Published in final edited form as:

Adv Dual Diagn. 2012 ; 5(2): 74–85. doi:10.1108/17570971211241903.

Affective Awareness in Parenting of Fathers with Co-Occurring Substance Abuse and Intimate Partner Violence

Carla Smith Stover, Ph.D. and

Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Andrea Spink, B.A.

Department of Psychology, Southern Connecticut State University, and Child Study Center, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, Connecticut, USA

Abstract

Purpose—Few studies have examined the parenting of fathers with co-occurring Substance Abuse (SA) and Intimate Partner Violence (IPV) problems. None have specifically interviewed men with these co-occurring issues using qualitative methods about their reflective functioning in relation to their children. This qualitative study was designed to provide evidence of the reflective capacity of fathers with co-occurring SA and IPV.

Approach—To assess this, men were asked to describe examples of negative emotions they experienced as parents and how they perceived their children responded to those emotions. Forty fathers with co-occurring SA and IPV were interviewed using the Revised Parent Development Interview. Interviews were coded for reflective functioning and for themes that emerged related to angry and guilty feelings these fathers experienced as parents.

Findings—Overall, fathers in the sample had a very limited capacity to think about the thoughts and feelings of their children. The desire to spend more time with their child and an inability to provide financially were two common themes. Anger toward the child's mother for not providing adequate care and a focus on shielding the child from his anger were also reported frequently. Fathers did not report feelings of guilt related to their substance use or aggression in their relationships.

Originality—This paper is one of the first to explore reflective functioning of fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA. These findings are discussed in relation to their implications for intervention with fathers with co-occurring SA and IPV issues.

Keywords

Intimate Partner Violence; Substance Abuse; Parenting; Fathers

Affective Awareness in Parenting of Fathers

Introduction and Background

Research is replete with literature supporting the importance of father involvement in children's lives (Lamb, 2004, Lamb, 1997, Marsiglio et al., 2000). However, for fathers who perpetrate intimate partner violence (IPV) or abuse substances, the literature is less clear. IPV is defined as physical, sexual or psychological violence perpetrated by a former or current intimate partner (Golding, 1999). For both men and women, exposure to IPV is

associated with an increased risk for mental health problems (i.e., serious depression and mental health disability) and substance abuse (SA) (Carbone-López et al., 2006). Furthermore, it has been suggested that people with severe psychiatric disorders may particularly vulnerable to being a victim of domestic violence (Howard et al., 2010). Although the correspondence between adult mental disorders and violence is relatively low (Services, 2001), those with co-occurring substance use and severe mental illness have the highest risk for violence (Swanson et al., 1994, Fazel et al., 2009). Additionally, evidence suggests there is a high co-occurrence of IPV and SA with approximately half of men entering SA treatment also having issues with IPV (Easton et al., 2000, Foran and O'Leary, 2008).

Research indicates the negative impact of exposure to IPV (Wolfe et al., 2003) and SA also extends to children (Johnson and Leff, 1999). The overlap of IPV and child maltreatment is approximately 50% (Edleson, 2001). The potentially toxic trio of parental mental illness, substance abuse, and IPV has been shown to negatively affect a child's well-being and safety (Cleaver et al., 2007). These risks for negative outcomes raise considerable concerns about the parenting of men with co-occurring IPV and SA. Yet, interventions for men who perpetrate IPV or abuse substances rarely consider fatherhood or address the parenting of these men as part of their treatment approach (Stover et al., 2010, Stover et al., 2009).

A few studies have examined the parenting behaviors of fathers who perpetrate IPV and found fathers with these issues to have more hostile-aggressive parenting behavior (Fox and Benson, 2004, Baker et al., 2001). Yet, there is some evidence less contact with previously violent fathers can result in more internalizing symptoms such as depression and anxiety in preschool aged children (Stover et al., 2003) and more negative representations of mothers in play (Stover et al., 2006). These findings indicate a need to better understand the parenting of fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA to provide the most effective interventions that will improve outcomes for children.

Minimal research has explored how men with histories of IPV manage their emotions as fathers. The present study is the first to our knowledge to specifically interview fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA using a semi-structured interview to explore how these fathers handle emotions in their roles as a parent. This is particularly important given fathers with SA and IPV have significant difficulties with affect regulation (Bonn-Miller et al., 2008, Dorard et al., 2008, Perry and Carroll, 2008, Easton et al., 2008). Their capacity to reflect on their own feelings and how it might affect their children could have significant implications for intervention efforts with this population.

Parenting of Men with IPV and/or SA

Studies that examined parenting of fathers with histories of SA have found they are more likely to perceive failures and guilt associated with social requirements of fathering such as difficulties providing financial support (McMahon et al., 2001). Fathers who used substances were less involved in their children's lives and therefore, experience more psychological distress (McMahon and Rounsaville, 2002). Multiple studies have found fathers with a history of alcohol and drug abuse to have poorer father-child communication, greater risk for physical abuse, more parenting stress (Blackson et al., 1999, Moss et al., 2002), more problematic disciplinary practices, and less monitoring of their children (Fals-Stewart et al., 2004). Importantly, there is some evidence that mental health symptoms such as depression and PTSD mediate the association between SA and negative parenting behaviors of fathers (Stover et al., 2012a, Stover et al., 2012c).

