Mitotic Stress and Chromosomal Instability in Cancer: The Case for TPX2

Ignacio Pérez de Castro, and Marcos Malumbres

Abstract

Cell cycle deregulation is a common motif in human cancer, and multiple therapeutic strategies are aimed to prevent tumor cell proliferation. Whereas most current therapies are designed to arrest cell cycle progression either in G1/S or in mitosis, new proposals include targeting the intrinsic chromosomal instability (CIN, an increased rate of gain or losses of chromosomes during cell division) or aneuploidy (a genomic composition that differs from diploid) that many tumor cells display. Why tumors cells are chromosomally unstable or aneuploid and what are the consequences of these alterations are not completely clear at present. Several mitotic regulators are overexpressed as a consequence of oncogenic alterations, and they are likely to alter the proper regulation of chromosome segregation in cancer cells. In this review, we propose the relevance of TPX2, a mitotic regulator involved in the formation of the mitotic spindle, in oncogene-induced mitotic stress. This protein, as well as its partner Aurora-A, is frequently overexpressed in human cancer, and its deregulation may participate not only in chromosome numeric aberrations but also in other forms of genomic instability in cancer cells.

Keywords: aurora kinases, cancer, chromosomal instability, mitosis, oncogene-induced mitotic stress, TPX2

TPX2 as a Microtubule Regulator

TPX2 was first described in 1997 when Heidebrecht and colleagues¹ detected a 100 kDa protein whose expression was induced from the G1/S transition to cytokinesis. TPX2 was then reported to localize to the nucleus during S-phase and G2 and at the mitotic spindle poles during mitosis (Fig. 1, A, and B). In the following decade, different studies described a critical role for TPX2 in spindle formation and dynamics. Two papers in 1998 and 2000 showed that TPX2 was critical for spindle pole organization and the localization of the kinesin-like protein Xklp2 in the *Xenopus laevis* egg mitotic spindle,^{2,3} thus originating the current name of the protein (Targeting protein for Xklp2). Soon after, these functions were also observed in mammals and linked to the activity of the small GTPase RAN in spindle assembly.^{4,5} The RAN/TPX2 pathway specifically mediates the nucleation of microtubules from chromosomes.^{6,7} The active form of RAN (RAN-GTP) accumulates at the mitotic chromatin due of

the action of its activating nucleotide exchange factor RCC1. RAN-GTP displaces the TPX2 inhibitor importin- α , a nuclear import factor, allowing the participation of TPX2 in chromatin-dependent microtubule nucleation through the activation of the kinase Aurora-A.^{5,8} The relevance of TPX2 in microtubule nucleation from the DNA has been recently corroborated using a conditional knockout model in the mouse.⁹ Genetic ablation of TPX2 results in the lack of microtubule nucleation from the DNA, which implies the formation of aberrant spindles, improper chromosome segregation, and defective maintenance of the chromosomal stability (Fig. 1C). TPX2-null mouse embryos fail to form blastocysts due to the formation of collapsed spindles and lack of chromosome segregation. In cultured fibroblasts, the lack of TPX2 is associated with a delay in mitosis entry, transient arrest in prometaphase, and faulty division. This arrest is accompanied by the presence of multipolar, weak, collapsed, or monopolar spindles (Fig. 1C), due to a specific defect in microtubule nucleation from the chromatin.⁹

In addition to its critical role in spindle formation, TPX2 is thought to participate in other processes during mitosis or meiosis. TPX2 is involved in the reassembly of the nucleus of the daughter cells after mitosis in *Xenopus* egg extracts.¹⁰ In neural progenitors, TPX2 is involved in cell-cycle-dependent interkinetic nuclear migration, a process in which microtubules are necessary for apical movements of the nucleus after DNA replication.¹¹ More recently, a novel function as a scaffold and co-activator protein of the chromosome passenger complex (CPC) has been proposed.¹² TPX2 interacts with the main members of the CPC, Aurora-B, Survivin, and INCENP in *Xenopus* egg extracts and 293T cells. Endogenous TPX2 may increase Aurora B activity, whereas TPX2 overexpression could

Cell Division and Cancer Group, Spanish National Cancer Research Centre (CNIO), Madrid, Spain

Corresponding Author:

Ignacio Pérez de Castro and Marcos Malumbres, Centro Nacional de Investigaciones Oncológicas (CNIO), Melchor Fernández Almagro 3, E-28029 Madrid, Spain
 (Email: iperez@cnio.es).

Figure 1. TPX2 in the mammalian cell cycle. **(A)** The relative localization of TPX2 (green) during the cell cycle is shown. TPX2 is not detected during G₁, and it localizes to the nucleus during DNA synthesis (S-phase) and G₂. During mitosis, TPX2 localizes to spindle fibers but not to polar astral microtubules. **(B)** Distribution of TPX2 (red) during the cell cycle in mouse fibroblasts. Microtubules (α -tubulin) are in green. **(C)** Mitotic alterations observed in TPX2-null cells include monopolar (i), weak (ii), collapsed (iii), and multipolar (iv) spindles.

inhibit the activity of this kinase, although further experiments are necessary to validate the relevance of these data. TPX2 has been shown also to govern cell cycle progression in mouse oocytes, suggesting specific functions during meiosis.¹³ TPX2 accumulates from meiosis I to meiosis II and is required for spindle assembly in oocytes. In these cells, TPX2 controls microtubule assembly and spindle stability throughout the phosphorylation of Aurora-A-dependent phosphorylation of TACC3, a regulator of microtubule organizing centers.¹³⁻¹⁵

TPX2 Structure and Regulation

The primary sequence of the TPX2 protein is relatively well conserved through evolution, although a TPX2 orthologue is not present in yeast, and some specific domains are not evident in lower animals^{16,17} (Fig. 2A). This conservation is also maintained at the functional level since TPX2 plays similar roles in different organisms such as plants, ascidians, worms, flies, and vertebrates.¹⁶⁻¹⁹ The TPX2 protein presents 2 main functional domains and several regulatory sequences (Fig. 2B). The N-terminal end is involved in binding to Aurora-A,^{20,21}

whereas the C-terminal domain is responsible for binding to microtubules.²² The very last 35 amino acids in the C-terminal region are necessary for binding to the class 5 kinesin-like motor protein EG5.²³ A short central sequence in TPX2 is involved in importin- α binding, and along the nuclear localization signals (NLS) these regions are important for regulation of subcellular localization during the cell cycle.²⁴ The 43-aa domain at the N-terminus is sufficient for activation of Aurora-A. This domain does not provoke global conformational changes in the kinase, but a helical fragment of TPX2 (residues 30-43; Fig. 2C) binds Aurora-A near helix α C. The activation segment is constrained in an inward conformation that is primed for substrate binding and that protects a critical activating residue of Aurora-A from dephosphorylation by PP1.²⁰

