Published in final edited form as:

J Am Chem Soc. 2013 March 13; 135(10): 4018–4026. doi:10.1021/ja312256u.

Acid-Induced Mechanism Change and Overpotential Decrease in Dioxygen Reduction Catalysis with a Dinuclear Copper Complex

Dipanwita Das[†], Yong-Min Lee[†], Kei Ohkubo[§], Wonwoo Nam^{*,†}, Kenneth D. Karlin^{*,†,‡}, and Shunichi Fukuzumi^{*,†,§}

[†]Department of Bioinspired Science, Ewha Womans University, Seoul 120-750, Korea

[‡]Department of Chemistry, The Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA

[§]Department of Material and Life Science, Division of Advanced Science and Biotechnology, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan

Abstract

Catalytic four-electron reduction of O₂ by ferrocene (Fc) and 1,1'-dimethylferrocene (Me₂Fc) occurs efficiently with a dinuclear copper(II) complex [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OH)]²⁺ (**1**), where XYLO is a *m*-xylene-linked bis[(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine dinucleating ligand with copper-bridging phenolate moiety], in the presence of perchloric acid (HClO₄) in acetone at 298 K. The hydroxide and phenoxo group in [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OH)]²⁺ (**1**) undergo protonation with HClO₄ to produce [Cu^{II}₂(XYLOH)]⁴⁺ (**2**) where the two copper centers become independent and the reduction potential shifts from −0.68 V vs SCE in the absence of HClO₄ to 0.47 V; this makes possible the use of relatively weak one-electron reductants such as Fc and Me₂Fc, significantly reducing the effective overpotential in the catalytic O₂-reduction reaction. The mechanism of the reaction has been clarified based on kinetic studies on the overall catalytic reaction as well as each step in the catalytic cycle and also by low-temperature detection of intermediates. The O₂-binding to the fully reduced complex [Cu^I₂(XYLOH)]²⁺ (**3**) results in the reversible formation of the hydroperoxo complex ([Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺) (**4**), followed by proton-coupled electron-transfer (PCET) reduction to complete the overall O₂-to-2H₂O catalytic conversion.

Introduction

The heme/copper (heme *a*₃/Cu_B) heterodinuclear center in cytochrome *c* oxidases (CcO) catalyzes the four-electron and four-proton reduction of dioxygen (O₂) to water in the final stage of the respiratory chain (eq 1).^{1–4}

*Corresponding Author: fukuzumi@chem.eng.osaka-u.ac.jp; karlin@jhu.edu; wwnam@ewha.ac.kr.

Supporting Information. Figures S1–S11. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

The catalytic four-electron reduction of O_2 to water has attracted much interest because of the important role in respiration⁵ and also potential application in fuel cell technology.⁶ The four-electron reduction of O_2 is catalyzed by platinum instilled in carbon at the cathode in fuel cells.⁶⁻⁹ To achieve substantial activity, high loadings of this precious metal are required which have prompted research efforts to develop catalysts based on non-precious metals such as Co and Fe.¹⁰⁻¹⁸ Cu catalysts have also merited considerable interest,¹⁹⁻²⁴ in relation with copper containing enzymes, the so called multi-copper oxidases (MCO's), which efficiently effect the four-electron four-proton reduction to water as part of their function.²⁵⁻²⁸

In contrast to such heterogeneous systems, investigations on the catalytic reduction of O_2 by metal complexes in homogeneous systems have provided deeper insight into the catalytic mechanism of the two-electron and four-electron reduction of O_2 . Solution variable temperature kinetic studies and detection of reactive metal- O_2 intermediates reveal the controlling factors in the two- vs four-electron reduction of O_2 with metal complexes.²⁹⁻³⁵ The key feature is the modification of supporting ligand environments which can allow for differing copper-dioxygen intermediates.

So far we found that two mononuclear copper complexes having tmpa (tmpa = tris(2-pyridylmethyl)amine) (**A**),³⁶ bzpy1 = N,N-bis[2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl]benzylamine (**B**)³⁷ and one dinuclear copper complex with N3 ligand (N3 = $-(\text{CH}_2)_3$ -linked bis[(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine])³⁷ (**C**) (Scheme 1) efficiently catalyze the four-electron reduction of dioxygen via the formation of [$\{(\text{tmpa})\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}\}_2(\mu\text{-}1,2\text{-O}_2^{2-})\}^{2+}$] (**A1**), [$\text{Cu}^{\text{III}}_2(\text{bzpy}1)(\mu\text{-O}_2^{2-})_2\}^{2+}$] (**B1**) and [$\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{N3})(\mu\text{-}\eta^2\text{:}\eta^2\text{-O}_2^{2-})\}^{2+}$] (**C1**) intermediates, respectively, which were prone to proton promoted reductive O-O cleavage to give water, in preference to simple protonation leading to H_2O_2 ^{36,37}. However, the dinuclear copper(II) complex [$\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})\text{-(OH)}\}^{2+}$] (**1**) (Scheme 1) {where XYLO is a *m*-xylene-linked bis[(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine] dinucleating ligand with copper-bridging phenolate moiety} catalyzes the two-electron reduction of O_2 to hydrogen peroxide in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid (HOTf) via the hydroperoxo intermediate (**D1**).³⁸ In all cases, however, only a strong one-electron reductant such as decamethylferrocene (Fc^*) could be used to reduce O_2 with the copper complexes. There has so far been no example for the catalytic reduction of O_2 by weaker one-electron reductants than Fc^* with Cu complexes.

We report herein that [$\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})\}^{2+}$] (**1**) can instead act as an efficient catalyst for the four-electron reduction of O_2 by weaker one-electron reductants than Fc^* such as ferrocene (Fc) and 1,1'-dimethylferrocene (Me_2Fc) in the presence of HClO_4 in acetone. The reasons why the same catalyst can act in either the two-electron or four-electron reduction of O_2 by Fc^* and Fc in the presence of CF_3COOH and HClO_4 , respectively, are elucidated based on new kinetic studies on the overall catalytic reactions as well as each catalytic step and also by detection of copper-dioxygen derived intermediates which form during the catalytic cycle. The present study leads to successful achievement of two important features, which have never been previously observed:

- We have been able to effect catalytic O_2 reduction using significantly less overpotential with a dinuclear copper complex, which is of course more desirable and more energy efficient.