Little research has focused on the parenting of men who perpetrate IPV. Fathers referred for intervention for IPV reported high parenting stress and low parental competence (Baker et

al., 2001), but also expressed concerns about the impact of their violence on their children (Rothman et al., 2007). When compared to non-aggressive fathers, Fox and Benson (2004) found fathers who perpetrate IPV to have more hostile-aggressive parenting behavior. However, no differences were found in time spent or types of activities shared with children. Few studies have examined the impact of both SA and IPV on parenting of fathers. In a sample of fathers from low socioeconomic backgrounds in an urban inner city, IPV (but not drug abuse) was associated with higher rates of hostile-aggressive parenting (Stover and McMahon, 2010). Another study revealed that men with co-occurring IPV and SA had significantly higher hostile-aggressive parenting and poorer co-parenting relationships than fathers without these co-occurring issues. However, these differences were mediated by difficulties with affect regulation and avoidant attachment behaviors (e.g. discomfort when a romantic partner wants to be very close; preferring not to share feelings with partners; keeping partners at an emotional distance) (Stover et al., 2011)

Emotion regulation in men with histories of IPV and SA

One of the factors that may impact parenting behaviors of fathers who have histories of IPV and SA is their capacity for affect regulation. Aggression has been reported to be due to difficulty in regulating negative affect (Finkel, 2007) and poor response inhibition (Hoeksma et al., 2004). Studies of both men who perpetrate IPV (Norlander and Eckhardt, 2005, McNulty and Hellmuth, 2008) and those with drug abuse problems (Handelsman et al., 2000) revealed heightened levels of negative affect such as anger and hostility. Alexithymia, characterized by the inability to identify and express feelings or emotions, is common in individuals who abuse substances (Cecero and Holmstrom, 1997) and is highly correlated with anger and hostility. Furthermore, alcohol impairs the cognitive processes involved in refraining from aggressive acts (Fals-Stewart, 2003). Compared to days of no drinking, male perpetrators of IPV were 18 times more likely to engage in physical violence with their partners on days of heavy drinking episodes. More than 60% of aggressive episodes took place within two hours of drinking and over 80% within four hours. Negative affect (i.e., anger) and negative arousal of perpetrators have also been hypothesized to hinder processes involved in problem solving (Smith Slep and O'Leary, 2001). This dysregulation of cognitions, emotions, and behavior may ultimately lead to coercive and aggressive parenting strategies in men with co-occurring SA and IPV.

Fathers with histories of IPV and SA also have compromised empathic responses. Compared to non-abusive men, men who perpetrated IPV lack empathic accuracy (ability to interpret another's feelings and thoughts correctly) for their partners but not for strangers (Clements et al., 2007). There is evidence that this lack of empathy is also present in their responses to their children through distorted and negative perceptions of their children's emotional states (Francis and Wolfe, 2008). These studies suggest that the parenting of fathers with histories of IPV may be compromised by problems with affect regulation or capacity to understand the experiences and emotions of their children. Such difficulties may be further hindered by use of substances or alcohol.

The aim of this study was to explore how fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA talk about their feelings of anger and guilt as a parent, how they describe dealing with these feelings, and how their feelings might impact upon their children. Given the literature suggesting these fathers may over interpret negative intention in their environments and are prone to negative emotions, how they describe these experiences in the context of parenting can provide important information about their capacities and understanding of their own actions. This may reveal areas for intervention target within IPV and SA treatment for fathers.

Methods

Sample and procedure

The present study comprises a sub-sample of fathers who participated in the Yale Comparative Study on Fathering (CSF), designed to examine differences in parenting of men with histories of IPV, SA, and controls (Stover et al., 2011). Fathers were recruited into the Yale Comparative Study on Fathering by flyers posted in the Substance Abuse Treatment Unit of the Yale Department of Psychiatry, Court Support Services Division, Family Violence Education Groups, unemployment offices, preschools, pediatric clinics, and community agencies within the greater New Haven, Connecticut area. Men were screened by phone for eligibility (biological father of a child between 2 and 6 years of age) and then met in person for a single two-hour session with trained research assistants to complete informed consent and study measures. The focus was on collection of data regarding their oldest child aged two through six years. Participants were paid \$50 for their time and the study was approved by the Yale University School of Medicine Human Investigations Committee.

This dataset of 115 participants was then searched for men who met the following criteria, (based on data collected as part of the CSF interview): (1) current Diagnostic Statistical Manual Forth Edition criteria for substance abuse of alcohol, cocaine, or marijuana and who have used that substance within the 30 days based self-report; (2) reported physical violence in an intimate relationship (pushing, slapping, kicking) within the last 12 months; (3) had at least one child aged two to six years with whom they lived or had visitation more than once per month.

