newes-Adeyi, G.; Chen, CM.; Williams, GD.; Faden, VB. *Trends in Underage Drinking in the United States, 1991–2003 (Surveillance Report #74)*. Bethesda, MD: National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, Division of Epidemiology and Prevention Research, Alcohol Epidemiologic Data System; 2004.
- Nocon A, Wittchen HU, Pfister H, Zimmermann P, Lieb R. Dependence symptoms in young cannabis users? A prospective epidemiological study. *Journal of Psychiatric Research*. 2006; 40:394–403. [PubMed: 16169014]
- Rothman EF, Wise LA, Bernstein E, Bernstein J. The timing of alcohol use and sexual initiation among a sample of Black, Hispanic, and White adolescents. *Journal of Ethnicity in Substance Abuse*. 2009; 8:129–145. [PubMed: 19459121]
- Sartor CE, Agrawal A, Lynskey MT, Bucholz KK, Heath AC. Genetic and environmental influences on the rate of progression to alcohol dependence in young women. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 2008; 32:632–638.

- Sartor CE, Lynskey MT, Heath AC, Jacob T, True W. The role of childhood risk factors in initiation of alcohol use and progression to alcohol dependence. *Addiction*. 2007; 102:216–225. [PubMed: 17222275]
- SAS Institute. SAS Software Version 9.2. Cary, NC: SAS Institute Inc; 2008.
- Schepis TS, Desai RA, Cavallo DA, Smith AE, McFetridge A, Liss TB, Potenza MN, Krishnan-Sarin S. Gender differences in adolescent marijuana use and associated psychosocial characteristics. *Journal of Addiction Medicine*. 2011; 5:65–73. [PubMed: 21769049]
- Shih RA, Miles JN, Tucker JS, Zhou AJ, D'Amico EJ. Racial/ethnic differences in adolescent substance use: mediation by individual, family, and school factors. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*. 2010; 71:640–651. [PubMed: 20731969]
- Stallings MC, Hewitt JK, Beresford T, Heath AC, Eaves LJ. A twin study of drinking and smoking onset and latencies from first to regular use. *Behavior Genetics*. 1999; 6:409–421. [PubMed: 10857246]
- StataCorp. Stata Statistical Software: Release 8.2. College Station, TX: Stata Corporation; 2007.
- Stinson FS, Ruan WJ, Pickering RP, Grant BF. Cannabis use disorders in the USA: prevalence, correlates and co-morbidity. *Psychological Medicine*. 2006; 36:1447–1460. [PubMed: 16854249]
- Tarter RE, Vanyukov M, Kirisci L, Reynolds M, Clark DB. Predictors of marijuana use in adolescents before and after licit drug use: examination of the gateway hypothesis. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2006; 12:2134–2140. [PubMed: 17151165]
- Teesson M, Baillie A, Lynskey MT, Manor B, Degenhardt L. Substance use, dependence and treatment seeking in the United States and Australia: a crossnational comparison. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2006; 81:149–155. [PubMed: 16043307]
- van den Bree MB, Pickworth WB. Risk factors predicting changes in marijuana involvement in teenagers. *Archives of General Psychiatry*. 2005; 62:311–319. [PubMed: 15753244]
- Vega WA, Aguilar-Gaxiola S, Andrade L, Bijl R, Borges G, Caraveo-Anduaga JJ, DeWit DJ, Heeringa SG, Kessler RC, Kolody B, Merikangas KR, Molnar BE, Walters EE, Warner LA, Wittchen HU. Prevalence and age of onset for drug use in seven international sites: results from the international consortium of psychiatric epidemiology. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2002; 68:285–297. [PubMed: 12393223]
- Wagner EF, Lloyd DA, Gil AG. Racial/ethnic and gender differences in the incidence and onset age of DSM-IV alcohol use disorder symptoms among adolescents. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 2002; 63:609–619. [PubMed: 12380858]
- Wagner FA, Anthony JC. Male-female differences in the risk of progression from first use to dependence upon cannabis, cocaine, and alcohol. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2007; 86:191–198. [PubMed: 17029825]
- Walker JL, Carey PD, Mohr N, Stein DJ, Seedat S. Gender differences in the prevalence of childhood sexual abuse and in the development of pediatric PTSD. *Archives of Women's Mental Health*. 2004; 7:111–121.
- Wallace JM Jr, Forman TA, Guthrie BJ, Bachman JG, O'Malley PM, Johnston LD. The epidemiology of alcohol, tobacco and other drug use among black youth. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 1999; 60:800–809. [PubMed: 10606492]
- Wang J, Simons-Morton BG, Farhat T, Luk JW. Socio-demographic variability in adolescent substance use: mediation by parents and peers. *Prevention Science*. 2009; 10:387–396. [PubMed: 19582581]
- Wells JE, McGee MA. Violations of the usual sequence of drug initiation: prevalence and associations with the development of dependence in the New Zealand Mental Health Survey. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*. 2008; 69:789–795. [PubMed: 18925336]
- White HR, Jarrett N, Valencia EY, Loeber R, Wei E. Stages and sequences of initiation and regular substance use in a longitudinal cohort of black and white male adolescents. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol and Drugs*. 2007; 68:173–181. [PubMed: 17286335]

