Published in final edited form as:

Matern Child Health J. 2013 April ; 17(3): 545–555. doi:10.1007/s10995-012-1028-5.

First Trimester Exposure to Ambient Air Pollution, Pregnancy Complications and Adverse Birth Outcomes in Allegheny County, PA

Pei-Chen Lee,

Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California at Los Angeles, 650 Charles E. Young Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772, USA

James M. Roberts,

Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; jroberts@mwri.magee.edu

Janet M. Catov,

Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; catovjm@mail.magee.edu

Evelyn O. Talbott, and

Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA, USA; eot1@pitt.edu

Beate Ritz

Department of Epidemiology, School of Public Health, University of California at Los Angeles, 650 Charles E. Young Drive, Los Angeles, CA 90095-1772, USA; britz@ucla.edu

Abstract

Despite numerous studies of air pollution and adverse birth outcomes, few studies have investigated preeclampsia and gestational hypertension, two pregnancy disorders with serious consequences for both mother and infant. Relying on hospital birth records, we conducted a cohort study identifying 34,705 singleton births delivered at Magee-Women's Hospital in Pittsburgh, PA between 1997 and 2002. Particle ($<10 \mu\text{m-PM}_{10}$; $<2.5 \mu\text{m-PM}_{2.5}$) and ozone (O_3) exposure concentrations in the first trimester of pregnancy were estimated using the space-time ordinary Kriging interpolation method. We employed multiple logistic regression estimate associations between first trimester exposures and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, preterm delivery, and small for gestational age (SGA) infants. $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and O_3 exposures were associated with preeclampsia (adjusted OR = 1.15, 95 % CI = 0.96–1.39 per $4.0 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in $\text{PM}_{2.5}$; adjusted OR = 1.12, 95 % CI = 0.89–1.42 per 16.8 ppb increase in O_3), gestational hypertension (for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ OR = 1.11, 95 % CI = 1.00–1.23; for O_3 OR = 1.12, 95 % CI = 0.97–1.29), and preterm delivery (for $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ ORs = 1.10, 95 % CI = 1.01–1.20; for O_3 ORs = 1.23, 95 % CI = 1.01–1.50). Smaller 5–8 % increases in risk were also observed for PM_{10} with gestational hypertension and SGA, but not preeclampsia. Our data suggest that first trimester exposure to particles, mostly $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, and

ozone, may increase the risk of developing preeclampsia and gestational hypertension, as well as preterm delivery and SGA.

Keywords

Air pollution; Particulate; Preeclampsia; Gestational hypertension; Preterm; Small for gestational age (SGA)

Introduction

Preeclampsia is a pregnancy disorder characterized by hypertension and proteinuria. It develops in the course of pregnancy after mid-gestation and is a major cause of maternal and neonatal mortality and morbidity [1]. For pregnant women diagnosed with preeclampsia, delivery is the only cure. Thus, preeclampsia causes 15 % of all preterm births (defined as delivery at less than 37 weeks of gestation) that are induced to prevent progression of preeclampsia [2]. While it has been postulated that reduced perfusion of the placenta contributes to preeclampsia, this alone is not sufficient to cause this syndrome, which must be complemented by other genetic, behavioral, and/or environmental factors [3]. Without signs of preeclampsia, failure of vascular remodeling that reduces blood supply to the placenta also contributes to both intrauterine growth restriction (IUGR) and to an estimated 30 % of spontaneous preterm births [4, 5].

Previously, both ambient and traffic-related air pollution have been linked to adverse birth outcomes such as low birth weight, preterm birth, and small for gestational age infants (SGA) [6-9]. Thus far, few studies have investigated preeclampsia and gestational hypertension [10-13]. A California birth cohort study of air pollution investigated preeclampsia based on birth certificates and reported positive associations with higher carbon monoxide (CO) and sulfur dioxide (SO₂) exposures during pregnancy [11]. Recently, a smaller cohort study conducted in western Washington state identified 117 preeclamptic women and reported increased risks with ambient CO and PM_{2.5} exposures [10]. Furthermore, we previously observed adverse effects of traffic-related nitrogen oxides (NO_x) and PM_{2.5} exposures on preeclampsia in Southern California [12]. A Dutch study did not find associations for residential proximity to traffic with preeclampsia or gestational hypertension [13], but an excess in risk emerged for whole pregnancy PM₁₀ and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) exposures when improved exposure modeling methods were employed [14].

We re-examined the relatively new hypothesis that air pollution influences the occurrence of preeclampsia and gestational hypertension, and also report on the more commonly examined adverse birth outcomes such as preterm delivery and SGA. We assessed air pollution in early pregnancy (i.e., first trimester) because this is the period when we would expect this exposure to interfere with maternal vascular remodeling processes. Most previous air pollution and adverse birth outcome studies have also examined the influence of air pollution in this period. It is thought that a root cause of preeclampsia is a failed remodeling of the maternal spiral arteries supplying the placenta. This results in reduced placental perfusion and oxidative stress, and occurs between 9 and 18 weeks of gestation [3]. Employing data from a major hospital in Pittsburgh Allegheny County collected from 1997 to 2002, we had access to information on maternal smoking, which previously often could not be taken into account. This cohort included a higher proportion of African-American women living in a historically industrial region that continues to rank low with respect to air quality. Thus, these data provide us with the unique opportunity to study the influence of air pollution on less studied pregnancy complications, while taking into account maternal smoking.

Methods

Study Population and Design

For this hospital-based cohort study, we obtained data from the Magee Obstetric Medical and Infant (MOMI) database, established in 1995, which routinely collects detailed information on maternal, fetal, and neonatal outcomes from electronic and medical records for all women delivering at Magee-Women's Hospital in Pittsburgh, PA. Approximately 60 % of all women in Allegheny County deliver at this hospital.

The information in the MOMI database includes maternal age, race/ethnicity, education level, mother's marital status, year and date of birth, infant gender, gestational age at delivery, cigarette smoking during pregnancy, parity, insurance type, preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, SGA (defined as birth weight below 10th percentile for gestational age according to growth curves based on California normograms [15]), and maternal residential zip code at delivery. Gestational hypertension was defined as systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥ 140 mmHg or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥ 90 mmHg during the second half of pregnancy, whereas preeclampsia was defined as gestational hypertension accompanied by proteinuria after 20 weeks of gestation. Gestational age at delivery was estimated based on the last menstrual period (LMP) and certain other measurements, including uterine size, detection of fetal heartbeat, and first or second trimester ultrasonography if available. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the University of Pittsburgh.

