Published in final edited form as:

Tetrahedron. 2013 July 8; 69(27-28): 5732–5736. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2013.03.085.

Development of a palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling of (2-azaaryl)carboxylates with aryl halides

Christopher K. Haley, Christopher D. Gilmore, and Brian M. Stoltz*

Warren and Katharine Schlinger Laboratory for Chemistry and Chemical Engineering Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, United States

Abstract

A catalytic method for the decarboxylative coupling of 2-(azaaryl)carboxylates with aryl halides is described. The decarboxylative cross-coupling presented is mediated by a system catalytic in both palladium and copper without requiring stoichiometric amounts of organometallic reagents or organoboronic acids. This method circumvents additional synthetic steps required to prepare 2-azaaryl organometallics and organoborates as nucleophilic coupling partners, which are prone to protodemetalation and protodeborylation and produce potentially toxic byproducts.

Keywords

Decarboxylative cross-coupling; (2-azaaryl)carboxylates; Palladium; Copper

1. Introduction

The 2-substituted pyridine motif (Figure 1), is present in a number of important small molecules,¹ however 2-azaaryl nucleophiles typically used in cross-coupling reactions, such as organozincs, Grignards, organolithiums, organostannanes, and boronic acids, are notoriously unstable and difficult to prepare.² While some modifications have been made to improve their synthetic viability, especially within the realm of boronic acid derivatives, these approaches are often inconvenient or produce toxic waste.³ The development of a decarboxylative method of generating these organometallic species (e.g., **2**) in situ from (2-azaaryl)carboxylates (**1**) represents a desirable alternative to traditional aryl nucleophiles for the synthesis of 2-aryl pyridine structures (**3**). 2-(azaaryl)carboxylates are generally inexpensive, are stable to both air and water, and represent a more ecologically friendly alternative to their organometallic counterparts.

Myers and co-workers reported the first practical decarboxylative cross-coupling in 2002,⁴ a palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative Heck-type olefination (Figure 2). This work demonstrated that olefinated arenes are accessible from benzoic acids and olefins in the presence of catalytic palladium(II) triflate and silver carbonate. This represented an important advance as it provided an alternative to aryl halides and aryl pseudo-halides usually used in Heck reactions. A critical development within the field of decarboxylative

cross-coupling was reported in 2006; Goossen and co-workers disclosed a catalytic decarboxylative cross-coupling reaction, utilizing a dual-catalyst system of copper and palladium. Mechanistically, this dual catalyst approach likely proceeds through a decarboxylative cupration of the arylcarboxylate partner. The resulting aryl copper species subsequently undergoes transmetalation onto the palladium, which furnishes the coupled product through reductive elimination (Figure 2).⁵ Unlike typical cross-couplings, which require stoichiometric aryl organometallic nucleophiles, decarboxylative couplings proceed through an in situ generation of the nucleophilic coupling partner. A variety of non-aryl carboxylates have proven to act as efficient coupling partners in decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions,⁶ including alkynes,⁷ α -keto acids,⁸ and 2-(2-azaaryl)acetates.⁹ While these represent great advances within the field, the robust coupling of a key class of molecules, namely 2-(azaaryl)carboxylates, has been elusive. Recently, during the course of our own work on this topic, Wu and co-workers reported on the palladium-catalyzed decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions of 2-picolinic acid (Figure 2).¹⁰ In light of this work, we sought to supplement their studies with our own findings.

