Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 February 20; 135(7): 2676–2683. doi:10.1021/ja310957f.

Sensitizing Curium Luminescence through an Antenna Protein to Investigate Biological Actinide Transport Mechanisms

Manuel Sturzbecher-Hoehne[†], Christophe Goujon[†], Gauthier J.-P. Deblonde[†], Anne B. Mason[‡], and Rebecca J. Abergel^{*†}

[†]Chemical Sciences Division, The Glenn T. Seaborg Center, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA

[‡]Department of Biochemistry, University of Vermont College of Medicine, Burlington, Vermont 05405-0068, USA

Abstract

Worldwide stocks of actinides and lanthanide fission products produced through conventional nuclear spent fuel are increasing continuously, resulting in a growing risk of environmental and human exposure to these toxic radioactive metal ions. Understanding the bio-molecular pathways involved in mammalian uptake, transport and storage of these f-elements is crucial to the development of new decontamination strategies and could also be beneficial to the design of new containment and separation processes. To start unraveling these pathways, our approach takes advantage of the unique spectroscopic properties of trivalent curium. We clearly show that the human iron transporter transferrin acts as an antenna that sensitizes curium luminescence through intramolecular energy transfer. This behavior has been used to describe the coordination of curium within the two binding sites of the protein and to investigate the recognition of curium-transferrin complexes by the cognate transferrin receptor. In addition to providing the first protein-curium spectroscopic characterization, these studies prove that transferrin receptor-mediated endocytosis is a viable mechanism of intracellular entry for trivalent actinides such as curium and provide a new tool utilizing the specific luminescence of curium for the determination of other biological actinide transport mechanisms.

INTRODUCTION

In the event of an accidental release of radiological/nuclear material into the environment, radionuclides such as actinides (An) and lanthanide (Ln) fission products are a severe health risk as contaminants, due to both radiological and chemical toxicities.¹ While increasing amounts of the trivalent minor An(III) curium (Cm) and americium (Am) are produced through conventional nuclear spent fuel² and efforts to optimize separation processes between Cm, Am, and Ln(III) have recently intensified,³ the biochemical mechanisms associated with uptake, transport and storage of these toxic metal ions remain largely unexplored. Independent of the contamination pathway, An(III) are known to rapidly transit in the bloodstream and predominantly deposit in the liver and skeleton, with very slow subsequent elimination from the organism.^{1,4,5} Understanding the thermodynamics and kinetics of complexation of these metals by endogenous ligands is therefore extremely important not only to determine their biological speciation but also to transpose the high affinity and selectivity of the coordination sites in these natural species into new ligand systems for the development of potential decontamination and separation strategies.^{3,6}

*Corresponding Author: rjabergel@lbl.gov.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

In the past, very few studies have investigated the distribution of An(III) in blood and natural body fluids.⁷ In addition to forming small inorganic species as well as ultrafilterable citrate complexes, Cm^{3+} and Am^{3+} are quantitatively bound to some major plasma proteins including the iron-transport protein transferrin (Tf).^{5,8–10} Human serum Tf is a ~80 kDa glycoprotein that binds Fe^{3+} reversibly and controls the concentration of extracellular iron in the bloodstream; it is comprised of a single polypeptide chain with two homologous lobes, the *N*- and *C*-lobes.¹¹ Each lobe contains a well characterized binding-cleft that can tightly coordinate a single ferric ion through two tyrosine residues, one monodentate aspartate, one histidine and a bidentate synergistic carbonate anion, in a distorted octahedral geometry (Fig. 1).¹² Physiologically, one molecule of diferric Tf (Fe_2Tf) binds tightly to each monomer of the homodimeric Tf receptor (TfR) located on the extracellular surface of actively dividing cells, forming a complex that can undergo endocytosis and subsequently releases iron at the putative endosomal pH, while cycling the now iron-free Tf (apo-Tf) back to the plasma membrane (Fig. 1).¹³ This mechanism is highly specific and selective: while apo-Tf is poorly recognized by the receptor, the respective TfR-affinities of both monoferric species $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Tf}$ and $\text{Fe}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$ (where a single Fe^{3+} is bound to the *C*-lobe or the *N*-lobe, respectively) are approximately 40-fold weaker than that of Fe_2Tf , and the kinetic rates of intracellular Fe release from each Tf lobe are different.¹⁶ However, this tightly controlled pathway may not be completely effective in discriminating between Fe^{3+} and other metal ions and is still considered a possible mechanism of intracellular entry for some exogenous toxic metals.

As previously reported, ytterbium (Yb^{3+}) may rapidly accumulate inside cells through TfR-mediated endocytosis of Yb_2Tf .¹⁷ In contrast, a variety of spectroscopic studies have proposed that the conformation of the Tf complex formed with the dioxo cation uranyl (UO_2^{2+}) prevents binding to TfR.^{18,19} Finally, a subtler uptake pathway has recently been described for plutonium (Pu^{4+}), in which neither Pu_2Tf nor $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Pu}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$ can undergo endocytosis and only the mixed $\text{Pu}_\text{C}\text{Fe}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$ complex seems to specifically bind TfR.²⁰ These results imply that the TfR-mediated uptake of metal-bound Tf (M_2Tf) is a highly selective system based on the oxidation state, charge, and size of the metals, as well as on the conformation of the resulting M_2Tf . Unfortunately, no comparable data is available for An(III) because of their high specific radioactivities with resulting experimental constraints.

