Published in final edited form as:

Cancer Metastasis Rev. 2013 June ; 32(0): 123–128. doi:10.1007/s10555-012-9410-8.

Reconstructing skin cancers using animal models

Michael D. Gober, Hasan M. Bashir, and John T. Seykora

Department of Dermatology, Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, 19104

Abstract

The American Cancer Society estimates that skin cancer is the most prevalent of all cancers with over 2 million cases of nonmelanoma skin cancer each year and 75,000 melanoma cases in 2012. Representative animal cancer models are important for understanding the underlying molecular pathogenesis of these cancers and the development of novel targeted anticancer therapeutics. In this review we will discuss some of the important animal models that have been useful to identify important pathways involved in basal cell carcinoma, squamous cell carcinoma and melanoma.

Basal cell carcinoma

Cutaneous basal cell carcinoma (BCC) is the most common human cancer overall, accounting for approximately 80% of non-melanoma skin cancers [1]. It typically affects fair skinned individuals and is associated with ultraviolet light exposure [1]. The incidence of BCC continues to rise likely related to long term sun exposure habits as well as the use of indoor tanning devices [1,2]. While BCC rarely metastasizes, if it is left untreated, the tumor can become locally invasive leading to deformity and significant morbidity. Creation of adequate mouse models to study BCC in the past has been challenging due to the inability of UV light or chemicals carcinogens to induce BCC on murine skin that mimics the human condition. Genetically modified mice represented an excellent option for development of animal models to study the pathogenesis of basal cell carcinoma and to evaluate novel therapeutics.

A genetic etiology for BCC was first suggested in the late 19th century by Jarisch and White and later by Robert Gorlin with the description of basal cell nevus syndrome, also known as Gorlin syndrome [3,4]. Patients with this autosomally inherited syndrome develop multiple BCCs from a very early age. However, the identification of the causative gene was not discovered until almost 100 years later when two groups identified Patched 1 gene (PTCH1) mutations in these patients [5,6]. Since this initial discovery, other groups have confirmed that mutations in PTCH1 as well as other genes in the Hedgehog (Hh) signaling pathway are crucial for the development of BCC in these patients as well as spontaneously forming BCCs [7,8].

PTCH1 is a member of the canonical Hedgehog (Hh) signal transduction pathway that was first characterized in the fruit fly, *Drosophila melanogaster* [9]. The Hh pathway is highly conserved cross species and is important for various aspects of normal embryonic development [10]. Activation of this signaling pathway begins with Hh binding to its cell

Address correspondence to: John T. Seykora MD PhD Associate Professor, Departments of Dermatology and Pathology Member CAMB Graduate Group Member Abramson Cancer Center Director SDRC Tissue Histology and Characterization Core Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania 235a Clinical Research Building 415 Curie Blvd. Philadelphia, PA 19104 ph 215 898 0170 fax 215 573 2143 john.seykora@uphs.upenn.edu.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that no conflict of interest exists.

surface receptor PTCH1. This interaction relieves the PTCH1-induced repression of another cell-surface transmembrane protein, Smoothened (SMO) freeing SMO to process Gli family members into their active form. Gli proteins are zinc-finger containing proteins that bind DNA leading to transcription of genes involved in embryonic development and proliferation [10]. (Figure 1) Although this pathway is crucial for normal development, activation of this pathway has been seen in a number of tumors including BCC and medulloblastoma.

Current mouse models of BCC involve activation of some component of the Hh signal transduction pathway. The first genetic animal model involved overexpression of Sonic hedgehog (Shh, one of the human and murine homologs of Hh originally discovered in the fruit fly) under the control of the keratin 14 (K14) promoter [11]. K14 along with keratin 5 (K5) is naturally expressed in murine and human basal keratinocytes as well as BCC tumors. The K14-Shh transgenic mice developed cutaneous BCC-like tumors within 4 days of embryonic skin development [11]. Similar spontaneous BCC-like tumors were found in mice over-expressing a mutant variant of SMO (SMO-M2) under the control of the K5 promoter [12]. The SMO missense mutations rendered the protein unresponsive to PTCH repression resulting in continuous activation of the pathway similar to what is seen with the over expression of Shh [12]. Overexpression of Gli1 and Gli2 also resulted in spontaneous BCC development [13,14]. PTCH1 heterozygous mice (PTCH1^{+/-}) spontaneously develop microscopic BCC and after chronic UV exposure, the PTCH1^{+/-} mice develop rapidly growing BCC-like tumors after 4 months [15].

