Published in final edited form as:

Alzheimers Dement. 2011 May 1; 7(3): e60–e76. doi:10.1016/j.jalz.2011.05.001.

Assessment of cognition in early dementia

Nina B. Silverberg^{1,*}, Laurie M. Ryan¹, Maria C. Carrillo², Reisa Sperling³, Ronald C. Petersen⁴, Holly B. Posner⁵, Peter J. Snyder⁶, Robin Hilsabeck⁷, Michela Gallagher⁸, Jacob Raber⁹, Albert Rizzo¹⁰, Katherine Possin¹¹, Jonathan King¹², Jeffrey Kaye¹³, Brian R. Ott¹⁴, Marilyn S. Albert¹⁵, Molly V. Wagster¹, John A. Schinka¹⁶, C. Munro Cullum¹⁷, Sarah T. Farias¹⁸, David Balota¹⁹, Stephen Rao²⁰, David Loewenstein²¹, Andrew E. Budson²², Jason Brandt²³, Jennifer J. Manly²⁴, Lisa Barnes²⁵, Adriana Strutt²⁶, Tamar H. Gollan²⁷, Mary Ganguli²⁸, Debra Babcock²⁹, Irene Litvan³⁰, Joel H. Kramer¹¹, and Tanis J. Ferman³¹

¹Division of Neuroscience, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda MD

²Alzheimer's Association, Chicago, IL

³Center for Alzheimer Research and Treatment, Department of Neurology, Brigham and Women's Hospital, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA

⁴Department of Neurology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

⁶Rhode Island Hospital and Department of Neurology, Alpert Medical School of Brown University, Providence, RI

⁷Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio and Psychology Service, South Texas Veterans Health Care System

⁸Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

⁹Department of Behavioral Neuroscience and Neurology and Division of Neuroscience, ONPRC, Oregon Health and Science University

¹⁰University of Southern California, Institute for Creative Technologies, Playa Vista, CA

¹¹Department of Neurology, University of California, San Francisco, CA

¹²Division of Behavioral and Social Research, National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda MD

¹³Departments of Neurology and Biomedical Engineering, Layton Aging & Alzheimer's Disease Center, Oregon Center for Aging & Technology, Oregon Health & Science University and Portland Veteran's Affairs Medical Center, Portland, OR

¹⁴Department of Cognitive, Linguistic and Psychological Sciences, Brown University, Street, Providence, RI

¹⁵Department of Neurology, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

© 2011 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

*Corresponding Author: Nina Silverberg, Ph.D., National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, 7201 Wisconsin Ave, Suite 350, PHONE: 301 496-9350 FAX: 301 496-1494, SilverbergN@mail.nih.gov.

⁵Co-founder of the project to improve cognitive assessment in AD and its precursors who is an employee of Pfizer, but does not work on AD for Pfizer

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

¹⁶James A. Haley VA Medical Center and Department of Psychiatry, University of South Florida, Tampa, FL

¹⁷University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, TX

¹⁸Department of Neurology, University of California, Davis, Sacramento, California

¹⁹Department of Psychology, Washington University, St. Louis, Missouri

²⁰Schey Center for Cognitive Neuroimaging, Neurological Institute, Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

²¹Wien Center for Alzheimer's Disease and Memory Disorders, Mount Sinai Medical Center, Miami Beach, FL

²²Department of Neurology, Boston University Alzheimer's Disease Center, Boston University School of Medicine, Bedford, MA and Center for Translational Cognitive Neuroscience, Geriatric Research Education Clinical Center, Edith Nourse Rogers Memorial Veterans Hospital, Bedford, MA

²³Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

²⁴Taub Institute for Research on Alzheimer's Disease and the Aging Brain, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY

²⁵Rush Alzheimer's Disease Center, Department of Neurological Sciences, and Department of Behavioral Sciences, 4Rush Institute for Healthy Aging, Rush University Medical Center, Chicago, IL

²⁶Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX

²⁷Department of Psychiatry, University of California, San Diego, CA

²⁸Departments of Psychiatry and Neurology, School of Medicine, University of Pittsburgh; Department of Epidemiology, Graduate School of Public Health, University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, PA

²⁹National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

³⁰Division of Movement Disorders, Department of Neurology, University of Louisville, Louisville, KY

³¹Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic, College of Medicine, Jacksonville, FL

Abstract

Better tools for assessing cognitive impairment in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease (AD) are required to enable diagnosis of the disease before substantial neurodegeneration has taken place and to allow detection of subtle changes in the early stages of progression of the disease. The National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer's Association convened a meeting to discuss state of the art methods for cognitive assessment, including computerized batteries, as well as new approaches in the pipeline. Speakers described research using novel tests of object recognition, spatial navigation, attentional control, semantic memory, semantic interference, prospective memory, false memory and executive function as among the tools that could provide earlier identification of individuals with AD. In addition to early detection, there is a need for assessments that reflect real-world situations in order to better assess functional disability. It is especially important to develop assessment tools that are useful in ethnically, culturally and linguistically diverse populations as well as in individuals with neurodegenerative disease other than AD.

1. Introduction

In recent years, many researchers in the Alzheimer's disease (AD) community have concluded that interventions will likely need to be started early in the disease process, before neurodegeneration has destroyed substantial regions of the brain. This notion has important consequences in terms of identifying early signs of pathology and has focused attention on biomarkers, including those measurable in the blood or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and through imaging technologies, as well as on the importance of assessing early signs of cognitive impairment. Because AD is defined by its cognitive symptoms, tests of cognition are essential for validating imaging and fluid biomarkers, screening potential research participants, evaluating progression of disease, and evaluating the effects of new treatments in clinical trials.

Several current efforts are focused on early detection of cognitive impairment. The Uniform Data Set (UDS), which all National Institute on Aging (NIA)-funded Alzheimer's Disease Centers have used since 2005 to collect standardized data across multiple research studies, is currently being reevaluated to determine which measures to use in order to best assess the earliest cognitive changes associated with the disease process. In addition, the National Institute on Neurological Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) recently launched the "Common Data Element" (CDE) Project[1] with the goal of standardizing the collection of investigational data for clinical neurological research so that results can be compared across studies. General CDEs have been developed that are applicable across numerous diseases, and disease-specific CDEs have also been developed for several diseases. Additional disease-specific standards for other diseases are in development. The NIA and the Alzheimer's Association also recently convened workgroups to update the diagnostic guidelines for Alzheimer's Disease so that the guidelines would better reflect the full range of the disease from its earliest effects to its eventual impact on mental and physical function [A]. And the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders IV (DSM-IV) [B] is also being revised, with the fifth edition (DSM-V) due to be published in 2013.

All of these efforts are converging on the need to find better tools for assessing cognitive impairment in the early stages of the disease. It was with this backdrop that the NIA and the Alzheimer's Association convened a two day workshop entitled "Cognitive Assessment of Early Dementia" held in Bethesda, MD, March 31 – April 1, 2010. This exploratory workshop aimed to critically appraise the current state of knowledge on the subject of assessment of the earliest measurable cognitive changes associated with dementia. The introductory session set the stage by outlining needs with respect to measuring cognition in terms of diagnosis, biomarker development and clinical trials. The meeting then addressed the areas of the brain affected earliest in Alzheimer's disease, examining neuropathology as well as amyloid and functional imaging. Areas of major focus for the meeting included: computerized batteries to measure cognitive function (including demonstrations), spatial cognition, driving and other IADLs, domain focused assessments, measurement of cognition in diverse populations, and measurement of cognition for other dementias.

2. Linking pathology to cognitive impairment

AD typically manifests with insidious progression of episodic memory impairment and executive dysfunction, but eventually evolves to affect almost all cognitive domains. Although amyloid plaques are one of the hallmark pathologies of AD, plaque burden does not always correlate with severity of cognitive impairment. Indeed, autopsy and amyloid imaging studies show marked amyloid burden in some cognitively normal people and significant heterogeneity in terms of amyloid burden among people with mild cognitive

impairment (MCI). Even at the dementia stage of AD, the anatomical distribution of plaques and tangles does not always map well onto what is traditionally assumed about behavioral localization within the brain. Recent work by Seeley and colleagues suggests that the brain is organized into specific networks that may degenerate together in various neurodegenerative diseases [2]; and functional imaging studies suggest that the distributed neural networks that support memory function are disrupted even in early AD [3]. Of particular recent interest is the “default network”, which includes parietal, lateral temporal and frontal cortices, and is thought to be functionally connected to the hippocampus and related regions in the medial temporal lobe (MTL) memory system,

Associative memory may be particularly vulnerable to early network dysfunction in AD, as the formation of novel associations requires the integration of activity within multiple brain regions, and is dependent on the integrity of the hippocampus. The inability to remember proper names is the most common complaint of older individuals and face-name association tasks are particularly challenging associative memory tasks as faces and names are inherently unrelated, requiring the formation of a novel association across verbal and visual domains. Sperling and colleagues have used functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) during face-name association tasks to probe memory function in early AD. Interestingly, they found that people in the early stages of MCI demonstrated increased hippocampal activity, but by the late stages of MCI show significantly impaired hippocampal function similar to that observed in people diagnosed with AD.[4] In another associative memory fMRI study involving clinically normal older individuals, they found that hippocampal hyperactivity paralleled failure to modulate the default network. [5] Hyperactivity may be a marker of compensation, where the brain is working harder to solve the face recognition problem, but it could also be a harbinger of impending network failure.

Similar overdrive of the default network is seen in carriers of the *APOE-ε4* gene, which has been linked to an increased risk of AD, suggesting that this may be a marker of very early dysfunction [6]. Amyloid imaging studies using Pittsburgh Compound B (PiB) and Positron Emission Tomography (PET) show that amyloid is deposited precisely in the areas of the default network that are functionally associated with both learning and remembering these face-name associations, again indicating a link between the pathology and cognitive impairment [7]. In fact, there is converging data that amyloid is associated with abnormalities in this network in cognitively normal older individuals, many years before the onset of dementia.

3. Measuring cognition for diagnosis, clinical trials, and biomarker development

The Alzheimer’s Disease Neuroimaging Initiative (ADNI) began in 2004 to study progressive changes in brain structure and function, fluid biomarkers, cognition, and overall function in people with AD, MCI, and persons without cognitive impairment. The major goal of ADNI was the collection of data and samples to establish a brain imaging, fluid biomarker, and clinical database in order to identify the best markers for following disease progression and monitoring treatment response and thus improving clinical trial efficiency. The usefulness of the imaging and fluid biomarkers is being evaluated by correlating these markers with the established cognitive measures that had been shown to track the disease in clinical trials fairly well. However, because the MCI volunteers enrolled in ADNI would today be classified as late stage MCI, data collected to this point does not address the question of how best to pick up changes in the early MCI (eMCI) period. ADNI has since been expanded to include individuals with eMCI and some of the more recent promising structural and molecular imaging tests (e.g. PET/PiB) as well as additional CSF biomarkers and cognitive testing.