A total of 45,228 live infants were delivered at Magee-Womens Hospital between 1997 and 2002. We excluded multiple births ($n = 1,806$), women with chronic hypertension ($n = 615$), chronic diabetes ($n = 291$), gestational weeks at delivery recorded as less than 15 or greater than 45 weeks ($n = 40$), and a residential zip code outside of Allegheny County, PA ($n = 7,771$). This rendered a total of 34,705. The women we excluded were similar in age to those included but were more often of Caucasian race and reported to have been smokers during pregnancy.

For quality control, entries in the MOMI database are periodically compared to randomly sampled patient charts. In addition, outliers are identified and discrepancies between the database and medical charts are verified and corrected [16].

Exposure Assessment

Maternal exposure to ambient air pollution during pregnancy was estimated based on air monitoring data collected by the Allegheny County Health Department (ACHD) and the US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) between 1996 and 2002. We examined ambient air pollutant concentrations for PM_{10} , $PM_{2.5}$ and O_3 . These are so-called "criteria pollutants" measured routinely at government monitoring stations. The major sources of particle pollutants include coke and coal-fired power plants, road dust, and motor vehicles. Ozone is a photochemical pollutant formed by the chemical reaction of nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds in the presence of sunlight.

To optimize spatial variability information for each pollutant, we also incorporated air monitoring data for each pollutant collected in the neighboring counties within 50 km of the Allegheny County (AC) boundary. During the study period, 40 stations (including 18 monitoring stations in AC) collected PM_{10} daily or every 3rd or 6th day. $PM_{2.5}$ data were not available before 1999, and 23 monitoring stations (including 13 monitoring stations in AC) collected daily or every 3rd or 6th day data from 1999 to 2001. For O_3 , hourly measurements were available at 15 stations in AC and its neighboring counties during the study period (Fig. 1).

The exposure assessment has been described in more detail elsewhere [17]. Briefly, we performed the space–time ordinary kriging (STOK) interpolation to estimate daily air pollution concentrations at each centroid of a grid (0.46 mile²) in AC, using daily average concentrations calculated (for O₃) or collected (for PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) from air monitoring data. We fitted the spatial and temporal variograms separately, using a spherical semivariogram model, and also combined the individual variograms into one space–time variogram by fitting a general product-sum model [18] (model parameter estimates are presented in Table 5 in “Appendix”).

To obtain zip code-level pollutant concentrations, we averaged daily concentrations for each pollutant estimated for each grid centroid within each zip code. For example, to calculate the daily zip code-level concentrations for a pollutant, we would average 10 estimated daily concentrations at the centroid of 10 grids contained in the zip code. There are 109 zip codes in AC, with a mean area of 16.8 km² and an average of 10 grids in each zip code (range 1–84 grids).

Since we were interested in early pregnancy exposure, we then calculated the zip code-level first trimester air pollution concentrations for each woman. We averaged daily concentrations for each zip code over the first trimester (defined as the first 12 weeks of gestation) according to estimated gestational age.

Statistical Analysis

We performed multiple logistic regression to evaluate associations between first trimester air pollutant exposures and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension only, preterm delivery, and SGA, with robust variance estimators to account for non-independence, since many women lived within the same zip code. The coefficient estimates are the same as those based on the standard maximum-likelihood variance estimator in logistic regression, but they have slightly different standard errors, since the robust variance estimators take the non-independence of maternal residences within zip codes into account. We treated pollutant concentrations as continuous variables and reported the associations as per interquartile range (IQR) and per-unit increases. We calculated IQR for each pollutant based on first trimester average exposure concentrations of each pollutant, and only evaluated single-pollutant models, due to high collinearity between pollutants.

Based on previously published literature [7, 14, 19] and visualization, using causal diagram methods, as well as change in estimate criteria [20], we selected maternal age (years), race/ethnicity (Caucasian, African-American, other races), parity (nulliparous, parous), number of cigarettes smoked during pregnancy, season of birth, and year of conception as potential confounders for inclusion in adjusted models. We also examined other potential confounders, including maternal education level, mother's marital status, insurance type, and route of delivery, but since they did not change the estimates for pollutants by more than 10 %, these variables were not included in final models. Furthermore, we conducted several sensitivity analyses stratifying by maternal race/ethnicity (Caucasian, African-American) and smoking status (yes/no) during pregnancy.

Women diagnosed with preeclampsia who deliver a preterm infant (before 37 weeks of gestation) are generally more severely affected than those who deliver at term (after 37 gestational weeks), and have a greater risk of later life cardiovascular disease [21, 22]. Therefore, we also conducted stratified analyses for preeclampsia by preterm delivery (yes/no). For preterm deliveries, we furthermore investigated labor type (spontaneous/indicated). We used STATA (version 8.0; STATA Corporation, College Station, TX) to perform all statistical analyses.

Results

The mean maternal age at delivery was 29 years (SD = 6.1), and women reported an average of 14 (SD = 2.3) years of education. Most of the cohort members were Caucasian (77 %), married (64 %), reported no smoking during pregnancy (84 %), and were covered by health insurance during pregnancy (73 %) (Table 1). The incidence of preterm delivery and SGA during the study period was 9.0 and 8.5 %, respectively, and 6.0 % of the pregnant women were diagnosed with gestational hypertension and 3.3 % developed preeclampsia. Mean pollutant concentrations and correlations for the first trimester of pregnancy are shown in Table 2. PM₁₀ was highly correlated with PM_{2.5} and O₃ ($r = 0.9$ and 0.7 , respectively), and PM_{2.5} was moderately correlated with O₃ ($r = 0.5$).

First trimester PM_{2.5} but not PM₁₀ exposure was associated with preeclampsia (for PM_{2.5} adjusted odds ratios (aORs) = 1.15, 95 % CI = 0.96–1.39 per 4.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase) (Table 6 in “Appendix”; Table 3, for effect estimates based on per-unit increases). An effect for O₃ was only suggested when we adjusted for maternal smoking (aORs = 1.12, 95 % CI = 0.89–1.42 per 16.8 ppb increase). For gestational hypertension, an IQR increase in PM_{2.5}, PM₁₀, and O₃ during the first trimester increased the adjusted odds ratio by 8–12 %. Similarly, 4–23 % increases in the odds were estimated for PM₁₀, PM_{2.5} and O₃, and preterm delivery in adjusted models. A smaller increase in odds for SGA was observed with first trimester PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5} exposure.

