Published in final edited form as:

Protein Expr Purif. 2012 July ; 84(1): 47–58. doi:10.1016/j.pep.2012.04.020.

Production and characterization of monoclonal antibodies to estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERR α) and use in immunoaffinity chromatography

Amanda M. Esch, Nancy E. Thompson, Jennifer A. Lamberski, Janet E. Mertz, and Richard R. Burgess

McArdle Laboratory for Cancer Research, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, United States

Richard R. Burgess: rburgess@wisc.edu

Abstract

Estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERR α) is an orphan nuclear receptor whose elevated expression is thought to contribute to breast, colon, and ovarian cancers. In order to investigate the role of ERR α in human disease, there is a need for immunological reagents suitable for detection and purification of ERR α . We expressed recombinant human ERR α in *Escherichia coli*, purified the protein, and used it to generate monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to ERR α . Nine high-affinity mAbs were chosen for their abilities to detect overexpressed ERR α in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) and Western blots, after which isotyping and preliminary epitope mapping was performed. The mAbs were all IgG subtypes and reacted with several different regions of full-length ERR α . A majority of the mAbs were found to be useful for immunoprecipitation of ERR α , and several could detect DNA-bound ERR α in electrophoretic mobility supershift assays (EMSAs) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP). The suitability of mAbs to detect ERR α in immunofluorescence assays was assessed. One mAb in particular, 2ERR10, could specifically detect endogenous ERR α in mammary carcinoma cells. Finally, we performed assays to screen for mAbs that gently release ERR α in the presence of a low-molecular-weight polyhydroxylated compound (polyol) and nonchaotropic salt. Using gentle immunoaffinity chromatography, we were able to isolate ERR α from mammalian cells by eluting with a polyol-salt solution. Our characterization studies show that these monoclonal antibodies perform well in a variety of biochemical assays. We anticipate that these novel reagents will prove useful for the detection and purification of ERR α in research and clinical applications.

Keywords

Estrogen-related receptor alpha; Nuclear receptor; Orphan receptor; Breast cancer; Cancer; Immunoaffinity chromatography; Immunoprecipitation; Immunofluorescence; ELISA; Chromatin immunoprecipitation; Antibody characterization; Monoclonal; Polyol-responsive

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Correspondence to: Richard R. Burgess, rburgess@wisc.eduburgess@oncology.wisc.edu.

Author contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: AME, NET, JAL, JEM, and RRB. Performed the experiments: AME, NET, and JAL. Analyzed the data: AME and NET. Wrote the manuscript: AME and NET. Edited the manuscript: AME, NET, JAL, JEM, and RRB.

Introduction

Estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERR α)¹ is a nuclear receptor transcription factor which was discovered in low-stringency screens of cDNA libraries which used the estrogen receptor DNA-binding domain as a probe [1]. Despite its structural similarities with estrogen receptor and other nuclear receptor family members, ERR α is considered to be an orphan receptor because it has no known natural ligand. Its transcriptional activities are thought to be modulated by post-translational modifications and interactions with cofactors [2,3].

Full-length ERR α consists of 423 amino acids which encode a 46-kDa protein. It comprises four major canonical nuclear receptor domains, indicated by amino acid (aa) position (see Fig. 3B). The N-terminal A/B domain (aa 1–78) is involved in ligand-independent functions of nuclear receptors. The C region (aa 79–144) contains the DNA-binding domain (DBD), which shares nearly 70% sequence identity with the DBD of estrogen receptor alpha. Like estrogen receptor, ERR α can bind estrogen response elements (EREs) containing the inverse palindromic sequence 5'-AGGTCANNNTGACCT-3'; ERR α can also bind to extended half-site sequences known as estrogen-related response elements (ERREs), which have the general sequence 5'-TNAAGGTCA-3' [4–8]. Adjacent to the DBD is the D domain (aa 145–198), also known as the hinge region. The C-terminal E/F domain (aa 199–423) typically contains a ligand-binding region for most nuclear receptors; however, phenylalanine residues fill the binding pocket of ERR α , holding it in an active conformation similar to that of estrogen-bound estrogen receptor [9]. It is thought that this structural configuration is responsible for the hormone-independent activity of ERR α . The E/F domain also contains LXXLL motifs which allow ERR α to interact with cofactors [10].

ERR α is localized predominantly in cell nuclei and is most highly expressed in skeletal muscle, kidney, heart, brain, and intestine [1,7,11]. Recent studies have demonstrated that ERR α regulates transcription of genes involved in metabolism [12–16]. Elevated ERR α protein levels have been associated with certain breast, endometrial, ovarian, prostate, and colorectal cancers [17–26], but its exact role in cancer progression is not clear. In order to investigate the contributions of ERR α in disease development, it would be useful to have high-affinity immunological reagents able to detect and purify ERR α in a variety of applications.

To address this need, we generated murine monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) to human recombinant ERR α . Nine high-affinity mAbs were chosen for their abilities to react with ERR α in enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISAs) and Western blot analyses. We evaluated the capacity of each mAb to react with ERR α in electrophoretic mobility supershift assays (EMSAs), immunoprecipitation (IP), chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP), and immunofluorescence (IF) applications. Using gentle immunoaffinity chromatography, we were able to purify overexpressed and endogenous ERR α from mammalian cell lysates in a single chromatographic step. Our characterization studies demonstrate that these mAbs retain high affinity for ERR α and are suitable for use in a wide range of biochemical assays. We anticipate that these immunological reagents will prove useful in research and clinical applications for the detection and purification of ERR α .

¹ *Abbreviations used:* ERR α , estrogen-related receptor alpha; aa, amino acid; DBA, DNA-binding domain; EREs, estrogen response elements; mAbs, monoclonal antibodies; ELISAs, enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays; EMSAs, electrophoretic mobility supershift assays; IP, immunoprecipitation; IF, immunofluorescence; LB, Luria-Bertani; IPTG, isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside; Ni-NTA, nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid; BSA, bovine serum albumin; SDS-PAGE, sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis; PBS, phosphate-buffered saline; HRP, horseradish peroxidase; HEK 293T, human embryonic kidney 293T cells; PEI, polyethyleneimine; EDTA, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid; PMSF, phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride; MES, 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid; BCIP, 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate; NBT, nitroblue tetrazolium; HRP, horseradish peroxidase; HEPES, 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid; DTT, dithiothreitol; ChIP, chromatin immunoprecipitation; DAPI, 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole; DBD, DNA-binding domain; ERRE, estrogen-related response element.

Materials and methods

Reagents and buffers

General-purpose molecular biology-grade reagents were obtained from established vendors. Reagents specific to particular applications are indicated in the text.

