RESEARCH PAPER

A study of subunit selectivity, mechanism and site of action of the delta selective compound 2 (DS2) at human recombinant and rodent native GABA_A receptors

Correspondence

NR Mirza, Aniona ApS,
Pederstrupvej 93, Ballerup,
DK-2750, Denmark. E-mail:
max@aniona.com

Keywords

GABA_A receptor; DS2; δ -subunit;
 $\alpha 4$ -subunit; site of interaction;
brain-slice electrophysiology

Received

13 January 2012

Revised

18 July 2012

Accepted

23 August 2012

ML Jensen¹, KA Wafford², AR Brown³, D Belelli³, JJ Lambert³ and
NR Mirza^{1,4}

¹NeuroSearch A/S, Ballerup, Denmark, ²Eli Lilly UK, Surrey, UK, ³The Division of Neuroscience,
The Medical Research Institute, Ninewells Hospital and Medical School, The University of
Dundee, Dundee, UK, and ⁴Aniona ApS, Ballerup, Denmark

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE

Most GABA_A receptor subtypes comprise 2 α , 2 β and 1 γ subunit, although for some isoforms, a δ replaces a γ -subunit. Extrasynaptic δ -GABA_A receptors are important therapeutic targets, but there are few suitable pharmacological tools. We profiled DS2, the purported positive allosteric modulator (PAM) of δ -GABA_A receptors to better understand subtype selectivity, mechanism/site of action and activity at native δ -GABA_A receptors.

EXPERIMENTAL APPROACH

Subunit specificity of DS2 was determined using electrophysiological recordings of *Xenopus laevis* oocytes expressing human recombinant GABA_A receptor isoforms. Effects of DS2 on GABA concentration–response curves were assessed to define mechanisms of action. Radioligand binding and electrophysiology utilising mutant receptors and pharmacology were used to define site of action. Using brain-slice electrophysiology, we assessed the influence of DS2 on thalamic inhibition in wild-type and $\delta^{0/0}$ mice.

KEY RESULTS

Actions of DS2 were primarily determined by the δ -subunit but were additionally influenced by the α , but not the β , subunit ($\alpha 4/6\beta \times \delta > \alpha 1\beta \times \delta \gg \gamma 2$ -GABA_A receptors $> \alpha 4\beta 3$). For δ -GABA_A receptors, DS2 enhanced maximum responses to GABA, with minimal influence on GABA potency. (iii) DS2 did not act *via* the orthosteric, or known modulatory sites on GABA_A receptors. (iv) DS2 enhanced tonic currents of thalamocortical neurones from wild-type but not $\delta^{0/0}$ mice.

CONCLUSIONS AND IMPLICATIONS

DS2 is the first PAM selective for $\alpha 4/6\beta \times \delta$ receptors, providing a novel tool to investigate extrasynaptic δ -GABA_A receptors. The effects of DS2 are mediated by an unknown site leading to GABA_A receptor isoform selectivity.

Abbreviations

DS1, delta selective compound 1; DS2, delta selective compound 2; EC_x, effective concentration giving the activation degree of X; GABA_AR, GABA_A receptor; NAM, negative allosteric modulator; PAM, positive allosteric modulator; VB neurons, ventrobasal thalamic neurons

Introduction

The GABA_A receptor is the major inhibitory receptor in the mammalian brain. When the neurotransmitter GABA binds to and activates the receptor, an associated conformational change of the protein permits chloride ions to pass through the receptor pore, thereby leading in most instances to neuronal hyperpolarization. GABA_A receptors are pentameric proteins made from the assembly of homologous subunits of various types (α 1–6, β 1–3, γ 1–3, δ , ϵ , θ and π) (Sieghart, 1995; Barnard *et al.*, 1998; receptor nomenclature follows Alexander *et al.*, 2011). The majority of GABA_A receptors have the general stoichiometry of 2 α , 2 β and 1 γ subunit. However, a subpopulation of receptors is composed of 2 α , 2 β and 1 δ subunit, with the δ -subunit replacing the γ -subunit. GABA_A receptors incorporating the δ -subunit are primarily found in peri- or extrasynaptic locations where they are subject to activation by low ambient GABA concentrations (Belelli *et al.*, 2009). Indeed, δ -GABA_A receptors are highly sensitive to GABA and exhibit little desensitization (Nusser *et al.*, 1998; Farrant and Nusser, 2005; Houston *et al.*, 2009; Bright *et al.*, 2011). GABA 'spill-over' from the synapse reaching a concentration in the low μ M range (Mody, 2005) is believed to contribute to the tonic activation of extrasynaptic receptors. This suggestion has been supported by electrophysiological studies using brain slice preparations, where in certain neurones the GABA_A receptor antagonists bicuculline, gabazine and picrotoxin, inhibit the basal current (Brickley *et al.*, 1996; 1999; Jia *et al.*, 2005; Chandra *et al.*, 2006).

Three δ -GABA_A receptor isoforms have been identified *in vivo*, namely α 4 β 2/3 δ receptors expressed in thalamic relay neurons and dentate gyrus granule cells (Porcello *et al.*, 2003; Belelli *et al.*, 2005; Chandra *et al.*, 2006), α 6 β 2/3 δ receptors expressed in cerebellar granule cells (Nusser *et al.*, 1998) and a population of α 1 β 2/3 δ receptors, proposed to occur in certain hippocampal interneurons (Sun *et al.*, 2004; Mangan *et al.*, 2005). Mice with a genetic deletion of the δ -subunit have provided an insight to the physiological relevance of these δ -GABA_ARs, knowledge that has been supplemented with a phenotypic analysis of α 4 and α 6 'knock-out' mice, the two α -subunits primarily associated with the δ -subunit. For example, $\delta^{0/0}$ mice are more susceptible to seizures (Spiegelman *et al.*, 2002), have enhanced trace fear conditioning (Wiltgen *et al.*, 2005) and have an altered response in phase 2 of the formalin-induced nociception test (Bonin *et al.*, 2011). Further tonic currents in the thalamus and dentate gyrus of α 4 $^{0/0}$ mice (Chandra *et al.*, 2006) and in cerebellar granule cells in α 6 $^{0/0}$ mice (Brickley *et al.*, 2001) are significantly reduced.

From a therapeutic perspective, δ -containing receptors are emerging as a potentially important pharmacological target. Importantly, δ -containing receptors are not modulated by classical GABA_A receptor modulators acting *via* the benzodiazepine binding site located between the γ - and α -subunits, although other known modulators of GABA_A receptors such as neurosteroids, etomidate and barbiturates enhance the function of δ -GABA_A receptors (Belelli *et al.*, 2005). However, these latter modulators exhibit little selectivity for δ - over γ -containing receptors (Belelli *et al.*, 2002; Brown *et al.*, 2002; Wohlfarth *et al.*, 2002; Zheleznova *et al.*,

2008), precluding any pharmacological verification of the physiological or pathophysiological role of δ -GABA_A receptors. One exception is the relatively δ -preferring agonist THIP, or gaboxadol, which has provided some insight on the role of δ -GABA_A receptors in sleep regulation. For example, the effect of gaboxadol to enhance tonic inhibition in thalamocortical neurons and to produce hypnosis and ataxia were blunted in $\delta^{0/0}$ mice (Herd *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, in α 4 $^{0/0}$ mice (α 4 is a δ -subunit preferring partner in certain neurons), the behavioural effects of gaboxadol such as sedation, ataxia and analgesia were curtailed (Chandra *et al.*, 2006). Based on the preclinical data on gaboxadol demonstrating a hypnotic profile in rats (Thakkar *et al.*, 2008) and that the effects of the drug on EEG were blunted in $\delta^{0/0}$ mice (Winsky-Sommerer *et al.*, 2007), it was pursued as a novel treatment for insomnia, reaching phase III clinical development (Wafford and Ebert, 2006; Roth *et al.*, 2010). Given the literature indicating a role for δ -GABA_A receptors in female stress disorders (Maguire and Mody, 2007; Smith *et al.*, 2007), epilepsy (Mihalek *et al.*, 1999), pain (Peng *et al.*, 2009; Bonin *et al.*, 2011), post-traumatic stress disorder (Wiltgen *et al.*, 2005; Pibiri *et al.*, 2008), schizophrenia (Marx *et al.*, 2006), autism (Olmos-Serrano *et al.*, 2011), major depression (Holm *et al.*, 2010) and potentially alcoholism (Enoch, 2008; Rewal *et al.*, 2009), the need for δ -selective tools is clear. Furthermore, it should not be overlooked that a major swathe of the literature, only partly cited above, alluding to the potential therapeutic relevance of δ -GABA_A receptors has been based on studies with neurosteroids, which are not completely selective for these extrasynaptic receptors (Belelli *et al.*, 2009). Therefore, a drug that is truly selective for δ -GABA_A receptors would confirm and expand on the extant literature obtained with mostly non-selective drugs.

Few positive allosteric modulators (PAMs) selective for δ -GABA_A receptors have been reported, and no selective negative allosteric modulators (NAMs) are known. The imidazopyridine DS2 is a functionally selective α 4 β 3 δ PAM, relative to its actions at α 4 β 3 γ 2 and α 1 β 3 γ 2 receptors, with clear effects on the tonic current of thalamic ventrobasal (VB) neurons mediated by α 4 β 2 δ receptors (Wafford *et al.*, 2009). Recently, the triamino-benzene compound AA29504, a retigabine analogue, was described as a functionally selective α 4 β 3 δ PAM, albeit the lack of full concentration-response analysis at δ - and γ -containing GABA_A receptors precludes definitive conclusions (Hoestgaard-Jensen *et al.*, 2010). Nonetheless, AA29504 augments the effects of gaboxadol in cortical brain slices, enters the brain and is effective in some *in vivo* models. JM-11-43A, a dihydropyrimidinone, has also been described as a selective α 4 β 3 δ PAM, but as with AA29504, this drug appears to exhibit limited selectivity (Lewis *et al.*, 2010).

In the current study, we have explored in detail the properties of DS2 as a δ -GABA_A receptor-selective allosteric modulator, employing the *Xenopus laevis* oocyte expression system to conduct a comprehensive electrophysiological analysis of the actions of DS2 acting at human recombinant GABA_A receptors incorporating α 1–6 subunits, combined with the relevant β , γ - and δ -subunits. We demonstrate the necessity of the δ -subunit for efficacy at α 4-subunit-containing receptors and analyse the influence of different β -subunits on the

actions of DS2. We also provide a detailed mechanistic understanding of the effects of DS2. To determine the site of action of DS2, we have utilized both radioligand binding and electrophysiological methods employing mutant receptor constructs as necessary. Finally, we have demonstrated that the effect of DS2 at native receptors is mediated by δ -GABA_A receptors by comparing its modulation of thalamic inhibition in wild-type and $\delta^{0/0}$ mice.

