Are addictions diseases or choices?

Marco Leyton, PhD

From the Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, Montréal; Centre for Studies in Behavioural Neuroscience, Department of Psychology, Concordia University, Montréal, Que., Canada

Are addictions diseases? Certainly they are included in recent and forthcoming versions of the DSM and other widely used diagnostic schemas. Still, the concept does not have universal support. Particularly widespread is the suspicion that drug use is a choice, even for individuals with long-time substance dependence.^{1,2} The idea cannot be completely dismissed. Most people who meet criteria for an addiction are eventually able to quit, and many accomplish this without the benefits of a clinical intervention.³⁻⁵ Among those who do receive treatment, a few words at the right moment from the right person can sometimes be as effective as a more extensive regimen.⁶ However, these features are primarily seen in mildly affected individuals.⁶ Even more essential to the issue, calling something a choice is not an explanation — it is the question. Why did the person make that choice? Why is stopping so difficult? And why is relapse so common even after withdrawal symptoms have dissipated?

Are addictions diseases, then?⁷ My *Oxford English Dictionary* (OED) describes illness and disease as the absence of ease and the presence of unpleasantness. *Stedman's Medical Dictionary* definition demands more. Disease is a “morbid entity characterized usually by two or more of the following criteria: recognized etiologic agent(s), identifiable group of signs and symptoms, or consistent anatomical alterations.” The OED definition of disease is clearly met, as is at least 1 of *Stedman's* 3 criteria (“signs and symptoms”). For example, addictions are associated with pervasive medical, emotional, personal and professional problems,⁸ and substance use disorders are among the top 10 causes of disability-adjusted life years lost worldwide.⁹⁻¹¹

Do addictions also meet *Stedman's* 2 other criteria? As a start, there is evidence of pre-existing vulnerability traits. Only a minority of people who try drugs and alcohol become addicted.¹² Family,¹³ twin¹⁴⁻¹⁶ and adoption studies^{17,18} suggest that this differential risk comes 50% from genetic factors and 50% from environmental ones.¹⁹ Genome-wide association studies have tentatively identified specific contributions that are modest but replicable.²⁰⁻²² Studies of gene × environment interactions suggest that the 2 variables augment the influence of the other.^{17,18}

This differential vulnerability to addictions does not seem to reflect a unitary trait. Instead vulnerability arises along multiple trajectories with distinguishable temperamental features.²³⁻²⁵ Most are related to externalizing behaviours (e.g., impulsivity, sensation seeking, conduct disorders); others are related to internalizing ones (e.g., anxious-depressed mood).^{18,26-28} Some of the trajectories' influences are substance-specific,²⁹ potentially reflecting drug availability, sociocultural contexts³⁰ and variations in genes that, for example, encode for the metabolism of ethanol³¹ and nicotine.³² Other influences are more general, including nonspecific genetic factors;^{14,15,33} family functioning; and prenatal, early life and adult stressors.^{17,18,34} Some trajectories share environmental factor overlap with 1 set of disease clusters and genetic overlap with another.²⁹ The influence of these factors can be larger or smaller at different stages of the lifespan.^{30,35} Not surprisingly, there is substantial and varied comorbidity. Finally, once drug use itself begins, the neurochemical effects can be remarkably enduring. Repeated drug exposure can lead to long-lasting neuroplastic changes^{36,37} that alter responses to drugs and drug-related cues.^{38,39} Incentive processes become pathologically tied to a narrowed set of stimuli,³⁹⁻⁴¹ and the neurocircuitry engaged expands from systems that influence voluntary reward-seeking behaviour to include those that regulate deeply ingrained, overlearned, difficult to alter stimulus-response habits.⁴⁰⁻⁴² Among those with current addictions, disturbed striatal dopamine transmission and decreased cortical grey matter and function are among the best replicated findings in biological psychiatry.^{40,41}

Based on these definitions, then, it is proposed that addictions should indeed be considered diseases. They are clinically relevant negative states (“signs and symptoms”) following from vulnerability traits that intersect with diverse factors (“etiologic agents”) to yield replicable neurobiological changes (“anatomical alterations”). They share characteristics with other medical diseases, yet have their own unique features. As with type II diabetes, hypertension and many cancers, there are genetic, biological and environmental influences. As with Tourette syndrome, patients can inhibit their compulsive behaviours, yet sustaining these choices is

Correspondence to: M. Leyton, Department of Psychiatry, McGill University, 1033 Pine Ave. West, Montréal QC H3A 1A1; marco.leyton@mcgill.ca

J Psychiatry Neurosci 2013;38(4):219-21.