Prevalence (%) and order of initiation of alcohol and cannabis use for African-Americans (AAs) and European-Americans (EAs)

Table 1

Ethnicity	Used alcohol and cannabis			Alcohol only	Neither
	Cannabis first	Same age	Alcohol first		
AA (n = 742)	17.4	11.0	18.1	32.4	17.9
EA (n = 3348)	5.8	9.5	28.7	44.0	11.7

$\chi^2_{(5)} = 238.3, p < 0.0001.$

Table 2
Mean age (years) at initiation of alcohol and cannabis use by ethnicity and order of initiation

Substance	Ethnicity		Order of initiation				
	AA	EA	Cannabis first	Same age	Alcohol first	Cannabis only	Alcohol only
Cannabis	16.6 (2.5)	16.4 (2.3)	$t_{532} = 1.22$ $p = 0.22$	15.6 (1.7)	17.2 (2.2)	16.3 (2.4)	–
Alcohol	16.9 (2.9)	15.8 (2.4)	$t_{47} = 8.10$ $p < 0.0001$	15.6 (1.8)	14.7 (2.1)	–	16.8 (2.5)
							$F_{3,1848} = 143.0$ $p < 0.0001$ $F_{3,3530} = 200.0$ $p < 0.0001$

AA, African-American; EA, European-American.

Values given as mean (standard deviation).

Table 3

Results of Cox proportional hazards regression analyses predicting transition time from initiation of cannabis use to onset of first cannabis use disorder (CUD) symptom^a

	Base model HR (95% CI)	Model with covariates HR (95% CI)
Alcohol use	2.73 (1.78–4.17) *	1.95 (1.27–2.99) *
Order of initiation ^b		
Cannabis first	1.41 (1.07–1.87) *	1.44 (1.08–1.93) *
Same age	0.91 (0.64–1.28)	0.89 (0.61–1.29)
No alcohol	1.43 (0.59–3.48)	1.26 (0.51–3.09)
AA ethnicity	1.27 (0.94–1.70)	1.59 (1.13–2.24) *
Maternal alcohol problems	1.52 (1.20–1.91) *	1.27 (0.99–1.63)
Paternal alcohol problems	1.19 (0.94–1.49)	1.01 (0.80–1.28)
Childhood physical abuse or neglect (CPAN)	–	1.09 (0.86–1.39)
Childhood sexual abuse (CSA)	–	1.40 (1.11–1.77) *
Major depressive disorder (MDD)	–	1.30 (1.03–1.65) *
Conduct disorder (CD)	–	1.82 (1.41–2.35) *
Regular smoking	–	2.04 (1.58–2.64) *

AA, African-American; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for age at time of symptom report, age at first use, sampling design, and proportional hazards violations.

^bAlcohol first as reference group.

* $p < 0.05$.

Table 4

Results of Cox proportional hazards regression analyses predicting transition time from first drink to onset of first alcohol use disorder (AUD) symptom^a

	Base model HR (95% CI)	Model with Covariates HR (95% CI)
Cannabis use	1.16 (0.96–1.40)	1.18 (1.00–1.38)
Order of initiation ^b		
Cannabis first	0.69 (0.57–0.84) *	0.68 (0.56–0.83) *
Same age	0.79 (0.68–0.92) *	0.78 (0.66–0.91) *
No cannabis	0.40 (0.34–0.47) *	0.45 (0.39–0.53) *
AA ethnicity	0.72 (0.60–0.86) *	0.74 (0.61–0.90) *
Maternal alcohol problems	1.17 (1.00–1.36)	1.03 (0.88–1.21)
Paternal alcohol problems	1.23 (1.09–1.39) *	1.09 (0.97–1.24)
Childhood physical abuse or neglect (CPAN)	–	1.09 (0.95–1.25)
Childhood sexual abuse (CSA)	–	1.14 (0.97–1.33)
Major depressive disorder (MDD)	–	1.38 (1.22–1.55) *
Conduct disorder (CD)	–	1.47 (1.19–1.82) *
Regular smoking	–	1.22 (1.04–1.44) *

AA, African-American; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aAdjusted for age at time of symptom report, age at first use, sampling design, and proportional hazards violations.

^bAlcohol first as reference group.

* $p < 0.05$.