Expression of His₆-ERR α in *Escherichia coli*

The coding region of human full-length ERR α (contained in pcDNA3.1/V5-His, described in [8]) was amplified using the forward primer 5'-GCCACCATGGCATCCAGCCAGGTGGTGGGCATTG-3', which contained an *Nco*I site (underlined). The reverse primer, 5'-CGCTGCGGCCGCGTCCATCATGGCCTCGAGCATC-3', contained a *Not*I site (underlined). The amplified product was gel-purified and cloned into the *Nco*I/*Not*I sites of the pET28b(+) vector (EMD Biosciences, Gibbstown, NJ). This construction resulted in fulllength ERR α containing an in-frame hexahistidine (His₆) tag at the C-terminus. The sequence of the insert was confirmed and the plasmid was transformed into *E. coli* BL21(DE3)pLysS. Transformed bacteria were cultured in 500 mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) medium containing 30 μ g/mL kanamycin and 35 μ g/mL chloramphenicol and grown overnight at 37 °C with shaking. Once the cultures reached an O.D.₆₀₀ nm of 0.6, they were induced with 1 mM isopropyl- β -D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) and grown for an additional 2.5 h, after which the bacterial pellets were harvested and stored at -80 °C. The pellet (1 g wet weight of cells) was resuspended in 8 mL 1 $\times$ His binding buffer (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9; 500 mM NaCl; 5 mM imidazole), then sonicated and centrifuged. The soluble fraction was applied to a 2-mL equilibrated nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) column (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), washed, then eluted sequentially with binding buffer containing 80 mM, 100 mM, and 200 mM imidazole. The total protein in each eluted fraction was determined by Bradford assay, using bovine serum albumin (BSA) as a protein standard. Fractions were analyzed by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) to determine purity, then pooled and dialyzed against TENG buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.9; 0.1 mM EDTA; 100 mM NaCl; 20% glycerol).

Hybridoma generation and mAb production

Four female Balb/c ByJ mice (Jackson Labs, Bar Harbor, ME) were injected with purified human recombinant ERR α every fourteen days; each injection administered was approximately 50 μ L subcutaneously and 50 μ L intraperitoneally. The first injection contained 5 μ g purified ERR α in Freund's complete adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich, St.Louis, MO). The second and third injections contained 10 μ g and 20 μ g ERR α , respectively, in Freund's incomplete adjuvant (Sigma-Aldrich). The mice were bled on day 56, and the sera were assayed by ELISA and Western blot. Sera from all four mice showed a titer of at least 1:32,000 in the ELISA and reacted with overexpressed ERR α by Western blot analyses. Three days before the fusion and at least thirty days after the last injection in incomplete adjuvant, the selected mouse was injected with 50 μ g of ERR α in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), administered intraperitoneally. Hybridomas were prepared from isolated splenocytes by standard hybridoma methods [27], using NS1 myeloma cells as the fusion partner. Twelve days after the fusion, cell culture media were assayed by standard ELISA for the presence of ERR α -reactive antibodies. Cells from the ELISA-positive wells were cloned at least twice by limiting dilution. All animal protocols were approved by the University of Wisconsin-Madison School of Medicine and Public Health Animal Use and Care Committee.

mAb purification

Antibody-producing hybridomas were grown in ascites fluid or Celline flasks (IBS Integra Biosciences, Chur, Switzerland) according to manufacturer instructions [28], and mAbs were purified as follows. To remove albumin from IgG₁ antibodies, samples were precipitated with saturated ammonium sulfate (45% w/v), mixed on ice for twenty minutes, then incubated at 4 °C for eighteen hours. Samples were collected by centrifugation, resuspended in antibody buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, 25 mM NaCl, pH 6.9), and dialyzed into antibody buffer for eighteen hours at 4 °C. Samples were applied to 4-mL equilibrated DE52 diethylaminoethyl cellulose columns (Whatman, Maidstone, England); purified mAbs were collected in the flowthrough fraction. For IgG_{2a} and IgG_{2b} mAbs, samples were diluted twofold with PBS and applied to protein A-conjugated agarose columns (Repligen, Waltham, MA). Columns were washed with PBS, after which mAbs were eluted with 0.75 M acetic acid. Eluted mAbs were neutralized by the addition of 2 M Tris-HCl pH 7.9, and mAbs were dialyzed against PBS.

ELISA and ELISA-elution

ELISA and ELISA-elution assays were performed as previously described [29–33]. Microtiter plates (96-well) were coated with 100 ng purified ERR α per well, then blocked in BLOTTO (1% dried nonfat milk in PBS) overnight at 4 °C. Wells were washed with 0.1% Tween-20 in PBS (PBS-T), then mAbs were added to wells and incubated for one hour at 23 °C. For ELISAs, plates were washed with PBS-T, then incubated with horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (American Qualex, San Clemente, CA). Bound antibody was detected using hydrogen peroxide substrate and o-phenylenediamine indicator in citrate buffer. For ELISA-elution experiments, polyol elution buffers containing various concentrations of propylene glycol and ammonium sulfate in TE buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl and 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 7.9) were added to each well and incubated at room temperature for twenty minutes. Plates were washed with PBS-T, after which any bound primary antibody was detected by reacting with secondary antibody and substrate as described above. Colorimetric analysis of ELISA and ELISA-elution was performed using an SLT Spectra plate reader.

Mammalian cell lines and transfections

Human embryonic kidney 293T cells (HEK 293T) and BT-474 mammary carcinoma cells were grown in high-glucose Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Thermo Scientific, Hudson, NH). MCF-7: WS8 (derived from the MCF-7 human mammary carcinoma cell line, kind gift from Dr. V. Craig Jordan, Georgetown University) and SK-BR-3 mammary carcinoma cells were grown in DMEM/F-12 medium supplemented with 10% FBS, 100 μ M non-essential amino acids, and 6 ng/mL insulin. All cells were grown at 37 °C in a humidified 5% CO₂ atmosphere. To express ERR α fragments suitable for epitope mapping, 293T cells were transiently transfected with pcDNA3.1 plasmid constructs encoding ERR α truncation mutants, designated by amino acid position: 1–78, 1–198, 77–423, and 145–423 (kind gift of Richard Kraus, UW-Madison). For crossreactivity studies, 293T cells were transiently transfected with human cytomegalovirus promoter-driven CMX expression plasmid encoding ER α , ER β , ERR α , ERR β , or ERR γ (kind gifts of Dr. Wei Xu, UW-Madison). For all 293T cell transfections, 25-kDa polyethyleneimine (PEI) was used as a transfection reagent (40 μ g PEI per 2.5 μ g DNA per 10-cm dish of cells). To overexpress full-length ERR α in mammalian cells, MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with CMX-ERR α or CMX empty vector, using *TransIT*-LT1 transfection reagent (Mirus). All transfected cells were grown at 37 °C with 5% CO₂ for forty-eight hours, after which they were lysed in Freedman buffer [50 mM Tris, pH 7.5; 150 mM NaCl; 1% Nonidet P-40; 10% glycerol; 2 mM

ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA); 50 mM NaF; 1 mM phenylmethanesulfonyl fluoride (PMSF)] to generate whole-cell extracts [34].

SDS-PAGE and Western blot, and silver staining

Proteins were separated by sodium dodecyl sulfate polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE), using 4–12% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen) in 2-(*N*-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES) running buffer. For initial Western blots detecting ERR α overexpressed in MCF-7 cells, all blocking and antibody incubation steps were performed in BLOTTO. For these studies, we used an alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse secondary antibody (Millipore, Billerica, MA) and 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate/nitroblue tetrazolium (BCIP/NBT) reagent as substrate. In all other Western blots, horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-conjugated light chain-specific goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA) or HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit IgG secondary antibody (GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ) was used in concert with a chemiluminescent substrate (Thermo Scientific, Rockford, IL) for detection. In these assays, blocking and antibody incubation steps for the majority of the mAbs were performed in 5% nonfat dry milk in a Tris-buffered solution containing 0.1% Tween-20 (TBS-T); blocking and incubations for mAbs 2ERR1, 2ERR6, and 2ERR37 were performed in 3% BSA in TBS-T. For detection of endogenous ERR α by mAb 2ERR10, BT-474 cells were treated for three hours with increasing amounts of XCT790, an inverse agonist of ERR α which selectively targets the protein for degradation [43].