Effect estimates for preeclampsia and particulate matter were similar in size in smoking and non-smoking women (for smokers, aORs = 1.13, 95 % CI = 0.85–1.50; for non-smokers, aORs = 1.15, 95 % CI = 0.94–1.41 per 4.0 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{2.5}; Table 7 in “Appendix”; Table 4, for effect estimates based on per-unit increases). We observed a stronger association between O₃ and preeclampsia in smokers (for smokers, aORs = 1.23, 95 % CI = 0.68–2.23; for non-smokers, aORs = 1.10, 95 % CI = 0.86–1.41, per 16.8 ppb increase), but the 95 % CIs of the estimates for smokers and non-smokers largely overlapped. Sensitivity analyses for smokers and non-smokers separately suggested that PM₁₀ affects gestational hypertension more strongly in non-smokers, while estimated effects were similar for the outcomes of preterm delivery and SGA. PM_{2.5} affected preterm delivery more strongly in non-smokers, while effect estimates were similar for gestational hypertension and SGA in smoker and non-smokers. Generally, for O₃, the estimates for preterm delivery were greater for smokers, but associations for gestational hypertension and SGA were greater in non-smokers than smokers.

Results stratified by race suggested that PM_{2.5} affected preeclampsia in Caucasian (aOR = 1.21, 95 % CI = 0.99–1.50) but not African-American women (aOR = 0.93, 95 % CI = 0.72–1.20) (Table 8 in “Appendix”). Consistent with PM_{2.5} and preeclampsia results, first trimester PM_{2.5} also affected preterm births only in Caucasians, while effects on gestational hypertension and SGA were greater in African-American women (Table 8 in “Appendix”). Generally, for gestational hypertension and SGA, the effects of O₃ and PM₁₀ were also greater in African-American than Caucasian women, but the corresponding 95 % CIs largely overlapped. When stratified by preterm status (yes/no), effect estimates for preeclampsia were greater for both particulate matter and ozone in women who had delivered preterm infants (Table 9 in “Appendix”). Stratifying by labor type revealed that air pollutants influenced indicated/induced births more than spontaneous preterm births.

The results reported above for preeclampsia are from analyses in which the control group included women with gestational hypertension, as well as preterm births and SGA infants. When we conducted analyses that employed a single control group that excluded all of the outcomes of interest in this study, effect estimates were almost identical.

Discussion

Our study is one of the few to date that examined preeclampsia and gestational hypertension in relation to ambient air pollutant exposures. In addition, we were able to assess and control for the influence of smoking during pregnancy. We found that higher first trimester PM_{2.5} and O₃ exposures were consistently associated with preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, and preterm delivery. A slightly increased risk of SGA was also observed for first trimester particulate matter (PM) exposures.

Previously, a Californian study analyzed birth certificate data for 2.3 million singleton live births from 1996 to 2004, and found no consistent associations between ambient PM_{2.5} exposure and preeclampsia [11], possibly due to differences in particle composition across this large state. More recently, Rudra et al. [10] reported positive associations between model-based PM_{2.5} concentrations and preeclampsia in a cohort of 3,509 women in western Washington state, but in this small study, effect estimates had wide confidence intervals (fourth vs. first quartile aOR = 1.41; 95 % CI = 0.63–3.18). Relying on measures of traffic density in a population-based cohort study of 7,339 women, Van den Hooven et al. [13] reported odds ratios of 1.07 (95 % CI = 0.75–1.53) and 1.14 (95 % CI = 0.71–1.82) for gestational hypertension and preeclampsia, respectively, for women who lived close to a major road in Rotterdam, Netherlands. We previously applied a sophisticated exposure model, CALINE4, to estimate traffic-generated NO_x and PM_{2.5} concentrations for a cohort of 81,186 singleton births delivered at four hospitals (1997–2006) in Los Angeles and Orange Counties, California (USA), and reported an odds ratio of 1.10 (95 % CI = 1.06–1.15) for preeclampsia per 1.35 µg/m³ increase in PM_{2.5} during the first trimester [12]. The magnitude of this estimate is larger than that obtained in our present study, which examined ambient PM_{2.5} exposures in general rather than traffic-related PM_{2.5} exposures (for the current study, the aOR = 1.05 (95 % CI = 0.99–1.12) per 1.35 µg/m³ increase in PM_{2.5}).

Because of insufficient sample size, we are unable to assess interaction and effect measure modification between air pollution exposure and birth outcomes for maternal race/ethnicity and smoking status during pregnancy. Rather, we conducted several sensitivity analyses stratifying by maternal race/ethnicity, smoking status, preterm delivery (for preeclampsia analysis), and labor type (for preterm delivery analysis). Although we observed different effect estimates across all strata, effect estimates had wide 95 % confidence intervals and we lacked precision to draw conclusions concerning interaction.

Several previous studies have reported a link between ambient air pollutant exposures, including particles during different trimesters of pregnancy and risk of preterm birth [23–26]. We confirmed a small increase in risk of preterm delivery for first trimester PM exposures, consistent with our previous report for preterm births in Southern California [12]. For example, for California, we reported an odds ratio of 1.03 (95 % CI = 1.00–1.06) for preterm delivery per 1.35 µg/m³ increase in ambient PM_{2.5} during the first trimester.

Using SGA based on the weight distribution of all infants born at a specific gestational age alone to determine growth restriction has several limitations, including (1) only capturing growth restriction for infants but not fetuses; (2) being unable to identify fetuses or infants who have not achieved their genetic growth potential but are not small enough to be SGA; and (3) being unable to distinguish fetuses or infants who have already achieved their genetic growth potential but do not have adequate gestational size/weight based on a standard distribution. Thus, special attention needs to be paid when interpreting the results for SGA. In our study, we found only very small increases in risk of delivering SGA infants in relation to ambient PM exposure during pregnancy, but these results are consistent with previous studies [27–29].

Recently, an International Collaboration on Air Pollution and Pregnancy Outcomes study comprised of 14 research groups and nine countries reported estimated ORs for term low birth weight per 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} during the entire pregnancy period, which ranged from 0.81 (95 % CI = 0.24–2.76) for the Netherlands to 1.44 (95 % CI = 0.62–3.36) for Vancouver [30]. Dejmek et al. [27] examined the association between $\text{PM}_{2.5}$ and PM_{10} air pollution and IUGR in a polluted region of the Czech Republic, and reported that during the first month of pregnancy exposure to PM_{10} was associated with IUGR (OR = 1.19, 95 % CI = 1.06–1.33, for a 10 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase). Hansen et al. [29] reported a small increase in risk for delivering SGA infants exposed to PM_{10} during the first trimester, based on a city-wide average of this pollutant in Brisbane, Australia (per 8.1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ increase in PM_{10} during the first trimester, ORs = 1.04, 95 % CI = 0.96–1.12) [29].