No detailed information has been reported about the transcriptional regulation of TPX2. The fact that this protein is detected in proliferating cells from S to mitosis is compatible with an E2F-dependent regulation of the TPX2 gene, which in fact contains several E2F consensus sites in its promoter region and binds E2F transcriptional factors in several cell types.²⁵ The fact that TPX2 is also expressed in postmitotic neurons (see below) suggests additional mechanisms of transcriptional regulation independent of proliferation. One of the most interesting aspects of the synthesis of TPX2 expression is the fact that this protein can be directly translated at the spindle.²⁶ During meiotic progression, multiple maternal mRNAs must be translated. This process is regulated by the specific elements that are present in their 3'UTRs. Among those elements, the cytoplasmic polyadenylation element (CPE) recruits the CPE-binding protein CPEB that dictates the timing and extent of translational activation.²⁷ TPX2 is one of the genes whose translation is regulated by this mechanism during meiosis. More importantly, its translation occurs directly at the sites where TPX2 plays a more critical function, the spindle. It is

Figure 2. TPX2 structure and regulation. **(A)** Phylogram tree of TPX2 proteins from different species (ClustalW2). The percentage of identity with the human protein is shown. **(B)** Schematic representation of the TPX2 protein indicating the main domains as well as their associated proteins or functions. **(C)** Molecular interactions between the N-terminus of TPX2 (purple) and Aurora-A (blue). The upstream stretch of TPX2 (residues 7-21) binds at the N-terminal lobe of Aurora-A, whereas the downstream stretch (residues 30-43) binds between the 2 lobes close to the Aurora-A α C helix and the activation domain. This pulls the Aurora-A activation domain into a buried conformation that makes it ready for substrate binding and catalysis. In addition, TPX2 protects a critical activating residue in Aurora-A, Thr288, from dephosphorylation by PP1 phosphatases.^{71,95}

interesting to point out that the localized translation of not only TPX2 but also other mitotic regulators containing CPEs (including BUB1, EG5, MAD2, and Aurora-A) is required for chromosome segregation and meiotic progression in these cells.²⁷

Finally, the levels of TPX2 are tightly regulated during mitotic exit to prevent its activity during the following cell cycle. This is achieved by ubiquitin-dependent proteasome-dependent degradation. The E3-ubiquitin ligase APC/C (anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome), bound to its coactivator CDH1, is responsible for targeting TPX2 with ubiquitin and triggering the proteasome-dependent degradation of this protein during anaphase-telophase and the following G1 phase.²⁸ Genetic ablation of CDH1 results in a significant overexpression of TPX2, although this does not have dramatic consequences, at least during mitotic exit.²⁹

The relevance of the posttranslational modifications of TPX2 is not well understood. Human and *Xenopus* TPX2 are phosphorylated during mitosis.^{1,3} This modification may depend on Aurora-A kinase activity, although the mutation of the 3 putative serines phosphorylated by Aurora-A does not affect the activation of the TPX2 kinase partner.^{30,31} Plx1, the *Xenopus* orthologue of PLK1, phosphorylates TPX2 at Ser204, and this seems to increase Aurora-A activity.³² Yet, the functional relevance of these modifications is not well established at present.

The TPX2-Aurora-A holoenzyme. TPX2 was identified by mass spectrometry as a major partner of the kinase Aurora-A in mitotic HeLa cell extracts.³¹ Aurora-A is a key regulator of mitosis involved in centrosome maturation and separation, mitotic entry, and spindle assembly [reviewed in Carmena *et al.*³³]. In the mouse, lack of Aurora-A, similar to TPX2 ablation, results in embryonic lethality at the morula-blastocyst transition due to defects in mitosis.³⁴⁻³⁶ During the cell cycle, Aurora A and TPX2 have similar expression patterns characterized

by a progressive increase of expression from S/G2 to mitosis. Despite the coincidence in expression, the subcellular localizations of Aurora-A and TPX2 are not identical, at least during interphase. Aurora-A is present on duplicated centrosomes from late S phase until early G1 phase, whereas interphase TPX2 is mostly nuclear. During mitosis, both proteins co-localize at the spindle apparatus, although Aurora-A maintains a preference for spindle poles and TPX2 is more abundant in the spindle itself.³¹ At the end of mitosis, both proteins are targeted for degradation by the APC/C E3-ubiquitin ligase.^{28,37,38}

The mechanisms of activation of Aurora-A are still under deep investigation, although it is clear that TPX2 is a major regulator of its activity at different levels. TPX2 is involved in the localization of Aurora-A at the spindle,^{17,31} stabilization of Aurora-A protein levels,³⁹ and achievement of appropriate levels of kinase activity.^{20,21,40} The TPX2-Aurora-A binding occurs between the catalytic C-terminal part of the kinase and the N-terminal part of TPX2 (Fig. 2, B and C). This binding is required for a proper localization of Aurora-A since spindle defects found in TPX2-deficient cells are accompanied by a significant reduction in the microtubule associated localization of this kinase as well as the kinesin KIF15 (mammalian homologue of Xklp^{9,31,41,42}). Aurora-A participates in spindle formation by regulating different microtubule associated proteins including the microtubule bundling factor HURP, the microtubule stabilizer XMAP215, and the microtubule destabilizing protein MCAK.⁴³⁻⁴⁶ TPX2 can also regulate Aurora-A stability by inhibiting the APC/C-dependent degradation of this kinase during G2 and mitosis.³⁹ Whether this is a consequence of the role of TPX2 in the proper localization of Aurora-A is not clear.

Aurora-A is activated through the autophosphorylation of a threonine residue located in the catalytic domain and inhibited by the dephosphorylation of this residue by the phosphatase PP1.