ADNI has provided a wealth of data indicating that genotype, neuroimaging, and fluid biomarkers are good at predicting progression, and even at identifying cognitively normal individuals with AD pathology (some of whom may turn out to be eMCI) [8]. However, this does not lessen the need for more sensitive cognitive instruments that not only can differentiate cognitive healthy individuals from eMCI but that may be able to pick up subtle cognitive changes early in the disease process. In addition, cognitive instruments that tap into various pathophysiologic networks would be valuable. Perhaps signals of impaired cognitive performance early in the disease process would be a cheaper and more efficient way of stratifying individuals who might be candidates for further exploration in terms of more invasive and expensive procedures, such as PET.

The Alzheimer's Disease Assessment Scale – Cognition (ADAS-Cog) is the most widely used outcome measure in clinical trials of AD. It was designed in 1984 specifically as a clinical trial tool, assessing a spectrum of cognitive functions with 11 subscales [9]. Used in approvals of all four currently approved drugs for mild to moderate AD, it has become the *de facto* standard in clinical trials. These trials showed decline in the placebo arm, with lack of decline in the active arm. However, in recent trials in participants with MCI and mild AD, no cognitive decline has been seen in the placebo arm, indicating that changes in early stages are subtler and harder to detect with the ADAS-Cog. Thus, although it has been used successfully and has proven neuropsychological underpinnings, the ADAS-Cog exhibits a ceiling effect in MCI and mild AD [C], which contributes to an inability to assess cognitive decline in mildly affected individuals. For clinical trials of drugs intended to halt the early stages of dementia, the ADAS-Cog would be improved by incorporating more difficult cognitive measures in a neuropsychologically sound manner using modern psychometric techniques, e.g., Rasch analysis.

4. Computerized batteries to measure cognitive function

Computerized batteries offer a number of advantages over paper-and-pencil (P&P) type tests, notably precise, accurate assessments that can be obtained with millisecond timing, ease of administration (sometimes with no administrator needed) and scoring, greater standardization, and adaptive presentation of items. In addition, the computer is the only equipment needed and examiner effects are reduced (which could also be considered a disadvantage). Multiple parallel versions may also be available, which are known to reduce practice effects.

Important disadvantages of computerized testing in older adults are that these tests can be challenging for people with visual limitations; they can be too fast-paced or difficult for people who are unfamiliar with computers; and participants may have problems adapting to a keyboard, mouse, or number pad. Ideally, test batteries should be appropriate for people across a broad age range so that studies can begin when participants are in their 30s, 40s, and 50s, long before they may begin to display obvious symptoms of cognitive impairment. Another disadvantage of computerized batteries is that most of them do not assess all cognitive domains and, particularly if an administrator is not involved, less qualitative information may be obtained. For example, most computerized batteries do not address sensory-motor functioning, although this is an important domain in and of itself. Deficits in sensory-motor functioning can also affect performance in other domains, depending on the interface technology used, particularly if no administrator is available. Psychometric properties have not been well studied and there have been few comparisons of these batteries to determine relative accuracy and ability to differentiate among disorders. In addition, there have been few longitudinal follow-up studies.

In general, computerized test batteries appear to be sensitive to group differences and show similar patterns of findings in comparison to traditional P&P batteries. Although they show only moderate correlations with P&P tests, they do tend to have higher test/retest reliability than P&P tests. Yet more data are needed before computerized batteries can take the place of traditional assessments for clinical decisionmaking purposes. For example, few studies have undertaken an item-by-item or factor analysis, and little is known about ceiling effects. In addition, some people (both examiners and examinees) will just feel more comfortable with P&P tests than computer-based batteries. For more information on the issues related to computerized cognitive assessment please see...[D].

5. Spatial Cognition

When people are in the early stages of AD, they may get lost, forget where they put things, and have trouble driving, all examples of impairments in spatial cognition. Spatial cognition tasks tap very broad networks in the medial temporal lobe and the cortex, areas of the brain that are sites of the earliest pathological changes in AD and that are known to play an important role in episodic memory function. Thus, tests of spatial cognition could be used to detect early deficits in AD.

Much of what is known about spatial cognition in animal models has been gleaned from studies of hippocampal function in aged laboratory rats. Importantly, this work has focused on the function of hippocampal circuitry that is innervated by the layer II neurons of the entorhinal cortex. Those entorhinal neurons are an early site of pathology and neurodegeneration in the AD brain, accounting for the progressive worsening of episodic memory over the early course of the disease. While aged rats have an intact complement of entorhinal neurons, their innervation of the hippocampus is diminished by 20–25%, weakening the cortical input that governs encoding of new information in the dentate gyrus (DG) and CA3 regions so that representations are distinct from prior memories. In computational terms this process has come to be known as pattern separation. In aged rats with spatial memory impairments, neurons in the DG/CA3 network fail to encode new information when the rats are exposed to a novel environment [11]. At the same time, the CA3 neurons are also aberrantly active, exhibiting unusually high firing rates. This condition in entorhinal/DG/CA3 network could be relevant to observations of excess hippocampal activation observed by fMRI in people diagnosed with MCI and in populations at genetic risk for AD (both familial AD and carriers of ApoEε4).

In order to explore the possible connection between these animal data, studies were conducted in people with amnesic MCI (aMCI). These studies confirmed higher activation in the hippocampus, and also showed that this was predictive of subsequent cognitive decline and conversion to AD [12]. Another study using high-resolution neuroimaging tools to look at sub-regions of the hippocampus showed that individuals with aMCI had deficits in the ability to encode new information in a task that taxed pattern separation and also exhibited increased activation in the DG/CA3 region during task performance [13]. This condition appears to be on a continuum with changes that occur during aging in the human brain; older adults when compared to young adults demonstrate a milder version of this same pattern with increased activity in the CA3/DG region of the hippocampus [14]. These data suggest that sensitive tests of spatial cognition and especially assessments that tax pattern separation could be used to follow progression of MCI and AD.

Virtual Reality (VR) can be viewed as an advanced form of human-computer interface that allows a person to naturalistically interact and become immersed within a computer generated simulated environment. Sensory stimuli can be presented to the user using various forms of display technology that integrate real-time 3D computer graphics with sound,

touch, and even olfactory cues. VR technology offers the capacity to create systematic human testing, training and treatment environments that allow for the precise control of complex, dynamic 3D stimulus presentations, within which sophisticated interaction, behavioral tracking and performance recording is possible [21]. Thus, VR technology can create objective digital simulations that are useful for performance assessment. Moreover, advances in the technology and concomitant system cost reductions have progressed to the point where it is becoming feasible and affordable for people to have virtual reality systems in their homes.

Initial research has begun to demonstrate VR usefulness for cognitive assessment, particularly for visuospatial assessment [21–27]. For example, the Morris Water Maze test of spatial navigation and place learning in rodents has been simulated in a virtual environment as a test for humans [28, 29]. In this application, the person being tested must use visual cues in the surrounding environment to help guide navigation to a hidden platform. Used in conjunction with fMRI, the test can demonstrate whether a person has decreased hippocampal activity [30], which might be indicative of AD. VR systems can also be used to assess mental rotation, a cognitive function where a person needs to visualize the movement and organization of objects in a 3-dimensional space [31]. Mental rotation is important for everyday tasks such as driving, organizing items in a limited space and any activity that relies on dynamic imagery for prediction of object movement. In the normal population, men outperform women in the mental rotation task, and a natural decline in performance is seen over time in both men and women. Interestingly, mental rotation can be improved by giving people hands-on VR interaction and training [27], which could have interventional implications.

These two VR applications – spatial navigation and mental rotation – are now being tested to determine if they can differentiate between mild dementia, AD, and normal aging. Early stages of this work involved the development of an interface that was simple and comfortable enough to be used effectively in an older population. Thus far, it appears that older adults can learn to effectively use a gaming joystick operated within the Morris-type navigation task as well as with the hands-on and more intuitive magnetic tracked system used in the VR mental rotation task [25].

To understand the mechanisms of visuospatial impairment, different tasks are needed and the ideal task will measure disease-related cognitive changes from an anatomical perspective. Three primary distinctions made with regard to the anatomical basis of visuospatial impairment – dorsal and ventral stream processing, top-down and bottom-up processing, and allocentric/egocentric frames of reference – are important for navigation [32]. Figure copy is the most common test used to assess visuospatial abilities in dementia evaluations and has been used in conjunction with MRI and a surface-based structural MRI analysis tool called Freesurfer to test neuroanatomical mechanisms of performance in people with AD. This analysis showed that in AD, figure copy performance was associated with right parietal volumes but not dorsolateral prefrontal cortex volumes. Individuals with behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia (bvFTD), in contrast, displayed the reverse association. A large spatial battery used to investigate cognitive mechanisms showed that in AD, figure copy was associated with bottom-up spatial processes, spatial perception and forward span; while in FTD, performance was associated with spatial planning and backward spans [33].

With regard to allocentric/egocentric frames of reference for navigation, rodent studies have shown that the hippocampus is critical for anchoring the allocentric network that allows for the development of a flexible cognitive map; while the caudate nucleus anchors the egocentric network, which enables learning a fixed route through the environment based on

stimulus response and motor learning. Thus, visuospatial tasks that allow participants to develop a cognitive map based on boundary clues, including distal or major landmarks, are useful in assessing AD because hippocampal atrophy disrupts the allocentric system, whereas tasks that engage the egocentric system might be more useful in Huntington's disease, where neurodegeneration occurs primarily in the caudate. Studies using a real-world navigation test of route-learning through hospital corridors confirmed that persons with AD were more likely to get lost than those with MCI; and individuals with MCI more likely to get lost than healthy controls. Those who got lost also showed greater atrophy in the right posterior hippocampus and the bilateral inferior parietal lobes [34].

New navigation tasks, similar to the visuospatial tasks mentioned above, may be able to better distinguish allocentric from egocentric route-learning. For example, another Morris Water Maze task simulates individuals on land using a gas pedal and a steering wheel to drive around a land maze looking for a hidden treasure. After the first trial, the starting position is changed so that the only stable references relative to the treasure are the external cues. This test might be very sensitive to early AD changes.

In mice, object recognition and spatial navigation tasks have been shown to be very sensitive to the effects of aging, ApoE ϵ 4, and sex steroids, as well as environmental challenges such as cranial irradiation and traumatic brain injury. As mentioned earlier, since ApoE ϵ 4 has been linked to an increased risk of AD and is thus thought to be a proxy for very early dysfunction, perhaps human tests of object recognition and spatial navigation would be sensitive enough to identify the earliest stages of AD.

Jacob Raber and colleagues have developed two such tests [15–20] and demonstrated that in non-demented elders (mean age of 82), the presence of ApoE ϵ 4 did indeed result in poorer performance on object recognition and spatial navigation tasks, but not on other cognitive tests [17]. In the object recognition task, called Novel Image, Novel Location (NINL), ApoE ϵ 4 carriers had a particularly hard time recognizing a novel location change, and there was additionally a sex difference, with men doing less well than women on this task. The NINL test has been developed as an electronic version and hard copy for individuals who might have difficulty with computerized testing that would confound the results.

The virtual reality spatial navigation task, called Memory Island, is based on the Morris Water Maze, a commonly used test of spatial navigation in rodents. In Memory Island, volunteers are trained to navigate through a very engaging island environment, first to locate a target visibly marked with a flag, what is called cue training, and then to a hidden target (i.e., no flag marking the target so the study participant has to remember where it is and how to get to it). The test measures ability to locate the target (success), time to get to the target (latency), cumulative distance to the target, and velocity to reach the target. Interestingly the test shows that good navigators think first and then move, while poor navigators move first and then have to decide what to do next.