Methods

Cloning of GABA_A receptor cDNAs

The cDNAs for human α 1–6, β 2–3 and γ 2S-subunits were cloned as described previously (Mirza *et al.*, 2008). The cDNAs for the human β 1- and δ -subunit were cloned from human hippocampus poly(A⁺) mRNA (Clontech, Mountain View, CA) using RT-PCR. First-strand cDNA was obtained using oligo(dT) primer and Moloney murine leukaemia virus reverse transcriptase (GE Healthcare Life Sciences, UK) and full-length cDNAs were amplified using Expand HF polymerase (Roche Diagnostics, Basel, Switzerland) and gene-specific primer sets (MWG Biotech, High Point, NC). Twenty-five PCR cycles were performed using the following conditions: 94°C, 60 s; 55°C, 60 s; 72°C, 120 s, followed by 72°C, 10 min using a Robocycler (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). The amplified product was cloned into pSwas [derived from pZER0-1 (Life Technologies, Invitrogen, Naerum, Denmark)]. Clones were sequenced bidirectionally and subcloned into the pNS3z or pNS3h vector derived from pcDNA3 (Invitrogen).

The GABA_A receptor β 3N265M and GABA_A receptor α 4T235W, Q240W subunits were constructed in a mutagenesis reaction. Briefly, uracilated β 3- and α 4-subunit-containing plasmids were used as templates in a mutagenesis reaction, in which mutagenic oligonucleotides and T7 DNA polymerase were used to introduce mutations. An aliquot of the mutagenesis reaction was then transformed into *Escherichia coli* XL1-Blue cells. Mutated plasmids were identified by the introduction or elimination of restriction sites.

The mutagenic oligonucleotides were β 3N265M: GACAA TGACAACCATCATgACCCACCTTCGGGAGA and α 4T235W, Q240W: ATATCCGTGCATTATGtgGTGATctTaagTtgGTTT CATTGTGGATAAA.

All mutated constructs were verified by sequencing.

Cell culture and stable transfections

Stably transfected HEK-293 (ATCC1573) cell lines were established as described (Mirza *et al.*, 2008) and maintained in DMEM with 10 mM HEPES and 2 mM Glutamax supplemented with 10% FBS. The cells were cultured at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ and 95% air and passaged twice a week.

[³H] muscimol, [³H] Flumazenil and [³H] Ro15-4513 binding studies

[³H] Flumazenil and [³H] Ro15-4513 binding studies were performed as described previously (Mirza *et al.*, 2008). [³H] muscimol binding was performed using aliquots of membranes (native tissue or cell lines) resuspended in Tris–citrate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.1) and centrifuged for 10 min at 22 000 × g at

4°C. The pellet was resuspended to give a protein concentration of 100–200 µg·mL⁻¹. Binding was performed in triplicate using [³H] muscimol (25.5 Ci·mmol⁻¹; PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA) in a final volume of 550 µL containing 50–100 µg of protein, and non-specific binding was determined in the presence of 100 µM GABA (SIGMA Aldrich, St. Louis, MO). Samples were incubated at 4°C for 40 min, and labelled membranes were harvested using rapid filtration over GF/C filters (Whatman, Maidstone, UK). The filters were washed with 2 × 5-mL Tris–citrate buffer, and the amount of radioactivity was determined by liquid scintillation counting using a Tri-Carb counter (PerkinElmer).

Preparation of cRNA

Plasmids were linearized using a unique downstream polylinker enzyme (*NotI*, *XhoI* or *XbaI*) for cRNA production. cRNA was prepared and capped using the mMESSAGE mMACHINE T7 Transcription kit (Ambion, Austin, TX) and was purified using the RNeasy mini kit (QIAGEN, Hilden, Germany).

Isolation of *X. laevis* oocytes

X. laevis (Nasco, Fort Atkinson, WIUSA) oocytes were isolated as described previously (Mirza *et al.*, 2008). Oocytes were injected with 25 to 50 nL of cRNA mixtures of GABA_AR subunits α x : β x : δ / γ 2S in the ratios 1:1:2 unless otherwise stated or 1:1:5 for α 1 : β 2 : δ using a Pico Pump (WPI, Sarasota, FL). Oocytes were maintained at 18°C in modified Barth's solution for up to 7 days after injection.

Oocyte electrophysiology

Electrophysiological studies using *X. laevis* oocytes were performed using the two-electrode voltage-clamp technique (Mirza *et al.*, 2008). Briefly, GABA was dissolved in OR2 buffer (composition, in mM: 90 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2.5 CaCl₂, 1 MgCl₂, 5 HEPES; pH adjusted to 7.4) in a concentration known to give rise to EC_{5–20} elicited currents for α 1–3,5+ β 2 γ 2 receptors (0.5–3 µM) and EC_{20–50} for α 4 β 2/3 δ (0.01–1 µM) receptors. This solution was used to establish control responses and for dissolving the compounds to test in the experiment. The modulatory effects of DS2 were calculated by comparing the trace obtained in the presence of DS2 to the control trace, where a doubling of the current equals a 100% increase.

Brain slice electrophysiology

All animal care and experimental procedures complied with the UK Government Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and were approved by the 'University of Dundee Ethics Committee'. Studies involving animals are reported in accordance with the ARRIVE guidelines for reporting experiments involving animals (McGrath *et al.*, 2010). A total of 25 mice were used in the work described here. WT and $\delta^{0/0}$ mice were of a C57BL6 background and were bred at the University of Dundee.

Mice were killed by cervical dislocation in accordance with schedule 1 of the UK Government Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Thalamic slices were prepared from mice of either sex (P18–24) as previously described (Belelli *et al.*, 2005). The brain was rapidly removed and placed in oxygenated ice-cold artificial cerebrospinal fluid (aCSF)

solution containing (in mM) 225 sucrose, 2.95 KCl, 1.25 NaH_2PO_4 , 26 NaHCO_3 , 0.5 CaCl_2 , 10 MgSO_4 , 10 glucose, (pH of 7.4; 330–340 mOsm). The tissue was maintained in ice-cold aCSF from which horizontal 300–350 μm slices were prepared using a Vibratome (Intracel, Royston, Herts., UK). Slices were transferred to an incubation chamber containing oxygenated, extracellular solution [(ECS) in mM: 126 NaCl, 2.95 KCl, 26 NaHCO_3 , 1.25 NaH_2PO_4 , 2 CaCl_2 , 10 glucose and 2 MgCl_2 (pH 7.4; 300–310 mOsm)] for ≥ 1 h prior to recording. Patch pipettes (open tip resistances of 3–5 M Ω) were constructed using thick-walled borosilicate glass (Garner Glass Company, Claremont, CA) and filled with an intracellular solution that contained (in mM) 140 CsCl, 10 HEPES, 10 EGTA, 2 Mg-ATP, 1 CaCl_2 , 5 QX-314 (pH 7.3 with CsOH, 300–305 mOsm). Whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings were performed at 35°C from thalamic VB neurons visually identified with an Olympus BX51 (Olympus, Southall, UK) microscope equipped with DIC/IR optics as previously described (Belelli *et al.*, 2005). Miniature inhibitory post-synaptic currents (mIPSCs) and tonic currents were recorded using an Axopatch 1D amplifier (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA) at a holding potential of –60 mV in ECS that, contained 2 mM kynurenic acid (Sigma-Aldrich-RBI, Dorset, UK) and 0.5 μM tetrodotoxin (TTX; TCS Biologicals Ltd, Buckingham, UK) to block ionotropic glutamate receptors and sodium-dependent action potentials respectively.

Data analysis

Data were recorded onto a digital audio tape using a Biologic DTR 1200 recorder and analysed offline using the Strathclyde Electrophysiology Software, WinEDR/WinWCP (J Dempster, University of Strathclyde, UK). Individual mIPSCs (with a rise time ≤ 1 ms) were detected as previously described (Belelli *et al.*, 2005). The peak amplitude, 10–90% rise time, time to decay from peak by 50% (T_{50}), 90% (T_{90}) and charge transfer (the area under the curve of each event) were determined for accepted events. The mIPSC frequency was determined over 10 s bins for 2 min. To calculate the time constant of mIPSC decay, a minimum of 50 accepted events were digitally averaged by alignment at the midpoint of the rising phase, and the mIPSC decay fitted by either monoexponential, or biexponential functions using the least squares method as previously described (Belelli *et al.*, 2005). Note the average mIPSC decay was always best fit with the sum of two exponential components. Thus, a weighted decay time constant (τ_w) was determined as described previously. The tonic current was calculated as the difference between the holding current before (determined by averaging the current for a minimum of 200 epochs of 25 ms that did not contain phasic events) and after application of (30 μM) bicuculline methobromide. All results are reported as the arithmetic mean $\pm$ SEM. Statistical significance of mean data was assessed with paired or unpaired Student's *t*-test as appropriate.

Materials

DS2 was synthesized at NeuroSearch A/S, Medicinal Chemistry Department. GABA, bicuculline, etomidate, allopregnanolone (5 α -pregnan-3 α -ol-20-one) and pentobarbital were purchased from Sigma Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

Results

Effect of DS2 on $\alpha 4$ -containing GABA_A receptors ($\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$, $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ and $\alpha 4\beta 3$): the activity of DS2 is determined by the δ -subunit

We initially determined the importance of the δ -subunit by comparing the modulatory effects of DS2 on $\alpha 4\beta 3$, $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ and $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ GABA_A receptors.

$\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$, $\alpha 4\beta 3$ and $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptors were expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes, and GABA-evoked currents were measured 5–7, 2–4 and 2–4 days after RNA injection, respectively; preliminary studies allowed us to define these optimized timing conditions (data not shown). The receptors were activated by GABA and by gaboxadol, with the latter being a more efficacious agonist than GABA on both $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ ($205 \pm 8\%$ relative to GABA maximal efficacy, $n = 6$) and $\alpha 4\beta 3$ receptors ($185 \pm 12\%$ relative to GABA maximal efficacy, $n = 5$), compared with $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptors ($95 \pm 8\%$ relative to GABA maximal efficacy, $n = 5$). Furthermore, gaboxadol had approximately 5–10 $\times$ greater potency than GABA at $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ ($\text{EC}_{50} = 24 \pm 1 \mu\text{M}$, $n = 6$) and $\alpha 4\beta 3$ ($\text{EC}_{50} = 12 \pm 1 \mu\text{M}$, $n = 6$) compared with its potency at $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptors ($\text{EC}_{50} = 116 \pm 34$, $n = 5$). The relative pharmacological profile of GABA and gaboxadol at these three receptors subtypes is in agreement with earlier findings in both a mouse L(tk⁻) cell line (Brown *et al.*, 2002) and *Xenopus* oocytes (Storustovu and Ebert, 2006).

