Published in final edited form as:

Proteins. 2012 July ; 80(7): 1901–1906. doi:10.1002/prot.24071.

Solution NMR structure of the ribosomal protein RP-L35Ae from *Pyrococcus furiosus*

David A. Snyder¹, James M. Aramini², Bomina Yu², Yuanpeng J. Huang², Rong Xiao², John R. Cort³, Ritu Shastry², Li-Chung Ma², Jinfeng Liu⁴, Burkhard Rost⁴, Thomas B. Acton², Michael A. Kennedy⁵, and Gaetano T. Montelione^{2,6,*}

¹Department of Chemistry, College of Science and Health, William Paterson University, Wayne, New Jersey 07470

²Center for Advanced Biotechnology and Medicine, Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, and the Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium, Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854

³Biological Sciences Division, and the Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352

⁴Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, Center for Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, and the Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium, Columbia University, New York, New York 10032

⁵Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, and the Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium, Miami University, Oxford, Ohio 45056

⁶Department of Biochemistry, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey, Piscataway, New Jersey 08854

Abstract

The ribosome consists of small and large subunits each comprised of dozens of proteins and RNA molecules. However, the functions of many of the individual protomers within the ribosome are still unknown. Here we describe the solution NMR structure of the ribosomal protein RP-L35Ae from the archaeon *Pyrococcus furiosus*. RP-L35Ae is buried within the large subunit of the ribosome and belongs to Pfam protein domain family PF01247, which is highly conserved in eukaryotes, present in a few archaeal genomes, but absent in bacteria. The protein adopts a six-stranded anti-parallel β -barrel analogous to the 'tRNA binding motif' fold. The structure of the *P. furiosus* RP-L35Ae presented here constitutes the first structural representative from this protein domain family.

Keywords

ribosomal protein; L35Ae; PF01247; tRNA binding; solution NMR; structural genomics

INTRODUCTION

Ribosomes are ubiquitous macromolecular complexes composed of two subunits, each of which are made up of several proteins and RNA molecules hundreds of nucleotides in

*Correspondence to: Gaetano T. Montelione, CABM, Rutgers University, 679 Hoes Lane, Piscataway, NJ 08854. guy@cabm.rutgers.edu.

Additional Supporting Information may be found in the online version of this article.

length. Ribosomes are responsible for protein synthesis in all living cells. The catalytic core of ribosomes – where the information encoded on mRNA is translated, tRNAs are bound, and peptide bonds are formed – is highly conserved throughout evolution. Peripheral activities of the ribosome are less conserved, reflecting differences in the mechanisms of protein synthesis. Eukaryotic ribosomes are substantially larger and more complex than those of eubacteria. Homologous eukaryotic ribosomal proteins often have extra protein fragments and the RNA molecules have expansion segments, which, together with the ~25 unique eukaryotic ribosomal proteins, contribute to the observed 40% increase in size.¹

The RP-L35Ae domain family includes ribosomal proteins known to be buried within the large subunit of the ribosome² and to bind both initiator and elongator tRNA molecules³. Eukaryotic homologs also appear to function extra-ribosomally as inhibitors of apoptosis⁴ and are over-expressed in certain cancer cell lines, which contribute to resistance to chemotherapeutic agents⁵. This contrasts with many other proteins associated with translation that function extra-ribosomally as promoters of apoptosis⁶. However, the precise roles of RP-L35Ae proteins in the mechanism of translation and their function(s) outside the ribosome are not known.

RP-L35Ae proteins belong to the highly conserved Pfam PF01247 protein domain family⁷ (Fig. 1a). Absent in bacterial genomes, homologs of RP-L35Ae are found in only 4 classes of archaea (www.orthology.org). The crystal structure of the large ribosomal subunit of *Haloarcula marismortui* lacks an RP-L35Ae homolog⁸, further demonstrating that this ribosomal protein is not required in at least some archaeal ribosomes. In fact, the ribosomes of many “crown” euryarchaeotes lack homologs for up to ten eukaryotic ribosomal proteins, apparently a result of “reductive evolution”. In most cases, the collection of archaea lacking a homolog of a particular eukaryotic ribosomal protein form a monophyletic group (clade), however the organisms lacking RP-L35Ae homologs do not constitute a single clade⁹. Studies of isolated ribosomal proteins play a critical role in elucidating the structure of ribosomes as a whole and can provide valuable insights into the extra-ribosomal functions of these proteins as well as into the mechanisms of ribosomal reductive evolution.