2. Results and discussion

2.1 Optimization of a decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with bromobenzene

To develop a general cross-coupling methodology, we selected simple substrates for optimization studies, namely picolinic acid (**8**) and bromobenzene (**9**, Table 1). We began our investigations by applying conditions similar to those reported by Goossen with disappointing results. (Table 1, entry 1). Using the same catalyst system we examined microwave irradiation and observed an increase in yield over heating in an oil bath (Table 1, entry 2). Similar results were disclosed by Goossen and Crabtree who independently reported enhanced yields using microwave irradiation.¹¹

With these initial results in hand we set about screening palladium and copper sources. Both palladium(II) and palladium(0) sources were examined, with palladium(II) iodide providing 2-phenyl pyridine (**10**) in 36% yield (Table 1, entry 2). Ultimately, cuprous oxide (Cu_2O), the copper source reported by Goossen and co-workers, gave consistently higher yields than copper(I) halides (Table 1, entries 12–16). Importantly, for both copper and palladium sources, weakly coordinating counterions were favored. While these results were encouraging, we sought to further improve the system. Concurrent with these studies, we examined several other solvents, however were limited to high boiling solvents as a consequence of the temperatures required for the copper-catalyzed decarboxylation of picolinic acid (Table 1, entries 17–20).

With these copper and palladium sources, we next examined several phosphine ligands. None showed improvement over the triphenylphosphine used in our initial studies. During the course of our studies we discovered that preheating the mixture at 50 °C for 10 minutes prior to heating to 190 °C was advantageous. Gratifyingly, we discovered that changing from the bidentate ligand 1,10-phenanthroline to the monodentate ligand pyridine caused a substantial increase in yield (Table 2, entry 6).

The implementation of a pre-formed potassium picolinate salt led to a 10% increase in yield over its in situ generated counterpart (Table 2, entry 7). In examining the stereoelectronics of the *N*-ligand we discovered sterically encumbered nitrogen-containing ligands proved detrimental to the yield (Table 2, entries 8 and 13), while both electron-rich and deficient ligands also did not improve yields. Interestingly, as observed with 1,10-phenanthroline, other bidentate ligands such as TMEDA lead to lower yields (Table 2, entry 15). We hypothesize the formation of a stable 18 electron copper complex (**12**) is detrimental to decarboxylation (Figure 3). As seen, by LCMS analysis, much of our reduced

yield could be accounted for by the persistence of picolinic acid, indicating the initial decarboxylation is challenging.

With a suitable *N*-ligand in hand we hoped to gain better insight into the influence of the *P*-ligands on our catalytic system; like their nitrogen counter parts, bidentate phosphorous ligands performed poorly under the reaction conditions (Table 3, entries 8 and 13). We also reexamined copper sources, this time including copper(II) salts (Table 3, entries 16–20). All of these were inferior to copper(I) oxide. We investigated other counter ions of the picolinate salts such as cesium and sodium (Table 3, entries 14 and 15). None of these fared as well as potassium; confirming a trend was also observed in Goossen's studies.

One of the unproductive side-reactions of our decarboxylative cross-coupling is the formation of biphenyl through an Ullmann-type dimerization of bromobenzene. By modulating the stoichiometry of bromobenzene (**9**) we attempted to suppress the unproductive dimerization and increase cross-coupling (Table 4). Ultimately, reducing the equivalents of bromobenzene from 3.0 to 2.0 was slightly beneficial to our yield (Table 4, entry 5).

Concurrent to these studies, we examined the impact of reaction times on the yield of our cross-coupling. Though a reaction time of 8 hours provided the highest yield of 2-phenylpyridine at 72%, it represented only a marginal improvement over shorter times (Table 5).

In order to better understand the reaction mechanism, we performed a series of control experiments in which we omitted each of the reagents. We discovered pyridine was not able to facilitate the necessary deprotonation of picolinic acid in situ (Table 6, entry 1). Omission of triphenylphosphine substantially decreased the yield of 2-phenyl pyridine (**10**) (Table 6, entry 2). Both copper and palladium proved crucial for our reaction; removal of either metal lead to little or no reactivity (Table 6, entries 3 and 4). Ultimately, pyridine was not necessary to facilitate the reaction (Table 6, entry 5). However this is most likely due to an unproductive protodecarboxylative side reaction that produces pyridine from picolinic acid.¹² 2-Phenyl pyridine is a common substrate for functional group directed C–H activation and can serve as a ligand for copper or palladium.¹³ Consequently, we explored the possibility of product inhibition. However, yields were not effected by addition of 2-phenyl pyridine to the reaction (Table 6, entry 6), ruling out this inhibitory interaction.