The modulatory effect of DS2 on $\alpha 4$ -containing receptors was critically dependent on the presence of the δ -subunit in the receptor complex. A low concentration of GABA (10 nM) activated a relatively small inward current for the three $\alpha 4$ -containing receptor subtypes. However, only GABA-evoked currents mediated by $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors were enhanced by the co-application of DS2 (0.1–10 μM ; Figure 1A top left panel). DS2 (10 μM) produced a maximal 10-fold increase in such GABA-evoked currents with a calculated EC_{50} of $5.6 \pm 1.5 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 11$) – Figure 1A.

The very low concentration of GABA (10 nM) used in these experiments did not elicit a robust inward current from *Xenopus* oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptors. Therefore, the effect of DS2 was also investigated using 10 μM GABA to activate this receptor subtype. Under these conditions, 10 μM DS2 produced only a modest enhancement of the GABA-evoked current (Figure 1A, bottom left panel trace) being $\leq 20\%$ of control compared with ≥ 10 -fold increase at $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors. Thus, for $\alpha 4$ -containing receptors, DS2 clearly discriminates between $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ compared with $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ and $\alpha 4\beta 3$ receptors.

Effect of DS2 on additional GABA_A receptors: DS2 is selective for extrasynaptic versus synaptic receptors

We next addressed whether DS2 modulated GABA-evoked currents at other $\gamma 2$ -containing GABA_A receptors: namely, $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$, $\alpha 2\beta 2\gamma 2$, $\alpha 3\beta 2\gamma 2$ and $\alpha 5\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptors. With the exception of the $\alpha 5\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptor, these receptors are primarily expressed at the synapse (Fritschy and Mohler, 1995; Jacob *et al.*, 2008). For these experiments, all $\gamma 2$ -containing receptors were activated with a GABA concentration that

Figure 1

Selectivity of DS2 for various human recombinant GABA_A receptors determined using two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology. (A) Current traces recorded from *X. laevis* oocytes expressing human recombinant $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$, $\alpha 4 \beta 3$ or $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \gamma 2$ receptors. The bars above each trace represent a time period of 1 min, where the agonist/modulator was applied. Currents were elicited using either a low GABA concentration (0.01 μ M for $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$ and $\alpha 4 \beta 3$ and 10 μ M for $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \gamma 2$) or GABA in combination with the various concentrations of DS2 listed above each bar. There is an extra 1 min wash between each of the first five traces and 2 min wash between each of the last three to allow for full receptor activation on next drug application. Note that the traces are truncated and staggered, omitting the long wash periods – 90 s at lowest concentration, and up to 4 min at the highest concentration of DS2 – to focus on key experimental data only. A new response was elicited first on return to baseline. (B) Relative modulation of 10 μ M DS2 on various GABA_A receptor subtypes compared with a GABA response elicited using either GABA EC₅₋₂₀ for $\alpha 1-5 \beta 2 \gamma 2$ or EC₂₀₋₅₀ for $\alpha 1 \beta 2 \delta$, and $\alpha 4 \beta 1-3 \delta$ and $\alpha 6 \beta 2 \delta$ indicated as modulation relative to agonist (Modulation rel. AG) on the y-axis. EC₅₀ values from full concentration–response curves given at the bottom of panel B are calculated using the Hill equation. *n*-numbers are *n* = 5 for $\alpha 4 \beta 1 \delta$, *n* = 5 for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 \delta$, *n* = 11 for $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$ and *n* = 6 for $\alpha 6 \beta 2 \delta$. (C) DS2 concentration–response curves related to the GABA I_{max} value for each *X. laevis* oocyte. GABA responses elicited with no DS2 present or at a given D2 concentration for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 \delta$ [using GABA EC₂₀₋₅₀ (0.1–1 μ M)] and with no DS2 present or at a given D2 concentration for $\alpha 1 \beta 2 \gamma 2$ [using GABA EC₅₋₂₀ (0.5–3 μ M)].

elicited a current response corresponding to EC₅₋₂₀ and DS2 was co-applied with GABA in the concentration range of 100 nM to 10 μ M. For these receptors, DS2 (0.1–1 μ M) had no effect on the GABA-evoked current. At higher concentrations (3 and 10 μ M), DS2 produced a modest but significant potentiation (range 47–139% of the submaximal GABA-evoked response) – see Figure 1B. However, these limited effects were at a low relative potency (EC₅₀ values >10 μ M for all $\gamma 2$ -containing receptors) compared with its EC₅₀ (5.6 ± 1.5 μ M) at $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$ – see summary EC₅₀ values at bottom of Figure 1B.

Actions of DS2 are influenced by the α - but not the β -subunit

Whether the modulatory effect of DS2 with δ -GABA_ARs was additionally influenced by the type of α - or β -subunit present in the receptor complex was addressed using the following receptor subtypes: $\alpha 4 \beta 1 \delta$, $\alpha 4 \beta 2 \delta$, $\alpha 4 \beta 3 \delta$, $\alpha 1 \beta 2 \delta$ and $\alpha 6 \beta 2 \delta$. A maximal concentration of DS2 (10 μ M) produced a large enhancement (7- to 20-fold) of the GABA (1 μ M) evoked current mediated by all δ -containing receptors incorporating the $\alpha 4$ - or the $\alpha 6$ -subunit (Figure 1B) with calculated EC₅₀ values of 5.2 ± 1.9 (*n* = 5) and 7.9 ± 1.1 (*n* = 6) μ M for $\alpha 4 \beta 2 \delta$

Figure 2

The influence of DS2 on the GABA concentration–response relationship for human recombinant $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$, $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$, and $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ GABA_A receptors. GABA concentration–response relations from two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology experiments performed with, or without, 1 or 10 μ M DS2 for (A) $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$, (B) $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ and (C) $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptors expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes. The responses are normalized to the response produced by 30 μ M GABA. GABA EC₅₀ values calculated from the curves using the Hill equation are $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ [$1.5 \pm 0.1 \mu$ M ($n = 8$)], $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta + 1 \mu$ M DS2 ($0.8 \pm 0.1 \mu$ M, $n = 3$), $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ ($1.3 \pm 0.6 \mu$ M, $n = 7$), $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta + 1 \mu$ M DS2 ($0.5 \pm 0.2 \mu$ M, $n = 3$), $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ ($1.9 \pm 0.5 \mu$ M, $n = 11$) and $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2 + 10 \mu$ M DS2 ($1.1 \pm 0.3 \mu$ M, $n = 11$).

and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors respectively. By contrast, the maximal enhancement produced by DS2 of the GABA-evoked response mediated by $\alpha 1\beta 2\delta$ receptors was modest, with a magnitude of enhancement (~2-fold) similar to that found for DS2 modulation of $\gamma 2$ -containing receptors (Figure 1B). Furthermore, the EC₅₀ value of DS2 was higher when an $\alpha 1$ -subunit rather than an $\alpha 4$ - or an $\alpha 6$ -subunit was combined with the δ -subunit (see summary EC₅₀ values at bottom of Figure 1B).

It is possible that the δ -subunit was not expressed and incorporated into the receptor complex when co-expressed with $\alpha 1$ - and $\beta 2$ -subunits. However, DS2 was effective on the same batch of oocytes injected with equivalent cRNAs for $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors. Furthermore, we found that the GABA-modulatory effect of DS2 acting at $\alpha 1\beta 2\delta$ receptors was similar to that for oocytes injected with an increased ratio (two- or fivefold) of cRNA encoding for the δ -subunit *cf.* the $\alpha 4$ - or $\beta 2$ -subunits (data not shown). Moreover, DS2 did not modulate the effect of GABA at $\alpha 1\beta 2$ receptors (data not shown).

In addressing the influence of the β -subunit on the modulatory actions of DS2, we found no difference in the efficacy or EC₅₀ value of DS2 at $\alpha 4\beta 1\delta$, $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ and $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors (see summary EC₅₀ values at bottom of Figure 1B).

Thus, we conclude from the above, that DS2 is selective for $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors, exhibiting both a greater efficacy (E_{MAX}) and a more potent modulation (EC₅₀) for $\alpha 4\beta 1\delta$, $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$, $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors *cf.* $\gamma 2$ -containing receptors and the $\alpha 1\beta 2\delta$ receptor. However, a 10 μ M concentration of DS2 did enhance the function of all GABA_A receptorstested, but with limited potency and efficacy.

Concentration–response curves for DS2 were also constructed at GABA I_{max} for the $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ and $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptor. The modulatory effect of DS2 on the $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptor largely exceeds GABA I_{max} for this receptor in the tested concentration range. This is in contrast to the $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptor where 30 μ M DS2 only engenders a 30% current relative to GABA I_{max} (see Figure 1C).

Mechanism of action at $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors: DS2 primarily enhances the maximum GABA response with only a modest impact on GABA potency

To investigate in more detail the mechanism of action of this δ -selective ligand, GABA concentration–response relationships were determined in the absence and presence of DS2 for $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$, $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ and $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptors. For both δ -containing receptors DS2 (1 μ M) produced only a modest leftward shift of the GABA EC₅₀ (control $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta = 1.5 \pm 0.1 \mu$ M, $n = 8$; DS2 = $0.8 \pm 0.1 \mu$ M, $n = 3$; control $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta = 1.3 \pm 0.6 \mu$ M, $n = 7$; DS2 = $0.5 \pm 0.2 \mu$ M, $n = 3$), which was significant (Student's *t*-test on pEC₅₀) only for the $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptor. However, for both $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors, DS2 produced a large increase of the apparent maximal effect of GABA (see Figure 2A and B). By contrast, a 10 times greater concentration of DS2 did not affect the GABA EC₅₀ or the maximal effect at $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptors (Figure 2C).

Direct receptor activation by DS2?