Here we present the solution NMR structure of RP-L35Ae from *Pyrococcus furiosus*. This protein was selected for three-dimensional structure determination by the Northeast Structural Genomics Consortium (NESG; <http://www.nesg.org>) as part of the NIH Protein Structure Initiative on structural coverage of large, broadly conserved protein domain families. RP-L35Ae is an 87-residue basic protein (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot ID, RL35A_PYRFU; NESG ID, Pfr48). When deposited and released in the PDB its structure was the first structural representative of this important protein domain family.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

P. furiosus RP-L35Ae was cloned, expressed, and purified following standard NESG protocols¹⁰. Briefly, the *rpl35Ae* gene (UniProtKB/Swiss-Prot ID, RL35A_PYRFU; NESG ID, Pfr48; hereafter referred to as RP-L35Ae) from *P. furiosus* was amplified from genomic DNA and cloned into the pET21_NESG vector (Novagen) in frame with a C-terminal affinity tag (LEHHHHHH), transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3) pMGK cells, and expressed overnight at 17 °C in MJ9 minimal media¹¹. Isotopically-enriched samples were produced using $U\text{-}(^{15}\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ and $U\text{-}^{13}\text{C}$ -glucose as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources. Proteins were purified using an ÄKTAexpress system (GE Healthcare) with a two-step protocol consisting of IMAC (HisTRAP HP) and gel filtration (HiLoad 26/60 Superdex 75) chromatography. Final yields of 6 mg of [$U\text{-}^{13}\text{C}$, ^{15}N]-RP-L35Ae and 25 mg of [$U\text{-}5\text{-}^{13}\text{C}$, 100%- ^{15}N]-RP-L35Ae were obtained per liter of cell culture and concentrated to 0.3-1.1 mM in 90% H_2O /10% $^2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 20 mM MES, 100 mM NaCl, 5 mM CaCl_2 , 10 mM DTT, 50

μ M DSS, pH 6.5. The pET expression vector for *P. furiosus* RP-L35Ae, (NESG PfR48-21.1), has been deposited in the PSI Materials Repository (<http://psimr.asu.edu/>).

All NMR data for resonance assignment and structure determination were collected at 293 K on Varian INOVA 600, 750, and 800 MHz and Varian UNITY 600 MHz spectrometers equipped with 5 mm HCN probes, processed with NMRPipe 2.1¹² and visualized using SPARKY 3.106¹³. All spectra were referenced to internal DSS. Complete ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁵N resonance assignments for RP-L35AE were determined using conventional triple resonance NMR methods. Backbone resonance assignments were made by AutoAssign 1.9¹⁴ using peak lists for 2D ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC and 3D HNCO, CBCA(CO)NH and HNCACB spectra. Side chain assignment was completed manually using 3D HBHA(CO)NH, HCCH-COSY, (H)CCH-TOCSY, and CC(CO)NH-TOCSY experiments. Stereospecific isopropyl methyl resonance assignments for all Val and Leu residues were determined from characteristic cross-peak fine structures in high resolution 2D ¹H-¹³C HSQC spectra of [*U*-5%-¹³C, 100%-¹⁵N]-RP-L35Ae¹⁵. Resonance assignments were validated using the Assignment Validation Suite (AVS) software package¹⁶. Three-bond ³J(¹H^N-H ^{α}) scalar couplings were determined using the 3D HNHA experiment¹⁷.