Different Aurora-A cofactors facilitate this autophosphorylation including BORA, AJUBA, and PAK1 in addition to TPX2.^{20,21} Binding of TPX2 to the mitotic kinase changes its 3 dimensional structure in such a way that (1) it facilitates autophosphorylation and (2) it shields the phosphorylated threonine from dephosphorylation by PP1 (Fig. 2C).^{20,21,40} This activating mechanism is RAN-dependent since RAN-GTP stimulates the TPX2-Aurora A interaction and, in turn, promotes the activation of Aurora-A at the microtubules.⁴⁷ The binding of TPX2 to Aurora-A is also thought to increase the binding affinity of both ATP and substrates and may decrease the accessibility to inhibitors.⁴⁸

TPX2 in Chromosomal Instability and Human Cancer

Expression of TPX2 in human cancer. TPX2 was originally described as the antigen of Ki-S2, a mouse monoclonal antibody raised in an effort to find markers of proliferation with diagnostic and prognosis value.¹ That antigen was later renamed by the same group as repp86 (restrictedly expressed proliferation-associated protein⁴⁹). The fact that TPX2/repp86 could serve as a proliferative marker in tumor samples drove different groups to study the expression of this protein in human cancer (see below). In addition, human *TPX2* gene is located at 20q11,⁵⁰ a chromosome region frequently amplified in cancer.⁵¹ DNA copy number increase at 20q has been found associated with the overexpression of TPX2 in different tumor types including cervical, lung, bone, and ovarian cancers.⁵²⁻⁵⁵ TPX2 is frequently overexpressed in many other tumor types without evidences for DNA amplification, similar to many other mitotic regulators^{56,57} (Fig. 3). Using available expression databases, Asteriti *et al.*⁵⁷ reported that up to 27% of all comparative analyses of tumor versus normal tissues were characterized for a significant overexpression of TPX2. TPX2 overexpression affects a wide

Figure 3. Overexpression of TPX2 and Aurora-A in human tumors. **(A)** The expression of TPX2 and Aurora-A (AURKA) mRNAs was analyzed using the Oncomine database (Compendia Bioscience). The number of analyses with significant ($P < 0.0001$, Student t test; fold change, >2 ; gene rank threshold, top 10%) overexpression of each gene is indicated. **(B-E)** Representative images of the expression of TPX2 (brown) in different human cancers. Low and high TPX2 expression in colon cancers is shown in **(B)** and **(C)**, respectively. Intermediate levels are shown in melanoma **(D)** and lung adenocarcinoma **(E)**. Insets in **(B)** and **(D)** show cells in metaphase and interphase (likely G2). Representative diploid **(F)** or aneuploid **(G)** tumor samples after fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with chromosomes 7 (green) and 20 (red) in 2 human ovarian cancers.

Table 1. TPX2 Overexpression in Human Cancers

Cancer Type	Overexpression	Bad Prognosis	Reference
Astrocytoma	mRNA and protein	Yes	96
Breast	Protein	Yes	97
Cervical	mRNA	n.r.	52, 83
Colon	mRNA	n.r.	98
Colorectal tumors	protein	Yes	99
Laryngeal cancer	protein	Yes	100
Liver	mRNA and protein	n.r.	86, 101
Lung	mRNA and protein	Yes	53, 102-104
Mantel cell lymphoma	protein	Yes	105
Meningiomas	mRNA	Yes	106
Mesothelial	Protein	Yes	107
Neuroblastoma	protein	n.r.	108
Oral squamous cell carcinoma	mRNA and protein	n.r.	109, 110
Ovarian	mRNA	n.r.	88
Pancreatic	Protein	n.r.	85
Prostate	mRNA	n.r.	84
Salivary Gland carcinoma	mRNA	n.r.	111

n.r. = not reported.

range of different tumor types including astrocytomas, oral squamous cell carcinoma, bone, lung, colon, liver, cervical, salivary gland, mesothelial, ovarian, and

pancreatic cancers (Table 1). Importantly, TPX2 expression is a marker of worse tumor prognosis in several malignancies including brain, breast, colorectal,

laryngeal, and lung cancers, as well as meningiomas, mantle cell lymphomas, and mesotheliomas (Table 1). TPX2 expression may be also associated with tumor metastasis. A network analysis performed with a total of 5 data sets from 2 different species (human and mouse) identified a number of networks that were significantly associated with breast tumor progression.⁵⁸ Specifically, TPX2 was included together with other 8 mitotic regulators (BUB1, UBE2C, CDC20, CCNB2, KIF2C, BUB1B, CEP55, CENPA) in a tumor-cell autonomous network associated with metastatic progression.

Although the presence of specific small mutations has not been especially studied for TPX2, a number of tumor-associated mutations are found in the public repositories indicating some concentration of TPX2 mutations in tumors in the gastrointestinal tract (COSMIC database; <http://cancer.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic/>). Some of these mutations result in nonsense substitutions suggesting loss of function, although their functional relevance has not been evaluated so far. Similarly, a mutation in Aurora-A that prevents binding to TPX2 is known to be oncogenic,⁵⁹ suggesting that loss-of-function alterations in either TPX2 or Aurora-A may also be present in human tumors. Altogether, published data indicate that deregulation of TPX2 is a frequent feature of tumor cells and is significantly associated with the more advanced stages of human cancers of diverse origin.

TPX2 overexpression correlates with chromosomal instability. It was a century ago when an association between cancer and abnormal chromosome segregation was suggested for the first time.⁶⁰ Although still controversial, many authors have proposed that chromosomal instability (CIN) and the subsequent aneuploidy can promote tumor development.^{61,62} Tumor cells may acquire CIN due to defects in the mitotic checkpoint (spindle assembly checkpoint or SAC) that prevents defective chromosome segregation.^{56,63} Other defects such as abnormal centrosome

number⁶⁴⁻⁶⁶ of faulty chromatid cohesion may also be involved.⁶⁷ CIN and aneuploidy may have adverse effects in proliferating cells⁶⁸ but may eventually lead to the selection of abnormal genomic compositions in which the levels of specific oncogenes or tumor suppressors are altered. As an example, CIN has been shown to favor loss of heterozygosity in the p53 locus leading to defective tumor suppressor activity.^{69,70}

Cells display multiple tumor suppressor pathways in response to oncogenic signals. These include oncogene-induced senescence (OIS) and oncogene-induced replicative stress (OIRS). During OIS, the hyperactivation of mitogenic pathways results in the activation of the pRb (retinoblastoma protein) and/or p53 pathways that prevent cell cycle progression and is accompanied by other features that result in OIS and an irreversible cell cycle arrest.⁷¹ In other cases, the oncogenic signals are accompanied by defective tumor suppressor pathways (e.g., inactivation of retinoblastoma), and the mitogenic signals provoke a premature or deregulated entry into S-phase that induces replicative stress (OIRS).⁷² This is in part mediated by hyperactivation of E2F transcription factors and excessive synthesis of proteins involved in the G1/S transition or the control of replication. The OIRS generated in these conditions then triggers the activation of a DNA damage-like response that prevents further cell cycle progression.