Previously, it was reported that a close analogue of DS2, namely DS1, had both GABA modulatory effects and direct activating properties (i.e. 'GABA mimetic') at $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors over the same concentration range (3–100 nM) (Wafford *et al.*, 2009). However, in contrast to the earlier report (Wafford *et al.*, 2009), in the absence of GABA, the application of DS2 to *X. laevis* oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors induced a concentration-dependent (0.3–30 μ M) inward current with a calculated EC₅₀ of $4.9 \pm 2.1 \mu$ M, $n = 4$, and an E_{MAX} of ~2- to 3-fold of that produced by 100 nM GABA as seen in Figure 3A and B.

However, one caveat that needed to be considered before concluding that DS2 exhibits both modulatory and direct activating effects at $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors was that *X. laevis* oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors exhibited a greater leak current than those expressing most other GABA_A receptors, (e.g. $\gamma 2$ -containing and $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors). The membrane resist-

Figure 3

A comparison of direct receptor activation and positive allosteric modulation by DS2 on human recombinant $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors. (A) Current traces from two-electrode voltage-clamp electrophysiology experiments addressing the apparent direct activation (traces 4–8) of $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes by DS2. *cf.* the positive allosteric effect of DS2 (traces 13–17). Bars indicate where GABA and/or DS2 are applied for 1 min. Note that the traces are truncated and staggered, omitting the long wash periods – 90 s at lowest concentration, and up to 4 min at the highest concentration of DS2 – to focus on key experimental data only. A new response was elicited first on return to baseline. (B) Concentration–response curves for DS2 recorded at $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ expressing oocytes. Direct activation by DS2 is plotted along with the response to 100 nM GABA. EC_{50} values using the Hill equation were determined to be $4.9 \pm 2.1 \mu\text{M}$ ($n = 4$) for direct activation. (C) Current traces showing the blocking effect of bicuculline on $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors both on leak current (traces 1–2) and on the current elicited by 0.5 μM DS2 without any GABA present (traces 3–6). As in panel A above, the traces are truncated and staggered, omitting the long wash periods to focus on key experimental data only, with a new response being elicited first on return to baseline. (D) Current traces (*truncated and staggered*, see above) from $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes exposed to GABA (traces 1–2), bicuculline (trace 3), DS2 alone (3 and 10 μM , traces 6–7) and DS2 co-applied with GABA (DS2 concentration range, 0.3–10 μM , traces 10–13). The lower traces are amplified to better illustrate the relatively small current responses. (E) Membrane potential and leak current measured from two-electrode voltage-clamp recordings from $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes. The membrane resistance is calculated as $((U_{\text{holding}} - U_{\text{Membrane}})/I_{\text{Leak}})$.

ance of the *X. laevis* oocyte was calculated by dividing the difference between U_{holding} and U_{Membrane} with I_{Leak} , which revealed a significantly lower resistance of $13.6 \pm 2.2 \text{ k}\Omega$ for oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors compared with those expressing $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors ($835 \pm 57 \text{ k}\Omega$) as listed in Figure 3E. This leak current could be due to spontaneous openings of the channels in the absence of agonist as reported for some other receptor combinations, e.g. $\alpha 1\beta\epsilon$ (Maksay *et al.*, 2003). Two experiments were performed to

investigate whether DS2 activates the $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptor directly, or whether this inward current is produced by a modulation of spontaneous channel openings. First, we demonstrated that DS2 was not able to elicit direct receptor activation in the absence of GABA when applied to *X. laevis* oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors, which display little leak current (Figure 3D). Second, bicuculline, an inverse agonist at the orthosteric site (Ueno *et al.*, 1997), was able to inhibit the leak current in *X. laevis* oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors in a

concentration-dependent manner, whereas it had no effect on *X. laevis* oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors (compare Figure 3D and C). Moreover, direct activation of the $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptor by DS2, in the absence of GABA, was also inhibited by bicuculline (Figure 3C). These observations suggest that $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors demonstrate a degree of spontaneous activity, and that DS2 can positively modulate this activity. Although $\beta 3$ homomeric receptors do form spontaneously active channels when expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes (Wooltorton *et al.*, 1997), these 'receptors' are not affected by application of DS2 (data not shown), suggesting that the presence of a δ -subunit is required.

As previous studies (Hadley and Amin, 2007) have demonstrated that spontaneous GABA_AR channel opening in oocytes is more prevalent at high levels of receptor expression, we wanted to ensure that our findings above were not an artefact of the oocyte expression system. Therefore, we ran similar experiments (data not shown) to those described above with DS2 in HEK-293 cells (both a stable cell line and in transient transfections). In a HEK-293 stable cell line expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors, we also demonstrated direct activation with DS2, which was blocked by bicuculline. Furthermore, the leak current in these HEK-293 cells stably expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors could be blocked by picrotoxin. When we tested the effects on DS2 on HEK-293 cells engineered to transiently express $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ and $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors, we observed direct activation when the $\beta 3$, but not when the $\beta 2$ subunit was present in the receptor construct. These equivalent data sets in HEK-293 and *X. laevis* oocyte expression systems lead us to conclude that it is likely that the presence of a $\beta 3$ subunit in the receptor construct results in receptors with some constitutive/spontaneous activity, which in turn leads to an apparent 'direct' effect of DS2 at the $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptor isoform.

In conclusion, these studies suggest that DS2 does not directly activate GABA $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ or $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors but instead modulates the spontaneous current present when $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors are expressed in *X. laevis* oocytes or HEK-293 cells; a spontaneous activity that does not appear to be evident in mouse L(tk⁻) cells expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ (Wafford *et al.*, 2009).

Interaction of DS2 with known sites on the GABA_A receptor

Orthosteric site. At concentrations up to 10 μ M, DS2 did not displace [³H]muscimol (2 nM) bound to the orthosteric site of $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells (data not shown). The lack of effect of DS2 on muscimol binding suggests that it does not directly interact with the orthosteric GABA binding site of GABA_A receptors.

Benzodiazepine site. A well-characterized binding site on GABA_A receptors is the benzodiazepine site located on the extracellular interface between α - and γ -subunits (Sigel, 2002; Berezhnoy *et al.*, 2004). To ascertain if DS2 interacted with the benzodiazepine site, we determined if DS2 displaced (i) [³H]flumazenil binding to rat cortical tissue (reflecting binding predominantly to $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$, but including also $\alpha 2$, $\alpha 3$ and $\alpha 5$ receptor subtypes) and (ii) [³H]Ro 15-4513 binding to $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells. In rat cortex, a relatively high concentration of DS2 (30 μ M) displaced 30% of specifically bound [³H]flumazenil, suggesting a possible

low affinity interaction with the benzodiazepine site or, more likely, that the interaction of DS2 with the receptor causes an allosteric change in the benzodiazepine binding site leading to a change in bound [³H]flumazenil. Nonetheless, we followed up with functional electrophysiology studies and demonstrated that the modest positive modulation of $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptors by DS2 was not blocked by the benzodiazepine antagonist flumazenil up to a concentration of 100 μ M (data not shown). Moreover, DS2 (up to 10 μ M) had no effect on the specific binding of [³H]Ro 15-4513 to recombinant $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ receptors (data not shown). These experiments indicate that DS2 does not interact with a benzodiazepine-like site at γ -containing GABA_A receptors.

There has been debate as to whether an equivalent site similar to the benzodiazepine site is present on δ -containing GABA_A receptors, as Ro 15-4513 binding to δ -containing receptors has been reported (Wallner *et al.*, 2003; Hancher *et al.*, 2006; Olsen *et al.*, 2007). However, we did not obtain any specific binding of [³H]-Ro 15-4513 to recombinant $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors expressed in HEK-293 cells (data not shown) and therefore cannot verify the existence of such a site, a finding consistent with others (Korpi *et al.*, 2007).

Etomidate site. Positive allosteric modulation of the GABA_A receptor by the general anaesthetics etomidate and propofol is influenced by the nature of an amino acid residue located within the TM2 domain of the β -subunit (Belelli *et al.*, 1997). Substitution of the naturally occurring asparagine 265 residue of the $\beta 2$ - or $\beta 3$ -subunit, for a methionine residue (N265M) abolishes the GABA-enhancing effects of these compounds at $\gamma 2$ -containing GABA_A receptors (Belelli *et al.*, 1997; Jurd *et al.*, 2003). Both etomidate and DS2 enhanced the current induced by 100 nM GABA at $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors (Figure 4). In agreement with previous studies with $\gamma 2$ -containing receptors, we now demonstrate that the $\beta 3$ N265M mutation abolished the GABA-enhancing effects of etomidate at δ -containing receptors (i.e. $\alpha 4\beta 3$ N265M δ). By contrast, the GABA enhancing effects of DS2 were not influenced by this $\beta 3$ N265M mutation (Figure 4). Therefore, the modulation induced by DS2 is not mediated *via* an interaction with this putative anaesthetic binding site.

Neurosteroid site. Previous studies have established that the introduction of two mutations in the α -subunit, namely T235W and Q240W, render GABA_A receptors insensitive to positive allosteric modulation by the endogenous neurosteroid, allopregnanolone (Hosie *et al.*, 2006). Similarly, we demonstrate here that the GABA enhancing actions of allopregnanolone (10 μ M) were abolished by these mutations when incorporated in to the $\alpha 4$ -subunit (i.e. $\alpha 4$ T235W, Q240W $\beta 3\delta$). By contrast, the GABA-enhancing action of DS2 (10 μ M) were not influenced by these mutations of the $\alpha 4$ -subunit (Figure 4; right-hand bars), suggesting that DS2 does not act *via* the neurosteroid site.

Barbiturate site. The molecular determinants of the barbiturate site have not been identified, so an alternative approach was used to explore whether DS2 and pentobarbital interacted *via* a common site. First, DS2 concentration-response curves were generated in the presence of different concentrations of pentobarbital as shown for 10 μ M pentobarbital in

Figure 4

The potential interaction of DS2 with the etomidate or the neurosteroid site of GABA_A receptors. The relative modulation of $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$, $\alpha 4\beta 3N265M\delta$ or $\alpha 4T236W, Q241W\beta 3\delta$ receptors expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes by 10 μ M DS2, 10 μ M etomidate or 10 μ M allopregnanolone (see Results section for further details). Percentage (%) modulation was determined relative to a GABA response evoked by 0.1 μ M GABA and is shown as an average $\pm$ SEM, $n = 3$ –5.

Figure 5A. We found that the DS2 EC₅₀ decreased with higher concentrations of pentobarbital, and that clear modulation by DS2 was observed at pentobarbital concentrations up to 100 μ M. Thus, pentobarbital does not block the GABA modulatory effect of DS2.