Cm(III) exhibits unique luminescence properties that can provide detailed information on the metal coordination and allow experimental work at very low concentrations and specific activities.²¹ Taking advantage of this peculiarity, the present study describes the first example of Cm(III) emission sensitization by a macromolecular entity, namely the protein Tf, through an intramolecular energy transfer process known as the antenna effect.^{22,23} The distinct luminescent features of the Cm_2Tf and $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Cm}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$ complexes were established and used to characterize the coordination of Cm(III) within the protein metal-binding sites, as well as the recognition of these complexes by TfR. The thermodynamic constants associated with the sequential complexation of Cm(III) by Tf and the binding of Cm_2Tf , $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Cm}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$, and $\text{Cm}_\text{C}\text{Fe}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$ by TfR provide some mechanistic insights into the different distribution profiles and organ uptake rates observed with various actinides. These results also raise the question of whether discriminating biological systems such as the Tf/TfR metal-uptake pathway could be revisited and used to develop efficient *in vitro* separation processes.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General

All chemicals were obtained at the highest available grade from commercial suppliers and were used as received. All aqueous solutions were prepared using deionized water purified with a Millipore Milli-Q reverse osmosis cartridge system. All spectroscopic measurements

were performed at 25°C. Caution: curium radioisotopes must be handled in facilities designed for the safe manipulation of transuranic elements. Before performing studies with Cm^{3+} , all experimental protocols were established using Eu^{3+} (corresponding data and results are shown as Supporting Information).

Preparation of protein solutions

Human apo-Tf (98 % iron free, Sigma) was used to prepare stock solutions of apo-Tf, diferric Fe_2Tf , and monoferric $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Tf}$ and $\text{Fe}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$ in Tris buffer (50 mM Tris, pH 8.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM Na-HCO₃) for metal-binding studies or in 100 mM NH₄CO₃ (pH 7.4) for receptor-binding studies, following previously published methods.^{24, 25} The Eu- and Cm-loaded protein complexes were freshly prepared by addition of adequate equivalents of EuCl_3 (standardized 0.1 M HCl stock solution) or CmCl_3 (standardized 1 M HCl stock solution; 96% ²⁴⁸Cm, 4% ²⁴⁶Cm isotopic distribution) to apo-Tf, $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Tf}$, or $\text{Fe}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$. All transferrin solutions were purified on Sephadex G-25 columns (PD-10 column, GE Healthcare) and were stored at 4°C until use. The respective protein concentrations and percentage of metal saturation were determined by UV-Visible spectroscopy.²⁵ Recombinant His-tagged sTfR was produced and purified from a BHK cell expression system, as previously described.²⁶ Solutions of sTfR were stored in 100 mM NH₄HCO₃ at pH 7.4 at 4°C until use.

Photophysical characterization

UV-Visible absorption spectra were recorded either on a Varian Cary 5000 or Varian Cary 6000 double beam absorption spectrometer, using quartz cells of 1.00 cm path length. Emission spectra and lifetimes were acquired on a HORIBA Jobin Yvon IBH FluoroLog-3 spectrofluorimeter, as described elsewhere.²⁷ Luminescence spectra using the absorption maximum of the protein ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 280$ nm) for excitation were acquired with 3-1 nm slits, while slits were adjusted to 14-7 nm for direct excitation of Cm^{3+} ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 397$ nm). Goodness of fit was assessed by minimizing the reduced chi squared function, χ^2 , and a visual inspection of the weighted residuals. Each trace contained 10,000 points, and the estimated error on the reported lifetime values is ± 10 %. Quantum yields were determined by the optical dilute method, using an excitation wavelength of 275 nm and tyrosine as a reference standard ($\Phi_\text{r} = 0.14$).^{28,29} Procedures for the data treatment of quantum yields and kinetic parameters have been detailed previously.³⁰

Solution thermodynamics of metal-Tf solutions

A Metrohm Micro Combi glass electrode (response to $[\text{H}^+]$ calibrated daily) was used to measure the pH of the experimental solutions. Sample solutions were assembled from stock solutions of apo-Tf (resulting concentration of ~ 10 μM) and the supporting electrolyte solution (50 mM Tris, pH 8.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM NaHCO₃) and were incrementally perturbed by the addition of 1 to 10 μL aliquots of metal titrant (including EuCl_3 , CmCl_3 , and solutions of $[\text{Eu}(\text{NTA})]$, $[\text{Eu}(\text{NTA})_2]^{3-}$, $[\text{Eu}(\text{EDDA})]^+$, or $[\text{Cm}(\text{NTA})]$ prepared from the acidic stocks according to described procedures),²⁵ followed by a time delay for equilibration (10 to 60 min). Each data point consisted of a UV-Visible spectrum ($\lambda = 220$ –350 nm) for Eu- and Cm-containing samples, and emission spectra ($\lambda_{\text{exc}1} = 280$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{exc}2} = 397$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 420$ –750 nm), excitation spectra ($\lambda_{\text{em}1} = 602$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}2} = 619$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 240$ –320 nm), and lifetime measurements (kinetic traces observed at 602 and 619 nm for excitation at 280 and 397 nm, respectively) for Cm-containing samples. All spectra were corrected for dilution occurring during titrations. Absorptivity and emission data sets were imported into the refinement program HypSpec^{31–34} and analyzed by nonlinear least-squares refinement.