This resulted in a sample of 40 fathers for inclusion. Ethnically, 15% of the men were Caucasian, 60% African American, 15% Hispanic, and 10% other or multiethnic (see Table 1 for general demographic characteristics). Approximately 33% of the men had been legally married at some point while roughly 48% of the fathers were living in the same household as their child. In terms of substance use, 48% of men reported alcohol as their primary drug of choice, 27.6% reported marijuana, 6.3% cocaine, and 3.2% phencyclidine, heroin or polysubstance use. In terms of IPV, men indicated generally mild physical (e.g. pushing, shoving, grabbing), but more moderate psychological violence on the Conflict Tactics Scale Revised (CTS2; (Straus et al., 1996). The CTS2 is a commonly used measure to assess physical, sexual and psychological violence perpetrated toward an intimate partner in the last 12 months and was one of the measures administered as part of the CSF study.

Measures

All the fathers in the CFS were asked about their reflections of child-rearing and of their relationships with their children using the Parent Development Interview-Revised (PDI-R; (Slade et al., 2003). This 23-item semi-structured interview is designed to extract parents' internal working models of relationships. The responses to two specific questions were of interest for the purpose of the additional analysis conducted for this study: 1) "Have you ever felt really angry as a father?" and 2) "Have you ever felt really guilty as a father?" For each question, interviewees were asked to provide a specific example of when they felt angry or guilty as a father. When responses did not include sufficient detail, probes were employed such as, "How did this make you feel?" and "How do you think [child] felt?"

All the PDIs were audio taped and transcribed verbatim and a reliable PDI coder coded each interview for reflective functioning (RF). RF is the ability to see your child as a separate, autonomous individual with their own mind. This results in appropriately attributing thoughts, feelings, intentionality, and desires to the child, as well as recognizing your *own* thoughts, feelings, intentions and desires (Fonagy et al., 1991). RF is coded on an 11-point

scale, ranging from -1 (*negative RF*) to 9 (*Full or Exceptional RF*). Optimal scores of RF are achieved by reflecting on experiences while providing insight into personal thoughts and feelings and recognitions of their children's feelings (Slade et al., 2010). Higher scores indicate a greater use of reflective thinking, essentially illustrating fathers' abilities to understand the emotions of their children, reflect on their children's experiences, in addition to acknowledging their own. To become a reliable RF coder of the PDI-R, individuals attend a two-day training course and code a set of 20 practice PDIs. The trainee's codes must be consistent with the developers of the PDI. Inter-rater reliability of RF scoring was established for the individual coder in this study wherein successive levels of agreement to the expert coders reached acceptable values ($r > .80$).

Analytic Strategy

The RF scores obtained by the fathers were summarized. Our qualitative approach to the content of the anger and guilt questions of the PDI was based on a grounded theory approach (Glaser and Strauss, 1967, Patton, 1990). Transcripts were reviewed and general themes coded. We looked for common themes and variations across the set of respondents. When the final list of themes was developed, the interviews were reviewed for a second time to determine the frequency of the acquired themes by two separate raters. Reliability of themes identified was at .80 or above. Themes were compiled with supporting quotes to illustrate the fathers overall view of emotions associated with parenting.

Results

Reflective Functioning

Overall RF of fathers' in the sample was quite low with 77.5% (31/40) exhibiting a score of 3 or below, which is "Questionable" or "Low" RF. These scores suggest fathers have an extremely limited understanding or ability to think about the emotional state of their children. Ten percent (4/40) of fathers acquired a score of 4, wherein the remaining 12.5% (5/40) obtained a score of 5, indicating the use of only one instance of reflective functioning per question.

In response to the question of whether they have ever felt angry as a parent, 72.5% (29/40) of fathers obtained an RF score less than 5, 20% (8/40) exhibited a score of 5, and only 7.5% (3/40) displayed scores above 5 but no higher than 7. Similarly, RF codes for the question of whether they ever felt guilty as a father revealed 72.5% (29/40) of fathers scored below a 5 on the RF scale indicating limited or low reflective capacity. Twenty five percent (10/40) of fathers scored a 5, providing at least one explicit use of reflective language.

Anger

When asked if they ever felt angry as a father, 60% (24/40) of the fathers were able to acknowledge the existence of angry feelings, when first asked. Of the 40% (16/40) who initially denied angry feelings related to parenting, with some further probing by interviewers, an additional 32.5% (13/40) provided an example of when they felt angry as a father. When asked to give examples of times they felt angry, three themes emerged from the set of 40 interviews: 1) directing anger at someone other than the child but the anger was child-related, 2) financial issues, 3) and shielding the child from his anger.

With regard to the first theme, 45% (18/40) gave examples of times they were angry at someone other than the child, where the child's mother was the target in all but one instance.

For example one father said,

“...it was cold outside, I got mad because she didn’t put a jacket on him... she told me she was goin’ like around the corner but it was, it was already gettin’ cold he gonna get sick, so I got mad at her and I got mad at myself ‘cause I’m like I should’a effin’ went and I shoulda did this, this, and that- what the f---! And just, you know, like he could get sick.... And she takes the baby, but she doesn’t like think it over and I get mad at her because you gotta be a better parent, man he could get sick.”