High concentrations of pentobarbital have been reported to directly activate GABA_A receptors (Muroi *et al.*, 2009), but no direct activation with concentrations up to 10 mM pentobarbital were observed for the $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptor. However, as seen in Figure 5B, 10 mM pentobarbital gave rise to a rebound current after removal of pentobarbital. This rebound effect was modulated by DS2 with the same potency as for the modulation of GABA (EC₅₀ value of 5.3 μ M for the modulation of the rebound effect after pentobarbital removal, compared to an EC₅₀ value of 5.2 μ M for modulation of GABA). As the EC₅₀ value for pentobarbital-induced modulation of $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors is between 100 and 300 μ M (data not shown), a 10 mM pentobarbital concentration would be expected to saturate the barbiturate modulatory binding site. Because, under these conditions, DS2 can still modulate the receptor, we would suggest that the DS2-induced modulation is not mediated *via* the same site as pentobarbital.

DS2 selectively enhances the function of the extrasynaptic δ -GABA_A receptors of VB thalamocortical neurons: studies using $\delta^{0/0}$ mice

We have previously demonstrated that DS2 produces a concentration-dependent (1–10 μ M) enhancement of the

Figure 5

The potential interaction of DS2 with the barbiturate site of GABA_A receptors. (A) Current traces from DS2 concentration–response experiments performed using the co-application of GABA, pentobarbital (PB) and DS2 recorded from *X. laevis* oocytes expressing $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors. Note that the traces are truncated and staggered, omitting the long wash periods – 90 s at lowest concentration, and up to 4 min at the highest concentration of DS2 – to focus on key experimental data only. A new response was elicited first on return to baseline. Traces 1–3 show the response to 1 μ M GABA applied alone, traces 4–6 show the response to 10 μ M pentobarbital co-applied with 1 μ M GABA and traces 7–11 show the modulatory effect of increasing concentrations of DS2 (0.3–10 μ M) on responses elicited by 1 μ M GABA plus 10 μ M pentobarbital. (B) Current traces (*truncated and staggered, see above*) for a DS2 concentration–response experiment on $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ receptors after 10 mM pentobarbital has been washed from the preparation (i.e. modulation by DS2 of a rebound current demonstrable after pentobarbital removal, see text in the Results section for further details).

tonic current of thalamocortical VB neurons (Wafford *et al.*, 2009). To investigate the role of native δ -GABA_A receptors in this effect, we compared VB neurons derived from $\delta^{0/0}$ and wild-type (WT) mice. For neurons derived from WT mice, the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (30 μ M) produced a relatively large outward current (96 ± 8.9 pA, $n = 27$) (i.e. the ‘tonic’ current) (Figure 6). The outward current induced by bicuculline (30 μ M) was greatly reduced (13 ± 4.7 pA, $n = 9$; $P > 0.001$; Student’s unpaired *t*-test) for equivalent neurons derived from the $\delta^{0/0}$ mouse (Figure 6), confirming earlier reports that extrasynaptic δ -GABA_A receptors are important contributors to the tonic current of VB neurons (Porcello *et al.*, 2003; Belelli *et al.*, 2005; Cope *et al.*, 2005; Jia *et al.*, 2005; Chandra *et al.*, 2006). In agreement with our previous report, the application of 10 μ M DS2 to WT VB neurons induced a relatively large inward current (107 ± 9.6 pA, $n = 9$ – see Figure 6). The effect DS2 on the holding current (11 ± 6.6 pA, $n = 5$) was greatly reduced ($P < 0.001$ vs. WT; Student’s unpaired *t*-test) for $\delta^{0/0}$ VB neurons (Figure 6). Concentrations of DS2 that greatly enhance the tonic current of VB neurons have little or no effect on the miniature inhibitory postsynaptic currents (mIPSCs) mediated by $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ GABA_A receptors located at the synapse (Wafford *et al.*, 2009). Here, the properties of the mIPSCs recorded from $\delta^{0/0}$ VB neurons were identical to those of WT neurons, confirming earlier reports

Figure 6

DS2 selectively interacts with extrasynaptic δ -GABA_A receptors of mouse thalamic relay neurons. (A) Top trace: The tonic current (calculated as the difference between the holding current [pA] in the presence and absence of bicuculline 30 μ M) recorded from a typical wild-type (WT) mouse thalamic ventrobasal neuron is greatly enhanced by DS2 (10 μ M). By contrast, both the effect of DS2 (10 μ M) to produce an inward current and the effect of bicuculline (30 μ M) to induce an outward current are absent in a typical recording made from mouse thalamic ventrobasal neurons derived from a $\delta^{0/0}$ mouse. To the right of each trace is the corresponding all points histograms of the tonic current for the illustrated recordings under control conditions, in the presence of 10 μ M DS2 and 30 μ M bicuculline. (B) A bar graph summarizing the inward tonic current induced by 1, 3 and 10 μ M DS2 for WT neurons and for 10 μ M DS2 for $\delta^{0/0}$ neurons. The trace illustrating the effect of DS2 in WT ventrobasal neurons (panel A) and data for 1 and 3 μ M DS2 (panel B) are reproduced from (Wafford *et al.*, 2009). Note that the large outward current induced by bicuculline (30 μ M) for WT neurons is absent for equivalent $\delta^{0/0}$ neurons. Data illustrate the mean $\pm$ SEM and were obtained from three to seven neurons (** $P < 0.01$, Student's paired *t*-test).

that δ -GABA_A receptors make little contribution to such quantal synaptic events. Furthermore, in agreement with our earlier study on WT VB neurons, DS2 (10 μ M) had no effect on the amplitude, kinetics or frequency of $\delta^{0/0}$ mIPSCs (Table 1). In conclusion, these results demonstrate DS2 enhances the tonic conductance mediated by extrasynaptic δ -GABA_A receptors of thalamocortical VB neurons, with no effect on the phasic conductance mediated by synaptic GABA_A receptors; that is, the δ -subunit specificity of this compound exhibited by recombinant receptors is replicated for native neuronal receptors.

Discussion and conclusions

A growing corpus of literature has highlighted the therapeutic potential of drugs that selectively target GABA_A receptor

Table 1

Effects of 10 μ M DS2 on mIPSCs recorded from $\delta^{0/0}$ VB neurones

	Control (n = 5)	10 μ M DS2 (n = 5)
Peak amplitude (pA)	-82 $\pm$ 5	-85 $\pm$ 4
Rise time (ms)	0.5 $\pm$ 0.03	0.5 $\pm$ 0.02
Area (pC)	-254 $\pm$ 26	-285 $\pm$ 28
T ₅₀ (ms)	1.8 $\pm$ 0.1	1.9 $\pm$ 0.1
T ₉₀ (ms)	4.9 $\pm$ 0.2	5.3 $\pm$ 0.3
τ_w (ms)	2.6 $\pm$ 0.1	2.8 $\pm$ 0.1
Frequency (Hz)	17 $\pm$ 2	17 $\pm$ 3

isoforms that incorporate the δ -subunit. Specifically, targeting $\alpha 4\beta 2/3\delta$ receptors for treating various CNS (see Introduction and references therein), as well as non-CNS (e.g. type 1 diabetes; see (Mendu *et al.*, 2011) disorders is gaining momentum. It is now evident that δ -GABA_A receptors exhibit a restricted neuronal distribution, are primarily found peri- or extrasynaptically, exhibit distinct biophysical properties compared with synaptic GABA_A receptors and are not affected by clinically used benzodiazepines. Furthermore, drugs that do affect δ -GABA_A receptors are relatively non-selective, exhibiting effects on their synaptic counterparts (e.g. volatile anaesthetics, barbiturates, propofol, etomidate and neurosteroids) (see Introduction and references therein).

In the current study, we have thoroughly characterized the reported $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -selective PAM DS2 first described by Wafford *et al.* (2009). Although our understanding of the physiological role of these receptors has advanced, given the limited availability of pharmacological tools that target δ -GABA_A receptors, the following discussion compares, where applicable, the profile of DS2 to the only well-described δ -GABA_A receptor selective tool gaboxadol. In addition, two other putative δ -GABA_A receptor-preferring compounds have recently been described, namely the trimethylbenzylamino compound AA29504 (Hoestgaard-Jensen *et al.*, 2010) and a dihydropyrimidinone compound JM-II-43A (Lewis *et al.*, 2010). Therefore, where relevant data are available on these molecules, comparisons are made with our data on DS2.

GABA_A receptor subtype selectivity

We have verified that DS2 is a PAM at $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors but have additionally demonstrated that (i) DS2 is equally effective (i.e. $\sim$ equal efficacy and potency) at $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors, but not at $\alpha 1\beta 2\delta$ receptors; (ii) DS2 clearly exhibits selectivity for $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptors *c.f.* GABA_A receptors where a γ -subunit occurs in place of a δ -subunit, whether these receptors *in vivo* occur synaptically ($\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma$, $\alpha 2\beta 2\gamma$, $\alpha 3\beta 2\gamma$) or extrasynaptically ($\alpha 5\beta 32\gamma$); and (iii) the β -subunit isoform does not influence the PAM activity of DS2 when combined with $\alpha 4$ - and δ -subunits. These studies clearly indicate that modulation of GABA_A receptors by DS2 is strongly influenced by whether the δ - or the $\gamma 2$ -subunit is present in the receptor complex and, contrary to gaboxadol, DS2 does not affect $\alpha 4\beta 3$ receptors (Shu *et al.*, 2011). However, our data indicate further subtleties in the determinants of the DS2 selectivity profile not reported previously. First, if a $\gamma 2$ -subunit is present in the receptor complex, the nature of the α -subunit does not influence the limited efficacy, or potency of DS2. By contrast, when investigating δ -GABA_A receptors, it is evident that DS2 modulates $\alpha 4$ - and $\alpha 6$ - more effectively than $\alpha 1$ -containing receptors. These results demonstrate an interesting selectivity profile for DS2 between known *in-vivo* occurring populations of δ -GABA_A receptors.