HPLC sTfR binding assay

All High Pressure Liquid Chromatography runs were performed on an Agilent 1200 Series LC module, by injection of 9 μL through a gel filtration ZORBAX GF-250 (4.6×250 mm, $4 \mu\text{m}$) column. An isocratic method with 100 mM NH_4HCO_3 , pH 7.4, over 40 min at 0.1 mL min^{-1} was applied to elute the proteins and protein complexes. Detection of the different species was achieved by UV-Visible absorption (multi wavelength detector tuned at 210, 240, 278, 320 and 440 nm) and fluorescence emission ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 278$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em1}} = 380$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em2}} = 619$ nm). Solutions of Tf-sTfR complexes were prepared by mixing sTfR with aliquots of apo-Tf or metal-loaded Tf solutions in 100 mM NH_4HCO_3 , with minimum equilibration times of 40 min before injection. Chromatograms were first deconvoluted with a Gaussian function based on maximum peak values for each observed species and with a Lorentzian function to include peak shape in the fits. Equilibrium dissociation constants (K_{d1} and K_{d2}) were then determined by nonlinear regression analysis of peak areas vs. protein concentration using a two-site binding model as implemented in the refinement program Dynafit.³⁵

RESULTS

Spectroscopic Characterization of Cm-Tf Complexes

The electronic absorption spectrum of apo-Tf, with an absorption maximum at $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 280$ nm, varies only slightly upon addition of CmCl_3 . These changes were followed for the addition of up to 2.6 equivalents of CmCl_3 (1 eq. and 2 eq. corresponding to the formation of Cm_1Tf and Cm_2Tf , respectively) through difference ultraviolet spectra (Fig. 2a). The growth of bands at 247 and 295 nm is characteristic of metal ion binding to the tyrosine residues at the two Tf binding sites.²⁵ Corresponding luminescence spectra were acquired using the absorption maximum of the protein ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 280$ nm) (Fig. 2b). A broad emission band centered at $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 330$ nm is attributed to the fluorescence of the aromatic amino acids of the protein (data not shown),²⁹ while a characteristic Cm^{3+} emission corresponding to the intense $^6\text{D}_{7/2} \rightarrow ^8\text{S}_{7/2}$ hypersensitive transition results in bright orange luminescence, with sharp peaks at $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 619$, 602, and 588 nm ($\nu_{\text{em}} = 16155$, 16611, and 17007 cm^{-1} , respectively).³⁶ This structured 3-peak emission is attributed to ligand field splitting of the emitting state $J = 7/2$, as the spherical symmetry of the Cm^{3+} half-filled $5f^7$ configuration should only result in a small splitting due to inner sphere coordinating ligands.^{36,37} The most intense emission band at 619 nm (85%) is assigned as originating from the lowest Stark level of the $J = 7/2$ excited state, while the higher energy splittings at 602 nm (12%) and 588 nm (3%) are remaining Stark components. No luminescence was observed upon direct excitation of the Cm^{3+} ions at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 397$ nm under similar measurement conditions. However, an increased excitation intensity allowed the detection of much broader Cm^{3+} emission peaks at $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 619$ and 602 nm (Fig. 2c). Such emission profiles are indicative of efficient sensitization of the Cm^{3+} luminescence by the complexed protein. Furthermore, excitation spectra monitoring emission at 619 and 602 nm displayed two growing transitions centered at 247 and 287 nm upon addition of CmCl_3 to apo-Tf (Supporting Information), consistent with energy transfer from tyrosine residues coordinated to the metal ions,³⁸ in Cm_1Tf and Cm_2Tf , resulting in the excitation of Cm^{3+} luminescence. This energy transfer is also evidenced by the decrease of the protein luminescence quantum yield ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 280$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 330$ nm) upon Cm binding, from 7.1% for apo-Tf to 6.8% and 6.6% for Cm_1Tf and Cm_2Tf , respectively (Table 1). In addition, the similar Cm luminescence quantum yields ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 280$ nm, $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 619$ nm) of Cm_1Tf ($\phi = 1.1\%$) and Cm_2Tf ($\phi = 1.2\%$) indicate that the C-terminal binding site of the protein has higher sensitization efficiency than the N-terminal binding site. Time-resolved analysis of Cm_1Tf , measured at 619 nm in H_2O and D_2O , revealed monoexponential decays with lifetimes of ca. 220 μs and 476 μs , respectively (Fig. 3). A similar decay time in H_2O was measured for Cm_2Tf , most likely due

to the predominant brightness of the C-terminal binding site. The monoexponential decay associated to the Cm-bound N-terminal site of the protein was observed by blocking the C-terminal site with a ferric ion in $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Cm}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$, with a corresponding decay time of ca. 206 μs in H_2O (data not shown). Applying a method derived by Kimura *et al.*,³⁹ the numbers of inner sphere water molecules were determined as $q_\text{C} = 2.1$ and $q_\text{N} = 2.3$, essentially two, in each binding site (Supporting Information).

Solution Thermodynamics of Cm Complexation by Tf

The sequential binding of metal ions to Tf involves the displacement of protons from the protein and the concomitant binding of a synergistic bicarbonate anion in each binding site.⁴⁰ Based on kinetic studies that predict formation of the binary bicarbonate-Tf intermediates before metal binding and following previously described analytical methods for the determination of lanthanide-Tf binding constants,⁴⁰ two stepwise formation constants (K_C and K_N for the respective binding to the C- and N-lobe) were determined for the complexation of Cm by Tf through four types of spectroscopic titrations in buffered aqueous solutions (pH 8.6 and pH 7.4, $[\text{NaHCO}_3] = 5 \text{ mM}$). Changes in the UV-Visible spectra as well as in the emission spectra (through indirect and direct excitation of Cm^{3+} at 280 nm and 397 nm) were followed upon incremental additions of up to 2.6 eq. of CmCl_3 to apo-Tf, showing continuous increase in absorption of the broad bands centered at 247 and 295 nm, as well as continuous increase in emission at 619 nm, until a Tf:Cm ratio of 1:2 is reached (Fig. 2). Similarly, these spectroscopic features were followed in a reverse titration model, upon incremental additions of up to 4.4 eq. of the competing chelating agent nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) to Cm_2Tf (Fig. 4). In this case, absorbance centered at 247 nm decreased with the release of Cm^{3+} from Tf and the stepwise formation of the $[\text{Cm}(\text{NTA})]$ and $[\text{Cm}(\text{NTA})_2]^{3-}$ complexes. A corresponding decrease in emission intensity was observed after protein excitation at 280 nm, while direct excitation of the Cm^{3+} ions at 397 nm allowed for the simultaneous monitoring of the NTA complex formation, with growing emission at 604 nm, diminishing emission at 619 nm and an isosbestic point at 613 nm. Lifetime measurements confirmed the presence of the two distinct $[\text{Cm}(\text{NTA})]$ and $[\text{Cm}(\text{NTA})_2]^{3-}$ species.⁴¹ Both K_C and K_N could be directly calculated from those direct CmCl_3 and reverse NTA competition titrations. However, the association constants for each binding site of the protein were also determined independently by spectrofluorimetric titrations of (i) apo-Tf with $[\text{Cm}(\text{NTA})]$ (for K_C) and (ii) $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Tf}$ with CmCl_3 (for K_N).