Electrophoretic mobility supershift assay (EMSA)

Electrophoretic mobility supershift assays were performed as previously described [4,8,35,36]. For overexpression studies, MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected with pCMX-ERR α ; for examination of endogenous ERR α , BT-474 cells were used. Cells were lysed in Freeman buffer and lysate was incubated with mAb and 1 ng double-stranded radiolabeled ERRE probe (5'-AGCAGTGGCGATTTGTCAAGGTCACACAGT-3'; ERRE underlined) in a solution containing 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), pH 7.4; 1 mM dithiothreitol (DTT); 100 mM NaCl; 10% glycerol (v/v); 3 μ g BSA; and 4 μ g poly(dI-dC). Samples were loaded onto non-denaturing 5% polyacrylamide gels and electrophoresed at 200 V for two hours at 4 °C. Gels were transferred to Whatman paper, dried at 80 °C for one hour, then analyzed with a Storm PhosphorImager (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA).

Immunoprecipitation (IP)

For all immunoprecipitation assays, protein G agarose (Millipore) was washed in dialysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.1; 2 mM EDTA), then blocked overnight at 4 °C with 0.5 mg/mL bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.5 mg/mL herring sperm DNA (Promega, Madison, WI). Resin was washed and resuspended in an equal volume of dialysis buffer. For each IP, mAb was pre-bound to blocked protein G agarose in dilution buffer (16.7 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.1; 1.2 mM EDTA; 167 mM NaCl; 1.1% Triton X-100; 0.01% SDS; 10 mM sodium pyrophosphate). The antibody-resin complexes were washed twice with dilution buffer to remove excess antibody, then incubated overnight at 4 °C with whole-cell extract from MCF-7 cells overexpressing ERR α (1 mg total protein per IP). Resins were briefly washed three times in dilution buffer, then eluted in 2 $\times$ Laemmli SDS loading buffer. Immunoprecipitated ERR α was detected by Western blot analyses, using mAb 2ERR10 as the primary antibody and a light chain-specific anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody as described above.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) and PCR

For ChIP assays, BT-474 cells were treated with formaldehyde to crosslink DNA and associated proteins, then lysed as described [37,38]. Chromatin was sheared to an average size of 500 bp by sonicating samples three times for ten seconds at 9W output using a Fisher Scientific Sonic Dismembrator 100. After sonication, chromatin was precleared with blocked protein G agarose. Each antibody was prebound to protein G agarose resin, then incubated with precleared lysate in dilution buffer overnight at 4 °C. ChIP samples were washed and eluted, after which crosslinks were reversed. DNA was purified by using QiaQuick PCR purification spin columns (Qiagen). Pulldown of endogenous DNA-bound ERR α was checked by PCR, using primers designed to amplify the *ESRRA* promoter, which contains a characterized ERR α binding site [46]. PCR amplification was performed for 33 cycles using Go Taq reagents (Promega). Primer sequences were as follows: forward: 5-GCAGTGACCTTGAGC TTTCTCC-3; reverse: 5-GAACCGTAGACCCAGTAGCC-3.

Immunofluorescence

For immunofluorescent detection of overexpressed ERR α , MCF-7 mammary carcinoma cells were grown on round glass coverslips in 12-well plates, then transiently transfected with the pCMX-ERR α expression plasmid described above. At forty-eight hours post-transfection, cells were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde, then permeabilized in 0.2% Triton $\times$ -100. Permeabilized cells were incubated with purified anti-ERR α mAbs at a concentration of 20 μ g/mL (twofold dilution of cell supernatants for mAbs 2ERR2 and 2ERR6) in 1.5% goat serum (Jackson ImmunoResearch) overnight at 4 °C. The cells were then incubated with Alexa⁴⁸⁸-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (Invitrogen) and 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) nuclear counterstain (Invitrogen) for one hour at room temperature. Imaging was performed using a Leica DM5000 fluorescence microscope; all settings were conserved within each experiment. For detection of endogenous ERR α by mAb 2ERR10, cells were treated for twenty-four hours with 0.1% DMSO vehicle control or 5 μ M XCT790, an inverse agonist of ERR α which selectively targets the protein for degradation [43]; all immunocytochemistry steps were performed as described above. Imaging of endogenous ERR α was performed using a Bio- Rad Radiance 2100 MP Rainbow confocal/multiphoton microscope, and all settings were conserved within each experiment.

mAb conjugation to Sepharose resin

Antibody-conjugated Sepharose was prepared as described previously [39]. Purified 2ERR1 antibody was dialyzed into coupling buffer (0.1 M NaHCO₃, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 8.3) overnight at 4 °C. Cyanogen bromide-activated Sepharose (GE Healthcare) was swollen in 1 mM HCl and rinsed with coupling buffer. Dialyzed 2ERR1 antibody (6 mg) was conjugated to 0.5 g dry weight Sepharose at 23 °C for two hours and collected on a sintered glass filter. 2ERR1-Sepharose was mixed with blocking agent (1 M ethanolamine in coupling buffer, pH 8.0) for two hours at 23 °C, followed by four sets of washes alternating coupling buffer and acetate buffer (0.1 M sodium acetate, 0.5 M NaCl, pH 4.0). 2ERR1-Sepharose was stored in TE +0.02% NaN₃ at 4 °C; resin can be stored for six months and can be used multiple times if treated as described [32,40].

Immunoaffinity purification of ERR α using polyol-responsive mAbs

2ERR1-Sepharose was blocked with BSA and herring sperm DNA as described above, then equilibrated in Freedman buffer. Resin was incubated at 4 °C for one hour with cell lysate, then washed with TE. A third wash was performed with TE +250 mM ammonium sulfate, after which ERR α was gently eluted from the column with polyol elution buffer (TE +0.75

M ammonium sulfate +40% propylene glycol). Proteins were visualized by silver staining and Western blot analyses.

Results and discussion

Monoclonal antibody (mAb) production and initial screening

His₆-tagged human ERR α protein was expressed in *E. coli* and purified (Fig. 1), then used to immunize mice for mAb production. ELISAs were used to screen sera for reactivity to purified ERR α . Spleen cells from immunoreactive mice were fused with myeloma cells to produce immortal antibody-producing hybridoma cell lines; two separate fusions generated nine high-affinity mAbs which reacted with His₆-ERR α in ELISAs. All nine antibodies were able to detect ERR α overexpressed in MCF-7 cells by Western blot analyses (Fig. 2A). For mAbs 2ERR6 and 2ERR37, performing all blocking and antibody incubation steps in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) increased signal intensity. At least four of the mAbs (1ERR87, 1ERR90, 2ERR2, 2ERR10) were useful for detecting endogenous ERR α in 40 μ g BT-474 whole-cell extract by Western blot analyses. A representative Western blot showing detection of endogenous ERR α by mAb 2ERR10 is shown in Fig. 2B. In this figure, BT-474 cells were treated with XCT790, a compound which specifically targets the ERR α protein for degradation [13,41–43]. The major form of ERR α is a 52-kDa protein which is shown here to be degraded with increasing amounts of XCT790. In these Westerns, bands larger than 52 kDa likely indicate splice variants [4,7], post-translationally modified forms of the protein [2,7], or strongly-associated ERR α complexes [47]. The properties of the ERR α mAbs are summarized in Table 1.

