Published in final edited form as:

J Arthroplasty. 2013 March ; 28(3): 543.e9–543.e12. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2012.06.029.

ENHANCING DAMAGE VISIBILITY ON METALLIC BEARING SURFACES: A SIMPLE TECHNIQUE FOR PHOTOGRAPHY AND VIEWING

Anneliese D. Heiner, Ph.D.^{1,2}, Karen M. Kruger, B.S.^{1,2}, Thomas E. Baer, B.A.¹, and Thomas D. Brown, Ph.D.^{1,2}

¹Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

²Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA

Abstract

Damage to metallic bearing surfaces typically involves scratches, scrapes, metal transfer, and organic deposits. This damage can cause accelerated wear of the opposing surface and subsequent implant failure. Photography and viewing of metallic bearing surfaces, for documenting this damage, is hindered by optical reflectivity. This note demonstrates a simple, practical technique for metallic bearing surface photography and viewing that minimizes this reflectivity problem, that does not involve any modification of the bearing surface, and that allows for improved observation and documentation of overall damage. When the metallic bearing surface is placed within a tube of translucent material, the appearance of damage on that bearing surface is dramatically enhanced, showing up against a smooth, even background with excellent contrast and with fine detail achievable.

Keywords

Arthroplasty; bearing surface; damage; photography; retrieval; wear

Introduction

Damage to bearing surfaces, caused by third bodies, subluxation, dislocation, closed reduction, etc., involves scratches, scrapes, metal transfer, and organic deposits. Bearing surface damage can cause accelerated wear of the opposing surface and subsequent implant failure. Photography and viewing of a metallic bearing surface, for documenting this damage, is hindered by the surface optically reflecting features in its surroundings, such as photography lights and room lights, onto the image. This problem occurs ubiquitously in the archival forensic literature for total joint arthroplasty retrievals. The purpose of this note is to demonstrate a simple, practical photography and viewing technique that minimizes this reflectivity problem, that does not involve any modification to the bearing surface, and that allows for improved observation and documentation of overall damage.

© 2012 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Corresponding author: Anneliese D. Heiner, Ph.D., University of Iowa, Department of Orthopaedics and Rehabilitation, Orthopaedic Biomechanics Laboratory, 2181 Westlawn Building, Iowa City, IA 52242-1100, anneliese-heiner@uiowa.edu, 319-335-7541 (phone), 319-335-7530 (fax).

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Materials and Methods

The essential concept for this technique is to mask extraneous reflections by placing the bearing surface within a tube of translucent material (Figure 1). Ordinary white paper works reasonably well for this purpose (Figures 1A-B), is readily available, and can be quickly formed into a tube. This paper tube should fit snugly around the camera lens, with minimal circumferential overlap (about 5 mm) for taping the tube shut (using invisible cellophane tape), as the overlap will appear on the bearing surface as a narrow, slightly darker wedge. This wedge appearance can be minimized by rotating the overlap away from light sources such as a camera flash. A more robust alternative is a translucent plastic tube (Figures 1C-D), which also avoids the wedge problem; clear acrylic tubing, spray-painted white, works well. This tube should also fit closely around the camera lens. Described below are variations of this masking technique that can be used in less-controlled (Figures 1A-B) and more-controlled (Figures 1C-D) settings, with the former favoring expediency and simplicity, and the latter favoring higher picture quality.

To quickly view or photograph a metallic bearing surface under less-controlled conditions, such as in an operating room, place one end of the masking tube over the bearing surface, and look or photograph through the other end of the tube (Figures 1A-B). For quick photography, a compact point- and-shoot camera can be used. The masking tube length should be long enough that the camera can achieve focus when the tube completely covers the bearing surface (Figure 1 B). An alternative technique is to hold up a sheet of white paper (or other white surface) near the bearing surface, and view or photograph the part of the bearing surface reflecting that white surface.

To obtain metallic bearing surface photographs of higher quality, a camera with a macro lens is attached to a copy stand, with the bearing surface below and the masking tube around the lens (Figure 1C-D). Room lighting results in more even lighting of the femoral head than do copy stand lights. The use of a post for polar views (Figure 1C) avoids having a reflection of the base appear on the bearing surface, which occurs when using a wider masking tube. For equatorial views (Figures 1D), a post passes through a slot cut in the masking tube. This post can be attached to a rotary table, to permit systematic circumferential mapping of bearing surface damage. Two photographs can be digitally combined to fill in the camera lens reflection. Before adding the masking tube, wipe off fingerprints and dust from the bearing surface, and brush dust off the base. Set the camera to its largest f-stop (smallest aperture) to maximize depth-of-field, bracket the exposure, and use a timer to avoid vibrations. Optimum damage enhancement attained with this technique depends on empirical modulation of parameters such as lighting, masking tube material and thickness, and camera exposure time; there are even some types of damage which are better viewed without masking.

Results and Discussion

The appearance of damage on metallic bearing surfaces is dramatically enhanced by the technique described (Figure 2). Damage shows up against a smooth, even background, with excellent contrast and with fine detail (Figure 2C) achievable. This aids the observation and documentation of bearing surface damage, including encoding of damage patterns via automated digital image analysis (Figure 2D) and the identification of damage features that merit closer analytical attention with techniques such as optical profilometry or scanning electron microscopy [1–4]. This technique is also effective on Oxinium surfaces, although it is not as crucial as it is for metallic surfaces [5].

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by NIH AR057780. The authors thank Dr. John J. Callaghan and Dr. Steve S. Liu for their work with the retrieval program from which the implants photographed were obtained.

References

1. Patten EW, Atwood SA, Van Citters DW, Jewett BA, Pruitt LA, Ries MD. Delamination of a highly cross-linked polyethylene liner associated with titanium deposits on the cobalt-chromium modular femoral head following dislocation. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2010; 92(9):1306. [PubMed: 20798454]
2. McCalden RW, Charron KD, Davidson RD, Teeter MG, Holdsworth DW. Damage of an Oxinium femoral head and polyethylene liner following 'routine' total hip replacement. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2011; 93(3):409. [PubMed: 21357966]
3. Thomsen M, Breusch SJ. Mechanical strength of used ceramic femoral heads. *Hip Int.* 2003; 13(2): 107.
4. Muller FA, Hagymasi M, Greil P, Zeiler G, Schuh A. Transfer of metallic debris after dislocation of ceramic femoral heads in hip prostheses. *Arch Orthop Trauma Surg.* 2006; 126(3):174. [PubMed: 16482451]
5. Burnell CD, Brandt JM, Petrak MJ, Bourne RB. Posterior condyle surface damage on retrieved femoral knee components. *J Arthroplasty.* 2011; 26(8):1460. [PubMed: 21570806]

Figure 1. Metallic bearing surface viewing and photography under (A-B) less-controlled and (C-D) more-controlled conditions. (A) Viewing and (B) photographing a femoral head with a masking tube of white paper. (C) Polar and (D) equatorial photography of a femoral head with a masking tube of clear acrylic spray-painted white.

Figure 2.

Retrieved metallic femoral head photographs taken (A) without masking and (B) with masking (using the masking technique depicted in Figure 1D) plus digital combination of two masked views. (C) Close-ups from Figure 2B (scale bars = 2 mm). (D) Automated digital image analysis (using Canny edge detection) of femoral head damage patterns.