Emission spectra and time-resolved analyses were characteristic of the disappearance of the $[\text{Cm}(\text{NTA})]$ complex and the simultaneous formation of $\text{Cm}_\text{C}\text{Tf}$ in the first case, and of the formation of $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Cm}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$ in the latter case. Least-square refinements, including NTA protonation and Cm-complex formation constants as well as Cm hydrolysis products,⁴² were used to analyze the difference ultraviolet spectra and emission spectra for those four direct or competition titrations, providing the two sequential association constants $\log K_\text{C} = 8.8 \pm 0.3$ and $\log K_\text{N} = 7.0 \pm 0.1$, along with an overall formation constant $\log \beta = 15.8 \pm 0.4$ for Cm_2Tf .

Receptor Recognition of Cm-Tf Complexes

The specific luminescence features of Cm_2Tf were utilized to characterize its recognition by the soluble recombinant sTfR receptor. Emission spectra were recorded for the 1:1 and 2:1 complexes $(\text{Cm}_2\text{Tf})_2:\text{sTfR}$ and $(\text{Cm}_2\text{Tf})_2:\text{sTfR}$ prepared *in situ* ($\lambda_\text{exc} = 280 \text{ nm}$), showing a strong intensity enhancement of the split Cm^{3+} emission (at 619, 602, and 588 nm) upon receptor binding (Fig. 3). However, the decay time of both species in H_2O remained identical to that of Cm_2Tf at 220 μs , indicating that the Cm^{3+} coordination sphere in the Tf binding lobes is not altered upon complexation of the Tf protein by its receptor. A hydrophilic gel-filtration high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) assay was

designed to separate metal-bound M_2Tf from $sTfR$, $(M_2Tf):sTfR$, and $(M_2Tf)_2:sTfR$, based on their respective sizes and spectroscopic features. Efficient separation of the different protein complexes occurred over 5 min under the chosen experimental conditions and was confirmed using UV-Vis spectroscopic detection for Fe_2Tf , while both UV-Vis and fluorescence spectroscopies were used to detect Ca_2Tf , Fe_CCa_NTf , Ca_CFe_NTf , and associated receptor complexes (Fig. 5). This assay was used to evaluate the chromatographic patterns resulting from solutions with $M_2Tf:sTfR$ ratios varying from 0:1 to 3.2:1, and determine sequential $sTfR$ equilibrium dissociation constants K_{d1} and K_{d2} (corresponding to the stepwise dissociation of $(M_2Tf):sTfR$ and $(M_2Tf)_2:sTfR$, respectively), for the different M_2Tf complexes. This assay was first benchmarked using the binding of Fe_2Tf to the $sTfR$ and the obtained K_d values fall perfectly within the ranges reported in the literature using other techniques.¹⁶ Analysis of the data afforded K_{d1} values slightly higher than that of the endogenous Fe_2Tf for both Fe_CCa_NTf and Ca_2Tf , while the binding of one unit of Ca_CFe_NTf appeared as tight as for Fe_2Tf (Table 1). In contrast, both mixed protein species, Fe_CCa_NTf and Ca_CFe_NTf , displayed K_{d2} values similar to Fe_2Tf , while the value determined for Ca_2Tf was one order of magnitude higher, indicating better recognition of the Fe-containing exogenous complexes by the $sTfR$ receptor, in comparison to Ca_2Tf .