We have recently proposed the presence of an oncogene-induced mitotic stress (OIMS) response that may alter the proper regulation of mitosis in these conditions.⁷³ This is based on the fact that multiple mitotic regulators are E2F targets that are induced by oncogenic events such as the activation of oncogenes or inactivation of tumor suppressor proteins. Loss of pRb or p53, for instance, results in increased levels of the SAC protein MAD2.⁷⁴ As a consequence, MAD2 is frequently overexpressed in tumor cells, possibly resulting in deregulated SAC and CIN (Fig. 4).

Figure 4. Oncogene-induced mitotic stress and TPX2. The activation of oncogenes or loss of tumor suppressor such as pRb or p53 frequently results in the overexpression of E2F transcriptional targets such as MAD2 and possibly TPX2. We propose here that overexpression of TPX2 is likely to result in abnormal Aurora-A activity and deregulated spindle dynamics. These defects occur in the presence of abnormally high levels of MAD2, known to disrupt the normal regulation of the spindle assembly checkpoint. These alterations are possibly involved in the chromosomal instability observed in tumors that overexpress these mitotic regulators. Since TPX2 and Aurora-A may play distinct roles in the DNA damage checkpoint or in the recovery from this checkpoint (see main text), its overexpression may also disturb the regulation of the DNA damage response or the efficacy of specific drugs used in chemotherapy.

Many other mitotic regulators are co-overexpressed with MAD2 in CIN tumors. Carter *et al.*⁷⁵ reported a signature of 70 genes deregulated in chromosome unstable tumors. Seven of the first 10 genes with the strongest correlation with instability regulate mitosis (TPX2, PRC1, FOXM1, CDK1, TOP2A, PCNA, and UBE2C), and 33 (47%; including Aurora-A) of the 70 genes in the complete signature regulate mitosis to some extent. Of all these genes, TPX2 displays the highest correlation with chromosomal instability.⁷⁵ Cancers such as breast cancer, and anaplastic thyroid carcinoma are among the tumor types that frequently show TPX2 overexpression and aneuploid phenotypes (Fig. 3).

The involvement of some overexpressed genes such as HEC1 or MAD2 in CIN is likely to be associated with their essential role as regulators of microtubule-kinetochore attachments

and the SAC. But what is the contribution of other overexpressed proteins such as TPX2? Although it has not been analyzed in detail, overexpression of TPX2, and the probable hyperactivation of Aurora-A usually co-expressed in these tumors, are likely to disrupt the normal dynamics of the mitotic spindle, leading to defective chromosome segregation (Fig. 4). Yet the evidence for this proposal mostly comes from loss-of-function studies and not from gain-of-function models. During the last few years, different groups have demonstrated that lack of 1 of the 2 alleles of some mitotic regulators provokes a cancer-prone phenotype. This is the case for the murine MAD2,⁷⁶ Aurora-A,³⁶ and TPX2.⁹ TPX2 is haploinsufficient to maintain genomic instability, as TPX2-heterozygous mice develop tumors in significantly higher ratio than their wild-type counterparts.⁹ As expected, these

tumors are highly aneuploidy, suggesting a causal connection between deregulated expression of TPX2, aneuploidy, and cancer. As demonstrated for other models such as MAD2,^{76,77} it is likely that the overexpression of TPX2 may result in similar defects in spindle dynamics and chromosome segregation, although this has not been properly addressed *in vivo*. The concomitant overexpression of MAD2 in the same tumors is likely to disrupt a normal function of the SAC, thus facilitating CIN in these conditions (Fig. 4).

Future Perspectives

Aurora-A-independent or nonmitotic roles of TPX2. Despite the central function of TPX2 in the activation of Aurora-A and formation of the mitotic spindle, some evidence calls for additional Aurora-A-independent or nonmitotic functions of TPX2. Conditional genetic ablation of TPX2, but not Aurora A, results in a significant induction of apoptosis in primary fibroblasts.⁹ Whereas monopolar spindles are rare in TPX2-null cells, these figures are frequently observed in Aurora A-deficient fibroblasts,³⁴⁻³⁶ suggesting that this kinase may also play a role in centrosome maturation and separation in a TPX2-independent manner. An Aurora-A-independent function of TPX2 is required to bipolarize spindles since a TPX2 mutant that does not bind to Aurora-A is able to form bipolar spindles.⁸ TPX2, but not Aurora-A, is thought to be necessary for the noncentrosomal microtubule assembly that assists in the peripheral redistribution of nuclear fragments in apoptotic cells.⁷⁸ Finally, TPX2 may play specific and previously unexpected roles in the DNA damage response.^{79,80} Upon ionizing radiation, TPX2 accumulates at DNA double strand breaks and associates with 2 regulators of the DNA damage response, MDC1 and ATM.⁸¹ Overexpression of TPX2 results in decreased ionizing radiation-dependent γ -H2AX levels, whereas the opposite phenotype is observed upon TPX2 knockdown by

RNA interference. This function is not associated with the mitotic roles of TPX2, since it is also observed in postmitotic neurons.⁸¹ Whether Aurora-A is involved in this phenotype remains unknown. These data suggest that deregulation of TPX2 may be involved not only in chromosomal instability but also in genomic instability mediated by abnormal DNA damage response (Fig. 4).

It is also worth noting that TPX2 may have critical roles in postmitotic cells such as neurons. Both TPX2 and Aurora-A are expressed in postmitotic neurons, and TPX2 facilitates activation of Aurora-A at the neurite hillock resulting in phosphorylation of NDEL1 and recruitment of this protein to microtubules. Suppression of TPX2, Aurora-A, or NDEL1 results in severe impairment of neurite extension, suggesting a critical role for this pathway in microtubule remodeling in neurites.⁸² Although the physiological relevance of some of these observations is not well established, these functions may have important implications in genomic instability, cancer, and, perhaps, cancer therapy.