Since the mouse models that overexpress either Shh or the activating mutant SMO genes resulted in a lethal phenotype at a very young age, a mouse expressing mutant SMO under the control of a truncated K5 promoter (Δ K5) was created with the goal of studying the effect of the constitutively active SMO mutant, SMO-M2, on adult mouse skin [16]. The SMO-M2 gene mutation was identified in spontaneously formed BCC from adult human skin supporting its use in mouse models of adult BCC [12]. The lethal phenotype was avoided because the Δ K5 promoter is expressed in only a subset of K5 expressing cells [17]. The Δ K5-SMO-M2 mice exhibited upregulation of Shh signaling pathway genes however in lower overall quantities -compared to the mice using the full length K5 promoter [16]. Interestingly, Δ K5-SMO mice developed basaloid follicular hamartomas but not BCC as seen when using the full length K5 promoter suggesting that the level of Shh pathway is critical for driving the formation of basaloid epithelial neoplasms ranging benign follicular hamartomas to infiltrative BCC [16].

Mouse models of BCC have also been created to help address the question of the cell of origin for BCC. Identifying the cells that can give rise to BCC tumors as well as the cells incapable of initiating tumorigenesis may have important clinical applications as new therapeutics are developed in the future. Initial studies indicated that mice expressing a SMO-M2 in the interfollicular epidermis developed BCC tumors. However, when SMO-M2 was expressed preferentially in the lower portions of the hair follicle (below the infundibulum), smaller basaloid lesions developed but BCC did not develop [18]. However, K15-SmoM2 transgenic mice, which target expression of SmoM2 to stem cells in the bulge region of the hair follicle, demonstrated mobilization of the SmoM2 expressing cells to the interfollicular epithelium where they were capable of triggering BCC tumor formation [19]. Similar studies using Ptch1 conditional knockout mice in which Ptch1 is deleted in hair follicle stem cells resulted in basaloid proliferations. However, after wounding, these cells migrated to the interfollicular epithelium at the site of injury and triggered BCC tumors [20]. This suggests that the local microenvironment around the hair follicle plays a role in suppressing the oncogenic activity of the Hh pathway. Understanding the molecular mechanism of this inhibition may lead to a novel class of anti-tumor therapeutics.

Squamous Cell Carcinoma

Cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma (cSCC) is the second most common malignancy in fair-skinned individuals behind BCC [21]. Similar to BCC, the development of animal models that recapitulate human cSCC is crucial for understanding the molecular pathogenesis of these tumors. The canonical carcinogenesis model that cSCC development is a multistep progression starting from the precancerous actinic keratosis (AK) in which keratinocyte atypia is confined to only a portion of the epidermis leading to abnormal differentiation and stratum corneum thickening with retained nuclei. When keratinocyte atypia progresses to include the entire epidermis, the lesion is defined as cSCC in situ (cSCCis) [22].

Approximately 26% of AK/SCCis will spontaneously regress in 1 year however in patients with greater than 20 lesions, approximately 20% will develop invasive histologic features and become cSCC [23,24]. Mutations in p53, an important tumor suppressor whose inactivation has been implicated in a variety of tumors, have been identified in UV exposed skin as well as the majority of AK/SCCis [25–27]. Inactivating mutations in this tumor suppressor can lead to genomic instability and loss of cell cycle regulation resulting in a greater propensity for development of additional mutations in oncogenes that drive carcinogenesis [28,29]. Characterization of p53 null mice (p53^{-/-}) demonstrated an increased propensity for lymphoma and sarcoma but not primary cutaneous tumors [30,31]. However, in the presence of chronic UV exposure, p53^{-/-} mice form AK and SCC-like tumors supporting the role of UV in the pathogenesis of cSCC [31].