In addition to hypertension, proteinuria is a cardinal symptom of preeclampsia, and pathological kidney changes are found in preeclampsia but not other forms of gestational hypertension, indicating that this syndrome is more than hypertension being simply revealed by pregnancy [3]. Indeed, not all women diagnosed with gestational hypertension are expected to develop preeclampsia. In our population approximately 45 % of women with gestational hypertension did not develop any accompanying and/or new-onset proteinuria. However, similarities in risk factors (such as obesity, diabetes, older maternal age) for these two disorders suggest overlap between the two conditions [31, 32].

The underlying biological mechanisms by which air pollution might cause adverse birth outcomes or pregnancy complications remain to be determined, but some possibilities include its influence on endothelial function, increase in oxidative stress, induction of inflammatory processes, and increased susceptibility to infections [33,34]. In addition, a growing body of research has linked changes in blood pressure (BP) to ambient air pollution; especially PM, not only for elderly persons with pre-existing cardiac disease, but also for healthy individuals [35–37]. However, we argue that the mechanisms by which air pollution influences BP during pregnancy likely differ, and might be more complex than those acting in non-pregnant populations. For example, in most aging populations, clinical changes in BP are commonly attributed to arterial vascular degeneration; however, in pregnant women, changes in BP result from systemic adaptation necessary to accommodate the presence and needs of the developing fetus. Recently, examining a subset of our study population who participated in a pregnancy cohort study, we reported that women exposed to ambient PM_{10} , $\text{PM}_{2.5}$, and O_3 during early pregnancy were more likely to have elevated C-reactive protein concentrations (above 8 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$), a finding that supports the hypothesis that systemic inflammation induced by air pollutants may be a possible mechanism through which the risk of adverse birth outcomes is increased [17].

One strength of our study is that we relied on hospital-based records, which provide more accurate information than birth certificates concerning gestational weeks at delivery and the diagnoses of preeclampsia and gestational hypertension. Furthermore, information about maternal smoking during pregnancy allowed us to adjust for confounding by smoking, an important risk factor for these adverse birth outcomes.

We were unable to examine the influence of other gaseous air pollutants such as CO, NO_2 , and SO_2 , due to the scarcity of monitoring stations for these pollutants in the study area. Although our O_3 estimates were based on data collected at only 3 monitoring stations, ozone, a photochemical pollutant formed by the chemical reaction of nitrogen oxides and volatile organic compounds in the presence of sunlight, is spatially more homogeneously distributed than other gaseous pollutants. In addition, our spatial interpolation model likely has sufficiently represented ozone exposures.

Like most previous air pollution and adverse birth outcome studies, we did not have maternal mobility information and assumed that women did not move or moved within the same zip code during pregnancy, which may result in misclassification of exposure depending upon the frequency of moving during pregnancy in our population. Furthermore, we ignored indoor sources of pollutants and assumed that the outdoor measures represent the women's exposures during pregnancy, at least in a relative manner. Unfortunately we do not have information for potential important indoor sources of PM or activity patterns for our study population to determine whether our assumptions are correct. However, misclassification of exposure would be non-differential for cases and controls, which most likely results in attenuated effect estimates. In addition, examining the influence of maternal mobility on exposure misclassification in New York State, researchers recently reported that low maternal mobility and moving within the same general area resulted in little change in exposure estimates when using birth addresses versus residential history collected in interviews [38].

Our estimates of individual exposure to air pollutants were based on interpolation methods that relied on data collected from ambient monitoring stations. We ignored atmospheric influences on the dispersion of pollutants and assumed that air pollution concentrations measured at each monitoring station represent the regional exposure. Although we have examined and controlled for a number of important potential confounders in our analyses, certain other potential confounders such as second-hand smoking, maternal occupational exposures, and stress are not available in our study. However, we previously found that for pollutants that change seasonally and averages for shorter pregnancy periods such as trimesters, factors that do not change seasonally are not strong confounders for birth outcomes [7]. Our first trimester air pollution exposure would thus be less likely to be confounded by these factors. Smoking was self-reported after delivery, and women with pregnancy complications may report smoking differently. However, we believe that any differential reporting of smoking is most likely independent of air pollution concentrations in the first trimester, since women likely did not know the concentration of air pollution around their home, making confounding bias less likely.

Conclusions

Relying on obstetric medical and birth records from a large hospital in Pittsburgh, we found that particulate matter (mainly PM_{2.5}) and ozone air pollutant exposures during the first trimester were associated with increased risk of developing preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, and preterm delivery. Particulate exposures also minimally increased the risk for delivering a SGA infant. Our results provide some evidence that ambient particulate matter and ozone exposures during the first trimester of gestation may induce pregnancy complications, specifically, preeclampsia and gestational hypertension, which can lead to preterm births and possibly SGA.

Appendix

See Tables 5, 6, 7, 8, 9.

References

1. Sibai B, Dekker G, Kupferminc M. Pre-eclampsia. *Lancet*. 2005; 365(9461):785–799. doi:10.1016/s0140-6736(05)17987-2. [PubMed: 15733721]
2. Goldenberg RL, Rouse DJ. Prevention of premature birth. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1998; 339(5):313–320. doi:10.1056/nejm199807303390506. [PubMed: 9682045]