Isotyping and epitope mapping

Antibody isotyping was performed using an ELISA-based kit (HyClone, Logan, UT), and all nine mAbs were determined to be IgG subtypes. 1ERR21, 1ERR87, 1ERR90, 2ERR10, and 2ERR37 were found to be IgG₁ molecules; 2ERR1 and 2ERR2 are IgG_{2a} mAbs, and 2ERR6 and 2ERR7 belong to subisotype IgG_{2b} (summarized in Table 1). Next, we performed epitope mapping to determine which region of the ERR α protein is recognized by each mAb. Human embryonic kidney 293T cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding ERR α truncation mutants representing different regions of the protein, as indicated by amino acid position in fulllength ERR α : 1–78, 1–198, 77–423, and 145–23. Cell lysates were separated by SDS–PAGE and analyzed by Western blot. Antibodies 1ERR87, 1ERR90, 2ERR2, and 2ERR10 reacted with epitopes in the N-terminal A/B domain, while mAbs 1ERR21, 2ERR6, and 2ERR7 recognized epitopes in the C-terminal E/F domain. 2ERR37 was the only mAb which reacted with region C, which contains the DNA-binding domain (DBD). Antibody 2ERR1 was able to detect overexpressed full-length ERR α in Western blots, but its epitope could not be mapped because it did not react with any of the ERR α truncation mutants. Overall, these mAbs appear to offer broad coverage of the entire ERR α protein. Fig. 3A shows representative epitope mapping for mAbs 2ERR10, 2ERR37, and 1ERR21 by Western blot analyses. A schematic showing ERR α truncation mutants and mAb reactivity with regions of ERR α can be seen in Fig. 3B.

mAb reactivities with ER and ERR proteins

To test mAb reactivities with ERR α -related proteins, the known estrogen receptor and ERR isoforms were overexpressed and mAb crossreactivities were analyzed by Western blot. Human embryonic kidney 293T cells were transiently transfected with plasmids encoding empty vector or full-length ER α , ER β , ERR α , ERR β , or ERR γ . Cell lysates were separated by SDS–PAGE and overexpression of each isoform was confirmed by Western blot using antibodies specific to the individual ER and ERR proteins (Fig. 4A). The same lysates were subjected to Western blot analyses using the individual mAbs to determine their

crossreactivities; representative data are shown in Fig. 4B. None of the mAbs reacted with any ER and ERR proteins other than ERR α .

Immunoprecipitation of ERR α

We overexpressed full-length ERR α in MCF-7 cells and generated whole-cell extracts for use in immunoprecipitation (IP) studies. Antibody subisotype is an important consideration when choosing resins for IP applications; since protein G binds all mouse IgG subisotypes, we used protein G-conjugated agarose in all IP experiments. Those antibodies reacting with N-terminal epitopes (1ERR87, 1ERR90, 2ERR2, and 2ERR10) as well as polyol-responsive mAb 2ERR1 were able to pull down significant quantities of ERR α (Fig. 5). Antibodies 1ERR21 and 2ERR7 did not work as well in this assay but were able to immunoprecipitate detectable amounts of ERR α . 2ERR6 and 2ERR37 IPs gave no detectable signal. It is worth noting that these four weakly- or non-immunoprecipitating mAbs (1ERR21, 2ERR6, 2ERR7, and 2ERR37) interact with the E/F region of the protein; it may be that these C-terminal epitopes are inaccessible when ERR α is in its native conformation.

EMSA supershift of DNA-bound ERR α

Each antibody was tested for its ability to react with DNA-bound ERR α in electrophoretic mobility supershift assays (EMSAs). Lysates from MCF-7 cells overexpressing ERR α were incubated with mAb and a 32 P-labeled estrogen-related response element (ERRE) DNA probe, after which samples were run on a non-denaturing gel. N-terminal IgG₁ antibodies (1ERR87, 1ERR90, and 2ERR10) and mAb 2ERR1 were able to supershift DNA-bound ERR α , as shown in Fig. 6. These four antibodies could also supershift endogenous ERR α in BT-474 whole-cell lysates (Fig. 6B).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) of endogenous DNA-bound ERR α

Next, we evaluated antibody effectiveness in pulling down endogenous DNA-bound ERR α in chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assays. For these studies, we used the BT-474 mammary carcinoma cell line, which endogenously expresses high levels of ERR α . Conditions for the assay were optimized based on established protocols [37,38]. We tested each of the antibodies at various concentrations and found that the majority of mAbs could immunoprecipitate chromatin-bound ERR α as determined by PCR amplification of the *ESRRA* promoter (Fig. 7). It is of interest to note that those mAbs capable of supershifting DNA-bound ERR α in EMSAs were not necessarily useful in the ChIP assay and *vice versa*. 2ERR7 gave the best pulldown, while mAbs 1ERR90 and 2ERR37 did not appear to work well in this assay. We investigated the possibility that using a “cocktail” consisting of several mAbs recognizing different epitopes might improve pulldown efficiency. We tested various combinations of these antibodies and determined that mAbs 1ERR21 and 1ERR87, combined with either 2ERR1 or 2ERR10, enhanced pulldown of ERR α in the ChIP assay. Using several mAbs in concert may be advantageous because it could permit isolation of certain ERR α complexes which cannot be detected by a single mAb. A paper describing the use of these antibodies in ChIP-chip is currently in preparation.

Immunofluorescent detection of ERR α

We performed immunofluorescence assays in MCF-7 cells overexpressing ERR α to determine the usefulness of these antibodies in detecting ERR α . Six of the nine mAbs – 1ERR87, 1ERR90, 2ERR1, 2ERR2, 2ERR10, 2ERR37 – were able to detect overexpressed ERR α in the nuclei of formalin-fixed cells with high signal intensity and low background (Fig. 8A). mAb 2ERR10 could also detect endogenous ERR α . In order to test the specificity of 2ERR10 for endogenous ERR α , we cultured three different mammary carcinoma cell lines (BT-474, MCF-7, and SK-BR-3) in the presence or absence of XCT790. We found that

XCT790-mediated knockdown of $ERR\alpha$ significantly diminished nuclear staining, indicating that 2ERR10 specifically detects endogenous $ERR\alpha$ in this assay (Fig. 8B). This mAb may prove to be useful in clinical applications for the detection of $ERR\alpha$ in formalin-fixed human tissue samples.

Determination of mAb polyol-responsiveness by ELISA-elution

Our lab has discovered that certain high-affinity antibodies possess the ability to release antigen in the presence of a low-molecular-weight polyhydroxylated compound (polyol) and nonchaotropic salt. This property can be employed to specifically and efficiently isolate proteins which retain native structure and biological activity [32,40]. Polyol-responsiveness (PR-mAbs) have been utilized to isolate protein complexes of sufficient purity and yield for crystallization and for identification of novel interacting partners [31,33,39,44,45]. We estimate that about 10% of mAbs are polyol-responsive; the mechanism and mAb characteristics contributing to this property remain unclear.