The solution NMR structure of RP-L35Ae was calculated using CYANA 3.0,^{18,19} supplied with peak intensities from the following NOESY spectra (with $\tau_m = 140$ ms and in 10% ²H₂O, unless otherwise specified): 3D ¹⁵N-edited NOESY ($\tau_m = 100$ ms), 3D ¹³C-edited aliphatic NOESY, 3D ¹³C-edited aliphatic NOESY (in 100% ²H₂O), and 3D ¹³C-edited aromatic NOESY, together with broad dihedral angle constraints computed by TALOS+²⁰ ($\phi, \psi \pm 30^\circ$) for ordered residues with confidence scores of 10, and hydrogen bond constraints. The 20 structures with lowest target function out of 100 calculated in the final cycle were further refined by restrained molecular dynamics in explicit water using CNS 1.3^{21,22} and the PARAM19 force field, supplied with the final NOE-derived distance, TALOS+ dihedral angle, and hydrogen bond constraints. In this final stage of the structure determination, rotamer states of specific ordered residues were constrained ($\chi_1, \chi_2 \pm 20^\circ$) based on MolProbity^{23,24} and PROCHECK²⁵ analyses.

The final refined ensemble of 20 structures (excluding the four C-terminal unassigned histidines) was deposited into the Protein Data Bank (PDB ID 2LP6) and BioMagResDB (BMRB accession number 6173). Structural statistics and global structure quality factors, including Verify3D,²⁶ ProsaII,²⁷ PROCHECK,²⁵ and MolProbity^{23,24} raw and statistical Z-scores were computed using the PSVS 1.4 software package²⁸. The global goodness-of-fit of the final structure ensemble with the NOESY peak list data and resonance assignments was determined using the RPF analysis program²⁹.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The solution structure of RP-L35Ae reported here corresponds to the first structural representative from the Pfam PF01247 (Ribosomal_L35Ae) protein domain family⁷. The protein eluted from the gel filtration column as a monomer during purification. The NMR structure consists of a six-stranded anti-parallel β -barrel with an additional α -helix between strands β_2 and β_3 of the barrel (Fig. 1b). Several lines of spectroscopic evidence, analyzed automatically by AutoStructure³⁰ and manually validated, confirm the observed secondary structure and barrel topology of β_1 - β_2 - β_5 - β_4 - β_3 - β_6 . These include the chemical shift values, ³J(¹H^N-H ^{α}) scalar coupling constants, and the observed pattern of sequential and medium range NOEs (Supplementary Fig. S1). Table 1 presents statistics that characterize the quality of the resulting RP-35Ae structure. Similar structures and structure quality scores were obtained using AutoStructure³⁰ for automated NOESY spectral analysis.

Electrostatic surface potential³¹ (Fig. 1c) and ConSurf³² (Fig. 1d) images reveal that one face of the RP-L35Ae β -barrel is particularly positively charged and that the PROSITE³³ signature sequence for the RP-L35Ae family (Fig. 1a) lies alongside a very cationic pocket on this positively charged face. SCOP³⁴ and CATH³⁵ classify the RP-L35Ae structure to a fold/topology class including such tRNA binding domains as the β -barrel domains of EF-Tu/eEF-1A³⁶ and Gar1³⁷ (Fig. 1e). Although, there is no significant sequence similarity between RP-L35Ae family members and other domains having the same β -barrel structure, a structural superimposition of RP-L35Ae into the structure of a ternary EF-Tu/eEF-1A – tRNA – ribosome complex³⁶ reveals that L35Ae and EF-Tu / eEF-1A may share a similar tRNA binding site (Fig. 1f); in particular when superimposed onto eEF-1A in this protein-tRNA complex, the basic face of RP-L35Ae is juxtaposed with the Trp-tRNA, indicating a tRNA-binding function for RP-L35Ae. This putative tRNA binding site of RP-L35Ae proteins is corroborated by its sequence conservation across the RP-L35Ae family. Other conserved sequence patches on the RP-L35Ae β -barrel may be involved in binding other ribosomal proteins, as well as ribosomal RNA, in the extra-ribosomal functions of RP-L35Ae, and/or in stabilizing the RP-L35Ae fold.