The investigators also invited participants back 6 and 8 months later for repeat testing so that they could assess decline in these cognitive domains [16, 18–20]. There was no change in MMSE score over this time period. However, ApoE ϵ 4 carriers, especially those with low object recognition scores, were 2.7 times more likely to drop out and not to complete the study. Among those that remained, ApoE ϵ 4 carriers actually performed a little better than the non-carriers, suggesting that there may be two sub-populations of ApoE ϵ 4 carriers with different rates of decline. In a follow up longitudinal study, performance on the MMSE and the NINL tests were compared over a 4-year period [18]. Individual NINL scores over this period were highly correlated. In addition, while MMSE scores did not change over the 4-year period, NINL scores did. In a final testing session of a subset of the participants, NINL

scores correlated with Logical Memory and Word Recall lists, cognitive tasks used to detect dementia in the clinic, as well as Clinical Dementia Rating (CDR) Scales. The investigators concluded that both the object recognition and spatial navigation tests are valuable for assessing cognitive performance and age-related cognitive decline, and that they might have sufficient sensitivity to assess cognition in early dementia. The next step will be to look at early AD and other neurodegenerative conditions.

6. Alternative assessment methods

Current methods for cognitive assessment are limited in their ability to detect change in performance for multiple reasons related to the fact that the tests are administered at sparsely spaced intervals and at the convenience of the investigator, and that they rely on self report and recall of events in people who often are memory impaired. As a result, data are collected as brief snapshots that do not reflect real world situations or contextual aspects of a person's experience that might affect performance, for instance, socialization or physical activity. Testing people frequently, even daily, with unobtrusive, real-world, real-time home-based assessments might be a better way of detecting change. An alternative approach is to directly assess activities that are intrinsically related to cognitive function ("everyday cognition") such as the ability to track medications or use appliances.

One approach to more frequent assessment is to adapt existing cognitive testing paradigms using automated interactive voice recognition (IVR) technology, or a home kiosk system comprised of a flat panel touch screen monitor and phone handset where all responses are collected through automated speech recognition. Medication adherence can be monitored with a device that stores medication and automatically records when the device is opened to retrieve the medication [35]. A pilot study (conducted by the NIA Alzheimer's Disease Cooperative Study group) of these technology-aided home-based assessments in community-dwelling non-demented elderly people showed that there was a higher dropout rate than with mail-in questionnaires and live telephone interviews. However, with intensive participant training, these high-technology approaches can provide more time-efficient assessments [36]. Other technologies that show promise for assessing subject performance include wireless, passive, infrared motion detectors that can collect data about in-home activities such as walking speed, sleep patterns, frequency of opening the refrigerator, etc. [37]. Home-based computer usage assessment or monitoring of specific activities such as game playing can also be used to assess psychomotor or fine-motor, as well as cognitive function. Preliminary data from the NIA-supported Intelligent Systems to Assess Aging Changes (ISAAC) study using these technologies suggests that the automated unobtrusively collected measures may be able to detect very early signs of functional and cognitive impairment.

Driving is another activity that is frequently impaired in older individuals and particularly those with AD. Although older drivers curtail their driving exposure, in terms of crashes per miles driving, they are at a risk level approaching teen drivers and they are also more likely to die if involved in a crash. The problem is not really age per se, but age serves as a proxy for physical and cognitive impairments that affect driving. Thus, older drivers experience more intersection crashes, which may be related to deficits or changes in reaction time, visual perception, and attention. People with dementia have a 1.5–5 times increased risk of getting into crashes compared to age-matched controls [38], and once they have had the disease for three years, their crash risk rises to that of the highest risk group, teenage males [39]. Functional brain imaging studies in persons with AD have shown a relationship between reduced perfusion in prefrontal regions and hazardous driving, with the right hemisphere more affected than the left [40, 41]. Neuropsychological tests also show that specific abilities thought to be important in driving are impaired in demented individuals, for

example, performance on tests of executive function, visual attention, and visual perception [42].

Less information is available about driving ability in people with MCI, although studies suggest that on road and simulator tests, individuals with a diagnosis of MCI have less optimal performance compared to cognitive healthy individuals, although most are still considered safe drivers. In two longitudinal studies of individuals with CDR 0, .5, and 1.0 [43, 44], all three groups showed decline in driving ability on road tests. One explanation for this finding is that those cognitive healthy individuals whose driving abilities declined had incipient AD, which could suggest that driving as an instrumental activity may be a very sensitive measure of decline. Supporting this idea is another study conducted in Sweden and Finland where there was an over-representation of the ApoEε4 allele in people who died in car crashes. In this study, neuropathological examination of those who died in car crashes showed that 14% had histologically definite AD and 33% had histology suggestive of AD [45, 46]. Similar reports from Australia [47] and Japan [48] also support the notion that a large proportion of older drivers who die in car crashes have brain pathology suggestive of incipient AD.

Could driving impairment be used as a marker of early AD, and if so, how would it be measured? Car crashes are not a reliable indicator because so many external factors play a role. Testing performance on simulators or naturalistic assessments using cameras in people's cars might be other options. A more cost-effective way of collecting this information is from caregiver reports on Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (IADL) questionnaires, but these measures need to be more fully developed and validated. It may also be possible to develop interventions that would enhance driving ability in the elderly, for example, training approaches or even medications that improve visual attention or visual processing speed. An important additional benefit of having a therapeutic intervention for problematic drivers would be that it might encourage caregivers, family members, and even those affected to report problems at an earlier stage of their illness.

7. Daily Function

Functional disability is a core-defining feature of AD and other dementias, and a number of studies have shown that functional decline starts early in the disease process and can help predict who is going to decline more rapidly in terms of cognitive function and who will progress from MCI to dementia. Thus, measuring functional changes, including subtle changes at the very earliest stages, can have both diagnostic and prognostic value. IADL scales attempt to measure functional decline through a variety of approaches, including both informant ratings as well as performance-based measures of daily function. Informants can be a spouse, other family member, or other caregiver, or someone else who is familiar with the target individual's daily functioning (i.e. has considerable contact across different contexts). While assessments of everyday function have traditionally focused on basic and instrumental activities of daily living, focusing exclusively on these broad domains may limit the ability to capture very early, subtle functional changes. Thus another approach is to focus on everyday cognition or applied cognition, to try and capture real-world applications of cognitive abilities or cognitive decline. One informant-based rating system is the Cognitive Change Checklist (3CL) [49], which was designed to provide ratings of problems in everyday cognition at the earliest stages of cognitive decline associated with degenerative dementias. The 3CL was developed using a "rational-empirical method," in which the initial item pool is based on rational expert analysis of clinical phenomena, and subsequent item selection and scale refinement are based on analysis of clinical data.

Development of 3CL used a sample of 359 individuals seen in memory disorder clinics who had a consensus diagnosis of probable or possible AD, MCI, other dementia, psychiatric disorder, other diagnosis, or normal [49]. Based on a review of presenting cognitive complaints from clinic records, a pool of 60 items tapping cognitive problems (e.g., word-finding problems) was developed and reviewed by expert judges. Informants were asked to rate the individuals on 51 expert-selected items using a four-point Likert-type scale defining the degree of change over the previous two years. Self-report ratings were also collected, as well as results from cognitive testing using a modified Consortium to Establish a Registry for Alzheimer's Disease (CERAD) battery. Using factor and item metric analyses, the 51 items were reduced to form a 28-item checklist containing four non-overlapping subscales to assess memory, executive function, language, and remote recall.

The 3CL scale reliabilities were found to be well within guidelines to support their use in the clinical assessment of change in global cognition and specific cognitive domains. Informant ratings on the 3CL scales were shown to discriminate between those with no cognitive impairment, aMCI, and AD. In contrast, self-report scores showed no significant differences. The differences in scale scores among diagnostic groups paralleled those of the neurocognitive measures. ROC analyses showed that informant 3CL scales had discrimination values that were equivalent to the MMSE.

A subsequent study [50] examined the reliability, validity, and efficacy of the 3CL in distinguishing among groups of normal individuals, those with cognitive complaints, amnesic and nonamnesic MCI cases, and demented individuals in the early stage of progression. Support for the validity of the checklist was obtained from analyses that showed significant 3CL scale correlations with formal neurocognitive measures ($r_s > .30$) and with MRI ratings of left medial temporal atrophy (r_s of .37 to .52). Informant 3CL scales were found to discriminate individuals with cognitive complaints, but without clinical findings, from those individuals with aMCI or early dementia with the same degree of accuracy as standard cognitive performance measures.

The 3CL is a brief informant checklist that is characterized by high levels of internal consistency reliability, validity established by comparisons with objective cognitive measures and MRI atrophy ratings, and diagnostic efficacy that approaches that of objective tests of cognition. Future research will examine the value of the 3CL in predicting cognitive decline over time and its use in conjunction with cognitive screening instruments in identifying various forms of MCI and pre-MCI states.

The ECog [51–53] was developed to capture the everyday manifestations of cognitive impairment across the domains of memory, language, visual-spatial abilities, planning, organization, and divided attention. Starting with 138 items, a group of dementia experts pared down the list to 39 items across six domains that would capture a range of ability levels and functional changes across the disease spectrum, particularly in early disease. On each item the participant's current level of functioning is compared to how he or she was doing ten years earlier. In that way, their own baseline is taken into account.

Each ECog scale shows good variability and discrimination between normal, MCI, and individuals diagnosed with dementia, with less of a ceiling effect in the MCI group as compared to many previously developed functional scales. In terms of effect sizes, the MCI group was rated by their informants as about a standard deviation (SD) more impaired than the normal group in the Everyday Memory domain, and about a half of a SD more impaired in other non-memory domains. Across the board, the dementia group was about 1.5 to 2 SDs more impaired than the MCI group. The ECog also showed minimal correlation with education and a similar pattern of findings across all ethnic groups; and it shows relatively

good discrimination between amnesic and non-amnesic forms of MCI. Different patterns of impairment were also observed in people with other dementia types, such as FTD (although social changes seen in FTD are not assessed with this tool).

A self-report version of ECog has also been developed. As might be expected, cognitive healthy individuals report about the same level of function as the informants do, but in the MCI group, informants report somewhat more functional impairment than the impaired individuals themselves, and this discrepancy is even larger in the dementia group. This suggests that self report may be helpful in identifying the early transition from normal function to MCI but further study is needed to determine whether self reports help to predict future conversion. The ECog has also been translated into a number of different languages, with validation studies underway. A short form has also been developed. While informant report is considered more reliable than self report, attention must be paid to the qualifications of the informants in terms of their cognitive ability as well as personality and psychological factors such as depression.