- We have been able to change the number of electrons in the catalytic reduction of O₂ from two electrons to four electrons by only increasing the acidity of the proton source employed.

The mechanistic insights obtained in this study should serve as useful and broadly applicable principles for future design of more efficient catalysts in fuel cells.

Results and Discussion

Catalytic Four-Electron Reduction of O₂ by Fc and Me₂Fc with **1** in the Presence of HClO₄

The addition of a catalytic amount of **1** to an air-saturated acetone solution of Me₂Fc and perchloric acid (HClO₄) results in the efficient reduction of O₂ by Me₂Fc to afford the corresponding dimethylferrocenium cation (Me₂Fc⁺). The spectral changes for the catalytic reduction of O₂ by Me₂Fc with **1** in the presence of HClO₄ in acetone at 298 K are shown in Figure S1 [see Supporting Information (SI)]. When more than four equivalents of Me₂Fc relative to O₂ (limiting [O₂]) were employed, four equivalents of Me₂Fc⁺ ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 650 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon = 360 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$) were formed in the presence of excess HClO₄ (Figure 1). From iodometric titration experiments, it was confirmed that no H₂O₂ had formed after completion of the reaction (Figure S2 in SI). Thus, the four-electron reduction of O₂ by Me₂Fc occurs efficiently with a catalytic amount of **1** in the presence of HClO₄ (eq 2).

When Me₂Fc was replaced by the weaker reductant Fc, the four-electron reduction of O₂ by Fc also occurred efficiently with **1** (Figure S3 in SI). The rate of formation of Me₂Fc⁺ and Fc⁺ obeyed pseudo-first order kinetics under the conditions that [**1**] << [O₂] < [Me₂Fc] < [HClO₄] (Figure 1 inset). The time profiles of the absorbance at 650 nm due to Me₂Fc⁺ and at 620 nm due to Fc⁺ and the first-order plots by varying HClO₄, O₂ and catalyst are shown in Figures S4, S5, S6a and Figure 2a, respectively. The pseudo-first order rate constant (k_{obs}) increased linearly with increasing concentration of **1** (Figures 2b and S6b). It should be noted that no oxidation of Me₂Fc occurs by O₂ in the presence of HClO₄ without **1**, under the present experimental conditions, even though ferrocene derivatives are known to be slowly oxidized by O₂ in the presence of strong acids.^{39,40} It should also be noted that the use of a non-coordinating solvent (acetone) is essential for the catalytic reduction of O₂ by Me₂Fc with **1** in the presence of HClO₄, because a coordinating solvent such as acetonitrile prohibits such chemistry. The k_{obs} values were also proportional to concentrations of HClO₄ (Figures 2c and S6c) and O₂ (Figures 2d and S6d). Thus, the kinetic equation is given by eqs 3 and 4, where k_{cat} is the apparent fourth-order rate constant (k_{cat}) for the catalytic four-electron reduction of O₂ by Me₂Fc

$$d[\text{Me}_2\text{Fc}^+]/dt = k_{\text{obs}}[\text{Me}_2\text{Fc}] \quad (3)$$

$$k_{\text{obs}} = k_{\text{cat}}[\text{1}][\text{O}_2][\text{H}^+] \quad (4)$$

when k_{obs} is given by eq 4. The k_{cat} values of Me₂Fc and Fc are listed in Table S1 in SI. The kinetic formulation in eqs 3 and 4 obtained in this study is quite unique because the rate is proportional to concentrations of not only **1** but also O₂, HClO₄ and Me₂Fc, in sharp contrast to the previously reported cases of Cu catalysis for O₂ reduction in which the rate was rather independent of O₂ or H⁺.³⁶⁻³⁸ In such a case, the rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle should involve the reactions of **1** with Me₂Fc, O₂ and H⁺. In order to

elucidate the catalytic mechanism that can explain such a unique kinetic formulation, we decided to examine each portion of the catalytic cycle, step by step.

Protonation of **1** to Produce Two Independent Cu Centers

The catalytic reduction of O₂ by Me₂Fc and Fc with **1** was made possible only by the presence of HClO₄. The effect of protonation of **1** was first examined by the spectral titration of **1** with HClO₄ (Figure 3). The absorption band at 378 nm due to [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OH)]²⁺ decreased with increasing concentration of HClO₄, and this was completely different from the spectral behavior of complex **1** with CF₃COOH (HOTF) where the absorption band was shifted to 420 nm and a clean isosbestic point was observed at 430 nm.³⁸ This spectral changes in the presence of HClO₄ indicate that not only the hydroxide group but also the phenoxo group of [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OH)]²⁺ (**1**) is protonated with HClO₄, a much stronger acid than CF₃COOH, to produce [Cu^{II}₂(XYLOH)]⁴⁺ (**2**) (Scheme 2).

The two-step protonation in Scheme 2 was confirmed by the EPR titration with HClO₄. The starting dinuclear copper(II) complex [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OH)](PF₆)₂ (**1**) is EPR silent because of antiferromagnetic coupling of the two Cu(II) ions (Figure 4a). It should be noted that when the complex **1** was protonated with trifluoroacetic acid (HOTF), the protonated complex was EPR silent, indicating the two Cu(II) ions still maintain an electronic/magnetic interaction after the protonation of **1** with HOTF.³⁸ In the presence of one equiv of HClO₄ which can protonate the OH group to produce H₂O afforded the EPR silent species. In the presence of excess HClO₄, however, a typical axial Cu(II) EPR spectroscopic signal was observed, indicating that both the hydroxide and the phenoxo group were protonated to produce two independent Cu(II) sites (Figure 4b).

