Published in final edited form as:

Psychiatr Serv. 2008 April ; 59(4): 441–444. doi:10.1176/appi.ps.59.4.441.

Computerized Screening for Alcohol and Drug Use Among Adults Seeking Outpatient Psychiatric Services

Dr. Derek Satre, Ph.D., Dr. William Wolfe, M.D., Stuart Eisendrath, M.D., and Dr. Constance Weisner, Dr.P.H., M.S.W.

Depression Center and Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, 401 Parnassus Ave., Box 0984, San Francisco, CA 94143. Dr. Satre and Dr. Weisner are also with the Division of Research, Kaiser Permanente, Oakland, California. Dr. Wolfe is also with the San Francisco Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Derek Satre: dereks@lppi.ucsf.edu

Abstract

Objective—This study examined routine computerized screening for alcohol and drug use of men and women seeking outpatient psychiatric services (excluding chemical dependency treatment) and prevalence based on electronic medical records of consecutive admissions.

Methods—The sample of 422 patients, ages 18–91, completed a self-administered questionnaire. Measures included 30-day, one-year, and lifetime substance use and alcohol-related problems.

Results—Seventy-five percent of patients completed electronic intakes during the study period. Prior-month alcohol use was reported by 90 men (70%) and 180 women (62%). Of these patients, heavy drinking (five or more drinks on one occasion) was reported by 37 men (41%) and 41 women (23%). Prior-month cannabis use was reported by 17 men (13%) and 32 women (11%).

Conclusions—Computerized intake systems that include alcohol and drug screening can be integrated into outpatient psychiatric settings. Heavy drinking and use of nonprescribed drugs are commonly reported, which provides an important intervention opportunity.

Alcohol and drug use patterns among psychiatric outpatients have been underinvestigated in contrast to substance abuse and dependence (1). Yet there is significant potential for subdiagnostic alcohol and drug use to exacerbate psychiatric problems. For example, even moderate alcohol use may have a negative impact on antidepressant response (2) and increase the risk of side effects.

Although treatments for substance problems (including alcohol) are most successful at early stages, most people do not seek treatment until their condition is severe. Instead, many individuals with alcohol or drug problems, especially women, first seek psychiatric services (3). In these settings, providers often fail to recognize drug use or heavy drinking (4). As a result, potential substance use problems go untreated.

To better identify alcohol and drug use, computerized screening may be a useful innovation. Self-administered systems could increase patient comfort and self-report validity for sensitive questions on quantity and frequency of alcohol and drug use, compared with face-to-face interviews (5). However, little is known about the feasibility of self-administered screening systems. To address these issues, we report on the implementation of routine

Disclosures

The authors report no competing interests.

electronic assessment in a psychiatric outpatient clinic. Using the electronic patient records generated by consecutive admissions, we investigated the prevalence of alcohol and drug use, including gender differences, to inform potential interventions.

Methods

Study participants were men and women seeking outpatient services at the Langley Porter Psychiatric Institute of the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), who completed an electronic intake survey. The outpatient program includes both adult and geriatric clinics. Patients are mainly referred by their insurance carrier or are self-referred. There are no formal services for patients primarily seeking chemical dependency treatment or who have co-occurring alcohol or drug dependence in addition to a mental disorder.

Demographic questions included date of birth, gender, ethnicity, education, employment, and marital status. For each substance (alcohol, cannabis, cocaine, amphetamines, hallucinogens, ecstasy, sedatives other than as prescribed, opioids other than as prescribed, and tobacco) participants were asked if they had ever used that substance during their lifetime (responses were yes, no, or refuse to state). Participants who responded yes were asked about their most recent use. Questions covered usual quantity (in standard drinks), frequency of use in the prior 30 days, and number of days when five or more drinks were consumed on an occasion (6).

All patients had scheduled appointments for initial evaluation at the Langley Porter Psychiatric Institute Adult Psychiatry Clinic at UCSF. Patients arrived one hour early to fill out paperwork, including demographic data and billing forms, and to complete the Electronic Health Inventory (EHI) as part of clinical intake procedures. Individuals were screened by telephone before intake. Patients with serious alcohol or drug problems (who self-identified as having a primary substance problem or a substance problem co-occurring with a mental disorder, requested chemical dependency treatment, or reported dependence symptoms) were referred to programs elsewhere in the community.