The Texas Functional Living Scale [54] uses a different approach to assess IADLs. Rather than using informant ratings, the TFLS is a performance-based test administered by a neuropsychologist or other healthcare professional with appropriate training. The goal in developing this scale was to have a 15 minute evaluation that would be portable and applicable to people with dementia as a tool for treatment planning, and assessment of disease progression and response to treatment. A further goal was to construct an assessment that could help family members understand the deficits experienced by the person with dementia. Tasks include practical items such as identifying specific dates on a calendar, reading the time on a clock, and making change. In the area of communication, tasks include writing a check, addressing an envelope, and looking up a number in the phone book. To assess prospective memory, participants are given candy pills and instructed to take them when the timer goes off. The scale was moderately correlated with the Blessed Dementia Rating Scale but not correlated with the CERAD Behavior Rating Scale. However it achieved a correlation of .92 with the MMSE as well as high correlation with the Wechsler Memory Scale, indicating that there is a strong cognitive component in the scale. It also correlated well with some other standard measures of independent living, such as the Independent Living Scale (ILS). In a small preliminary sample, the instrument appeared to be helpful in determining nursing home placement and daily assessment needs. Importantly, the scale was also sensitive to change over time in persons diagnosed with dementia but stable in normal people. In its current form, the administration time is about 9–17 minutes in normal people and 15–20 minutes in individuals with memory impairment. With continued refinement, the scale may be shortened and there are also efforts to create an MCI version.

8. Domain focused assessments

Although episodic memory deficits are the hallmark cognitive impairment in early Alzheimer's dementia, there are important deficits in other areas, including attention, semantic memory, semantic interference, prospective memory, false memory, and executive function. Assessment of impairment in these domains may provide more sensitive tools for identifying the very earliest stages of AD.

8.1 Attentional control

In AD, there is evidence of breakdown in attentional control systems even early on. Indeed, what appear to be memory problems may instead be problems in attention, and people with AD may have impairments in the ability to pay attention to relevant information rather than irrelevant information, which can contribute to memory deficits. Attentional control is frequently assessed using the Color-word task, where one can assess facilitation and

interference effects as well as overall reaction time. Compared to healthy older adults, individuals with CDR 0.5 and 1.0 produce large facilitation effects. In addition, intrusion rates showed a much larger increase in CDR 0.5 individuals compared to healthy controls and those participants with higher intrusion rates were more likely to convert to AD [55]. By adding a task-switching paradigm, which puts an increased load on attentional control systems, the Stroop test becomes even more powerful, outperforming all other psychometric tests in terms of discriminating healthy adults from those with CDR 0.5 [56]. Amyloid imaging with PiB/PET scanning showed a correlation with Stroop intrusion errors and even stronger correlations with switching errors in healthy elderly (CDR 0s).

Variability in attentional control, as opposed to mean performance, may be an even more sensitive measure of early AD-related changes. In three tasks – Stroop, Simon, and Switching – changes in inter-individual variability exceeded overall performance [57]. One possible explanation for this finding is that as attentional control systems break down, variability in reaction times may increase disproportionately, and this is reflected in the inter-individual variability of the results. Indeed, the stretching of the tail on the reaction time distribution is what contributes to an increase in the coefficient of variation [58].

8.2 Semantic memory

Semantic memory is another cognitive domain that is affected in AD. Functional MRI assessment during a semantic memory task allows investigators to test theories about areas of the brain involved in discrimination of famous versus unfamiliar individuals. Early studies in this area showed that famous faces activate the default mode network (DMN) – including the MTL, posterior cingulate, lateral temporoparietal, and medial superior prefrontal regions [59]. Interestingly, these studies also showed that whereas different areas of the brain are specialized for processing of faces compared to names, common areas involved in semantic processing involved DMN regions [60].

This led to studies designed to answer questions about whether cognitively healthy older individuals activate semantic memory circuits differently than younger participants and whether differences in semantic activation patterns could be detected between cognitively intact older individuals at different levels of risk for AD based on a family history or family history plus ApoEε4 and those diagnosed with amnesic MCI. These studies showed that persons at risk for AD demonstrated increased semantic processing in the DMN. This raises the question as to whether increased DMN brain activation is an indicator of disease state or progression. Neuropsychological follow-up of these cognitively intact persons over a year and a half showed that although only one person met criteria for MCI, 27 out of 78 declined on cognitive measures. It was the stable group that showed greater brain activation at baseline, suggesting that level of semantic processing may be an indicator of compensation in these at-risk individuals [61].

The fMRI test of semantic processing has also revealed tantalizing clues about how physical activity may help maintain cognitive function across the lifespan. A study examining the interactive effect of physical activity and ApoEε4 suggested that physical activity selectively increases semantic memory-related brain activation in individuals at high risk of AD [62].

8.3 Semantic interference and prospective memory

One way to develop more sensitive measures to capture cognitive impairment is to take existing measures and retrofit them based on new knowledge about AD or other causes of dementia. For example, a test of semantic interference was built on the Fuld Object Memory Evaluation (FOME), a test of memory for 10 common household items that have been

shown to be culturally fair for both English and Spanish speakers and to have small educational biases relative to other commonly used cognitive tests. The FOME has also been shown to be among the memory measures most strongly related to medial temporal lobe atrophy [63]. The Semantic Interference Test (SIT) introduces ten additional semantically related objects after the presentation of the FOME, which interferes with learning and recall. Two types of interference are possible: either proactive interference where old learning interferes with learning of the new list or retroactive interference where the new list interferes with recall of the old list.

The SIT has demonstrated 85% sensitivity and over 96% specificity in distinguishing individuals with MCI from age-matched cognitively normal participants [64]. In addition, relative to a wide array of neuropsychological measures, Bag B recall, a test of vulnerability to semantic interference on the SIT was highly predictive of conversion to dementia over a 30-month period [65]. SIT measures are also able to pick up deficits in people who complain of memory problems but have no objective neuropsychological deficits on other measures. Even among individuals with nonamnesic MCI without measurable neuropsychological deficits, 23.5% had one or more SIT impairments.

Prospective memory, or the ability to remember an intended action, is one of the biggest complaints among individuals with memory impairments and head injury. Prospective memory can be either event related (when an event happens, it cues the person to perform an action) or time related (at a certain time, the person is supposed to do something). In a community-based sample of 450 people, over half of the people with amnesic MCI had prospective memory deficits, and surprisingly nearly half of those with non-amnesic MCI also had prospective memory deficits, suggesting the test could be used to identify people with non-amnesic MCI. Unfortunately, unlike the SIT, the test has limited predictive utility with regard to predicting progression to dementia over time [65].

8.3 False memory

False memory is another memory domain that is clinically relevant although underappreciated in terms of assessing the clinical status of individuals with AD or other memory impairments. Indeed, false memories can be one of the biggest reasons for loss of independence, for example, when people falsely remember that they took their medication when in fact they have not. False memory was used as a measure of decline in episodic memory by asking people where and what they were doing when they first heard news of the September 11th attacks on the World Trade Center. In comparison to older cognitive healthy controls, individuals with AD and MCI showed impaired memory a few weeks after the event and the AD group also showed more rapid forgetting three months later; but neither the AD nor MCI group showed much change in memory between 3 months and 1 year, suggesting that memories were fairly stable once they had become consolidated [66].

This phenomenon has also been studied by creating false memories in the laboratory using the Deese-Roediger-McDermott (DRM) false memory task, which tests word list recall with semantic intrusions. Interestingly, what these studies have shown is that over time, the false alarm rate among cognitively healthy individuals goes down as they are able to block false recognition of related or “gist” lures. Individuals with AD, on the other hand, block both true and false memories equally resulting in a higher number of false responses. In other words they are overly dependent on gist memory [67]. Pictures have been shown to reduce false memories in older adults, but in people with AD, pictures also enhance memory [68].

Understanding the powerful influence of false memories has important implications for people with AD. In experimental situations when confronted with both false statements and true statements, both cognitively healthy controls and people with AD are good at

remembering that true statements were true, but people with AD also remembered false statements as true more than half the time. What this means is that if you tell a person with AD “Don’t do this, do that,” they will likely remember both of those instructions as true. Instead, it is better just to say “Do this” [69].

Another aspect of memory that affects how people with AD perform in comparison to cognitively healthy controls is response bias. Cognitively healthy older adults tend to have a conservative response bias whereas individuals with AD tend to have a liberal response bias, regardless of discrimination or stimulus type. The neurologic functions that correspond to these differences are being investigated [70].

8.4 Executive function

Executive cognition relies on brain regions and circuitry different from those involved with episodic memory, and impairment in executive cognition appears relatively early in the evolution of AD, resulting in problems with everyday function. Thus, while memory complaints or even mild memory decline may be benign or temporary in cognitively intact older adults, executive dysfunction suggests that the pathology has spread beyond the hippocampal system and therefore may be predictive of imminent dementia.

Executive functions are overarching control mechanisms that modulate other processes and thus regulate the dynamics of human cognition. The frontal lobes are critically important in executive cognition, with different regions of the frontal cortex regulating different aspects of executive cognition. Executive function denotes several distinct mental faculties, but impairment in only some of these is important for the development of dementia. The implication is that tests of executive function may be useful in predicting whether individuals diagnosed with MCI (or CDR 0.5) will progress to dementia (CDR 1.0). Brandt and colleagues tested this by administering 18 clinical and experimental executive cognition measures to a group of 104 individuals with MCI and 67 normal controls [71]. Over a two-year period, 18% of CDR 0.5 individuals progressed to CDR 1.0, while most remained stable and less than 5% reverted to normal (CDR 0). Three executive cognition measures (clock drawing, category fluency, and the Tinker Toy® test, which assesses creativity, planning, and constructional praxis) predicted cognitive and functional decline although none of these tests independently predicted progression to dementia after adjusting for demographic factors, other cognitive characteristics, and measures of everyday function. The best predictors of conversion were informant ratings of subtle functional impairments and lower baseline scores on memory, category fluency, and constructional praxis.

9. Measuring cognition in diverse populations

Disparities in cognition and cognitive impairment across racial and ethnic lines have been well documented. Based on multiple studies reported in the literature, including the Washington Heights–Inwood Columbia Aging Project (WHICAP) and the Aging, Demographics, and Memory Study (ADAMS), The Alzheimer’s Association estimated that African-Americans are about two times more likely than older whites to have AD and other dementias [72]. Understanding the cause of those disparities and ensuring that tools used to identify cognitive and functional status are blind to race and ethnicity is necessary to achieve the goal of identifying diverse older adults at risk for AD, particularly now that prevention of AD has begun to take center stage, making early detection and screening more important than ever.

For research purposes, race can be deconstructed into a number of variables that serve as proxies for more meaningful underlying factors, and these factors have been shown to affect cognition. For example, cardiovascular conditions such as hypertension and diabetes are

more prevalent in African-Americans; however, ethnic discrepancies in rates of cognitive impairment and AD remain even after accounting for the higher prevalence of these conditions. This may not be the final answer, however, since most of these studies did not include brain imaging. When a diverse group of people were scanned, the sensitivity and specificity of self-reported stroke proved to be quite low [73]. Further, when white matter hyperintensities (WMH) were assessed, race proved to be a factor, with African-Americans and Hispanics having more WMH than whites [74].