We performed modified enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays (ELISA-elutions) to screen for mAbs that gently release $ERR\alpha$ in the presence of 40% propylene glycol and 0.75 M ammonium sulfate. Five mAbs showed varying degrees of polyol-responsiveness in this assay (Fig. 9A). 1ERR21 and 2ERR10 were weakly polyol-responsive, retaining about 70% signal when compared with the TE control. Our lab has determined that even weakly polyol-responsive mAbs can perform efficiently in immunoaffinity applications (unpublished data). Antibodies 2ERR1, 2ERR6, and 2ERR37 are strongly polyol-responsive, showing significantly reduced signal in the presence of polyol elution buffer when compared with TE vehicle control. Of these, we focused on 2ERR1 for further investigation because it reacts strongly with $ERR\alpha$ in a variety of assays, including immunoprecipitation. The affinity of 2ERR1 for $ERR\alpha$ is affected somewhat by the presence of propylene glycol or ammonium sulfate alone; however, the combination of salt and polyol has a synergistic effect, causing more than 80% of the mAb to release its antigen in 40% propylene glycol at a relatively low concentration of salt. A detailed analysis of 2ERR1 responsiveness to increasing concentrations of polyol and salt is shown in Fig. 9B.

Gentle immunoaffinity chromatography (IAC) purification of overexpressed and endogenous $ERR\alpha$

Immunoaffinity chromatography (IAC) is a powerful tool which can isolate proteins rapidly and specifically in a single purification step; however, this approach usually requires harsh eluting conditions which can denature proteins, rendering them inactive. Gentle IAC using PR-mAbs facilitates recovery of active proteins in native conformations and complexes. In order to purify $ERR\alpha$ using gentle IAC, we covalently attached 2ERR1 to cyanogen bromide-activated Sepharose and used this column to purify $ERR\alpha$ from mammalian cell lysates in a single chromatographic step. Figs. 10A and B show IAC fractionation of lysate from MCF-7 cells overexpressing $ERR\alpha$ (silver-stained polyacrylamide gel and Western blot, respectively). As expected, $ERR\alpha$ remained bound to the 2ERR1 resin until eluted with salt-polyol buffer. The eluates show a significant purification of $ERR\alpha$, which is the major band in the fraction as determined by silver-staining. The other bands present in this fraction likely consist of $ERR\alpha$ -interacting proteins. In addition to isolating overexpressed $ERR\alpha$, we were able to use gentle immunoaffinity chromatography to purify endogenous $ERR\alpha$ from BT-474 cell lysate (Fig. 10C).

Conclusions

These characterization studies demonstrate that high-affinity anti- $ERR\alpha$ mAbs are suitable for the detection and purification of $ERR\alpha$ in a variety of biochemical assays. Of the nine

monoclonal antibodies investigated, mAbs 1ERR87, 2ERR1, and 2ERR10 seem to have the highest affinities for ERR α and were effective in all assays tested. We found that using mAb “cocktails” – mixtures of mAbs which recognize different epitopes – had a synergistic effect in ChIP, and this approach may be applicable to other assays. Sequential use of different mAbs in tandem purifications could be useful for sorting ERR α subpopulations associated with splice variants, post-translational modifications, or interacting partners. We determined that 2ERR1 is strongly polyol-responsive and that immunoprecipitated ERR α can be gently eluted in the presence of polyol and salt. 2ERR1-mediated gentle immunoaffinity chromatography could be useful for crystallization of ERR α , mass spectrometric analyses of post-translational modifications and ERR α -interacting proteins, and other purification-based strategies. We anticipate that these high-affinity immunological reagents will be useful in a range of novel research and clinical applications.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Katherine Foley for helping with the hybridoma work and

Richard Kraus for contributing plasmid constructs. We would also like to thank Dr. Jeremy Nathans of the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine for providing the anti-ERR β antibody. We are indebted to Dr. Wei Xu for providing reagents, stimulating discussion, and invaluable assistance.

This project was supported by funding from the Department of Defense Breast Cancer Research Program Idea Grants W81XWH-05-1-0243 (RRB) and W81XWH-06-1-0500 (JEM), the Susan G. Komen Breast Cancer Foundation Grant BCTR0601176 (JEM), and the National Cancer Institute Grant T32 CA009135 for Predoctoral Training in Cancer Biology (AME).

The monoclonal antibodies described in this paper are available from Santa Cruz Biotechnologies.

References

1. Giguere V, Yang N, Segui P, Evans RM. Identification of a new class of steroid hormone receptors. *Nature*. 1988; 331:91–94. [PubMed: 3267207]
2. Vu EH, Kraus RJ, Mertz JE. Phosphorylation-dependent sumoylation of estrogen-related receptor alpha1. *Biochemistry*. 2007; 46:9795–9804. [PubMed: 17676930]
3. Xie W, Hong H, Yang NN, Lin RJ, Simon CM, Stallcup MR, Evans RM. Constitutive activation of transcription and binding of coactivator by estrogen-related receptors 1 and 2. *Mol Endocrinol*. 1999; 13:2151–2162. [PubMed: 10598588]
4. Johnston SD, Liu X, Zuo F, Eisenbraun TL, Wiley SR, Kraus RJ, Mertz JE. Estrogen-related receptor alpha 1 functionally binds as a monomer to extended half-site sequences including ones contained within estrogen-response elements. *Mol Endocrinol*. 1997; 11:342–352. [PubMed: 9058380]
5. Yang N, Shigeta H, Shi H, Teng CT. Estrogen-related receptor, hERR1, modulates estrogen receptor-mediated response of human lactoferrin gene promoter. *J Biol Chem*. 1996; 271:5795–5804. [PubMed: 8621448]
6. Bonnelye E, Vanacker JM, Spruyt N, Alric S, Fournier B, Desbiens X, Laudet V. Expression of the estrogen-related receptor 1 (ERR-1) orphan receptor during mouse development. *Mech Dev*. 1997; 65:71–85. [PubMed: 9256346]
7. Sladek R, Bader JA, Giguere V. The orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor alpha is a transcriptional regulator of the human medium-chain acyl coenzyme A dehydrogenase gene. *Mol Cell Biol*. 1997; 17:5400–5409. [PubMed: 9271417]
8. Kraus RJ, Ariazi EA, Farrell ML, Mertz JE. Estrogen-related receptor alpha 1 actively antagonizes estrogen receptor-regulated transcription in MCF-7 mammary cells. *J Biol Chem*. 2002; 277:24826–24834. [PubMed: 11986328]
9. Chen S, Zhou D, Yang C, Sherman M. Molecular basis for the constitutive activity of estrogen-related receptor alpha-1. *J Biol Chem*. 2001; 276:28465–28470. [PubMed: 11356845]