The EHI is a self-administered computerized questionnaire developed by clinical and information technology staff in cooperation with researchers in the UCSF Department of Psychiatry. Patients completed the instrument on private computers in the clinic intake area. Although not reported here, the inventory also covers medical history, pain, depression, and functional measures. This inventory is designed with the Ultimate Survey Web-based program (7), which has a simple user interface with primarily multiple-choice questions and a branching structure. Participants indicating risk of prior-year alcohol problems based on usual quantity (three or more drinks), frequency (three or more times per week), or heavy drinking (five or more drinks on one occasion at any time in the prior year) were directed to complete the Short Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (SMAST), a valid and reliable 13-item self-administered scale to detect lifetime alcohol problems (8).

The clinic's front desk staff provided patients with a log-in number to protect security and assisted them with logging in or navigation if needed. Patients unable or unwilling to use the computer were given a paper version to be completed with their provider. For example, patients arriving late and patients from the geriatric clinic were more likely to be offered the paper version. The computerized system was presented to patients as a standard component of clinical intake. Once the inventory was completed, front desk staff printed out an EHI report for use in evaluating the patient.

The study team obtained permission from the UCSF Committee on Human Subjects to examine deidentified records of patients who had an initial clinic visit between September

30, 2005, and October 31, 2006. This approval included exemption from informed consent procedures.

Using t tests and chi square tests, we compared demographic characteristics of participants completing the EHI with characteristics of other clinic patients. Among those completing the EHI, we compared gender differences on alcohol and drug measures. Analyses were conducted with SPSS.

Results

During the study intake window, 422 patients ages 18 to 91 completed the EHI, representing 75% of new out-patients. Compared with the other 25% of patients (N=138), patients completing the EHI were younger, with a mean±SD age of 42.9±15.0 years compared with 55.6±17.5 years for those not completing the EHI ($t=8.27$, $N=588$, $df=1$, $p<.001$), but there were no other demographic differences.

The EHI sample (N=422) included 292 women (69%), 128 men (30%), and two transgender individuals (1%); 202 single (48%), 169 married or partnered (40%), 34 divorced or separated (8%), and 15 widowed individuals (4%). Ethnic composition included 302 white patients (72%), 39 Asian (9%), 26 black (6%), one Native American (0%), 31 Hispanic (7%), and 25 other (6%). For highest education level, 53 patients were high school graduates or nongraduates (13%), 53 had some college (13%), 134 were college graduates (32%), and 172 had some graduate training (41%). The sample included 223 patients employed full-time or part-time (53%), 49 unemployed (12%), 53 students (13%), 54 retired (13%), 34 on disability (8%), and nine unknown (2%) (data not shown).

Lifetime, prior-year, and prior-month substance use are reported by gender in Table 1. Prior-year alcohol use was reported by 84% of men versus 74% of women ($p=.023$), lifetime amphetamine use by 28% of men compared with 18% of women ($p=.011$), and prior-year amphetamine use by 9% of men compared with 5% of women ($p=.044$). Heavy drinking in the prior month (five or more drinks on at least one occasion) was reported by 37 men (29%) and 41 women (14%) ($p<.001$) (data not shown).

Among those who consumed alcohol in the prior month (N=270), usual quantity was 2.4±1.5 drinks for men and 1.8±1.0 drinks for women, ($p<.001$), frequency was 9.9±8.7 days for men and 8.6±7.9 days for women (comparison not significant), and heavy drinking was reported by 37 men (41%) and 41 women (23%) ($p=.001$). Of 49 patients using cannabis in the prior month, frequency was 10.8±11.8 days for men and 12.1±11.0 days for women (comparison not significant).

Of the 422 participants, 70 of 128 men (55%) and 108 of 292 women (37%) were administered the SMAST because of their drinking patterns. Sixteen men (23%) and 22 women (20%) scored 3 points or higher out of 13 points, suggesting a possible lifetime alcohol problem (8) (data not shown).

