Published in final edited form as:

Acta Diabetol. 2014 February ; 51(1): 159–161. doi:10.1007/s00592-013-0456-z.

Letter to the Editor: Diabetes mellitus and cancer in Werner syndrome

Julia M. Lauper^{1,2} and Raymond J. Monnat^{2,3,4}

¹Department of Epidemiology, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195

²Department of Pathology, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195

³Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle WA 98195

Keywords

Werner syndrome; RECQ helicase deficiency; cancer risk; diabetes; cancer; epidemiology; meningioma

To the Editors:

There is growing interest in the association of—and potential causal links between—diabetes mellitus (DM) and neoplasia [1]. Onishi *et al.* recently reported here an association of DM with neoplasm type in newly identified patients with Werner syndrome (WS), an autosomal recessive cancer predisposition syndrome with features of premature aging that include a high risk of DM [2,3]. In contrast, we did not detect an association between DM and neoplasm type in an independent WS cohort we assembled to determine the histopathologic spectrum and type-specific risk of neoplasia in WS (Lauper *et al.* 2013, submitted).

Onishi *et al.* reported 39 neoplasms in 102 WS patients with DM (38.2%) versus 16 neoplasms in 61 WS patients without DM (26.2%), and a much higher prevalence of epithelial neoplasms in WS patients with than without DM (16.6% vs. 4.6%, respectively) [2]. They observed no corresponding difference in the prevalence of non-epithelial neoplasms in WS patients with or without DM (21.6% vs. 21.3%).

Our newly assembled WS cohort allowed us to determine DM prevalence by neoplasm type, though not the prevalence of neoplasia among WS patients with DM. We classified WS patients with DM or abnormal glucose homeostasis as DM-positive; as DM-minus when DM had been ruled out; or of unknown DM status. A majority of our WS patients with neoplasia were DM-positive (119 of 189, or 63% of patients). Table 1 lists WS patients in our cohort by neoplasm histopathologic type and DM status. The mean age at the first diagnosis of neoplasia in this cohort was significantly higher for DM-positive than for DM-minus patients with epithelial or non-epithelial neoplasms (47.2 vs 37.1 yrs, *t*-test *p*=0.0003 and 44.6 yrs vs 38.7 yrs, *t*-test *p* = 0.039, respectively). However, we did not observe an

⁴communicating author: Department of Pathology box 357705, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195-7705. tel: 206.616.7392. fax: 206.543.3967. monnat@u.washington.edu.

Conflict of interest: none.

Human subjects declaration: The case report and population comparison data used for our analyses were publicly available and/or de-identified, and our data collection and analysis plan did not require IRB approval as assessed by the University of Washington Human Subjects Division (reference #42092) and the Ethical Committee of Osaka Medical Center for Cancer and Cardiovascular Diseases (request #11-0006 for Osaka Cancer Registry data).

association of DM with either epithelial or non-epithelial neoplasms (Pearson's chi-square test $p = 0.12$, when we excluded DM-unknown cases and 7 DM-positive cases with both neoplasm types; see Table 1 footnote for patient numbers).

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated no association between DM and neoplasm type when we assumed patients with unknown DM status were equally likely to be DM-positive or DM-negative ($p = 0.17$). In contrast, there were significant associations between neoplasm type and DM status if we classified all patients of unknown DM status with epithelial neoplasms as DM-positive and patients of unknown status with non-epithelial neoplasms as DM-negative or viceversa ($p = 0.005$ and $p = 2.6e-9$, respectively). These analyses indicate it is possible to identify associations between neoplasm type and DM in small cohorts if all patients can be reliably classified as DM-positive or DM-minus.

Although we could not confirm Onishi *et al.*'s observations, we did identify two interesting associations between DM and cancer in WS. First, we found an association between DM and multiple neoplasia in our WS cohort as a whole ($p = 0.026$). Second, 3/4 of meningiomas (21 of 27, or 78%) and pancreatic neoplasms (3 of 4, or 75%) in our cohort were in DM-positive patients. Moreover, we found two case reports that describe the resolution of DM in WS patients following surgical removal of meningiomas [4,5]. While causal links between DM and meningioma remain obscure, there are already plausible links between pancreatic carcinoma and DM both in WS patients as well as in the general population [6].