The structural similarities between RP-L35AE and eEF-1A are also noteworthy because both proteins appear to function outside of the ribosome as modulators of apoptosis. While RP-L35AE inhibits apoptosis^{4,5}, the larger EF-Tu/eEF-1A protein has two isoforms that differ in their effect on apoptosis. Expression of eEF-1A-1 is associated with increased apoptosis while expression of eEF-1A-2 is associated with decreased apoptosis³⁸. The similarity revealed between the RP-L35AE structure and the β -barrel domains found in both isoforms of eEF-1A suggests that RP-L35AE, eEF-1A-1 and eEF-1A-2 modulate apoptosis via interaction with a common target protein or set of target proteins and that the β -barrel domains in eEF-1A isoforms play a critical role in the mechanism by which eEF-1A isoforms modulate apoptosis.

Subsequent to releasing the *P. furiosus* RP-L35Ae structure in the PDB, the 80S eukaryotic ribosomes from *Triticum aestivum* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* were determined by cryoelectron microscopy (cryo-EM)^{39,40}. Using our structure of RP-L35Ae, the L35Ae subunit of *T. aestivum* and the homologous rpl33 subunit of *S. cerevisiae* were located and modeled to 5.5 and 6.1 Å resolution, respectively. These models, apparently based in part on the released coordinates of L35Ae, are shown in Fig. 1g. Many of the *T. aestivum* subunits, including L35Ae, were modeled using the corresponding protein sequences for the related *Oryza sativa* homolog due to lack of complete sequence information for the *T. aestivum* genome⁴⁰. The *P. furiosus* protein has 86% sequence identity to both the *T. aestivum*/*O. sativa* and *S. cerevisiae* proteins (Fig. 1a). The location of the L35Ae protein within the context of the large subunit of either eukaryotic ribosome confirms our hypotheses for the RNA and protein binding interfaces of the *P. furiosus* protein (Fig. 1g). In particular, the tRNA binding surface is unoccupied in the tRNA-free ribosome structure (Fig. 1g). Interestingly, a crystal structure of the *S. cerevisiae* 80S ribosome⁴¹ reported at the same time lacked the homologous rpl33 subunit. Superposition of the two yeast ribosomes show that there is an empty space in the crystal structure corresponding to the position of rpl33 in the cryo-EM model. However, the neighboring L6E homolog also appears to be missing from this crystal structure. These observations suggest that the lack of the RP-L35Ae homolog in the *S. cerevisiae* crystal structure could be a result of purification and crystallization processes.

The solution NMR structure reported here reveals that the tRNA binding RP-L35Ae family, which based on sequence information alone does not appear homologous to any other protein family, adopts a well-studied tRNA binding fold. This structure has allowed identification of a putative tRNA binding site on the RP-L35Ae surface and for inference of

homology between the RP-L35Ae family and other tRNA binding proteins. Subsequent to this structure determination, putative RNA and protein binding sites were confirmed when L35Ae homologs were modeled in cryo-EM structures of *T. aestivum* and *S. cerevisiae* ribosomes^{39,40}. Further exploration of the RP-L35Ae structure and its biochemistry may reveal additional information about ribosomal evolution, as well as its proposed extra-ribosomal functions in cancer pathology and drug resistance.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank A. Bhattacharya, J. Everett, M. Gerstein, C.S. Goh, G.V.T. Swapna, and H. Moseley for technical assistance and helpful discussions. A portion of the NMR spectra were acquired in the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory (EMSL), a national scientific user facility sponsored by the Department of Energy's Office of Biological and Environmental Research and located at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA.

Grant sponsor: This work was supported by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences Protein Structure Initiative (PSI); Grant numbers: U54-GM074958 and U54-GM094597 (to G.T.M.), and occurred during Assigned Release Time (to D.A.S.).