3. Roberts JM, Gammill HS. Preeclampsia: recent insights. *Hypertension*. 2005; 46(6):1243–1249. doi: 10.1161/01.HYP.0000188408.49896.c5. [PubMed: 16230510]
4. Arias F, Rodriguez L, Rayne SC, et al. Maternal placental vasculopathy and infection: two distinct subgroups among patients with preterm labor and preterm ruptured membranes. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1993; 168(2):585–591. [PubMed: 8438933]
5. Khong TY, De Wolf F, Robertson WB, et al. Inadequate maternal vascular response to placentation in pregnancies complicated by pre-eclampsia and by small-for-gestational age infants. *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. 1986; 93(10):1049–1059. [PubMed: 3790464]
6. Bonzini M, Carugno M, Grillo P, et al. Impact of ambient air pollution on birth outcomes: systematic review of the current evidences. *Medicina del Lavoro*. 2010; 101(5):341–363. [PubMed: 21105590]
7. Ritz B, Wilhelm M, Hoggatt KJ, et al. Ambient air pollution and preterm birth in the environment and pregnancy outcomes study at the University of California, Los Angeles. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 2007; 166(9):1045–1052. doi: 10.1093/aje/kwm181. [PubMed: 17675655]
8. Sram RJ, Binkova B, Djemek J, et al. Ambient air pollution and pregnancy outcomes: a review of the literature. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2005; 113(4):375–382. [PubMed: 15811825]
9. Wilhelm M, Ritz B. Residential proximity to traffic and adverse birth outcomes in Los Angeles county, California, 1994–1996. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2003; 111(2):207–216. [PubMed: 12573907]
10. Rudra CB, Williams MA, Sheppard L, et al. Ambient carbon monoxide and fine particulate matter in relation to preeclampsia and preterm delivery in Western Washington State. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2011 doi:10.1289/ehp.1002947.
11. Woodruff T, Morello-Frosch R, Jesdale B. Air pollution and preeclampsia among pregnant women in California, 1996–2004. *Epidemiology*. 2008:S310.
12. Wu J, Ren C, Delfino RJ, et al. Association between local traffic-generated air pollution and preeclampsia and preterm delivery in the south coast air basin of California. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2009; 117(11):1773–1779. doi:10.1289/ehp.0800334. [PubMed: 20049131]
13. van den Hooven EH, Jaddoe VW, de Kluizenaar Y, et al. Residential traffic exposure and pregnancy-related outcomes: a prospective birth cohort study. *Environ Health*. 2009; 8:59. doi: 10.1186/1476-069x-8-59. [PubMed: 20028508]
14. van den Hooven EH, de Kluizenaar Y, Pierik FH, et al. Air pollution, blood pressure, and the risk of hypertensive complications during pregnancy: the generation R study. *Hypertension*. 2011 doi: 10.1161/HYPERTENSIONAHA.110.164087.
15. Williams RL, Creasy RK, Cunningham GC, et al. Fetal growth and perinatal viability in California. *Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 1982; 59(5):624–632. [PubMed: 7070736]
16. Bodnar LM, Simhan HN. The prevalence of preterm birth and season of conception. *Paediatric and Perinatal Epidemiology*. 2008; 22(6):538–545. doi:10.1111/j.1365-3016.2008.00971.x. [PubMed: 19000291]
17. Lee PC, Talbott EO, Roberts JM, et al. Particulate air pollution exposure and C-reactive protein during early pregnancy. *Epidemiology*. 2011 doi:10.1097/EDE.0b013e31821c6c58.
18. DeCesare L, Myers DE, Posa D. Product-sum covariance for space-time modeling: an environmental application. *Environmetrics*. 2001; 12:11–23.
19. Bell ML, Ebisu K, Belanger K. Ambient air pollution and low birth weight in Connecticut and Massachusetts. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2007; 115(7):1118–1124. doi: 10.1289/ehp.9759. [PubMed: 17637932]
20. Mickey RM, Greenland S. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1989; 129(1):125–137. [PubMed: 2910056]
21. Harskamp RE, Zeeman GG. Preeclampsia: at risk for remote cardiovascular disease. *American Journal of the Medical Sciences*. 2007; 334(4):291–295. doi:10.1097/MAJ.0b013e3180a6f094. [PubMed: 18030186]
22. Mongraw-Chaffin ML, Cirillo PM, Cohn BA. Preeclampsia and cardiovascular disease death: prospective evidence from the child health and development studies cohort. *Hypertension*. 2010; 56(1):166–171. doi:10.1161/hypertensionaha.110.150078. [PubMed: 20516394]

23. Bobak M. Outdoor air pollution, low birth weight, and prematurity. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2000; 108(2):173–176. [PubMed: 10656859]
24. Ritz B, Yu F, Chapa G, et al. Effect of air pollution on preterm birth among children born in Southern California between 1989 and 1993. *Epidemiology*. 2000; 11(5):502–511. [PubMed: 10955401]
25. Sagiv SK, Mendola P, Loomis D, et al. A time-series analysis of air pollution and preterm birth in Pennsylvania, 1997–2001. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2005; 113(5):602–606. [PubMed: 15866770]
26. Zhao Q, Liang Z, Tao S, et al. Effects of air pollution on neonatal prematurity in Guangzhou of China: a time-series study. *Environ Health*. 2011; 10:2. doi:10.1186/1476-069x-10-2. [PubMed: 21214958]
27. Dejmek J, Solansky I, Benes I, et al. The impact of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and fine particles on pregnancy outcome. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2000; 108(12):1159–1164. [PubMed: 11133396]
28. Sram RJ, Binkova B, Rossner P, et al. Adverse reproductive outcomes from exposure to environmental mutagens. *Mutation Research*. 1999; 428(1-2):203–215. [PubMed: 10517994]
29. Hansen C, Neller A, Williams G, et al. Low levels of ambient air pollution during pregnancy and fetal growth among term neonates in Brisbane, Australia. *Environmental Research*. 2007; 103(3):383–389. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2006.06.010. [PubMed: 16890220]
30. Parker JD, Rich DQ, Glinianaia SV, et al. The international collaboration on air pollution and pregnancy outcomes: initial results. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2011; 119(7):1023–1028. doi:10.1289/ehp.1002725. [PubMed: 21306972]
31. Ros HS, Cnattingius S, Lipworth L. Comparison of risk factors for preeclampsia and gestational hypertension in a population-based cohort study. *American Journal of Epidemiology*. 1998; 147(11):1062–1070. [PubMed: 9620050]
32. Villar J, Carroli G, Wojdyla D, et al. Preeclampsia, gestational hypertension and intrauterine growth restriction, related or independent conditions? *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*. 2006; 194(4):921–931. doi:10.1016/j.ajog. 2005.10.813. [PubMed: 16580277]
33. Kannan S, Misra DP, Dvonch JT, et al. Exposures to airborne particulate matter and adverse perinatal outcomes: a biologically plausible mechanistic framework for exploring potential effect modification by nutrition. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2006; 114(11):1636–1642. [PubMed: 17107846]
34. Slama R, Darrow L, Parker J, et al. Meeting report: atmospheric pollution and human reproduction. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2008; 116(6):791–798. doi:10.1289/ehp.11074. [PubMed: 18560536]
35. Auchincloss AH. Associations between recent exposure to ambient fine particulate matter and blood pressure in the Multiethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA). *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2008; 116(4):486–491. doi:10.1289/ehp.10899. [PubMed: 18414631]
36. Zanobetti A, Canner MJ, Stone PH, et al. Ambient pollution and blood pressure in cardiac rehabilitation patients. *Circulation*. 2004; 110(15):2184–2189. doi:10.1161/01.CIR.0000143831.33243.D8. [PubMed: 15466639]
37. Sergio Chiarelli P, Amador Pereira LA, Nascimento Saldiva PH, et al. The association between air pollution and blood pressure in traffic controllers in Santo Andre, Sao Paulo, Brazil. *Environ Res*. 2011 doi:10.1016/j.envres.2011.04.007.
38. Chen L, Bell EM, Caton AR, et al. Residential mobility during pregnancy and the potential for ambient air pollution exposure misclassification. *Environmental Research*. 2010; 110(2):162–168. doi:10.1016/j.envres.2009.11.001. [PubMed: 19963212]