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by a predoctoral training award from the National Institutes of Health to J.L. (T32CA080416) and by a P01 Program award from the U.S. National Cancer Institute to R.J.M., Jr. (CA77852). The authors would like to thank Alison Krause and Kazumi Tsukazawa for translation assistance, and Tom Vaughan, Mary Emond and William Koh for helpful discussions.

References

1. Giovannucci E, Harlan DM, Archer MC, Bergenstal RM, Gapstur SM, Habel LA, Pollak M, Regensteiner JG, Yee D. Diabetes and cancer: a consensus report. *Diabetes Care*. 2010; 33:1674–1685. [PubMed: 20587728]
2. Onishi S, Takemoto M, Ishikawa T, Okabe E, Ishibashi R, He P, Kobayashi K, Fujimoto M, Kawamura H, Yokote K. Japanese diabetic patients with Werner syndrome exhibit high incidence of cancer. *Acta Diabetol*. 2012; 49(Suppl 1):259–260.
3. Epstein CJ, Martin GM, Schultz AL, Motulsky AG. Werner's syndrome: A review of its symptomatology, natural history, pathologic features, genetics and relationship to the natural aging process. *Medicine*. 1966; 45:177–221. [PubMed: 5327241]
4. Montecucco C, Attardo-Parrinello G, Brambilla G, Chérié-Lignière EL, Ascari E. Improvement of hyperinsulinemic diabetes following removal of a meningioma in Werner's syndrome: a case report. *Acta Diabetol Lat*. 1984; 21:193–197. [PubMed: 6382894]
5. Kobayashi S, Gibo H, Sugita K, Komiya I, Yamada T. Werner's syndrome associated with meningioma. *Neurosurgery*. 1980; 7:517–520. [PubMed: 7003435]
6. Li D. Diabetes and pancreatic cancer. *Mol Carcinog*. 2012; 51:64–74. [PubMed: 22162232]

Table 1

Neoplasm types in Werner syndrome patients as a function of diabetes mellitus (DM) status.

	DM	No DM	DM status unknown
<i>Epithelial neoplasms^a</i>			
thyroid	11	5	10
pharyngeal	1	--	--
lung	3	--	1
breast	3	--	4
gastric	4	--	2
hepatic/biliary	5	--	3
pancreatic	3	--	1
kidney/ureter	1	--	1
urinary bladder	1	2	2
colon	--	--	--
uterus	1	--	1
<i>Additional epithelial neoplasms</i>			
non-melanoma skin carcinoma	4	1	4
ovary	3	--	1
vulva	1	--	--
oral	2	--	--
laryngeal	--	--	1
adrenal	--	--	1
esophageal	1	--	--
prostate/testis	1	--	--
nasal	3	--	--
Total epithelial neoplasms^b	48	8	32
<i>Non-epithelial neoplasms</i>			
undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma (MFH)	5	1	1
leiomyosarcoma	4	--	2
other sarcoma	5	2	2
malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor	1	--	1
osteosarcoma	8	--	8
melanoma	23	--	10
Meningioma ^c	21	3	3
myelodysplasia/myelofibrosis/RAEB-t	6	--	3
multiple myeloma/malignant plasma cell neoplasms	--	--	1
other hematologic/CNS/neuroendocrine	13	1	6
Total non-epithelial neoplasms^d	86	7	37

Table 1 key: DM, diabetes mellitus; MFH, malignant fibrous histiocytoma; CNS, central nervous system; RAEB-t, refractory anemia with an excess of blasts in transformation.

^aWhen unspecified, cancers at these sites were assumed to be carcinomas of epithelial origin.

^bIf patients with multiple neoplasms are counted once, then 41 patients were DM-positive, 8 DM-minus and 26 were of unknown DM status.

^cIncludes 4 meningiomas found incidentally at autopsy (3 in DM patients and 1 in a patient with unknown DM status).

^dIf patients with multiple neoplasms are counted once, then 77 patients were DM-positive, 7 were DM-minus and 31 were of unknown DM status.