Thirty-five percent (14/40) of fathers mentioned financial issues that relate to their anger. For example fathers said, “Only like –like the only time I get angry was like just the money aspect. That’s the only thing I get angry about...Its just knowing that I won’t –I mean, he won’t be able to have the Christmas that he deserves.” Another father said, “I’m not able to get a job, and being able to help him out the way I should even though he don’t lack anything you know she’s taking care of him you know I feel like I could do more.”

Thirty-three percent (13/40) of fathers mentioned shielding their children from their angry feelings. For example fathers said: “Um, they didn’t affect her really ‘cause I—I try not to let it show. I kept it inside. I kept it away from her...;” and “I try not to show them when I’m wit’ him. But, um, I mean I try my best not to show them.” An additional 33% (13/40) did acknowledge their anger can get out of control. For example fathers said: “Um, it all depends on, um, if I go overboard or not, you know, yelling, you know. I have a real deep voice and sometimes I might get carried away and I might yell at her,” or “...but like I said, anything can just, the slightest little thing can just tick me off and I’m bomb boom and I just go crazy, you know what I mean?”

Only twenty-five percent (10/40) of participants included any mention of the child being adversely affected (i.e., feeling sad, hurt, confused, scared, or upset) in relation to his expression of anger. Such as: “How do I think my angry feelings affected him? Probably made him scared yeah that’s, yeah that’s the perfect word, scared.” Twenty percent (8/40) of the fathers mentioned they would leave the scene of where their anger originated. For example, “I step out of the room or leave if I’m angry to the point of yelling or anything like that.”

Although not as prevalent as the above themes, it is noteworthy that 17.5% (7/40) of participants reported that their feelings of anger were related to not being there for his child (e.g. live separately and father cannot live with child full-time) and 15% (6/40) acknowledged feelings of guilt regarding their anger.

Guilt

Of the 40 participants, 65% (26/40) initially admitted to ever feeling guilty as a father. When asked to provide an example of a time they felt guilty as a father, out of the 35% (14/40) who initially denied feelings of guilt in relation to parenting, only 7.5% (3/40) later provided an example of feeling guilty whereas the remaining 27.5% (11/40) denied any guilty feelings as a parent.

There were two common themes that emerged from the responses fathers provided: 1) feeling guilty about not being there for his child (e.g. previously or presently physically unavailable or absent from the child’s life), and 2) feelings of guilt that relate to financial circumstances. Thirty percent (12/40) of fathers felt guilty about not being there for their child. For example fathers said, “Anytime I feel guilty that is not when I’m around him,” “Not being there for him, you know,” and “Um, I—when I’m not in their lives like constantly, yeah I feel guilty about being a father because I don’t—I feel I can’t express, they can’t know me all the way around I guess, 365, you know.”

Twenty-eight percent (11/40) of fathers referenced inadequate finances as the base of their guilty feelings. For example fathers said, “Um, guilty that I can’t provide more and do more,” “Um, somewhat, like I said that goes back to bein’ able to, not bein’ able to, not bein’ able to provide like I want to;” and “Not being there for him, you know. Not being able to provide the things that I want to provide for, not paying for the things I want to do for him.”

Additionally, 20% (8/40) of fathers tried to shield their guilty feelings from their child. For example fathers said, “Um, I try to keep real negative things away from her, so like if I’m frustrated, stressed, whatever, I try to stay-just keep those away, leave ‘em at the door, whatever the case may be.”

Despite all fathers in the sample having a recent history of substance abuse and IPV, only 5% (2/40) of fathers attributed their guilt to issues related to their substance use. Fathers said, “Um, I think about six months ago I had got high off of drugs and came home and she was wondering where I was at, was I at work, what took me so long to get home? That made me feel guilty about it,” as well as “Um, [I didn’t feel guilty about] anything other than the substance abuse.” No fathers revealed guilty feelings pertaining to conflict or aggression toward the child’s mother. Less prevalent but noteworthy themes were: guilt related to a lack of education or (12.5%; 5/40) and guilt about punishing his child (10%; 4/40).

Discussion

Results of this exploratory, qualitative study reveal the very limited capacity of fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA to talk about their negative feelings or to think about the impact of those feelings on their children. While most fathers were able to identify angry feelings as a father, they often were unable to discuss direct anger toward their children. They often focused on angry feelings toward others, especially the mothers of their children. This was the most common response given when asked to describe a time they were angry as a father. This is not surprising given their histories of IPV, but also suggests that aggression and violence may arise around parenting issues. Although misguided, fathers may feel they are protecting or parenting their children by intervening with what they perceive is faulty parenting by the mother of their child. Out of misguided worry or fear for their children’s well-being, they attack and criticize the mothers of their children. This suggests focusing on the impact of verbal aggression and conflict on children may be particularly important. If fathers are attempting to protect their children, their understanding of the potential damage of this behavior may be a salient motivator for them to change. Intervention approaches that incorporate co-parenting and an emphasis on helping fathers recognize the difference between the intimate relationship and the co-parenting relationship may have significant benefit for fathers with difficulties with IPV and SA. There is some emerging evidence that a focus on co-parenting communication can prevent IPV and help families most at risk for aggression (Feinberg, 2003, Kan and Feinberg, 2010, Cowan and Cowan, 2005, Cowan et al., 2007).