DOI: 10.1503/jpn.130097

Fig. 1: The VEAR model of addiction (Vulnerability, Exposure, Addiction, Recovery): transition points and treatment targets. The VEAR is based on SEIR models (Susceptibility, Exposure, Infection, Recovery) commonly used in epidemiology. The model highlights 3 points. First, addictions result from the influence of multiple factors along a trajectory. Second, there are multiple trajectories. Most addictions progress along trajectories characterized by i) externalizing behaviours, or ii) internalizing behaviours, with or without accompanying externalizing features. Third, each of the listed factors, including gene expression, can be influenced, providing multiple opportunities for intervention and treatment. Adapted with permission from Leyton and Cox.⁵⁰ ADHD = attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder; HCV = hepatitis C virus; HIV = human immunodeficiency virus.

difficult and contextually modulated. Finally, in this view, an addiction is only 1 possible expression of the pre-existing traits. The exact expression varies with the trajectory and changes during development (e.g., shoplifting at age 10, substance abuse at age 15) and is modulated by life events, the presence or absence of particular cues and contexts and substance use itself (Fig. 1).

These distinctive features of addiction as a disease are shared with other neuropsychiatric disorders,^{43–49} raising the possibility that addiction is not only a mental illness, but also a prototypical one. Although substance use disorders are unique in that disease expression (as an addiction) requires exposure to a drug, other psychiatric disorders also need external triggers. As with phobias, the abnormal response is tied to a specific set of stimuli. As with posttraumatic stress disorder, the precipitating agent is an exogenous event, exposure to which is thought to produce long-lasting effects on the brain that aggravate the underlying vulnerability. As with schizophrenia, it is thought to reflect a cumulative outcome of genetic, prenatal and early environmental psychosocial stressors. Indeed, converging evidence raises the possibility that nearly all major psychiatric illnesses are multifactorial, reflecting a gradient of neurodevelopmental processes and pleiotropic etiological trajectories.⁴⁵

Identifying further the neurobiology associated with addictions and other mental illnesses will require more work and, more importantly, a change in research strategies. This includes gaining a better understanding of the basic neuroscience in both human and animal models and conducting large prospective follow-up studies to better characterize the

relevant developmental changes. It will also require asking qualitatively different questions. Instead of testing whether people with diagnoses of disorder X have disturbances to neurobiological system X, we need to ask whether individual differences in a particular biological system are associated with cognitive–affective–behavioural phenomena of interest. This writer's bet is that they do.

Acknowledgements: I thank Chawki Benkelfat and Robert O. Pihl for their feedback on an earlier version of this editorial and for many discussions over the years.

References

- Heyman GM. *Addiction: A Disorder of Choice*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press; 2009.
- Harris AH, Ellerbe L, Reeder RN, et al. Pharmacotherapy for alcohol dependence: perceived treatment barriers and action strategies among veterans health administration service providers. *Psychol Serv* 2013 Jan 28 [Epub ahead of print].
- Robins LN, Helzer JE, Davis DH. Narcotic use in Southeast Asia and afterward. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1975;32:955–61.
- Klingemann H, Sobell MA, Sobell LC. Continuities and changes in self-change research. *Addiction* 2010;105:1510–8.
- Slutske WS. Natural recovery and treatment-seeking in pathological gambling: results from two U.S. national surveys. *Am J Psychiatry* 2006;163:297–302.
- Heather N. Interpreting the evidence on brief interventions for excessive drinkers: the need for caution. *Alcohol Alcohol* 1995;30:287–96.
- Smith R. In search of “non-disease.” *BMJ* 2002;324:883–5.
- Washton AM, Gold MS. *Cocaine: A Clinician's Handbook*. New York:

