SHORT COMMUNICATION

Discrete mineralisation of the acetabular labrum: a novel marker of femoroacetabular impingement?

¹W R COOKE, BA, ²H S GILL, BEng, DPhil, ³D W MURRAY, MD, FRCS (Orth) and ⁴S J OSTLERE, FRCR

¹John Radcliffe Hospital, Medical Sciences Office, University of Oxford Medical School, Oxford, UK, ²Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, UK, ³Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, and ⁴Department of Radiology, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Oxford, UK

Objective: Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) is increasingly thought to play a role in the development of hip osteoarthritis, but is difficult to define clinically and on imaging. This study investigates mineralisations of the acetabular labrum (MALs), which are small, discrete foci of dense radio-opacity within the region of the acetabular labrum. The study aims to characterise MALs and test the hypothesis that MALs are associated with FAI.

Methods: CT images and radiographs of 106 hips in 66 individuals without known FAI were reviewed for the presence of MALs. The anatomical locations of the MALs in the acetabular labrum were measured. Three current radiographic markers of FAI were recorded in hips with MALs and in age- and gender-matched hips without MALs: centre-edge angle and acetabular version angle as measures of pincer impingement, and alpha angle as a measure of cam impingement.

Results: MALs were identified in 18% of hips ($n=19$). Hips with MAL had a larger mean alpha angle ($p=0.013$) than those without. MALs were found to be located anterosuperiorly and posterosuperiorly within the labrum, consistent with coup and contrecoup impingement lesion locations reported for FAI. No significant association was found between MAL and centre-edge angle or version angle.

Conclusion: Our data demonstrate that MALs are associated with increased alpha angle and thus may be linked to cam-type FAI.

Advances in knowledge: MALs have not previously been associated with FAI. This correlation may give further insight into the disease process underlying hip osteoarthritis and might represent a future radiographic marker of cam-type FAI.

Received 31 March 2012
Revised 14 August 2012
Accepted 11 October 2012

DOI: 10.1259/bjr.20120182

© 2013 The British Institute of
Radiology

Femoroacetabular impingement (FAI) is increasingly recognised as a key mechanism underlying the development of hip osteoarthritis [1]. However, strong evidence supporting this theory is not easy to obtain, in part owing to the difficulty in diagnosing and defining FAI. Several radiographic markers are used to identify hips with FAI [2, 3]. These markers are limited in their value: most show poor repeatability and consider anatomical abnormalities in only one plane; moreover, some markers depend on the orientation of the subject to the imaging modality [4, 5]. Some studies demonstrate substantial prevalence of radiographic markers of FAI in apparently asymptomatic populations, which may be a result of poor marker specificity [6, 7]. A more definitive set of diagnostic measures is needed to improve our understanding of FAI.

Early diagnosis and surgical intervention in FAI has been shown to improve hip pain and might alter the

progression of osteoarthritis [8]. At present, surgeons tend to base decisions for intervention in FAI on symptoms, clinical signs and MRI findings. A simple and accessible tool to augment existing radiographic measures of FAI is required in clinical practice.

Mineralisation of acetabular cartilage is a likely step in the development of hip osteoarthritis from the bony impingement occurring in FAI. *In vitro* work has demonstrated that compressive forces result in cartilage mineralisation [9, 10]. This study investigates mineralisations of the acetabular labrum (MALs), which we have commonly observed in a wide spectrum of the population. MALs are defined as small, discrete foci of dense radio-opacity confined within the region of the acetabular labral cartilage, seen on pelvic radiographs and CT images. A careful review of the literature could not identify a previous description of this phenomenon.

This study aimed to test the hypothesis that MALs are associated with FAI by comparing radiographic markers of FAI in hips with MALs with those markers in control hips without MALs. The study also aimed to further characterise MALs by measuring their anatomical location. MAL may

Address correspondence to: Mr William R. Cooke, University of Oxford Medical School, Medical Sciences Office, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford OX3 9DU, UK. E-mail: cooke.wr@gmail.com

Figure 1. Images showing the same mineralisation of the acetabular labrum. From left to right: coronal CT image, axial CT image, plain radiograph.

prove valuable as a clinical marker of disease and give further insight into the initiation of hip osteoarthritis.