Educational quality is another important race-related factor that may influence cognition. Across the country there is an enormous disparity in years of schooling among different racial groups, and additional disparities in other factors related to school quality, such as number of days in school [72, 75]. Indeed, most of the variance in reading level/vocabulary is explained by the state in which an individual was born and raised, and the resulting educational system that he was exposed to. Similar results were found when looking at cognitive outcomes across multiple domains. For example, in the WHICAP study, comparing delayed recall scores among groups with differing levels of literacy showed that while all groups decline over time, lower literacy groups have a more rapid decline than high literacy groups [76]. Similarly, in Spanish speakers, literacy in either Spanish or English was a strong predictor of performance on a language composite score. Moreover, memory and language performance at baseline, as well as reading level and years of education have been shown to be predictors of incident AD [72]. Since low educational quality is related to a higher risk for cognitive decline regardless of race and ethnicity, it is imperative that variables such as reading level and other factors related to educational attainment (e.g. place of birth, years of schooling, etc.) be collected in studies of early dementia. Moreover, in the development of measures of cognitive performance, the common practice of excluding individuals who have low reading level eliminates people who are at the highest risk of developing AD.

Other strategies to address disparities in cognitive performance include adjusting for educational experience, controlling for cultural or race-related variables, or using separate tests and/or race-based norms [77]. Although differences are often attenuated with these strategies, and the specificity of diagnosing MCI or AD may be improved, the use of these strategies may weaken the ability to predict who will go on to develop MCI and AD.

9.1 African-Americans

Another useful strategy to address disparities in cognitive function, therefore, is to examine change in cognitive function over time in which individuals serve as their own baseline. This allows for level and slope to be examined individually, which is important since there may be risk factors that predict level but not change in neurocognitive function. To demonstrate the validity of this approach, cognitive data from two longitudinal cohort studies with identical data collection and study designs -- the Minority Aging Research Study (MARS) and the Rush Memory Aging Project (MAP) -- were merged to examine the relation of risk factors to change in cognition over time. The MARS cohort included 400 elderly African-Americans without known dementia at baseline from the Chicago area, and the Rush MAP cohort included elderly residents from senior housing and retirement communities, with about 10–12% minorities. First, using years of education as an example risk factor, the merged data showed that there were significant differences in level of cognition for those with high vs. low education, but no difference between the two education groups in the rate of cognitive decline. A similar pattern was seen when race was used as a risk factor. Although there was substantial heterogeneity in individual starting levels and rates of decline in both African Americans and Whites, there was no difference in average change over time, despite large racial differences in level of performance. They next examined whether there were racial differences in the effects of certain risk factors on cognitive

decline. For example, they found that while the level of neuroticism was associated with the rate of decline in both African Americans and Whites, the association did not differ by race. Likewise, although the presence of at least one ApoE ϵ 4 allele increased the rate of decline in both racial groups, there was again no difference by race.

9.2 Primarily Spanish-Speaking Individuals

With approximately one in five Americans self-identified as Spanish speaking, the United States is currently home to the second largest Hispanic population and the third largest Spanish-speaking population in the world [75, 78]. While Hispanics have the greatest life expectancy among all minority groups, they also have a higher prevalence of medical conditions that are associated with cognitive impairment, including vascular pathology, hypertension, and diabetes [79, 80]. Hispanics also experience the onset of Alzheimer's symptoms seven to eight years earlier than their Caucasian counterparts, and yet are least likely to be diagnosed [72, 79, 80].

There are many issues complicating successful neurocognitive assessment among the Hispanic and primarily Spanish-speaking community, including educational and socio-economic discrepancies from the dominant culture. For instance, twenty-two percent of Hispanics live below the poverty line, and Hispanics account for 34% of the 46 million uninsured [72, 75]. Education levels also vary considerably, with 21% having less than a 9th grade level of education [72, 81]. Hispanics may also have differences in religious practices, eating habits/diet, exercise, and use of remedies/medication. Such disparities along with various socio-demographic characteristics including These issues relate to acculturation and language, can not only affect test comprehension, but testtaking strategy as well [78, 82, 83]. Acculturation includes cultural differences, different exposures, and the issue of fatalism, which can result in poor medical compliance [82, 84]. Moreover, educational opportunities and the language itself varies across Spanish speaking countries and commonly used English terms and phrases are not likely to portray the same ideas and concepts when literal translations of cognitive measures are utilized [84].

Research has shown that five or more years of formal schooling in the nondominant language are required to learn some of the test-taking strategies essential to neurocognitive testing, and psychometric instruments can inflate or mask the severity of deficits in individuals lacking such experience [85, 86]. For example, neurocognitive assessments of adaptive functioning may suggest deficits simply because the individual is unfamiliar with the testing paradigm. Non-verbal tests have been used to try to eliminate the influences of language, but cultural differences between Hispanics and non-Hispanics may also influence comprehension of task requirements [87]. In addition, a lack of normative data representative of the socio-demographic profile of U.S. Hispanics and primarily Spanish speakers limits the sensitivity and specificity of cognitive measures utilized with members of this community [81, 84]. While efforts have been made to improve the quality and access of neuropsychological tests to primarily Spanish speakers, much remains to be done in terms of establishing norms and developing tools that are useful in such diverse populations.

In an attempt to remediate such issues, a normative study is currently being undertaken by Strutt and colleagues to validate a Spanish neuropsychological battery for primarily Spanish speaking older adults who reside in the United States. Preliminary data from this study suggests that different socio-demographic variables may moderate performance on various neurocognitive instruments for these individuals than for primarily English speaking Caucasians. For instance, the most recent findings presented by this group indicate that assessment of episodic memory in U.S. Spanish speakers may be heavily contingent upon level of education—as opposed to age, by which the original normative data is stratified—and perhaps indirectly influenced by level of acculturation and years of U.S. residency. Also

in contrast to previous validation studies with English speaking Caucasians, Strutt and colleagues found gender differences in performance on the Rey Auditory Verbal Learning Test only for individuals with lower levels of education, and yet while the majority of their sample performed within normal limits or even above average on this measure, many of these same individuals were misclassified as either moderately or severely impaired on the Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-Revised. While the normative data derived from such studies will hopefully aid clinicians in interpreting outcomes of neurocognitive instruments administered to primarily Spanish speaking older adults residing in the United States, such advancements may not extend to the assessment of bilingual Hispanic Americans.

9.3 Bilingual Individuals

Bilingualism presents additional challenges in terms of cognitive assessment [88]. Although children of primarily Spanish speakers who were born in the United States may masquerade as English only speakers or may seem to speak English as well as native monolingual English speakers, in fact very few people achieve monolingual levels of ability in two languages, meaning that they may perform quite differently from monolinguals on whom norms have been based. One difference between bilinguals and monolinguals concerns the frequency of language use. If a Spanish-English bilingual is speaking Spanish some of the time and English the rest of the time, they are in fact using each language less frequently than monolingual speakers in either language, and thus may perform differently on tests of skills such as vocabulary.

Another linguistic challenge that bilinguals face is interference between languages. This primarily affects speaking in a nondominant language because the dominant language has more power to interfere; however, accumulating evidence suggests that competition between languages can affect bilinguals' ability to use their dominant language. In some ways then, bilingual language use becomes a constant exercise in executive control. In fact, bilinguals rarely speak the wrong language by mistake, and rarely slip even one word in the wrong language into conversation by mistake. This suggests that bilingualism may strengthen the ability to select between competing responses even in nonlinguistic tasks. For example, bilinguals responded more quickly than monolinguals on "switch trials," where people were instructed to switch between a selection based on color or shape. This advantage appears even in college-aged Hispanic bilinguals in the United States if matched to monolinguals for parent-education levels; and if not matched, bilingualism appears to offset the effects of lower parent education level, which has been shown to affect performance on these types of tasks.

Semantic category fluency is also significantly lower in Spanish-English bilinguals than in monolinguals [89]; and since semantic fluency is often an affected domain in AD, this increases the difficulty of assessing bilinguals. Tests can be modified to reduce the bilingual disadvantage, for example, by counting only words that are similar in English and Spanish [90] or in picture-naming tests by allowing bilinguals to use either language; however, if the goal of testing is to distinguish persons with AD from controls, dominant language naming scores appear to provide better discrimination than either-language naming scores [91]. The best solution may be to develop tests specifically for bilinguals and to design standardized methods for assessing the degree of bilingualism.

9.3 Cross-Cultural Assessment

Most studies of dementia and MCI have been conducted in high-income, developed countries. However, additional challenges exist in trying to assess ethnically diverse populations in developing countries, where the life expectancy is increasing even more rapidly than in developed countries and the global burden of AD is expected to be even

greater. Cross-cultural studies are therefore needed to make meaningful comparisons of disease burden, risk factors, and outcomes, as well as to plan intervention and prevention programs. These studies must take into account that different cultures have different expectations of what normal aging looks like, as well as different support systems to buffer the impact of age-related changes. Assessment is also hampered by the unavailability of measures in the local language, the lack of culturally validated measures, and considerations for uneducated people, as well as local norms. In addition, the psychometric properties of measures, such as reliability, validity, sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value, may vary across populations.

In order to examine similarities and differences in risk factors for dementia across cultures and nations, investigators at the University of Pittsburgh compared a largely rural population in southwestern Pennsylvania with another in Ballabgarh, India. Instruments were adapted through a process of translation, back translation, testing, and modification to come up with, for example, Hindi versions of the MMSE and the CERAD brief cognitive screening test battery [92, 93]. Since a significant proportion of the volunteers in India were illiterate, oral instructions and auditory stimuli were used in modified tests of verbal memory. For language tests, letter fluency was eliminated and replaced by category fluency. For tests of visuospatial function, since many participants had never used a pencil, line drawing tests were eliminated for assessing visual/spatial skills but could be replaced, for example, by tasks that required arranging matchsticks [94]. Beyond the test that is used, an additional difficulty in some populations is that the whole idea of being tested is alien to people who have never experienced formal schooling.

Developing functional measures can be even more challenging since functional impairment may be masked by a non-challenging environment and low expectations for the elderly. An everyday abilities scale for India was developed [95], which asks questions such as “Does she ever lose her way within the village?” Depression is a particularly difficult condition to assess since different cultural expectations may appear to an outsider as a depressive behavior. For example, traditional Hindu teaching is that the last phase of life should be characterized by gradual disengagement from worldly matters. Nonetheless, the clinical core of depressive illness is probably the same in all cultures. These issues were addressed in the development of a Hindi version of the Geriatric Depression Scale [96].

10. Measuring cognition for other dementias

Neurodegenerative diseases other than AD may also present with dementia, and it is important to distinguish them in order to manage these individuals appropriately and identify research candidates for treatment trials.

As in the general population, in Parkinson’s disease (PD) there is also a continuum between normal cognition, MCI, and dementia (PDD). MCI is common in PD (approximately 26%) and heterogeneous [97]. The major risk factors for PDD are older age, parkinsonism severity, particularly postural instability and gait disorder, and MCI. Deficits in semantic fluency and figure copying are risk factors for PDD [98]. These deficits have been linked to genetic polymorphisms in the COMT (catechol-O-methyltransferase) and MAPT (microtubule-associated protein tau) genes [99]. Taken together, these studies suggest that there are multiple features, genetic and cognitive, that can help predict which individuals with PD will go on to develop dementia.

The incidence of dementia is five to six times higher in persons with PD than in the general population. The prevalence of PDD is 30%, and its cumulative risk is up to 80% [100]. The incidence of PD increases with age [101]. PDD develops progressively, affecting attention, retrieval more than encoding, and executive and visuospatial functions. Language in PDD is

mostly preserved. Behavioral symptoms such as depression, hallucinations, and apathy are very frequent, but they are not needed for the diagnosis of PDD [102].