Discussion

Because of our interest in the potential impact of substance use on psychiatric services, we conducted this study to examine patterns of alcohol and drug use in an outpatient psychiatry clinic, which were based on an electronically self-administered instrument. Results showed that alcohol and drug use, especially heavy episodic drinking and use of cannabis, were prevalent. With the exception of heavy episodic drinking, women's rates of use were similar to men's on most measures. These findings have implications for the development of

appropriate substance use interventions, which are integral to effective psychiatric services (9).

The levels of substance use in our results, similar to those found in a primary care sample with depression (10) and an emergency services sample (11), indicate that a substantial number of patients were at risk. The results suggest that providers in psychiatric settings should conduct screening and offer treatment as needed. For example, brief motivational interventions could effectively supplement other psychiatric services (12) and prevent escalation of alcohol and drug problems. The recent Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral, and Treatment initiative launched by the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (13) is actively promoting early intervention with nondependent patients in primary care, mental health care, and other settings; online resources and multiple training opportunities are available for providers. Effective January 2008, codes approved by the U.S. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services allow reimbursement for screening and brief intervention. These policy initiatives recognize that early alcohol and drug use identification and treatment are important medical services that can improve multiple health outcomes.

As a mechanism to facilitate identification, we found that the computerized system was acceptable to most patients, consistent with a prior study of computerized depression assessment (14). Alcohol and drug use questions also were acceptable (few patients declined to answer), although we note that patients with serious alcohol and drug problems had previously been screened out. One limitation was that older adults appeared less willing or able to use the computer, but this may have been due in part to disabilities (including cognitive impairment) of patients seeking geropsychiatric services or to assumptions of reception staff regarding disabilities. Service issues for further investigation include staff training, optimal procedures to ensure confidentiality (15), software options for alcohol and drug screening, and computer-based interventions (16). Potential benefits of computerized systems include the ability to collect more detailed information than is easily obtained by paper forms, greater validity for sensitive questions, and more time-efficient assessment. The records obtained from patients are useful for treatment planning at a program level and as a resource for clinical and services research.

These findings need to be interpreted with the limitations of the study. Because patients completing the electronic intake were younger on average than those who did not complete it, our substance use findings are less representative of older adult patients. Prevalence findings from a single location (San Francisco Bay Area) may not generalize to other regions. Our results may not generalize to psychiatry clinics that do not prescreen patients with serious alcohol and drug problems before intake. It would have been preferable to use a lower heavy-drinking cutoff for women than men (that is, four drinks rather than five on one occasion) because women are more sensitive to alcohol. Our use of the higher cutoff indicates that our findings regarding heavy drinking by women may be conservative. Although computerized measures are considered valid, underreporting of alcohol and drug use by patients would also make our prevalence rates conservative. Thus substance use in the sample may be even greater than our results indicate.

Conclusions

Computerized self-administered assessment can be effectively integrated into an outpatient psychiatric setting to improve alcohol and drug screening. Our investigation based on electronic patient records found substantial prevalence of alcohol and drug use among men and women seeking psychiatric treatment, highlighting potential intervention needs.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by grants from the Steven Merrill Family Foundation, grant K23-AA015411 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism, and grants R37-DA10572 and P50-DA09253 from the National Institute on Drug Abuse. Members of the Depression Center of the Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Francisco, and the Drug and Alcohol Research Team at the Kaiser Permanente Division of Research provided valuable assistance in the development of the study. Information technology staff of the University of California, San Francisco, Department of Psychiatry (Ann Saggio, B.A., Cynthia Chappell, B.A., Julio Burroughs, B.S., Ben Estocapio, B.S., Catherine Dunne, M.S.), as well as Paul Fenimore, M.A., and Kim Terry, B.A., contributed to the study through design of the Electronic Health Inventory (EHI) and associated data management systems. Staff of the Langley Porter Hospital and Clinics integrated the EHI into clinical intake procedures.