Mutations in p53, while common in AK and cSCC, are not the only mechanism for p53 inactivation. Downregulation or mutational inactivation of p53 alone is not sufficient to drive spontaneous cSCC tumor formation suggesting that other oncogenic signaling pathways are activated in these transgenic mice [31]. Activating mutations in epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) and Src family tyrosine kinases (SFK), such as Fyn, lead to downregulation of p53 expression [32]. Transgenic mice expressing Src, Fyn or ErbB2, a member of the EGFR family, in the epidermis develop cSCC tumors [33,32,34]. Activation of EGFR and SFK family members classically leads to activation of the oncogenic Ras/MEK/ERK signaling pathway. (Figure 2) Indeed, mice that express a constitutively active form of Fyn under the control of the K14 promoter (K14-Fyn Y528F) exhibit constitutive activation of the Ras/MEK/ERK signaling pathway [34]. Mutations in Ras family members is the most common overall mutated gene in human cancers and activating and amplifying mutations in Ras have been found in human AK and cSCC lesions [35–37]. Indeed, 21% of human cSCC possess activating mutations in Ras with many located at pyrimidine dimer sites, one of the classic signatures of UVB-induced DNA damage [36,38]. Transgenic mice, which possess a tamoxifen-inducible mutant Ras (H-Ras G12V) in the epidermis, exhibit features consistent with SCCis [39]. Overexpression of activated MEK1 in the epidermis leads to cSCC formation [40].

In addition to kinase activation, transcription factor activation is another gene target important in cSCC pathogenesis. Expression of phosphorylated STAT3, a transcription factor important cell cycle regulation, apoptosis, and angiogenesis, is upregulated in human SCC [41]. Furthermore, phospho-STAT3 correlated with increased depth of invasion and risk of metastasis [42]. Overexpression of constitutively active STAT3 in the epidermis (K5-Stat3C) resulted in increased SCC after UV exposure [43]. Mice that are deficient in epidermal STAT3 were protected from UV-induced cSCC supporting the role of STAT3 in UV-induced cutaneous carcinogenesis [43]. STAT3 has also been implicated in the two-step chemical carcinogenesis model. In this model cSCC are induced after exposing the murine skin to 7,12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene (DMBA) as the tumor initiator followed by 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol-13-acetate (TPA) as the tumor promoter. STAT3 is required for tumor formation using this DMBA/TPA tumor model [44]. Furthermore, transgenic mice

overexpressing STAT3 developed cSCC tumors in shorter latency and increased frequency using this two-stage chemical carcinogenesis model [44].

Melanoma

Melanoma is less common overall compared to nonmelanoma skin cancers; however, it has the highest mortality rate with 5-year survival rate of 15% in patients with distant metastatic disease [45]. Development of animal models that closely replicate human melanoma is important for understanding the pathogenesis of this aggressive tumor and developing novel targeted therapeutics. Unlike BCC and cSCC in which the cell of origin is the keratinocyte, melanoma is derived from melanocytes. In humans, melanocytes are located within hair follicles, in the bulge, in sebaceous glands, and at the dermal-epidermal junction. However in hair bearing areas of adult mice, melanocytes in the skin are primarily located in the hair follicles and occasionally in the dermis [46]. A transgenic mouse that expresses a non-cleavable form of the c-kit ligand, also known as stem cell factor (SCF), under the control of the K14 promoter (K14-SCF) stimulated migration of melanocytes from the hair follicle to the interfollicular epithelium mimicking the distribution in human skin [47]. The K14-SCF transgenic mice do not spontaneously form melanoma however when expressed in mice lacking the xeroderma pigmentosa A complement group (XPA^{-/-}), they formed metastatic melanocytic skin tumors after UV exposure in approximately 30% of animals [48].

Similar to the K14-SCF;XPA^{-/-} mouse model, many early mouse models of melanoma used UV irradiation or two-step chemical carcinogenesis; however these models were hampered by inconsistency and the long time latency to develop melanoma tumors [49]. Spontaneous melanoma in transgenic mice can be generated by targeted expression of SV40 T-antigen, a well-known inhibitor of p53 mediated cell cycle regulation, to melanocytes using the tyrosinase promoter [50]. Expression of activated mutant HRas (HRas^{G12V}) under control of the tyrosinase promoter in the context of deleted cell cycle regulators such as p53, p16, Cdk4, or Cdkn2a increase the penetrance and shorten the latency for spontaneous melanoma formation [51–54]. The melanoma animal models are enhanced with regard to tumor penetrance and latency by exposing the mice to additional mutagens such as UV light or DMBA/TPA [53,55]. Interestingly, transgenic mice that express only activated Ras mutant (HRas^{G12V}) under the control of the tyrosinase promoter do not spontaneously develop melanoma but rather melanocyte hyperplasia supporting the conclusion that activation of Ras signaling pathways alone is not sufficient to drive melanoma [56].