There are no specific laboratory studies for the diagnosis of PDD, but CSF beta-amyloid 42/38 ratio has been recently suggested as a possible biological marker [103]. Neuropsychological assessment in PDD is challenging, and should consider tests that do not affect motor function [104]. The progression of PD to PDD correlates with the pathological PD stages proposed by Braak et al. [105]. In PDD alpha-synuclein aggregates forming Lewy bodies and neurites are present in the cortex and limbic areas. AD pathology associates with Lewy body pathology, but the cognitive disturbances usually relate to the alpha-synuclein aggregates [106].

Thus, PD has different cognitive, behavioral, and pathological characteristics than AD. Moreover, the neurochemical disturbances in PDD differ from AD, with persons with PD experiencing more severe cholinergic deficits when dementia is also present (PDD – Parkinson's disease with dementia) [107]. Serotonin deficits, which are associated with depression and anxiety, are also prominent in persons diagnosed with with PD [108]. Hence, in addition to the dopaminergic deficit, individuals with PD experience a lot of nondopaminergic symptoms that include cognitive as well as psychiatric aspects.

Dementia with Lewy Bodies (DLB) is thought by some people to be on a continuum with PD. The criteria for diagnosing DLB were revised in 2005 [109], although the central feature, dementia, and the core features – fluctuating cognition with pronounced variation in attention and alertness, well-formed detailed recurrent hallucinations, and spontaneous features of parkinsonism – were not changed. Three new suggestive features were added to the criteria, including REM sleep behavior disorder (RBD), severe neuroleptic sensitivity, and low dopamine transporter uptake in the basal ganglia. RBD occurs disproportionately in DLB and other synucleinopathies, but rarely in tauopathies included AD, FTD, primary progressive aphasia, cortical basal dementia, and aMCI. RBD can precede dementia or parkinsonism by decades, and autopsy studies suggest that persons with dementia and RBD are almost six times more likely to have DLB than AD. Cognitive testing further showed that in comparison to participants with AD, persons with polysomnography-confirmed RBD had worse visuoperceptual organization, sequencing, and letter fluency, but better confrontation naming and verbal memory [110]. Thus, it appears that the presence of RBD plus dementia may be diagnostic for early DLB.

As in PD, DLB is associated with more severe neocortical cholinergic depletion relative to AD [111, 112], and as a result these individuals have difficulty with visual/perceptual attention and reaction time tasks. These perceptual difficulties appear to be the result of an elementary visual processing deficit [113]. A battery of just four tests have been identified that can be helpful in diagnosing DLB [114] although more sensitive tests of attention and visual problems are needed. This is important from a treatment perspective because individuals with both DLB and Alzheimer's pathology are exquisitely sensitive to neuroleptics and respond well to cholinesterase inhibitors. Identifying the particular pathologies present will also allow participants to be placed in to the appropriate clinical trials.

Frontotemporal Dementia (FTD) is a common cause of early onset dementia that can present as either a behavioral syndrome or a progressive aphasia. New research criteria for behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD), the most common variant, include three of six characteristic clinical symptoms (early onset of behavioral disinhibition, apathy or inertia, loss of sympathy and empathy, perseverative or ritualistic behaviors, hyperorality, and executive dysfunction) plus neuroimaging evidence of frontal and frontotemporal atrophy or

hypoperfusion. Pathological changes likely begin in the right frontal insular cortex, with rapid inclusion of the anterior cingulate, ventral striatum, and ventral medial prefrontal cortex, only later extending to dorsolateral prefrontal cortex. This anatomical pattern likely explains why behavioral and emotion processing changes are more prominent early on than are cognitive deficits.

Neuropsychological findings from individuals with mild (CDR 0.5) bvFTD and AD are similar across many domains, but two areas in which persons with bvFTD do worse are 1) the number of times they break rules on neuropsychological tests; and 2) naming facial affect. On cognitive tasks, individuals with mild bvFTD often perform normally on many measures of executive functioning, although experimental measures like the flanker paradigm may be sensitive to subtle deficits in attentional control [115]. Indeed, it is in the area of social cognition where individuals with bvFTD show the most dramatic impairments. Characteristics like poor social engagement and inappropriate behaviors are not captured by cognitive test scores but can and should be recorded by examiners. Questionnaire and interview-based caregiver reports are also valuable in trying to understand the changes seen in individuals with bvFTD. The Interpersonal Reactivity Index is one such informant-based assessment that looks at aspects of cognitive and emotional empathy [116]. Another useful tool is the Revised Self-Monitoring Scale (RSMS), which assesses an individual's sensitivity to the expressive behavior of others and ability to modulate self presentation. The Social Norms Questionnaire (Rankin) asks participants to state whether 22 different behaviors (e.g., laughing when someone trips and falls) would be appropriate in the presence of an acquaintance according to "mainstream" culture. Individuals with bvFTD perform significantly worse on this test compared to controls or people with AD.

Conclusion

It is clear that a wide variety of measures are becoming available to more sensitively track subtle changes in cognition over time in both cognitively healthy older adults and those with dementing illnesses. Cognitive changes are the hallmark of Alzheimer's dementia, and detecting early cognitive symptoms is essential not only for diagnosis, but also for evaluating progression of disease, validating imaging and fluid biomarkers, screening potential research participants, and evaluating the effects of new treatments in clinical trials.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank science writer Lisa J. Bain for her assistance in preparing this manuscript.

References

1. NINDS. NINDS Common Data Elements. 2010 [cited; Available from: <http://www.commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/default.aspx>. Jack, A. Clifford R.; Albert, Marilyn; Knopman, David S.; McKhann, Guy M.; Sperling, Reisa A.; Carrillo, Maria C.; Thies, Bill; Phelps, Creighton H. Introduction to revised criteria for the diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease: National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer's Association workgroup. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*. 2011 Apr 22. McKhann, Guy M.; Knopman, David S.; Chertkow, Howard; Hyman, Bradley T.; Jack, Clifford R.; Kawas, Claudia H.; Klunk, William E.; Koroshetz, Walter J.; Manly, Jennifer J.; Mayeux, Richard; Mohs, Richard C.; Morris, John C.; Rossor, Martin N.; Scheltens, Philip; Carillo, Maria C.; Thies, Bill; Weintraub, Sandra; Phelps, Creighton H. The diagnosis of dementia due to Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer's Association workgroup. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*. 2011 Apr 22. Albert, Marilyn S.; DeKosky, Steven T.; Dickson, Dennis; Dubois, Bruno; Feldman, Howard H.; Fox, Nick C.; Gamst, Anthony; Holtzman, David M.; Jagust, William J.; Petersen, Ronald C.; Snyder, Peter J.; Carrillo, Maria C.; Thies, Bill; Phelps, Creighton H. The diagnosis of mild cognitive impairment due to Alzheimer's disease:

Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging and Alzheimer's Association workgroup. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*. 2011 Apr 22. Sperling, Reisa A.; Aisen, Paul S.; Beckett, Laurel A.; Bennett, David A.; Craft, Suzanne; Fagan, Anne M.; Iwatsubo, Takeshi; Jack, Clifford R.; Kaye, Jeffrey; Montine, Thomas J.; Park, Denise C.; Reiman, Eric M.; Rowe, Christopher C.; Siemers, Eric; Stern, Yaakov; Yaffe, Kristine; Carrillo, Maria C.; Thies, Bill; Morrison-Bogorad, Marcelle; Wagster, Molly V.; Phelps, Creighton H. Toward defining the preclinical stages of Alzheimer's disease: Recommendations from the National Institute on Aging and the Alzheimer's Association workgroup. *Alzheimer's & Dementia: The Journal of the Alzheimer's Association*. 2011 Apr 22. B. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders. 4th ed.. Washington, DC: 2000. text rev.

2. Seeley WW, et al. Neurodegenerative diseases target large-scale human brain networks. *Neuron*. 2009; 62(1):42–52. [PubMed: 19376066]
3. Sperling RA, et al. Functional alterations in memory networks in early Alzheimer's disease. *Neuromolecular Med*. 2010; 12(1):27–43. [PubMed: 20069392]
4. Celone KA, et al. Alterations in memory networks in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease: an independent component analysis. *J Neurosci*. 2006; 26(40):10222–10231. [PubMed: 17021177]
5. Vannini P, et al. What Goes Down Must Come Up: Role of the Posteromedial Cortices in Encoding and Retrieval. *Cereb Cortex*. 2010
6. Pihlajamaki M, et al. Evidence of altered posteromedial cortical fMRI activity in subjects at risk for Alzheimer disease. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 24(1):28–36. [PubMed: 19571734]
7. Sperling RA, et al. Amyloid deposition is associated with impaired default network function in older persons without dementia. *Neuron*. 2009; 63(2):178–188. [PubMed: 19640477]
8. De Meyer G, et al. Diagnosis-independent Alzheimer disease biomarker signature in cognitively normal elderly people. *Arch Neurol*. 2010; 67(8):949–956. [PubMed: 20697045]
9. Rosen WG, Mohs RC, Davis KL. A new rating scale for Alzheimer's disease. *Am J Psychiatry*. 1984; 141(11):1356–1364. [PubMed: 6496779] C. Cano SJ, Posner HB, Moline ML, Hurt SW, Swartz J, Hsu T, Hobart JC. The ADAS-cog in Alzheimer's disease clinical trials: psychometric evaluation of the sum and its parts. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2010 Dec; 81(12):1363–1368. Epub 2010 Sep 29. [PubMed: 20881017] D. P. Snyder paper in same issue of this journal.
10. Keith MS, Stanislav SW, Wesnes KA. Validity of a cognitive computerized assessment system in brain-injured patients. *Brain Inj*. 1998; 12(12):1037–1043. [PubMed: 9876863]
11. Wilson IA, et al. Age-associated alterations of hippocampal place cells are subregion specific. *J Neurosci*. 2005; 25(29):6877–6886. [PubMed: 16033897]
12. Miller SL, et al. Hippocampal activation in adults with mild cognitive impairment predicts subsequent cognitive decline. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2008; 79(6):630–635. [PubMed: 17846109]
13. Yassa MA, et al. High-resolution structural and functional MRI of hippocampal CA3 and dentate gyrus in patients with amnesic Mild Cognitive Impairment. *Neuroimage*. 2010; 51(3):1242–1252. [PubMed: 20338246]
14. Yassa MA, et al. Pattern separation deficits associated with increased hippocampal CA3 and dentate gyrus activity in nondemented older adults. *Hippocampus*. 2010
15. Rizk-Jackson AM, et al. Effects of sex on object recognition and spatial navigation in humans. *Behav Brain Res*. 2006; 173(2):181–190. [PubMed: 16887201]
16. Acevedo SF, et al. Apolipoprotein E4 and sex affect neurobehavioral performance in primary school children. *Pediatr Res*. 2010; 67(3):293–299. [PubMed: 19952867]
17. Berteau-Pavy F, Park B, Raber J. Effects of sex and APOE epsilon4 on object recognition and spatial navigation in the elderly. *Neuroscience*. 2007; 147(1):6–17. [PubMed: 17509769]
18. Haley GE, et al. Novel image-novel location object recognition task sensitive to age-related cognitive decline in nondemented elderly. *Age (Dordr)*. 2011
19. Haley GE, et al. Effects of epsilon4 on object recognition in the non-demented elderly. *Curr Aging Sci*. 2010; 3(2):127–137. [PubMed: 20044903]
20. Piper BJ, et al. The use and validation of the spatial navigation Memory Island test in primary school children. *Behav Brain Res*. 2010; 210(2):257–262. [PubMed: 20219554]