Once the phenoxo group is protonated, the two Cu sites become independent and more electron deficient because of the lack of the coordination of the anionic phenoxo donor ligand. This was confirmed by the cyclic voltammetry (CV) and difference pulse voltammetry (DPV) measurements on **1** in the absence and presence of HClO₄ in acetone as shown in Figure 5. An irreversible cathodic peak current was observed at -1.08 V vs SCE at a sweep rate of 0.10 V s⁻¹, while the DPV exhibits the cathodic peak at -0.68 V vs SCE. The cathodic peak is much more negative as compared to the one-electron oxidation potential of Me₂Fc (*E*_{ox} = 0.26 V vs SCE) and Fc (*E*_{ox} = 0.37 V vs SCE).^{31,41} This is the reason why no electron transfer from Me₂Fc or Fc to **1** occurs in the absence of acid. In the presence of HClO₄, however, the one-electron reduction potential determined by DPV is shifted to the positive direction (*E*_{red} = 0.47 V vs SCE) (Figure 5b) which is now more positive than the oxidation potential of Fc (*E*_{ox} = 0.37 V vs SCE) and Me₂Fc (*E*_{ox} = 0.26 V vs SCE). Thus, electron transfer from Fc and Me₂Fc to **1** becomes energetically feasible in the presence of HClO₄. This was confirmed by examination of electron transfer from Fc and Me₂Fc to **1** in the presence of excess HClO₄ in acetone (vide infra).

Electron Transfer from Ferrocene Derivatives to **1** in the Presence of HClO₄

No electron transfer from Me₂Fc and Fc to [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OH)]²⁺ (**1**) occurs in the absence of HClO₄ in acetone at 298 K, whereas the electron transfer occurs to completion to produce the corresponding ferrocenium ions in the presence of HClO₄ (Scheme 3). The rates of electron transfer from Me₂Fc and Fc to **1** were determined in presence of HClO₄ at 298 K under an argon atmosphere. The rate of formation of Me₂Fc⁺ and Fc⁺ obeyed pseudo-first kinetics under the conditions that [HClO₄] >> [Me₂Fc] and [Fc] > [**1**] as shown in Figure S8. The amount of Me₂Fc⁺ produced by electron transfer from Me₂Fc to **1** in presence of HClO₄ is twice that observed for complex **1** (0.10 mM). This result indicates that the two Cu sites of protonated **1** (Scheme 2) act independently without any interaction between them. The observed pseudo-first-order rate constant (*k*_{obs}) increased linearly with increasing

concentration of Me₂Fc and Fc (Figure 6). The second-order rate constants (k_{et}) of electron transfer from Me₂Fc and Fc to **1** at 298 K were determined to be $2.7 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $1.8 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ from the slopes of linear plots of k_{obs} vs [Me₂Fc] and [Fc], respectively. The k_{et} values are listed in Table S1 together with the k_{cat} values.

The k_{et} values ($2.7 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ and $1.8 \times 10^2 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) of electron transfer from Me₂Fc and Fc to **1** in the presence of 40 mM HClO₄ are significantly larger than the corresponding $k_{\text{obs}}/[\textbf{1}]$ (see eqs 3 and 4) values ($42 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in Figure 2b and $10 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in Figure S6b) in the presence of 40 mM HClO₄, respectively. Thus, the electron-transfer step is not the rate-determining step in the catalytic cycle. The electron transfer from Me₂Fc and Fc to **1** in the presence of excess HClO₄ without O₂ results in formation of the protonated dinuclear Cu(I) complex, [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺, which can reduce O₂. Next we examined the reaction of [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺ with O₂ at low temperatures to detect any copper-dioxygen intermediate.

Reversible Binding of O₂ to [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺

When O₂ was bubbled into an acetone solution of separately synthesized dicopper(I) complex, [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺ at 193 K, the absorption band at 395 nm due to the hydroperoxo complex, [Cu₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺,⁴⁷ appeared immediately as shown in Figure 7 and Scheme 4. The yield of hydroperoxo complex, [Cu₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺ (**4**) was determined to be 100 % at 193 K. As the temperature increased, the absorption band at 395 nm due to [Cu₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺ decreased. This process was reversible at low temperatures up to 223 K (Figure 8a).⁴² The temperature dependence of K_{eq} was examined (Figure S9) and the van't Hoff plot (Figure 8b) afforded $\Delta H = -31 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ and $\Delta S = -86 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$. The equilibrium constant at 298 K was estimated to be 11 M^{-1} from the extrapolation of the van't Hoff plot. The equilibrium lies to the reactant side at 298 K when only a small portion of [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺ is converted to [Cu₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺ (~10%).

This intermediate was further reduced by decamethylferrocene (Fc*) in the presence of HClO₄ at 193 K (Scheme 5, Figure 9). Fc* was used because the reactions with Me₂Fc and Fc were too slow to be followed at 193 K.

An alternate reaction pathway that may contribute in a small way to the overall chemistry and catalytic cycle comes about if the hydroperoxo complex [Cu₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺ (**4**) is protonated in the presence of HClO₄ to yield hydrogen peroxide and protonated dicopper(II) complex, [Cu₂(XYLOH)]⁴⁺ (**2**) (Scheme 6a). This was separately demonstrated using excess HClO₄ (10 equiv) (Figure S10). However, we find that if hydrogen peroxide forms in this manner, it would be readily reduced to H₂O by the dicopper(I) complex [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺ (**3**) (Scheme 6b and Figure 10; see also Figure S11 in SI). Although H₂O₂ and Cu(II)-H₂O₂ adducts have been previously reported to react directly with acetone,^{43,44} clean and fast conversion of **3** with H₂O₂ to **1** in Figure 10 suggests the reaction of H₂O₂ and the Cu complex with acetone may be negligible under the present reaction conditions. The observed first-order rate constant (k_{obs}) increased linearly with increasing concentration of H₂O₂ (Figure 10b). The second-order rate constant (k_2) was determined to be $2.5 \times 10^3 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 298 K, which is much larger than the $k_{\text{obs}}/[\textbf{1}]$ values (vide supra). Thus, under the catalytic conditions, the H₂O₂ produced, if any, is rapidly reduced by [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺, contributing to the overall four-electron four-proton reduction of O₂.

The overall catalytic cycle is summarized in Scheme 7. The protonation of [Cu₂(XYLO)(OH)]²⁺ (**1**) results in formation of [Cu₂(XYLOH)]⁴⁺ (**2**), which can be reduced by two equiv of Fc and Me₂Fc to produce fully reduced dicopper(I) complex [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺ (**3**). The O₂-binding to (**3**) to produce hydroperoxo complex [Cu₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺ (**4**) is an equilibrium process. At 298 K, only a small portion of [Cu₂(XYLOH)]²⁺ is converted to

$[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$, the concentration of which is proportional to the O_2 concentration. $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ which is formed undergoes further reduction by PCET from Fc and Me_2Fc , leading to the four-electron reduction of O_2 . In this final two-electron peroxide reduction, the first PCET reduction may be the rate-determining step followed by the much faster second PCET reduction to produce H_2O . In such a case, the overall catalytic rate is proportional to concentrations of **1**, O_2 , HClO_4 and electron donors (Fc and Me_2Fc) as observed in Figures 2 and S4-S6. The PCET reduction of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ may compete with the protonation of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ (**4**) to generate H_2O_2 (Scheme 6); however, H_2O_2 thus produced is rapidly reduced to H_2O by $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ (vide supra).