TPX2 and cancer therapy. Because of its essential role in mitosis, elimination of TPX2 prevents proliferation of cancer cells. Target validation studies using short interfering RNAs (siRNA) in cancer cell lines have shown the potential of TPX2 in cancer therapy. Specifically, TPX2 knockdown induces cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and the inhibition of cell proliferation and invasion in cervical, prostate, hepatocellular, and pancreatic cancer cell lines.⁸³⁻⁸⁶ siRNA-mediated knockdown of TPX2 inhibits the proliferation and growth of hepatocellular and pancreatic cancer cell xenografts transplanted into immunodeficient mice.^{85,86} Furthermore, TPX2 downregulation has been shown to sensitize pancreatic cancer cells to paclitaxel treatment.⁸⁵ Whereas the use of low doses of paclitaxel or the partial elimination of TPX2 has no significant effects on the viability of pancreatic cancer cells, the combination of these

treatments reduces the viability in a dramatic manner. Interestingly, this effect is not observed when other antitumoral drugs such as gemcitabine are used,⁸⁵ and this suggests that inhibition of some components of the mitotic machinery, as also reported for Aurora-A, may synergize with microtubule poisons.^{87,88} These examples suggest that targeted inactivation of TPX2 may have therapeutic benefits. However, TPX2 does not display any enzymatic activity, and most current therapies are designed to inhibit its partner Aurora-A using small-molecule ATP competitors.^{89,90} Recently, the TPX2-Aurora-A complex has been proposed as the target of withanone,⁹¹ a purified component of the leaf extract *Ashwagadha* with antitumoral properties.^{92,93} Treatment of cancer cells with withanone results in the dissociation of TPX2-Aurora-A complexes in an ATP-independent manner. Yet, the mechanism behind these results and their therapeutic relevance requires further studies.

It is now clear that deregulation of multiple oncogenic pathways provokes the alteration of many cell cycle regulators resulting in unscheduled proliferation as well as genomic and chromosomal instability.⁹⁴ Overexpression of TPX2 is among the most frequent markers for chromosomal instability, and this is likely linked to the critical function of this protein in microtubule dynamics and chromosome segregation. However, other new functions for this protein are now emerging, and further understanding of the functional relevance of TPX2 may facilitate the design of therapeutic approaches in the near future.

Acknowledgments

We thank Cristina Aguirre for her work on TPX2 function and useful discussions.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The author(s) declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Funding

The author(s) disclosed receipt of the following financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article: This work was supported by

grants from the Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO; SAF2010-19710 to I.P.C.; and SAF2012-38215 to M.M.), Fundación Ramón Areces, the OncoCycle Programme (S2010/BMD-2470) from the Comunidad de Madrid, the OncoBIO Consolider-Ingenio 2010 Programme (CSD2007-00017) from the MINECO, and the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (MitoSys project; HEALTH-F5-2010-241548).