Fig. 1.
The distribution of air monitoring stations in Allegheny County, PA

Table 1

Characteristics of the study population (n = 34,705)

Characteristic	Measure
Maternal age (years, mean $\pm$ SD)	29.1 $\pm$ 6.1
Maternal race/ethnicity (n, %) ^a	
Caucasian	26,356 (76.8)
African-American	6,539 (19.0)
Other ^b	1,435 (4.2)
Maternal education (years, mean $\pm$ SD) ^a	14.0 $\pm$ 2.3
Parity (n, %) ^a	
Nulliparous	15,239 (44.0)
Parous	19,459 (56.0)
Marital status (n, %)	
Married	22,213 (64.0)
Unmarried	12,492 (36.0)
Gestational age (weeks, mean $\pm$ SD)	38.7 $\pm$ 2.3
Number of cigarettes smoked per day during pregnancy (n, %) ^a	
0	26,731 (83.5)
1–10	4,54 (12.7)
11–20	1,117 (3.5)
21+	127 (0.3)
Medical insurance type (n, %)	
Private insurance	25,384 (73.1)
Public assistance	9,197 (26.5)
Self-pay	124 (0.4)
Year of conception (n, %)	
1996	4,626 (13.3)
1997	5,415 (15.6)
1998	5,012 (14.4)
1999	5,780 (16.7)
2000	6,256 (18.0)
2001	6,137 (17.7)
2002	1,479 (4.3)
Season of conception (n, %)	
Spring (March–May)	8,327 (24.0)
Summer (June–August)	9,030 (26.0)
Fall (September–November)	8,975 (25.9)
Winter (December–February)	8,373 (24.1)
Route of delivery (n, %)	
Vaginal	28,352 (81.7)
Cesarean	6,353 (18.3)

Characteristic	Measure
Preterm delivery (n, %)	3,109 (9.0)
Preeclampsia (n, %)	1,141 (3.3)
Gestational hypertension (n, %)	2,078 (6.0)
SGA (n, %) ^a	2,958 (8.5)

^aMissing observations for maternal education (n = 2,192); maternal race (n = 375); parity (n = 7); smoking during pregnancy (n = 2,676); and SGA (missing birth weight, n = 71)

^bOther races, including Native American, Hispanic, and Asian

Table 2

Descriptive statistics of first trimester air pollution exposures

	Percentile						Pearson correlation		
	IQR	0th	25th	50th	75th	95th	100th	PM ₁₀	PM _{2.5} O ₃
PM ₁₀ (µg/m ³)	7.7	13.9	21.7	24.8	29.4	34.8	42.6	1	
PM _{2.5} (µg/m ³) ^a	4.0	9.2	13.6	15.6	17.6	21.3	29.0	0.9	1
O ₃ (ppb)	16.8	6.1	13.3	21.7	30.2	36.2	46.8	0.7	0.5 1

^aFor years between 1999 and 2002

Table 3

Effect estimates (odds ratio, 95 % CI) for first trimester air pollutant exposures (per IQR) and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, preterm delivery, and SGA

Pregnancy condition	Pollutant	No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)
Preeclampsia	PM ₁₀	1,141	1.03 (0.94–1.14)	1.00 (0.87–1.15)
	PM _{2.5}	699	1.15 (1.01–1.30)	1.15 (0.96–1.39)
	O ₃	1,141	1.01 (0.91–1.12)	1.12 (0.89–1.42)
Gestational hypertension	PM ₁₀	2,078	1.08 (1.02–1.14)	1.08 (0.98–1.20)
	PM _{2.5}	1,212	1.10 (1.01–1.19)	1.11 (1.00–1.23)
	O ₃	2,078	1.13 (1.05–1.21)	1.12 (0.97–1.29)
Preterm delivery	PM ₁₀	3,109	1.02 (0.97–1.07)	1.04 (0.94–1.14)
	PM _{2.5}	1,940	1.06 (1.00–1.13)	1.10 (1.01–1.20)
	O ₃	3,109	1.01 (0.95–1.08)	1.23 (1.01–1.50)
SGA	PM ₁₀	2,958	1.10 (1.04–1.16)	1.05 (0.96–1.15)
	PM _{2.5}	1,639	1.05 (0.96–1.14)	1.03 (0.94–1.12)
	O ₃	2,958	0.97 (0.90–1.04)	0.98 (0.86–1.11)

^aAdjusted for maternal age, race, parity, number of cigarettes smoked during pregnancy, season of birth, and year of conception (for PM₁₀ and O₃: 1996–2002; for PM_{2.5}: 1999–2002)

Effect estimates (odds ratio, 95 % CI) for first trimester air pollutant exposures (per IQR) and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, preterm delivery, and SGA stratified by maternal smoking during pregnancy