References

- Schmeing TM, Ramakrishnan V. What recent ribosome structures have revealed about the mechanism of translation. *Nature*. 2009; 461:1234–1242. [PubMed: 19838167]
- Marion MJ, Marion C. Localization of ribosomal proteins on the surface of mammalian 60S ribosomal subunits by means of immobilized enzymes. Correlation with chemical cross-linking data. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 1987; 149:1077–1083. [PubMed: 3426607]
- Ulbrich N, Wool IG, Ackerman E, Sigler PB. The identification by affinity chromatography of the rat liver ribosomal proteins that bind to elongator and initiator transfer ribonucleic acids. *J Biol Chem*. 1980; 255:7010–7019. [PubMed: 7391064]
- Lopez CD, Martinovsky G, Naumovski L. Inhibition of cell death by ribosomal protein L35a. *Cancer Lett*. 2002; 180:195–202. [PubMed: 12175552]
- Kasai H, Nadano D, Hidaka E, Higuchi K, Kawakubo M, Sato TA, Nakayama J. Differential expression of ribosomal proteins in human normal and neoplastic colorectum. *J Histochem Cytochem*. 2003; 51:567–574. [PubMed: 12704204]
- Warner JR, McIntosh KB. How common are extraribosomal functions of ribosomal proteins? *Mol Cell*. 2009; 34:3–11. [PubMed: 19362532]
- Finn RD, Mistry J, Tate J, Coghill P, Heger A, Pollington JE, Gavin OL, Gunasekaran P, Ceric G, Forslund K, Holm L, Sonnhammer EL, Eddy SR, Bateman A. The Pfam protein families database. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2010; 38:D211–222. [PubMed: 19920124]
- Ferbitz L, Maier T, Patzelt H, Bukau B, Deuerling E, Ban N. Trigger factor in complex with the ribosome forms a molecular cradle for nascent proteins. *Nature*. 2004; 431:590–596. [PubMed: 15334087]
- Lecompte O, Ripp R, Thierry JC, Moras D, Poch O. Comparative analysis of ribosomal proteins in complete genomes: an example of reductive evolution at the domain scale. *Nucleic acids research*. 2002; 30:5382–5390. [PubMed: 12490706]
- Acton TB, Xiao R, Anderson S, Aramini J, Buchwald WA, Ciccocanti C, Conover K, Everett J, Hamilton K, Huang YJ, Janjua H, Kornhaber G, Lau J, Lee DY, Liu G, Maglaqui M, Ma L, Mao L, Patel D, Rossi P, Sahdev S, Shastri R, Swapna GV, Tang Y, Tong S, Wang D, Wang H, Zhao L, Montelione GT. Preparation of protein samples for NMR structure, function, and small-molecule screening studies. *Methods Enzymol*. 2011; 493:21–60. [PubMed: 21371586]

