Published in final edited form as:

J Org Chem. 2013 April 5; 78(7): 3391–3393. doi:10.1021/jo400032j.

Synthesis of Diphenylhexatriene by the Pd-Catalyzed Dimerization of Cinnamyl Acetate

Tehetena Mesganaw, G.-Yoon J. Im, and Neil K. Garg*

University of California, Los Angeles, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Los Angeles, CA 90095

Abstract

A mild and operationally-simple method to synthesize diphenylhexatriene (DPH) is reported. The method relies on the Pd-catalyzed dimerization of cinnamyl acetate and provides efficient access to DPH in a single step.

The synthesis of conjugated hydrocarbons that display interesting fluorescence properties remains an important area of research. For example, compounds such as diphenylhexatriene (DPH, **1**), DiSC₃₊(5) (**2**), and CTMPA (**3**) (Figure 1), play key roles as fluorescent probes in biological studies.¹ Of these, DPH is exceptionally noteworthy as it can be utilized in an array of applications, such as serving as a lipid membrane fluorescent probe for cancer studies,² performing as a biological sensor for detecting fatty acyl chains,² and monitoring protein aggregation to identify both amorphous and fibrillar aggregates.^{1c}

Several reported methods for the synthesis of diphenylhexatriene (**1**) are summarized in Figure 2. Doyle and Yan disclosed a method to arrive at diphenylhexatriene (**1**) and its isomer **6** in 55% yield (98:2 mixture of isomers). Their approach first involved conversion of cinnamaldehyde (**4**) to diazo compound **5**. Subsequent rhodium-catalyzed dimerization of **5** provided DPH (**1**).³ The Tian group reported a stereoselective olefination of triphenylphosphonium ylide **8** with *N*-sulfonyl imine **7** to arrive at **1** in 87% yield.⁴ Kasahara and coworkers discovered the palladium-catalyzed coupling of fumaryl chloride (**9**) with styrene (**10**) to provide **1** in 44% yield.⁵ Two methods to directly convert cinnamaldehyde (**12**) to DPH (**1**) in good yields have also been reported. A titanium-catalyzed dimerization furnished DPH (**1**) in 65% yield, as recently shown by the Barrero group.⁶ Finally, Mioskowski and Falck have disclosed a reductive olefination of cinnamaldehyde (**12**) via a chromium Brook rearrangement to yield diphenylhexatriene (**1**) in 83% yield.⁷

While investigating unrelated transformations involving π -allyl Pd intermediates, we unexpectedly found that cinnamyl acetate (**13**) may be readily converted to diphenylhexatriene (**1**) using Pd catalysis. As shown in Table 1, we initially observed that

* neilgarg@chem.ucla.edu.

Supporting Information Available:

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra for compound **1**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

exposure of cinnamyl acetate to Pd(OAc)₂, PPh₃, and triethylamine in DMSO gave **1** in 48% yield (entry 1). We also investigated the dimerization in toluene, 1,2-dichloroethane, and tetrahydrofuran (entries 2–4), but **1** was not observed when these solvents were employed.⁸ Gratifyingly, the use of acetonitrile as solvent furnished **1** in 92% yield (entry 5). After identifying acetonitrile as the optimal solvent, we examined the influence of ligands. Several phosphorous-based ligands were tested, namely, triphenyl phosphite, tricyclohexylphosphine, and tri*ortho*-tolylphosphine, but DPH (**1**) formation was not observed (entries 6–8).⁸ However, dimerization in the presence of dppf as the ligand yielded 77% of the desired triene **1** (entry 9). We also investigated the use of Pd/C without ligand additives, but the reaction shut down completely (entry 10). With these results in hand the conditions described in entry were selected for further optimization studies. In order to ease the purification process, the use of a triphenylphosphine resin was examined. To our delight, replacement of PPh₃ with a solid-supported variant gave **1** in quantitative yield (entry 11).⁹ It was also found that propionitrile could be substituted for acetonitrile to give **1** in comparable yields (entry 12). The use of propionitrile was beneficial in that it allowed for reactions to be conducted at higher temperatures and led to more consistent results in larger-scale experiments.

With suitable reaction conditions in hand for the efficient synthesis of **1**, we tested the scalability of our procedure (Figure 3). Performing the coupling using >10 mmol of cinnamyl acetate (**13**) under our optimized reaction conditions (Pd(OAc)₂, PPh₃ resin, and triethylamine in propionitrile at 105 °C) gave diphenylhexatriene (**1**) in 73% isolated yield after flash column chromatography.¹⁰ This result underscores the effectiveness of our method for preparing the fluorescent probe DPH (**1**).