Recent genetic analysis of human melanoma indicate that over 50% of melanomas have activating mutations in B-RAF, most commonly B-RAF^{V600E} which leads to MEK/ERK activation [57]. Overexpression of B-RAF^{V600E} in mice led to the formation of both benign nevi and melanoma [58–60]. Over 80% of benign human nevi harbor mutations in B-RAF [61]. Overexpression of B-RAF^{V600E} in zebrafish led to clustering of melanocytes mimicking benign nevi. However, in this zebrafish model melanoma formation is triggered after inactivation of p53 [62]. This and other studies support the conclusion that B-RAF^{V600E} alone results in melanocyte senescence and therefore a second mutation in a tumor suppressor such as PTEN or Cdkn2A is needed for carcinogenesis [63].

Human melanoma frequently exhibit Cdkn2a gene mutations leading to inactivation of its tumor suppressing gene product p16ink4a [64–66]. However, expression of B-RAF^{V600E} in a p16ink4a null background did not lead to increased metastatic melanoma formation suggesting inactivation of another tumor suppressor is critical for melanoma metastasis [59]. Since approximately 20% of human melanomas have inactivating mutations in PTEN, a different animal model was generated to address the role of PTEN in the context of B-RAF activation [60]. Conditional expression of B-RAF^{V600E} and conditional silencing of PTEN

by deleting its phosphatase catalytic domains resulted in metastatic melanoma with 100% penetrance [60]. These mice required euthanasia due to overwhelming tumor burden after only 25–50 days after gene induction. Most animal models prior to this often required 6 months for the melanomas to first appear or the addition of mutagens such as UV or DMBA/TPA [49]. Administration of mTOR inhibitor rapamycin improved survival as did administration of a MEK inhibitor that blocks ERK activation. (Figure 2) The data support the conclusion that metastatic melanoma can be generated through activation of B-RAF/MEK/ERK signaling pathway along with activation of PI3K/AKT/mTOR as a consequence of PTEN silencing [60]. The generation of this, and other mouse models, are important for identifying novel therapeutic targets to treat these potentially deadly tumors.