10. Gaillard S, Dwyer MA, McDonnell DP. Definition of the molecular basis for estrogen receptor-related receptor-alpha-cofactor interactions. *Mol Endocrinol.* 2007; 21:62–76. [PubMed: 17053040]
11. Shi H, Shigeta H, Yang N, Fu K, O'Brian G, Teng CT. Human estrogen receptor-like 1 (ESRL1) gene: genomic organization, chromosomal localization, and promoter characterization. *Genomics.* 1997; 44:52–60. [PubMed: 9286700]
12. Huss JM, Torra IP, Staels B, Giguere V, Kelly DP. Estrogen-related receptor alpha directs peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor alpha signaling in the transcriptional control of energy metabolism in cardiac and skeletal muscle. *Mol Cell Biol.* 2004; 24:9079–9091. [PubMed: 15456881]
13. Mootha VK, Handschin C, Arlow D, Xie X, St Pierre J, Sihag S, Yang W, Altshuler D, Puigserver P, Patterson N, Willy PJ, Schulman IG, Heyman RA, Lander ES, Spiegelman BM. ERRalpha and Gabpa/b specify PGC-1 alpha-dependent oxidative phosphorylation gene expression that is altered in diabetic muscle. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2004; 101:6570–6575. [PubMed: 15100410]
14. Dufour CR, Wilson BJ, Huss JM, Kelly DP, Alaynick WA, Downes M, Evans RM, Blanchette M, Giguere V. Genome-wide orchestration of cardiac functions by the orphan nuclear receptors ERRalpha and gamma. *Cell Metab.* 2007; 5:345–356. [PubMed: 17488637]
15. Deblois G, Hall JA, Perry MC, Laganieri J, Ghahremani M, Park M, Hallett M, Giguere V. Genome-wide identification of direct target genes implicates estrogen-related receptor alpha as a determinant of breast cancer heterogeneity. *Cancer Res.* 2009; 69:6149–6157. [PubMed: 19622763]
16. Stein RA, Chang CY, Kazmin DA, Way J, Schroeder T, Wergin M, Dewhirst MW, McDonnell DP. Estrogen-related receptor alpha is critical for the growth of estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2008; 68:8805–8812. [PubMed: 18974123]
17. Heck S, Rom J, Thewes V, Becker N, Blume B, Sinn HP, Deuschle U, Sohn C, Schneeweiss A, Lichter P. Estrogen-related receptor alpha expression and function is associated with the transcriptional coregulator AIB1 in breast carcinoma. *Cancer Res.* 2009; 69:5186–5193. [PubMed: 19491275]
18. Chisamore MJ, Wilkinson HA, Flores O, Chen JD. Estrogen-related receptor-alpha antagonist inhibits both estrogen receptor-positive and estrogen receptor-negative breast tumor growth in mouse xenografts. *Mol Cancer Ther.* 2009; 8:672–681. [PubMed: 19276159]
19. Suzuki T, Miki Y, Moriya T, Shimada N, Ishida T, Hirakawa H, Ohuchi N, Sasano H. Estrogen-related receptor alpha in human breast carcinoma as a potent prognostic factor. *Cancer Res.* 2004; 64:4670–4676. [PubMed: 15231680]
20. Ariazi EA, Clark GM, Mertz JE. Estrogen-related receptor alpha and estrogen-related receptor gamma associate with unfavorable and favorable biomarkers, respectively, in human breast cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2002; 62:6510–6518. [PubMed: 12438245]
21. Jarzabek K, Koda M, Kozlowski L, Sulkowski S, Kottler ML, Wolczynski S. The significance of the expression of ERRalpha as a potential biomarker in breast cancer. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2009; 113:127–133. [PubMed: 19138740]
22. Watanabe A, Kinoshita Y, Hosokawa K, Mori T, Yamaguchi T, Honjo H. Function of estrogen-related receptor alpha in human endometrial cancer. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2006; 91:1573–1577. [PubMed: 16464951]
23. Sun P, Sehoul J, Denkert C, Mustea A, Konsgen D, Koch I, Wei L, Lichtenegger W. Expression of estrogen receptor-related receptors, a subfamily of orphan nuclear receptors, as new tumor biomarkers in ovarian cancer cells. *J Mol Med.* 2005; 83:457–467. [PubMed: 15770498]
24. Cheung CP, Yu S, Wong KB, Chan LW, Lai FM, Wang X, Suetsugi M, Chen S, Chan FL. Expression and functional study of estrogen receptor-related receptors in human prostatic cells and tissues. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2005; 90:1830–1844. [PubMed: 15598686]
25. Fujimura T, Takahashi S, Urano T, Kumagai J, Ogushi T, Horie-Inoue K, Ouchi Y, Kitamura T, Muramatsu M, Inoue S. Increased expression of estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERRalpha) is a negative prognostic predictor in human prostate cancer. *Int J Cancer.* 2007; 120:2325–2330. [PubMed: 17294452]

26. Cavallini A, Notarnicola M, Giannini R, Montemurro S, Lorusso D, Visconti A, Minervini F, Caruso MG. Oestrogen receptor-related receptor alpha (ERRalpha) and oestrogen receptors (ERalpha and ERbeta) exhibit different gene expression in human colorectal tumour progression. *Eur J Cancer*. 2005; 41:1487–1494. [PubMed: 15949936]
27. Harlow, E.; Lane, D. *Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual*. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory; Cold Spring Harbor: 1988.
28. Thompson NE, Foley KM, Stalder ES, Burgess RR. Identification, production, and use of polyol-responsive monoclonal antibodies for immunoaffinity chromatography. *Methods Enzymol*. 2009; 463:475–494. [PubMed: 19892188]
29. Duellman SJ, Burgess RR. Antigen-binding properties of monoclonal antibodies reactive with EBNA1 and use in immunoaffinity chromatography. *PLoS One*. 2009; 4:e4614. [PubMed: 19242546]
30. Duellman SJ, Burgess RR. Overproduction in *Escherichia coli* and purification of Epstein-Barr virus EBNA-1. *Protein Expr Purif*. 2006; 47:434–440. [PubMed: 16403648]
31. Edwards AM, Darst SA, Feaver WJ, Thompson NE, Burgess RR, Kornberg RD. Purification and lipid-layer crystallization of yeast RNA polymerase II. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1990; 87:2122–2126. [PubMed: 2179949]
32. Thompson NE, Burgess RR. Immunoaffinity purification of RNA polymerase II and transcription factors using polyol-responsive monoclonal antibodies. *Methods Enzymol*. 1996; 274:513–526. [PubMed: 8902829]
33. Lynch NA, Jiang H, Gibson DT. Rapid purification of the oxygenase component of toluene dioxygenase from a polyol-responsive monoclonal antibody. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 1996; 62:2133–2137. [PubMed: 8787410]
34. Burakov D, Wong CW, Rachez C, Cheskis BJ, Freedman LP. Functional interactions between the estrogen receptor and DRIP205, a subunit of the heteromeric DRIP coactivator complex. *J Biol Chem*. 2000; 275:20928–20934. [PubMed: 10770935]
35. Reese JC, Katzenellenbogen BS. Differential DNA-binding abilities of estrogen receptor occupied with two classes of antiestrogens: studies using human estrogen receptor overexpressed in mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1991; 19:6595–6602. [PubMed: 1754396]
36. Ariazi EA, Kraus RJ, Farrell ML, Jordan VC, Mertz JE. Estrogen-related receptor alpha1 transcriptional activities are regulated in part via the ErbB2/HER2 signaling pathway. *Mol Cancer Res*. 2007; 5:71–85. [PubMed: 17259347]
37. Wells J, Farnham PJ. Characterizing transcription factor binding sites using formaldehyde crosslinking and immunoprecipitation. *Methods*. 2002; 26:48–56. [PubMed: 12054904]
38. Metivier R, Penot G, Hubner MR, Reid G, Brand H, Kos M, Gannon F. Estrogen receptor-alpha directs ordered, cyclical, and combinatorial recruitment of cofactors on a natural target promoter. *Cell*. 2003; 115:751–763. [PubMed: 14675539]
39. Thompson NE, Hager DA, Burgess RR. Isolation and characterization of a polyol-responsive monoclonal antibody useful for gentle purification of *Escherichia coli* RNA polymerase. *Biochemistry*. 1992; 31:7003–7008. [PubMed: 1637835]
40. Thompson, NE.; Burgess, RR. Identification of polyol-responsive monoclonal antibodies for use in immunoaffinity chromatography. In: AFM, editor. *Current Protocols in Molecular Biology*. Wiley; New York: 2001.
41. Willy PJ, Murray IR, Qian J, Busch BB, Stevens WC Jr, Martin R, Mohan R, Zhou S, Ordentlich P, Wei P, Sapp DW, Horlick RA, Heyman RA, Schulman IG. Regulation of PPARgamma coactivator 1alpha (PGC-1alpha) signaling by an estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERRalpha) ligand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 2004; 101:8912–8917. [PubMed: 15184675]
42. Busch BB, Stevens WC Jr, Martin R, Ordentlich P, Zhou S, Sapp DW, Horlick RA, Mohan R. Identification of a selective inverse agonist for the orphan nuclear receptor estrogen-related receptor alpha. *J Med Chem*. 2004; 47:5593–5596. [PubMed: 15509154]
43. Lanvin O, Bianco S, Kersual N, Chalbos D, Vanacker JM. Potentiation of ICI182,780 (Fulvestrant)-induced estrogen receptor-alpha degradation by the estrogen receptor-related receptor-alpha inverse agonist XCT790. *J Biol Chem*. 2007; 282:28328–28334. [PubMed: 17631492]