According to Scheme 7, the equilibrium between $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ (**3**) with O_2 and $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ (**4**) at 298 K lies to the side of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ (vide infra), the $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{4+}$ complex is being converted to $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ during the catalytic reaction but $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{4+}$ (**2**) may be regenerated after the completion of the catalytic reaction when all ferrocenes were consumed. This was confirmed as the change in the EPR spectra as shown in Figure 11, where the EPR signal due to $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{4+}$ (**2**) observed before the reaction disappeared during the catalytic reaction but reappeared after completion of the reaction without exhibiting any decomposition.

The kinetic results (as described by eqs 3 and 4) and the absence of the EPR signal due to **2** during the catalytic reaction indicate that the reaction of **3** with O_2 , Me_2Fc and H^+ involves all of these species in the rate-determining step. As described above, we have also separately shown that **4** is formed by the reaction of **2** and O_2 at 193 K (Figure 7).⁴⁷ At 298 K, the equilibrium for the formation of **4** lies to **2** (vide supra), when the concentration of **4** may be proportional to $[\text{O}_2]$. Then, the PCET reduction of **4** by Me_2Fc may compete with the formation of H_2O_2 by the protonation of **4**. At 193 K, the protonation of **4** to produce H_2O_2 may be the major pathway as indicated by the results in Figure 9. At 298 K, however, the PCET reduction of **4** by Me_2Fc may be the major pathway when the rate of formation of Me_2Fc^+ is derived as given by eq 5, where k_{PCET} is the rate constant of PCET reduction of **4**

$$\frac{d[\text{Me}_2\text{Fc}^+]}{dt} = k_{\text{PCET}} K [\text{Me}_2\text{Fc}] [\text{1}] [\text{O}_2] [\text{H}^+] \quad (5)$$

and K is the equilibrium constant of formation of **4** with O_2 from **3**. The derived kinetic equation (eq 5) agrees with the experimental observations in eqs 3 and 4. If the protonation of **4** to produce H_2O_2 was the major pathway at 298 K, the rate would not be dependent of Me_2Fc , because electron transfer from Me_2Fc to **2** was shown to be too fast to be involved in the rate-determining step. Because the rate is proportional to $[\text{Me}_2\text{Fc}]$, the rate-determining step must be the PCET reduction of **4** by Me_2Fc . It should be noted that the protonation of **1** is completed in the presence of HClO_4 (> 10 mM) as shown in Figure 2, when the linear dependence of the rate on concentration of HClO_4 (> 10 mM) in Figure 2c results from the rate-determining PCET reduction of **4**.

Conclusion

A dinuclear copper(II) complex ($[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})]^{2+}$) acts as an efficient catalyst for the four-electron reduction of O_2 by Me_2Fc and Fc with HClO_4 in acetone as shown in Scheme 7. The hydroxide group as well as the phenoxo group of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})]^{2+}$ (**1**) were protonated with HClO_4 to produce $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{4+}$ (**2**) which can be reduced by Me_2Fc and Fc to produce $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ (**3**). The dinuclear Cu(I) complex $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ (**3**) reacts with O_2 to produce the hydroperoxo complex ($[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ (**4**)), and this is followed by PCET reduction, leading to the catalytic four-electron reduction of O_2 by Fc and Me_2Fc .

It is instructive to compare and contrast the chemistry described here with that previously reported,³⁸ both with exactly the same catalyst, $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})]^{2+}$ (**1**) (Schemes 1 & 8) but having very differing behaviors. As indicated in the summary in Scheme 8, **1** is quite difficult to reduce, but in the presence of HClO_4 , the bridging hydroxide ligand is displaced (as H_2O) and now, reduction to a dicopper(I) (or a mixed-valent form, $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}(\text{XYLO})]^{2+}$)³⁸ is possible; it is this/these forms which are required for O_2 -binding and initial reduction to the peroxide level. With HOTF, however, the phenoxo O-atom still bridges the Cu(II) ions leaving the redox potential negative enough to require stronger reductants such as Me_3Fc or Fc^* . A key coordination chemistry aspect is that HClO_4 as proton source is strong enough to break the phenoxide bridge between copper ions, allowing facile reduction of the Cu(II) ions with Me_2Fc or even Fc itself; the complex produced, $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLOH})]^{4+}$ (**2**) now has Cu(II) ions possessing only N_3 bis[(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine] chelation (Scheme 8). Thus, the change to perchloric acid facilitates a drop in effective overpotential of ~ 0.30 V, or more (Scheme 8).

Perchloric acid effects another dramatic change; the reaction mechanism switches from the catalytic two-electron two-proton reduction of O_2 to H_2O_2 with HOTF, to the catalytic four-electron four-proton reduction of O_2 to water with HClO_4 . Firstly, for the HOTF case, dicopper(II) reduction is rate limiting, but with HClO_4 , PCET reduction/protonation of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})-(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ (**4**) is the rate-determining step. Secondly, note that in both systems, the hydroperoxo complex **4** is the key oxygen-intermediate which is formed. HOTF readily protonates off the bound $-\text{OOH}$ ligand giving H_2O_2 , but it is not strong enough to allow PCET hydroperoxide reduction to water. Perchloric acid does facilitate the latter hydroperoxide reductive cleavage to water, accounting for the differing stoichiometries of catalytic O_2 -reduction chemistry.

Although the mechanism of the PCET reduction of **4** by Me_2Fc has yet to be clarified, the chemistry described here provides the first example of four-electron reduction of O_2 by weaker one-electron reductants than Fc^* such as Fc and Me_2Fc in the presence of HClO_4 in acetone by a copper complex acting as a catalyst. The present study opens a new approach to achieve less overpotential which is more desirable and more energy efficient for the catalytic four-electron reduction of O_2 using copper complexes.