We also tested the viability of accessing **1** using substrates other than cinnamyl acetate (Figure 4). Initially, we examined the branched isomer of cinnamyl acetate, **14**,¹¹ and subjected it to our coupling conditions. DPH (**1**) was formed in 96% yield, which is comparable to the results obtained using cinnamyl acetate (**13**).¹² Other linear derivatives of cinnamyl alcohol were also probed under our optimized dimerization conditions. Cinnamyl pivalate **15**¹³ underwent smooth coupling to furnish **1** in 88% yield, whereas the corresponding carbonate **16**¹⁴ yielded only 27% of the desired product. In the latter case, the remainder of the mass consisted of unreacted starting material and cinnamyl alcohol. Finally, commercially available cinnamyl chloride **17** was converted to **1** in 70% yield using our standard conditions.

In summary, we have developed an efficient means to synthesize the important fluorescent probe diphenylhexatriene. The method relies on the unusual palladium-catalyzed dimerization of cinnamyl acetate to furnish DPH (**1**) in good yield. Our method is scalable and provides access to gram quantities of the desired conjugated triene. The use of alternate electrophilic derivatives, other than cinnamyl acetate, can also be used to efficiently access **1**.

Experimental Section

Representative Procedure for Optimization Studies (Table 1, entry 1 is used as an example)

Diphenylhexatriene (1)—A flame-dried 4-mL vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with hexamethylbenzene (6.5 mg, 0.04 mmol, 10 mol%), Pd(OAc)₂ (4.6 mg, 0.02 mmol, 5 mol%), and PPh₃ (15.7 mg, 0.06 mmol, 15 mol%) while purging with N₂. Subsequently, DMSO (2.0 mL), Et₃N (167 μ L, 1.2 mmol, 3 equiv) and cinnamyl acetate (67 μ L, 0.4 mmol, 1 equiv) were added to the reaction vial. The solvent was sparged with N₂ for 20 minutes and the vial was capped with a Teflon-lined screw cap. The reaction was heated

at 85 °C for 24 h. The reaction was allowed to cool to room temperature and was then diluted with benzene:Et₂O (1:1, 5 mL). The solution was filtered by passage over a short plug of silica plug (x 2), and eluted with additional benzene:Et₂O (1:1, 5 mL). The yield was determined by ¹H NMR analysis with hexamethylbenzene as an internal standard.

Diphenylhexatriene (1)—To a flame-dried pressure tube equipped with a stir bar was added Pd(OAc)₂ (0.129 g, 0.568 mmol) and PPh₃ resin (1.42 g, 1.70 mmol), while purging with N₂. The vent needle was removed and EtCN (19 mL), triethylamine (4.74 mL, 34.0 mmol) and cinnamyl acetate (1.91 mL, 11.4 mmol) were added. The solvent was sparged with N₂ and the resulting mixture was stirred vigorously for 45 min. The pressure tube was capped and the reaction was heated at 105 °C. After 2.5 d, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to 23 °C. The mixture was then diluted with benzene:Et₂O (1:1, 20 mL), filtered by passage over silica gel (x 2), and eluted with additional benzene:Et₂O (1:1, 20 mL). The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Purification by flash chromatography (95:5 hexanes:EtOAc) afforded diphenylhexatriene (**1**) as a yellow solid (0.96 g, 73% yield). R_f 0.4 (95:5 Hexanes:EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.42 (d, *J* = 7.5, 4H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.5, 4H); 7.24 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H), 6.89 (dddd, *J* = 15.0, 7.5, 7.5, 3.0, 2H), 6.60 (d, *J* = 15.0, 2H), 6.52 (dd, *J* = 7.5, 3.0, 2H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 137.4, 133.6, 132.7, 129.1, 128.7, 127.6, 126.4; IR (film): 3058, 3013, 1594, 1490, 1447, 1178, 1072 cm⁻¹; HRMS-Cl (*m/z*) [*M*]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₆, 232.1252; found, 232.1253; m.p. 193–195 °C. Spectral data match those previously reported.⁴

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to Boehringer Ingelheim, DuPont, Eli Lilly, Amgen, AstraZeneca, NOBCCHe/GlaxoSmithKline (T. M.), Bristol-Myers Squibb (T. M.), Roche, the A. P. Sloan Foundation, the S. T. Li Foundation, the University of California, Los Angeles, and NIH-NIGMS (R00 GM079922) for financial support. These studies were supported by shared instrumentation grants from the NSF (CHE-1048804) and the National Center for Research Resources (S10RR025631).