2.2 The cross-coupling of (2-azaaryl)carboxylates with aryl halides

With our best conditions to date identified, we turned our attention to examination of the substrate scope of the decarboxylative cross-coupling (Figure 4). Electronically neutral aryl halides (**10** and **16**) performed well under the reaction conditions. Both electron rich and electron deficient aryl halides fared modestly (**17** and **18**). One of the major byproducts of reactions using electron deficient aryl bromides was an Ullmann-type coupled product. Heteroaromatic halides produced coupled products in disappointingly low yields (**22** and **23**). Other (2-azaaryl)carboxylates performed well under the reaction conditions (**24** and **25**), representing an expansion of the substrate scope detailed in Wu's report.

2.1 Conclusion

Decarboxylative coupling is an attractive alternative to traditional cross-coupling reactions. The starting materials are stable and inexpensive while the byproducts of these reactions are less toxic and easier to dispose of than traditional organometallic reagents and boronic acids. 2-metallated heteroarenes are particularly unstable, difficult to prepare and expensive. Herein we have reported an approach to circumvent the need to use these undesirable

reagents. We have shown that 2-(azaaryl)carboxylates can be effectively used in decarboxylative cross-coupling reactions with aryl halides to furnish 2-(azaaryl)arenes, a motif present in a wide variety of important small molecules.

4. Selected experimental

Spectral data for compounds **10**, **16**, **17**, **18**, **19**, **20**, **21**, **22**, **23**, **24**, **25** were consistent with previously reported data.^{10,14, 15}

A representative procedure for the decarboxylative cross-coupling of (2-azaaryl)carboxylates with aryl halides:

2-phenylpyridine (**10**)

74.9 mg (0.464 mmol) **13**, 18.3 mg (0.0697 mmol) PPh₃, 8.36 mg (0.0464 mmol) PdI₂, and 6.6 mg (0.046 mmol) Cu₂O were added to a flame-dried 2.0 mL microwave vial equipped with a spin vane. The vial was sealed, evacuated and back-filled with argon (3 times). 0.5 mL NMP (degassed with Ar, >10 min.) and 97.6 μ L (0.929 mmol) bromobenzene were added sequentially via syringe. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min. The mixture was then irradiated in the microwave, with a 90 s prestirring period followed by 10 min at 50 °C and increase in temperature to 190 °C. The reaction was heated at this temperature for 6 h. The crude mixture was purified on a silica column.