Experimental Section

Materials

Grade quality solvents and chemicals were obtained commercially and used without further purification unless otherwise noted. Decamethylferrocene (Fc^*) (97%), 1,1'-dimethylferrocene (Me_2Fc), ferrocene (Fc), hydrogen peroxide (30%) and HClO_4 (70%) were purchased from Aldrich Co., U.S., and NaI (99.5%) was from Junsei Chemical Co., Japan. Acetone was purchased from JT Baker, U.S., and used either without further purification for non-air-sensitive experiment or dried and distilled under argon and then deoxygenated by bubbling with argon for 30–45 min and kept over activated molecular sieve (4 Å) for air-sensitive experiments.⁴⁵ Preparation and handling of air-sensitive compounds were performed under Ar atmosphere (< 1 ppm O_2 and < 1 ppm H_2O) in a glove box (Korea Kiyon Co., Ltd.). The copper complexes, $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLH})(\text{CH}_3\text{CN})_2](\text{PF}_6)_2$ ($\text{XYLH} = m$ -xylene-linked bis[(2-(2-pyridyl)ethyl)amine]),⁴⁶ which is a precursor complex, $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})](\text{PF}_6)_2$ (**1**) and $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYLOH})](\text{PF}_6)_2$, were prepared according to the literature procedures.⁴⁷

Instrumentation

UV-vis spectra were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 8453 diode array spectrophotometer equipped with a UNISOKU Scientific Instruments Cryostat USP-203A for low-temperature experiments or an UNISOKU RSP-601 stopped-flow spectrometer equipped with a MOS-type highly sensitive photodiode array. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and differential pulse voltammetry (DPV) measurements were performed on an ALS 630B electrochemical analyzer, and voltammograms were measured in deaerated acetone containing 0.20 M TBAPF₆ as a supporting electrolyte at room temperature. A conventional three-electrode cell was used with a gold working electrode (surface area of 0.3 mm²), and a platinum wire was the counter electrode. The gold working electrode was routinely polished with BAS polishing alumina suspension and rinsed with acetone before use. The potentials were measured with respect to the Ag/AgNO₃ (10 mM) reference electrode and were converted to values vs SCE by adding 0.29 V.⁴⁸ All electrochemical measurements were carried out under an atmospheric pressure of nitrogen. X-band EPR spectra were recorded at 5 K or 20 K using an X-band Bruker EMX-plus spectrometer equipped with a dual mode cavity (ER 4116DM). Low temperature was achieved and controlled with an Oxford Instruments ESR900 liquid He quartz cryostat with an Oxford Instruments ITC503 temperature and gas flow controller. The experimental parameters for EPR spectra were as follows: microwave frequency = 9.646 GHz at 5K and 9.654 GHz at 20 K, microwave power = 1.0 mW, modulation amplitude = 10 G, gain = 5×10^3 , modulation frequency = 100 kHz, time constant = 81.92 ms, and conversion time = 81.00 ms.

Kinetic Measurements

The UV-vis spectral changes were recorded on a Hewlett-Packard 8453 diode array spectrophotometer equipped with Unisoku thermostatted cell holder for low temperature experiments. Rate constants in the oxidation reaction of ferrocene derivatives by O₂ in the presence of catalytic amount of **1** and excess amount of perchloric acid (HClO₄) in acetone at 298 K were determined by monitoring the appearance of the absorption band due to the corresponding ferrocenium ions (Fc⁺: $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 620 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 430 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Me₂Fc⁺: $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 650 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 360 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Fc^{•+}: $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 780 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon_{\text{max}} = 520 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$). The limiting concentration of O₂ in an acetone solution was prepared by a mixed gas flow of O₂ and Ar. The mixed gas controlled by using a gas mixer (SMTEK, Korea), which can mix O₂ and Ar gases at a certain pressure and flow rate for the rate measurements with different concentrations of O₂ in Figure 2c. The rate constants as determined from the first-order plots are provided in the SI (Table S1).

Spectroscopic Measurements

The amount of H₂O₂ was determined by titration with iodide ion.⁴⁹ The diluted acetone solution of the reduced product of O₂ was treated with an excess of NaI. The amount of I₃⁻ formed was then quantified using its visible spectrum ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 361 \text{ nm}$, $\epsilon = 2.5 \times 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$).

Low-Temperature Experiments Concerning the Generation of [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OOH)]²⁺ (**4**)

Under an argon atmosphere within a glove box, [Cu^I₂(XYLOH)](PF₆)₂ (0.11 mM) was dissolved in 3.0 mL of O₂-free acetone. The cuvette was fully sealed with a septum and quickly removed from the glove box and cooled to -80 °C in the UV-vis spectrophotometer equipped with a thermostatted cell holder. O₂ was gently bubbled through the reaction solution, the formation of the hydroperoxo species was followed by the change in the absorbance at 395 nm.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by Grants-in-Aid (Scientific Research on Innovative Areas Nos. 20108010 to S.F. and by NRF/MEST of Korea through CRI (to W.N.), GRL (2010-00353) (to W.N.) and WCU (R31-2008-000-10010-0) (to W.N., S.F. and K.D.K.). K.D.K. also acknowledges support from the USA National Institutes of Health grant, GM28962.