44. Thompson NE, Aronson DB, Burgess RR. Purification of eukaryotic RNA polymerase II by immunoaffinity chromatography. Elution of active enzyme with protein stabilizing agents from a polyol-responsive monoclonal antibody. *J Biol Chem.* 1990; 265:7069–7077. [PubMed: 2324114]
45. Nagy PL, Griesenbeck J, Kornberg RD, Cleary ML. A trithorax-group complex purified from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* is required for methylation of histone H3. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA.* 2002; 99:90–94. [PubMed: 11752412]
46. Laganier J, Tremblay GB, Dufour CR, Giroux S, Rousseau F, Giguere V. A polymorphic autoregulatory hormone response element in the human estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERRalpha) promoter dictates peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma coactivator-1alpha control of ERRalpha expression. *J Biol Chem.* 2004; 279:18504–18510. [PubMed: 14978033]
47. Zhang Z, Teng C. Interplay between estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERR) and gamma (ERR) on the regulation of ERR gene expression. *Mol Cell Endocrinol.* 2007; 264:128–141. [PubMed: 17157980]

Fig 1. Purification of His₆-ERRα. His₆-tagged full-length ERRα was overexpressed in *E. coli* and purified on a Ni-NTA column. Purification fractions were separated by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie. Full-length ERRα has a molecular weight of 46 kDa; His₆-tagged ERRα has an apparent molecular weight of about 49 kDa.

Fig 2.

mAb detection of ERRα in Western blots. (A) Full-length ERRα was overexpressed in MCF-7 cells and whole-cell lysates were prepared. Approximately 300 μg of total protein was loaded into a single-lane 4–12% gel and separated by SDS–PAGE. Reactivities of anti-ERRα mAbs were assessed by Western blot analyses. The anti-ERRα rabbit polyclonal antibody YC2 [4] was included as a positive control. Blots were probed with mouse and rabbit secondary (2°) antibody alone to test their reactivities with lysate components. Molecular weight in kDa is indicated on the left. (B) BT-474 cells were treated for three hours with 0, 1, or 5 μM XCT790, an inverse agonist of ERRα which selectively targets the protein for degradation. Whole-cell lysates were prepared and separated by SDS–PAGE on a 4–12% gel (30 μg lysate per lane). Endogenous ERRα was detected by Western blot using mAb 2ERR10. Molecular weight in kDa is indicated on the left.

Fig 3.

Epitope mapping for anti-ERR α mAbs. (A) Human embryonic kidney 293T cells were transiently transfected with constructs expressing ERR α truncation mutants. The regions represented by these constructs are indicated by amino acid (aa) position in relation to full-length ERR α : aa 1–78, aa 1–198, and aa 145–423. We also used a construct encoding 77–423 in our epitope mapping studies (data not shown). Whole-cell extracts expressing ERR α fragments were analyzed by Western blot. Each lane is labeled with the construct expressed, numbered according to amino acid position. Equal concentrations of purified mAb were used to probe the Western blots. Molecular weight in kDa is shown on the left. (B) Summary of epitope mapping. The schematic depicts full-length ERR α protein, with domain locations indicated by numbers representing amino acid (aa) position. The N-terminal A/B domain (aa 1–78) is typically involved in ligand-independent functions of nuclear receptors. The C region (aa 79–144) contains the DNA-binding domain and is adjacent to the D domain (aa 145–198), also known as the hinge region. The C-terminal E/F region (aa 199–423) contains LXXLL motifs which interact with cofactors. The regions represented by truncation mutants used in epitope mapping studies are indicated by lines shown above the ERR α schematic, numbered by amino acid (aa) position: 1–78, 1–198, 77–423, and 145–423. Mapped mAb binding regions are shown below full-length ERR α .

2ERR1 did not react with any of the truncation mutants in Western blots and is depicted as interacting with full-length ERR α .

Fig 4.

mAb reactivity with ER and ERR proteins. (A) Human embryonic kidney 293T cells were transiently transfected with plasmid constructs encoding one of the estrogen receptors (ER α or ER β) or ERRs (ERR α , ERR β , or ERR γ). Whole-cell lysates were separated by SDS-PAGE, with 375 ng total protein loaded per lane as determined by BCA assay. Overexpression of proteins was confirmed by Western blot analysis using antibodies specific to ER α (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), FLAG tag (for detection of FLAG-tagged ER β ; Gentaur, Kampenhout, Belgium), ERR α (mAb 2ERR10), ERR β (kind gift of Dr. Jeremy Nathans, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine), and ERR γ (Perseus Proteomics, Tokyo, Japan). Molecular weight in kDa is indicated on the left. The apparent molecular weights of the overexpressed proteins (as detected by Western blot) are as follows: ER α , 65 kDa; ER β , 60 kDa; ERR α , 50 kDa; ERR β , 45 kDa; ERR γ , 55 kDa. (B) mAb crossreactivity with ER and ERR proteins was analyzed by Western blot. The same lysates used in Fig. 4A were separated by SDS-PAGE (375 ng total protein loaded per lane), and equal concentrations of purified mAb were used to probe blots. The data shown in this figure are representative; none of the mAbs crossreacted with proteins other than ERR α .

Fig 5.

Immunoprecipitation of ERR α by mAbs. The ability of the mAbs to immunoprecipitate ERR α was tested. Blocked protein G agarose (Millipore) was pre-incubated with mAb for one hour at room temperature, then whole-cell lysates from MCF-7 cells overexpressing ERR α (1 mg total protein per IP) were incubated with the antibody-resin complexes overnight. The resins were washed, then eluted in SDS loading buffer. The top blot was loaded with 20 μ L IP sample per lane (20 μ g total protein for the input sample); the bottom blot was loaded with 2 μ L IP sample per lane (2 μ g total protein for the input sample). Western blots were probed with mAb 2ERR10 followed by a light chain-specific anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody to avoid detection of any mAb heavy chain present in the IP samples.