References

1. Rubin AI, Chen EH, Ratner D. Basal-Cell Carcinoma. *The New England journal of medicine*. 2005; 353(21):2262–2269. [PubMed: 16306523]
2. Zhang M, Qureshi AA, Geller AC, Frazier L, Hunter DJ, Han J. Use of Tanning Beds and Incidence of Skin Cancer. *J Clin Oncol*. 2012; 30(14):1588–1593. [PubMed: 22370316]
3. Howell JB, Caro MR. The Basal-Cell Nevus Its Relationship to Multiple Cutaneous Cancers and Associated Anomalies of Development. *Arch Dermatol*. 1959; 79(1):67–80.
4. Gorlin RJ, Goltz RW. Multiple Nevoid Basal-Cell Epithelioma, Jaw Cysts and Bifid Rib. *The New England journal of medicine*. 1960; 262(18):908–912. [PubMed: 13851319]
5. Hahn H, Wicking C, Zaphiropoulos PG, Gailani MR, Shanley S, Chidambaram A, et al. Mutations of the Human Homolog of *Drosophila* patched in the Nevoid Basal Cell Carcinoma Syndrome. *Cell*. 1996; 85(6):841–851. [PubMed: 8681379]
6. Johnson RL, Rothman AL, Xie J, Goodrich LV, Bare JW, Bonifas JM, et al. Human Homolog of patched, a Candidate Gene for the Basal Cell Nevus Syndrome. *Science*. 1996; 272(5268):1668–1671. [PubMed: 8658145]
7. Gailani MR, Stahle-Backdahl M, Leffell DJ, Glyn M, Zaphiropoulos PG, Uden AB, et al. The role of the human homologue of *Drosophila* patched in sporadic basal cell carcinomas. *Nat Genet*. 1996; 14(1):78–81. [10.1038/ng0996-78]. [PubMed: 8782823]
8. Kasper M, Jaks V, Hohl D, Toftgård R. Basal cell carcinoma — molecular biology and potential new therapies. *J Clin Invest*. 2012; 122(2):455–463. [PubMed: 22293184]
9. Hooper JE, Scott MP. The *Drosophila* patched gene encodes a putative membrane protein required for segmental patterning. *Cell*. 1989; 59(4):751–765. [PubMed: 2582494]
10. Lum L, Beachy PA. The Hedgehog Response Network: Sensors, Switches, and Routers. *Science*. 2004; 304(5678):1755–1759. [PubMed: 15205520]
11. Oro AE, Higgins KM, Hu Z, Bonifas JM, Epstein EH, Scott MP. Basal Cell Carcinomas in Mice Overexpressing Sonic Hedgehog. *Science*. 1997; 276(5313):817–821. [PubMed: 9115210]
12. Xie J, Murone M, Luoh S-M, Ryan A, Gu Q, Zhang C, et al. Activating Smoothed mutations in sporadic basal-cell carcinoma. *Nature*. 1998; 391(6662):90–92. [10.1038/34201]. [PubMed: 9422511]
13. Nilsson M, Undén AB, Krause D, Malmquist U, Raza K, Zaphiropoulos PG, et al. Induction of basal cell carcinomas and trichoepitheliomas in mice overexpressing GLI-1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2000; 97(7):3438–3443. [PubMed: 10725363]
14. Grachtchouk M, Mo R, Yu S, Zhang X, Sasaki H, Hui C.-c. et al. Basal cell carcinomas in mice overexpressing Gli2 in skin. *Nat Genet*. 2000; 24(3):216–217. [10.1038/73417]. [PubMed: 10700170]
15. Aszterbaum M, Epstein J, Oro A, Douglas V, LeBoit PE, Scott MP, et al. Ultraviolet and ionizing radiation enhance the growth of BCCs and trichoblastomas in patched heterozygous knockout mice. *Nat Med*. 1999; 5(11):1285–1291. [10.1038/15242]. [PubMed: 10545995]
16. Grachtchouk V, Grachtchouk M, Lowe L, Johnson T, Wei L, Wang A, et al. The magnitude of hedgehog signaling activity defines skin tumor phenotype. *EMBO J*. 2003; 22(11):2741–2751. [10.1093/emboj/cdg271]. [PubMed: 12773389]