Thirty percent of fathers mentioned shielding their child from their negative feelings. Fathers were especially concerned about the impact of their anger on their children. This indicates that at least a subset of these men is concerned with how their children are affected by their anger, which could facilitate motivation to change their aggressive behavior toward the mother. These findings are consistent with some quantitative studies of men entering batterer intervention programs (Rothman et al., 2007) and another qualitative study that found some fathers were very concerned about the impact of their behavior on their children (Fox and Benson, 2004). Interventions that focus on the impact of psychological and

physical aggression on children may increase father's investment in improving his affect regulation and anger management skills (Stover, 2011).

Another important theme that emerged for fathers was their feelings of guilt related to their inability to be strong providers for their children. These findings are consistent with other research indicating fathers with histories of SA are more likely to feel guilt associated with difficulties providing financial support (McMahon et al., 2001) and of other family studies that report the parenting quality of fathers is impacted by financial strain (Leinonen, 2002). Financial strain has been shown to be particularly relevant for fathers and impacts the cascade from marital conflict to negative parenting to child behavior problems (Stover et al., 2012b). Moreover, the parent-child relationship among socio-economically disadvantaged fathers has been reported to diminish (as cited in (McLoyd, 1990)). The burden felt by fathers during periods of newly emergent financial and occupational losses is linked to increased anxiety, social dysfunction, and spousal reports of hostile partner interactions. Failure to provide economically is also positively correlated with a change in fathers' parenting styles to less involved and more punitive (Leinonen, 2002). Financial strain and stress can also increase use of substances as a means to cope with guilty feelings which can contribute to declines in parenting. Thus, fathers with a history of IPV who are facing difficulty with financial and vocational issues might benefit from intervention that would help them develop a broader understanding of their roles as fathers and the positive impact they can have on their children's development beyond financial support. This may help reduce their feelings of guilt and improve their family interactions as a whole.

Interestingly, and of concern, was the lack of discussion regarding feelings of guilt connected with substance use and aggression, especially in this group of fathers with self-reported histories of co-occurring IPV and SA. Only 5% (2/40) of fathers mentioned their substance use and none raised the issue of aggression in their relationship with the child's mother. Such findings indicate a significant need to focus intervention efforts on addressing these problems and acknowledging the profound impact these behaviors have on children. This is often a component of group intervention for men who perpetrate IPV, as well as, in treatment programs for substance abuse.

The findings also highlight the need to emphasize reflective capacity in parenting interventions for fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA. This type of approach has been effective with mothers struggling with substance use issues and aggression (Slade et al., 2005, Suchman et al., 2008, Toth et al., 2002). Fathers in this sample clearly lacked reflective skills indicating an inability to not only discuss their own emotions and thoughts, but also a limited and often non-existent capacity to think about the emotional experiences of their children. Father-child treatment approaches have not been implemented broadly or evaluated, but they could have significant benefit for fathers with aggression and substance abuse problems (Stover, 2011, McMahon, 2009). Increasing fathers' abilities to understand their children's thoughts and feelings could have substantial impact on their parenting ability.

Limitations

This is the first exploratory study to examine the reflective functioning of fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA, but there are some notable limitations. The study was conducted with a small sample of fathers in one mid-sized inner city in the North Eastern United States. Fathers were abusing substances but were not necessarily substance dependent and reported mild to moderate IPV. A larger sample with a broader range of SA and IPV severity might yield very different results. Given the small sample, the themes that emerge and level of reflective functioning must be interpreted with caution and cannot be generalized to the broad population of fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA. Additional research studies that

compare RF for fathers with SA, IPV, and other psychiatric conditions to fathers without these issues would be important to understand the RF of fathers. Larger scale studies examining factors that contribute to poor RF in fathers would provide more specific guidelines for intervention. For example, given the high co-morbidity of SA and psychiatric symptoms, it may be that depression or other psychiatric symptoms are important intervention targets to improve RF. There is some evidence that depression and PTSD mediate the relationship between SA and negative parenting behaviors (Stover et al., 2012a, Stover et al., 2012b), which may also be true for RF.

Conclusions

Results of this exploratory study of the reflective capacity of fathers with co-occurring IPV and SA highlight the limited skills of this sample of men to describe and understand their own negative emotions and their children's responses to such emotions. Most have very restricted ability to describe their emotions as a father, with some denying negative emotions altogether. Yet, fathers were concerned about their children and provided many examples of ways they wanted to protect and provide for their children. Lack of ability to financially provide was of the utmost concern to them. Assisting fathers to recognize the other important ways they contribute to their children's well-being and development, aside from monetary support, could reduce feelings of guilt while ultimately reducing substance use and aggressive behaviors. Additionally, interventions that focus on increasing the reflective capacity of fathers to think about their own emotions and those of their children could have benefit in families impacted by violence and substance abuse.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by the National Institute on Drug Abuse (NIDA) K23 DA023334. The authors would like to acknowledge Arthur Roy and Rodney Webb for their work on this project.