REFERENCES

- (1). (a) Pereira MM, Santana M, Teixeira M. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*. 2001; 1505:185. [PubMed: 11334784] (b) Tsukihara T, Aoyama H, Yamashita E, Tomizaki T, Yamaguchi H, Shinzawa-Itoh K, Nakashima R, Yaono R, Yoshikawa S. *Science*. 1995; 269:1069. [PubMed: 7652554] (c) Yoshikawa S, Shinzawa-Itoh K, Nakashima R, Yaono R, Yamashita E, Inoue N, Yao M, Fei MJ, Libeu CP, Mizushima T, Yamaguchi H, Tomizaki T, Tsukihara T. *Science*. 1998; 280:1723. [PubMed: 9624044]
- (2). (a) Malmström BG. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1993; 26:332.(b) Malmström BG. *Chem. Rev.* 1990; 90:1247.(c) Winter M, Brodd RJ. *Chem. Rev.* 2004; 104:4245. [PubMed: 15669155]
- (3). (a) Ferguson-Miller S, Babcock GT. *Chem. Rev.* 1996; 96:2889. [PubMed: 11848844] (b) Hosler JP, Ferguson-Miller S, Mills DA. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* 2006; 75:165. [PubMed: 16756489] (c) Kaila VRI, Verkhovsky MI, Wikström M. *Chem. Rev.* 2010; 110:7062. [PubMed: 21053971]
- (4). (a) Kim E, Chufán EE, Kamaraj K, Karlin KD. *Chem. Rev.* 2004; 104:1077. [PubMed: 14871150] (b) Chufán EE, Puiu SC, Karlin KD. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2007; 40:563. [PubMed: 17550225] (c) Collman JP, Boulatov R, Sunderland CJ, Fu L. *Chem. Rev.* 2004; 104:561. [PubMed: 14871135]
- (5). Babcock GT, Wikström M. *Nature*. 1992; 356:301. [PubMed: 1312679]
- (6). (a) Stambouli AB, Traversa E. *Renew. Sust. Energy Rev.* 2002; 6:295.(b) Markovi NM, Schmidt TJ, Stamenkovi V, Ross PN. *Fuel Cells*. 2001; 1:105.(c) Steele BCH, Heinzel A. *Nature*. 2001; 414:345. [PubMed: 11713541]
- (7). Borup R, Meyers J, Pivovar B, Kim YS, Mukundan R, Garland N, Myers D, Wilson M, Garzon F, Wood D, Zelenay P, More K, Stroh K, Zawodzinski T, Boncella J, McGrath JE, Inaba M, Miyatake K, Hori M, Ota K, Ogumi Z, Miyata S, Nishikata A, Siroma Z, Uchimoto Y, Yasuda K, Kimijima K.-i. Iwashita N. *Chem. Rev.* 2007; 107:3904. [PubMed: 17850115]
- (8). (a) Cracknell JA, Vincent KA, Armstrong FA. *Chem. Rev.* 2008; 108:2439. [PubMed: 18620369] (b) Willner I, Yan Y-M, Willner B, Tel-Vered R. *Fuel Cells*. 2009; 9:7.
- (9). Shin H, Lee D-H, Kang C, Karlin KD. *Electrochim. Acta*. 2003; 48:4077.
- (10). (a) Lee, K.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, J. *PEM Fuel Cell Electrocatalysts and Catalyst Layers*. Springer; London: 2008. p. 715(b) Anson FC, Shi C, Steiger B. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 1997; 30:437.
- (11). (a) Wang B. J. *Power Sources*. 2005:152.(b) Peljo P, Rauhala T, Murtomäki L, Kallio T, Kontturi K. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*. 2011; 36:10033.
- (12). (a) Collman JP, Devaraj NK, Decréau RA, Yang Y, Yan Y-L, Ebina W, Eberspacher TA, Chidsey CED. *Science*. 2007; 315:1565. [PubMed: 17363671] (b) Collman JP, Decréau RA, Lin H, Hosseini A, Yang Y, Dey A, Eberspacher TA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2009; 106:7320. [PubMed: 19380725] (c) Collman JP, Ghosh S, Dey A, Decréau RA, Yang Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:5034. [PubMed: 19317484]
- (13). (a) Kadish KM, Frémond L, Shen J, Chen P, Ohkubo K, Fukuzumi S, El Ojaimi M, Gros CP, Barbe J-M, Guillard R. *Inorg. Chem.* 2009; 48:2571. [PubMed: 19215120] (b) Kadish KM, Shen J, Frémond L, Chen P, Ojaimi ME, Chkounda M, Gros CP, Barbe J-M, Ohkubo K, Fukuzumi S, Guillard R. *Inorg. Chem.* 2008; 47:6726. [PubMed: 18582035] (c) Ou Z, Lü A, Meng D, Huang S, Fang Y, Lu G, Kadish KM. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012; 51:8890. [PubMed: 22862797]
- (14). (a) Chen W, Akhigbe J, Brückner C, Li CM, Lei Y. *J. Phys. Chem. C*. 2010; 114:8633.(b) Kadish KM, Fremond L, Ou Z, Shao J, Shi C, Anson FC, Burdet F, Gros CP, Barbe JM, Guillard R. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:5625. [PubMed: 15826202]