17. Ramírez A, Bravo A, Jorcano JL, Vidal M. Sequences 5' of the bovine keratin 5 gene direct tissue- and cell-type-specific expression of a lacZ gene in the adult and during development. *Differentiation*. 1994; 58(1):53–64. [PubMed: 7532601]
18. Youssef KK, Van Keymeulen A, Lapouge G, Beck B, Michaux C, Achouri Y, et al. Identification of the cell lineage at the origin of basal cell carcinoma. *Nat Cell Biol*. 2010; 12(3):299–305. [10.1038/ncb2031]. [PubMed: 20154679]
19. Wong SY, Reiter JF. Wounding mobilizes hair follicle stem cells to form tumors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2011; 108(10):4093–4098.
20. Kasper M, Jaks V, Are A, Bergström Å, Schwäger A, Svärd J, et al. Wounding enhances epidermal tumorigenesis by recruiting hair follicle keratinocytes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2011; 108(10):4099–4104.
21. Ratushny V, Gober MD, Hick R, Ridky TW, Seykora JT. From keratinocyte to cancer: the pathogenesis and modeling of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *J Clin Invest*. 2012; 122(2):464–472. [PubMed: 22293185]
22. Cockerell CJ. Histopathology of incipient intraepidermal squamous cell carcinoma (“actinic keratosis”). *J Am Acad Dermatol*. 2000; 42(1):S11–S17.
23. Marks R, Rennie G, Selwood TS. Malignant transformation of solar keratoses to squamous cell carcinoma. *Lancet*. 1988; 1(8589):795–797. [PubMed: 2895318]
24. Criscione VD, Weinstock MA, Naylor MF, Luque C, Eide MJ, Bingham SF, et al. Actinic keratoses. *Cancer*. 2009; 115(11):2523–2530. [PubMed: 19382202]
25. Ziegler A, Jonason AS, Leffell DJ, Simon JA, Sharma HW, Kimmelman J, et al. Sunburn and p53 in the onset of skin cancer. *Nature*. 1994; 372(6508):773–776. [10.1038/372773a0]. [PubMed: 7997263]
26. Ortonne JP. From actinic keratosis to squamous cell carcinoma. *Br J Dermatol*. 2002; 146:20–23. [PubMed: 11966728]
27. Nakazawa H, English D, Randell PL, Nakazawa K, Martel N, Armstrong BK, et al. UV and skin cancer: specific p53 gene mutation in normal skin as a biologically relevant exposure measurement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1994; 91(1):360–364. [PubMed: 8278394]
28. Hoeijmakers JHJ. Genome maintenance mechanisms for preventing cancer. *Nature*. 2001; 411(6835):366–374. [10.1038/35077232]. [PubMed: 11357144]
29. Brash DE, Rudolph JA, Simon JA, Lin A, McKenna GJ, Baden HP, et al. A role for sunlight in skin cancer: UV-induced p53 mutations in squamous cell carcinoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 1991; 88(22):10124–10128. [PubMed: 1946433]
30. Donehower LA, Harvey M, Slagle BL, McArthur MJ, Montgomery CA, Butel JS, et al. Mice deficient for p53 are developmentally normal but susceptible to spontaneous tumours. *Nature*. 1992; 356(6366):215–221. [10.1038/356215a0]. [PubMed: 1552940]
31. Jiang W, Ananthaswamy HN, Muller HK, Kripke ML. p53 protects against skin cancer induction by UV-B radiation. *Oncogene*. 1999; 18(29):4247. [Article]. [PubMed: 10435637]
32. Matsumoto T, Jiang J, Kiguchi K, Ruffino L, Carbajal S, Beltrán L, et al. Targeted Expression of c-Src in Epidermal Basal Cells Leads to Enhanced Skin Tumor Promotion, Malignant Progression, and Metastasis. *Cancer Res*. 2003; 63(16):4819–4828. [PubMed: 12941801]
33. Kiguchi K, Bol D, Carbajal S, Beltrán L, Moats S, Chan K, et al. Constitutive expression of erbB2 in epidermis of transgenic mice results in epidermal hyperproliferation and spontaneous skin tumor development. *Oncogene*. 2000; 19(37):4243. [Article]. [PubMed: 10980598]
34. Zhao L, Li W, Marshall C, Griffin T, Hanson M, Hick R, et al. Srcasm Inhibits Fyn-Induced Cutaneous Carcinogenesis with Modulation of Notch1 and p53. *Cancer Res*. 2009; 69(24):9439–9447. [PubMed: 19934324]
35. Khavari PA. Modelling cancer in human skin tissue. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2006; 6(4):270–280. [10.1038/nrc1838]. [PubMed: 16541145]
36. Pierceall WE, Goldberg LH, Tainsky MA, Mukhopadhyay T, Ananthaswamy HN. Ras gene mutation and amplification in human nonmelanoma skin cancers. *Mol Carcinog*. 1991; 4(3):196–202. [PubMed: 2064725]