DISCUSSION

The luminescence of Ca^{3+} emitted from the complex Ca_2Tf excited at 280 nm was estimated to be at least 10^7 times more intense than that observed with Ca_2Tf excited at 397 nm. Such high emission levels are attained as a result of efficient energy transfer from the Tf Ca -binding tyrosinate residues to the metal center. Curium is the only $An(III)$ for which this process, commonly referred to as the antenna effect in lanthanide luminescence studies,^{22,23} has been observed in coordination complexes,^{43–47} albeit rarely. However, the complexation of Ca by Tf provides the first macromolecular example of ligand-enhanced Ca emission, and the high molar absorptivity of the protein results in a highly efficient antenna, notwithstanding the low quantum yields ($\sim 1\%$) measured for the corresponding 1:1 and 1:2 complexes. Despite the similar electronic configurations of Ca^{3+} and Gd^{3+} , the emissive properties of Ca^{3+} are considered closer to those of Eu^{3+} and Tb^{3+} , due to the respective energy gaps of these metal ions. Prior laser-induced fluorescence studies with very large photon flux have used direct excitation ($\lambda_{exc} = 395$ nm) of Eu^{3+} in Eu_2Tf to resolve the two Tf metal-binding sites.⁴⁸ However, no luminescence signal was observed after indirect protein excitation ($\lambda_{exc} = 280$ nm) of the Eu_2Tf complex in the present study, revealing a lack of sensitization of the Eu^{3+} ions. This result contrasts with the strongly sensitized sharp luminescence of Tb^{3+} ions in the Tb_2Tf complex.³⁸ The emitting excited states of Ca^{3+} and Eu^{3+} , ${}^6D_{7/2}$ and 5D_0 , respectively, are almost at the same energy level of $\sim 17,000$ cm^{-1} ,⁴⁹ while the Tb^{3+} emitting state 5D_4 is slightly higher in energy ($\sim 18,500$ cm^{-1}). Antenna sensitization usually occurs via the excited triplet state of the ligand and is optimized when the latter is at least $1,850$ cm^{-1} higher in energy than the metal emitting states,⁵⁰ which should make Tf a good antenna chromophore for all three metals. However, in the case of Eu^{3+} low lying ligand-to-metal charge-transfer states can be readily accessed when higher excitation energies (e.g. $30,000$ cm^{-1}) are used,⁵¹ which may explain why Tf sensitizes the luminescence of Ca^{3+} ($\lambda_{em} = 619$ nm) and Tb ($\lambda_{em} = 545$ nm) but not Eu^{3+} . The antenna effect can therefore be used as a powerful discrimination tool to identify these trivalent f -elements, since indirect excitation of Tf will lead to sharp and intense, but distinct, emissions for Tb^{3+} and Ca^{3+} and no emission in the case of Eu^{3+} . While UV-visible spectroscopy provides some information on the coordination mode of the metals within the Tf binding site, such as the characteristic tyrosine-binding mode, time-resolved luminescence analysis allows for the direct determination of the number of inner sphere water molecules and thereby the coordination number of the metal ion. In this study, two inner sphere water molecules were found in both Ca -binding sites of Ca_2Tf . These results

suggest a minimum coordination number of 8 for each metal ion in Cm_2Tf , with two H_2O ligands in addition to the protein ligands, similarly to what has been proposed for Tb_2Tf .³⁸ Such numbers are common for trivalent f-elements, but are distinct from the coordination number of 6 previously reported for lactoferrin-bound cerium(IV) ($r(\text{N}6) = 0.87 \text{ \AA} - r(\text{N}8) = 0.97 \text{ \AA}$)⁵² and Tf-bound Pu(IV) ($r(\text{N}6) = 0.86 \text{ \AA} - r(\text{N}8) = 0.96 \text{ \AA}$)²⁰, based on X-ray diffraction and small-angle X-ray scattering data, respectively. The coordination of UO_2^{2+} by Tf has also been investigated previously, suggesting yet another binding mode that excludes the histidine from the equatorial plane of the metal ion.¹² These differences in Tf coordination illustrate the relative flexibility of the protein in binding different metal ions, based on their intrinsic charge, radius and oxidation state. However, they also affect the stability and overall conformation of the corresponding complexes.

Following spectrophotometric methods previously reported with lanthanides,²⁵ four titration approaches were taken to measure the two sequential association constants of Cm^{3+} with Tf, $\log K_C$ and $\log K_N$. Emission spectra (after direct and indirect excitation of Cm^{3+}) and lifetimes were recorded simultaneously, providing additional species-specific data sets for data refinement. As expected,⁵³ the much larger ionic radii of Cm^{3+} in comparison to Fe^{3+} leads to weaker complexation, and both stability constants (8.8 and 7.0, respectively) are dramatically lower than the corresponding values for Fe^{3+} (21.9 and 20.6)⁵⁴ and Pu^{4+} (21.3),^{5,10} lower than the UO_2^{2+} values (12.4 and 11.4),^{55–57} but still in the typical range of values determined for lanthanides. Similarly to what is reported for lanthanides,^{58–60} the C-terminal site of Tf has a stronger binding affinity towards Cm^{3+} than the N-terminal site. Although this study provides the first experimental determination of Tf complex formation constants with Cm, several estimated values ranging over four orders of magnitude had previously been reported. Linear free energy relationships (LFER) correlating reference low molecular weight complex formation constants of Nd^{3+} and Sm^{3+} with data available for Cm^{3+} resulted in the calculated value $\log K_C = 6.5 \pm 0.8$.²⁵ While LFER is a benchmark method to accurately predict stability constants with low molecular weight ligands, significant variations (up to 2 log units) have been observed between the calculated and experimental Tf-binding constant values with lanthanides such as Sm^{3+} , Gd^{3+} and Tb^{3+} ,⁶⁰ questioning the reliability of this model for macromolecular complexes. In a more recent report, higher values for Cm^{3+} complexation by Tf, $\log K_C = 10.3$ and $\log K_N = 8.7$, were derived from correlations between the overall metal-binding constant of Tf and the first metal ion hydrolysis constant, with carbonate as a synergistic anion.⁵ However, these correlations also provide Tf-binding constants significantly different from the corresponding experimental values for Pu^{4+} and UO_2^{2+} . These results emphasize the need for empirical determination of thermodynamic parameters of complexation, as the interpolation procedures for both methods may have taken into account the size and Lewis acidity of the different metal ions, but not necessarily steric effects inherent to the flexibility of the protein cavities or changes in the number of coordinated water molecules in the primary coordination layer of the metal ions.⁶¹