References

- BAKER C, PERILLA JL, NORRIS FH. Parenting stress and parenting competence among Latino men who batter. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*. 2001; 16:1139–1157.
- BLACKSON TC, BUTLER T, BELSKY J, AMMERMAN RT, SHAW DS, TARTER RE. Individual traits and family contexts predict sons' externalizing behavior and preliminary relative risk ratios for conduct disorder and substance use disorder outcomes. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 1999; 56:115–131. [PubMed: 10482403]
- BONN-MILLER MO, VUJANOVIC AA, ZVOLENSKY MJ. Emotional dysregulation: Association with coping-oriented marijuana use motives among current marijuana users. *Substance Use & Misuse*. 2008; 43:1653–1665. [PubMed: 18752166]
- CARBONE-LÓPEZ K, KRUTTSCHNITT C, MACMILLAN R. Patterns of Intimate Partner Violence and Their Associations with Physical Health, Psychological Distress, and Substance Use. *Public Health Reports (1974-)*. 2006; 121:382–392.
- CECERO JJ, HOLMSTROM RW. Alexithymia and affect pathology among adult male alcoholics. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 1997; 53:201–208. [PubMed: 9075047]
- CLEAVER, H.; NICHOLSON, D.; TARR, S.; CLEAVER, D. Child protection, domestic violence and parental substance misuse: Family experiences and effective practice. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers; 2007.
- CLEMENTS K, HOLTZWORTH-MUNROE A, SCHWEINLE W, ICKES W. Empathic accuracy of intimate partners in violent versus nonviolent relationships. *Personal Relationships*. 2007; 14:369–388.
- COWAN, CP.; COWAN, PA. Two variations of a preventive intervention for couples: effects on parents and children during the transition to elementary school. In: COWAN, PA.; COWAN, CP.; ABLOW, J.; JOHNSON, VK.; MEASELLE, J., editors. *The family context of parenting in children's adaptation to elementary school*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2005.

- COWAN CP, COWAN PA, PRUETT MK, PRUETT K. An Approach to Preventing Coparenting Conflict and Divorce in Low-Income Families: Strengthening Couple Relationships and Fostering Fathers' Involvement. *Family Process*. 2007; 46:109–121. [PubMed: 17375732]
- DORARD GR, BERTHOZ S, PHAN O, CORCOS M, BUNGENER C. Affect dysregulation in cannabis abusers: A study in adolescents and young adults. *European Child & Adolescent Psychiatry*. 2008; 17:274–282. [PubMed: 18301941]
- EASTON C, SWAN S, SINHA R. Prevalence of family violence in clients entering substance abuse treatment. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2000; 18:23–28. [PubMed: 10636603]
- EASTON CJ, SACCO KA, NEAVINS TM, WUPPERMAN P, GEORGE TP. Neurocognitive Performance Among Alcohol Dependent Men With and Without Physical Violence Toward Their Partners: A Preliminary Report. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 2008; 34:29–37. [PubMed: 18161641]
- EDLESON, JL. Studying the co-occurrence of child maltreatment and domestic violence. In: GRAHAM-BERMAN, SA.; EDLESON, JL., editors. *Domestic violence in the lives of children: The future of research, intervention, and social policy*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association; 2001.
- FALS-STEWART W. The Occurrence of Partner Physical Aggression on Days of Alcohol Consumption: A Longitudinal Diary Study. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*. 2003; 71:41–52. [PubMed: 12602424]
- FALS-STEWART W, KELLEY ML, FINCHAM FD, GOLDEN JC, LOGSDAN T. The emotional and behavioral problems of children living with drug-abusing fathers: Comparisons of children living with alcohol-abusing and non-substance-abusing fathers. *Journal of Family Psychology*. 2004; 18:319–330. [PubMed: 15222839]
- FAZEL S, GULATI G, LINSELL L, GEDDES JR, GRANN M. Schizophrenia and Violence: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS Med*. 2009; 6:e1000120. [PubMed: 19668362]
- FEINBERG ME. The Internal Structure and Ecological Context of Coparenting: A Framework for Research and Intervention. *Parenting*. 2003; 3:95–131. [PubMed: 21980259]
- FINKEL EJ. Impelling and inhibiting forces in the perpetration of intimate partner violence. *Review of general psychology*. 2007; 11:193–207.
- FONAGY P, STEELE M, STEELE H, MORAN GS, HIGGITT AC. The capacity for understanding mental states: The reflective self in parent and child and its significance for security of attachment. *Infant Mental Health Journal*. 1991; 12:201–218.
- FORAN HM, O'LEARY KD. Alcohol and intimate partner violence: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical Psychology Review*. 2008; 28:1222–1234. [PubMed: 18550239]
- FOX, GL.; BENSON, ML. Violent men, bad dads? Fathering profiles of men involved in intimate partner violence. In: DAY, RD.; LAMB, ME., editors. *Conceptualizing and measuring father involvement*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates; 2004.
- FRANCIS KJ, WOLFE DA. Cognitive and emotional differences between abusive and non-abusive fathers. *Child abuse & neglect*. 2008; 32:1127–1137. [PubMed: 19036447]
- GLASER, STRAUSS. The discovery of grounded theory. *British Journal of Sociology*. 1967; 20:227.
- GOLDING JM. Intimate Partner Violence as a Risk Factor for Mental Disorders: A Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Family Violence*. 1999; 14:99–132.
- HANDELSMAN L, STEIN JA, BERNSTEIN DP, OPPENHEIM SE, ROSENBLUM A, MAGURA S. A latent variable analysis of coexisting emotional deficits in substance abusers*: Alexithymia, hostility, and ptsd. *Addictive behaviors*. 2000; 25:423–428. [PubMed: 10890295]
- HOEKSMAS JB, OOSTERLAAN J, SCHIPPER EM. Emotion Regulation and the Dynamics of Feelings: A Conceptual and Methodological Framework. *Child Development*. 2004; 75:354–360. [PubMed: 15056190]
- HOWARD LM, TREVILLION K, KHALIFEH H, WOODALL A, AGNEW-DAVIES R, FEDER G. Domestic violence and severe psychiatric disorders: prevalence and interventions. *Psychological Medicine*. 2010; 40:881–893. [PubMed: 19891808]
- JOHNSON JL, LEFF M. Children of substance abusers: Overview of research finding. *Pediatrics*. 1999; 103:1085–1099. [PubMed: 10224196]