The best characterized δ -preferring compound described to date is the orthosteric site agonist gaboxadol. Besides the fundamental distinction that gaboxadol is an agonist, whereas DS2 is a PAM, there are also other differences between these two compounds. At γ -receptors ($\alpha 1$ - $\alpha 6\beta 1$ - $\gamma 2$), the maximal efficacy of gaboxadol is between 40% and 80% relative to GABA, with EC₅₀ of ~ 100 μ M, indicating that relative to GABA, gaboxadol is a relatively low potency agonist of these receptors (Ebert *et al.*, 1994). By contrast, at $\alpha 6\beta 3\delta$ -, $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -

and $\alpha 4\beta 3$ -receptors, gaboxadol has much higher potency (EC₅₀ ~ 10 μ M for $\alpha 6\beta 3\delta$ - and ~ 50 μ M for $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ - and $\alpha 4\beta 3$ -receptors), with an apparent maximal efficacy of $\sim 300\%$, $\sim 200\%$ and 164% , respectively, relative to GABA (Storustovu and Ebert, 2006). Thus, the overall selectivity profile of gaboxadol is $\alpha 6\beta 3\delta > \alpha 4\beta 3\delta = \alpha 4\beta 3 \gg \alpha x\beta 3\gamma 2$ -receptors. By contrast, DS2 positively modulates $\alpha 4/6\beta x\delta$ - but not $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ -receptors, nor other $\gamma 2$ -receptor populations, and induces no modulation whatsoever of $\alpha 4\beta 3$ -receptors. That DS2 is inert at $\alpha 4\beta 3$ -receptors makes this drug a very useful tool to confirm the incorporation of a δ -subunit into the receptor complex; that is, the activity of DS2 is fundamentally dependent on the presence of a δ -subunit. Thus, overall, DS2 has a different selectivity profile compared with gaboxadol: $\alpha 4/6\beta x\delta > \alpha 1\beta x\delta \gg \gamma 2$ -receptors $> \alpha 4\beta 3$. Given that many of these receptor populations may exist *in vivo* (McKernan and Whiting, 1996; Pirker *et al.*, 2000; Mortensen and Smart, 2006), the distinct selectivity profiles of DS2 and gaboxadol may lead to different effects in complex biological systems.

Although data on AA29504 (Hoestgaard-Jensen *et al.*, 2010) and JM-II-43A (Lewis *et al.*, 2010) are either limited, or the methodologies and techniques differ from those used here, some comparison with the selectivity profile of DS2 is possible. AA29504 was tested on $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ and $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ s receptors; and, in common with DS2, this compound preferentially modulates $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ over $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ S receptors (Hoestgaard-Jensen *et al.*, 2010). However, in more detailed studies addressing the mechanism of action of AA29504, the authors showed that it decreased the EC₅₀ for GABA acting at both $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ - and $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ S-receptors. However, AA29504 only enhanced the maximal response to GABA for $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -receptors, indicating selectivity for the δ -receptor. Similarly, AA29504 increased the potency of gaboxadol acting at both $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ - and $\alpha 1\beta 3\gamma 2$ S-receptors. However, distinct from GABA, for $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -receptors AA29504 did not enhance but reduced the maximal response to gaboxadol. This difference in the effect of AA29504 on the maximal response to GABA and gaboxadol is probably a reflection of these two molecules being partial and full agonists at δ -GABA_A receptors respectively. JM-II-43A was reported to be a δ -GABA_A receptor compound on the basis of its differential influence on $\alpha 1\beta 2\delta$ - and $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ L-receptors. However, many of the experiments were performed at 1 mM saturating GABA concentrations, making it difficult to compare the profile of this molecule with DS2. With GABA being a partial agonist at δ -GABA_A receptors, the window for potentially demonstrating modulatory effects at $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ is greater than for $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ L receptors where GABA induces much greater open probability (Mortensen *et al.*, 2010). A major difference between DS2 and JM-II-43A is the influence of the α -subunit. Whereas DS2 had a preference for δ -GABA_A receptors combined with the $\alpha 4$ or the $\alpha 6$ over the $\alpha 1$ -subunit, JM-II-43A exerted a similar enhancement of δ -GABA_A receptors containing an $\alpha 1$ -, $\alpha 4$ - or $\alpha 5$ -subunit and a reduced effect when an $\alpha 6$ -subunit occurred in the receptor complex. Moreover, compared with DS2 (EC₅₀ = ~ 5 μ M), JM-II-43A is not very potent (EC₅₀ value in the 100 μ M range at $\alpha 1\beta 2\delta$ -receptors).

Mechanism of action

Here we demonstrate that at δ -GABA_A receptors, DS2 (i) increases the apparent maximal effect of GABA at $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ and

$\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ receptor subtypes, with only a small impact on GABA potency at δ -receptors; (ii) is a PAM of GABA acting at $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ receptor subtypes, but does not directly activate such receptors, in contrast to the close analogue DS1 (Wafford *et al.*, 2009). For $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ -receptors, DS2 enhances the apparent GABA $I_{\max}$ by ~10-fold.

One corollary from the mechanism of action studies is that $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -receptors expressed in oocytes caused much greater leak current than $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ and all other receptors investigated in this study. This spontaneous activity could be enhanced by DS2. This property was not observed with $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -receptors expressed in mouse L(tk) cells, although spontaneous activity has been reported in oocytes injected with just $\beta 3$ -subunits (Wooltorton *et al.*, 1997).

Site of action

Using radioligand binding to native tissue or recombinant receptors and functional electrophysiological studies with chimeric receptors, we demonstrated that DS2 did not (i) mediate its effects at $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ -receptors via the orthosteric agonist site; (ii) bind to the 'benzodiazepine' site at various $\gamma 2$ -subunit containing receptors, and by inference any 'benzodiazepine-like' site suggested to be present on $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -receptors (Hanchar *et al.*, 2006); (iii) modulate $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ -receptors via an interaction with the etomidate site; (iv) modulate $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ -receptors via an interaction with the neurosteroid site. Finally, DS2 was not likely to modulate $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ -receptors via a site common with barbiturates based on interaction studies with pentobarbital. The conclusion that DS2 is not modulating δ -GABA_A receptors via a site common with barbiturates is based on an indirect methodology compared to our studies exploring the orthosteric, benzodiazepine, etomidate and neurosteroid sites. Nonetheless, collectively, these findings suggest a unique binding site for DS2 with efficacy critically dependent on the presence of the δ -subunit in the GABA_A receptor complex.

Previous studies have reported the specific binding of [³H]-Ro 15-4513 to recombinant $\alpha 4/6\beta 2/3\delta$ receptors with low nanomolar affinity, suggesting the presence of a 'benzodiazepine-like' site on δ -GABA_A receptors. However, in agreement with others (Korpi *et al.*, 2007), we found no specific binding of [³H]-Ro 15-4513 to $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ -receptors expressed (either transiently or stably) in HEK-293 cells. These HEK-293 cells expressing $\alpha 4\beta 3\delta$ receptors gave clear signals to GABA in a FLIPR assay *per se* (data not shown) and responded to DS2, indicating the presence of the δ -subunit in the complex. Moreover, if modulation by DS2 of $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ receptors was mediated through its binding to a 'benzodiazepine-like' site on $\alpha 4\beta x\delta$ receptors, then some displacement of [³H]-Ro 15-4513 binding to $\alpha 4\beta 3\gamma 2$ expressed in HEK-293 cells, or a greater degree of displacement of [³H]flumazenil binding to cortex might have been observed. Furthermore, there was no influence of flumazenil on the limited modulation of $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ -receptors by DS2 in electrophysiological studies, indicating no major interaction of DS2 with the known benzodiazepine site at this receptor isoform.

Native neuronal GABA_A receptors

We previously demonstrated that DS2 enhanced a tonic current in mouse thalamocortical VB neurons (Wafford *et al.*,

2009). Here we additionally revealed that this effect was mediated *via* δ -containing receptors as the modulation of the tonic current was not evident in VB neurons derived from the $\delta^{0/0}$ mouse. The predominant δ -GABA_A receptor population in VB neurons is the $\alpha 4\beta 2\delta$ isoform, which mediates the actions of gaboxadol in these thalamic neurons (Belelli *et al.*, 2005; Chandra *et al.*, 2006; Peden *et al.*, 2008) and potentially the effects of gaboxadol on sleep (Wafford and Ebert, 2006; Winsky-Sommerer *et al.*, 2007). As shown previously for gaboxadol, there was no influence of DS2 on mIPSCs mediated by synaptic $\alpha 1\beta 2\gamma 2$ receptors in VB neurons obtained from WT or $\delta^{0/0}$ mice (Belelli *et al.*, 2005; Chandra *et al.*, 2006; Peden *et al.*, 2008). δ -containing receptors are not only found in the thalamus (Peng *et al.*, 2002) – in some brain regions, the δ -subunit appears to preferentially partner with $\alpha 1$ - and $\alpha 6$ -subunits (e.g. hippocampal interneurons and cerebellar granule cells, respectively) (Nusser *et al.*, 1998; Sun *et al.*, 2004; Mangan *et al.*, 2005), rather than $\alpha 4$ -subunits (e.g. dentate gyrus granule cells and VB thalamocortical neurons) (Chandra *et al.*, 2006). In this respect, it is interesting that DS2 appears to discriminate between δ -receptor populations, in that it selectively modulated δ -GABA_A receptors incorporating an $\alpha 4$ - or $\alpha 6$ -, rather than a $\alpha 1$ -subunit. Future studies exploring the effects of DS2 on neurons where $\alpha 1\beta 2\delta$ and $\alpha 6\beta 2\delta$ populations predominate would clarify whether this selectivity at recombinant receptors is observed in native preparations expressing these subtypes.

In vivo properties

Although not reported in this paper, we have attempted to ascertain the utility of DS2 as an *in vivo* tool compound. However, in an initial pharmacokinetic study in both mice and rats, we found that whereas plasma exposure after a 10 mg·kg⁻¹ p.o. dose of DS2 was very high in both rats ($C_{\max}$ ~30 μ M) and mice ($C_{\max}$ ~15 μ M), in both species, DS2 had very poor brain penetration (brain/plasma ~0.1). Further assessment in the rat at a higher dose (30 mg·kg⁻¹, p.o.), as well as being delivered *via* other routes of administration (s.c. and i.p.), did not result in any significant improvement in brain-penetrant properties. This poor brain/plasma profile was confirmed by a lack of effect of DS2 at doses up to 100 mg·kg⁻¹ p.o. in animal models of gross behaviour (Irwin screen, locomotor activity, rotarod), anxiety (e.g. zero maze and stress-induced hyperthermia), psychosis (MK-801 hyperactivity, PPI) and pain (formalin). Although it is plausible that these models might be unresponsive to a compound with the GABA_A receptor selectivity profile of DS2 and that more appropriate models should be considered [e.g. (Maguire and Mody, 2007; Smith *et al.*, 2007), given the poor brain/plasma ratio achieved with DS2 in rodents and the clear *in vivo* effects described for gaboxadol in similar models (Wafford and Ebert, 2006; – see Introduction), this explanation is unlikely. Clearly, this does not preclude DS2 being administered directly into brain ventricles or discrete brain regions in future studies. However, potentially of more interest, given the excellent plasma exposure of DS2 in mice and rats, is to consider assessing DS2 in animal models of disorders with a peripheral/non-CNS basis (Mendu *et al.*, 2011).