In healthy individuals, Tf is present at a serum concentration of 25–50 μM but is only 30% saturated with Fe, with the following distribution: 27% Fe_2Tf , 23% Fe_NTf , 11% Fe_CTf , and 40% apo-Tf.²⁴ The different thermodynamic stabilities of the Tf complexes formed with Cm(III), Pu(IV), U(VI), could therefore account for the different distribution of the metals in blood (100%, 30% and 30% of Pu^{4+} , UO_2^{2+} , and Cm^{3+} in blood, respectively, are reportedly bound by Tf),⁵ however, the conformation of the corresponding protein-complexes is the major factor influencing their fate as Tf-bound species. The K_d values determined for the binding of Cm_2Tf , $\text{Fe}_C\text{Cm}_N\text{Tf}$, and $\text{Cm}_C\text{Fe}_N\text{Tf}$ to sTfR indicate that the tested Cm-Tf species appear to be recognized by the receptor with affinities relatively close to that of Fe_2Tf and higher than those previously found for Pu-Tf²⁰ and $\text{UO}_2\text{-Tf}$ species.¹⁹ TfR-mediated endocytosis is therefore a plausible pathway for entry of Cm^{3+} into a cell,

consistent with the faster clearance of An(III) such as Cm^{3+} from the blood and higher liver uptake rate in comparison to other oxidation states.^{5,7} It was also recently reported that only $\text{Pu}_2\text{CFe}_2\text{N}_2\text{Tf}$ can transport Pu through cellular membranes,²⁰ revealing a striking difference with what was observed with Cm and providing avenues to design highly discriminating systems between An(III) and An(IV) or Ln(III).

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates that luminescence spectroscopy combined with chromatography can provide detailed information on the coordination of Cm^{3+} within biological systems through the use of specific intramolecular energy transfer processes, affording the first experimental report of thermodynamic parameters that drive the binding of Cm^{3+} to proteins such as Tf and subsequent recognition by the cellular TfR receptor. The methods described here will therefore be applied further to investigate the mechanistic details of the biological transport of Cm^{3+} and to determine the factors conveying the discrimination between Cm and other An and Ln ions.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

We thank Prof. Kenneth N. Raymond, Dr. David K. Shuh, Dr. Norman M. Edelstein, Dr. Guoxin Tian, and Dr. Petr Kuzmich for helpful discussions. Instrument acquisition and method development for the chromatography assays were supported by the National Institutes of Health (R.J.A., RAI087604Z); the experimental work on actinide-protein interactions was supported by the Director, Office of Science, of the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC02-05CH11231, through a Laboratory Directed Research and Development program (R.J.A.), and by a U. S. Public Service Grant (A.B.M., R01 DK 21739).

References

1. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. Management of persons contaminated with radionuclides handbook: recommendations of the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements; Bethesda, Md: 2009. p. 161
2. Lumetta, GJ.; Thompson, MC.; Penneman, RA.; Eller, PG. The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements. Morss, LR.; Edelstein, NM.; Fuger, J., editors. Springer Netherlands; Dordrecht: 2011. p. 1397-1443.
3. Nash, KL.; Madic, C.; Mathur, JN.; Lacquement, J. The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements. Morss, LR.; Edelstein, NM.; Fuger, J., editors. Springer; Netherlands: 2011. p. 2622-2798.
4. Durbin, PW. The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements. Morss, LR.; Edelstein, NM.; Fuger, J., editors. Springer; Netherlands: 2011. p. 3339-3440.
5. Ansoborlo E, Prat O, Moisy P, Den Auwer C, Guilbaud P, Carriere M, Gouget B, Duffield J, Doizi D, Vercouter T, Moulin C, Moulin V. Biochimie. 2006; 88:1605–1618. [PubMed: 16996675]
6. Durbin PW. Health Phys. 2008; 95:465–492. [PubMed: 18849679]
7. Ménétrier F, Taylor DM, Comte A. Appl Radiat Isot. 2008; 66:632–647. [PubMed: 18222696]
8. Turner GA, Taylor DM. Phys Med Biol. 1968; 13:535–546. [PubMed: 5683324]
9. Cooper JR, Gowing HS. Int J Radiat Biol. 1981; 40:569–572.
10. Taylor DM. J Alloy Compd. 1998; 271–273:6–10.
11. Mason AB, Byrne SL, Everse SJ, Roberts SE, Chasteen ND, Smith VC, MacGillivray RTA, Kandemir B, Bou-Abdallah F. J Mol Recognit. 2009; 22:521–529. [PubMed: 19693784]