Table 4

Pregnancy condition	Pollutant	Mother smoked during pregnancy			Mother did not smoke during pregnancy		
		No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)	No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)
Preeclampsia	PM ₁₀	164	1.04 (0.84–1.27)	1.05 (0.73–1.53)	875	1.02 (0.92–1.12)	1.00 (0.85–1.15)
	PM _{2.5}	116	1.08 (0.86–1.36)	1.13 (0.85–1.50)	577	1.17 (1.02–1.34)	1.15 (0.94–1.41)
	O ₃	164	1.16 (0.89–1.51)	1.23 (0.68–2.23)	875	1.01 (0.90–1.13)	1.10 (0.86–1.41)
Gestational hypertension	PM ₁₀	283	0.97 (0.81–1.18)	0.86 (0.64–1.16)	1,661	1.10 (1.03–1.17)	1.12 (1.01–1.25)
	PM _{2.5}	177	1.09 (0.91–1.31)	1.13 (0.88–1.44)	1,027	1.11 (1.02–1.21)	1.11 (0.99–1.24)
	O ₃	283	1.05 (0.84–1.30)	0.92 (0.64–1.34)	1,661	1.12 (1.03–1.22)	1.16 (0.98–1.37)
Preterm delivery	PM ₁₀	682	0.90 (0.81–1.00)	1.06 (0.85–1.33)	2,117	1.05 (0.99–1.11)	1.02 (0.91–1.15)
	PM _{2.5}	465	0.90 (0.78–1.03)	1.04 (0.88–1.24)	1,442	1.09 (1.01–1.16)	1.11 (0.99–1.23)
	O ₃	682	0.97 (0.84–1.12)	1.46 (0.99–2.13)	2,117	1.10 (1.01–1.19)	1.15 (0.92–1.45)
SGA	PM ₁₀	907	1.06 (0.95–1.18)	1.04 (0.89–1.22)	1,807	1.06 (0.98–1.14)	1.02 (0.90–1.15)
	PM _{2.5}	549	0.98 (0.89–1.08)	0.99 (0.88–1.12)	1,073	1.01 (0.91–1.12)	1.01 (0.91–1.12)
	O ₃	907	1.06 (0.91–1.23)	0.93 (0.74–1.17)	1,807	0.94 (0.87–1.02)	0.99 (0.84–1.16)

^a Adjusted for maternal age, race, parity, season of birth, and year of conception (for PM₁₀ and O₃; 1996–2002; for PM_{2.5}; 1999–2002)

Table 5

Estimated spatial and temporal variograms for each pollutant

	Model	Sill	Range ^a	Nugget	Equation ^b
PM _{2.5}	Spherical	0.25	31.98	0.02	$\gamma_s(h_s) = \begin{cases} = 0.02 + 0.23 \left[(3/2)(h_s/31.98) - (1/2)(h_s/31.98)^3 \right], & 0 < h_s \leq 31.98 \\ = 0.25, & h_s > 31.98 \end{cases}$
	Temporal variogram				
PM ₁₀	Spherical	0.27	3.60	0.08	$\gamma_t(h_t) = \begin{cases} = 0.08 + 0.19 \left[(3/2)(h_t/3.60) - (1/2)(h_t/3.60)^3 \right], & 0 < h_t \leq 3.60 \\ = 0.27, & h_t > 3.60 \end{cases}$
	Temporal variogram				
O ₃	Spherical	0.25	38.83	0.03	$\gamma_s(h_s) = \begin{cases} = 0.03 + 0.22 \left[(3/2)(h_s/38.83) - (1/2)(h_s/38.83)^3 \right], & 0 < h_s \leq 38.83 \\ = 0.25, & h_s > 38.83 \end{cases}$
	Temporal variogram				
O ₃	Spherical	0.27	3.65	0.06	$\gamma_t(h_t) = \begin{cases} = 0.06 + 0.21 \left[(3/2)(h_t/3.65) - (1/2)(h_t/3.65)^3 \right], & 0 < h_t \leq 3.65 \\ = 0.27, & h_t > 3.65 \end{cases}$
	Temporal variogram				
O ₃	Spherical	0.10	26.09	0.02	$\gamma_s(h_s) = \begin{cases} = 0.02 + 0.08 \left[(3/2)(h_s/26.09) - (1/2)(h_s/26.09)^3 \right], & 0 < h_s \leq 26.09 \\ = 0.10, & h_s > 26.09 \end{cases}$
	Temporal variogram				
O ₃	Spherical	0.11	2.15	0.04	$\gamma_t(h_t) = \begin{cases} = 0.04 + 0.07 \left[(3/2)(h_t/2.15) - (1/2)(h_t/2.15)^3 \right], & 0 < h_t \leq 2.15 \\ = 0.11, & h_t > 2.15 \end{cases}$
	Temporal variogram				

^a Unit for spatial variogram is km and for temporal variogram is day^b Where γ_s and γ_t are the variogram functions for space and time, respectively; h_s and h_t are the distances in spatial and temporal dimensions, respectively

Table 6

Effect estimates (odds ratio, 95 % CI) for first trimester air pollutant exposures (per unit increase^a) and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, preterm delivery, and SGA

Pregnancy condition	Pollutant	No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^b (95 % CI)
Preeclampsia	PM ₁₀	1141	1.04 (0.92–1.18)	1.00 (0.84–1.20)
	PM _{2.5}	699	1.18 (1.02–1.38)	1.19 (0.95–1.50)
	O ₃	1,141	1.01 (0.95–1.07)	1.07 (0.93–1.23)
Gestational hypertension	PM ₁₀	2,078	1.10 (1.02–1.19)	1.11 (0.97–1.26)
	PM _{2.5}	1,212	1.12 (1.02–1.24)	1.14 (1.01–1.30)
	O ₃	2,078	1.07 (1.03–1.12)	1.07 (0.98–1.16)
Preterm delivery	PM ₁₀	3,109	1.02 (0.96–1.09)	1.05 (0.92–1.19)
	PM _{2.5}	1,940	1.08 (1.00–1.17)	1.13 (1.01–1.26)
	O ₃	3,109	1.01 (0.97–1.05)	1.13 (1.00–1.27)
SGA	PM ₁₀	2,958	1.13 (1.06–1.21)	1.07 (0.95–1.20)
	PM _{2.5}	1,639	1.06 (0.95–1.18)	1.04 (0.93–1.16)
	O ₃	2,958	0.98 (0.94–1.03)	0.99 (0.91–1.07)

^aPer-unit increase for PM₁₀ per 10 µg/m³; for PM_{2.5} per 5 µg/m³; and for ozone per 10 ppb

^bAdjusted for maternal age, race, parity, number of cigarettes smoked during pregnancy, season of birth, and year of conception (for PM₁₀ and O₃: 1996–2002; for PM_{2.5}: 1999–2002)

Table 7

Effect estimates (odds ratio, 95 % CI) for first trimester air pollutant exposures (per unit increase^a) and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, preterm delivery, and SGA stratified by maternal smoking during pregnancy