Fig 6. mAb-mediated supershift of DNA-bound ERRα. Antibodies were assayed for their abilities to interact with DNA-bound ERRα. (A) ERRα was overexpressed in MCF-7 cells, and whole-cell lysates were incubated with mAbs and radiolabeled ERRE probe (5'-AGCAGTGGCGATTTGTCAAGGTCACACAGT-3'). The ability of the mAbs to shift the ERRα/DNA complex was analyzed by non-denaturing gel electrophoresis. (B) For detection of endogenous ERRα, BT-474 whole-cell lysates were incubated with radiolabeled ERRE probe and increasing amounts of mAb in ascites fluid. The approximate amount of antibody in micrograms is given above the mAb name (0, 0.5, and 1 μg mAb). For each antibody, a positive control of MCF-7 cells overexpressing ERRα was included (lanes marked "MCF-7 + ERRα"). Supershifts were analyzed as described above.

Fig 7.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation of endogenous $ERR\alpha$ by mAbs. Antibodies were tested for their capacities to bind endogenous DNA-bound $ERR\alpha$ in cell lysates. BT-474 cells were formaldehyde-fixed to crosslink protein-DNA complexes, then lysed. Lysates were sonicated to fragment chromatin, then incubated with Sepharose-bound mAbs. Protein-chromatin complexes bound to the resin were washed and eluted, after which crosslink reversal and DNA purification steps were performed. Pulldown by mAbs was assessed by PCR amplification of the proximal promoter of the *ESRRA* gene, an established $ERR\alpha$ binding site [46]. Cocktail #1 consisted of mAbs 1ERR21, 1ERR87, and 2ERR1; cocktail #2 consisted of mAbs 1ERR21, 1ERR87, and 2ERR10.

Fig 8.

Immunofluorescent detection of ERRα by mAbs. Antibodies were assayed for their abilities to detect overexpressed and endogenous ERRα in immunofluorescent applications. Cells were fixed and permeabilized, then incubated with anti-ERRα mAbs. Alexa⁴⁸⁸-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody was used to detect ERRα-bound mAb (in green); nuclei were visualized with DAPI nuclear counterstain (in blue). Microscope settings were conserved for all samples within each experiment. (A) MCF-7 cells were transiently transfected to overexpress ERRα. Imaging was performed using a Leica DM5000 fluorescence microscope at 40x magnification. (B) MCF-7, BT-474, and SK-BR-3 mammary carcinoma cells were treated for twenty-four hours with 0.1% DMSO vehicle control or 5 μM XCT790, an inverse agonist of ERRα which selectively targets the protein for degradation. 2ERR10 was used as the primary antibody. Imaging was performed using a Bio-Rad Radiance 2100 MP Rainbow confocal/multiphoton microscope at 60x magnification.

Fig 9.

Polyol-responsiveness of anti-ERR α mAbs. ELISA-elution assays were performed to identify polyol-responsive mAbs (PR-mAbs). Antibodies were bound to ERR α -coated wells in 96-well plates, then incubated in TE control buffer or salt-polyol buffer containing ammonium sulfate (AS) and propylene glycol (PG). Bound mAb was detected with HRP-conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody and peroxide substrate, and colorimetric analysis was performed. Polyol-responsivity was determined by comparing signal in the presence and absence of salt-polyol, given as a percentage of TE control buffer; PR-mAbs have lower affinity for antigen in salt-polyol buffer, which results in diminished signal. Antibodies are considered polyol-responsive if they show greater than 50% reduction in signal when compared to TE buffer control, as indicated in Table 1. (A) Antibodies were bound to ERR α , then incubated in TE control buffer or salt-polyol buffer containing 0.75 M ammonium sulfate (AS) and 40% propylene glycol (PG). Signal reduction resulting from elution of mAbs in the presence of salt and polyol is shown as a percentage of TE buffer control. (B) The ability of mAb 2ERR1 to bind ERR α in response to increasing

concentrations of ammonium sulfate and propylene glycol (PG) was tested. Each condition was assayed in triplicate within an experiment. Data are shown the average of these technical replicates within one experiment, and error bars represent the standard deviation of these. Data are representative of three independent experiments.

Fig 10. Purification of ERR α by gentle immunoaffinity chromatography (IAC). 2ERR1-conjugated Sepharose was incubated with whole-cell lysate, then washed. ERR α was gently eluted from the column with polyol elution buffer (TE + 0.75 M ammonium sulfate +40% propylene glycol). IAC fractions were loaded on polyacrylamide gels in proportion to fraction volumes, separated by SDS-PAGE, then prepared for silver staining or Western blot. Western blots were probed with mAb 2ERR10 followed by a light chain-specific anti-mouse secondary antibody to avoid detection of mAb heavy chain present in the samples. (A) A 2-mL column was loaded with lysate containing 8 mg total protein harvested from MCF-7 cells overexpressing ERR α . Samples were loaded on a polyacrylamide gel in proportion to fraction volumes. Molecular weight (in kDa) is indicated at left. TE designates Tris-EDTA buffer; AS signifies 0.25 M ammonium sulfate. (B) The sample set in Fig. 10A was subjected to Western blot analysis to confirm the presence of ERR α in IAC fractions. (C) For purification of endogenous ERR α , a 1-mL column was loaded with BT-474 cell lysate containing 15 mg total protein. ERR α was detected by Western blot.

Table 1

Summary of ERRα mAb properties.

mAb	Isotype	Epitope	Western blot ^a	IP ^b	EMSA ^c	ChIP ^d	Immuno-fluorescence ^e	Polyol-responsive ^f
1ERR21	IgG ₁	198–423	+	+	–	+	–	+
1ERR87	IgG ₁	1–78	++	++	+	+	+	–
1ERR90	IgG ₁	1–78	++	++	+	–	+	–
2ERR1	IgG _{2a}	nyd	+	++	+	+	+	++
2ERR2	IgG _{2a}	1–78	++	++	nyd	+	+	–
2ERR6	IgG _{2b}	198–423	+(BSA)	–	–	+	–	++
2ERR7	IgG _{2b}	198–423	+	+	–	++	–	–
2ERR10	IgG ₁	1–78	++	++	+	+	++	+
2ERR37	IgG ₁	79–144	+(BSA)	–	–	–	+	++

nyd = not yet determined.

^a + indicates reactivity with overexpressed ERRα; ++ indicates ability to detect endogenous ERRα in 40 μg total protein in BT-474 whole-cell extract. Performing Western blot incubations in 3% bovine serum albumin (BSA) increased signal for 2ERR6 and 2ERR37.

^b Antibodies 1ERR21 and 2ERR7 could immunoprecipitate ERRα, but not as well as those mAbs marked ++.

^c All mAbs marked + could shift both overexpressed and endogenous ERRα.

^d 2ERR7 gave a significantly more robust pulldown than the other single mAbs (indicated by ++). We found that mixtures of mAbs 1ERR21 and 1ERR87 with mAb 2ERR1 or 2ERR10 gave a pulldown comparable to that of 2ERR7.

^e 2ERR10 was able to detect both overexpressed and endogenous ERRα (as indicated by ++).

^f Polyol-responsiveness indicates the ability of each mAb to release ERRα under gentle eluting conditions as determined by ELISA-elution.