12. Wally J, Halbrooks PJ, Vonnrhein C, Rould MA, Everse SJ, Mason AB, Buchanan SK. *J Biol Chem.* 2006; 281:24934–24944. [PubMed: 16793765]
13. Li H, Sun H, Qian ZM. *Trends Pharmacol Sci.* 2002; 23:206–209. [PubMed: 12007993]
14. Adams TE, Mason AB, He QY, Halbrooks PJ, Briggs SK, Smith VC, MacGillivray RTA, Everse SJ. *J Biol Chem.* 2003; 278:6027–6033. [PubMed: 12458193]
15. Cheng Y, Zak O, Aisen P, Harrison SC, Walz T. *Cell.* 2004; 116:565–576. [PubMed: 14980223]
16. Byrne SL, Leverence R, Klein JS, Giannetti AM, Smith VC, MacGillivray RTA, Kaltashov IA, Mason AB. *Biochem.* 2006; 45:6663–6673. [PubMed: 16716077]
17. Du X, Zhang T, Yuan L, Zhao Y, Li R, Wang K, Yan SC, Zhang L, Sun H, Qian Z. *Eur J Biochem.* 2002; 269:6082–6090. [PubMed: 12473103]
18. Vidaud C, Gourion-Arsiquaud S, Rollin-Genetet F, Torne-Celer C, Plantevin S, Pible O, Berthomieu C, Quéméneur E. *Biochem.* 2007; 46:2215–2226. [PubMed: 17266333]
19. Hémadi M, Ha-Duong N-T, Plantevin S, Vidaud C, El Hage Chahine J-M. *J Biol Inorg Chem.* 2010; 15:497–504. [PubMed: 20041272]
20. Jensen MP, Gorman-Lewis D, Aryal B, Paunesku T, Vogt S, Rickert PG, Seifert S, Lai B, Woloschak GE, Soderholm L. *Nat Chem Biol.* 2011; 7:560–565. [PubMed: 21706034]
21. Edelstein NM, Klenze R, Fanghanel T, Hubert S. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2006; 250:948–973.
22. D'Aléo A, Pointillart F, Ouahab L, Andraud C, Maury O. *Coord Chem Rev.* 2012; 256:1604–1620.
23. Bünzli JCG, Piguet C. *Chem Soc Rev.* 2005; 34:1048. [PubMed: 16284671]
24. Byrne S, Mason A. *J Biol Inorg Chem.* 2009; 14:771–781. [PubMed: 19290554]
25. Harris WR. *Inorg Chem.* 1986; 25:2041–2045.
26. Eckenroth BE, Steere AN, Chasteen ND, Everse SJ, Mason AB. *PNAS.* 2011; 108:13089–13094. [PubMed: 21788477]
27. Sturzbecher-Hoehne M, Ng Pak Leung C, D'Aléo A, Kullgren B, Prigent A-L, Shuh DK, Raymond KN, Abergel RJ. *Dalton Trans.* 2011; 40:8340–8346. [PubMed: 21766096]
28. Chen RF. *Anal Lett.* 2011; 1:35–42.
29. Lakowicz, JR. *Principles of fluorescence spectroscopy.* Springer; 2006.
30. Abergel RJ, D'Aleo A, Ng Pak Leung C, Shuh DK, Raymond KN. *Inorg Chem.* 2009; 48:10868–10870. [PubMed: 19902920]
31. Gans P, O'Sullivan B. *Talanta.* 2000; 51:33–37. [PubMed: 18967834]
32. Gans P, Sabatini A, Vacca A. *Talanta.* 1996; 43:1739–1753. [PubMed: 18966661]
33. Gans P, Sabatini A, Vacca A. *Ann Chim.* 1999; 89:45–49.
34. Gans, P.; Sabatini, A.; Vacca, A. *HypSpec.* Leeds; U.K. Florence, Italy: 2008.
35. Kuzmic P. *Anal Biochem.* 1996; 237:260–273. [PubMed: 8660575]
36. Carnall WT. *J Chem Phys.* 1992; 96:8713.
37. Sykora RE, Assefa Z, Haire RG, Albrecht-Schmitt TE. *Inorg Chem.* 2005; 44:5667–5676. [PubMed: 16060617]
38. White GF, Litvinenko KL, Meech SR, Andrews DL, Thomson AJ. *Photochem Photobiol Sci.* 2004; 3:47–55. [PubMed: 14743279]
39. Kimura T, Choppin GR. *J Alloy Compd.* 1994; 213–214:313–317.
40. Harris, WR. *Less Common Metals in Proteins and Nucleic Acid Probes.* Vol. 92. Springer; Berlin Heidelberg: 1998. p. 121–162.
41. Wang Z, Felmy AR, Xia Y, Mason MJ. *Radiochim Acta.* 2003; 91:329–338.
42. Martell AE, Smith RM, Motekaitis RJ. *NIST Standard Reference Database* 46.
43. Assefa Z, Yaita T, Haire RG, Tachimori S. *Inorg Chem.* 2003; 42:7375–7377. [PubMed: 14606830]
44. Assefa Z, Yaita T, Haire RG, Tachimori S. *Journal of Solid State Chemistry.* 2005; 178:505–510.
45. Panak P, Klenze R, Kim JI, Wimmer H. *J Alloy Compd.* 1995; 225:261–266.
46. Wang XK, Rabung T, Geckeis H, Panak PJ, Klenze R, Fanghanel T. *Radiochim Acta.* 2004; 92:691–695.

47. Moll H, Bernhard G. *J Coord Chem*. 2007; 60:1795–1807.
48. O'Hara PB, Bersohn R. *Biochem*. 1982; 21:5269–5272. [PubMed: 7171556]
49. Kimura T, Nagaishi R, Kato Y, Yoshida Z. *Radiochim Acta*. 2001; 89:125.
50. Latva M, Takalo H, Mikkala VM, Matachescu C, Rodríguez-Ubis JC, Kankare J. *J Lumin*. 1997; 75:149–169.
51. Kusaba M, Nakashima N, Kawamura W, Izawa Y, Yamanaka C. *Chem Phys Lett*. 1992; 197:136–140.
52. Baker HM, Baker CJ, Smith CA, Baker EN. *J Biol Inorg Chem*. 2000; 5:692–698. [PubMed: 11128996]
53. Chipperfield AR, Taylor DM. *Nature*. 1968; 219:609–610. [PubMed: 4174288]
54. Sun H, Li H, Sadler PJ. *Chem Rev*. 1999; 99:2817–2842. [PubMed: 11749502]
55. Michon J, Frelon S, Garnier C, Coppin F. *J Fluoresc*. 2010; 20:581–590. [PubMed: 20066480]
56. Scapolan S, Ansorborlo E, Moulin C, Madic C. *Radiat Protect Dosim*. 1998; 79:505–508.
57. Montavon G, Apostolidis C, Bruchertseifer F, Repinc U, Morgenstern A. *J Inorg Biochem*. 2009; 103:1609–1616. [PubMed: 19800129]
58. Yang BS, Harris WR. *Acta Chim Sin*. 1999; 57:503–509.
59. Harris WR, Chen Y. *Inorg Chem*. 1992; 31:5001–5006.
60. Abdollahi S, Harris WR. *Iran J Chem Chem Eng*. 2006; 25:45–52.
61. Rizkalla EN, Choppin GR. *J Alloy Compd*. 1992; 180:325–336.