Methods and materials

A retrospective study of all pelvic CT imaging in 18- to 59-year-old individuals during two consecutive years in our institution was undertaken. Scans were not performed for the assessment of hip pain except in cases of acute trauma. Hips were excluded if there was any fracture/displacement of the ipsilateral acetabulum, or if raw CT slices measured >3 mm. 106 hips (47 female and 59 male) in 66 individuals were included. An exemption was obtained from the regional research ethics committee for this study. Data collection was completed by a medical trainee and a senior consultant musculoskeletal radiologist with 21 years' experience.

MALs are separate from the continuous cortical bone of the acetabulum. They are usually round, although are sometimes tubular (extending around the labrum); their morphology does not suggest they are fractures. MALs differ from previously described os acetabuli and ossifications of the labrum [3, 11]: MALs are smaller; they are mineralisations, not ossifications (they lack a trabecular core); they never have the appearance of fractures; and they are always located within the acetabular labral cartilage. Examples of MAL are shown in Figure 1.

Axial and coronal plane reformatted CT images of each hip were reviewed to identify MALs using a picture archiving and communications workstation (CentricityTM RA1000; GE Electronics, Waukesha, WI). Where available, plain radiographs taken within 6 months of the CT images were reviewed to establish the proportion of MALs visible in this modality. Hips with MAL (MAL-positive hips) were compared with an equal-sized group of age- and gender-matched hips without MAL (MAL-negative hips).

Three accepted radiographic markers of FAI were measured [6]. Acetabular version angle was recorded from axial CT images (Figure 2) to quantify acetabular overcoverage (pincer impingement). Centre-edge angle was recorded from pelvic radiographs or, where these were unavailable, CT localiser images (Figure 3), to quantify coxa profunda (pincer impingement). Alpha

angle was recorded from reconstructed axial oblique images through the centre of the femoral neck (Figure 4) to quantify femoral head asphericity (cam impingement). Measurements were performed by both observers independently, allowing for calculation of mean values. Alpha angle measurements were repeated to determine inter- and intra-observer reliability.

The positions of MALs in the annular labral cartilage were determined from reconstructed CT images facing directly into the acetabulum. An axial oblique plane facing into the acetabulum, where the cup was deepest, was chosen from coronal CT images (Figure 5). Volume Viewer Plus software (part of Centricity[®] PACS AW Suite; GE Electronics Fairfield, CT) was used to reconstruct an image of the acetabular rim from this axial oblique plane. A reference line was drawn from the most anterior point of the reconstructed acetabular rim to the centre of the femoral head. A second line was drawn between the MAL and the centre of the femoral head. MAL position was quantified as the angle, ϕ , between these two lines, in the superior direction.

Figure 2. Measurement of version angle. The axial CT image where the acetabular cup is deepest was used to measure version angle (*i.e.* the image where the medial wall of the acetabulum was most medial). Version angle was measured about the posterior edge of the acetabulum, between a line (A) to the anterior edge of the acetabulum and a line (B) perpendicular to the line joining the posterior edges of the acetabulum.

Figure 3. Measurement of centre-edge angle. Centre-edge angle was measured on radiographs or CT localiser images, about the centre of the femoral head, between a line (A) running perpendicular to the line joining the two ischial tuberosities (*i.e.* the superior axis) and a line (B) to the lateral edge of the acetabulum.

Statistical analyses were performed using PASW® v. 18.0 for Mac (SPSS UK, Chertsey, UK). Inter- and intra-observer reliability was quantified for the alpha angle using interclass correlation coefficients (ICCs). ICC values were 0.841 for intra-observer [95% confidence interval (CI) 0.693–0.917] and 0.759 for interobserver (95% CI 0.536–0.875) reliability. The distribution of the data was examined and the difference in the values of

each marker of FAI between MAL-positive and MAL-negative subjects was tested using the Mann-Whitney *U*-test. *P* values <0.05 were considered significant.

Results

19 hips (18%) showed MAL, of which 16 were male and 3 were female. 17 hips had 1 MAL, and 2 had 2 separate MALs each. In five individuals both hips showed MAL; nine had only one hip with MAL. The age-specific incidence of MAL is shown in Table 1.

Table 2 shows the demographics of the 19 MAL-positive hips and the 19 age- and gender-matched MAL-negative hips.

The mean alpha angle in the MAL-positive hips (mean 49.9°, range 40.3–71.9°) was larger than in the MAL-negative hips (mean 43.8°, range 35.0–56.9°); this difference was statistically significant ($p=0.013$). The MAL-positive hips had smaller mean version and centre-edge angles than their age- and gender-matched controls; these differences were not statistically significant (version angle $p=0.286$, centre-edge angle $p=0.104$). Figure 6 shows radiographic markers of FAI in MAL-positive and MAL-negative hips.