References

- Heidebrecht HJ, Buck F, Steinmann J, Sprenger R, Wacker HH, Parwaresch R. p100: a novel proliferation-associated nuclear protein specifically restricted to cell cycle phases S, G2, and M. *Blood*. 1997;90:226-33.
- Wittmann T, Boleti H, Antony C, Karsenti E, Vernos I. Localization of the kinesin-like protein Xklp2 to spindle poles requires a leucine zipper, a microtubule-associated protein, and dynein. *J Cell Biol*. 1998;143:673-85.
- Wittmann T, Wilm M, Karsenti E, Vernos I. TPX2, a novel xenopus MAP involved in spindle pole organization. *J Cell Biol*. 2000;149:1405-18.
- Garrett S, Auer K, Compton DA, Kapoor TM. hTPX2 is required for normal spindle morphology and centrosome integrity during vertebrate cell division. *Curr Biol*. 2002;12:2055-9.
- Gruss OJ, Carazo-Salas RE, Schatz CA, *et al*. Ran induces spindle assembly by reversing the inhibitory effect of importin alpha on TPX2 activity. *Cell*. 2001;104:83-93.
- Tulu US, Fagerstrom C, Ferenz NP, Wadsworth P. Molecular requirements for kinetochore-associated microtubule formation in mammalian cells. *Curr Biol*. 2006;16:536-41.
- Gruss OJ, Wittmann M, Yokoyama H, *et al*. Chromosome-induced microtubule assembly mediated by TPX2 is required for spindle formation in HeLa cells. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2002;4:871-9.
- Bird AW, Hyman AA. Building a spindle of the correct length in human cells requires the interaction between TPX2 and Aurora A. *J Cell Biol*. 2008;182:289-300.
- Aguirre-Portoles C, Bird AW, Hyman A, Canamero M, Perez de Castro I, Malumbres M. Tpx2 controls spindle integrity, genome stability and tumor development. *Cancer Res*. 2012;72:1518-28.
- O'Brien LL, Wiese C. TPX2 is required for postmitotic nuclear assembly in cell-free *Xenopus laevis* egg extracts. *J Cell Biol*. 2006;173:685-94.
- Kosodo Y, Suetsugu T, Suda M, *et al*. Regulation of interkinetic nuclear migration by cell cycle-coupled active and passive mechanisms in the developing brain. *EMBO J*. 2011;30:1690-704.
- Iyer J, Tsai MY. A novel role for TPX2 as a scaffold and co-activator protein of the chromosomal passenger complex. *Cell Signal*. 2012;24:1677-89.
- Brunet S, Dumont J, Lee KW, *et al*. Meiotic regulation of TPX2 protein levels governs cell cycle progression in mouse oocytes. *PLoS One*. 2008;3:e3338.
- Booth DG, Hood FE, Prior IA, Royle SJ. A TACC3/ch-TOG/clathrin complex stabilises kinetochore fibres by inter-microtubule bridging. *EMBO J*. 2011;30:906-19.
- Cheeseman LP, Booth DG, Hood FE, Prior IA, Royle SJ. Aurora A kinase activity is required for localization of TACC3/ch-TOG/clathrin inter-microtubule bridges. *Commun Integr Biol*. 2011;4:409-12.
- Goshima G. Identification of a TPX2-like microtubule-associated protein in *Drosophila*. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e28120.
- Ozlu N, Srayko M, Kinoshita K, *et al*. An essential function of the *C. elegans* ortholog of TPX2 is to localize activated aurora A kinase to mitotic spindles. *Dev Cell*. 2005;9:237-48.
- Vos JW, Pieuchot L, Evrard JL, *et al*. The plant TPX2 protein regulates prospindle assembly before nuclear envelope breakdown. *Plant Cell*. 2008;20:2783-97.
- Hebras C, McDougall A. Urochordate ascidians possess a single isoform of aurora kinase that localizes to the midbody via TPX2 in eggs and cleavage stage embryos. *PLoS One*. 2012;7:e45431.
- Bayliss R, Sardon T, Vernos I, Conti E. Structural basis of Aurora-A activation by TPX2 at the mitotic spindle. *Mol Cell*. 2003;12:851-62.
- Eyers PA, Erikson E, Chen LG, Maller JL. A novel mechanism for activation of the protein kinase Aurora A. *Curr Biol*. 2003;13:691-7.
- Brunet S, Sardon T, Zimmerman T, *et al*. Characterization of the TPX2 domains involved in microtubule nucleation and spindle assembly in *Xenopus* egg extracts. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2004;15:5318-28.
- Eckerdt F, Eyers PA, Lewellyn AL, Prigent C, Maller JL. Spindle pole regulation by a discrete Eg5-interacting domain in TPX2. *Curr Biol*. 2008;18:519-25.
- Giesecke A, Stewart M. Novel binding of the mitotic regulator TPX2 (target protein for *Xenopus* kinesin-like protein 2) to importin-alpha. *J Biol Chem*. 2010;285:17628-35.
- Xu X, Bieda M, Jin VX, *et al*. A comprehensive ChIP-chip analysis of E2F1, E2F4, and E2F6 in normal and tumor cells reveals interchangeable roles of E2F family members. *Genome Res*. 2007;17:1550-61.
- Eliscovich C, Peset I, Vernos I, Méndez R. Spindle-localized CPE-mediated translation controls meiotic chromosome segregation. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2008;10:858-65.
- Piqué M, López JM, Foissac S, Guigó R, Méndez R. A combinatorial code for CPE-mediated translational control. *Cell*. 2008;132:434-48.
- Stewart S, Fang G. Anaphase-promoting complex/cyclosome controls the stability of TPX2 during mitotic exit. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2005;25:10516-27.
- García-Higuera I, Manchado E, Dubus P, *et al*. Genomic stability and tumour suppression by the APC/C cofactor Cdh1. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2008;10:802-11.
- Eyers PA, Maller JL. Regulation of *Xenopus* Aurora A activation by TPX2. *J Biol Chem*. 2004;279:9008-15.
- Kufer TA, Silljé HH, Körner R, Gruss OJ, Meraldi P, Nigg EA. Human TPX2 is required for targeting Aurora-A kinase to the spindle. *J Cell Biol*. 2002;158:617-23.
- Eckerdt F, Pascreau G, Phistry M, Lewellyn AL, DePaoli-Roach AA, Maller JL. Phosphorylation of TPX2 by Plx1 enhances activation of Aurora A. *Cell Cycle*. 2009;8:2413-9.
- Carmena M, Ruchaud S, Earnshaw WC. Making the Auroras glow: regulation of Aurora A and B kinase function by interacting proteins. *Curr Opin Cell Biol*. 2009;21:796-805.
- Cowley DO, Rivera-Pérez JA, Schliekelman M, *et al*. Aurora-A kinase is essential for bipolar spindle formation and early development. *Mol Cell Biol*. 2009;29:1059-71.
- Sasai K, Parant JM, Brandt ME, *et al*. Targeted disruption of Aurora A causes abnormal mitotic spindle assembly, chromosome misalignment and embryonic lethality. *Oncogene*. 2008;27:4122-7.
- Lu LY, Wood JL, Ye L, *et al*. Aurora A is essential for early embryonic development and tumor suppression. *J Biol Chem*. 2008;283:31785-90.
- Castro A, Arlot-Bonnemains Y, Vigneron S, Labbé JC, Prigent C, Lorca T. APC/Fizzy-Related targets Aurora-A kinase for proteolysis. *EMBO Rep*. 2002;3:457-62.
- Littlepage LE, Ruderman JV. Identification of a new APC/C recognition domain, the A box, which is required for the Cdh1-dependent destruction of the kinase Aurora-A during mitotic exit. *Genes Dev*. 2002;16:2274-85.
- Giubettini M, Asteriti IA, Scrofani J, *et al*. Control of Aurora-A stability through interaction with TPX2. *J Cell Sci*. 2011;124:113-22.
- Xu X, Wang X, Xiao Z, Li Y, Wang Y. Two TPX2-dependent switches control the activity of Aurora A. *PLoS One*. 2011;6:e16757.
- Vanneste D, Takagi M, Imamoto N, Vernos I. The role of Hklp2 in the stabilization and maintenance of spindle bipolarity. *Curr Biol*. 2009;19:1712-7.
- Tanenbaum ME, Macûrek L, Janssen A, Geers EF, Alvarez-Fernández M, Medema RH. Kif15 cooperates with eg5 to promote bipolar spindle assembly. *Curr Biol*. 2009;19:1703-11.
- Tsai MY, Zheng Y. Aurora A kinase-coated beads function as microtubule-organizing centers and enhance RanGTP-induced spindle assembly. *Curr Biol*. 2005;15:2156-63.
- Koffa MD, Casanova CM, Santarella R, Köcher T, Wilm M, Mattaj JW. HURP is part of a Ran-dependent complex involved in spindle formation. *Curr Biol*. 2006;16:743-54.
- Zhang X, Ems-McClung SC, Walczak CE. Aurora A phosphorylates MCAK to control ran-dependent spindle bipolarity. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2008;19:2752-65.
- Wong J, Lerrigo R, Jang CY, Fang G. Aurora A regulates the activity of HURP by controlling the accessibility of its microtubule-binding domain. *Mol Biol Cell*. 2008;19:2083-91.
- Tsai MY, Wiese C, Cao K, *et al*. A Ran signalling pathway mediated by the mitotic kinase Aurora A in spindle assembly. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2003;5:242-8.
- Anderson K, Yang J, Koretke K, *et al*. Binding of TPX2 to Aurora A alters substrate and inhibitor interactions. *Biochemistry*. 2007;46:10287-95.
- Heidebrecht HJ, Adam-Klages S, Szczepanowski M, *et al*. repp86: a human protein associated in the progression of mitosis. *Mol Cancer Res*. 2003;1:271-9.