References

1. Sullivan LE, Fiellin DA, O'Connor PG. The prevalence and impact of alcohol problems in major depression: a systematic review. *American Journal of Medicine*. 2005; 118:330–341. [PubMed: 15808128]
2. Worthington J, Fava M, Agustin C, et al. Consumption of alcohol, nicotine, and caffeine among depressed outpatients: relationship with response to treatment. *Psychosomatics*. 1996; 37:518–522. [PubMed: 8942202]
3. Weisner C, Schmidt L. Gender disparities in treatment for alcohol problems. *JAMA*. 1992; 268:1872–1876. [PubMed: 1328695]
4. Institute of Medicine. Improving the Quality of Health Care for Mental and Substance-Use Conditions: Quality Chasm Series. Washington, DC: National Academies Press; 2005.
5. Paperny DM, Aono JY, Lehman RM, et al. Computer-assisted detection and intervention in adolescent high-risk health behaviors. *Journal of Pediatrics*. 1990; 116:456–462. [PubMed: 2308041]
6. Stahre M, Naimi T, Brewer R, et al. Measuring average alcohol consumption: the impact of including binge drinks in quantity-frequency calculations. *Addiction*. 2006; 101:1711–1718. [PubMed: 17156170]
7. Ultimate Survey. Cambridge, Mass: Prezza Technologies; 2002.
8. Selzer ML, Vinokur A, van Rooijen L. A self-administered Short Michigan Alcoholism Screening Test (SMAST). *Journal of Studies on Alcohol*. 1975; 36:117–126. [PubMed: 238068]
9. Weisner C, Matzger H. Missed opportunities in screening for alcohol problems in medical and mental health services. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research*. 2003; 27:1132–1141.
10. Roeloffs CA, Wells KB, Ziedonis D, et al. Problem substance use among depressed patients in managed primary care. *Psychosomatics*. 2002; 43:405–412. [PubMed: 12297610]
11. Barry KL, Milner K, Blow FC, et al. Screening psychiatric emergency department patients with major mental illnesses for at-risk drinking. *Psychiatric Services*. 2006; 57:1039–1042. [PubMed: 16816292]
12. Babor TF, Higgins-Biddle JC. Alcohol screening and brief intervention: dissemination strategies for medical practice and public health. *Addiction*. 2000; 95:677–686. [PubMed: 10885042]
13. Screening, Brief Intervention, Referral, and Treatment. Rockville, Md: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration; Available at sbirt.samhsa.gov/about.htm
14. Kobak KA, Reynolds WM, Griest JH. Computerized and clinician assessment of depression and anxiety: respondent evaluation and satisfaction. *Journal of Personality Assessment*. 1994; 63:173–180. [PubMed: 7932028]
15. Schulenberg SE, Yutzenka BA. Ethical issues in the use of computerized assessment. *Computers in Human Behavior*. 2004; 20:477–490.
16. Squires DD, Hester RK. Using technical innovations in clinical practice: the Drinker's Check-Up software program. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*. 2004; 60:159–169. [PubMed: 14724923]

Table 1

Prevalence of self-reported lifetime, prior-year, and prior-month alcohol and drug use among 128 men and 292 women seeking outpatient psychiatric services^a

Drug type	Ever used		Prior year		Prior month	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Alcohol						
Men	119	93	107	84*	90	70
Women	254	87	217	74	180	62
Amphetamines						
Men	36	28*	12	9*	2	2
Women	53	18	13	5	2	1
Cannabis						
Men	78	61	39	31	17	13
Women	163	56	72	25	32	11
Cocaine						
Men	35	27	9	7	1	1
Women	67	23	15	5	3	1
Ecstasy						
Men	28	22	7	6	0	—
Women	54	19	7	2	2	1
Hallucinogens						
Men	40	31	4	3	0	—
Women	72	25	6	2	0	—
Opioids						
Men	18	14	9	7	2	2
Women	35	12	14	5	4	1
Sedatives						
Men	28	22	18	14	10	8
Women	60	21	40	14	20	7
Tobacco						
Men	77	60	39	31	25	20

Drug type	Ever used		Prior year		Prior month	
	N	%	N	%	N	%
Women	169	58	83	28	54	19

^dSelf-reported substance use was compared by gender for each time period (lifetime, prior year, and prior month) with chi square tests. Percentages are based on the total sample, by gender, minus refusals. Patients' refusal to answer questions about substance use ranged from 1% (for alcohol) to 7% (for cocaine). Questions about sedative and opioid use indicated use "other than as prescribed."

* p<.05, significant gender difference