21. Rizzo AA, et al. Analysis of Assets for Virtual Reality Applications in Neuropsychology. *Neuropsychological Rehabilitation*. 2004; 14(1):207–239.
22. Kaufmann H, et al. General training of spatial abilities by geometry education in augmented reality. *Annual Review of CyberTherapy and Telemedicine: A Decade of VR*. 2005; 3:65–77.
23. Koenig ST, et al. Virtual reality rehabilitation of spatial abilities after brain damage. *Stud Health Technol Inform*. 2009; 144:105–107. [PubMed: 19592742]
24. Koenig, ST., et al. Assessing navigation in real and virtual environments: a validation study. *Proc. 8th Intl Conf. on Disability, Virtual Reality and Assoc. Technologies*; Viña del Mar/Valparaíso; Chile. 2010.
25. McGee JS, et al. Issues for the Assessment of Visuospatial Skills in Older Adults using Virtual Environment Technology. *CyberPsychology and Behavior*. 2000; 3(3):469–482.
26. Parsons TD, et al. Gender Differences and Cognition Among Older Adults. *Aging Neuropsychology, and Cognition*. 2005; 12(1):78–88.
27. Rizzo AA, et al. Virtual Environments for Assessing and Rehabilitating Cognitive/Functional Performance: A Review of Project's at the USC Integrated Media Systems Center. *Presence: Teleoperators and Virtual Environments*. 2001; 10(4):359–374.
28. Astur RS, et al. Humans with hippocampus damage display severe spatial memory impairments in a virtual Morris water task. *Behav Brain Res*. 2002; 132(1):77–84. [PubMed: 11853860]
29. Astur RS, et al. Sex differences and correlations in a virtual Morris water task, a virtual radial arm maze, and mental rotation. *Behav Brain Res*. 2004; 151(1–2):103–115. [PubMed: 15084426]
30. Shipman SL, Astur RS. Factors affecting the hippocampal BOLD response during spatial memory. *Behav Brain Res*. 2008; 187(2):433–441. [PubMed: 18055028]
31. Shepard RN, Metzler J. Mental rotation of three-dimensional objects. *Science*. 1971; 171(972):701–703. [PubMed: 5540314]
32. Possin KL. Visual spatial cognition in neurodegenerative disease. *Neurocase*. 16(6):466–487. [PubMed: 20526954]
33. Possin KL, et al. Distinct neuroanatomical substrates and cognitive mechanisms of figure copy performance in Alzheimer's disease and behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Neuropsychologia*. 49(1):43–48. [PubMed: 21029744]
34. dePoloyi AR, et al. Spatial cognition and the human navigation network in AD and MCI. *Neurology*. 2007; 69(10):986–997. [PubMed: 17785667]
35. Hayes TL, et al. An electronic pillbox for continuous monitoring of medication adherence. *Conference Proceedings : Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society*. 2006; 1:6400–6403.
36. Sano M, et al. Pilot study to show the feasibility of a multicenter trial of home-based assessment of people over 75 years old. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2010; 24(3):256–263. [PubMed: 20592583]
37. Kaye JA, et al. Intelligent Systems for Assessing Aging Changes: Home-Based, Unobtrusive and Continuous Assessment of Aging. *J Gerontology: Psychological Sciences*. 2011 **In Press**.
38. Marshall SC. The role of reduced fitness to drive due to medical impairments in explaining crashes involving older drivers. *Traffic.Inj.Prev*. 2008; 9(4):291–298. [PubMed: 18696384]
39. Drachman DA, Swearer JM. Driving and Alzheimer's disease: the risk of crashes. *Neurology*. 1993; 43(12):2448–2456. [PubMed: 8255438]
40. Ott BR, et al. A single-photon emission computed tomography imaging study of driving impairment in patients with Alzheimer's disease. *Dement.Geriatr.Cogn Disord*. 2000; 11(3):153–160. [PubMed: 10765046]
41. Tomioka H, et al. Detection of hypofrontality in drivers with Alzheimer's disease by near-infrared spectroscopy. *Neurosci.Lett*. 2009; 451(3):252–256. [PubMed: 19146927]
42. Carr DB, Ott BR. The older adult driver with cognitive impairment: "It's a very frustrating life". *JAMA*. 2010; 303(16):1632–1641. [PubMed: 20424254]
43. Duchek JM, et al. Longitudinal driving performance in early-stage dementia of the Alzheimer type. *J. Am. Geriatr. Soc*. 2003; 51(10):1342–1347. [PubMed: 14511152]
44. Ott BR, et al. A longitudinal study of drivers with Alzheimer disease. *Neurology*. 2008; 70(14):1171–1178. [PubMed: 18216302]

45. Johansson K, et al. Alzheimer's disease and apolipoprotein E epsilon 4 allele in older drivers who died in automobile accidents. *Lancet*. 1997; 349(9059):1143–1144. [PubMed: 9113015]
46. Viitanen M, et al. Alzheimer changes are common in aged drivers killed in single car crashes and at intersections. *Forensic Sci. Int*. 1998; 96(2–3):115–127. [PubMed: 9854829]
47. Gorrie CA, et al. Mild neuritic changes are increased in the brains of fatally injured older motor vehicle drivers. *Accid. Anal. Prev*. 2007; 39(6):1114–1120. [PubMed: 17920833]
48. Kibayashi K, Shojo H. Incipient Alzheimer's disease as the underlying cause of a motor vehicle crash. *Med. Sci. Law*. 2002; 42(3):233–236. [PubMed: 12201068]
49. Schinka JA, Brown LM, Proctor-Weber Z. Measuring change in everyday cognition: development and initial validation of the cognitive change checklist (3CL). *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2009; 17(6):516–525. [PubMed: 19461260]
50. Schinka JA, et al. The cognitive change checklist (3CL): cross-validation of a measure of change in everyday cognition. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2010; 25(3):266–274. [PubMed: 19575419]
51. Farias ST, et al. The measurement of everyday cognition (ECog): scale development and psychometric properties. *Neuropsychology*. 2008; 22(4):531–544. [PubMed: 18590364]
52. Farias ST, et al. MCI is associated with deficits in everyday functioning. *Alzheimer Dis Assoc Disord*. 2006; 20(4):217–223. [PubMed: 17132965]
53. Tomaszewski Farias S, et al. The Measurement of Everyday Cognition (ECog): Development and validation of a short form. *Alzheimer's & Dementia*. (In Press).
54. Cullum, CM.; Saine, K.; Weiner, MF. Texas Functional Living Scale. San Antonio, TX: Pearson Assessment, Inc; 2009.
55. Balota DA, et al. Predicting Conversion to Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type in a Healthy Control Sample: The Power of Errors in Stroop Color Naming. *Psychology & Aging*. 2010; 25:208–218. [PubMed: 20230140]
56. Hutchison KA, Balota DA, Ducheck JM. The utility of Stroop task switching as a marker for early-stage Alzheimer's disease. *Psychol Aging*. 2010; 25(3):545–559. [PubMed: 20853964]
57. Duchek JM, et al. The utility of intraindividual variability in selective attention tasks as an early marker for Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychology*. 2009; 23(6):746–758. [PubMed: 19899833]
58. Tse CS, et al. Effects of Healthy Aging and Early Stage Dementia of the Alzheimer's Type on Components of Response Time Distributions in Three Attentional Tasks. *Neuropsychology*. 2010; 24:300–315. [PubMed: 20438208]
59. Leveroni CL, et al. Neural systems underlying the recognition of familiar and newly learned faces. *J Neurosci*. 2000; 20(2):878–886. [PubMed: 10632617]
60. Nielson KA, et al. Common neural systems associated with the recognition of famous faces and names: an event-related fMRI study. *Brain Cogn*. 2010; 72(3):491–498. [PubMed: 20167415]
61. Woodard JL, et al. Prediction of cognitive decline in healthy older adults using fMRI. *J Alzheimers Dis*. 21(3):871–885. [PubMed: 20634590]
62. Smith JC, et al. Interactive effects of physical activity and APOE-epsilon4 on BOLD semantic memory activation in healthy elders. *Neuroimage*. 54(1):635–644. [PubMed: 20691792]
63. Loewenstein DA, et al. Severity of medial temporal atrophy and amnesic mild cognitive impairment: selecting type and number of memory tests. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 2009; 17(12): 1050–1058. [PubMed: 20104061]
64. Loewenstein DA, et al. Semantic interference deficits and the detection of mild Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment without dementia. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2004; 10(1):91–100. [PubMed: 14751011]
65. Loewenstein DA, et al. Vulnerability to proactive semantic interference and progression to dementia among older adults with mild cognitive impairment. *Dement Geriatr Cogn Disord*. 2007; 24(5):363–368. [PubMed: 17911981]
66. Budson AE, et al. Memory for the September 11, 2001, terrorist attacks one year later in patients with Alzheimer's disease, patients with mild cognitive impairment, and healthy older adults. *Cortex*. 2007; 43(7):875–888. [PubMed: 17941346]
67. Gallo DA, et al. Overdependence on degraded gist memory in Alzheimer's disease. *Neuropsychology*. 2006; 20(6):625–632. [PubMed: 17100507]