Pregnancy condition	Pollutant	Mother smoked during pregnancy			Mother did not smoke during pregnancy		
		No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^b (95 % CI)	No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^b (95 % CI)
Preeclampsia	PM ₁₀	164	1.05 (0.80–1.37)	1.07 (0.66–1.74)	875	1.02 (0.90–1.17)	0.99 (0.81–1.21)
	PM _{2.5}	116	1.10 (0.82–1.47)	1.17 (0.82–1.66)	577	1.22 (1.03–1.44)	1.19 (0.93–1.53)
	O ₃	164	1.09 (0.93–1.28)	1.13 (0.80–1.61)	875	1.01 (0.94–1.08)	1.06 (0.91–1.23)
Gestational hypertension	PM ₁₀	283	0.97 (0.75–1.24)	0.82 (0.56–1.21)	1,661	1.13 (1.04–1.23)	1.16 (1.01–1.34)
	PM _{2.5}	177	1.12 (0.89–1.40)	1.16 (0.86–1.58)	1,027	1.14 (1.02–1.27)	1.14 (0.99–1.31)
Preterm delivery	O ₃	283	1.03 (0.90–1.17)	0.95 (0.77–1.19)	1,661	1.07 (1.02–1.13)	1.09 (0.99–1.20)
	PM ₁₀	682	0.87 (0.76–1.00)	1.08 (0.81–1.44)	2,117	1.07 (0.99–1.15)	1.03 (0.88–1.20)
	PM _{2.5}	465	0.88 (0.74–1.04)	1.05 (0.85–1.31)	1,442	1.11 (1.02–1.21)	1.13 (0.99–1.29)
	O ₃	682	0.98 (0.90–1.07)	1.25 (1.00–1.57)	2,117	1.06 (1.01–1.11)	1.09 (0.95–1.25)
	PM ₁₀	907	1.08 (0.94–1.24)	1.05 (0.85–1.29)	1,807	1.07 (0.98–1.18)	1.02 (0.87–1.19)
SGA	PM _{2.5}	549	0.98 (0.86–1.10)	0.99 (0.86–1.15)	1,073	1.01 (0.90–1.15)	1.02 (0.89–1.16)
	O ₃	907	1.03 (0.95–1.13)	0.96 (0.84–1.10)	1,807	0.96 (0.92–1.01)	0.99 (0.90–1.09)

^a Per-unit increase for PM₁₀ per 10 µg/m³; for PM_{2.5} per 5 µg/m³; and for ozone per 10 ppb

^b Adjusted for maternal age, race, parity, season of birth, and year of conception (for PM₁₀ and O₃; 1996–2002; for PM_{2.5}; 1999–2002)

Table 8

Effect estimates (odds ratio, 95 % CI) for first trimester air pollutant exposures (per IQR) and preeclampsia, gestational hypertension, preterm delivery, and SGA stratified by racial group

Pregnancy condition	Pollutant	Caucasian		African-American			
		No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)	No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)
Preeclampsia	PM ₁₀	789	1.03 (0.92–1.16)	1.00 (0.83–1.20)	301	0.88 (0.74–1.06)	0.94 (0.70–1.26)
	PM _{2.5}	467	1.17 (1.02–1.35)	1.21 (0.99–1.50)	200	0.96 (0.79–1.17)	0.93 (0.72–1.20)
	O ₃	789	1.06 (0.94–1.19)	1.07 (0.80–1.43)	301	0.88 (0.71–1.09)	1.14 (0.76–1.71)
Gestational hypertension	PM ₁₀	1,655	1.07 (1.00–1.14)	1.03 (0.91–1.16)	366	1.12 (0.97–1.30)	1.27 (1.01–1.60)
	PM _{2.5}	954	1.10 (1.01–1.19)	1.10 (0.98–1.24)	221	1.08 (0.92–1.26)	1.13 (0.93–1.38)
	O ₃	1,655	1.12 (1.03–1.22)	1.12 (0.94–1.33)	366	1.15 (0.94–1.41)	1.15 (0.84–1.57)
Preterm delivery	PM ₁₀	2,092	1.00 (0.95–1.05)	1.07 (0.94–1.22)	879	0.96 (0.87–1.07)	1.02 (0.85–1.23)
	PM _{2.5}	1,279	1.08 (1.00–1.16)	1.16 (1.04–1.29)	569	0.97 (0.85–1.11)	1.00 (0.83–1.19)
	O ₃	2,092	1.06 (0.98–1.15)	1.27 (0.99–1.62)	879	0.97 (0.86–1.11)	1.20 (0.80–1.80)
SGA	PM ₁₀	1,801	1.05 (0.98–1.12)	1.03 (0.92–1.16)	986	1.01 (0.91–1.12)	1.11 (0.95–1.29)
	PM _{2.5}	943	0.98 (0.88–1.10)	1.00 (0.88–1.13)	598	1.05 (0.95–1.15)	1.09 (0.93–1.28)
	O ₃	1,801	1.00 (0.92–1.08)	0.93 (0.79–1.09)	986	0.98 (0.87–1.11)	1.04 (0.81–1.33)

^aAdjusted for maternal age, parity, number of cigarettes smoked during pregnancy, season of birth, and year of conception (for PM₁₀ and O₃: 1996–2002; for PM_{2.5}: 1999–2002)

Table 9

Effect estimates (odds ratio, 95 % CI) for first trimester air pollutant exposures (per IQR) and preeclampsia stratified by preterm status, and preterm delivery stratified by labor type

Pregnancy condition	Pollutant	Normal term			Preterm		
		No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)	No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)
Preeclampsia	PM ₁₀	739	0.97 (0.87–1.08)	0.91 (0.78–1.05)	402	1.16 (0.99–1.35)	1.27 (1.00–1.61)
	PM _{2.5}	445	1.12 (0.98–1.28)	1.11 (0.94–1.30)	254	1.15 (0.95–1.41)	1.24 (0.93–1.66)
	O ₃	739	0.99 (0.88–1.11)	1.13 (0.82–1.55)	402	1.04 (0.86–1.24)	1.17 (0.84–1.63)
Spontaneous							
		No. of cases	Crude ORs (95 % CI)	Adjusted ORs ^a (95 % CI)	Indicated		
Preterm delivery	PM ₁₀	1,580	0.98 (0.92–1.05)	0.94 (0.82–1.08)	1,221	1.07 (0.99–1.16)	1.08 (0.90–1.30)
	PM _{2.5}	961	1.03 (0.95–1.11)	1.04 (0.91–1.19)	752	1.11 (0.99–1.24)	1.14 (0.98–1.32)
	O ₃	1,580	1.04 (0.95–1.13)	1.18 (0.92–1.52)	1,221	1.02 (0.92–1.13)	1.23 (0.92–1.65)

^a Adjusted for maternal age, race, parity, season of birth, and year of conception (for PM₁₀ and O₃: 1996–2002; for PM_{2.5}: 1999–2002)