37. Spencer JM, Kahn SM, Jiang W, DeLeo VA, Weinstein IB. Activated ras Genes Occur in Human Actinic Keratoses, Premalignant Precursors to Squamous Cell Carcinomas. *Arch Dermatol.* 1995; 131(7):796–800. [PubMed: 7611795]
38. Bamford S, Dawson E, Forbes S, Clements J, Pettett R, Dogan A, et al. The COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer) database and website; <http://www.sanger.ac.uk/cosmic>. *Br J Cancer.* 2004; 91(2):355–358. [PubMed: 15188009]
39. Tarutani M, Cai T, Dajee M, Khavari PA. Inducible Activation of Ras and Raf in Adult Epidermis. *Cancer Research.* 2003; 63(2):319–323. [PubMed: 12543782]
40. Scholl FA, Dumesic PA, Khavari PA. Mek1 alters epidermal growth and differentiation. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 64(17):6035–6040. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't Research Support, U.S. Gov't, Non-P.H.S. Research Support, U.S. Gov't, P.H.S.]. [PubMed: 15342384]
41. Quadros MRD, Peruzzi F, Kari C, Rodeck U. Complex Regulation of Signal Transducers and Activators of Transcription 3 Activation in Normal and Malignant Keratinocytes. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 64(11):3934–3939. [PubMed: 15173005]
42. Suiqing C, Min Z, Lirong C. Overexpression of phosphorylated-STAT3 correlated with the invasion and metastasis of cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma. *J Dermatol.* 2005; 32(5):354–360. [Comparative Study Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. [PubMed: 16043897]
43. Kim DJ, Angel JM, Sano S, DiGiovanni J. Constitutive activation and targeted disruption of signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (Stat3) in mouse epidermis reveal its critical role in UVB-induced skin carcinogenesis. *Oncogene.* 2009; 28(7):950–960. [PubMed: 19137019]
44. Chan KS, Sano S, Kataoka K, Abel E, Carbajal S, Beltran L, et al. Forced expression of a constitutively active form of Stat3 in mouse epidermis enhances malignant progression of skin tumors induced by two-stage carcinogenesis. *Oncogene.* 2008; 27(8):1087–1094. [PubMed: 17700521]
45. Siegel R, DeSantis C, Virgo K, Stein K, Mariotto A, Smith T, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2012 n/a-n/a.
46. Becker JC, Houben R, Schrama D, Voigt H, Ugurel S, Reisfeld RA. Mouse models for melanoma: a personal perspective. *Experimental dermatology.* 2010; 19(2):157–164. [PubMed: 19849715]
47. Kunisada T, Lu S-Z, Yoshida H, Nishikawa S, Nishikawa S.-i, Mizoguchi M, et al. Murine Cutaneous Mastocytosis and Epidermal Melanocytosis Induced by Keratinocyte Expression of Transgenic Stem Cell Factor. *J Exp Med.* 1998; 187(10):1565–1573. [PubMed: 9584135]
48. Yamazaki F, Okamoto H, Matsumura Y, Tanaka K, Kunisada T, Horio T. Development of a New Mouse Model (Xeroderma Pigmentosum A-Deficient, Stem Cell Factor-Transgenic) of Ultraviolet B-Induced Melanoma. *J Invest Dermatol.* 2005; 125(3):521–525. [PubMed: 16117793]
49. Damsky WE Jr, Bosenberg M. Mouse melanoma models and cell lines. *Pigment Cell Melanoma Res.* 2010; 23(6):853–859. [PubMed: 20973935]
50. Mintz B, Silvers WK. Transgenic mouse model of malignant skin melanoma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 1993; 90(19):8817–8821. [PubMed: 8415613]
51. Chin L, Pomerantz J, Polsky D, Jacobson M, Cohen C, Cordon-Cardo C, et al. Cooperative effects of INK4a and ras in melanoma susceptibility in vivo. *Genes Dev.* 1997; 11(21):2822–2834. [PubMed: 9353252]
52. Bardeesy N, Bastian BC, Hezel A, Pinkel D, DePinho RA, Chin L. Dual Inactivation of RB and p53 Pathways in RAS-Induced Melanomas. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2001; 21(6):2144–2153. [PubMed: 11238948]
53. Hacker E, Muller HK, Irwin N, Gabrielli B, Lincoln D, Pavey S, et al. Spontaneous and UV Radiation-Induced Multiple Metastatic Melanomas in Cdk4R24C/R24C/TPras Mice. *Cancer Res.* 2006; 66(6):2946–2952. [PubMed: 16540642]
54. Kannan K, Sharpless NE, Xu J, O'Hagan RC, Bosenberg M, Chin L. Components of the Rb pathway are critical targets of UV mutagenesis in a murine melanoma model. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2003; 100(3):1221–1225. [PubMed: 12538879]
55. Sotillo R, Garcia JF, Ortega S, Martin J, Dubus P, Barbacid M, et al. Invasive melanoma in Cdk4-targeted mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.* 2001; 98(23):13312–13317. [Research Support, Non-U.S. Gov't]. [PubMed: 11606789]