68. Ally BA, Gold CA, Budson AE. The picture superiority effect in patients with Alzheimer's disease and mild cognitive impairment. *Neuropsychologia*. 2009; 47(2):595–598. [PubMed: 18992266]
69. Mitchell JP, et al. Mis-attribution errors in Alzheimer's disease: the illusory truth effect. *Neuropsychology*. 2006; 20(2):185–192. [PubMed: 16594779]
70. Budson AE, et al. Episodic memory in Alzheimer's disease: separating response bias from discrimination. *Neuropsychologia*. 2006; 44(12):2222–2232. [PubMed: 16820179]
71. Aretouli E, et al. The fate of the 0.5s: predictors of 2-year outcome in mild cognitive impairment. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 17(2):277–288. [PubMed: 21205413]
72. 2010 Alzheimer's disease facts and figures. *Alzheimer's & dementia : the journal of the Alzheimer's Association*. 6(2):158–194.
73. Reitz C, et al. Validity of self-reported stroke in elderly African Americans, Caribbean Hispanics, and Whites. *Arch Neurol*. 2009; 66(7):834–840. [PubMed: 19433651]
74. Brickman AM, et al. Measuring cerebral atrophy and white matter hyperintensity burden to predict the rate of cognitive decline in Alzheimer disease. *Arch Neurol*. 2008; 65(9):1202–1208. [PubMed: 18779424]
75. U. S. C. Bureau. , editor. US Census, B.. U.S. population projections: National population projections released 2008 (based on Census 2000): Summary tables. 2000.
76. Manly JJ, et al. Literacy and memory decline among ethnically diverse elders. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2003; 25(5):680–690. [PubMed: 12815505]
77. Gasquoine PG. Race-norming of neuropsychological tests. *Neuropsychol Rev*. 2009; 19(2):250–262. [PubMed: 19294515]
78. Acevedo A, et al. Influence of sociodemographic variables on neuropsychological test performance in Spanish-speaking older adults. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol*. 2007; 29(5):530–544. [PubMed: 17564918]
79. Dilworth-Anderson P, et al. Diagnosis and assessment of Alzheimer's disease in diverse populations. *Alzheimers Dement*. 2008; 4(4):305–309. [PubMed: 18631983]
80. Plassman BL, et al. Prevalence of dementia in the United States: the aging, demographics, and memory study. *Neuroepidemiology*. 2007; 29(1–2):125–132. [PubMed: 17975326]
81. Ponton, MO.; Leon-Carrion, J. *Neuropsychology and the Hispanic patient: a clinical handbook*. Mahway, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc; 2001.
82. Gasquoine PG. Variables moderating cultural and ethnic differences in neuropsychological assessment: the case of Hispanic Americans. *Clin Neuropsychol*. 1999; 13(3):376–383. [PubMed: 10726609]
83. Gasquoine PG. Research in clinical neuropsychology with Hispanic American participants: a review. *Clin Neuropsychol*. 2001; 15(1):2–12. [PubMed: 11778577]
84. Ardila, A.; Rosselli, M.; Puente, AE. *Neuropsychological assessment of the Spanish speaker*. New York: Plenum; 1994.
85. Cherner M, et al. Demographically corrected norms for the Brief Visuospatial Memory Test-revised and Hopkins Verbal Learning Test-revised in monolingual Spanish speakers from the U.S.-Mexico border region. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2007; 22(3):343–353. [PubMed: 17293078]
86. Gasquoine PG, et al. Language of administration and neuropsychological test performance in neurologically intact Hispanic American bilingual adults. *Arch Clin Neuropsychol*. 2007; 22(8):991–1001. [PubMed: 17900857]
87. Ardila, A.; Rosselli, M. Educational effects of ROCF performance. In: Knight, JA.; Kaplan, E., editors. *The handbook of Rey-Osterrieth complex figure usage: clinical and research applications*. Lutz, FL: Psychological Assessment Resources, Inc; 2003.
88. Ardila A, et al. Syntactic comprehension, verbal memory, and calculation abilities in Spanish-English bilinguals. *Appl Neuropsychol*. 2000; 7(1):3–16. [PubMed: 10800623]
89. Gollan TH, Montoya RI, Werner GA. Semantic and letter fluency in Spanish-English bilinguals. *Neuropsychology*. 2002; 16(4):562–576. [PubMed: 12382994]
90. Sandoval T, et al. *Bilingualism: Language and Cognition*. in press.

91. Gollan TH, et al. Accessibility of the nondominant language in picture naming: a counterintuitive effect of dementia on bilingual language production. *Neuropsychologia*. 48(5):1356–1366. [PubMed: 20036679]
92. Ganguli M, et al. A Hindi version of the MMSE: The development of a cognitive screening instrument for a largely illiterate rural elderly population in India. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*. 1995; 10:367–377.
93. Ganguli M, et al. Cognitive test performance in a community-based nondemented elderly sample in rural India: the Indo-U.S. Cross-National Dementia Epidemiology Study. *Int Psychogeriatr*. 1996; 8(4):507–524. [PubMed: 9147167]
94. Baiyewu O, et al. The Stick Design test: a new measure of visuoconstructional ability. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2005; 11(5):598–605. [PubMed: 16212687]
95. Fillenbaum GG, et al. Development of an activities of daily living scale to screen for dementia in an illiterate rural older population in India. *Age Ageing*. 1999; 28(2):161–168. [PubMed: 10350413]
96. Ganguli M, et al. Depressive symptoms, cognitive impairment and functional impairment in a rural elderly population in India: a Hindi version of the geriatric depression scale (GDS-H). *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry*. 1999; 14(10):807–820. [PubMed: 10521880]
97. Aarsland D, et al. Mild cognitive impairment in Parkinson disease: a multicenter pooled analysis. *Neurology*. 75(12):1062–1069. [PubMed: 20855849]
98. Williams-Gray CH, et al. Evolution of cognitive dysfunction in an incident Parkinson's disease cohort. *Brain*. 2007; 130(Pt 7):1787–1798. [PubMed: 17535834]
99. Williams-Gray CH, et al. The distinct cognitive syndromes of Parkinson's disease 5 year follow-up of the CamPaIGN cohort. *Brain*. 2009; 132(Pt 11):2958–2969. [PubMed: 19812213]
100. Aarsland D, et al. The rate of cognitive decline in Parkinson disease. *Arch Neurol*. 2004; 61(12):1906–1911. [PubMed: 15596611]
101. Hely MA, et al. The Sydney multicenter study of Parkinson's disease: the inevitability of dementia at 20 years. *Mov Disord*. 2008; 23(6):837–844. [PubMed: 18307261]
102. Emre M, et al. Clinical diagnostic criteria for dementia associated with Parkinson's disease. *Mov Disord*. 2007; 22(12):1689–1707. quiz 1837. [PubMed: 17542011]
103. Mulugeta E, et al. CSF amyloid beta38 as a novel diagnostic marker for dementia with Lewy bodies. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2011; 82(2):160–164. [PubMed: 21047883]
104. Dubois B, et al. Diagnostic procedures for Parkinson's disease dementia: recommendations from the movement disorder society task force. *Mov Disord*. 2007; 22(16):2314–2324. [PubMed: 18098298]
105. Braak H, et al. Staging of brain pathology related to sporadic Parkinson's disease. *Neurobiol Aging*. 2003; 24(2):197–211. [PubMed: 12498954]
106. Braak H, et al. Cognitive status correlates with neuropathologic stage in Parkinson disease. *Neurology*. 2005; 64(8):1404–1410. [PubMed: 15851731]
107. Bohnen NI, et al. Cortical cholinergic function is more severely affected in parkinsonian dementia than in Alzheimer disease: an in vivo positron emission tomographic study. *Arch Neurol*. 2003; 60(12):1745–1748. [PubMed: 14676050]
108. Remy P, et al. Depression in Parkinson's disease: loss of dopamine and noradrenaline innervation in the limbic system. *Brain*. 2005; 128(Pt 6):1314–1322. [PubMed: 15716302]
109. McKeith IG, et al. Diagnosis and management of dementia with Lewy bodies: third report of the DLB Consortium. *Neurology*. 2005; 65(12):1863–1872. [PubMed: 16237129]
110. Ferman TJ, et al. Dementia with Lewy bodies may present as dementia and REM sleep behavior disorder without parkinsonism or hallucinations. *J Int Neuropsychol Soc*. 2002; 8(7):907–914. [PubMed: 12405541]
111. Perry EK, et al. Evidence of a monoaminergic-cholinergic imbalance related to visual hallucinations in Lewy body dementia. *J Neurochem*. 1990; 55(4):1454–1456. [PubMed: 1697897]
112. Perry EK, Perry RH. Acetylcholine and hallucinations: disease-related compared to drug-induced alterations in human consciousness. *Brain Cogn*. 1995; 28(3):240–258. [PubMed: 8546852]

113. Mosimann UP, et al. Visual perception in Parkinson disease dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies. *Neurology*. 2004; 63(11):2091–2096. [PubMed: 15596755]
114. Ferman TJ, et al. Neuropsychological differentiation of dementia with Lewy bodies from normal aging and Alzheimer's disease. *Clin Neuropsychol*. 2006; 20(4):623–636. [PubMed: 16980250]
115. Krueger CE, et al. Conflict monitoring in early frontotemporal dementia. *Neurology*. 2009; 73(5): 349–355. [PubMed: 19652138]
116. Davis MH. A multidimensional approach to individual differences in empathy. *JSAS Catalog of Selected Documents in Psychology*. 1980; 10:85.

Table 1

Partial Listing of Computer-Based Cognitive Assessment Batteries

Established Batteries			
Name	Cognitive domains assessed	Test characteristics	Validation
CANTAB® Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery (www.camcog.com)	Working memory and planning Attention Visual episodic memory	Touch screen Administrator required	Large normative database Good evidence of validity Different patterns among clinical populations Sensitive in drug trials
CDRCAS-D or CDR Cognitive Drug Research Computerized Assessment System[10]	Working memory Attention Visual episodic memory	Yes/no response box No administrator required 18 minutes to complete	Good evidence of validity Differentiates among disorders Sensitive in clinical trials Normative database unknown
CogState www.cogstate.com	Attention Processing speed Visual episodic & working memory Executive function	Card game format Uses 2 buttons Practice session (20 min) followed by observed assessment (20 min)	Evidence of validity in MCI Sensitivity demonstrated in clinical trials Minimal practice effects Supportive convergent validity studies
MicroCog (www.pearsonassessments.com)	Attention Episodic memory Reasoning Spatial ability Reaction Time	Number pad and keyboard Can pause and correct responses 30–90 minutes with minimal assistance	Standardized on 810 adults Evidence of construct validity Some subtests questioned Evidence of validity in clinical populations
Mindstreams (www.neurotrax.com)	Verbal and nonverbal episodic memory Executive function Visuospatial skills Verbal fluency Attention Information processing Motor skills	Mouse and number pad Administrator required 30–50 minutes	Differentiates control, MCI, AD Good evidence of validity in older populations
Less established batteries			
CNS Vital Signs (www.cnsvitalsigns.com)	Visual episodic memory Psychomotor speed Information processing speed Complex attention Cognitive flexibility	Minimal number of keys 4 th grade reading level Administrator not required 30 minutes over 50 languages	Good normative database Screening and serial assessments, not diagnostic Differentiates control, MCI, AD
Up and Coming Batteries			
Computer-Administered Neuropsychological Screen for MCI (CANS-MCI) www.mildcognitiveimpairments.com	Visual episodic memory Language Executive function CFA 3-factor model	Touchscreen No administrator	Standardized on community dwelling elders Good concurrent validity Good test-retest
IntegNeuro www.brainresource.com	Estimated IQ Attention Learning Verbal and nonverbal episodic memory Sensory-motor skills Language	Touchscreen and verbal responses Instructions both visual and auditory Administrator required	Co-normed with psychophysiologic measures Good psychometric properties

Established Batteries			
Name	Cognitive domains assessed	Test characteristics	Validation
	Executive function	60 minutes	
Computerized Multiphasic Interactive Neurocognitive DualDisplay™ System (CMINDS) www.neurocomp.net	Computerized ADAS-Cog	Dual display architecture Administrator required – can control pace of testing and capture data	
NIH Toolbox for Assessment of Neurological and Behavioral Function (NIH Toolbox) www.nihtoolbox.org	Executive Function – Inhibitory Control Executive Function – Cognitive Flexibility Attention Working Memory Processing Speed Episodic Memory – Visual Language-Vocabulary Comprehension Language-Reading Decoding	Computer administration Responses registered with button press Administrator required English and Spanish versions Test presentation and psychometric properties determined for age range 3 – 85 years 30 minutes	Good evidence from pilot testing of validity and test-retest reliability Large sample of community-dwelling individuals, including older adults, to be used to establish normative data Test-retest reliability to be determined in norming phase