- KAN M, FEINBERG M. Measurement and Correlates of Intimate Partner Violence Among Expectant First-Time Parents. *Violence and Victims*. 2010; 25:319–331. [PubMed: 20565004]
- LAMB ME. Influence of the father on the development of the child. *Enfance*. 1997; 3:337–349.
- LAMB, ME. The role of the father in child development. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons; 2004.
- LEINONEN JA. The specific mediating paths between economic hardship and the quality of parenting. *International journal of behavioral development*. 2002; 26:423–435.
- MARSIGLIO W, AMATO P, DAY R, LAMB M. Scholarship on the fatherhood in the 1990s and beyond. *Journal of Marriage and the Family*. 2000; 62:1173–1191.
- MCLOYD VC. The Impact of Economic Hardship on Black Families and Children: Psychological Distress, Parenting, and Socioemotional Development. *Child Development*. 1990; 61:311–346. [PubMed: 2188806]
- MCTMAHON, TJ. Fathers Too!: A parent-intervention for drug-abusing men. In: SUCHMAN, E., editor. *Interventions for parent with substance use disorders: New findings from clinical research*, 2009. College on Problems of Drug Dependence; Reno, NV:
- MCTMAHON TJ, LUTHAR SS, ROUNSAVILLE B. Finding poppa: a comparative study of fathers enrolled in methadone maintenance treatment [abstract]. *Drug and Alcohol Dependence*. 2001; 63:102–103.
- MCTMAHON TJ, ROUNSAVILLE BJ. Substance abuse and fathering: Adding poppa to the research agenda. *Addiction*. 2002; 97:1109–1115. [PubMed: 12199823]
- MCNULTY JK, HELLMUTH JC. Emotion regulation and intimate partner violence in newlyweds. *Journal of family psychology*. 2008; 22:794–797. [PubMed: 18855516]
- MOSS HB, LYNCH KG, HADDIE TL, BARON DA. Family functioning and peer affiliation in children of fathers with antisocial personality disorder and substance dependence: Association with problem behaviors. *American Journal of Psychiatry*. 2002; 159:607–614. [PubMed: 11925299]
- NORLANDER B, ECKHARDT C. Anger, hostility, and male perpetrators of intimate partner violence: A meta-analytic review. *Clinical psychology review*. 2005; 25:119–152. [PubMed: 15642644]
- PATTON, MQ. *Qualitative Evaluation and Research Methods*. Newbury Park, CA: Sage; 1990.
- PERRY JL, CARROLL ME. The role of impulsive behavior in drug abuse. *Psychopharmacology*. 2008; 200:1–26. [PubMed: 18600315]
- ROTHMAN E, MANDEL DG, SILVERMAN JG. Abuser's perceptions of the effect of their intimate partner violence on children. *Violence Against Women*. 2007; 13:1179–1191. [PubMed: 17951591]
- SERVICES, U. D. O. H. A. H. *Youth violence: A report of the surgeon general--Co-occurring violent behaviors*. Rockville, MD: Office of Surgeon General; 2001.
- SLADE, A.; ABER, JL.; BERGER, B.; BRESGI, I.; KAPLAN, M. Unpublished manuscript. City College of New York; 2003. The Parent Development Interview -Revised.
- SLADE, A.; BERNBACH, E.; GRIENENBERGER, J.; LEVY, DW.; LOCKER, A. Unpublished manuscript. City College of New York; 2010. Addendum to Reflective Functioning Scoring Manual.
- SLADE A, SADLER L, DIOS-KENN CD, WEBB D, CURRIER-EZEPCHICK J, MAYES L. Minding the baby: A reflective parenting program. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child*. 2005; 60:74–100. [PubMed: 16649676]
- SMITH SLEP AM, O'LEARY SG. Examining Partner and Child Abuse: Are We Ready for a More Integrated Approach to Family Violence? *Clinical Child & Family Psychology Review*. 2001; 4:87–107. [PubMed: 11771795]
- STOVER C, MCTMAHON TJ, EASTON C. The impact of fatherhood on treatment response for men with co-occurring alcohol dependence and intimate partner violence. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 2010; 0:1–5.
- STOVER C, URDAHL A, EASTON C. Depression as a Mediator of the Association Between Substance Abuse and Negative. *American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*. 2012a