In contrast to the poor CNS bioavailability of DS2, AA29504 (Hoestgaard-Jensen *et al.*, 2010) over a range of doses has been reported to have an excellent brain/plasma

ratio of ~1.5 in rats with absolute brain concentrations reaching 1.6 μM at a dose of 4 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ after s.c. administration. At a dose of 4 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$, some *in vivo* effects were reported in rodents: anxiolysis, impairment of rotarod performance and a synergistic interaction with ethanol leading to impaired rotarod performance. Although these data are of interest, as noted above a 1 μM concentration (brain level in rat after 4 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ = 1.6 μM) modulates both IPSCs and tonic inhibition (in the presence of gaboxadol) in cortical slices. Furthermore, since AA29504 is an analogue of the neuronal K_v7 channel opener retigabine, care should be exercised in associating its actions exclusively with GABA_A receptors. Indeed, the authors indicate that in the rat amygdaloid kindling model of partial seizures the effects seen with AA29504 at 10 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ are likely to be linked to activation of K_v7 channels. Moreover, the effects described at the 4 $\text{mg}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$ dose in the anxiety, rotarod and ethanol interaction tests are consistent with the profile of retigabine (Blackburn-Munro *et al.*, 2005; Korsgaard *et al.*, 2005). Recently, Damgaard *et al.* (2011) have shown that AA29504 reverses recognition memory deficits in an animal model of schizophrenia, albeit retigabine is also effective in schizophrenia models (Sotty *et al.*, 2009).

In conclusion, we have conducted an in-depth characterization of DS2 demonstrating its unique selectivity for a subset of δ -receptors amongst a range of human GABA_A receptors, verified that this selectivity is maintained when assessing native (rodent) GABA_A receptors, shown that the predominant mechanism of action of DS2 was to enhance the maximal GABA response at δ - GABA_A receptors, and determined that DS2 was unlikely to mediate its effects *via* currently known sites on GABA_A receptors. DS2 was distinguished from gaboxadol in having a different selectivity profile, in addition to being a PAM rather than an agonist. Although not a convenient tool after systemic administration for *in vivo* studies of CNS disorders, direct brain administration and assessment of DS2 in animal models of peripheral disorders is feasible. This in-depth characterization of DS2 increases our understanding of effects being mediated by this and other δ -subunit selective drugs and suggests that the effects of DS2 are mediated by an as yet uncharacterized site on δ - GABA_A receptors.

Acknowledgements

We would like to acknowledge Carsten Jessen for the synthesis of DS2 and Elsebeth Østergaard Nielsen for help with the binding experiments. Work was supported by an AJ Clark Studentship (JJL & DB), MRC Grant G1000008 (DB & JJL) and Tenovus Scotland (DB).

Conflict of interest

None.

References

- Alexander SPH, Mathie A, Peters JA (2011). Guide to Receptors and Channels (GRAC), 5th edn. Br. J. Pharmacol 164 (Suppl. 1): S1–S324.
- Barnard EA, Skolnick P, Olsen RW, Mohler H, Sieghart W, Biggio G *et al.* (1998). International Union of Pharmacology. XV. Subtypes of gamma-aminobutyric acidA receptors: classification on the basis of subunit structure and receptor function. Pharmacol Rev 50: 291–313.
- Belelli D, Lambert JJ, Peters JA, Wafford K, Whiting PJ (1997). The interaction of the general anesthetic etomidate with the gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptor is influenced by a single amino acid. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 94: 11031–11036.
- Belelli D, Casula A, Ling A, Lambert JJ (2002). The influence of subunit composition on the interaction of neurosteroids with GABA_A receptors. Neuropharmacology 43: 651–661.
- Belelli D, Peden DR, Rosahl TW, Wafford KA, Lambert JJ (2005). Extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors of thalamocortical neurons: a molecular target for hypnotics. J Neurosci 25: 11513–11520.
- Belelli D, Harrison NL, Maguire J, Macdonald RL, Walker MC, Cope DW (2009). Extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors: form, pharmacology, and function. J Neurosci 29: 12757–12763.
- Berezhnoy D, Nyfeler Y, Gonthier A, Schwob H, Goeldner M, Sigel E (2004). On the benzodiazepine binding pocket in GABA_A receptors. J Biol Chem 279: 3160–3168.
- Blackburn-Munro G, Dalby-Brown W, Mirza NR, Mikkelsen JD, Blackburn-Munro RE (2005). Retigabine: chemical synthesis to clinical application. CNS Drug Rev 11: 1–20.
- Bonin RP, Labrakakis C, Eng DG, Whissell PD, De Koninck Y, Orser BA (2011). Pharmacological enhancement of delta-subunit-containing GABA_A receptors that generate a tonic inhibitory conductance in spinal neurons attenuates acute nociception in mice. Pain 152: 1317–1326.
- Brickley SG, Cull-Candy SG, Farrant M (1996). Development of a tonic form of synaptic inhibition in rat cerebellar granule cells resulting from persistent activation of GABA_A receptors. J Physiol 497 (Pt 3): 753–759.
- Brickley SG, Cull-Candy SG, Farrant M (1999). Single-channel properties of synaptic and extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors suggest differential targeting of receptor subtypes. J Neurosci 19: 2960–2973.
- Brickley SG, Revilla V, Cull-Candy SG, Wisden W, Farrant M (2001). Adaptive regulation of neuronal excitability by a voltage-independent potassium conductance. Nature 409: 88–92.
- Bright DP, Renzi M, Bartram J, McGee TP, MacKenzie G, Hosie AM *et al.* (2011). Profound desensitization by ambient GABA limits activation of delta-containing GABA_A receptors during spillover. J Neurosci 31: 753–763.
- Brown N, Kerby J, Bonnert TP, Whiting PJ, Wafford KA (2002). Pharmacological characterization of a novel cell line expressing human $\alpha(4)\beta(3)\delta$ GABA_A receptors. Br J Pharmacol 136: 965–974.
- Chandra D, Jia F, Liang J, Peng Z, Suryanarayanan A, Werner DF *et al.* (2006). GABA_A receptor $\alpha 4$ subunits mediate extrasynaptic inhibition in thalamus and dentate gyrus and the action of gaboxadol. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103: 15230–15235.
- Cope DW, Hughes SW, Crunelli V (2005). GABA_A receptor-mediated tonic inhibition in thalamic neurons. J Neurosci 25: 11553–11563.
- Damgaard T, Plath N, Neill JC, Hansen SL (2011). Extrasynaptic GABA_A receptor activation reverses recognition memory deficits in an animal model of schizophrenia. Psychopharmacology (Berl) 214: 403–413.
- Ebert B, Wafford KA, Whiting PJ, Krogsgaard-Larsen P, Kemp JA (1994). Molecular pharmacology of gamma-aminobutyric acid type