References

1. (a) Henry GD. *Tetrahedron*. 2004; 60:6043–6061. (b) Michael JP. *Nat Prod Rep*. 2005; 22:627–646. [PubMed: 16193160] (c) Schlosser M, Mongin F. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2007; 36:1161–1172. [PubMed: 17576483]
2. (a) Ishiyama T, Ishida K, Miyaoura N. *Tetrahedron*. 2001; 57:9813–9816. (b) Fuller AA, Hester HR, Salo EV, Stevens EP. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2003; 44:2935–2938. (c) Lützen A, Hapke M. *Eur J Org Chem*. 2002:2292–2297. (d) Lee SH, Jang BB, Kafafi ZH. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005; 127:9071–9078. [PubMed: 15969585] (e) Fray MJ, Mathias JP, Nichols CL, Po-Ba YM, Snow H. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2006; 47:6365–6368. (f) Campeau LC, Fagnou K. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2007; 36:1058–1068. [PubMed: 17576474] (g) Blakemore DC, Marples LA. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2011; 52:4192–4195.
3. (a) O'Neill BT, Yohannes D, Bundesmann MW, Arnold EP. *Org Lett*. 2000; 2:4201–4204. [PubMed: 11150199] (b) Littke AF, Schwarz L, Fu GC. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2002; 124:6343–6348. [PubMed: 12033863] (c) Hodgson PB, Salingue FH. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2004; 45:685–687. (d) Campeau LC, Rousseaux S, Fagnou K. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005; 127:18020–18021. [PubMed: 16366550] (e) Billingsley KL, Buchwald SL. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2008; 47:4695–4698. (f) Campeau LC, Stuart DR, Leclerc JP, Bertrand-Laperle M, Villemure E, Sun HY, Lasserre S, Guimond N, Lecavallier M, Fagnou K. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2009; 131:3291–3306. [PubMed: 19215128] (g) Schipper DJ, Campeau LC, Fagnou K. *Tetrahedron*. 2009; 65:3155–3164. (h) Deng JZ, Paone DV, Ginnetti AT, Kurihara H, Dreher SD, Weissman SA, Stauffer SR, Burgey CS. *Org Lett*. 2009; 11:345–347. [PubMed: 19093828] (i) Yang DX, Colletti SL, Wu K, Song M, Li GY, Shen HC. *Org Lett*. 2009; 11:381–384. [PubMed: 19072215] (j) Luzung MR, Patel JS, Yin J. *J Org Chem*. 2010; 75:8330–8332. [PubMed: 21047088] (k) Crowley BM, Pottenger CM, Deng JZ, Prier CK, Paone DV, Burgey CS. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 2011; 52:5055–5059. (l) Tan Y, Barrios-Landeros F, Hartwig JF. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2012; 134:3683–3686. [PubMed: 22313324] (m) Dick GR, Woerly EM, Burke MD. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2012; 51:2667–2672. (n) Ren W, Li J, Zou D, Wu Y, Wu Y. *Tetrahedron*. 2012; 68:1351–1358.
4. Myers AG, Tanaka D, Mannion MR. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2002; 124:11250–11251. [PubMed: 12236722]
5. (a) Goossen LJ, Deng G, Levy LM. *Science*. 2006; 313:662–664. [PubMed: 16888137] (b) Goossen LJ, Rodríguez N, Melzer B, Linder C, Deng G, Levy LM. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2007:4824–4833. [PubMed: 17375927]
6. Rodríguez N, Goossen LJ. *Chem Soc Rev*. 2011; 40:5030–5048. [PubMed: 21792454]

7. Jia W, Jiao N. *Org Lett*. 2010; 12:2000–2003. [PubMed: 20361747]
8. Goossen LJ, Rudolphi F, Oppel C, Rodríguez N. *Angew Chem, Int Ed*. 2008; 47:3043–3045.
9. Shang R, Yang ZW, Wang Y, Zhang SL, Liu L. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2010; 132:14391–14393. [PubMed: 20873805]
10. Li X, Zou D, Leng F, Sun C, Li J, Wu Y, Wu Y. *Chem Commun*. 2013; 49:312–314.
11. (a) Voutchkova A, Coplin A, Leadbeater NE, Crabtree RH. *Chem Commun*. 2008:6312–6314. (b) Goossen LJ, Manjolinho F, Khan BA, Rodríguez N. *J Org Chem*. 2009; 74:2620–2623. [PubMed: 19239228]
12. The formation of pyridine could be observed for the control reactions in which pyridine was omitted. When the reaction was performed with (2-azaaryl)carboxylates beyond picolinic acid, the absence of pyridine led to diminished yields.
13. Lyons TW, Sanford MS. *Chem Rev*. 2010; 110:1147–1169. [PubMed: 20078038]
14. Wen J, Zhang RY, Chen SY, Zhang J, Yu XQ. *J Org Chem*. 2012; 77:766–771. [PubMed: 22136304]
15. Martinez R, Ramón DJ, Yus M. *J Org Chem*. 2008; 73:9778–9780. [PubMed: 18937410]

Fig. 1.
The importance of 2-substituted pyridines.

Myers's Decarboxylative Olefination **Goossen's Decarboxylative Cross-Coupling** **Wu's Decarboxylative Cross-Coupling**

Fig. 2.
The decarboxylative olefination and cross-coupling of aryl carboxylates.