References

1. (a) Lakowicz JR, Prendergast FG, Hogen D. *Biochemistry*. 1979; 18:520–527. [PubMed: 420798] (b) Lentz BR. *J. Fluoresc.* 1995; 5:29–38. (c) Makwana PK, Jethva PN, Roy I. *Analyst*. 2011; 136:2161–2167. [PubMed: 21445407] (d) Kawabe Y, Kato S. *Dyes Pigm.* 2012; 95:614–618. (e) Cao R, Venezia CF, Armitage BA. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* 2001; 18:844–857. [PubMed: 11444373] (f) Wang M, Silva GL, Armitage BA. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2000; 122:9977–9986. (g) Guo Z, Kim G-H, Shin I, Yoon J. *Biomaterials*. 2012; 33:7818–7827. [PubMed: 22871424]
2. (a) Spiegel RJ, Magrath IT, Shutta JA. *Cancer. Res.* 1981; 41:452–458. [PubMed: 7448790] (b) Munishkina LA, Fink AL. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Biomembr.* 2007; 1768:1862–1885.
3. Doyle MP, Yan M. *J. Org. Chem.* 2002; 67:602–604. [PubMed: 11798339]
4. Dong D-J, Li H-H, Tian S-K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:5018–5020. [PubMed: 20302297]
5. Kasahara A, Izumi T, Kuduo N. *Synthesis*. 1988:704–705.
6. Diéguez HR, López A, Domingo V, Arteaga JF, Dobado JA, Herrador MM, Quílez del Moral J, Barrero AF. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:254–259. [PubMed: 20000601]
7. Baati R, Mioskowski C, Barma D, Kache R, Falck JR. *Org. Lett.* 2006; 8:2949–2951. [PubMed: 16805524]
8. These experiments predominantly led to the recovery of unreacted starting material.
9. Commercially available PPh₃ resin (CAS# 39319-11-4) was employed.

10. Purification of diphenylhexatriene by silica gel chromatography is accompanied by some mass loss, which is attributed to the compound's propensity to precipitate out of solution.
11. Commandeur M, Commandeur C, Cossy J. *Org. Lett.* 2011; 13:6018–6021. [PubMed: 22003969]
12. In a recent study involving the cyclopropanation of alkenes, Horino et al. observed the formation of **1** and an isomeric compound from the corresponding 3-trimethylsilyl substrate (i.e., (*E*-1-phenyl-3-(trimethylsilyl)allyl acetate); see: Horino Y, Homura N, Inoue K, Yoshikawa S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2012; 354:828–834.
13. Chen C-T, Kuo J-H, Pawar VD, Munot YS, Weng S-S, Ku C-H, Liu C-Y. *J. Org. Chem.* 2005; 70:1188–1197. [PubMed: 15704950]
14. Ohkoshi M, Michinishi J-Y, Hara S, Senboku H. *Tetrahedron.* 2010; 66:7732–7737.

Figure 1.
Conjugated Hydrocarbons as Fluorescent Probes.

Rhodium-Catalyzed Coupling of Vinyldiazomethanes (Doyle) **Olefination of Triphenylphosphonium Ylides and Imines (Tian)** **Palladium-Catalyzed Coupling of Alkenes with Fumaryl Chloride (Kasahara)** **Titanium-Catalyzed Carbonyl Coupling Olefination (Barrero)** **Reductive Olefination via Chromium Brook Rearrangement (Mioskowski and Falck)**

Figure 2.
Various Approaches to Diphenylhexatriene (1).

Figure 3.
Synthesis of Diphenylhexatriene (**1**).

Figure 4.
Testing Substrate Variation for the Synthesis of Diphenylhexatriene (1).

Table 1Optimization of Reaction Conditions.^a

entry	Pd source	ligand	solvent	yield ^b
1	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	DMSO	48%
2	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	toluene	0%
3	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	1,2-dichloroethane	0%
4	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	tetrahydrofuran	0%
5	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃	acetonitrile	92%
6	Pd(OAc) ₂	P(OPh) ₃	acetonitrile	0%
7	Pd(OAc) ₂	PCy ₃	acetonitrile	0%
8	Pd(OAc) ₂	P(<i>o</i> -tolyl) ₃	acetonitrile	0%
9	Pd(OAc) ₂	dppf	acetonitrile	77%
10	Pd/C	--	acetonitrile	0%
11	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃ resin	acetonitrile	100%
12	Pd(OAc) ₂	PPh ₃ resin	propionitrile	96% ^c

^a Conditions unless otherwise stated: Pd source (5 mol%), ligand (15 mol%), cinnamyl acetate **13** (1 equiv), Et₃N (3 equiv) in solvent (0.2 M) at 85 °C for 24 h.

^b Yield determined by ¹H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using hexamethylbenzene as an internal standard.

^c Reaction performed at 105 °C.