Disclosure: See original article for full disclosure list.

Copyright © 2010 by AAN Enterprises, Inc.

1. Iverson DJ, Gronseth GS, Reger MA, Classen S, Dubinsky RM, Rizzo M. Practice Parameter update: evaluation and management of driving risk in dementia: report of the Quality Standards Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology* 2010;74:1316–1324.
2. Langford J, Braitman K, Charlton J, et al. TRB Workshop 2007: licensing authorities' options for managing older driver safety: practical advice from the researchers. *Traffic Inj Prev* 2008;9:278–281.
3. Taylor BD, Tripodes S. The effects of driving cessation on the elderly with dementia and their caregivers. *Accid Anal Prev* 2001;33:519–528.
4. Fuller R. Towards a general theory of driver behaviour. *Accid Anal Prev* 2005;37:461–472.
5. De Raedt R, Ponjaert-Kristoffersen I. Can strategic and tactical compensation reduce crash risk in older drivers? *Age Ageing* 2000;29:517–521.

CORRECTION

Clinical and prognostic subforms of new daily-persistent headache

In the article “Clinical and prognostic subforms of new daily-persistent headache” (*Neurology*® 2010;74:1358–1364) by M.S. Robbins et al., in Table 3 the *p* value for the row “Acute response to nerve blocks” should be 0.040, not 0.20.

In the “Treatment” subheading of the Results section, the sentence “Thirty-four NDPH-R, 12 NDPH-ICHHD, and 22 NDPH-mf patients tried at least 1 triptan for headache escalations,” should instead read: “Thirty-six NDPH-R, 12 NDPH-ICHHD, and 24 NDPH-mf patients tried at least 1 triptan for headache escalations.”

The sentence “NDPH-ICHHD patients were more likely to report a significant decrease in pain severity for at least 1 day as compared with NDPH-mf patients (88.9% vs 42.9%), but this difference was not significant,” should instead read: “NDPH-ICHHD patients were more likely to report a significant decrease in pain severity for at least 1 day as compared with NDPH-mf patients (88.9% vs 42.9%).”

The authors regret the errors.