- STOVER, CS. Fathers for Change: Toward Evidence Based Treatment for Fathers with Co-Occurring Intimate Partner Violence and Substance Abuse. 16th International Conference on Violence Abuse and Trauma.; San Diego, CA. 2011.
- STOVER CS, CONNELL CM, LEVE LD, NEIDERHISER JM, SHAW DS, SCARAMELLA LV, CONGER R, REISS D. Fathering and mothering in the family system: linking marital hostility and aggression in adopted toddlers. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry*. 2012b; 53:401–409. [PubMed: 22191546]
- STOVER, CS.; EASTON, CJ.; MCMAHON, TJ. Parenting of Fathers with Co-Occurring Substance Abuse and Intimate Partner Violence. 16th Annual International Conference Violence Abuse and Trauma; 2011; San Diego, CA.
- STOVER, CS.; MCMAHON, TJ. Drug Abuse and Intimate Partner Violence: Associations to History of Childhood Abuse and Parenting. National Summit on Interpersonal Violence Across the Lifespan; Dallas, TX. 2010.
- STOVER CS, MCMAHON TJ, EASTON CJ. Fathers entering substance abuse treatment: An examination of substance abuse, trauma symptoms and parenting behaviors. *Journal of Substance Abuse Treatment*. 2012c
- STOVER CS, MEADOWS A, KAUFMAN J. Intimate partner violence treatments: Review and implications for evidence based practice. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*. 2009
- STOVER CS, VAN HORN P, LIEBERMAN AF. Parental representations in the play of preschool aged witnesses of marital violence. *Journal of Family Violence*. 2006; 21:417–424.
- STOVER CS, VAN HORN P, TURNER R, COOPER B, LIEBERMAN AF. The effects of father visitation on preschool-aged witnesses of domestic violence. *Journal of Interpersonal Violence*. 2003; 18:1149–1166. [PubMed: 19771714]
- STRAUS MA, HAMBY SL, BONEY-MCCOY S, SUGARMAN DB. The Revised Conflict Tactics Scales (CTS2): Development and preliminary psychometric data. *Journal of Family Issues*. 1996; 17:283–316.
- SUCHMAN N, DECOSTE C, CASTIGLIONI N, LEGOW N, MAYES L. THE MOTHERS AND TODDLERS PROGRAM: Preliminary Findings From an Attachment-Based Parenting Intervention for Substance-Abusing Mothers. *Psychoanalytic Psychology*. 2008; 25:499–517. [PubMed: 20057923]
- SWANSON, JW.; MONAHAN, J.; STEADMAN, HJ. Violence and mental disorder: Developments in risk assessment. Chicago, IL US: University of Chicago Press; 1994. Mental disorder, substance abuse, and community violence: An epidemiological approach.
- TOTH SL, MAUGHAN A, MANLY JT, SPAGNOLA M, CICCHETTI D. The relative efficacy of two interventions in altering maltreated preschool children's representational models: Implications for attachment theory. *Development and Psychopathology*. 2002; 14:877–908. [PubMed: 12549708]
- WOLFE DA, CROOKS CV, LEE V, MCINTYRE-SMITH A, JAFFE PG. The effects of children's exposure to domestic violence: A meta-analysis and critique. *Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*. 2003; 6:171–187. [PubMed: 14620578]

Implications for Practice

Results support implementation of four areas of focus in interventions for fathers with co-occurring intimate partner violence and substance abuse issues:

1. The importance of the co-parenting relationship and skills to improve positive co-parenting regardless of the status of the romantic or intimate relationship.
2. The negative impact of witnessing aggression (both verbal and physical) and substance abuse on children
3. Providing education about the importance of father involvement in child development and ways fathers can contribute to their children's well-being beyond monetary contributions
4. Teaching reflective functioning skills to assist fathers in understanding their own thoughts and feelings and those of their children.

Implementation of these approaches will require changes in policies for interventions mandated by courts for perpetrators of IPV and by substance abuse treatment programs. Inclusion of partners, co-parents, and children is not currently a part of most interventions for men with these co-occurring conditions. Such practices could have significant benefit for families struggling with these issues; therefore, there is an urgent need to implement and evaluate such strategies.

Table 1

Sample Profile

Variable	Mean	SD	Min	Max
Age	33.78	9.40	20	60
Years Education	12.08	1.40	8	16
Number of Children	2.5	1.92	1	10
Number of Different Mothers for children	2.0	.92	1	5
Target child age	3.34	1.22	2	6
Physical IPV ¹	9.32	11.32	1	46
Psychological IPV ²	51.42	37.21	6	183

Note: IPV=Intimate Partner Violence;

¹Physical IPV includes pushing, hitting, slapping, grabbing behavior;

²Psychological IPV includes name calling, yelling/screaming, put downs, and other spiteful behavior