- (15). (a) Rosenthal J, Nocera DG. *Acc. Chem. Res.* 2007; 40:543. [PubMed: 17595052] (b) Chang CJ, Loh Z-H, Shi C, Anson FC, Nocera DG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:10013. [PubMed: 15303875]
- (16). (a) Dogutan DK, Stoian SA, McGuire R, Schwalbe M, Teets TS, Nocera DG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:131. [PubMed: 21142043] (b) Teets TS, Cook TR, McCarthy BD, Nocera DG. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:8114. [PubMed: 21539366]
- (17). (a) Carver CT, Matson BD, Mayer JM. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:5444. [PubMed: 22394189] (b) Samanta S, Sengupta K, Mittra K, Bandyopadhyay S, Dey A. *Chem. Commun.* 2012; 48:7631.
- (18). Fukuzumi S, Yamada Y, Karlin KD. *Electrochim. Acta.* 2012; 82:493. [PubMed: 23457415]
- (19). (a) Li W, Yu A, Higgins DC, Llanos BG, Chen Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:17056. (b) Ward AL, Elbaz L, Kerr JB, Arnold J. *Inorg. Chem.* 2012; 51:4694. [PubMed: 22458367]
- (20). (a) Zhang J, Anson FC. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 1992; 341:323. (b) Zhang J, Anson FC. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* 1993; 348:81.
- (21). (a) Zhang J, Anson FC. *Electrochim. Acta.* 1993; 38:2423. (b) Lei Y, Anson FC. *Inorg. Chem.* 1994; 33:5003.
- (22). (a) Weng YC, Fan F-RF, Bard AJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2005; 127:17576. [PubMed: 16351066] (b) Thorum MS, Yadav J, Gewirth AA. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2009; 48:165.
- (23). (a) McCrory CCL, Ottenwaelder X, Stack TDP, Chidsey CED. *J. Phys. Chem. A.* 2007; 111:12641. [PubMed: 18076134] (b) McCrory CCL, Devadoss A, Ottenwaelder X, Lowe RD, T. Stack TDP, Chidsey CED. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011; 133:3696. [PubMed: 21366244]
- (24). (a) Pichon C, Mialane P, Dolbecq A, Marrot J, Riviere E, Keita B, Nadjo L, Secheresse F. *Inorg. Chem.* 2007; 46:5292. [PubMed: 17511448] (b) Dias VLN, Fernandes EN, da Silva LMS, Marques EP, Zhang J, Marques ALB. *J. Power Sources.* 2005; 142:10. (c) Losada J, del Peso I, Beyer L. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* 2001; 321:107.
- (25). (a) Solomon EI, Ginsbach JW, Heppner DE, Kieber-Emmons MT, Kjaergaard CH, Smeets PJ, Tian L, Woertink JS. *Faraday Discuss.* 2011; 148:11. [PubMed: 21322475] (b) Solomon EI, Sundaram UM, Machonkin TE. *Chem. Rev.* 1996; 96:2563. [PubMed: 11848837]
- (26). Farver, O.; Pecht, I. *Multi-Copper Oxidases*. Messerschmidt, A., editor. World Scientific; Singapore: 1997.
- (27). (a) Djoko KY, Chong LX, Wedd AG, Xiao Z. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:2005. [PubMed: 20088522] (b) Kosman D. *J. Biol. Inorg. Chem.* 2010; 15:15. [PubMed: 19816718]
- (28). (a) Tan Y, Deng W, Li Y, Huang Z, Meng Y, Xie Q, Ma M, Yao S. *J. Phys. Chem. B.* 2010; 114:5016. [PubMed: 20337455] (b) Farver O, Tepper AWJW, Wherland S, Canters GW, Pecht I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:18226. [PubMed: 19968274] (c) Thorseth MA, Tornow CE, Tse ECM, Gewirth AA. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2013; 257:130.
- (29). (a) Fukuzumi S. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* 2009; 56:49. (b) Fukuzumi S. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1997; 70:1.
- (30). (a) Fukuzumi S, Ohkubo K. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2010; 254:372. (b) Fukuzumi S. *Chem. Lett.* 2008; 37:808.
- (31). (a) Fukuzumi S, Mochizuki S, Tanaka T. *Inorg. Chem.* 1989; 28:2459. (b) Fukuzumi S, Mochizuki S, Tanaka T. *Inorg. Chem.* 1990; 29:653. (c) Fukuzumi S, Mochizuki S, Tanaka T. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* 1989:391.
- (32). (a) Fukuzumi S, Okamoto K, Gros CP, Guillard R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:10441. [PubMed: 15315460] (b) Fukuzumi S, Okamoto K, Tokuda Y, Gros CP, Guillard R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2004; 126:17059. [PubMed: 15612745]
- (33). Halime Z, Kotani H, Li Y, Fukuzumi S, Karlin KD. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 2011; 108:13990. [PubMed: 21808032]
- (34). (a) Peljo P, Murtomäki L, Kallio T, Xu H-J, Meyer M, Gros CP, Barbe J-M, Girault HH, Laasonen K, Kontturi K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134:5974. [PubMed: 22420745] (b) Su B, Hatay I, Trojánec A, Samec Z, Khoury T, Gros CP, Barbe J-M, Daina A, Carrupt P-A, Girault HH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010; 132:2655. [PubMed: 20131825] (c) Hatay I, Su B, Li F, Méndez MA, Khoury T, Gros CP, Barbe J-M, Ersoz M, Samec Z, Girault HH. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2009; 131:13453. [PubMed: 19715275]

- (35). (a) Devoille AMJ, Love JB. Dalton Trans. 2012; 41:65. [PubMed: 22027999] (b) Askarizadeh E, Yaghoob SB, Boghaei DM, Slawinc AMZ, Love JB. Chem. Commun. 2010; 46:710.
- (36). Fukuzumi S, Kotani H, Lucas HR, Doi K, Suenobu T, Peterson RL, Karlin KD. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010; 132:6874. [PubMed: 20443560]
- (37). Tahsini L, Kotani H, Lee Y-M, Cho J, Nam W, Karlin KD, Fukuzumi S. Chem.-Eur. J. 2012; 18:1084. [PubMed: 22237962]
- (38). Fukuzumi S, Tahsini L, Lee Y-M, Ohkubo K, Nam W, Karlin KD. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012; 134:7025. [PubMed: 22462521]
- (39). (a) Prins R, Kortbeek AGTG. J. Organometal. Chem. 1971; 33:C33.(b) Fukuzumi S, Chiba M, Ishikawa M, Ishikawa K, Tanaka T. J. Chem. Soc., Perlin Trans. 2. 1989:1417.
- (40). (a) Su B, Nia RP, Li F, Hojeij M, Prudent M, Corminboeuf C, Samec Z, Girault HH. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008; 47:4675.(b) Su B, Hatay I, Ge PY, Mendez M, Corminboeuf C, Samec Z, Ersoz M, Girault HH. Chem. Commun. 2010; 46:2918.
- (41). The E_{ox} values of ferrocene derivatives in acetone are virtually the same as those in MeCN; see: Noviadri I, Brown KN, Fleming DS, Gulyas PT, Lay PA, Masters AF, Phillips L. J. Phys. Chem. B. 1999; 103:6713. Further, we checked to see if the E_{ox} values of ferrocene derivatives remain the same in the presence of $HClO_4$, and in fact they do (see Figure S7 in SI).
- (42). At higher temperature, however, $Cu^{II}_2(XYLO)(OOH)]^{2+}$ started to decompose.
- (43). Sauer MCV, Edwards JO. J. Phys. Chem. 1971; 75:3004.
- (44). Kunishita A, Scanlon JD, Ishimaru H, Honda K, Ogura T, Suzuki M, Cramer CJ, Itoh S. Inorg. Chem. 2008; 47:8222. [PubMed: 18698765]
- (45). Armarego, WLF.; Chai, CLL. Purification of Laboratory Chemicals. 6th ed.. Pergamon Press; Oxford: 2009.
- (46). Karlin KD, Hayes JC, Gultneh Y, Cruse RW, McKown JW, Hutchinson JP, Zubieta J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984; 106:2121.
- (47). Karlin KD, Ghosh P, Cruse RW, Farooq A, Gultneh Y, Jacobson RR, Blackburn NJ, Strange RW, Zubieta J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988; 110:6769.
- (48). Mann, CK.; Barnes, KK. Electrochemical Reactions in Nonaqueous Systems. Marcel Dekker; New York: 1990.
- (49). (a) Mair RD, Graupner AJ. Anal. Chem. 1964; 36:194.(b) Fukuzumi S, Kuroda S, Tanaka T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985; 107:3020.