11. Jansson M, Li YC, Jendeborg L, Anderson S, Montelione GT, Nilsson B. High-level production of uniformly ^{15}N - and ^{13}C -enriched fusion proteins in *Escherichia coli*. *J Biomol NMR*. 1996; 7:131–141. [PubMed: 8616269]
12. Delaglio F, Grzesiek S, Vuister GW, Zhu G, Pfeifer J, Bax A. NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. *J Biomol NMR*. 1995; 6:277–293. [PubMed: 8520220]
13. Goddard, TD.; Kneller, DG. SPARKY 3. University of California; San Francisco:
14. Moseley HN, Monleon D, Montelione GT. Automatic determination of protein backbone resonance assignments from triple resonance nuclear magnetic resonance data. *Methods Enzymol*. 2001; 339:91–108. [PubMed: 11462827]
15. Neri D, Szyperski T, Otting G, Senn H, Wüthrich K. Stereospecific nuclear magnetic resonance assignments of the methyl groups of valine and leucine in the DNA-binding domain of the 434 repressor by biosynthetically directed fractional ^{13}C labeling. *Biochemistry*. 1989; 28:7510–7516. [PubMed: 2692701]
16. Moseley HN, Sahota G, Montelione GT. Assignment validation software suite for the evaluation and presentation of protein resonance assignment data. *Journal of biomolecular NMR*. 2004; 28:341–355. [PubMed: 14872126]
17. Vuister GW, Bax A. Quantitative J correlation: a new approach for measuring homonuclear three-bond $(\text{H}^{\text{N}}\text{H}^{\alpha})$ coupling constants in ^{15}N -enriched proteins. *J Am Chem Soc*. 1993; 115:7772–7777.
18. Güntert P, Mumenthaler C, Wüthrich K. Torsion angle dynamics for NMR structure calculation with the new program DYANA. *J Mol Biol*. 1997; 273:283–298. [PubMed: 9367762]
19. Herrmann T, Güntert P, Wüthrich K. Protein NMR structure determination with automated NOE assignment using the new software CANDID and the torsion angle dynamics algorithm DYANA. *J Mol Biol*. 2002; 319:209–227. [PubMed: 12051947]
20. Shen Y, Delaglio F, Cornilescu G, Bax A. TALOS+: a hybrid method for predicting protein backbone torsion angles from NMR chemical shifts. *J Biomol NMR*. 2009; 44:213–223. [PubMed: 19548092]
21. Brünger AT, Adams PD, Clore GM, DeLano WL, Gros P, Grosse-Kunstleve RW, Jiang JS, Kuszewski J, Nilges M, Pannu NS, Read RJ, Rice LM, Simonson T, Warren GL. Crystallography & NMR system: A new software suite for macromolecular structure determination. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr*. 1998; 54:905–921. [PubMed: 9757107]
22. Linge JP, Williams MA, Spronk CA, Bonvin AM, Nilges M. Refinement of protein structures in explicit solvent. *Proteins*. 2003; 50:496–506. [PubMed: 12557191]
23. Lovell SC, Davis IW, Arendall WB 3rd, de Bakker PI, Word JM, Prisant MG, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. Structure validation by $\text{C}\alpha$ geometry: ϕ, ψ and $\text{C}\beta$ deviation. *Proteins*. 2003; 50:437–450. [PubMed: 12557186]
24. Davis IW, Leaver-Fay A, Chen VB, Block JN, Kapral GJ, Wang X, Murray LW, Arendall WB 3rd, Snoeyink J, Richardson JS, Richardson DC. MolProbity: all-atom contacts and structure validation for proteins and nucleic acids. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2007; 35:W375–383. [PubMed: 17452350]
25. Laskowski RA, MacArthur MW, Moss DS, Thornton JM. PROCHECK: a program to check the stereochemical quality of protein structures. *J Appl Crystallogr*. 1993; 26:283–291.
26. Lüthy R, Bowie JU, Eisenberg D. Assessment of protein models with three-dimensional profiles. *Nature*. 1992; 356:83–85. [PubMed: 1538787]
27. Sippl MJ. Recognition of errors in three-dimensional structures of proteins. *Proteins*. 1993; 17:355–362. [PubMed: 8108378]
28. Bhattacharya A, Tejero R, Montelione GT. Evaluating protein structures determined by structural genomics consortia. *Proteins*. 2007; 66:778–795. [PubMed: 17186527]
29. Huang YJ, Powers R, Montelione GT. Protein NMR recall, precision, and F-measure scores (RPF scores): structure quality assessment measures based on information retrieval statistics. *J Am Chem Soc*. 2005; 127:1665–1674. [PubMed: 15701001]