Figure 1.

TfR-mediated intracellular iron uptake. **a.** Once bound to two Fe³⁺ ions, the complex Fe₂Tf is recognized by the TfR membrane receptor and internalized via endocytosis; metal release occurs at endosomal pH. This is the proposed pathway for intracellular uptake of exogenous metals such as Cm³⁺. **b.** Metal binding site of the Tf N-Lobe, with Tyr 188, Tyr 95, His 249, Asp 63 and a synergistic carbonate anion participating in the coordination of Fe³⁺.¹⁴ **c.** Structure of the (Tf)₂-TfR complex, with TfR in green, Tf N-lobes in red) and Tf C-lobes in blue, adapted from previously reported X-ray data.¹⁵

Figure 2.

Spectroscopic titrations showing the sequential binding of two Cm^{3+} ions by Tf (50 mM Tris, pH 8.6, 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM NaHCO_3 , 25°C); apo-Tf solutions (1.5 mL, 10.3 μM) were incrementally perturbed by addition of CmCl_3 (1.41 mM, 10 μL aliquots). **a.** Changes in absorbance spectra, with continuous growth of the broad bands centered at 247 and 295 nm; the inset shows a steady increase of the difference in extinction coefficient as a function of the concentration ratio Cm/Tf . **b.** Changes in emission spectra after excitation of the protein at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 280$ nm (slits 3-1 nm); the inset shows intensity changes at both emission peak maxima of 602 nm (red diamond) and 619 nm (blue square) upon Cm^{3+} addition. **c.** Changes in emission spectra after direct excitation of Cm^{3+} at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 397$ nm (slits 14-7

nm); the inset shows intensity changes at both emission peak maxima of 602 nm (red diamond) and 619 nm (blue square) upon Cm^{3+} addition.

Figure 3.

Fluorescence spectra of 0.95 μM solutions of Cm_2Tf (red), $(\text{Cm}_2\text{Tf})\text{-sTfR}$ (blue), and $(\text{Cm}_2\text{Tf})_2\text{-sTfR}$ (black) in 100 mM NH_4HCO_3 , pH 7.4, 25°C. The inset shows lifetime measurements at 619 nm upon excitation at 280 nm for all three species in H_2O and for Cm_2Tf (dotted red) in D_2O .

Figure 4.

Spectroscopic competition titrations of Cm₂Tf against NTA (50 mM TRIS, pH 8.6, 25°C); Cm₂Tf solutions (1.5 mL, 10.4 μM) were incrementally perturbed by the addition of NTA (0.8 mM, 10 μL aliquots). **a.** Changes in absorbance spectra, with continuous decrease of the broad bands centered at 247 and 295 nm; the inset shows a decrease of the difference in extinction coefficient as a function of the concentration ratio NTA/Cm₂Tf. **b.** Decrease of emission intensity after excitation of the protein at λ_{exc} = 280 nm (slits 3-1 nm), leading to signal disappearance after 4 equivalents of NTA; the inset shows intensity changes at both emission peak maxima of 602 nm (red diamond) and 619 nm (blue square) upon NTA addition. **c.** Changes in emission spectra after direct excitation of Cm³⁺ at λ_{exc} = 397 nm

(slits 14-7 nm); the inlet shows intensity changes at both emission peak maxima of 602 nm (red diamond) and 619 nm (blue square) upon Cm^{3+} addition.

Figure 5.

Titration of sTfR (0.95 μM) with Cm₂Tf (0 to 3.2 equivalents) followed by HPLC (100 mM NH₄HCO₃, pH 7.4, 25°C). Chromatograms were deconvoluted to follow the formation and/or disappearance of the 4 occurring species. The inset shows the extracted peak areas (data points) and corresponding fits (lines, two binding-site model) for (Cm₂Tf)₂:sTfR (blue line, blue squares), (Cm₂Tf):sTfR (red line, red triangles), sTfR (grey line, grey diamonds) and Cm₂Tf (green line, green circles).

Table 1Photophysical, thermodynamic properties and TfR affinities of Cm^{3+} complexes of Tf.^a

Photophysical parameters				
$\lambda_{\text{exc}}, \epsilon_{\text{exc}}^b$	$\tau\{\text{H}_2\text{O}\}^b$	$\tau\{\text{D}_2\text{O}\}^b$	$\Phi\{\text{H}_2\text{O}\}$	q
Cm _C Tf	220(22)	476(48)	0.011(1)	2.1(3)
280, 94200(900)	220(22)			2.1(3)
Cm ₂ Tf			0.012(1)	
	206(21) ^c			2.3(3) ^c
Thermodynamic parameters				
	log <i>K</i> ₁	log <i>K</i> ₂	log β	
Cm _C Tf/Cm ₂ Tf	8.8(3)	7.0(1)	15.8(2)	
Binding affinities towards sTfR				
Species	<i>K</i> _{d1} (nM)	<i>K</i> _{d2} (nM)		
Fe ₂ Tf	5(1)	20(5)		
Cm ₂ Tf	74(26)	153(77)		
(Fe _C Cm _N Tf)	15(24)	42(9)		
(Cm _C Fe _N Tf)	3(3)	28(11)		

^aThe figures in parentheses give the uncertainties determined from the standard deviation between at least two independent experiments.^b λ_{exc} in nm, ϵ_{exc} in $\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}$, τ in μs .^cLifetime for the N-terminal site determined using $\text{Fe}_\text{C}\text{Cm}_\text{N}\text{Tf}$.