50. Zhang Y, Heidebrecht H, Rott A, Schlegelberger B, Parwaresch R. Assignment of human proliferation associated p100 gene (C20orf1) to human chromosome band 20q11.2 by in situ hybridization. *Cytogenet Cell Genet.* 1999;84:182-3.
51. Beroukhi R, Mermel CH, Porter D, *et al.* The landscape of somatic copy-number alteration across human cancers. *Nature.* 2010;463:899-905.
52. Scotto L, Narayan G, Nandula SV, *et al.* Identification of copy number gain and overexpressed genes on chromosome arm 20q by an integrative genomic approach in cervical cancer: potential role in progression. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2008;47:755-65.
53. Tonon G, Wong KK, Maulik G, *et al.* High-resolution genomic profiles of human lung cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2005;102:9625-30.
54. Smith LT, Mayerson J, Nowak NJ, *et al.* 20q11.1 amplification in giant-cell tumor of bone: Array CGH, FISH, and association with outcome. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.* 2006;45:957-66.
55. Ramakrishna M, Williams LH, Boyle SE, *et al.* Identification of candidate growth promoting genes in ovarian cancer through integrated copy number and expression analysis. *PLoS One.* 2010;5:e9983.
56. Pérez de Castro I, de Cárcer G, Malumbres M. A census of mitotic cancer genes: new insights into tumor cell biology and cancer therapy. *Carcinogenesis.* 2007;28:899-912.
57. Asteriti IA, Rensen WM, Lindon C, Lavia P, Guarguaglini G. The Aurora-A/TPX2 complex: a novel oncogenic holoenzyme? *Biochim Biophys Acta.* 2010;1806:230-9.
58. Hu Y, Wu G, Rusch M, *et al.* Integrated cross-species transcriptional network analysis of metastatic susceptibility. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2012;109:3184-9.
59. Bibby RA, Tang C, Faisal A, *et al.* A cancer-associated aurora A mutant is mislocalized and misregulated due to loss of interaction with TPX2. *J Biol Chem.* 2009;284:33177-84.
60. Boveri T. *Zur Frage Der Entstehung Maligner Tumoren.* Jena, Germany: Gustav Fischer Verlag; 1914.
61. Weaver BA, Silk AD, Cleveland DW. Low rates of aneuploidy promote tumorigenesis while high rates of aneuploidy cause cell death and tumor suppression. *Cell Oncol.* 2008;30:453.
62. Schvartzman JM, Sotillo R, Benezra R. Mitotic chromosomal instability and cancer: mouse modelling of the human disease. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2010;10:102-15.
63. Musacchio A, Salmon ED. The spindle-assembly checkpoint in space and time. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.* 2007;8:379-93.
64. Emdad L, Sarkar D, Su ZZ, Fisher PB. Emerging roles of centrosomal amplification and genomic instability in cancer. *Front Biosci.* 2005;10:728-42.
65. Nigg EA. Centrosome aberrations: cause or consequence of cancer progression? *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2002;2:815-25.
66. Ganem NJ, Godinho SA, Pellman D. A mechanism linking extra centrosomes to chromosomal instability. *Nature.* 2009;460:278-82.
67. Remeseiro S, Cuadrado A, Carretero M, *et al.* Cohesin-SA1 deficiency drives aneuploidy and tumorigenesis in mice due to impaired replication of telomeres. *EMBO J.* 2012;31:2076-89.
68. Williams BR, Prabhu VR, Hunter KE, *et al.* Aneuploidy affects proliferation and spontaneous immortalization in mammalian cells. *Science.* 2008;322:703-9.
69. Baker DJ, Jin F, Jegathan KB, van Deursen JM. Whole chromosome instability caused by Bub1 insufficiency drives tumorigenesis through tumor suppressor gene loss of heterozygosity. *Cancer Cell.* 2009;16:475-86.
70. Baker DJ, van Deursen JM. Chromosome missegregation causes colon cancer by APC loss of heterozygosity. *Cell Cycle.* 2010;9:1711-6.
71. Serrano M, Lin AW, McCurrach ME, Beach D, Lowe SW. Oncogenic ras provokes premature cell senescence associated with accumulation of p53 and p16INK4a. *Cell.* 1997;88:593-602.
72. Halazonetis TD, Gorgoulis VG, Bartek J. An oncogene-induced DNA damage model for cancer development. *Science.* 2008;319:1352-5.
73. Malumbres M. Oncogene-induced mitotic stress: p53 and pRb get mad too. *Cancer Cell.* 2011;19:691-2.
74. Schvartzman JM, Duijff PH, Sotillo R, Coker C, Benezra R. Mad2 is a critical mediator of the chromosome instability observed upon Rb and p53 pathway inhibition. *Cancer Cell.* 2011;19:701-14.
75. Carter SL, Eklund AC, Kohane IS, Harris LN, Szallasi Z. A signature of chromosomal instability inferred from gene expression profiles predicts clinical outcome in multiple human cancers. *Nat Genet.* 2006;38:1043-8.
76. Michel LS, Liberal V, Chatterjee A, *et al.* MAD2 haplo-insufficiency causes premature anaphase and chromosome instability in mammalian cells. *Nature.* 2001;409:355-9.
77. Sotillo R, Hernando E, Díaz-Rodríguez E, *et al.* Mad2 overexpression promotes aneuploidy and tumorigenesis in mice. *Cancer Cell.* 2007;11:9-23.
78. Moss DK, Wilde A, Lane JD. Dynamic release of nuclear RanGTP triggers TPX2-dependent microtubule assembly during the apoptotic execution phase. *J Cell Sci.* 2009;122:644-55.
79. Paulsen RD, Soni DV, Wollman R, *et al.* A genome-wide siRNA screen reveals diverse cellular processes and pathways that mediate genome stability. *Mol Cell.* 2009;35:228-39.
80. Matsuoka S, Ballif BA, Smogorzewska A, *et al.* ATM and ATR substrate analysis reveals extensive protein networks responsive to DNA damage. *Science.* 2007;316:1160-6.
81. Neumayer G, Helfrich A, Shim SY, *et al.* Targeting protein for Xenopus kinesin like protein 2 (TPX2) regulates gamma-H2AX levels upon ionizing radiation. *J Biol Chem.* 2012;287:42206-22.
82. Mori D, Yamada M, Mimori-Kiyosue Y, *et al.* An essential role of the aPKC-Aurora A-NDEL1 pathway in neurite elongation by modulation of microtubule dynamics. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2009;11:1057-68.
83. Chang H, Wang J, Tian Y, Xu J, Gou X, Cheng J. The TPX2 gene is a promising diagnostic and therapeutic target for cervical cancer. *Oncol Rep.* 2012;27:1353-9.
84. Vainio P, Mpindi JP, Kohonen P, *et al.* High-throughput transcriptomic and RNAi analysis identifies AIM1, ERGIC1, TMED3 and TPX2 as potential drug targets in prostate cancer. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e39801.
85. Warner SL, Stephens BJ, Nwokenkwo S, *et al.* Validation of TPX2 as a potential therapeutic target in pancreatic cancer cells. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2009;15:6519-28.
86. Satow R, Shitashige M, Kanai Y, *et al.* Combined functional genome survey of therapeutic targets for hepatocellular carcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2010;16:2518-28.
87. Hata T, Furukawa T, Sunamura M, *et al.* RNA interference targeting aurora kinase suppresses tumor growth and enhances the taxane chemosensitivity in human pancreatic cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* 2005;65:2899-905.
88. Scharer CD, Laycock N, Osunkoya AO, *et al.* Aurora kinase inhibitors synergize with paclitaxel to induce apoptosis in ovarian cancer cells. *J Transl Med.* 2008;6:79.
89. Pérez de Castro I, de Cárcer G, Montoya G, Malumbres M. Emerging cancer therapeutic opportunities by inhibiting mitotic kinases. *Curr Opin Pharmacol.* 2008;8:375-83.
90. Manchado E, Guillamot M, Malumbres M. Killing cells by targeting mitosis. *Cell Death Differ.* 2012;19:369-77.
91. Grover A, Singh R, Shandilya A, *et al.* Ashwagandha derived withanolide targets TPX2-Aurora A complex: computational and experimental evidence to its anticancer activity. *PLoS One.* 2012;7:e30890.
92. Widodo N, Kaur K, Shrestha BG, *et al.* Selective killing of cancer cells by leaf extract of Ashwagandha: identification of a tumor-inhibitory factor and the first molecular insights to its effect. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2007;13:2298-306.
93. Widodo N, Takagi Y, Shrestha BG, Ishii T, Kaul SC, Wadhwa R. Selective killing of cancer cells by leaf extract of Ashwagandha: components, activity and pathway analyses. *Cancer Lett.* 2008;262:37-47.
94. Malumbres M, Barbacid M. Cell cycle, CDKs and cancer: a changing paradigm. *Nat Rev Cancer.* 2009;9:153-66.
95. Bayliss R, Sardon T, Vernos I, Conti E. Structural basis of Aurora-A activation by TPX2 at the mitotic spindle. *Mol Cell.* 2003;12:851-62.
96. Li B, Qi XQ, Chen X, *et al.* Expression of targeting protein for Xenopus kinesin-like protein 2 is associated with progression of human malignant astrocytoma. *Brain Res.* 2010;1352:200-7.
97. Mohsenifar J, Almassi-Aghdam M, Mohammad-Taheri Z, *et al.* Prognostic values of proliferative markers ki-67 and repp86 in breast cancer. *Arch Iran Med.* 2007;10:27-31.
98. Hufton SE, Moerkerk PT, Brandwijk R, de Bruïne AP, Arends JW, Hoogenboom HR. A profile of differentially expressed genes in primary colorectal cancer using suppression subtractive hybridization. *FEBS Lett.* 1999;463:77-82.
99. Sillars-Hardebol AH, Carvalho B, Tijssen M, *et al.* TPX2 and AURKA promote 20q amplicon-driven colorectal adenoma to carcinoma progression. *Gut.* 2012;61:1568-75.