- The Guilford Press; 1987.
9. Rehm J, Gnam W, Popova S, et al. The costs of alcohol, illegal drugs, and tobacco in Canada, 2002. *J Stud Alcohol Drugs* 2007;68: 886-95.
 10. Collins PY, Patel V, Joestl SS, et al. Grand challenges in global mental health. *Nature* 2011;475:27-30.
 11. Murray CJL, Vos T, Lozano R, et al. Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) for 291 diseases and injuries in 21 regions, 1990-201: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2012;380:2197-223.
 12. Anthony JC. Epidemiology of drug dependence. In: Davis KL, Charney D, Coyle JT, et al., editors. *Neuropsychopharmacology: The Fifth Generation of Progress*. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2002. p. 1557-74.
 13. Merikangas KR, Stolar M, Stevens DE, et al. Familial transmission of substance use disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998;55:973-9.
 14. Tsuang MT, Lyons MJ, Eisen SA, et al. Genetic influences on DSM-III-R drug abuse and dependence: a study of 3,372 twin pairs. *Am J Med Genet* 1996;67:473-7.
 15. Tsuang MT, Lyons MJ, Meyer JM, et al. Co-occurrence of abuse of different drugs in men: the role of drug-specific and shared vulnerabilities. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1998;55:967-72.
 16. Kendler KS, Karkowski LM, Neale MC, et al. Illicit psychoactive substance use, heavy use, abuse, and dependence in a US population-based sample of male twins. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2000;57:261-9.
 17. Cadoret RJ, Troughton E, O'Gorman TW, et al. An adoption study of genetic and environmental factors in drug abuse. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1986;43:1131-6.
 18. Kendler KS, Sundquist K, Ohlsson H, et al. Genetic and familial environmental influences on the risk of drug abuse: a national Swedish adoption study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2012;69:690-7.
 19. Bierut LJ. Genetic vulnerability and susceptibility to substance dependence. *Neuron* 2011;69:618-27.
 20. Agrawal A, Verweij KJH, Gillespie NA, et al. The genetics of addiction — a translational approach. *Transl Psychiatry* 2012;2:e140.
 21. Wang J-C, Foroud T, Hinrichs AL, et al. A genome-wide association study of alcohol-dependence symptom counts in extended pedigrees identifies C15orf53. *Mol Psychiatry* 10.1038/mp.2012.143. 2012 Oct 23 [Epub ahead of print].
 22. Belsky DW, Moffitt TE, Baker TB, et al. Polygenic risk and the developmental progression to heavy, persistent smoking and nicotine dependence: evidence from a 4-decade longitudinal study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013;70:534-42.
 23. Conrod PJ, Pihl RO, Stewart SH, et al. Validation of a system of classifying female substance abusers on the basis of personality and motivational risk factors substance abuse. *Psychol Addict Behav* 2000;14:243-56.
 24. Ayduk O, Mendoza-Denton R, Mishel W, et al. Regulating the interpersonal self: strategic self-regulation for coping with rejection sensitivity. *J Pers Soc Psychol* 2000;79:776-92.
 25. Pingault J-B, Côté SM, Galéra C, et al. Childhood trajectories of inattention, hyperactivity and oppositional behaviors and prediction of substance abuse/dependence: a 15-year longitudinal population-based study. *Mol Psychiatry* 10.1038/mp.2012.87. 2012 Jun 26 [Epub ahead of print].
 26. Tarter RE, Kirisci L, Mezzich A, et al. Neurobehavioral disinhibition in childhood predicts early age at onset of substance use disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:1078-85.
 27. Dawson DA, Goldstein RB, Moss HB, et al. Gender differences in the relationship of internalizing and externalizing psychopathology to alcohol dependence: likelihood, expression and course. *Drug Alcohol Depend* 2010;112:9-17.
 28. Kushner MG, Wall MW, Krueger RF, et al. Alcohol dependence is related to overall internalizing psychopathology load rather than to particular internalizing disorders: Evidence from a National Sample. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res* 2012;36:325-31.