30. Huang YJ, Tejero R, Powers R, Montelione GT. A topology-constrained distance network algorithm for protein structure determination from NOESY data. *Proteins*. 2006; 62:587–603. [PubMed: 16374783]
31. Baker NA, Sept D, Joseph S, Holst MJ, McCammon JA. Electrostatics of nanosystems: application to microtubules and the ribosome. *Proc of Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2001; 98:10037–10041. [PubMed: 11517324]
32. Glaser F, Pupko T, Paz I, Bell RE, Bechor-Shental D, Martz E, Ben-Tal N. ConSurf: identification of functional regions in proteins by surface-mapping of phylogenetic information. *Bioinformatics*. 2003; 19:163–164. [PubMed: 12499312]
33. Falquet L, Pagni M, Bucher P, Hulo N, Sigrist CJ, Hofmann K, Bairoch A. The PROSITE database, its status in 2002. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2002; 30:235–238. [PubMed: 11752303]
34. Murzin AG, Brenner SE, Hubbard T, Chothia C. SCOP: a structural classification of proteins database for the investigation of sequences and structures. *J Mol Biol*. 1995; 247:536–540. [PubMed: 7723011]
35. Orengo CA, Michie AD, Jones S, Jones DT, Swindells MB, Thornton JM. CATH--a hierarchic classification of protein domain structures. *Structure*. 1997; 5:1093–1108. [PubMed: 9309224]
36. Voorhees RM, Schmeing TM, Kelley AC, Ramakrishnan V. The mechanism for activation of GTP hydrolysis on the ribosome. *Science*. 2010; 330:835–838. [PubMed: 21051640]
37. Rashid R, Liang B, Baker DL, Youssef OA, He Y, Phipps K, Terns RM, Terns MP, Li H. Crystal structure of a Cbf5-Nop10-Gar1 complex and implications in RNA-guided pseudouridylation and dyskeratosis congenita. *Mol Cell*. 2006; 21:249–260. [PubMed: 16427014]
38. Mateyak MK, Kinzy TG. eEF1A: thinking outside the ribosome. *J Biol Chem*. 2010; 285:21209–21213. [PubMed: 20444696]
39. Armache JP, Jarasch A, Anger AM, Villa E, Becker T, Bhushan S, Jossinet F, Habeck M, Dindar G, Franckenberg S, Marquez V, Mielke T, Thomm M, Berninghausen O, Beatrix B, Soding J, Westhof E, Wilson DN, Beckmann R. Cryo-EM structure and rRNA model of a translating eukaryotic 80S ribosome at 5.5-Å resolution. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010; 107:19748–19753. [PubMed: 20980660]
40. Armache JP, Jarasch A, Anger AM, Villa E, Becker T, Bhushan S, Jossinet F, Habeck M, Dindar G, Franckenberg S, Marquez V, Mielke T, Thomm M, Berninghausen O, Beatrix B, Soding J, Westhof E, Wilson DN, Beckmann R. Localization of eukaryote-specific ribosomal proteins in a 5.5-Å cryo-EM map of the 80S eukaryotic ribosome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2010; 107:19754–19759. [PubMed: 20974910]
41. Ben-Shem A, Jenner L, Yusupova G, Yusupov M. Crystal structure of the eukaryotic ribosome. *Science*. 2010; 330:1203–1209. [PubMed: 21109664]
42. Chenna R, Sugawara H, Koike T, Lopez R, Gibson TJ, Higgins DG, Thompson JD. Multiple sequence alignment with the Clustal series of programs. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2003; 31:3497–3500. [PubMed: 12824352]
43. Duan J, Li L, Lu J, Wang W, Ye K. Structural mechanism of substrate RNA recruitment in H/ACA RNA-guided pseudouridine synthase. *Mol Cell*. 2009; 34:427–39. [PubMed: 19481523]
44. Gouet P, Courcelle E, Stuart DI, Metz F. ESPript: analysis of multiple sequence alignments in PostScript. *Bioinformatics*. 1999; 15:305–308. [PubMed: 10320398]

Figure 1.

Solution NMR structure of RP-L35Ae from *Pyrococcus furiosus*. **(a)** Sequence alignment of representatives of the RP-L35Ae Pfam PF01247 protein domain family by ClustalW⁴². Only the region of the alignment corresponding to the *P. furiosus* protein is shown. The sequence for *Oryza sativa* was used to model the *Triticum aestivum* cryo-EM structure⁴⁰. Boxed residues highlighted red or colored white represent residues that have 100% sequence identity or are conservatively substituted, respectively. The PROSITE³³ signature sequence, G[K/T][L/I/V/M]x[R/D]xHGxxGxVx[A/V/S]xFxxx[L/I]P, is indicated by green triangles. The secondary structure elements found in the crystal structure of *P. furiosus* RP-L35Ae are shown above the alignment. TT represents strict β -turns. **(b)** Stereo pair of the final ensemble of conformers from the solution NMR structure of *P. furiosus* RP-L35Ae with α -helices, β -strands, and loops colored red, yellow, and green, respectively. **(c)** Electrostatic surface potential³¹ of RP-L35Ae. Fully saturated red and blue colors represent, respectively, negative and positive potentials of ± 5 kT at an ionic strength of 0.2 M. **(d)** ConSurf³² image