- A receptor agonists and partial agonists in oocytes injected with different alpha, beta, and gamma receptor subunit combinations. *Mol Pharmacol* 46: 957–963.
- Enoch MA (2008). The role of GABA(A) receptors in the development of alcoholism. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav* 90: 95–104.
- Farrant M, Nusser Z (2005). Variations on an inhibitory theme: phasic and tonic activation of GABA(A) receptors. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 6: 215–229.
- Fritschy JM, Mohler H (1995). GABA_A-receptor heterogeneity in the adult rat brain: differential regional and cellular distribution of seven major subunits. *J Comp Neurol* 359: 154–194.
- Hadley SH, Amin J (2007). Rat alpha6beta2delta GABAA receptors exhibit two distinct and separable agonist affinities. *J Physiol* 581: 1001–1018.
- Hanchar HJ, Chutsrinopkun P, Meera P, Supavilai P, Sieghart W, Wallner M *et al.* (2006). Ethanol potently and competitively inhibits binding of the alcohol antagonist Ro15-4513 to alpha4/6beta3delta GABAA receptors. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 103: 8546–8551.
- Herd MB, Foister N, Chandra D, Peden DR, Homanics GE, Brown VJ *et al.* (2009). Inhibition of thalamic excitability by 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroisoxazolo[4,5-c]pyridine-3-ol: a selective role for delta-GABA(A) receptors. *Eur J Neurosci* 29: 1177–1187.
- Hoestgaard-Jensen K, Dalby NO, Wolinsky TD, Murphey C, Jones KA, Rottlander M *et al.* (2010). Pharmacological characterization of a novel positive modulator at alpha 4 beta 3 delta-containing extrasynaptic GABA(A) receptors. *Neuropharmacology* 58: 702–711.
- Holm MM, Nieto-Gonzalez JL, Vardya I, Henningsen K, Jayatissa MN, Wiborg O *et al.* (2010). Hippocampal GABAergic dysfunction in a rat chronic mild stress model of depression. *Hippocampus* 21: 422–433.
- Hosie AM, Wilkins ME, da Silva HM, Smart TG (2006). Endogenous neurosteroids regulate GABA_A receptors through two discrete transmembrane sites. *Nature* 444: 486–489.
- Houston CM, Bright DP, Sivilotti LG, Beato M, Smart TG (2009). Intracellular chloride ions regulate the time course of GABA-mediated inhibitory synaptic transmission. *J Neurosci* 29: 10416–10423.
- Jacob TC, Moss SJ, Jurd R (2008). GABA(A) receptor trafficking and its role in the dynamic modulation of neuronal inhibition. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 9: 331–343.
- Jia F, Pignataro L, Schofield CM, Yue M, Harrison NL, Goldstein PA (2005). An extrasynaptic GABA_A receptor mediates tonic inhibition in thalamic VB neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 94: 4491–4501.
- Jurd R, Arras M, Lambert S, Drexler B, Siegwart R, Crestani F *et al.* (2003). General anesthetic actions *in vivo* strongly attenuated by a point mutation in the GABA(A) receptor beta3 subunit. *FASEB J* 17: 250–252.
- Korpi ER, Debus F, Linden AM, Malecot C, Leppa E, Vekovischeva O *et al.* (2007). Does ethanol act preferentially via selected brain GABA_A receptor subtypes? the current evidence is ambiguous. *Alcohol* 41: 163–176.
- Korsgaard MP, Hartz BP, Brown WD, Ahring PK, Strobaek D, Mirza NR (2005). Anxiolytic effects of Maxipost (BMS-204352) and retigabine via activation of neuronal Kv7 channels. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 314: 282–292.
- Lewis RW, Mabry J, Polisar JG, Eagen KP, Ganem B, Hess GP (2010). Dihydropyrimidinone positive modulation of delta-subunit-containing gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptors, including an epilepsy-linked mutant variant. *Biochemistry* 49: 4841–4851.
- McKernan RM, Whiting PJ (1996). Which GABAA-receptor subtypes really occur in the brain? *Trends Neurosci* 19: 139–143.
- Maguire J, Mody I (2007). Neurosteroid synthesis-mediated regulation of GABA(A) receptors: relevance to the ovarian cycle and stress. *J Neurosci* 27: 2155–2162.
- Maksay G, Thompson SA, Wafford KA (2003). The pharmacology of spontaneously open alpha 1 beta 3 epsilon GABA A receptor-ionophores. *Neuropharmacology* 44: 994–1002.
- Mangan PS, Sun C, Carpenter M, Goodkin HP, Sieghart W, Kapur J (2005). Cultured hippocampal pyramidal neurons express two kinds of GABA_A receptors. *Mol Pharmacol* 67: 775–788.
- Marx CE, Stevens RD, Shampine LJ, Uzunova V, Trost WT, Butterfield MI *et al.* (2006). Neuroactive steroids are altered in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder: relevance to pathophysiology and therapeutics. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 31: 1249–1263.
- McGrath J, Drummond G, Kilkenny C, Wainwright C (2010). Guidelines for reporting experiments involving animals: the ARRIVE guidelines. *Br J Pharmacol* 160: 1573–1576.
- Mendu SK, Akesson L, Jin Z, Edlund A, Cilio C, Lernmark A *et al.* (2011). Increased GABA(A) channel subunits expression in CD8(+) but not in CD4(+) T cells in BB rats developing diabetes compared to their congenic littermates. *Mol Immunol* 48: 399–407.
- Mihalek RM, Banerjee PK, Korpi ER, Quinlan JJ, Firestone LL, Mi ZP *et al.* (1999). Attenuated sensitivity to neuroactive steroids in gamma-aminobutyrate type A receptor delta subunit knockout mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96: 12905–12910.
- Mirza NR, Larsen JS, Mathiasen C, Jacobsen TA, Munro G, Erichsen HK *et al.* (2008). NS11394 [3'-(5-(1-hydroxy-1-methyl-ethyl)-benzimidazol-1-yl)-biphenyl-2-carbonitrile], a unique subtype-selective GABA_A receptor positive allosteric modulator: *in vitro* actions, pharmacokinetic properties and *in vivo* anxiolytic efficacy. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 327: 954–968.
- Mody I (2005). Aspects of the homeostatic plasticity of GABA_A receptor-mediated inhibition. *J Physiol* 562: 37–46.
- Mortensen M, Smart TG (2006). Extrasynaptic alphabeta subunit GABA_A receptors on rat hippocampal pyramidal neurons. *J Physiol* 577: 841–856.
- Mortensen M, Ebert B, Wafford K, Smart TG (2010). Distinct activities of GABA agonists at synaptic- and extrasynaptic-type GABAA receptors. *J Physiol* 588: 1251–1268.
- Muroi Y, Theusch CM, Czajkowski C, Jackson MB (2009). Distinct structural changes in the GABA_A receptor elicited by pentobarbital and GABA. *Biophys J* 96: 499–509.
- Nusser Z, Sieghart W, Somogyi P (1998). Segregation of different GABA_A receptors to synaptic and extrasynaptic membranes of cerebellar granule cells. *J Neurosci* 18: 1693–1703.
- Olmos-Serrano JL, Corbin JG, Burns MP (2011). The GABA(A) receptor agonist THIP ameliorates specific behavioral deficits in the mouse model of fragile X syndrome. *Dev Neurosci* 33: 395–403.
- Olsen RW, Hanchar HJ, Meera P, Wallner M (2007). GABA_A receptor subtypes: the 'one glass of wine' receptors. *Alcohol* 41: 201–209.
- Peden DR, Petitjean CM, Herd MB, Durakoglugil MS, Rosahl TW, Wafford K *et al.* (2008). Developmental maturation of synaptic and extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors in mouse thalamic ventrobasal neurons. *J Physiol* 586: 965–987.

- Peng HY, Chen GD, Lee SD, Lai CY, Chiu CH, Cheng CL *et al.* (2009). Neuroactive steroids inhibit spinal reflex potentiation by selectively enhancing specific spinal GABA(A) receptor subtypes. *Pain* 143: 12–20.
- Peng Z, Hauer B, Mihalek RM, Homanics GE, Sieghart W, Olsen RW *et al.* (2002). GABA(A) receptor changes in delta subunit-deficient mice: altered expression of alpha4 and gamma2 subunits in the forebrain. *J Comp Neurol* 446: 179–197.
- Pibiri F, Nelson M, Guidotti A, Costa E, Pinna G (2008). Decreased corticolimbic allopregnanolone expression during social isolation enhances contextual fear: a model relevant for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105: 5567–5572.
- Pirker S, Schwarzer C, Wieselthaler A, Sieghart W, Sperk G (2000). GABA(A) receptors: immunocytochemical distribution of 13 subunits in the adult rat brain. *Neuroscience* 101: 815–850.
- Porcello DM, Huntsman MM, Mihalek RM, Homanics GE, Huguenard JR (2003). Intact synaptic GABAergic inhibition and altered neurosteroid modulation of thalamic relay neurons in mice lacking delta subunit. *J Neurophysiol* 89: 1378–1386.
- Rewal M, Jurd R, Gill TM, He DY, Ron D, Janak PH (2009). Alpha4-containing GABA_A receptors in the nucleus accumbens mediate moderate intake of alcohol. *J Neurosci* 29: 543–549.
- Roth T, Lines C, Vandormael K, Ceesay P, Anderson D, Snively D (2010). Effect of gaboxadol on patient-reported measures of sleep and waking function in patients with Primary Insomnia: results from two randomized, controlled, 3-month studies. *J Clin Sleep Med* 6: 30–39.
- Shu HJ, Bracamontes J, Taylor A, Wu K, McCollum M, Akk G *et al.* (2011). Characteristics of alpha4/delta-containing concatemeric GABA(A) receptors expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes. *Br J Pharmacol* 165: 2228–2243.
- Sieghart W (1995). Structure and pharmacology of gamma-aminobutyric acidA receptor subtypes. *Pharmacol Rev* 47: 181–234.
- Sigel E (2002). Mapping of the benzodiazepine recognition site on GABA(A) receptors. *Curr Top Med Chem* 2: 833–839.
- Smith SS, Shen H, Gong QH, Zhou X (2007). Neurosteroid regulation of GABA(A) receptors: focus on the alpha4 and delta subunits. *Pharmacol Ther* 116: 58–76.
- Sotty F, Damgaard T, Montezinho LP, Mork A, Olsen CK, Bundgaard C *et al.* (2009). Antipsychotic-like effect of retigabine [N-(2-Amino-4-(fluorobenzylamino)-phenyl)carbamic acid ester], a KCNQ potassium channel opener, via modulation of mesolimbic dopaminergic neurotransmission. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 328: 951–962.
- Spigelman I, Li Z, Banerjee PK, Mihalek RM, Homanics GE, Olsen RW (2002). Behavior and physiology of mice lacking the GABA_A-receptor delta subunit. *Epilepsia* 43 (Suppl. 5): 3–8.
- Storustovu SI, Ebert B (2006). Pharmacological characterization of agonists at delta-containing GABA_A receptors: functional selectivity for extrasynaptic receptors is dependent on the absence of gamma2. *J Pharmacol Exp Ther* 316: 1351–1359.
- Sun C, Sieghart W, Kapur J (2004). Distribution of alpha1, alpha4, gamma2, and delta subunits of GABA_A receptors in hippocampal granule cells. *Brain Res* 1029: 207–216.
- Thakkar MM, Winston S, McCarley RW (2008). Effect of microdialysis perfusion of 4,5,6,7-tetrahydroisoxazolo-[5,4-c]pyridine-3-ol in the perifornical hypothalamus on sleep-wakefulness: role of delta-subunit containing extrasynaptic GABA_A receptors. *Neuroscience* 153: 551–555.
- Ueno S, Bracamontes J, Zorumski C, Weiss DS, Steinbach JH (1997). Bicuculline and gabazine are allosteric inhibitors of channel opening of the GABA_A receptor. *J Neurosci* 17: 625–634.
- Wafford KA, Ebert B (2006). Gaboxadol-a new awakening in sleep. *Curr Opin Pharmacol* 6: 30–36.
- Wafford KA, van Niel MB, Ma QP, Horridge E, Herd MB, Peden DR *et al.* (2009). Novel compounds selectively enhance delta subunit containing GABA_A receptors and increase tonic currents in thalamus. *Neuropharmacology* 56: 182–189.
- Wallner M, Hancher HJ, Olsen RW (2003). Ethanol enhances alpha 4 beta 3 delta and alpha 6 beta 3 delta gamma-aminobutyric acid type A receptors at low concentrations known to affect humans. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100: 15218–15223.
- Wiltgen BJ, Sanders MJ, Ferguson C, Homanics GE, Fanselow MS (2005). Trace fear conditioning is enhanced in mice lacking the delta subunit of the GABA_A receptor. *Learn Mem* 12: 327–333.
- Winsky-Sommerer R, Vyazovskiy VV, Homanics GE, Tobler I (2007). The EEG effects of THIP (Gaboxadol) on sleep and waking are mediated by the GABA(A)delta-subunit-containing receptors. *Eur J Neurosci* 25: 1893–1899.
- Wohlfarth KM, Bianchi MT, Macdonald RL (2002). Enhanced neurosteroid potentiation of ternary GABA(A) receptors containing the delta subunit. *J Neurosci* 22: 1541–1549.
- Wooltorton JR, Moss SJ, Smart TG (1997). Pharmacological and physiological characterization of murine homomeric beta3 GABA(A) receptors. *Eur J Neurosci* 9: 2225–2235.
- Zheleznova N, Sedelnikova A, Weiss DS (2008). alpha1beta2delta, a silent GABA_A receptor: recruitment by tracazolate and neurosteroids. *Br J Pharmacol* 153: 1062–1071.