Figure 1.

UV-vis spectral changes observed in the four-electron reduction of O_2 (1.0 mM) by Me_2Fc (6.0 mM) with HClO_4 (40 mM) catalyzed by **1** (0.20 mM) in acetone at 298 K. Inset shows the time profile of the absorbance at 650 nm due to Me_2Fc^+ .

Figure 2.

(a) Time profiles of the absorbance at 650 nm due to Me_2Fc^+ in the four-electron reduction of O_2 catalyzed by **1** (0.080 mM (green), 0.10 mM (black), 0.12 mM (red), 0.20 mM (dark yellow) and 0.25 mM (blue)) with Me_2Fc (4.0 mM) in the presence of HClO_4 (40 mM) in an air-saturated ($[\text{O}_2] = 2.2$ mM) acetone solution at 298 K. (b) Plot of k_{obs} vs $[1]$ for the four-electron reduction of O_2 catalyzed by **1** with Me_2Fc (4.0 mM) in the presence of HClO_4 (40 mM) in an air-saturated ($[\text{O}_2] = 2.2$ mM) acetone solution at 298 K. (c) Plot of k_{obs} vs $[\text{HClO}_4]$ for the four-electron reduction of O_2 by Me_2Fc (4.0 mM) catalyzed by **1** (0.12 mM) in an acetone solution containing O_2 (2.2 mM) at 298 K. (d) Plot of k_{obs} vs $[\text{O}_2]$ for the four-electron reduction of O_2 catalyzed by **1** (0.20 mM) with Me_2Fc (3.2 mM) in the presence of HClO_4 (40 mM) in an acetone solution at 298 K.

Figure 3.

(a) UV-visible spectral changes of [Cu^{II}₂(XYLO)(OH)](PF₆)₂ (**1**) (0.20 mM) upon addition of HClO₄ (0.0–6.0 mM) in acetone at 298 K. (b) Absorbance changes at 378 nm as a function of HClO₄ concentration.

Figure 4. X-band EPR spectra of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})](\text{PF}_6)_2$ (**1**) (1.0 mM) in the (a) absence and (b) presence of HClO_4 (5.0 mM) recorded in acetone at 5 K. The experimental parameters: microwave frequency = 9.646 GHz, microwave power = 1.0 mW and modulation frequency = 100 kHz.

Figure 5. Cyclic voltammograms (CV, solid line) and differential pulse voltammograms (DPV, dotted line) of **1** (2.0 mM) in the (a) absence and (b) presence of HClO₄ (50 mM) in deaerated acetone at 298 K. TBAPF₆ (0.20 M) was used as an electrolyte.

Figure 6.

(a) Plot of k_{obs} vs $[\text{Me}_2\text{Fc}]$ in the electron transfer from Me_2Fc to $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})](\text{PF}_6)_2$ (**1**) (0.10 mM) in presence of HClO_4 (40 mM) in acetone at 298 K. (b) Plot of k_{obs} vs $[\text{Fc}]$ in the electron transfer from Fc to **1** (0.10 mM) in presence of HClO_4 (40 mM) in acetone at 298 K.

Figure 7. Formation of the hydroperoxo complex, $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ ($\lambda_{\text{max}} = 395 \text{ nm}$) in the reaction of $[\text{Cu}^{\text{I}}_2(\text{XYL-OH})]^{2+}$ (0.11 mM) with O_2 in acetone at 193 K.

Figure 8.

(a) UV-visible spectra indicating the reversible nature of dioxygen binding to $[\text{Cu}_2^{\text{I}}(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ (**3**). Bubbling O_2 into an acetone solution of **3** produces $[\text{Cu}_2^{\text{II}}(\text{XYLO})(\text{OOH})]^{2+}$ (**4**) at 193 K (black, solid line) (inset zoom view). Increasing the temperature up to 223 K produces dark yellow solid spectrum. After cooling to 193 K again gives black dotted spectrum. (b) van't Hoff plot to determine the activation parameters, enthalpy and entropy, in the dioxygen binding to $[\text{Cu}_2^{\text{I}}(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ in acetone.

Figure 9.

Formation of Fc^{*+} by addition of Fc^* (0.25 mM) and HClO_4 (40 mM) to the hydroperoxo complex (0.060 mM) (generated by O_2 bubbling to the solution of $[\text{Cu}_2^{\text{I}}(\text{XYLOH})]^{2+}$ (0.060 mM)) at 193 K.

Figure 10.

(a) UV-vis spectral changes observed in the reaction of H_2O_2 (0.033 mM) with $[\text{Cu}_2^{\text{I}}(\text{OH})]^{2+}$ (0.025 mM) in acetone at 298 K. Inset shows the time profile monitored at 378 nm due to the formation of $[\text{Cu}_2^{\text{II}}(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})]^{2+}$. (b) Plot of k_{obs} vs $[\text{H}_2\text{O}_2]$ in the reaction of H_2O_2 with $[\text{Cu}_2^{\text{I}}(\text{OH})]^{2+}$ (0.025 mM) in acetone at 298 K.

Figure 11.

EPR spectra of (a) $[\text{Cu}^{\text{II}}_2(\text{XYLO})(\text{OH})](\text{PF}_6)_2$ (**1**) (0.040 mM) with HClO_4 (40 mM) and (b, c) the reaction solution of **1** (0.040 mM) with Me_2Fc (10 mM) in the presence of HClO_4 (40 mM) in O_2 -saturated acetone at 298 K [(b) during the reaction and (c) after completion of the reaction]. Spectra were recorded at 20 K. The experimental parameters: microwave frequency = 9.654 GHz, microwave power = 1.0 mW and modulation frequency = 100 kHz.

Scheme 1.

Scheme 2.

Scheme 3.

Scheme 4.

Scheme 5.

Scheme 6.

Scheme 7.

Scheme 8.