56. Powell MB, Hyman P, Bell OD, Balmain A, Brown K, Alberts D, et al. Hyperpigmentation and melanocytic hyperplasia in transgenic mice expressing the human T24 Ha-ras gene regulated by a mouse tyrosinase promoter. *Mol Carcinog*. 1995; 12(2):82–90. [PubMed: 7662120]
57. Davies H, Bignell GR, Cox C, Stephens P, Edkins S, Clegg S, et al. Mutations of the BRAF gene in human cancer. *Nature*. 2002; 417(6892):949–954. [10.1038/nature00766]. [PubMed: 12068308]
58. Goel VK, Ibrahim N, Jiang G, Singhal M, Fee S, Flotte T, et al. Melanocytic nevus-like hyperplasia and melanoma in transgenic BRAFV600E mice. *Oncogene*. 2009; 28(23):2289–2298. [PubMed: 19398955]
59. Dhomen N, Reis-Filho JS, da Rocha Dias S, Hayward R, Savage K, Delmas V, et al. Oncogenic Braf Induces Melanocyte Senescence and Melanoma in Mice. *Cancer Cell*. 2009; 15(4):294–303. [PubMed: 19345328]
60. Dankort D, Curley DP, Cartlidge RA, Nelson B, Karnezis AN, Damsky WE Jr, et al. BrafV600E cooperates with Pten loss to induce metastatic melanoma. *Nat Genet*. 2009; 41(5):544–552. [10.1038/ng.356]. [PubMed: 19282848]
61. Pollock PM, Harper UL, Hansen KS, Yudt LM, Stark M, Robbins CM, et al. High frequency of BRAF mutations in nevi. *Nat Genet*. 2003; 33(1):19–20. [10.1038/ng1054]. [PubMed: 12447372]
62. Patton EE, Widlund HR, Kutok JL, Kopani KR, Amatruda JF, Murphey RD, et al. BRAF mutations are sufficient to promote nevi formation and cooperate with p53 in the genesis of melanoma. *Curr Biol*. 2005; 15(3):249–254. [PubMed: 15694309]
63. Michaloglou C, Vredeveld LCW, Soengas MS, Denoyelle C, Kuilman T, van der Horst CMAM, et al. BRAFE600-associated senescence-like cell cycle arrest of human naevi. *Nature*. 2005; 436(7051):720–724. [10.1038/nature03890]. [PubMed: 16079850]
64. Gruis NA, Van der Velden PA, Sandkuijl LA, Prins DE, Weaver-Feldhaus J, Kamb A, et al. Homozygotes for CDKN2 (p16) germline mutation in Dutch familial melanoma kindreds. *Nat Genet*. 1995; 10(3):351–353. [PubMed: 7670475]
65. Ruiz A, Puig S, Malvehy J, Lázaro C, Lynch M, Gimenez-Arnau AM, et al. CDKN2A mutations in Spanish cutaneous malignant melanoma families and patients with multiple melanomas and other neoplasia. *J Med Genet*. 1999; 36(6):490–493. [PubMed: 10874641]
66. Goldstein AM, Chan M, Harland M, Hayward NK, Demenais F, Bishop DT, et al. Features associated with germline CDKN2A mutations: A GenoMEL study of melanoma-prone families from three continents. *J Med Genet*. 2007; 44(2):99–106. [PubMed: 16905682]

Figure 1.

Schematic of the hedgehog signaling pathway. Patched (Ptch) inhibits smoothened under physiologic conditions (left). Hedgehog (Hh) binding to ptch dissociates Ptch from smoothened, thereby activating smoothened (Smo), allowing for Gli activation and transcription of target genes (middle). Mutant ptch does not bind and repress Smoothened; this leads to persistent activation of Gli transcription factors (right). Arrows represent activation and T-bars represent inhibitory correlation.

Figure 2. Schematic of the receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) signaling pathways that drive cSCC and melanoma. Arrows denotes activation, and T-bars indicate inhibition.