100. Cordes C, Münzel AK, Görögh T, *et al.* Prognostic relevance of the proliferation marker REPP86 for laryngeal cancer. *Anticancer Res.* 2010;30:3541-7.
101. Wang Y, Han KJ, Pang XW, *et al.* Large scale identification of human hepatocellular carcinoma-associated antigens by autoantibodies. *J Immunol.* 2002;169:1102-9.
102. Zhang L, Huang H, Deng L, *et al.* TPX2 in malignantly transformed human bronchial epithelial cells by anti-benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-diol-9,10-epoxide. *Toxicology.* 2008;252:49-55.
103. Ma Y, Lin D, Sun W, *et al.* Expression of targeting protein for xklp2 associated with both malignant transformation of respiratory epithelium and progression of squamous cell lung cancer. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2006;12:1121-7.
104. Kadara H, Lacroix L, Behrens C, *et al.* Identification of gene signatures and molecular markers for human lung cancer prognosis using an in vitro lung carcinogenesis system. *Cancer Prev Res (Phila).* 2009;2:702-11.
105. Schrader C, Janssen D, Meusers P, *et al.* Repp86: a new prognostic marker in mantle cell lymphoma. *Eur J Haematol.* 2005;75:498-504.
106. Stuart JE, Lusi EA, Scheck AC, *et al.* Identification of gene markers associated with aggressive meningioma by filtering across multiple sets of gene expression arrays. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol.* 2011;70:1-12.
107. Taheri ZM, Mehrafza M, Mohammadi F, Khoddami M, Bahadori M, Masjedi MR. The diagnostic value of Ki-67 and repp86 in distinguishing between benign and malignant mesothelial proliferations. *Arch Pathol Lab Med.* 2008;132:694-7.
108. Krams M, Heidebrecht HJ, Hero B, *et al.* Repp86 expression and outcome in patients with neuroblastoma. *J Clin Oncol.* 2003;21:1810-8.
109. Shigeishi H, Fujimoto S, Hiraoka M, *et al.* Overexpression of the receptor for hyaluronan-mediated motility, correlates with expression of microtubule-associated protein in human oral squamous cell carcinomas. *Int J Oncol.* 2009;34:1565-71.
110. Fenner M, Wehrhan F, Jehle M, *et al.* Restricted-expressed proliferation-associated protein (Repp86) expression in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity. *Strahlenther Onkol.* 2005;181:755-61.
111. Shigeishi H, Ohta K, Hiraoka M, *et al.* Expression of TPX2 in salivary gland carcinomas. *Oncol Rep.* 2009;21:341-4.