Fig. 3. Proposed mechanism of the decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Fig. 4.

The decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Conditions: 0.464 mmol **14**, 0.928 mmol **6**, CuO_2 (5 mol %), PdI_2 (5 mol %), PPh_3 (15 mol %), pyridine (30 mol %), 0.5 mL NMP

Table 1

Decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Entry	Cu Source	Pd Source	Solvent	Heat Source	% Yield ^a
1	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP	oil bath	6
2	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP	μwave	36
3 ^b	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP	μwave	0
4	Cu ₂ O	PdCl ₂	NMP	μwave	9
5	Cu ₂ O	PdBr ₂	NMP	μwave	12
6	Cu ₂ O	Pd(OAc) ₂	NMP	μwave	6
7	Cu ₂ O	Pd(acac) ₂	NMP	μwave	8
8	Cu ₂ O	Pd(TFA) ₂	NMP	μwave	14
9	Cu ₂ O	Pd(F ₆ -acac) ₂	NMP	μwave	6
10	Cu ₂ O	Pd(PPh ₃) ₄	NMP	μwave	13
11	Cu ₂ O	Pd ₂ (dba) ₂	NMP	μwave	14
12	CuCl	PdI ₂	NMP	μwave	7
13	CuBr	PdI ₂	NMP	μwave	16
14	CuI	PdI ₂	NMP	μwave	31
15	CuOTf	PdI ₂	NMP	μwave	20
16 ^c	Cu ₂ O (1.0eq)	PdI ₂	NMP	μwave	7
17	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	DMSO	μwave	12
18	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	DMF	μwave	4
19	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP:Quin(1:1)	μwave	18
20	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	Toluene	μwave	2

Conditions: 0.464 mmol **8**, 1.39 mmol **9**, Cu (10 mol %), Pd (5 mol %), PPh₃ (15 mol %), 1,10 phen. (15 mol %) 0.5 mL Solvent

^aYields determined by LCMS analysis with 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl biphenyl as internal standard.

^bPerformed at 120 °C.

^cUtilized 1 equiv of Cu₂O.

Table 2

Investigation of *P*- and *N*-ligands for the decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Entry	R	Phosphine Ligand	Nitrogen Ligand	Temperature (°C)	Heat Source	Base	% Yield ^a
1	H	dppm	1,10 Phen	170	μwave	K ₂ CO ₃	7
2	H	dppb	1,10 Phen	170	μwave	K ₂ CO ₃	16
3	H	dppe	1,10 Phen	170	μwave	K ₂ CO ₃	31
4	H	BINAP	1,10 Phen	170	μwave	K ₂ CO ₃	6
5	H	PPh ₃ /KF	1,10 Phen	170	μwave	K ₂ CO ₃	2
6	H	PPh ₃	pyridine	50→190	μwave	K ₂ CO ₃	52
7	K	PPh ₃	pyridine	50→190	μwave	-	62
8	K	PPh ₃	2,6 lutidine	50→190	μwave	-	46
9	K	PPh ₃	ethyl Isonicotinate	50→190	μwave	-	49
10	K	PPh ₃	DMAP	50→190	μwave	-	56
11	K	PPh ₃	4-MeO Pyridine	50→190	μwave	-	43
12	K	PPh ₃	DABCO	50→190	μwave	-	43
13	K	PPh ₃	Et ₃ N	50→190	μwave	-	38
14	K	PPh ₃	Humig's Base	50→190	μwave	-	60
15	K	PPh ₃	TMEDA	50→190	μwave	-	27
16	K	PPh ₃	(iPr) ₂ NH	50→190	μwave	-	51
17	K	PPh ₃	quinuclidine	50→190	μwave	-	62

Conditions: 0.464 mmol **11**, 1.39 mmol **9**, Cu (10 mol %), Pd (5 mol %), *P*-ligand (15 mol %), *N*-ligand (30 mol %) 0.5 mL NMP

^aYields determined by LCMS analysis with 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl biphenyl as internal standard.