Version angle could not be measured in three MAL-positive hips owing to contralateral acetabular fractures. Centre-edge angle could not be measured in one MAL-positive and one MAL-negative hip owing to absent two-dimensional imaging.

MALs were found to be located both anterosuperiorly and posterosuperiorly, ranging from 40° to 154° (Figure 5). The distribution of MALs was even between the most anterior and the most posterior. No MALs were found inferiorly.

11 hips with MAL had plain radiographs available in addition to CT imaging; 5 (45%) of these were also identified on the radiographs.

Discussion

FAI is increasingly thought to play a major role in the development of the majority of cases of hip osteoarthritis, but is difficult to define clinically and on imaging. This study is the first to describe and characterise the small, dense mineralisations that occur in the acetabular labral cartilage (MALs). MALs may represent a radiographic marker of FAI.

This study found that hips with MAL had a significantly ($p=0.013$) larger mean alpha angle than those without MAL. Alpha angle is a measure of the

Figure 4. Measurement of alpha (α) angle. The axial oblique CT image running along the axis of the neck of the femur was used to measure the alpha angle (top). The alpha angle was measured about the centre of the femoral head, between a line joining the centre of the femoral neck and a line to the point at which the femoral head first exceeds a perfect circle anteriorly (bottom).

Figure 5. Measurement of the mineralisation of the acetabular labrum (MAL) position.

asphericity of the femoral head, and indicates a degree of cam deformity in the femoral head when raised [12]. Thus, MAL seems to be associated with cam-type FAI. The measurements of MAL location could be consistent with a causal link between MALs and cam-type FAI. Anatomically, MALs might be expected to be located anterosuperiorly, in line with the location of cam lesions in hips affected by FAI, therefore with the probable site of impingement in FAI [5]. However, Beck et al [10] suggest that, when pincer impingement occurs antero-superiorly and further flexion is applied, a likely site of impingement is a posterior contrecoup lesion. The same could be applicable to cam impingement, and the results of this study are consistent with MALs being a result of coup and contrecoup lesions.

Further information about the aetiology of MALs might be inferred from demographic data. The incidence of MAL was higher in males (16/59 hips) than in females (3/47 hips); a trend also observed in cam-type FAI, where males were noted to have twice the incidence of pathological alpha angle as females [7]. We found their overall incidence to be approximately constant after the age of 18 years, suggesting that most MALs appear before this age.

Hips with MAL were also found to have a smaller mean version angle, meaning that the acetabulum was more retroverted. This results in overextension of the acetabulum around the femoral head, which can cause pincer-type FAI [13]. This finding was not statistically significant; moreover, the second measure of pincer impingement showed the opposite trend. MAL-positive hips had a smaller mean centre–edge angle than MAL-negative hips, suggesting more overextension of the acetabulum, or pincer-type FAI, in MAL-negative hips.

Data concerning the incidence of MAL in hips without known FAI suggest that it might be valuable clinically. The incidence of MALs was similar to that of other radiographic markers of FAI recently studied in the normal population: acetabular crossover sign (20%) and

herniation pits (5–6%) [6, 14, 15]. Around half of the MALs seen on CT were visible on pelvic radiographs, the least expensive, lowest risk and most commonly performed modality of hip imaging. The association between MAL and cam-FAI may also provide insight into the disease process underlying osteoarthritis. New bone deposition is a part of the pathology underlying osteoarthritis. Evidence that this process begins in hips with FAI further supports theories that FAI is an early step in the development of hip osteoarthritis.

The findings of this study must be considered in the context of its limitations. Most significantly, the incidence of clinical FAI in the study group is unknown. The radiographic markers used to identify cam-type hips are limited in their ability to identify hips with FAI. Therefore, we can only conclude that MAL is associated with another radiographic marker of FAI, in hips without known FAI. The study population is small, thus the possible implications of individual findings must be considered cautiously. Most hips in the study have alpha angles $<55^\circ$; previous studies have considered 55° as the minimum threshold for cam-type FAI [4, 5]. However, in a group of patients without known FAI, the authors would not expect to see such magnitude of cam-type FAI. Moreover, the origin of this threshold was based on Nötzli et al's [12] selected group of 39 symptomatic FAI patients in the study carried out by Nötzli et al [12], and has not been validated.