showing the conserved residues in the entire Pfam PF01247 protein domain family with the degree of residue conservation ranging from highly conserved (magenta) to variable (cyan). Selected conserved residues are labeled. **(e)** Stereo pair of the least-squares superposition EF-Tu / eEF-1A from *T. thermophilus* (purple, PDB ID 2XQD)³⁶, and Gar1 from *P. furiosus* (blue, PDB ID 3HAY)⁴³ onto RP-L35Ae (colored according to panel b). Only the structurally similar domains of EF-Tu / eEF-1A and Gar1 are shown. **(f)** Least-squares superposition of RP-L35Ae (electrostatic surface potential colored according to panel c) on an EF-Tu / eEF-1A -tRNA-ribosome ternary complex (PDB ID 2XQD)³⁶. EF-Tu / eEF-1A is shown in purple, the superimposed RP-L35Ae in blue, and the 16S ribosomal RNA and Trp-tRNA are shown in orange and yellow, respectively. **(g)** Least-squares superposition of RP-L35Ae (electrostatic surface potential colored according to panel c) on the L35Ae subunit in the cryo-EM structure of the 80S ribosome from *T. aestivum* (PDB ID 3IZR, 3IZ9, 3IZ6, 3IZ7)⁴⁰. The ribosomal proteins are labeled according to the protein family. The proposed tRNA binding site is indicated by an orange asterisk. The 28S rRNA is shown in orange and blue. Figures were created by ESPript⁴⁴ and PyMOL (www.pymol.org).

Table 1Summary of NMR and structural statistics^a

RP-L35AE		
Completeness of resonance assignments^b:		
Backbone (%)		98.2
Side chain (%)		90.9
Aromatic (%)		100
Stereospecific methyl (%)		84.2
Conformationally-restricting constraints^c:		
Distance constraints		
Total		1402
intra-residue ($i = j$)		390
sequential ($ i - j = 1$)		322
medium range ($1 < i - j < 5$)		122
long range ($ i - j \geq 5$)		568
Dihedral angle constraints		153
Hydrogen bond constraints		56
No. of constraints per residue		18.3
No. of long range constraints per residue		7.0
Residual constraint violations^c:		
Average No. of distance violations per structure:		
0.1 - 0.2 Å		3.60
0.2 - 0.5 Å		0.05
> 0.5 Å		0
Average No. of dihedral angle violations per structure:		
0 – 10°		12.55
> 10°		0
Model Quality^c:		
RMSD backbone atoms (Å) ^d		0.5
RMSD heavy atoms (Å) ^d		1.0
RMSD bond lengths (Å)		0.018
RMSD bond angles (°)		1.2
MolProbity Ramachandran statistics ^{c,d} :		
most favored regions (%)		97.0
allowed regions (%)		3.0
disallowed regions (%)		0
Global quality scores (Raw / Z-score) ^c :		
Verify3D	0.39	-1.12
ProsaII	0.57	-0.74
Procheck (phi-psi) ^d	-0.56	-1.89

RP-L35AE		
Procheck (all) ^d	-0.26	-1.54
MolProbity clash	15.13	-1.07
RPF Scores ^e :		
Recall / Precision	0.96	0.87
F-measure / DP-score	0.92	0.78
Model Contents:		
Ordered residue ranges ^d	2-12, 21-76, 79-87	
BMRB accession code:	6173	
PDB accession code: ^f	2LP6	

^aStructural statistics computed for the ensemble of 20 deposited structures.

^bComputed using AVS software¹⁶ from the expected number of peaks, excluding: highly exchangeable protons (N-terminal, Lys, and Arg amino groups, hydroxyls of Ser, Thr, Tyr), carboxyls of Asp and Glu, non-protonated aromatic carbons, and the C-terminal 6-His tag.

^cCalculated using PSVS 1.4²⁸. Average distance violations were calculated using the sum over r^6 .

^dBased on ordered residue ranges [S(phi) + S(psi) > 1.8].

^eRPF scores as defined by Huang *et al.*²⁹.

^fPDB ID 2LP6 is a refined structure replacing PDB ID 1SQR.