Table 3

Investigation of *P*-ligands and copper sources for the decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Entry	R	Cu Source	<i>P</i> -Ligand	% Yield*
1	K	Cu ₂ O	P(o-Tolyl) ₃	26
2	K	Cu ₂ O	P(Cy) ₃	42
3	K	Cu ₂ O	P(<i>t</i> -Bu) ₃	21
4	K	Cu ₂ O	P(2-Furyl) ₃	42
5	K	Cu ₂ O	P((CF ₃) ₂ Ph) ₃	36
6	K	Cu ₂ O	P(4-MeO-Ph) ₃	45
7	K	Cu ₂ O	P(EtO) ₃	31
8	K	Cu ₂ O	dppe	46
9	K	Cu ₂ O	Ph ₂ PO	49
10	K	Cu ₂ O	(PhO) ₂ POH	20
11	K	Cu ₂ O	JohnPhos	44
12	K	Cu ₂ O	AsPh ₃	48
13	K	Cu ₂ O	BINAP	38
14	Na	Cu ₂ O	PPh ₃	4
15	Cs	Cu ₂ O	PPh ₃	1
16	K	Cu(OAc)	PPh ₃	34
17	K	Cu ₂ (CO ₃)(OH) ₂	PPh ₃	29
18	K	Cu(SO ₄)	PPh ₃	47
19	K	CuO	PPh ₃	27
20	K	Cu(OTf) ₂	PPh ₃	31
21	K	Cu(OTf) ₂	PPh ₃	62

Conditions: 0.464 mmol **11**, 1.39 mmol **9**, Cu (10 mol %), PdI₂ (5 mol %), *P*-ligand (15 mol %), pyridine (30 mol %), 0.5 mL NMP

*Yields determined by LCMS analysis with 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl biphenyl as internal standard.

Table 4

Examination of the equivalents of bromobenzene in the decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Entry	Bromobenzene (equiv)	% Yield ^a
1	3.0	62
2	2.0	65
3	1.0	55
4	0.75	57

Conditions: 0.464 mmol **13**, Cu_2O (10 mol %), PdI_2 (5 mol %), PPh_3 (15 mol %), pyridine (30 mol %), 0.5 mL NMP

^aYields determined by LCMS analysis with 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl biphenyl as internal standard.

Table 5

Examination of reaction time in the decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Entry	Time (h)	% Yield ^a
1	0.5	15
2	1.0	38
3	2.5	64
4	4.0	62
5	5.0	69
6	8.0	72

Conditions: 0.464 mmol **13**, 0.928 mmol **9**, CuO_2 (5 mol %), PdI_2 (5 mol %), PPh_3 (15 mol %), pyridine (30 mol %), 0.5 mL NMP^aYields determined by LCMS analysis with 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl biphenyl as internal standard.

Table 6

Control experiments for the decarboxylative cross-coupling of picolinic acid with aryl halides.

Entry	R	Cu Source	Pd Source	Solvent	Phosphine Ligand	Nitrogen Ligand	Additive	% Yield ^a
1	H	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP	PPh ₃	pyridine	pyridine	5
2	K	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP	-	pyridine	-	22
3	K	-	PdI ₂	NMP	PPh ₃	pyridine	-	9
4	K	Cu ₂ O	-	NMP	PPh ₃	pyridine	-	0
5	K	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP	PPh ₃	-	-	62
6 ^b	K	Cu ₂ O	PdI ₂	NMP	PPh ₃	pyridine	2-phenyl pyridine	65

Conditions: 0.464 mmol **11**, 0.928 mmol **9**, Cu₂O (5 mol %), PdI₂ (5 mol %), PPh₃ (15 mol %), pyridine (30 mol %), 0.5 mL NMP, 50 → 190 °C, μ wave

^aYields determined by LCMS analysis with 4,4'-di-*tert*-butyl biphenyl as internal standard.

^b0.464 mmol of 2-phenyl pyridine was added to the reaction mixture.