Despite these caveats, the study shows that MAL is associated with a measure of cam-type FAI; an FAI case–control study might provide stronger evidence for a causal association between MALs and cam-type FAI. Further information concerning the aetiology of MALs might be found by comparison of their location with the location of cam deformities. The specificity of MAL as a marker of FAI warrants further investigation; other differential diagnoses such as calcium pyrophosphate disease must be considered by clinicians if MAL is to be used diagnostically in the future.

Table 1. Age-specific incidence of MALs

Age range (years)	Group size (n)	MAL frequency (n)	% MAL in group
18–29	25	4	16
30–39	23	3	13
40–49	25	6	24
50–59	33	6	18

MAL, mineralisation of the acetabular labrum.

Table 2. Group demographics

Group	Gender frequency (M:F)	Mean age in years (SD)
MAL positive (19 hips)	16:3	44 (12)
MAL negative (19 hips)	15:4	44 (12)

F, female; M, male; MAL, mineralisation of the acetabular labrum; SD, standard deviation.

Figure 6. Markers of femoroacetabular impingement in MAL-positive and MAL-negative hips. MAL, mineralisation of the acetabular labrum.

In summary, this study characterised focal MALs, describing their incidence (on CT and radiographs) and anatomical location. The true significance of MALs remains unclear; our data suggest that they are associated with a measure of cam-type FAI. Further study is needed to correlate the presence of MAL with symptomatic FAI and FAI with labral damage.

References

1. Ganz R, Parvizi J, Beck M, Leunig M, Nötzli H, Siebenrock KA. Femoroacetabular impingement: a cause for osteoarthritis of the hip. *Clin Orthop Relat Res* 2003;112–20.
2. Tannast M, Siebenrock KA, Anderson SE. Femoroacetabular impingement: radiographic diagnosis—what the radiologist should know. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007;188:1540–52.
3. Beall DP, Sweet CF, Martin HD, Lastine CL, Grayson DE, Ly JQ, et al. Imaging findings of femoroacetabular impingement syndrome. *Skeletal Radiol* 2005;34:691–701.
4. Lohan DG, Seeger LL, Motamedi K, Hame S, Sayre J. Cam-type femoral-acetabular impingement: is the alpha angle the best MR arthrography has to offer? *Skeletal Radiol* 2009;38:855–62.
5. Domayer SE, Ziebarth K, Chan J, Bixby S, Mamisch TC, Kim YJ. Femoroacetabular cam-type impingement: diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of radiographic views compared to radial MRI. *Eur J Radiol* 2011;80:805–10.
6. Kang AC, Gooding AJ, Coates MH, Goh TD, Armour P, Rietveld J. Computed tomography assessment of hip joints in asymptomatic individuals in relation to femoroacetabular impingement. *Am J Sports Med* 2010;38:1160–5.
7. Jung KA, Restrepo C, Hellman M, AbdelSalam H, Parvizi J, Morrison W. The prevalence of cam-type femoroacetabular deformity in asymptomatic adults. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2011;93:1303–7.
8. Crawford JR, Villar RN. Current concepts in the management of femoroacetabular impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2005;87:1459–62.
9. van't Veen SJ, Hagen JW, van Ginkel FC, Prahl-Andersen B, Burger EH. Intermittent compression stimulates cartilage mineralization. *Bone* 1995;17:461–5.
10. Beck M, Kalhor M, Leunig M, Ganz R. Hip morphology influences the pattern of damage to the acetabular cartilage: femoroacetabular impingement as a cause of early osteoarthritis of the hip. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2005;87:1012–18.
11. Martinez AE, Li SM, Ganz R, Beck M. Os acetabuli in femoro-acetabular impingement: stress fracture or unfused secondary ossification centre of the acetabular rim? *Hip Int* 2006;16:281–6.
12. Nötzli HP, Wyss TF, Stoecklin CH, Schmid MR, Treiber K, Hodler J. The contour of the femoral head-neck junction as a predictor for the risk of anterior impingement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 2002;84:556–60.
13. Reynolds D, Lucas J, Klaue K. Retroversion of the acetabulum. A cause of hip pain. *J Bone Joint Surg Br* 1999;81:281–8.
14. Hedvábny Z, Zídková H, Kofránek I. Herniation pits. [In Czech.] *Acta Chir Orthop Traumatol Cech* 1993;60:351–3.
15. Pitt MJ, Graham AR, Shipman JH, Birkby W. Herniation pit of the femoral neck. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1982;138:1115–21.