 29. Blanco C, Krueger RF, Hasin DS, et al. Mapping common psychiatric disorders: structure and predictive validity in the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013;70:199-208.
 30. Vrieze SI, Hicks BM, Iacono WG, et al. Decline in genetic influence on the co-occurrence of alcohol, marijuana, and nicotine dependence symptoms from age 14 to 29. *Am J Psychiatry* 2012;169:1073-81.
 31. Edenberg HJ. The genetics of alcohol metabolism: role of alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase variants. *Alcohol Res Health* 2007;30:5-13.
 32. O'Loughlin J, Paradis G, Kim W, et al. Genetically decreased CYP2A6 and the risk of tobacco dependence: a prospective study of novice smokers. *Tob Control* 2004;13:422-8.
 33. Kendler KS, Jacobson KC, Prescott CA, et al. Specificity of genetic and environmental risk factors for use and abuse/dependence of cannabis, cocaine, hallucinogens, sedatives, stimulants, and opiates in male twins. *Am J Psychiatry* 2003;160:687-95.
 34. Fox NA, Rutter M. Introduction to the special section on the effects of early experience on development. *Child Dev* 2010;81:23-7.
 35. Bergen SE, Gardner CO, Kendler KS. Age-related changes in heritability of behavioral phenotypes over adolescence and young adulthood: a meta-analysis. *Twin Res Hum Genet* 2007;10:423-33.
 36. Hyman SE, Malenka RC, Nestler EJ. Neural mechanisms of addiction: the role of reward-related learning and memory. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 2006;29:565-98.
 37. Robinson TE, Berridge KC. The incentive sensitization theory of addiction: some current issues. *Phil Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 2008;363:3137-46.
 38. Boileau I, Dagher A, Leyton M, et al. Modeling sensitization to stimulants in humans: a [¹¹C]raclopride/PET study in healthy volunteers. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2006;63:1386-95.
 39. Leyton M, Vezina P. Striatal ups and downs: their roles in vulnerability to addictions. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.01.018. 2013 Jan 16 [Epub ahead of print].
 40. Goldstein RZ, Volkow ND. Dysfunction of the prefrontal cortex in addiction: neuroimaging findings and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2011;12:652-69.
 41. Volkow ND, Wang G-J, Fowler JS, et al. Addiction circuitry in the human brain. *Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol* 2012;52:321-36.
 42. Everitt BJ, Robbins TW. From the ventral to the dorsal striatum: devolving views of their roles in drug addiction. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2013.02.010 2013 Feb 21 [Epub ahead of print].
 43. Krueger RF. The structure of common mental disorders. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1999;56:921-6.
 44. Caspi A, Moffitt TE. Gene-environment interactions in psychiatry: joining forces with neuroscience. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2006;7:583-90.
 45. Craddock N, Owen MJ. The Kraepelinian dichotomy — going, going...but still not gone. *Br J Psychiatry* 2010;196:92-5.
 46. Kessler RC, Ormel J, Petukhova M, et al. Development of lifetime comorbidity in the World Health Organization Mental Health Surveys. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 2011;68:90-100.
 47. Kapur S, Phillips AG, Insel TR. Why has it taken so long for biological psychiatry to develop clinical tests and what to do about it? *Mol Psychiatry* 2012;17:1174-9.
 48. Craddock N, Sklar P. Bipolar disorder 1. *Lancet* 2013;381:1654-62.
 49. Smoller JW, Craddock JW, Kendler K, et al.; Cross-Disorder Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Identification of risk loci with shared effects on five major psychiatric disorders: a genome-wide analysis. *Lancet* 2013;381:1371-9.
 50. Leyton M, Cox SML. Impulsivity, substance abuse and dependence. In: Vaccarino F, editor. *Substance Abuse in Canada: Concurrent Disorders*. Ottawa: CCSA; 2009.